FILED

JUN 18 2019

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADARABETH DBROWN

Frank Hearring Appellant,

Supreme Court No. 7879

State of Nevada

Respondent.

District Court No. <u>A-19-790102</u>-W

APPELLANT'S INFORMAL BRIEF

INSTRUCTIONS: If you are an appellant proceeding pro se (without an atterney) in the Nevada Supreme Court, you must file either (1) a brief that complies with Nevada Rule of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) 28(a), or (2) a completed copy of this informal brief form, see NRAP 28(k), with the Nevada Supreme Court on or before the due date, see NRAP 31. In civil appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court may dismiss your appeal. In postconviction criminal appeals, if you do not file one of these documents by the due date, the Nevada Supreme Court or Nevada Court of Appeals may decide your appeal on the record without briefing.

HOW TO FILL OUT THIS FORM: This form must be typed, unless you are incarcerated, in which case it must be clearly handwritten. You do not need to refer to legal authority or the district court record. If you are completing your brief on this form, write only in the space allowed on the form. Additional pages and attachments are not allowed. If typing an informal brief, you may either use the lined paper contained in this form or an equivalent number of pages of your own paper. Your brief will be stricken if you fail to follow the directions in this form and the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

WHERE TO FILE THE BRIEF: You may submit your brief for filing in person or by mail.

To file your brief in person: Briefs may be submitted for filing Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Carson City: Bring the brief to the Clerk's Office at the Supreme Court of Live Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada, 89701.

Las Vegas: Place your brief in the Clerk's Office Drop Box at the Las Vegas 7c2012thouse for the Nevada Appellate Courts, 408 East Clark Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101.

CLERY THE SET STATE OF ALL OF TO DEPUTY CLERK

1

19-26252

To file your brief by mail: Mail the brief to the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada, 201 South Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada 89701. Your brief must be postmarked on or before the due date.

You must file the original brief and 1 copy with the clerk of the Nevada Supreme Court. If you want the clerk to return a file-stamped copy of your brief, you must file the original form and 2 copies and include a self-addressed, stamped envelope. Documents cannot be faxed or emailed to the Supreme Court Clerk's Office.

Copies of the brief must be mailed or delivered to the other parties to this appeal or to the parties' attorneys, if they have attorneys. You must also include a proper certificate of service or complete the certificate that is attached to the informal brief form.

<u>CAUTION</u>: Pro se parties are prohibited from representing other parties. A pro se party may not complete a brief on behalf of other parties. Pro se parties may collaborate on their briefs, however, provided that if one brief is submitted on behalf of multiple pro se parties, each party must sign and date the brief to confirm that he or she has participated in the preparation of the brief and, by his or her signature, joins in the arguments and representations contained therein.

Judgment or Order You Are Appealing. List the judgment or order that you are appealing from and the date that the judgment or order was filed in the district court.

Filed Date	Name of Judgment or Order
	(J.O.C) Judgement of Conviction

Notice of Appeal. Give the date you filed your notice of appeal in the district court: April 15, 2019

Related Cases. List all other court cases related to this case. Provide the case number, title of the case and name of the court where the case was filed.

Case No.	Case Title	Name of Court
C-13-291159-1	Murder W/USE of Deadly Weapon	Distict Court
		:

Pro Bono Counsel. Would you be interested in having pro bono counsel assigned to represent you in this appeal?

Yes		No
-----	--	----

NOTE: If the court determines that your case may be appropriate for having pro bono counsel assigned, an appropriate order will be entered. Assignment of pro bono counsel is not automatic.

Statement of Facts. Explain the facts of your case. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)

From the beginning myself (Appellant) was denied my right(s) by Detectives and Crime Scene Analysist Experts by their failure to perform appropriate investigative duties as promised to (AII) United States Citizens under the U.S. Constitution. Detectives and Crime Scene Analysist Experts failed to perform forensic testing on shell casings located on the South of another

another (10) spent cartridge casings, Detectives failed to properly perform forensic testing based solely on what witnesses described heaving This violates Appellants right (s) to due process under the Constitutions 14th Amendment. Furthermore, had the proper investigative duties been performed the testing of, locate and/or processing of evidence would have or could have exonarated Appellant from charged crimes). Appellants retained seasoned Covneel failed to make reasonable decision that would have made using private investigator unnecessary this failure by retained seasoned Counsel prejudiced Appellant's right(s) under the Constitutions 14th Amendment. Seasoned netained Counsel intentionally withheld favorable material information from Appellant which deried Appellant effective assistance of Course/ this evidence Could have exonerated Appellant even after Appellats NUMEROUS request for (ALL) records/Court Case documents. Retained Coursels failure to use private investigator of his office violated Appellants due process rights had seasoned Counsel done so private investigator would have found unjielding reasonable doubt such as; inconsistance statements regarding identification, no physical evidence linking Appellant, no weapon, 2) different calibor of shell casings located on Crime Scene, A failure by the Cas Vegas Metro

