



June 23, 2022

Our Nevada Judges
(775) 391-9139
admin@ournevadajudges.com

To: Clerk of the Court
201 South Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701
(775) 684-1600

FILED
JUN 27 2022
ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

Re: Follow up on ADKT 0581

On June 19, 2021, I filed comment expressing concerns that certain judges and lawyers were confused as to the applicability and necessity of a news reporter requesting permission consistent with SCR 230(1) on the issue of providing electronic coverage of a virtual hearing. I would like to bring to this Court's attention actual examples at this juncture. First, Chief Judge Michael Gibbons, entered an order in docket no. 82444 on February 18, 2022, expressing that no SCR 230(1) request was required, except to deploy a camera in-person. Later, on June 9, 2022, off record and prior to conducting a hearing in A-21-831880-B, District Court Judge Joanna Kishner noted the presence of Our Nevada Judges and ordered that no recording of the virtual hearing could occur because no SCR 230(1) request had been filed¹.

I believe it would be helpful to clarify this issue through the rules. Ultimately, it is the public that suffers from the interruption of coverage, and it continues to be the objective of the Our Nevada Judges organization to educate and inform.

Sincerely,

Alexander M. Falconi
Our Nevada Judges
Administrator

¹ Erring on the side of caution, I continued to instruct the filing of media requests to prevent an incident like this from occurring. In this instance, the proceedings are coordinated across 10 different docket numbers. The 9 other District Court Judges granted the media request, but District Court Judge Joanna Kishner noted no such request was filed in her case specifically. The public's interest in a case involving the sale of tainted water remains high.

22-20161