IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANDREW YOUNG,)	NO.	83243	
Appellant,)			Electronically Filed Mar 08 2022 08:37 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
VS.	ý			Clerk of Supreme Court
THE STATE OF NEVADA,)			
Respondent.)			
	Ś			

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME IV – PAGES 611-765

NANCY L. LEMCKE

Nancy Lemcke Law, LLC.

10161 Park Run Dr., Ste. 150

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

(702) 902-6691

STEVEN B. WOLFSON

CLARK COUNTY DIST. ATTY.

200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

(702) 671-2700

Attorney for Appellant

AARON D. FORD

Attorney General

100 North Carson Street

Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717

(775) 684-1265

Counsel for Respondent

2

INDEX (ANDREW YOUNG)

Case No: 83243

3	Case No: 83243	
4	$\frac{\mathbf{P}^{2}}{2}$	AGE NO.
5	Amended Jury List filed 04/30/213	73-374
6	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment As A Habitual Criminal filed 03/10/212	54-257
7	Amended Superseding Indictment filed0	76-084
8 9	Amended Superseding Indictment filed3	04-310
10	District Court Minutes from 09/10/20 - 10/14/215	27-569
11	Indictment filed 09/10/200	01-003
12	Indictment Warrant filed 09/11/200	04-006
13	Indictment Warrant Return filed 09/14/200	07-009
14	Instructions to the Jury filed 04/30/213	81-416
16	Judgment of Conviction filed 06/29/215	19-524
17	Jury List filed 04/27/213	68-369
18	Motion to Sever Counts filed 02/28/212	42-253
19	Notice of Appeal filed 07/15/215	25-526
20	Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment As A Habitual Criminal, filed 02/22/212	34-236
22		
23	Notice of Intent to Claim Alibi Filed 02/26/212	40-241
24	Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing filed 01/29/212	32-233
25	Notice of Witnesses filed 04/29/213	71-372
26	Opposition to the State's Motion in Limine To	
27	Admit Evidence Under Res Gestae Or Evidence Related to Other Crimes filed 04/08/213	37-355
28	Related to Other Crimes liled 04/08/21	5, 555

1 2	Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Sever Counts Filed 04/06/21333-336
3 4	Order Granting State's Motion to Admit Certain Evidence Under the Doctrine of Res Gestae, or in the Alternative State's Motion to Admit Evidence Related to Other Crimes
5	Filed 04/23/21356-359
6	Order to Show Cause Re: Contempt filed 04/29/21370
7	Second Superseding Indictment filed 04/26/21360-365
8	State's Proposed Voir Dire Questions to be Asked To be the Court filed 04/26/21
10	State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine To Admit Certain Evidence Under the Doctrine of Res
11	Gestae, Or in the Alternative State's Motion To Admit Evidence Related to Other Crimes filed 03/29/21311-332
13	State's Notice of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses Filed 02/22/21 237-239
14 15	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Sever Filed 03/11/21258-303
16	State's Sentencing Memorandum filed 05/25/21417-518
17 18	State's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses, filed 04/19/21350-357
19	Superseding Indictment filed 10/01/20
20 21	Superseding Indictment Warrant filed 10/01/20085-091
22	Verdict filed 04/30/21375-380
23	
24	TRANSCRIPTS
25	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing
26 27	Calendar Call-Bifurcated Counts 17, 18 Date of Hrg: 07/12/211348-1353
28	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Grand Jury Indictment Date of Hrg: 09/10/20570-573

1	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing: Order to Show Cause as to Juror
2	Date of Hrg: 05/19/21 1319-1322
3	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing
4	Superseding Grand Jury Indictment Date of Hrg: 10/01/20 581-584
5	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re:
7	Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 09/23/20577-580
8	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Calendar Call
9	Date of Hrg: 11/18/20 593-597
10	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re:
11	Indictment Warrant Return; Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 09/16/20574-576
12	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re:
14	Indictment Warrant Return; Initial Arraignment Superseding Indictment
15	Date of Hrg: 10/07/20 585-589
16	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Pretrial Conference
17	Date of Hrg: 10/28/20 590-592
18	Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re:
19	Status Check: Trial Setting Date of Hrg: 12/14/20 598-600
20	Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings
21	Date of Hrg: 09/09/20
22	Recorder's Transcript Re: Central Calendar Call
23	Date of Hrg: 03/03/21
24	Recorder's Transcript Re:
2526	Central Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 04/14/21607-610
27	Recorder's Transcript Re:
28	Central Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 03/17/21

1 2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Superseding Indictment
	Date of Hrg: 09/30/20092-231
3	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Sentencing
4	Date of Hrg: 06/16/211323-1347
5	
6	Jury Trial Transcripts:
7	Transcript of Proceedings Re:
8	Jury Trial - Day 1 - April 27, 2021
9	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 2 - April 28, 2021
10	
11	Transcript of Proceedings Re: Jury Trial - Day 3 - April 29, 2021984-1249
12	Transcript of Proceedings Re:
13	Jury Trial - Day 4 - April 30, 20211250-1318
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 9/3/2021 11:59 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **TRAN** 2 **DISTRICT COURT** 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 STATE OF NEVADA. 6 Plaintiff(s), Case No. C-20-350623-1 7 VS. Department VI 8 ANDREW YOUNG, 9 Defendant(s). 10 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 13 TUESDAY, APRIL 27, 2021 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE: 16 **JURY TRIAL - DAY 1 OF 4** 17 18 **APPEARANCES:** 19 For the State: DAVID STANTON, ESQ. 20 Chief Deputy District Attorney PARKER P. BROOKS, ESQ. 21 **Deputy District Attorney** 22 For the Defendant(s); DAVID R. FISCHER, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: DE'AWNA TAKAS, COURT RECORDER

23

24

25

Shawna Ortega • CET-562 • Certified Electronic Transcriber • 602.412.7667

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 27, 2021

[Proceeding commenced at 9:40 a.m.]

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. My name is Jacqueline Bluth, I'm the sitting judge in Department 6. Before we get started with formal introductions, I just wanted to give you a little bit of background information in regards to where we are right now in the proceeding, okay? So --

[Audio cut out.]

THE COURT: Okay. There we go.

One thing you'll recognize is these microphones go out of battery very, very quickly, so we're constantly, constantly changing out the batteries. So be patient us.

But anyways, what I was saying is, is normally, before COVID, we would do this jury selection process up in my courtroom. But because of COVID, we're now in a jury services room because we can keep you guys six feet apart. And so I just wanted to talk to you briefly about the COVID situation and what we're doing in the courts to make sure you're safe.

So the first thing is, is a lot of people think that the courts closed down when we went through the first shutdown and then the second shutdown. Actually, no, the courts have been open each and every day. What did shut down for a period of time were jury trials.

Now, during that time period, there were seven of us that met -- seven judges that met on a weekly basis, studying the national trends and meeting with local healthcare partners to make sure, once we did open back up, that we could do so safely. So you'll recognize that in this room, each of you will always be six feet apart. We'll always keep our masks on.

And then, additionally, if you look around the room, you'll see I think there are six of these black boxes. These black boxes are called Synexis DHP technology. They allow for the continuous use of dry hydrogen peroxide to reduce the levels of harmful bacteria and viruses, along with fungi and old in occupied spaces.

We also have hand sanitizer in multiple areas in the room, so if you ever need that on your way out, on your way in, we have that available, as well.

We worked with Clark County property management to ensure that we have enhanced the air quality within the building and air disinfection within the courthouse.

Once we are done selecting the jury in here, we will then move into what's referred to as our COVID courtroom. So the County worked with the Southern Nevada Health District as well as the University Medical Center in developing two courtrooms that we refer to as our COVID courtrooms. In those rooms, again, everyone is six feet apart. In any area where we could not do six feet apart, we put in this plexiglas. So, actually, in the jury box, you do have enough space for those of you to be six feet apart.

24

25

Between the attorneys, the judge, and the staff, we have the plexiglas, because we could not fit six feet between any of us.

We also have specific protocols with -- and a contract with University Medical Center, as well as the Southern Nevada Health District. If any issues come up, any health issues, we have them on call through that contract. Okay. So I just wanted to make sure that you guys feel safe within this environment right now. This is my department's ninth trial that we've done during COVID, so definitely not our first rodeo. And any bumps in the road, we're happy to work with you and handle. So I just wanted to make sure that everybody understood this is not something we take lightly. We have continuously met, studied the national trends and make sure that we are in compliance with local and national health standards. Okay?

All right. So now I am going to do some formal introductions. Like I stated, my name is Jacqueline Bluth. I am the sitting judge of the Eighth Judicial District Court in Department 6. This is the time and -- set for trial in a criminal matter. This is State of Nevada versus Andrew Young, C-350623.

The record will reflect the presence of the defendant with his counsel, Mr. Fischer, the deputy district attorneys and all other officers of the court.

Do both parties stipulate to the presence of the jury venire panel?

MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor

22

23

24

25

MR. FISCHER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

So ladies and gentlemen, you have been served to -- you have been called to serve as a juror in this case. The charges in this case are -- may I have the superseding indictment, please, so I can read off the entirety? Thank you.

Burglary, larceny from the person, victim over 60 years of age, grand larceny, and fraudulent use of credit or debit card.

Our trials generally run from around 9:00 in the morning till a little bit before 5:00 at night. Ultimately, 14 of you will be going forward with us as jurors and alternate jurors in this case. In this country, we place great faith in our citizens as jurors to reach fair and objective decisions. Part of what you're doing here is you are being good citizens of our country and community.

Jury duty is a civic responsibility, like obeying laws, voting, and paying taxes. We appreciate the fact that you responded to the jury summons and showed up willing to do your job. I really do believe and understand that it is important what you are doing here. I hope that you enjoy your experience as a juror and find it rewarding.

Even with all of that, I do understand that being here is often difficult and hard for each and every one of you. I was -- I received a jury summons and actually sat as a juror in a multi-week civil trial, so I do understand what you're going through, I understand that you have lives and children and jobs. So I

appreciate you being here.

Though I -- like I said, I understand that you're frustrated, but I -- one thing that's really important to me, I'd ask you to think about, you know, if you were involved in this case in any way, you would want 14 people to take it seriously, to be able to evaluate the evidence, and do the best job that they could as jurors. So I wish -- or I -- my hope is that you'll keep that in mind throughout this process.

Another thing to think of is if you find an excuse and you try to get out of this jury, that doesn't mean you're out of jury duty. It just means you go into a pool. This case is really supposed to last about a week. There are some -- I just picked a civil jury trial and finished a civil jury trial that was over a month long, so a week is actually very, very short compared to some of the other trials that are out there being selected. Okay?

Let me take this opportunity to introduce the court staff. You've already met my marshal, this is Marshal O'Kelly. His job is to maintain order and security in the courtroom. He's also my representative to the jury. Anything you need or any problems that come up for you during the course of the trial should be brought to his attention. However, please keep in mind that the marshal cannot talk to you about the case or answer any of your questions about the case.

