Case No. 84739

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ADAM SULLIVAN, P.E., NEVADA STATE ENGINEER, et al.

Appellants,

VS.

LINCOLN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, et al.

Respondents.

Electronically Filed Jun 15 2022 07:42 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY'S REPLY TO LINCOLN VIDLER'S RESPONSE TO ITS EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY

Appellant, SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY ("SNWA") hereby files this Reply to Lincoln County Water District and Vidler Water Company, Inc.'s ("Lincoln Vidler") Response to its Emergency Motion for Stay Under NRAP 27(e) of the district court's Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting Petitions for Judicial Review ("District Court's Order" or "Order Vacating Order 1309") based on the following memorandum of points and authorities, and all pleadings and papers on file in case.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES¹

A stay of the district court's Order will maintain the 8,000 acre-feet annum ("afa") pumping cap established in Order 1309 which will protect senior water rights and the Moapa dace in the Lower White River Flow System ("LWRFS"). Without a stay of the district court's Order SNWA will face irreparable harm its senior water rights and the habitat of the endangered Moapa dace will be threatened. Lincoln Vidler will not face irreparable harm if this Court stays the District Court's Order because Lincoln Vidler cannot use its water right to the detriment of senior water right holders. This Court should maintain the status quo by staying the District Court's Order and allowing the State Engineer to use the 8,000 afa pumping cap to protect senior water rights and the Moapa dace.

I. <u>The District Court's Order Creates Significant Uncertainty Regarding</u> <u>The State Engineer's Existing Authority To Protect Senior Water Rights.</u>

The District Court's Order calls into question the State Engineer's authority to jointly administer groundwater basins and conjunctively manage groundwater and surface water. Without the authority of joint administration and conjunctive management the State Engineer cannot effectively protect senior water rights.

1

¹ SNWA incorporates the arguments made in its Replies to the Responses to its Motion for Stay filed by Coyote Springs Investments, LLC; Georgia-Pacific Gypsum, LLC, and Republic Environmental Technologies, Inc.; Apex Holding Company, LLC and Dry Lake Water, LLC's; and the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints.

Lincoln Vidler argues that SNWA failed to show that senior water rights and the Moapa dace cannot be protected by other tools that exist in Nevada law.² Lincoln Vidler ignores how the State Engineer's existing powers are impacted by the District Court's Order. The district court held that the State Engineer is not authorized "to combine basins for joint administration" or "to conjunctively manage . . . both groundwater and surface flows he believes are occurring in the LWRFS."3 Put simply, the district court does not believe the State Engineer has the statutory authority to consider the impacts of groundwater pumping in one basin on another basin or impacts of groundwater development on surface water resources. This holding greatly limits the State Engineer's ability to protect senior water rights. For example, Lincoln Vidler argues that the State Engineer could use his power to curtail groundwater pumping to protect senior water rights instead of relying on Order 1309.4 This argument fails because under the District Court's Order it is unclear to what extent the State Engineer can consider the impact of groundwater pumping on other basins or surface water. Therefore, the State Engineer's existing tools are insufficient to protect senior water rights because of the legal uncertainty created by the District Court's Order.

² Lincoln Vidler's Resp. to SNWA's Mot. for Stay at 3.

³ Appendix for SNWA's Motion for Stay ("APP MFS") at 215-16 (Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Granting Petitions for Judicial Review at 27-28).

⁴ Lincoln Vidler's Resp. to SNWA's Mot. for Stay at 4.

In a related issue, Lincoln Vidler argues that the State Engineer will be able to use his existing tools to protect senior water rights without conflicting with this Court's jurisdiction over Order 1309.⁵ This argument fails. Under Nevada law an administrative agency cannot interfere with a Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of an appeal.⁶ Lincoln Vidler fails to explain how the State Engineer can take actions to protect senior water rights without conflicting with this Court's jurisdiction. Any action the State Engineer would take using his existing tools to protect senior water rights would necessarily involve joint administration and conjunctive management because of the hydrological connectivity in the LWRFS.⁷ Therefore, the State Engineer cannot use his existing statutory tools to protect senior water rights without conflicting with this Court's jurisdiction over SNWA's appeal.

II. SNWA Will Suffer Irreparable Harm If A Stay Is Denied.

SNWA, as a senior water right holder, will suffer irreparable harm if this Court does not issue a stay of the District Court's Order. Lincoln Vidler argues that SNWA provided no evidence that its surface rights will be reduced if Order 1309 is

⁵ Lincoln Vidler's Resp. to SNWA's Mot. for Stay at 5.

⁶ Westside Charter Service, Inc. v. Grey Line Tours of Southern Nevada, 99 Nev. 456, 459 664 P.2d 351, 353 (1983)("The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of an appeal must be complete and not subject to [interference] . . . by concurrent action by the administrative body.").

