

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Frank M. Peck,

No. 73780

Petitioner,


DC. A-16-743859

vs

The Eighth Judicial District
Court of the State of Nevada,
In and for the County of Clark;
And STEVEN GRIERSON Clerk of
the Eighth Judicial District Court,
Respondents.

FILED

NOV 07 2017


ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

RULE 40 B. Petition for Review by the Supreme Court

Comes now, the Petitioner, Frank M. Peck, pro se, hereinafter Mr. Peck, with his Rule 40 B Petition for Review by the Supreme Court of NEVADA.

This Petition is made and based upon all papers and pleadings on file in this case as well as the attached points and authorities and Declaration of Mr. Peck.

Dated November 1st 2017.



Frank M. Peck # 57106

HDSP Box 650

Indian Springs, NV. 89070

Petitioner, pro se, I.F.P.

17-38200

RECEIVED

NOV 06 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

1 of 3

Points and Authorities

This case comes to this court from an ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS filed in the COURT OF APPEALS ON 10-30-17.

Question presented:

How on earth does ANY remedy exist, plain, speedy, or otherwise; when the Clerk outright refuses to EVEN communicate on the status of filed documents or whether they have EVEN BEEN filed? The Clerk EVEN IGNORES requests for or EVEN information about a Hand Written Court Order Granting an injunction preventing further discrimination against Mr Peck by NDAC officials. This is a complete and total violation of due process and the Clerks duty and Oath of Office. The damage is intrinsic to the above case and it appears if the High Courts of this State will do nothing Mr Peck has no choice but to file a ^{CIVIL RIGHTS} tort claim against the Clerks office.

Review is warranted because just like anyone else Mr Peck is entitled to fair-process that doesn't involve the selective withholding of documents and the untimely filing of others. Mr Peck is bewildered that the Court of Appeals would condone such conduct by the Clerks of the Courts of this State. These are fundamental issues of public importance. This is a serious issue that the Court should exercise its discretion.

Dated 11-1-17

Frank M. Peck Pet prose

Declaration, certificate of service and Affirmation

I Frank M. Peck do hereby swear under the penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct.

1. I am the Petitioner herein.

2. All assertions in Petition for Review are true correct based on personal knowledge I am over 18 and competent to testify to all matters herein.

3. It is bewildering that the Duty of the Clerk of the Court to file, return file Stamped copies and respond to correspondence, (A duty resulting from that office) is somehow unworthy of writ relief.


4. A true, correct, complete ORIGINAL COPY WAS MAILED TO THE CLERK @ 201 S. CARSON ST CARSON CITY, NV. 89701 FOR FILING AND E-SERVICE ON Respondents, Registered party, DAG Frank A Toddrett Esq @ 555 E. WASHINGTON AV STE 3900 LV NV 89101 AS WELL AS THE CLERK @ 200 LEWIS AV LV NV 89155.

5. Dated done and Mailed 11-1-17

Signed under the penalty of perjury NRS 208.165 28 USC 1746.

Dated Nov 1 2017

Affirmation: Contains no social security numbers of any person
NRS 239.8030.



Frank M. Peck 57106

HDSP Box 650

Indian Springs, NV. 89070

Plaintiff, Petitioner,

Prose, LLP