To my left over this pony wall is my court recorder. Her name is De'Awna Takas. She sees that everything that is said

during the trial is recorded so there's an accurate legal record of everything we say and do during the trial. You will also notice, once we get into the courtrooms, that there are cameras. Please know as jurors that jurors are never on camera at all.

On my immediate right is my court clerk, Kristin Brown. She swears in witnesses, marks exhibits, keeps track of evidence, and prepares minutes of the proceedings for the court record.

You may also see my judicial executive assistance Crystal Jacobs and my law clerks and interns coming in and out of the courtroom. They make sure everything is running behind the scenes smoothly while we're in trial.

Now I'm going to give the State the opportunity to introduce themselves to you and read a list of witnesses that they may call, and give you a short synopsis of their case. Please pay attention to the list of names that the district attorneys call as prospective witnesses, because I will in a moment ask you if you recognize or know any of those names.

Mr. Stanton, Mr. Brooks.

MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. My name is David Stanton. To my left, your right, is Parker Brooks. We are both prosecutors in the Clark County District Attorneys Office, and we'll be presenting the case to you entitled State versus Andrew Young.

In a moment I'm going to be reading a list of names. It also involves a list of businesses and a timeframe. As the judge

indicated, if at any time you believe you are familiar with any of the events or potentially knowledgeable about the events, or know any person that's listed as a witness, the judge is going to ask you that question. And then if you could just go back to your memory and raise your hand, as the judge indicates, then there can be a discussion about what you know and what witnesses you may know in this case.

This case, as the judge indicated, is a criminal case.

During the months of June through August of last year, 2020, the

State has alleged 22 different counts against the defendant Andrew

Young. Those counts involving the crimes of burglary, larceny

from the person with a victim over the age of 60, grand larceny, and

fraudulent use of a credit card.

The witnesses, and I'm going to read the list of names here in just a second, they're not all going to be called, so don't worry about the number of people I call, just whether or not you have any familiarity with either the business establishments or any witnesses.

The State alleges that the defendant went into numerous commercial establishments, targeted various victims all over the age of 60, stole either individually or with another person not involved in this case, stole their wallets, and then used the debit and credit cards in other commercial establishments after those thefts.

The list of witnesses involve Mark Andres, Barbara

20 21

22

23

24 25 Angersbach, Montho Boone, Barbara Bowen, Trent Byrd, Rolando Calvillo, Mary Campo, March Canon, Dominick Cipriano.

And then I'm going to read a list of businesses that involve potential witnesses, sometimes what we refer to as custodian of records, people who are managers, people who are familiar with the business practices: 7-Eleven, Albertson's, Bank of America, Caesar's Palace Hotel and Casino, Circle K, Cosmopolitan Hotel and Casino, Flamingo Hotel and Casino, GameStop, Paris Hotel and Casino, Planet Hollywood, Rampart Hotel, Shell Gas Station, SunCoast, Walgreen's, and two Walmarts, one on Boulder Highway in the 5000 block, and in the 2000 block on East Serene.

Markee Daniel, Daniel Deras, Eric Drury, Vianca Eskildsen, Joanne Frank, David Gomez, Ethan Grimes, Rhonda Hatcher, Jeremy Jacobitz, Brand Janecek, Jack Jesse, Tina Leigh, Sandeep Liske, Joyce Malone, Marcia Martinez, James McGuire, Serry -that's spelled S-E-R-R-Y -- Mello, Brian Mildebrandt, Janelle Phung, William Roed, Elizabeth Vargas, Jerry Wheeler, and Robert Will.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Stanton.

Counsel now for the defendant will introduce themselves, their list, and give you any list of witnesses that they may call, as well.

Thank you, Mr. Fischer.

[Audio cut out.]

MR. FISCHER: Can you hear me now? Okay.

THE COURT: There we go.

MR. FISCHER: I'm just used to my --

[Audio cut out.]

MR. FISCHER: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Now you can hear me. Okay. I am David Fischer on behalf of Mr. Andrew Young, seated to my right. Thank you very much for your time. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Fischer.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I am now going to call roll of the prospective jurors. When your name is called, please answer Present or Here.

[Prospective jury roll called.]

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone whose names I didn't -- whose name I did not call? All right. Showing no response for the record. Thank you very much.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're about to begin the jury selection process. This is the part of the case where the parties and their lawyers have the opportunity to get to know a little bit about you in order to help them come to their own conclusions about your ability to be fair and impartial, so they can decide who they think should be the jurors in this case. This process is done under oath. Will you please stand and raise your right hand so the clerk can administer that oath.

[Prospective jury panel sworn.]

THE COURT: All right. So let me tell you a little bit about the process. First, I'm going to ask some general questions while

you are all seated here. These questions will be directed to everyone in the entire room. After those general questions. The focus of the questions will then turn to the first 24 of you. And I will ask individual questions of those of you seated in those seats, and the attorneys will ask you follow-up questions.

The questions that you will be asked during this process are not intended to embarrass you or unnecessarily pry into your personal affairs, but it is important that the parties and their attorneys know enough about you to make this important decision. There are no right or wrong answers to the questions that will be asked of you. The only thing I ask is that you answer the questions as honestly and completely as you can.

You have taken an oath to answer all the questions truthfully, and you must do so. Remaining silent when you have information you should disclose is a violation of that oath as well. If a juror violates this oath, it not only may result in having to try the case all over again, but it can also result in penalties against the juror personally. So, again, it is very important that you be as honest and complete with your answers as possible. If you don't understand the question, please ask for an explanation or clarification.

At some point during this proces of selecting a jury, the attorneys for both sides will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. That is called a challenge. There are two types of challenges. The first type of challenge is a challenge

for cause. A challenge for cause is a request to excuse a juror because the juror might have a difficult time being fair and impartial in this particular case. The second type of challenge is a peremptory challenge. A peremptory challenge means that a juror can be excused from duty without counsel having to give a reason for the excusal. In this case, each side will have peremptory challenges.

Please do not be offended should you be excused by either of the challenging procedures. They are simply a part of the procedures designed to assist the parties and their attorneys to select a fair and impartial juror.

Once all challenges are exercised, we will have 14 qualified jurors. Two of those 14 will be designated as alternates. The 12 remaining jurors will deliberate in the case. However, you will not know which of the 14 of you are the alternates, so please make sure you're paying attention.

I am now going to ask some questions of the entire group of the room. If you wish to respond to a question, please raise your right hand, and when I get to you, give your name and the last three digits of your badge number. All right.

Number one, is there anyone who has a disability or medical issue that might impact their ability to serve as a juror? A disability or medical issue that might impact their ability to serve as a juror? Okay. I have a few hands.

Name and badge number, please, ma'am.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Brinsley Wachlin, and the
2	badge number is 049.
3	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I have a surgery
5	scheduled for Monday.
6	THE COURT: And what type of surgery?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: It's to remove some
8	teeth, causing my pain in my mouth.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
10	And then I had someone over in Seat 48, Marshal O'Kelly.
11	And that would be Simone Peel, Badge Number 267,
12	when you get there.
13	Yes, Ms. Peel?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 267: Yes. I'm currently 32
15	weeks pregnant. I am uncomfortable just sitting here. But I wanted
16	to do my hospital tours and start getting prepared to have the baby.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	Anyone else?
19	Ma'am, can you raise your oh, we have to
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: Sorry.
21	THE COURT: Oh, I didn't see you in the back. I'm sorry.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: I'm behind.
23	Julianne Haberman, 236. It's not really a problem for me,
24	but I do have epilepsy, and I don't know if that would be a problem
25	if it happened during the trial.
	I and

1	THE COURT: And how often does it happen, ma'am?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: About once a month.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And are you on medication for that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: Yes.
5	THE COURT: All right. And does the medication
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: It doesn't help.
7	THE COURT: It doesn't help?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: No.
9	THE COURT: Does it distract you or prevent you in any
10	way from staying awake, staying alert?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: No, but I just I don't
12	know when it's going to happen is the problem. And I don't want it
13	to distract from the trial or people being able to concentrate. So,
14	like I said, I'm fine with it, but I don't know if it's a problem for you
15	or any of the lawyers.
16	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate you bringing it to my
17	attention. Thank you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 236: Of course. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: And I had one more hand in the front,
20	Mr. Marshal O'Kelly.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205: I'm Jessica Reboredo,
22	Number 205.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205: I do have COPD and
25	chronic bronchitis, and I have to use an inhaler. So I will be having

1	to remove my mask.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
3	Any other hands that I missed? All right.
4	Next question: Is there anyone here who has been
5	convicted of a felony?
6	Going back to Ms. Reboredo, Badge Number 205.
7	Yes, ma'am?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205: Yes, ma'am. I was
9	convicted of a felony. I did complete my parole.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And then have you had your civil
11	rights restored yet, ma'am?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205: Meaning, like, record
13	sealed and things of that sort?
14	THE COURT: Yeah, well, there's, like, an application
15	process you have to go through.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205: No, ma'am, I have not
17	yet.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 205: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: All right. So like I stated, we anticipate this
21	case going about a week. I recognize that serving on a jury is
22	almost always a personal or financial hardship. For that reason,
23	financial hardship is not generally considered an excuse to get out
24	of jury duty in the Eighth Judicial District Court. However, you may
25	be confronted with unique inconveniences or hardships that would

impact your service in this particular trial at this particular time.

So before I ask the question, let me give you an example of some excuses that get you out of jury duty. So one would be I have a scheduled trip and you have the ability to show my marshal bus tickets, plane tickets, train tickets, hotel, however you get there. You have a surgery, you're taking care of someone who's terminally ill or things like that. Those are general excuses that get people out. Also, we do excuse people who have their COVID shots scheduled. Obviously, it has to be scheduled within the time period of our trial, but again, I'd have to be able to confirm that with an e-mail or an appointment.

Okay. So, now, is there anyone here, knowing those parameters, who has an extraordinary reason why he or she cannot serve as a juror at this time? Please raise your hand if you meet those qualifications.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Dion Katsoris, 025. I do have a severely autistic teenager, which only goes to school Monday and Tuesday, and the rest of the week, between me and her mother, we take her to physical therapy and some other classes. And I also have my second COVID shot for next Tuesday scheduled on the 4th.

