IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

ANDREW YOUNG,	No. 84412 Electronically Filed Apr 07 2022 01:09 p.m
Appellant,	DOCKETING STEMEABENTA. Brown
vs.	CRIMINAL APPEIXING Supreme Cour
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)
Respondent.	Tumigo and omor requests for post constant remer,

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

1. Judicial District Eighth	County Clark
Judge The Honorable Jacqueline M. Bluth	District Ct. Case No. C-20-350623
2. If the defendant was given a sentence,	
(a) what is the sentence?	
COUNT 23 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON SENTENCED under the LARGE HABITUAL CRIMINAL STAY YEARS.	N RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM (F). Defendant TUTE to LIFE with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TEN (10)
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending ap	peal?
No	
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pending a No	appeal?
3. Was counsel in the district court appointed	▼ or retained □?
4. Attorney filling this docketing stateme	nt:
Attorney Jason R. Margolis	Telephone (702) 385-9777
Firm Yampolsky & Margolis	
Address: 625 S. 6th Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
Client(s) Andrew Young	
5. Is appellate counsel appointed 🗵 or retain	ed □?
70.3. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10 -1 11 4 4 d 4 h - manner and

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

6. Attorney(s) representing responder	nt(s):
Attorney Office of the District Attorney	Telephone (702) 671-2500
Firm	
Address: 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 8915	5
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address:	
Client(s)	
	sel on separate sheet if necessary)
7. Nature of disposition below:	
☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ Parole/probation revocation ☐ Motion for new trial ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea ☐ grant ☐ denial	☐ Grant of pretrial habeas ☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence ☐ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34) ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Other disposition (specify):
8. Does this appeal raise issues concer	ning any of the following:
death sentence	juvenile offender
⊠ life sentence	pretrial proceedings
9. Expedited appeals: The court may deci Are you in favor of proceeding in such man	de to expedite the appellate process in this matter. ner?
Γ Yes	

10. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):			
Andrew Young v. The State of Nevada, Docket No. 83242			
11. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):			
N/A			
12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:			
See attached.			

1. That the evidence was insufficient to convict Mr. Young of battery with a deadly weapon resulting in substantial bodily harm beyond a reasonable doubt.
2. Following the granting of Mr. Young's Motion to Sever the theft charges from the battery and attempt murder charges, Judge Bluth committed reversible error in granting the State's Res Gestae Motion causing insurmountable unfair prejudice to Mr. Young.
14. Constitutional issues: If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130? ☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If not, explain:

set forth whether the rather Court of Appeals ut the matter falls. If appearits presumptive assign	matter is presunder NRAP 17 pellant believes ment to the Covarrant retaini	ppeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly amptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to 7, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which is that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite ourt of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or ling the case, and include an explanation of their
Appellant faces a potential life	sentence.	
		of public interest. Does this appeal present a ssion in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important
First impression:	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Public interest:	┌ Yes	⊠ No
17. Length of trial. court, how many days	If this action po did the trial o	roceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district r evidentiary hearing last?
3 days		
18. Oral argument. oral argument?	Would you obj	ect to submission of this appeal for disposition without
⊠ Yes □	No	

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

19. Date district court announced decision, sen	tence or order appealed from 2/27/2022
20. Date of entry of written judgment or order	appealed from 3/8/2022
(a) If no written judgment or order was file seeking appellate review:	d in the district court, explain the basis for
21. If this appeal is from an order granting or d indicate the date written notice of entry of judg	
(a) Was service by delivery [or by mail [-
22. If the time for filing the notice of appeal wa	s tolled by a post judgment motion,
(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date	of filing of the motion:
Arrest judgment N/A	Date filed
New trial (newly N/A discovered evidence)	Date filed
New trial (other grounds) N/A	Date filed
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving	motion N/A
23. Date notice of appeal filed 3/15/22	
24. Specify statute or rule governing the time 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.015(2)	
NRS 177.015(2)	

SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

25. Specify statute, rule or o	ther authority that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:
NRS 177.015(1)(b) X	NRS 34.560
	NRS 34.575(1)
	NRS 34.560(2)
NRS 177.015(3)	Other (specify)
NRS 177.055	
	VERIFICATION tion provided in this docketing statement is true and y knowledge, information and belief.
Andrew Young	Jason R. Margolis
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
4/7/2022	Jusin Part
Date	Signature of counsel of record
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
I certify that on the	day of 20 22 , I served a copy of this completed
docketing statement upon a	l counsel of record:
By personally serving	git upon him/her; or
\boxtimes By mailing it by firs address(es):	class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following
Office of the District Attorney, 200 Le Office of the Attorney General, 555 E	is Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155; and Washington Avenue, Suite 3900, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Dated this 7th	day of April , 2022 . Signature (

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

On September 10, 2020, an Indictment was filed charging the Defendant with:

Count 1 - Battery with Use of a Deadly Weapon Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm; and

Count 2 - Attempt Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon.

On October 1, 2020, an Amended Superseding Indictment was filed charging the Defendant with:

Count 1 - Burglary

Count 2 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 3 - Grand Larceny;

Court 4 - Burglary;

Count 5 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 6 - Burglary;

Count 7 - Burglary;

Count 8 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 9 - Burglary:

Count 10 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 11 - Burglary;

Count 12 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 13 - Burglary;

Count 14 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 15 - Burglary:

Count 16 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 17 - Battery with use of a Deadly Weapon Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm;

Count 18 - Attempt Murder with use of a Deadly Weapon;

Count 19 - Burglary;

Count 20 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 21 - Burglary:

Count 22 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 23 - Burglary;

Count 24 - Burglary.

On March 29, 2021, another Amended Superseding Indictment was filed charging the Defendant with:

Count 1 - Burglary

Count 2 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 3 - Grand Larceny;

Court 4 - Burglary;

Count 5 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 6 - Burglary;

Count 7 - Burglary;

Count 8 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 9 - Burglary;

Count 10 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 11 - Burglary;

Count 12 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 13 - Burglary;

Count 14 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 15 - Burglary;

Count 16 - Larceny from the Person, Victim 60 Years of Age or Older;

Count 17 - Burglary;

Count 18 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 19 - Burglary;

Count 20 - Fraudulent use of Credit or Debit Card;

Count 21 - Burglary

Count 22 - Burglary

Count 23 - Battery with use of a Deadly Weapon Resulting in Substantial Bodily Harm; and

Count 24 - Attempt Murder with use of a Deadly Weapon.

On April 30, 3021, a jury found Appellant guilty of on Counts 1 through 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21 and 22. An appeal was filed under Docket No. 83242.

On February 10, 2022, a jury found appellant guilty on Count 23. This appeal followed.