⁷ APP MFS at 54 (Order 1309 at 54) (In Order 1309 the State Engineer found that the LWRFS shares "both a unique and close hydrologic connection and virtually all the same source and supply of water, and therefore will benefit from joint and conjunctive management.").

not stayed.⁸ Lincoln Vidler ignores the State Engineer's finding in Order 1309 that groundwater pumping that exceeds 8,000 afa in the LWRFS will threaten senior water right holders.⁹ The district court found no error with this conclusion. Furthermore, the threat of groundwater pumping above 8,000 afa is not speculative. Coyote Springs Investments, LLC has admitted that it plans to pump an additional 536 afa of groundwater pumping during the pendency of SNWA's appeal.¹⁰ Therefore, SNWA faces the imminent threat that its surface water rights will be reduced if this Court does not issue a stay of the District Court's Order.

Lincoln Vidler also argues that recent evidence suggests there is no immediate threat of irreparable harm to senior water rights and the Moapa dace.¹¹ While this Court is not directly reviewing factual and scientific decisions made by the State Engineer, when considering any factual or scientific issue as it relates to the Motion for Stay this Court should defer to the expertise of the State Engineer.¹² The State Engineer received numerous expert reports and relied on almost a decade's of water

_

⁸ Lincoln Vidler's Resp. to SNWA's Mot. for Stay at 6.

⁹ APP MFS at 63 (Order 1309 at 63).

¹⁰ APP MFS at 144 (Transcript from District Court Hearing on SNWA's Motion for Stay at 41:4-12).

¹¹ Lincoln Vidler's Resp. to SNWA's Mot. for Stay at 6-7.

¹² Revert v. Ray, 95 Nev. 782, 786, 603 P.2d 262, 264 (1979) (When reviewing a decision or order of the State Engineer, the court may not "pass upon the credibility of the witness nor reweigh the evidence."). The Legislature has specified that "[t]he decision of the State Engineer shall be prima facie correct, and the burden of proof shall be upon the party attacking the same." NRS 533.450(10); see also Revert, 95 Nev. at 786, 603 P.2d at 264.

level data to support his finding that groundwater pumping in the LWRFS that exceeds 8,000 afa will impact senior water right holders and the Moapa dace. Regardless of any new evidence this Court should defer to the State Engineer's expertise in evaluating scientific evidence regarding the threat of irreparable harm to senior water rights and the Moapa dace.

III. Respondents Have No Right To Use Their Water Right To The Detriment Of Senior Water Right Holders.

Respondents do not face irreparable harm by not being able to increase their groundwater pumping that will conflict with senior water right holders. Lincoln Vidler falsely claim they have suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable harm because the 8,000 afa cap prevents them from using their junior water rights. Lincoln Vidler has no right to use its water right if it conflicts with a senior water right. Therefore, Lincoln Vidler will not suffer irreparable harm if it is not permitted to use its water right if the District Court's Order is stayed.

IV. Conclusion

For the aforementioned reasons this Court should grant SNWA's Motion to Stay the District Court's Order.

¹³ Lincoln Vidler Resp. to SNWA's Mot. for Stay at 9.

¹⁴ See NRS 533.085, NRS 534.110(5), NRS 533.430(1) ("[e]very permit to appropriate water, and every certificate of appropriation granted under any permit by the State Engineer upon any stream or stream system under the provisions of NRS 533.087 to 533.235, inclusive, shall be, and the same is hereby declared to be, *subject to existing rights*...) (emphasis added).

AFFIRMATION

The undersigned hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of June 2022.

TAGGART & TAGGART, LTD. 108 North Minnesota Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 882-9900 – Telephone (775) 883-9900 – Facsimile

By: <u>/s/ Paul Taggart</u>
PAUL G. TAGGART, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 6136
THOMAS P. DUENSING, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 15213

STEVEN C. ANDERSON Nevada State Bar No. 11901 SOUTHERN NEVADA WATER AUTHORITY 1001 S. Valley View Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89153 Sc.anderson@lvvwd.com

Attorneys for SNWA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP 25(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of TAGGART & TAGGART, LTD., and that on this day, I served, or caused to be served, a true and correct copy of this Motion by electronic service to:

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL JAMES N. BOLOTIN #13829 LAENA ST-JULES #15156C 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

Email: jbolotin@ag.nv.gov Email: lstjules@ag.nv.gov

Attorneys for Nevada State Engineer

ROBISON, SHARP, SULLIVAN & BRUST KENT R. ROBISON #1167 71 Washington Street Reno, Nevada 89593

Email: <u>krobison@rssblaw.com</u> Email: tshanks@rssblaw.com

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

BRADLEY J. HERREMA #10368 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Email: bherrema@bhfs.com

WILLIAM L. COULTHARD #3927 COULTHARD LAW 840 South Ranch Drive, #4-627 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Email: wlc@coulthardlaw.com

EMILIA K. CARGILL #6493 3100 State Route 168 P.O. Box 37010 Coyote Springs, Nevada 89037

Email: emilia.cargill@coyotesprings.com

Attorneys for Coyote Springs Investment, LLC

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING CHRISTIAN T. BALDUCCI #12688