THE COURT: And then, so what are your general work hours, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: My general work hours are between 7:00 and 4:00, but I leave early sometimes to take her

1	to therapies.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And then what time is your COVID
3	shot on Tuesday?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: At 4:00.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 260: My name is Edna
7	Hernandez, 0260. I take care of my mother-in-law, that she has
8	dementia. And also I'm scheduled for my first COVID on Friday
9	at 11:00.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 211: Gregory
12	Dickensheets, 0211. I have my second COVID shot scheduled for
13	Monday at 4:00 or 4:30.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	Two more hands over here, Marshal. Right there, Dean.
16	Yes, ma'am?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: Number?
18	THE COURT: Yes, please.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: 0121. My concern is that
20	I know understand too much and I think I don't have the good
21	decisions.
22	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been in the
23	United States?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: I'm turn to here '99, but I
25	know I don't understand to explain, is you tell me why my

1	opinion for something maybe take a wrong decision, I don't know.
2	THE COURT: And what do you do for work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: I am massage therapist. I
4	using my hands. I don't using my
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Jennifer Parks, 035. It's
7	past a week, but my second COVID shot is scheduled for Friday,
8	May 7th, I believe at 2:30. And at what point I don't mean to jump
9	ahead, but with the witness list, will that come up later?
10	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Okay. Thanks.
12	THE COURT: And then Seat Number 24, please.
13	This is Bassam Seepo, Badge Number 135.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Sorry, I can't understand
15	everything. My English is not very good.
16	THE COURT: All right. And what do you do for work, sir?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: I'm work cashier,
18	register, market.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been in the
20	United States?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: 2009.
22	THE COURT: And what is your native language?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: My native language? I
24	speak Chaldean and Arabic.
25	THE COURT: I'm Arabic and what?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Chaldean.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, sir,
3	appreciate it.
4	And then, Dean, I thought I saw one more. Yeah, thank
5	you.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: Hi. I'm Number 200. And
7	I have a I have to take my daughter to championship in San
8	Francisco and we already booked the tickets and everything on
9	Friday. And also it's on the May 24th, we are flying to Orange
10	County too. And I don't know how I'm going to be able.
11	THE COURT: Did you say May 24th?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Oh, yeah, no. We're not going to be here by
14	then. But what
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: No, no, no. It's April,
16	actually. No, it's not May. April.
17	THE COURT: Well, today's
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: End of the April. I don't
19	remember exactly the room number.
20	THE COURT: Okay. So it's April 27th? So when
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: Yes.
22	THE COURT: On Friday you're going to San Francisco,
23	right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: Yeah, this Friday. That
25	means it's on May, yeah. I'm sorry. 21. 21. I remember. May 21.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So May 21 you're going to Oakland?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: No, Orange County.
3	THE COURT: Orange County.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: This Friday we are going
5	to San Francisco.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And what time does your flight
7	leave?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: 4:00 p.m. this Friday.
9	THE COURT: And when do you come back?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: Monday.
11	THE COURT: At what time?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: I don't remember.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So I'll need you to check that and
14	show my marshal, please.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 200: Okay.
16	THE COURT: Any other hands? All right.
17	Parties in the back, please.
18	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor. One more.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: Hello, Judge. May 13th I
21	have a procedure.
22	THE COURT: Oh, I just need your name and badge
23	number.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: I'm sorry. Stella Jean.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: 307.
2	THE COURT: On what day?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: 13th.
4	THE COURT: May
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 307: May 13th.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Any other hands? All right.
7	Parties in the back, please.
8	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
9	MR. STANTON: Bilzerian is a guy that's big on social
10	media. And about two years ago, Dascus asked me to prosecute
11	him for blowing up a vehicle out in the desert. He's got, like, 3-4
12	million followers on YouTube. He was represented by Chesnoff &
13	Schonfeld. He pled to a misdemeanor. I'm sure he doesn't
14	remember my name. But he I was the specifically assigned to
15	prosecute that guy.
16	THE COURT: Okay. All right. You guys, so we're outside
17	the presence of the jury. Let's go in order. So Dion Katsoris, Badge
18	Number 025, severely autistic daughter, COVID next Tuesday.
19	State?
20	MR. STANTON: I don't have a problem. I don't know that
21	he indicated anything about his work hours.
22	THE COURT: He said he gets off at he works 7:00 to 4:30
23	and gets off sometimes early to take her to therapy.
24	MR. STANTON: Right. So at least from the time period
25	that he would be potentially infringed on therapy would be late

24

25

afternoon. He does have a wife that said could take him, so it's, basically, from the State's perspective, three days. I don't see a disqualifier there.

MR. FISCHER: And, Judge, I think I would concur with the State. We did receive a snapshot report with respect to this juror. And the basis was your -- his -- is the medical condition for his daughter, you said, right? Special needs child. He did indicate that mother and child are special needs.

Yeah, Judge, I don't have any -- I agree with the State. I don't think there's enough of a basis.

THE COURT: Okay. Moving onto Badge Number 035, Jennifer Parks, COVID shot Friday on 5/7. We'll be done long before that does need to happen.

Badge Number 049, Number 11, Brinsley Wachlin, surgery on -- oral surgery on Monday.

State?

MR. STANTON: We'll be done by Friday. I don't know how we're not going to be.

MR. FISCHER: It's difficult for me, Judge, obviously, I -you know, as -- on the defense side, we're always a little bit fluid. As much as the State's been [indiscernible] I sure hope that's true. But having said that, with respect to the timing, I would agree with Your Honor.

So with respect to this [indiscernible] --

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm kicking Brinsley Wachlin, just

because I feel uncomfortable if we go over and then she's got that surgery.

MR. FISCHER: [Indiscernible] I'm sorry, what was that? THE COURT: Kicking 049, Brinsley Wachlin.

Mr. Stanton had prosecuted Badge Number 111, Dan Bilzerian. I'm going to wait on him to see what answers he does to, You recognize anybody? Have you ever been accused of a crime? So let's wait on that. Okay?

Badge Number 121, Liliana Gomez. This is English second language, been here since 1999, massage therapist, says she doesn't have a firm command of the English language.

State?

MR. STANTON: Judge, I'm of the ilk that anybody that has any impediment that would cause them a problem absorbing any of the evidence is a for-cause challenge. And I believe, based upon her presentation and her articulation of the English language, that that would be an impediment for her to be a juror in this case. And we move to excuse her for cause.

MR. FISCHER: Judge, that's always a very difficult one, because a language barrier doesn't mean lack of comprehension. Maybe her ability to communicate isn't effective, but her comprehension may be very different. And all kind of jurors do try to use that as an excuse. So I would like to have an opportunity, maybe, to ask her a few questions to maybe see if there's some comprehension of the language there. And I'm not trying to be

overly difficult in moving through this jury, but I'd like that opportunity, at least.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FISCHER: What was the name again, Your Honor? I just want to see if I have --

MR. STANTON: Liliana Gomez.

THE COURT: Liliana Gomez, Badge Number 121.

MR. FISCHER: That'll give me a slightly different opinion. I know we're moving quick and I'm trying to do my best here. Just want to look up [indiscernible] change my mind here.

Okay. It's a different one. All right, Judge, if we could come back and --

THE COURT: Okay. And the next is Bassam Seepo,
Badge Number 135. He speaks Arabic and Chandean. Been in the
United States since 2009 and he's a cashier. I imagine that your
representations are still the same for him, Mr. Stanton?

MR. STANTON: Actually not. His -- the articulation of the language there, although both of these individuals was -- that are ESLs, English is a second language, indicated that they had problems understanding everything. I understand counsel as to the first one, there's nothing that causes me concern so far about this juror as they articulated their concerns to the Court.

MR. FISCHER: And, Judge, I would just -- I think along the same lines as my previous comments. Maybe just a little more engaging, that juror, to get a feel for his English comprehension.

THE COURT: Badge Number 200, Nina Gogichashuili. She's taking her daughter to San Francisco on Friday at 4:00 p.m. and she doesn't know when she gets back on Monday.

MR. STANTON: Assuming the Court confirms her travel, I have no objection to excuse her.

MR. FISCHER: And I -- let me see here. This is another one who indicates in her jury snapshot that she finds English to be a possible barrier. I'll submit it on that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to let her go because of the travel plans, just as long as she is able to confirm with my marshal.

Badge Number 236 is Julianne Haberman, she's the one who has epilepsy. She didn't really -- wasn't really using it as an excuse. She just wanted us to be aware. I think that that's fine. I don't think that's a sufficient basis. She says it happens once a month. If it happens, we'll deal with it and we'll move on.

MR. STANTON: Judge, you skipped one.

THE COURT: Oh, sorry. Did I?

MR. STANTON: Can we go back to Juror 205?

THE COURT: 205. Oh, yeah. I apologize. I think I skipped two, actually.

205, Jessica Reboredo. This is COPD, chronic bronchitis, will use her inhaler, as well as a felon who hasn't had her rights restored. So by statute, I have to take her off, 205.

211, Gregory Dickensheets has his second COVID shot on

Monday. So I am going to let him go, because if we're not done, I don't want him missing that.

Already talked about Haberman. All right.

Move onto 260, Edna Hernandez. COVID shot Friday the 11th, takes care of her mother-in-law with dementia. Oh, sorry, Friday at 11:00. So she also has her COVID shot, so I have to let her go.

Badge Number 267, Simone Peel, 32 weeks pregnant and she's uncomfortable.

MR. STANTON: [Indiscernible.]

THE COURT: I've done a five-week capital case 36 weeks pregnant. She's staying.

MR. FISCHER: You're on the bench, Judge. You know, you're a different caliber of woman, I would say. I mean that with all respect.

THE COURT: No, I did it as a prosecutor though.

MR. FISCHER: [Indiscernible.]

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Okay. I get it.

MR. FISCHER: I'm giving you an out now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FISCHER: On the other hand, it does seem that that could be a distraction, and that would be my only concern. But I was -- submit it to Your Honor's discretion.

THE COURT: All right. So, you know, if she had, like -- if she had a high-risk pregnancy or was articulating that there were

1	issues with her pregnancy, that's, like, a whole different ball game.
2	But to me, she's just saying, hey, 32 weeks pregnant, she stays.
3	All right. Badge Number 307, Stella Jean. She has a
4	procedure on May 13th. We'll be done far ahead of May 13th. All
5	right.
6	MR. FISCHER: And there was a judge [indiscernible] a
7	non-citizen [indiscernible] questionnaire was [indiscernible].
8	THE COURT: A non-citizen?
9	MR. FISCHER: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
11	MR. FISCHER: Yeah, [indiscernible] Gomez, Badge
12	Number 2-0400. And I'm only going off the snapshot record here.
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	MR. FISCHER: She says, I'm non-citizen. I don't know if
15	that's meaning
16	THE COURT: Huh.
17	MR. FISCHER: I just I wasn't born here and it's
8	[indiscernible]. She's not even on here.
9	THE COURT: What number is she?
20	MR. STANTON: [Indiscernible.]
21	THE COURT: Oh. Okay. We have another Gomez, but
22	she's not on there.
23	MR. FISCHER: [Indiscernible] proud of, one's working for
24	a [indiscernible] as a [indiscernible].
25	THE COURT: Okay. Sounds good.
1	T. Control of the Con

MR. STANTON: What order do you want us to [indiscernible]?

THE COURT: Oh, I don't care. Doesn't matter to me, guys. I'll just go. Thank you.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: All right. If you hear your name, please stand. Badge Number 049, Brinsley Wachlin; Badge Number 200, Nina Gogichashuili; Badge Number 205, Jessica Reboredo; Badge Number 211, Gregory Dickensheets; Badge Number 260, Edna Hernandez.

For those of you are -- that's standing, thank you for your willingness to be here today, you are excused.

All right. Continuing on, is there anyone here who is not a United States citizen? Showing no hands.

Are any of you acquainted with me or any of the court staff? Showing no response.

Do any of you know each other? Showing no response.

Are any of you acquainted with the defendant, Mr. Young, or his attorney, Mr. Fischer? Showing no response.

Are any of you acquainted with either of the district attorneys, Mr. Stanton or Mr. Brooks? Showing no response.

Are any of you acquainted with Steve Wolfson or any other person that works in the district attorneys office? No response.

Have you or anyone close to you ever worked in law

1	enforcement?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I was a reserve cop for
3	four years.
4	THE MARSHAL: Name and badge number?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Oh.
6	THE COURT: Name and badge number, please?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Dan Bilzerian, 111.
8	THE COURT: And, Mr. Bilzerian, can you tell me again?
9	I'm sorry, I missed it.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I was a reserve police
11	officer for four years.
12	THE COURT: All right. And where was that at?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: New Mexico.
14	THE COURT: In New Mexico?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And when you say you said reserve
17	police officer; is that correct?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Yes.
19	THE COURT: What does that mean?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: We served [indiscernible]
21	warrants for the U.S. Marshal.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is there anything about that
23	service that makes you feel like you wouldn't able to wouldn't be
24	able to serve fair and impartially to both sides in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Nope.

1	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Appreciate it.
2	And then okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Jennifer Oisboid, 300.
4	My oh, thank you. My husband is a police officer.
5	THE COURT: All right. For Metro, Henderson, or North
6	Las Vegas?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Metro.
8	THE COURT: And what section does he work in?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: Criminal intelligence.
10	THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about his service
11	for the police force that makes you feel like it would be difficult for
12	you to sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 300: No.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. I appreciate it
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Hi. Stephenie
16	Scarpantonio, 137.
17	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I have I don't know if
19	this pertains or not, but I have a close friend who's a family court
20	judge.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that you feel
22	may affect you here?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
24	THE COURT: All right. And just so you know, if you are
25	selected as a juror or even during this process, you can't talk to him
	I and the second of the second

1	or her about anything involved in the case. Okay?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Understood.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate it.
4	And then
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Richard Stieve, 129. I ha
6	a brother who's law enforcement in California.
7	THE COURT: All right. Is
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: No issues.
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry, sir, I didn't mean to cut you off.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: No issues, though.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, I appreciate that.
12	And then, you guys, don't worry about standing up. You
13	can stay seated, you don't need to stand. But thank you for doing
14	so, I appreciate it.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 081: Jeremy Meador, 018. I
16	don't know if this counts or not, but I work for the parole board.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And so it counts if you tell me that it
18	matters. So if you feel like, because of that position, it would be
19	difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both sides, then it
20	definitely matters.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm good. I just didn't
22	know if you need to know about that or not.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. No, I appreciate you telling me.
24	Thank you, sir.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 316: Kim Heikkinen, Badge

Stieve, 129. I had

Number 316. And my first cousin was a detective in Chicago.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your relationship with him that would affect you from being a --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 316: No.

THE COURT: -- fair and impartial juror today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 316: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I appreciate your answers.

Does anybody think that they know anything about this case, heard anything about this case before coming in here today? Showing no response.

Does anyone have any philosophical, religious, or any other beliefs that would prevent you from serving as a fair and impartial juror in this case? Showing no response.

Is there anyone here who has been in a situation where they have had contact with law enforcement and they felt like they were not treated fairly or with the dignity and respect in which they were deserved -- in which they deserved? So contact with law enforcement where you feel like you were not treated with the dignity and respect in which you should have been. Showing no response.

Mr. Stanton, Mr. Fischer, are there any witnesses who do -- that would need the aid of an interpreter during the trial?

MR. STANTON: [Indiscernible; audio cut out.]

THE COURT: And would that be Spanish?

MR. STANTON: Thai.

THE COURT: Thai. Okay.

Is there anyone in here who speaks Thai? Okay. All right.

So I don't -- you don't have to go over there with the mic,

Dean. Don't worry about that.

So when we -- in the courtroom when we have witnesses who don't speak English, we then bring in interpreters. And the interpreter interprets for them. The law -- and you'll read -- you'll get instructions on this -- you have to go by what the interpreter says. So you can't turn to another juror and say, hey, the interpreter just got it all wrong, this is what, you know, this is what the witness actually said.

Sir, and it is Badge Number 44. One second. All right.

And it's -- is it Ryan Dennis? Okay, no, after Ryan Dennis. David

Hight? Yes. All right.

Mr. Hight, do you have any issues with the interpreter rule, sir? Okay.

And he said no on the record, De'Awna.

Under our system, certain principles apply in every criminal trial. They are the following:

Number one, the charging document filed in this case is merely an accusation and is not evidence, in any way, of guilt.

Number two, the defendant is presumed innocent.

And Number three, the State must prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Is there anyone here who either, A, does not understand those legal concepts, or B, disagrees with those legal concepts? No response.

Is there anyone here who would have trouble following the instructions on the law that I give you, regardless of whether or not you think it's a good law or a bad law? Is there anyone here who would have problems following the law even if you disagree with the law? Showing no response. All right.

So now I'm going to turn it over to the first 24 of you. So those of you seated on the floor, not against the wall, you'll see your numbers down by your feet. That's the number of the chair you're in. And then those of you sitting against the wall, it's above your head. Okay?

So we'll start with the first 24 of you. And then, actually, you know what, really quickly. Wait, where is that person? Oh, we need to fill the seat. Okay. Got it.

Before I fill that seat, I've -- I can't remember if I asked this, so forgive me if I did. Is there anyone here who was familiar -- I think Ms. Parks -- with any of the witnesses called by the State? All right.

Ms. Parks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Yes. Ethan Grimes.

THE COURT: All right. And how do you know

Mr. Grimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Our children went to

school together and I'm friends with his wife, especially, and him a little bit.

THE COURT: Okay. And so if Mr. Grimes gets in here and testifies, is that going to be an issue for you? Do you still believe you can be fair and impartial to both sides? What are your thoughts on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I've never watched him in his professional role, only as a dad. But I'm aware of his role as a detective. So I would hope I could be -- I would that I could be impartial. I suppose as a friend I have a trust in him, though.

THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this: When Detective Grimes takes the stand, would you automatically give him more credibility than let's say a witness you don't know because of your relationship with him or his family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Having never done it, it is a hard answer.

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: So I'm giving it thought. It gives me pause. Because of a friendship, it gives me pause, not because of his role so much as because I know him as a person. I would like to say that I will weigh his statement if he testifies, that I would weigh his words and let that stand, you know, for themselves as opposed to any relationship.

THE COURT: And the relationship, first of all, how long have you known them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Since my freshman was in kindergarten. So it's around nine years.

THE COURT: Okay. And how often would you say you interact with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Pre-COVID, probably every couple of months. Not with him, but with his family. Since that time, no. So it has been over a year.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that.

All right. And then let's fill that -- oh, yeah, Badge

Number -- thank you.

This is 018.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yep.

THE COURT: Jeremy Meador. Yes, Mr. Meador?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes. I don't know the individual people here that they named. But I worked at the SunCoast for five years in the count room. And we worked with security all night long, the graveyard shift and the morning shift.

THE COURT: What's the cat room?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: The count rooms, we count all the money.

THE COURT: Oh, count room.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: And we had security with us the whole time. I haven't worked there for, like, four or five years. But I still talk to multiple people that work on the graveyard shift and the daytime security almost every day.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: So.

THE COURT: Is there anything about your previous work there that makes you feel like you wouldn't be able to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: My job, personally, like, that wouldn't really influence me at all. But I might -- I don't know, like, the names that were named. But I might have already heard about it from my friends, because I talk to them, like I said, almost every day for a couple of them.

THE COURT: And then when you say you might have already heard about it, you mean, like, this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah. Like, I don't know his specific name or who was involved. But if one of my friends were involved, I would have probably heard about it by now.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so one of the things that you'll probably hear me talk about over and over again is when you're in trial, you have to base your verdict solely on the things that are presented within the four walls of the courtroom, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: So evidence that's admitted, testimony that's admitted, things like that. Are you able to do that? Are you able to base a verdict and discuss your deliberations only on the evidence that you hear and see as admissible in the courtroom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

1	Any other hands that I missed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I don't know if it matters.
3	I work for Caesar's.
4	THE COURT: And name and badge number?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I work at their security
6	department.
7	THE COURT: And what was your name?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Mary. Oh, 077.
9	THE COURT: 077. All right. Thank you. So this is Mary
10	Dimick, Badge Number 077. All right.
11	Mary, what do you do for Caesar's?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Security.
13	THE COURT: Are you in the floor or in the
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: On the floor.
15	THE COURT: On the floor? Okay.
16	And I don't remember, Mr. Stanton, was Caesar's one of
17	the venues you named?
18	MR. STANTON: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
20	So Ms. Dimick, did you recognize any of the names read
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No.
22	THE COURT: by the State?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Uh-uh.
24	THE COURT: All right. And is there I'm going to ask
25	you the same questions that I was asking the previous prospective

1	juror, and that is, is if you were selected as a juror, can you base
2	your verdict only on the things that you see and hear as admissible
3	testimony and evidence in the courtroom?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate it.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Uh-huh.
7	THE COURT: Any other hands that I missed? Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Juror Number 137,
9	Stephanie Scarpantonio.
10	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Caesar's Entertainment
12	and Cosmopolitan are clients of mine.
13	THE COURT: And what, specifically, do you do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I work for a travel and
15	tourism company.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Called EBG. They use ou
18	ticketing technology at their concierge desk. And we also contract
19	to sell all of their entertainment products.
20	THE COURT: All right. Anything about that working
21	relationship that makes you feel like it would prevent you from
22	being fair and impartial?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25	Yes, sir?
	20

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: Michael Potempa, Badge
Number 182. Pre-COVID, I just wanted full transparency, I don't
know the two district attorneys here, but for the past seven years I
spent a lot of times in these halls. I know a lot of the district
attorneys, prosecutors, and child advocates, because I was a
member of BACA, Bikers Against Child Abuse.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you start out by saying you didn't think that would affect you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: No.

THE COURT: You just wanted to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 182: No, I just want you to know.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I appreciate you telling me that.

Right behind you, Dean. Oh, I guess, two of them. Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 207: Michael Hesser, 207. Just full disclosure, I used to sell to all the hotels, so I know them all. However, that's been over 10 years ago and I have no conflicts.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

And then right to your left, Dean.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 169: Kerry Kwok, 0169. I also work for Caesar's, but I don't know anybody in the, you know, witness or anything like that. I just want to let you know.

THE COURT: Thank you. And anything about your

you.

employment at Caesar's makes you feel like it would be difficult for you to be fair and impartial to both sides, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 169: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. Okay.

And, Ms. Brown, if we could fill Seat 11, please. Thank

THE CLERK: That'll be Badge Number 137, Stephanie Scarpantonio.

THE COURT: All right. So now, ladies and gentlemen, what we're going to do is my marshal's going to hand out a piece of paper to the first 24 of you. This piece of paper will have specific questions listed out on it. So the first juror, which is -- is it Ms. Kallush, do I say that right? Oh, no, sorry, I'm on the -- I have -- I had the wrong page. I apologize.

Ms. Mars. Okay. So my marshal's going to hand the microphone to you. You don't have to read the question out loud, but if you could include the question in your answer so, like, for instance, I've lived in Clark County for, so we know exactly which one you're on. Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. And just start from the top and then we'll pass it to the -- down the line to the first 24.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Okay.

THE COURT: Whenever you're ready, Ms. Mars.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I have lived in Clark

County since 1996. I attended college, I have a associate's degree in culinary arts. I am currently unemployed, but still under the employment of MGM.

THE COURT: What did you do for MGM when you were employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I was a sous chef.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: And I am married. And he is a technician for [indiscernible]. I have two children, both girls, one is 9 and one is 5.

No one close to me is -- was a victim of a crime. No one close to me accused of a crime.

Never served as a juror before.

I have not heard anything about this particular trial.

Yes, I can base my verdict solely on the evidence presented. And yes, I can be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: Thank you, I appreciate that.

So my marshal is handing out some Clorox wipes. So when you hold the microphone, if you wouldn't mind just holding it with the wipe, and then you guys don't have to wipe it down every time, just use the wipe instead of touching it with your hand. All right.

Next, Mr. Meador, Badge Number 018. From the top when you're ready, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes. I've lived in Clark

County since 1998. I do have an associate's degree from the College of Southern Nevada. It is a paralegal degree.

THE COURT: Mr. Meador, have you ever worked as a paralegal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.

THE COURT: All right. And so can you walk me through that? Why did you go into that? What made you want to go into that? Why haven't you used it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Well, I went to college to be a paralegal at the time. And when we got to the end of the course, I saw that there was an opportunity to go to the parole board, and my interest kind of changed a little bit towards the end of my college. So I decided to go into the -- to the parole board, because I figured I would finish my degree anyways, because I was so far done with it, I may as well finish it.

Right now, I'm in administration for the parole board.

Like, I don't make the decisions, but I'm in the room when they do make the decisions, and I do, like, the files and everything.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: So kind of like a paralegal, I guess, but in a different setting, I guess.

THE COURT: Understood. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I've worked for the parole board for five years.

I am not married, but I'm engaged to a woman and we're

ma'am.

supposed to get married at the end of this year. I don't have any kids, I just -- but, yeah, no kids of my own. I do have a step-daughter.

THE COURT: And what does your fiancee do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Right now she is actually a paralegal for a defense group out of Henderson. She just started

working there about a month ago.

THE COURT: And do you know the firm's name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I don't. I could ask her and find out. But I know it's out of Henderson, though.

THE COURT: And when you say defense, do you mean civil defense or criminal defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Civil.

THE COURT: Okay. Understood. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I don't know anyone that's been a victim of a crime. I don't know anyone that's been accused of a crime.

I have never served as a juror before.

So far, there's nothing that would kind of make -- that would make me biased about anything about this, and I could be fair to both sides.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, I appreciate that.

Ms. McHugh, Badge Number 024, whenever you're ready,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I lived in Clark County

for 40 years.

1

2

I have a bachelor's degree in political science.

I am employed, I am an assistant vice president in human resources at Credit One Bank.

I am married, my husband is a professional poker player.

I have a son who is 9.

I have not been nor has anyone close to me has been a victim of a crime. I have not been nor anyone close to me has been accused of a crime.

I've never served as a juror.

I've not heard anything about this trial.

I can be fair and impartial to this jury, and I can base my verdict on -- based on the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate that.

Let's go to Mr. Katsoris, Badge Number 025.

Whenever you're ready, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I've lived in Clark County since '99.

I have a master's degree in business management from Canterbury. I am a senior property manager for Advance Management Group.

My partner, she's also -- works for Advance Management Group as a property manager. I have two children, 21 and 13.

I don't know anybody that's been a victim of crime. I don't know anyone who's been accused close to me.

1	I have served in a jury back in Chicago in '97. It was a civil
2	trial.
3	THE COURT: Was a verdict don't tell me what the
4	verdict was, but was a verdict reached in that case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And were you the foreman?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I haven't heard anything
10	about the trial. I can be fair and impartial for both sides.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	Going onto Ms. Miller, Badge Number 026.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Hi, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Hi.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I've lived in Clark County,
16	Nevada, all my life, 1992, 29 years old.
17	I graduated high school. I did try to attend a, like,
18	government-funded college, which was in Reno, which was Sierra
19	Nevada Job Corps. I just was, you know, debating two different
20	career choices, which was hotel management and culinary.
21	Now I am currently employed, just doing sales, cashier.
22	I'm interested in going to school again, maybe doing dental
23	hygienist or flight attendant.
24	I am not married. I'm significant relationship, semi. No
25	kids.

Anyone close to me ever been a victim of crime? Yes. My grandmother's car was stolen at Boulder Station Casino. The crime was reported and the people were caught.

I was a victim of crime, raped in Las Vegas, and the person was caught.

How do I feel about the situation that was handled by the criminal justice system? The rape was not handled properly, but that's okay. But my grandmother's stolen vehicle was handled and we got the car back.

Have you or anyone --

THE COURT: Oh, sorry. One second, Ms. Miller.

So let me ask you a few questions, if you don't mind.

How long ago was the sexual assault?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Probably -- I think I was, like, 21.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: So I'm now 29.

THE COURT: All right. And was this a stranger rape or someone you knew?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Strangers.

THE COURT: Strangers -- multiple?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Multiple.

THE COURT: All right. And did this happen, like, in a casino? your home? a party?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: It happened at some

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

apartments. Now that I'm fully aware of my city and the streets, it
happened somewhere, like, by the Palms, like, on Twain or
something.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: But --

THE COURT: And did you report it right away?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Oh, yeah. We report -- I reported it as soon as I woke up, as soon as I got access to a phone.

THE COURT: Okay. And the individuals were caught, you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: The individual was caught and I was supposed to attend a hearing or something, but I never completed it. So that was probably my fault, but I left it in karma and God at that point.

THE COURT: Okay. And so do you know what happened with the -- to that person or you have no idea?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I don't know what happened. I can kind of remember the guy's name, but I don't know what happened to him.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel like law enforcement handled the situation appropriately?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: It was so long ago, but, I mean, they definitely came in a rush. So they came properly and fast. They definitely took care of me and helped me, you know, getting checked and everything.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that situation that makes you feel like it may impact your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

And you were about to talk about whether you know anyone who's ever been accused.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Anyone that's ever been accused of crime? Not to my knowledge.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: How do you feel that the situation handled by the criminal justice -- how do I feel?

Anybody -- I'm not sure.

I've never done jury duty before, criminal or civil. I've never done that.

Without stating what the verdict was -- I'm not sure what that question is.

THE COURT: So you've never been a juror before, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes. I've never --

THE COURT: Okay. So you can go onto the next one.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Okay. Is there anything that you've heard about this trial? No, I haven't heard anything. I mean, I'm always watching my local news, I'm always getting the local Las Vegas news on my phone. So just with everything happening right now, I'm very shocked with how much criminals

are still trying to get away with things.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Based on my verdict solely on the evidence presented during this trial, I'm just going to have an open mind in this whole case.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: And I will be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: Thank you, I appreciate that.

Ms. Parks, Badge Number 023.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I've lived in Clark County since 1997.

I have an associate's degree in nursing.

I am employed, I own and operate my own business, a legal nurse consulting firm.

I am married for a very long time, and he is a self-employed consultant, as well, that does non -- he works with nonprofits, both churches and businesses in growth plans.

I have two children, ages 12 and 14.

I have never been the victim of a crime, but I have two close friends that have been. Both have had their homes broken into in Las Vegas. Both crimes were reported. I do not know that the people were caught.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I don't have much of an

opinion about the situation being handled. I wasn't present for any of it and I didn't follow it through afterward. They weren't -- neither of them were home at the time, so there was not the escalated type of involvement.

No one close to me has been accused of a crime that I'm aware of.

I have never served as a juror.

No, I have not heard anything about this trial thus far.

And we talked about 10:00 if I can base the verdict solely on the evidence presented and I think we got to the point that yes, I would listen to the testimony given as opposed to forming a -- based on anything else. And then to follow up, yes, I think that could make me be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate that.

Ms. Stratton, Badge Number 042.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Hello.

THE COURT: Hi.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I've lived in Clark County since 2006.

I have a high school degree.

I'm not employed. I've done various secretarial jobs over the years.

THE COURT: Have any of those secretarial jobs been in the law field?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I'm married. My husband's retired military pilot.

I have one child, 38. She's an executive assistant.

I have not been close to anyone that's been a victim of a crime. And I have not been close to anyone that's been accused of a crime.

I've never served as a juror.

No, there is nothing that makes me feel that it would be difficult for me to sit as a juror.

Can you base your verdict solely on the evidence presented during the trial and wait in forming your opinion? Yes.

Can you be fair and impartial? Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate it.

Mr. Jacobs, Badge Number 045.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I have lived in Clark County for five years.

THE COURT: Where did you live before Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Salt Lake City.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: I -- let's see. I'm -- have a degree, a doctorate degree in physical therapy. I am employed. I work as a home health physical therapist.

I'm married and my wife is a stay-at-home mom. I have three children, a 6-year-old, 3-year-old, and a newborn.

I don't know anyone who's been -- not close to anyone that's been a victim of a crime, I'm not close to anyone who's been accused of a crime.

I've never served as a juror before.

I haven't heard anything about the trial before this and yes, I can base my verdict on the evidence and be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate it.

Johnson, Badge Number 047.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes. I have lived in Clark County since about '98, I believe.

I earned a bachelor's degree in psychology at UNLV. I'm not currently employed.

I am not married or in a significant relationship. I do not have children.

I have and an immediate family member have been victims of crime, unreported. I do not blame the justice system for that:

THE COURT: Were the -- you and the family members victims of the same type of crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: No. The immediate family member was molested as a child, and I was assaulted by peers in high school.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: And my uncle was accused and convicted of lewdness with a minor. And based on

what I know, I do believe the situation was handled appropriately by the criminal justice system.

THE COURT: Was that here in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It was in Missouri.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I have never served as a juror.

Nothing that I've heard so far about this trial makes me feel that it would be difficult for me to sit as a juror.

I do believe I can base my verdict solely on the evidence presented during the trial. And I believe I can be fair and impartial to both sides in this case.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

All right. So, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our first morning recess for 15 minutes. Every time we break, I do have to read you the same admonishment. The admonishment is as follows:

During this recess you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media, read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials, make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

investigate or learn about the case on your own, or form or express
any opinion regarding this case until the matter is finally submitted
to you.

It is 11:00. Let's see, if you could be back at about 11:15
[Court recessed at 10:55 a.m., until 11:12 a.m.]
[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Welcome back,
everyone. If we could pass the microphone.

Honey, how do I spell your last name? Badge Number 048.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Jose, J-O-S-E.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Badge Number 048,

Ms. Jose, whenever you're ready, from the top.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I live in Clark County since 2006.

And I have a bachelor's degree in nursing. And I am employed right now in one of the hospital.

I am married and I -- my husband is a laboratory manager. I have three kids, 17, 14, and 10.

I was a victim of a crime 10 years ago. It's a burglary. I don't know if the person was caught. And I think the, you know, the cops handled it correctly.

I don't know anyone that has been accused of a crime.

I have never been a juror before.

And I've never heard of this trial.

I can base my verdict solely on the evidence presented to me, and I can be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate that.

All right. So, Ms. Scarpantonio, Badge Number 137?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yes. I have lived in Clark

County since 2005.

I have a BA in communications with emphasis in public relations.

I am employed; I'm the vice president of partner development for a company called EBG.

I'm married. My husband is currently unemployed due to COVID. He worked in travel and tourism.

I have three stepchildren, ages 22, 17, and 12.

The 22-year-old is a preschool teacher, but she is currently not working because she is pregnant.

I've actually been the victim of several crimes, credit card theft, identity theft, and I've been held at gunpoint.

THE COURT: How long ago were each of those?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: The credit card theft was here in Vegas. I want to say that was probably approximately 2008. It was at Chase Bank in Henderson, and someone put a skimmer on the ATM machine.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Do I don't have any knowledge other than that. I know the bank investigated it, so I

don't have any knowledge of, you know, how the case was handled.

My identity theft happened when I was living in Long Beach. And I think that was -- it was early 2000s. She actually broke into my car when I was at work. My backpack was in the car with my social security card in it. I know, not the smartest move, but I was young and didn't know better at the time. So she stole that and she stole my identity.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: They did catch her fairly quickly, so I do feel like that was handled well, it never went to trial. I think she does -- I don't know if she did a plea deal or -- because she was in jail for it.

And then I haven't talked to him in many years, but my -- I do have a first cousin who was in jail twice. The first time was for -- I believe it was attempted murder was the charge, and the second time was -- it was, like, a domestic violence type of situation.

THE COURT: And what about the time when you were held at gunpoint?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Oh, I'm sorry. I was leaving -- living in Chico, California, at the time. I think this was -- I was going to junior college there, so it was around '95. And we lived in -- it was all college kids in an apartment complex and we all just left our doors open and kind of came and went. We had -- it was so long ago, it was either two or three men that came into our apartment with sawed-off shotguns.

22

25

THE COURT: Wow.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: It was a case of mistaken. identity. And I was actually -- they were aiming them -- they came in and aimed them at all of us, but their focus was on my male roommate. So I was able to get out of the apartment and call the police.

THE COURT: So in all of those situations, do you feel like -- I know some of them you said, well, I don't really know how far it when.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Yeah.

THE COURT: But do you feel like it was handled appropriately by the individuals that were called in regards to law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I do.

THE COURT: And is there anything about any of those three scenarios that makes you feel would impact your ability to be fair and impartial here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I want to say that I'll do my best to be fair and impartial. I've been victim of identity theft, and I believe that that was part of this case.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: So I do also have compassion for people who have gone through that. But I'm prepared to, you know, be an impartial juror.

THE COURT: So -- yeah, and, you know, I think that it --

it's okay to be compassionate for people. First things, like, in a murder case, right? Of course people can feel compassion for the individual who lost their lives.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Sure.

THE COURT: But the important thing is, is you still have to be able to hold the State to their burden. So the law says, listen, the State has to prove these things beyond a reasonable doubt. And while you can definitely feel compassion to those involved in the case, it just can't affect your ability to hold the State to their burden. Do you feel okay with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Understood. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: And then the -- ever been a juror before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: I have never been a juror before.

I haven't heard anything about this trial.

And I think we just talked about basing the verdict on the evidence.

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 137: And, yes, I think I can be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Appreciate it.

All right. And Mr. Gammie?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I've lived in Clark County

1	for well, since '05.
1	
2	I graduated high school.
3	I'm a retired pipefitter.
4	Married, my wife is retired from Federal Express.
5	Got two children, age 37 and 41.
6	And I've been a victim of a crime, several of them, and
7	they were reported. The situation was handled fine.
8	THE COURT: And were these in where were these at?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Beg your pardon?
0	THE COURT: Where were the crimes committed?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: North Carolina and
2	Illinois, California.
3	THE COURT: And were they property crimes?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No. I was a victim of
5	armed robbery and assaults and theft.
6	THE COURT: And you said that you had reported each of
7	those, correct?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah. Yeah.
9	THE COURT: And you feel like they were handled
:0	appropriately?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything about being the victim in
23	each of those instances that you feel would prevent you from being
24	fair and impartial in this case?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Go ahead.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I have a nephew who was
3	accused of a crime, and that was handled appropriately.
4	I've been a juror before in a civil case and numerous
5	coroners juries.
6	THE COURT: Were you have in the civil case, were
7	you the foreman?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No.
9	THE COURT: And was a verdict reached?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No.
11	THE COURT: Was it hung or was it negotiated?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, they settled.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: And then I haven't heard
15	anything about this trial and I don't think there's anything that
16	would prevent me from having a fair and impartial opinion.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18	Mr. Altamirano, Badge Number 056.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I been living here in Las
20	Vegas all my life, since 1993.
21	I have a high school degree.
22	I'm currently employed right now in supermarket right
23	now.
24	I'm not married or in any relationship.
25	I don't have no childrens at all.

1	No, I don't have anyone who has been in a crime or I
2	haven't been in a crime, either.
3	Haven't served in a jury before.
4	I haven't heard anything from this trial at all.
5	And, yes, I could be fair and impartial for both sides.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.
7	And Ms. Zhuo.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I'm living Clark County
9	since 2017.
10	I attend the college, but not finished.
11	I'm working in the manage company, the realty manage
12	company.
13	Not married, no children.
14	Don't have anyone ever being the victim of the crime.
15	My sister has been accused of a crime, but I couldn't
16	remember was the situations.
17	No stories as a juror.
18	I don't heard about the trial before.
19	I can yes, I can, based on yes, I can stand the both
20	side.
21	THE COURT: And question for you. When but where
22	did you live before 2017?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: 2017?
24	THE COURT: Did you say you moved to Clark County
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No, I say I'm coming
	62

1	the United States since the 2010. So one just I stayed there as
2	soon as I'm coming 2010.
3	THE COURT: 2010?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And where did you come from?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: China.
7	THE COURT: All right. And why did you come from
8	China?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Sorry?
10	THE COURT: What was why did you decide to come to
11	the United States?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Because my mom and
13	my sister all here, so I just move here.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Great. And then your sister was
15	accused of a crime, was that here in Las Vegas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes.
17	THE COURT: All right. But you're not sure about anything
18	else of it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yeah, I'm not sure.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Appreciate it.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Welcome.
22	THE COURT: And Ms. Dimick, Badge Number 077.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I've lived in I was born
24	and raised here.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

1	I've not heard of this case.
2	And I will be able to form my own opinion, and I can be
3	fair and impartial to both side.
4	THE COURT: Thank you, appreciate that.
5	Mr. Lin, Badge Number 100.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: All right. I have lived in
7	Las Vegas for 38 years.
8	I went to UNLV, but not did not finish.
9	I am self-employed, but not currently working. I'm a DJ.
10	I'm not married. I do not have any children.
11	I was once robbed at gunpoint. It was reported. They
12	were not caught.
13	THE COURT: Was that here in Las Vegas?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yeah, it was.
15	THE COURT: And when was that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I want to say
17	around 2003ish.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I felt it was handled as
20	best as it could have been.
21	I don't know anybody who has been accused of a crime.
22	I have never served as a juror.
23	I have not heard anything about this trial.
24	Yes, I can base my verdict solely on the evidence
25	presented, and yes, I can be fair and impartial.

1	THE COURT: Thank you. Appreciate it.
2	Mr. Bilzerian, Badge Number 111.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I've been in Clark County
4	since [indiscernible; audio cut out].
5	THE COURT: Mr. Bilzerian, I'm sorry. So sometimes
6	during that seat underneath the wall, it cuts out. Could you do
7	me a favor, would you stand and come just maybe one foot forward
8	so it doesn't do that with the mic. Thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Is that better?
10	THE COURT: Yeah, that's better. Go ahead.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Okay. Lived in Clark
12	County since 2009.
13	I went to college, but didn't graduate.
14	I'm the CEO of a publicly traded company.
15	I'm in a relationship.
16	I don't have kids.
17	I've been robbed a few times.
18	Let's see
19	THE COURT: Were those where were those robberies
20	at?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Couple of houses got
22	broken into.
23	THE COURT: Were you home at the time?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I was not.
25	THE COURT: And is that in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: One was my LA house, and the other one was my Vegas house. Sorry, this mask.

THE COURT: That's okay. Did -- were -- did you report it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I did.

THE COURT: And did police respond? How do you feel it was taken care of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Well, the LA robbery was kind of a headache. They impounded more things than were stolen, which was kind of annoying. But, yeah, I mean, it was fine.

THE COURT: Okay. What about LV?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: They didn't catch them.

THE COURT: Anything about either of those incidents that makes you feel like you wouldn't be able to be fair and impartial here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Nope.

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: And I have been accused of some crimes.

THE COURT: Okay. What are those?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I had a weapon on or about school grounds in high school, so I got thrown in jail for three weeks for that. And I blew up a semi-truck with 100 pounds of explosives out here, got arrested for that. Yeah, that's about it. Oh, I got arrested for attempted robbery. Some guy cheated me out of 50,000 in poker, but I wasn't convicted, so.

convicted felon and he didn't want to testify.

THE COURT: Okay. Got you. All right.

So, now, let's talk about any of these situations where you were the victim or whether you were the accused, do any of those things combined make you feel like it would be difficult for you to sit as a fair and impartial juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: No, I don't think so.

THE COURT: And then one thing that I have to ask specifically is that if you were, in fact, prosecuted by, you know, the district attorneys' office, these two attorneys work for that office. So is there anything about their situation or the fact that they work for the office that prosecuted you, does it bother you? Does it come into your mind at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: No, not at all. I -- it was a plea deal. I mean, the -- it was a binary explosive that was legal. So I didn't do anything illegal. It was just, I guess, irritating that I blew up a semi-truck.

THE COURT: So maybe -- this isn't really a legal question, but why were you blowing up semi-trucks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: It was just for fun.

THE COURT: Oh. Okay. All right. Any -- let's see, was that it with all the questions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Let's see.

THE COURT: Did you answer if you've been a juror before?

- 1		
1	little while, yeah.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. And what were you doing the last	
3	time you were employed?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: Last time I worked in a	
5	door shop.	
6	THE COURT: At a what?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: At a door shop, just hard	
8	labor.	
9	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Got you. All right.	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: And, where was I, close	
11	to you ever been a victim of a crime? I don't believe so. No one	
12	has.	
13	No, nobody's been accused of a crime.	
14	Served as a juror before? No.	
15	Anything you've heard about the trial this far makes you	
16	feel like it would be difficult? No.	
17	Base my verdict solely on evidence presented, okay,	
18	during trial.	
19	Wait in forming your opinion, case submitted to you,	
20	yeah.	
21	THE COURT: Okay.	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: And then I can be fair and	
23	impartial on both sides.	
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I appreciate that.	
25	If we could pass it to Ms. Gomez, Badge Number 121.	

1	Go ahead, Ms. Gomez. Whenever you're ready, from the
2	top.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: Uh-huh. I'm be here in
4	Clark County until 1999.
5	I go to the college in Mexico. I finish my college. And I
6	coming here, and five years ago, I go to again school to study for
7	massage therapies. I'm back and forth, back and forth to the
8	college to learning English, where I never finished.
9	I'm employed now.
10	I not married, but I had a religion [indiscernible], and he
11	were in the houseman in one casino.
12	l do have a children.
13	I do have a I don't know is this for victim or crime
14	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a crime
15	before? Like, have you ever been robbed or hit or
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: Somebody put in my
17	one shooting at me, and then I report and I go to my separation
18	long time ago. I don't remember.
19	THE COURT: Oh, it was a boyfriend of yours?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: Held you at gunpoint?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And so you report it. Was this in
24	Mexico?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: No, here.

1	THE COURT: Oh, here, okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: Here.
3	THE COURT: In Las Vegas?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: In Las Vegas. Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And do you remember what
6	happened?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: This moment happen for
8	bankruptcy and it's hard to report everything. And he's very angry,
9	upset. And he say don't he don't do nothing. And then
10	everything is by my name. And I say, oh, I need to do
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: you know, and then put
13	it to me the [indiscernible] in my face.
14	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: This is I coming one
16	more times here, but I don't stay for and this time I don't never,
17	never understand nothing and this moment English.
18	I don't understand the next question.
19	THE COURT: The next question is have you can you
20	base your verdict so if you were selected as a juror, can you form
21	that decision only on the things that you hear and see in the
22	courtroom and not let outside influences distract you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 121: I don't know.
24	THE COURT: Okay. That's okay. Thank you so much,
25	ma'am. I appreciate it.

1	Let's go onto Mr. Stieve, Badge Number 129.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 129: Yeah, I've been in Clark
3	County since '92.
4	I do have some college, but no degree.
5	I am currently employed as a risk coordinator for a casino
6	I am married, my wife is medically retired.
7	l do have children, a 40-year-old who works for Clark
8	County Fire Department, a stepson who he works for Tesla.
9	Never been a victim of a crime, don't know anybody who
10	has been accused of a crime.
11	Never served on a jury.
12	There's I don't know anything about this trial, and I can
13	be impartial.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.
15	Ms. Gries, Badge Number 130.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Hi. I've lived in Vegas
17	for since 2013.
18	I graduate high school in '06. I have some college credits
19	but no degree.
20	l am employed. I'm a shift manager at Taco Bell.
21	I am married. My husband works at Big-O Tires as a tire
22	tech.
23	I do have children, 6, 4, and 1.
24	I haven't been a victim of crime. I don't know anybody
25	that's been accused of a crime.

1	I've never conved on a jury before
	I've never served on a jury before.
2	I never heard of the trial before.
3	And yes, I can solely my evidence when I'm in the trial.
4	And then I can be fair and impartial on both sides.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Where did you live before Vegas?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: California.
7	THE COURT: And how long in California?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Maybe four years, five
9	years.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Is do you guys move because of
11	jobs?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: No. I lived with my I
13	live my dad out in California.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Before that, I lived in
16	Delaware. So with my mom. That was the longest years of, like,
17	eight years.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Got it.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 130: Yeah.
20	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
21	Ms. Kallush, Badge Number 134.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I've lived in Clark County
23	since
24	THE COURT: Oh, just if you wouldn't mind holding that
25	mic to your yeah. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I've lived in Clark County since January of 2013.

I finished about two years of college, but I have degree equivalency as an accountant.

I was employed as an accountant and a business development consultant. I'm retired and I'm an executive with a local nonprofit, a volunteer executive.

I'm married. My husband does the same work that I did. We were partners in our firm. We have no children.

We have both been robbed at gunpoint in the past. The crimes were reported, the people were not caught.

THE COURT: Was that -- where was that at?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Let's see. My husband was robbed twice in Detroit as a worker in two different retail stores, two different years. I was robbed in Louisville, Kentucky, in my parking lot of the neighborhood I lived in. And that was in the '70s. And then we were robbed in a home that we owned in Macon, Georgia.

THE COURT: Were you -- when -- were you home at the time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Actually, no. It was -- I had been there for the weekend. The house was cased while I was there. I had stayed with a neighbor the night before I left and the house was robbed the next day.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, in each of these situations,

were the police called?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: The police were called.

THE COURT: Do you feel like in each of these situations, it was handled properly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: For the early robberies, yes. But for the one that happened at our house, I had to contact them multiple times, they never called me. A realtor was handling the sale of our house at the time. They called her once, they retrieved some of our items, and I could never get a hold of them to get our items back.

THE COURT: All right. Do you feel like any of those situations would prevent you in being fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I would hope not. But, like someone else said earlier, it depends on what the subject matter would be of the trial. And I, you know, I can't guarantee that my judgment wouldn't be jaded by my own experience.

THE COURT: So in --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I would try, but --

THE COURT: Yeah. In the limited information that the State gave, it is burglaries and grand larcenies, meaning going into public spaces.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Right.

THE COURT: There's -- so it's, you know, more stores, like you heard Cosmo --

PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO.	134:	Right.

THE COURT: -- or Caesar's or things like that. So it's not factually similar to your scenario.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Right. Well, we were also robbed in a store that we owned. So I do have some other experiences, but I would do my best to be partial -- to be impartial.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Let's see. I have been called to serve as a juror before, but not selected, multiple times.

Let's see. I haven't heard anything about this trial.

And, again, I would try to weigh the information based on what took place in the trial.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let's go to Mr. Seepo, Badge Number 135.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: Excuse me, I told you before, my English for me is not very good.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 135: So just like now with the bad time, I'm call my son. He told me some part of the questions.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Can I see the parties in the back, please.

Just one second, Mr. Seepo.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

THE COURT: All right, guys. We're outside the presence of the jury. I wanted to talk to the parties about a few things.

So Mr. Seepo right now, Badge Number 135, he contacted my marshal on the break and let him know that while he can speak English, he doesn't read or write in English. So that's why he's saying he called his son. I think he called his son — I don't know if he took a snapshot of the questions, but he doesn't read or write English. And I don't think he's trying to get off, because he is very articulate, like Mr. Stanton was saying. But, I mean, if he can't read or write, then we've got an issue with jury instructions, with PowerPoints, et cetera.

State?

MR. STANTON: I agree with the Court, that makes him unable to serve.

MR. FISCHER: And I'll submit it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Seepo's going to be excused.

Ms. Gomez, Liliana Gomez -- give me a second.

Ms. Gomez, Badge Number 121, the State had discussed some concerns they had. I allowed her to stay, because I wanted her to be able to do some back-and-forth with the attorneys. However, when asked -- being asked questions on the sheet, she clearly was struggling. Brought up again and again that she didn't understand English. Couple of her answers to my questions were nonresponsive. I definitely don't think she enough command of the English language to sit as a juror in this trial.

State?

MR. STANTON: I would agree with the Court's.

assessment. In addition, when she was reading the standard questions, she did not understand or at least did not address any of the follow-up questions to the main question. I observed that to be an artifact of her inability to read. I would join asking that she be removed for cause.

MR. FISCHER: And again, I didn't necessarily get the impression that language was as much of a barrier. But for other reasons, I'll submit it.

THE COURT: Okay. So she'll be released.

Ms. Peel, Badge Number 267, the 32-week pregnant lady, she has now produced paperwork that she is high risk, and she now has multiple doctors' appointments this week, which she has shown proof to my marshal. So I am going to let her go.

All right. At any -- do you guys want to address Parks right now? Parks is the one who is good friends with Detective Grimes' wife. If you're not going to address it, that's fine. If you want to, I want to do it now so we can fill some of those seats if we have any empty. You know what I'm saying?

MR. FISCHER: I think I have to object [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Okay. So 035, Jennifer Parks, State?

MR. STANTON: Judge, there's nothing that she's stated that would cause her inability to be fair and impartial, which I think is the standard.

THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to let you guys do some follow-up with her. I do have some concerns based on -- it's

really hard when they say I think I can be fair, I'm going to try to be fair. Because, I mean, I don't -- I can't foretell in three days if she's going to raise her hand and say I thought I could be fair, but I can't. So I'm going to allow some follow-up by both sides on her. But it's definitely something that I'm looking at.

And then Bilzerian, Badge Number 111, he clearly doesn't remember -- did he even come to court whenever you were in there, Mr. Stanton?

MR. STANTON: I don't think he physically was ever in the courtroom when we were there.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. So I'm going to allow follow-up on him. But, obviously, he's on the radar as well. But thus far, he's said that he can be fair and it's not going to affect him.

So with that being said, I'm going to let go Gomez, Peel, Seepo, we'll get them in those seats, get their questions done, break for lunch, we come back, and you guys can have them.

MR. STANTON: Judge, I can't remember [indiscernible] you know, tendency or --

THE COURT: Yeah, for --

MR. STANTON: -- [indiscernible] put everything else [indiscernible] just accentuate that [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: I think he's going to [indiscernible].

MR. FISCHER: Oh, yeah, Bilzerian or whatever, the --

MR. STANTON: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: Badge Number 121, Liliana Gomez, could you please stand; Ms. Peel, Badge Number 267; and Mr. Seepo, Badge Number 135. Thank you so much for your service today, you are excused. You can go ahead and leave. Thank you.

And then if we could please fill Seats 20 and 24, please, Ms. Brown.

THE CLERK: Okay. So Seat Number 20 will be Alex Perren, Badge Number 140; and in Seat 24 will be Manuel Cortez, Badge Number 144.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 140: All right. I was born and raised in Clark County, Nevada, so 1997.

I have gotten a MIG welding certification at CSN.

Yes, I am employed. I am a independent contractor out in Logandale, Nevada, where I do tours through the Valley of Fire.

I'm in a significant relationship. My partner is a server at a cafe.

I do not have children.

I have not been a victim of a crime, but I do have a couple friends who were in burglary situations.

No, I have not been accused of a crime.

No, I have not served as a juror before.

No, there is not anything about the trial that I have heard that will make me not be a good juror.

Yes, I can base my verdict solely on the evidence presented. And yes, I can be fair and impartial to both sides.

THE COURT: Okay. Do I have Manuel Cortez in here? Manuel Cortez? Okay.

So I'm going to need to do an Order to Show Cause for Mr. Cortez, because he was here this morning and didn't come back. So I'll issue an Order to Show Cause.

So we'll have to have Mr. Crawford, if you could please get into Seat 24, I'd appreciate that.

Whenever you're ready, from the top.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: I've been in Clark County since 1990.

How far did you go to school? I -- okay. I got a bachelor's degree in accounting.

I'm employed. I'm working as an agent at insurance agency.

I am not married. I have two children, age 32 and 33. My son works for the Air Force, he's a mechanic, and my daughter is a homemaker.

I was a victim of a couple of crimes. One of them, my house was burglarized, and the other was my van was burglarized.

