

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

KEENAN WATKINS,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 79719

FILED

OCT 04 2020

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *Elizabeth A. Brown*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion for a third 90-day extension of time to file the opening brief. When this court granted appellant's second motion for an extension of time, this court stated that no further extensions of time would be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *See* NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). The current motion is an exact duplicate of the previous motion and does not demonstrate extraordinary circumstances or extreme need. Accordingly, the motion is denied. Appellant shall have until October 22, 2020, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Legal Resource Group
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney