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The Second Judicial District
Court of the State of NevAdA, in And for the County of
Washer: And the Honorable
Brent T AdAms, District
Judge: Respondents,
And
The State of Nevada
Real Party in Interest.,
PETITION FOR WRIT OE MANDAMUS
Comssow Petitioner Frank Pack in proper person hereinafter Mr. Peck respectfully moues this Honorable Court for a Writ of mandamus.

This Petition is made and based upon all papers and pleAding on fe in this cast as wrillas the Attached Points and Authorities And Affidaut of Mr. Peck.


Sanilifill
Frank M Prick 57106 HD S io Boo 650
India Springs 89070

Points And Authorities

Attorney Mary lou Wilson was appointed by the District Court ON JuN 28 zolo to supplement mr. Pecks Petition for Writ of Habras Corpus.

The District Court Also Approved for payment, funds for Mr Peck's DNA Expert Mehul B. Awjaria of MBA DNA consulting.

Since Ms Wilsons appointment she has refused to provide a business telephone that her client. Mr. Peck can call collect from Prison Mr. Peck as a result has wot had our single priuatror confidential conursatrow with his counsel AND in fact has only spoken to her for 3045 minutes total in over two Years. sem $\varepsilon x-1$

Mr. Peck has however been in contact with his DNA expert And has developed information from his expert that the testimony regarding the DNA rvidruce wAs false misleading and inAccurate NApuz ÚIll, 360 us. 264269 (1959)

Mr.Pecksexpent Mehol B AwjariA has confirmed that "I the PCR process, the New copies of the DNA Are made entirely from the building blocks (A, $T, C, G$ ) in the $k$ it used to perform the PCR"

In other words, when the Jury is told As Mr Pecks Jury wAs told, that the DNA Evidences sample WAS Amplified, this is A misnomer, in reality the DNA is copied with materials foreign to the DNA Evidence, then further copies are made with yet morematerials foreign to the DNA cuidruce the one All the copies made from And built cutircly from materials foreng to the evidence these foreign materials are analyzed Aud All the (STRS) produced Are entirely created from the building blocks $(A, T, C, G)$ in the Kit used to perform the $P \subset R$ process And Arc completly devon of AWY DNA from the original evidence sample.

In other words the ONA copies Aod_All materials AnAlyzed (STRS) Are All mavifactured entirely from Substances foreign to And added to the evidence from AN outside source And therefor constitute the literal mavifacture of sudever at A molecular scale

Mr. Pecks counsel Mary lo Wilso refuses to comply with the standards set forth by the indigent commission report And this Courts Order Abkt-4il filed Oct 16,2008 ethical. rules or professional conduct and directs All communications with hor firm to Assistants who Are NOT LAWrciRS and it appears that the Assistants Job is to pacify, stall and mislead her clients. sen ex-N1.

Mr. Peck has meritorious issues that must be raised, yet in a letter from $1 s$. Wilson she states that MrPzck will have to raise his issues orally at cuidentiny hearing.

This Attitude by counsel leads Mr Peak bewildered Ad desperately needing NEW counsel or controll over his case to bring AlL Mr.Peks meritorious issues himself.

Mr. Peck has no other speedy remedy Available to preucut Mr. Pecks Due process rights from being violated by Ms. Wilson. NBS 34170 Smith V. Eighth Judicial Dist Court ex rel County of Cark, 107 Nev, 674 , 818 Fed 849, (1991 Nev). Id Ms. Wilson refused mail from her client se $\varepsilon x-3$
(1) Ser Attached letter from Msolilsow. exp?

Surly, Mr, Peek should br Afforded the oppertunty to raise issues that do not depend ON Whether or jot Mr Peck is granted AN evidentiary hearing as stated by Mr Pecks Court Appointed Lawyer. Ms. Wilson in her May Th, 2011 letter to Mr.Prck stating that Mr. Pecks issues would somehow be properly before the Court by being brought up orally At evidentiary hearing. E x-E?

Mr Peck has a clear right to raise meritorious issues and to NOI BR FRUSTLATEO by his own counsel. Stats $2 x$ rel Coho U Mack 26 Nev 85, 63 P 125,1901 N $V$, Stat z ex rel Biak V Dacighterty $48 \sim 20299,231 P 384(1924)$

To date, the District Court has and continues to ignore Mrepeks Motions for Substitute Counsel or Waiver thereof.

Writ of mandamus is available to control Arbitrary or capricious Exercise of discretions.

Such as the district Court ignoring MrePeck's motions and complaits-AgAist his counsel. Brewery Arts ctr $\cup$ Stat Bd ot ExMrs, 108 NEV 1050 $843-2 d \quad 36(1992 N r u)$, Levinsou U. Eiahth Jud Dist Court $\varepsilon x$ rel Count of Clark, We 1721 $109 \mathrm{~N} v 7471857$ PiC $18(1993$ N CU), MAys $V$. Eighth Jud Dist Court Ex rel County of Clark

11 Nev 1172901 PR 639.(1995 Nev) Crutches. Eighth Jud Dist Court Ill NeV 1286,903 Ped 823 (1995 Nev), Salaiscooper V. Eighth Jud Dist Court 117 Nev, 89234 PB 509 (2001 Nev)

Conclusion
Therefor, for these reASons this Honorable Court should Grant this Petition Aid Order the lower Court to Address MrePeck's Motions so that Mr. Peck's 19th Amendments rights to Due Process is not violated by his curvent Counsel Mary lou Wilson. And the t if Necessary Mr, Peck be Allowed to proceed prose.