politan police de sartments Detectives and
Crime Scene Analysist texperts to collect, pro-
cess Mitigating evidence which would have
suggested someone else Committed the Crime
of Murder W/ use of a Seally weapon and
Could have exonarated Appellant from such
Criminal Activity, this failure by Detectives
and Crime Scene and Analysist to thoroug-
hly process Crime Scene andfor evidence
deprived Appellant a Constitutional right
and as a result prejudiced Appellant
Statement of District Court Error. Explain why you believe the district
court was wrong. Also state what action you want the Nevada Supreme Court
to take. (Your answer must be provided in the space allowed.)
The District Court erred when they failed to
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Coity
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Coity
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Coity Plea Motion as post-Conviction; Appellant was Well with in the lar deadline which wo
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Coity Plea Motion as post-Conviction; Appellant was Well with in the lar deadline which wo
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Coity Plea Motion as post-Conviction; Appellant was Well with in the lar deadline which wo
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Courty Plea Motion as post-Conviction; Appellant was Well with-in the lar deadline which wo- uld not time box Appellant from Seek- ing post-Conviction relief see: Charles B. Harris V State of Nevada 329 P.3d 619;
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Coity
The District Court erred when they failed to Construe Appellants Motion to Withdraw Courty Plea Motion as post-Conviction; Appellant was Well with-in the lar deadline which wo- uld not time box Appellant from Seek- ing post-Conviction relief see: Charles B. Harris V State of Nevada 329 P.3d 619;

Appellant's seasoned Counsel failed to use private investigator to make reasonable lines of defense, or reasonable determination(s) that would have made this investigation not necessary. see Fishery Chibson, 282 F.3d 1283 (2002). Instead Frial Counsel based Appellants defense soled on the prosecutions rethis reason trial Course was ineffective during a serious Criminal proceedings for his failure to have a strategy of pointing out holes in the evidence. Trial Cooksels representation of Appellant was unreasonable under professional norms therefore prejudice ensued. Had trial Couneel not made such inprofessional errors th result would have undoubtly different deficienties in the performance of Appellanto trial coursels) undermined confidence in the ostcome of Appellants as well ighout Applants proceedings. Appellants Coursel never consults or consulted Appellant regarding strategies) for defense even after numerous attempts by Appell as well as family(s) attempts to contact trial Course! Trial Course failed to disgose with Appellant and present and defense of or on behalf of Appellant. Appellant's Counsel was ineffective for failing to disclose ev-Nave Competerye idence that could

ant by (one) not investigating into a trequesting to have delicione do forensic Decause this was awar (nunsu (a P EN VESE evidence McKenzie, 768 F. 20602, Had Coursel done (and / investigations) on he would have titutionally insu viction Course would have also found eve was no evidence to support a crimina

tion. This level of ineffectiveness are the result of incompetent assistance and fall below reasonable professional judgement. Counsel failed to investigate exemitness after misigodatification of according electron after misigodatification of according defendant in faoto-line of the first fact prejudiced Appellant see Anderson V. Johnson, 338 F.3d 382 (CA 5 2003) The failure by Detectives and Crime Scene Analysist Experts to locate and/or test forensic evidence) prejudiced Appellant (under Bracky Rule) Appellant request a Sentence Modefication at the very least this evidence velates to facual quitt and/or innocents. These elements and/or facts violated Appellants rights under the 14th Amendment to due process.

DATED this 11 day of processing, 2019.

Signature of Appellant

Trank Hearing
Print Name of Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date indicated below, I served a copy of this
completed informal brief form upon all parties to the appeal as follows:
☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or
By mailing it by first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to
the following address(es) (list names and address(es) of parties served):
Supreme Court OF Nevada
Supreme Court OF Nevada OFFICE OF the Clerk
2015. Carson Street, Suite 201
Carson City, NV 89701
DATED this 1 day of June, 2019.
1 Later and the second
Signature of Appellant
Frank Hearing
Print Name of Appellant
CarsonCty, NV
Address
Carson City/NV 8970Z City/State/Zip
Telephone