10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Email: cbalducci@maclaw.com Email: kwilde@maclaw.com

Attorneys for Apex Holding Company, LLC and Dry Lake Water, LLC

SCOTT LAKE

Center for Biological Diversity P.O. Box 6205 Reno, Nevada 89513 (802) 299-7495

Email: slake@biologicaldiversity.org

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

LISA T. BELENKY (Pro Hac Vice to be submitted) Center for Biological Diversity 1212 Broadway, Suite 800 Oakland, California 94612 Email: lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org

Attorneys for Center for Biological Diversity

DYER LAWRENCE, LLP FRANCIS C. FLAHERTY 2805 Mountain Street Carson City, Nevada 89703 (775) 885-1896

Email: fflaherty@dyerlawrence.com

Attorneys for Nevada Cogeneration Associates Nos. 1 and 2

KAEMPFER CROWELL SEVERIN A. CARLSON #9373 SIHOMARA L. GRAVES #13239 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 700

Reno, Nevada 89501

Email: scarlson@kcnvlaw.com
Email: sgraves@kcnvlaw.com

Attorneys for The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

DOTSON LAW ROBERT A. DOTSON #5285 JUSTIN C. VANCE #11306 5355 Reno Corporate Drive, Suite 100

Reno, Nevada 89511

Email: rdotson@dotsonlaw.legal Email: jvance@dotsonlaw.legal

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

STEVEN D. KING #4304

227 River Road

Dayton, Nevada 9403

Email: kingmont@charter.net

Attorneys for Muddy Valley Irrigation Company

McDONALD CARANO LLP SYLVIA HARRISON #4106 LUCAS FOLETTA #12154 SARAH FERGUSON #14515 100 W. Liberty Street, Suite 1000

Reno, Nevada 89501

Email: sharrison@mcdonaldcarano.com
Email: sferguson@mcdonaldcarano.com

Attorneys for Georgia-Pacific Gypsum, LLC and Republic Environmental Technologies,

Inc.

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER GREGORY H. MORRISON #12454 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750 Reno, Nevada 89501

 $Email: \underline{gmorrison@parsonsbehle.com}$

Attorneys for Moapa Valley Water District

NEVADA ENERGY JUSTINA A. CAVIGLIA #9999 MICHAEL D. KNOX #8143 6100 Neil Road

Reno, Nevada 89511

Email: justina.caviglia@nvenergy.com

Email: mknox@nvenergy.com

Attorneys for Nevada Power Company dba NV Energy

SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.

THERESE A. URE STIX #10255

LAURA A. SCHROEDER #3595

10615 Double R Blvd., Suite 100

Reno, Nevada 89521

Email: <u>t.ure@water-law.com</u>

Email: schroeder@water-law.com

Attorneys for City of North Las Vegas, Western Elite Environmental, Inc. and Bedroc

Limited, LLC

LINCOLN COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

DYLAN V. FREHNER #9020

181 North Main Street, Suite 205

P.O. Box 60

Pioche, Nevada 89043

Email: <u>dfrehner@lincolncountynv.gov</u>

IN ASSOCIATION WITH:

WAYNE O. KLOMP #10109 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 510 Reno, Nevada 89501 Email: wklomp@swlaw.com Attorneys for Lincoln County Water District

ALLISON MacKENZIE, LTD.
KAREN A. PETERSON #366
402 North Division Street
Carson City, Nevada 89703
Email: kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com
Attorneys for Vidler Water Company, Inc.

DATED this 15th day of June, 2022.

<u>/s/ Thomas P. Duensing</u>
Employee of TAGGART & TAGGART, LTD.

APPENDIX INDEX

<u>Exhibit</u>	Description	Bate Stamp
1.	Order 1309	APP MFS 1-68
2.	Interim Order 1303	APP MFS 69-87
3.	CSI's Opposition to LVVWD & SNWA's	APP MFS 68-103
	Motion for Stay Pending Appeal	
4.	Transcript of Hearing regarding LVVWD &	APP MFS 104-188
	SNWA's Motion for Stay Pending Appeal	
5.	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and	APP MFS 189-228
	Order Granting Petitions for Judicial Review	
6.	Addendum and Clarification to Court's	APP MFS 229-234
	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and	
	Order Granting Petitions for Judicial Review	
7.	Court Minutes from May 16 th , 2022	APP MFS 235-236
8.	SNWA & LVVWD Assessment of the Moapa	APP MFS 237-239
	Dace and other Groundwater-Dependent	
	Special Status Species in the Lower White River	
	Flow System	
9.	APP MFS 240-314 Intentionally Omitted	APP MFS 240-314
10.	Amended Notice of Hearing August 26 th , 2019	APP MFS 315-332
11.	Prehearing Conference on August 8 th , 2019	APP MFS 333-366
12.	CSI's Stipulation to SNWA's Intervention	APP MFS 367-383
13.	SNWA's Motion to Intervene	APP MFS 384-401