Dated this 27 th day of July 2012

Finis poL
Frawkmprck $>106$
HOSP BOX G50
India $u$ Springs, UV 89070
Petitioner $1 \sim \sim$ proper

State of Nrundas
Countyof Clark Affidavit of FrankmPeck
I Frank. Prik do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that:

1 I am the Petitioner in Washoe District Court CASE NO CR-06-P-2580.
2. All Assertion in the Attached Petition for Writ of Mandamus are true based upon personal Knowledge and documentary evidence nudism competent to testify to -all matters contained therein.

3 I bring this Petition good fath and for No improper reason.

Dated this 27th day of July 2012
Signed under penalty of perjury NRS 208165 And 28 use 1746.

Fin Pet
Fra Merck 57106
HoSe Box 650
India Springs Nu. 89070
Petitioner in pro pes

Certificate of service and Affirmation
Pursuant to NRS $239 B 030$ the Attached Petition for Writ of Mandamus does Not Contain social security numbers of Any person And A true And correct copy WAS mailed this date to:

DDA Terrance Mc Carthy Esq
75 Court Street
Reno, Nv 89501

BrentiAdams
75 Court Street
BEN ON \& 2501

Dated this 29 th dey of July 2012 .
Sand under penalty of perjury Les 208165 And 28 USS 1746

Fra M Peck $5>106$
HOSP BOX 650
Indian Springs, Nu 89070
Petitioner pro pro.

List of Exhigits
\#1 letter to MrPrik from Jason von Rossubreg:
\# 2 letter from mary loú wilson mísdated As May $7,2011^{\prime \prime}$.
\#3 Refused Certified (ette from MrPele.
D.ted this 2ath day of July 2012 .

Fruck M Prule 57106
HDST $30 \times 650$
Indis Sorinas NV 89070 Petitioner ü proper.

# Jason von Rosenberg 

Legal Assistant

Mary Lou Wilson, Esq.
333 Marsh Ave.
Reno, NV 89509

## Frank Peck \#57106

Ely State Prison
P.O. Box 1989

Ely, NV 89301
August 11, 2010

## Frank Peck:

First and foremost, I would like to congratulate you for actually taking note of our Simpson stamps. We do try to retain our sense of humor and not get too wrapped up in the negative things that happen in our jobs and lives. We hope to bring smiles to those that have the same outlook.

The office phone is blocked. There are several reasons. Mostly, that we do not have a receptionist to take the calls when we could not just call you back anyways. We are often working from the field, in court, or at our home offices. There are some other ugly incidents that have happened over the years. For your protection and ours we also prefer to have all requests and directions in writing so that there is no confusion or misunderstanding.

However, team member John does have a contact phone 775-379-5854. He is typically able to take calls between 10 am and 4 pm Monday - Friday. He and I have your case. He will be doing the legal research and preparing the Supplemental Petition, I will be do the field research and finding people and files.

We will want to do the conference call when we are all present and in the office so that we really have a clear understanding of what we are going to do for you and your case. We will be taking detailed notes and have the file out and available for reference.

Justice for all...

Thank you for the information you passed along. I will get on it right away. We will always copy and return any original documents as requested.

A few follow up questions.
Did your parents both pass in Los Angeles County? I would like to submit records as proof in the petition.
Do you have any idea what the Judges name was?
(The Friend of your FATHERS)

We are looking forward to talking to you soon, hang in there.


Legal Assistant
Mary Lou Wilson, Esq.

## Frank Peck \#57106

S.D.C.C.
P.O. Box 208

Cold Creek Road
Indian Springs, Nevada 89070
May 7, 2011
Mr. Peck:
I received your letters dated April 15, 2012 and May 3, 2012. In the April letter, you asked for a detailed summary of the SPD file. You also included a copy of the docket sheet from May 22, 2009.

I can have John make a copy of it when he gets the chance. However, 1 have him on other matters for the time being. I understand that he has not accepted your calls and it is because I have him writing two federal petitions, which are murder cases. Also, it is not personal that he has not accepted your calls. If he does not get you a detailed summary in the very near future, it is because of these two murder cases.

I am still waiting for word from Anjaria for the completion of his report. Then I can proceed with your petition.

In your May letter, you expressed frustration at not having had a conversation with me that has not been recorded. You also accuse me of lying to the district court in my motion for a conference call because my phone is blocked. If the motion had been granted, I would have had the conference through the caseworker's phone, not through the collect call pay phone system. Therefore, I did not misrepresent my intentions or call capabilities to the court.

You also stated that you wanted me to "remove myself from [your] case so that an attorney who communicates with their clients can be appointed."

I do not plan on removing myself from your case. When Mr. Anjaria sends me his report, then I will supplement your petition.

I understand that you have had numerous phone conversations with John, and constant communication via letters but, for the time being, your communication with my office will have to be through letters. We are also having money issues with the district court and cannot afford to pay for telephone calls. Therefore, we will certainly read and respond to all of your letters.

I hope that this manner of communication can suffice for now. I am hopeful for your case and in getting an evidentiary hearing so that we can present your grounds orally to the court.

Very Sincerely Yours,

## Mary Loustifion <br> MaryLou Wilson

cc: file
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