THE COURT: And were those here in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yes.

THE COURT: And were they reported?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yes.

THE COURT: And how did you feel that was handled by either the police department or the prosecuting office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: It was handled okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those situations that make you feel like it would be difficult for you to be fair and impartial in this case because of it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: No.

THE COURT: Thank you. Keep going.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: I have never been accused of a crime or I don't know anyone who was.

I have never served as a juror.

I haven't heard anything about this trial.

And yes, I can base my verdict on the evidence and I'd be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Thank you.

All right. So that concludes the Court's preliminary questions for the first 24. I'll now turn it over to the State of Nevada for questions for the first 24.

MR. STANTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask this question, it's a general question for all those members at least seated, as the judge had indicated, here in the floor, in the central part of the room. If, for some reason, my question triggers something that is a response to you, please raise your hand and then we'll do the same drill as far as your name and badge number.

This being a criminal case, there are certain things that have happened in the past that lead to my questions to you. And they're, security officer, things that you can't do during a trial. And so we know very little about you other than the brief questions that you've answered today. And so this is kind of a series of questions to probe what type of person you are and whether or not that type of person suits well with a criminal case.

So the best way for me to explain this is to ask my first question to you, and that is, in a criminal case, civil, as well, a juror, if you are selected, you cannot do your own investigation. And this used to be kind of simplistic about what happens, but with the advent of the Internet and phones and everything like that, it has become somewhat of a problem in the sense that eight out of the top 30 television shows deal with *CSI* or some form of the criminal justice system, as does YouTube and several other social media sites that deal with people trying to solve crimes.

So my question to you all is, looking inside as to the type of person you are, could you abide by the Court's instruction throughout the entirety of this trial, that you cannot conduct your own investigation, going on the Internet, looking up terms, going to the scenes that you're going to hear and see as evidence during this trial to make whatever assessment you want or what -- why you think you would be compelled to go there. You can't do any of that.

For the time that you're sworn as a juror in this case until the verdict is rendered, all the information that you use to decide

and to render your verdict will be held and heard in a court of law and nowhere else.

Is there anybody here that feels they cannot do that? No positive response.

The second thing that has caused problems if people posting that you are in the process or potentially, ultimately selected as a juror in this case, and then posting that on social media. You can't do that, either.

I know there's a person in here who I happen to have seen in social media, they have several million followers to their different social media sites. And you can't post -- and I'm not saying this person would -- but you cannot post anything about the contents of what's going on.

I'm sure most of you, if not all of you, have heard over the past several years cases that have made the medica, from O.J. Simpson's trial in California to his trial here in Las Vegas. If you think about the jurors that were selected on cases like that or even more recently than that, it would be entirely improper for any member of the jury at any time during the trial to post anything about what they've heard, their thoughts about the case, anything like that on social media.

Now, that sounds all very common sensical, but believe me, it happens, and it happens on a regular basis. And thus, once again, my question is: Does anybody feel like they cannot follow that rule, that you cannot broadcast the content's nature and

aspects of serving in this particular case? No positive response.

There is also, over my years of being a prosecutor, what I refer to as the *CSI* vortex. And what I mean by that is out of those eight out of 30 top shows, a lot of those shows, to include kind of the famous one, the *CSI* show, deals with forensics and how forensic plays a part in criminal investigations and, ultimately, in criminal prosecutions. That show actually claimed to be based upon what happens here in Las Vegas.

I don't want to ask you as a show of hands who's fans of the show or whatever. But simply this: Is there anybody in here, and please raise your hand if you think you're one of these individuals, that would require in a criminal prosecution some forms of forensic evidence, like DNA or fingerprints, in order to be able to convict a defendant. In other words, unless you show me some science, I'm not being able to convict anybody beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anybody feel that it has to be that kind of forensics in order for them to convict?

The next series of questions --

And, Your Honor, do you want me to go into specifics too or just generals at this point?

THE COURT: Nope, go for it.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Now I have some specific questions for those of you that have previously been questioned by the Court. I'd like to start off with Mr. Meador.

Mr. Meador, could you raise your hand so I'm not -- okay.

1	You had a background in paralegal training; what type of law did
2	you want to get into when you became a paralegal?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I actually wanted to get
4	into probate, is what I wanted to do when I was in school.
5	MR. STANTON: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: In fact, contracts and
7	probate.
8	MR. STANTON: So from probate, you end up on the
9	parole board. That's not a straight line, but I assume
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, it's kind of like
11	MR. STANTON: Right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.
13	MR. STANTON: Let me ask you, you say you're present
14	during parole hearings.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Uh-huh.
16	MR. STANTON: Is that hearings where they take evidence
17	from what the statute in Nevada requires or at least offers people to
18	present evidence?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: They don't present
20	evidence. But we have the case summaries, and whatever evidence
21	was found is written in the case summaries. And they do talk about
22	it with every inmate that they talk to.
23	MR. STANTON: Okay. Well, there's parole hearings, and
24	I'm sure you're aware of this, where people outline by statute
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Uh-huh.

1	MR. STANTON: can testify in front of the parole board.
2	Are you involved in any of that process?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No. But we do hear,
4	basically, any kind of crime you could think of for any kind of
5	inmate you could think of, we talk to them.
6	MR. STANTON: Okay. But that also includes victims who
7	have a right to address the parole board
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
9	MR. STANTON: in parole hearings.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Victims and supporters of
11	the inmates also show up, and we hear what they have to say.
12	MR. STANTON: And you're part of that process?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
14	MR. STANTON: Okay. And so you're kind of familiar, and
15	this is a Dave Stanton term, with prison math, how things are
16	calculated
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.
18	MR. STANTON: for parole board hearings?
19	You'd understand that, in this particular case, a case
20	where the jury would not be determining the punishment, that the
21	knowledge that you have via your job cannot be introduced at any
22	time if you're selected as a juror in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
24	MR. STANTON: You'd understand that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And so let's just get past the fact that you understand that, let's say in your deliberations, someone remembers that you're on the parole board and they ask you during deliberations, hey, you're on the parole board, what is the punishment for this charge? You understand that you couldn't answer that question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I understand that.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Great. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Uh-huh.

MR. STANTON: Let me go to my next juror. That would be Ms. Miller.

And, Detective Kelly, it's all the way up front here. And that would be, for the record Badge Number 026.

Ms. Miller, I want to ask you a couple of questions about the incident that you described about the victim portion of the questionnaire.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Sure.

MR. STANTON: Is there -- did you interact with detectives during that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: For the rape?

MR. STANTON: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I think so. I just can't remember. Like, it was so long ago. Like, I don't even care anymore.

MR. STANTON: Okay. So it sounds like some details, at

least the aspect of the investigation process, you've kind of, probably for good reason, want to put aside and move on with your life.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Is that a fair --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I don't even care about it anymore.

MR. STANTON: Let me just ask one final question about that. There is a distinction in law enforcement, a significant one between what most people would understand it to be: Patrol officers, officers in uniform who drive a marked patrol unit that are dispatched to scenes. And then there is a detective, usually not in uniform. And they follow up and do investigations on the more serious charges. Do you have any recollection of a distinction between police that you talked to, in that case, detective versus patrol officers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah. I remember that there was a detective and, like, a little recording female and I remember that. But, like, I got so distraught during that whole procedure, I said I don't want the help.

MR. STANTON: Okay. So kind of my next question is, is there anything about that experience, with those police officers in this case or the other case that you mentioned, that causes you to

2

feel negatively about how law enforcement, patrol officers or detectives, responded and worked on your case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No. I'm very open. And, actually, I am interested in the field. So I am always open to see how a business is running.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: And how you guys do things. So, I -- like I said, I'm open-minded to see how this works. And if my voice has a say in putting somebody away that did something wrong, then that would be good, I guess.

MR. STANTON: Okay. But you don't have any preformed opinions one way or another as to either side in this case --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Right.

MR. STANTON: -- what the outcome or what the verdict would be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.

MR. STANTON: Correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you.

Next would be Garret Johnson, 047.

Mr. Johnson, I'm going to ask the question as you're getting the mic here. I want to talk to you about the comments you made about people close to you that had been victims of crime.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Okay.

MR. STANTON: It sounds like there was a very serious

crime that was part of the family or nuclear group sometime in the past with you; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It was not involving me. I only know it because the stories I was told. It happened before I was born. So.

MR. STANTON: Oh, okay. I gotcha. So -- but this was people that you knew in your greater family, not you, but some other people within a larger family unit; would that be accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And is that something that ultimately led to your uncle that you mentioned?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: They were completely distinct events.

MR. STANTON: Okay. So those are two different events, then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MR. STANTON: I gotcha.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes. The incident involving my family member and another family member happened in Minnesota.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Year-wise, I would say it was sometime in the '70s.

As far as the incident with my uncle, that was something that happened in Missouri I think around 2004.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And, once again, it sounds like, in
one, you didn't have much information about it at all. But out of
either one and collectively, either these events or any other in your
life, do you have any feelings strongly positive or strongly negative
towards law enforcement? Once again, law enforcement, for
purposes of my question, would be patrol officers as well as
detectives.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: And based on what little I do know, because I don't know the full story in any of the situations, I believe that everybody did what was -- what they were justified in doing.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: So I don't have strong feelings one way or the other. I feel like the outcomes that presented themselves were appropriate.

MR. STANTON: And as far as -- that would include your uncle that you believe he was treated fairly both in the fact that he was convicted as well as whatever punishment was meted out to him; would that be correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes, based on his own words.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you, sir. I appreciate it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Thank you.

MR. STANTON: One quick question for Ms. Jose, Badge Number 048.

1	Ms all the way in front, Dean.
2	You indicated you worked in nursing? Can you tell me
3	what type of nursing you do and what type of nursing you have
4	done in the past?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes. I work at the
6	hospital right now. It's a cardiology unit. And I work in critical care
7	before too in the
8	MR. STANTON: So that is pre-op or post-op?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It's a pre-op and a
10	post-op.
11	MR. STANTON: Okay. Both?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Both.
13	MR. STANTON: Have you ever worked in an ER room?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. But I get floated
15	there sometimes.
16	MR. STANTON: Oh, you do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Uh-huh.
18	MR. STANTON: So even now you will rotate into an ER
19	room?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, not anymore,
21	because I work in a specialty unit.
22	MR. STANTON: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: So I just stay there.
24	MR. STANTON: But you used to be part of a rotation that
25	would do some ER time?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Right.
2	MR. STANTON: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: True, right.
4	MR. STANTON: Thank you very much.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Uh-huh. You're
6	welcome.
7	MR. STANTON: And Ms I think it's pronounced Zhuo?
8	And that would be, for the record, Badge Number 070.
9	Ma'am, what is the correct pronunciation of your last
10	name?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Zhou.
12	MR. STANTON: Okay. Can you tell me about your sister,
13	you said she as accused of a crime; do you remember that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I just heard it from her
15	friend. She work in casino before as the dealer. But I don't know
16	the actual situation. I just have the claim now.
17	MR. STANTON: So where was she living when she was
18	accused of the crime?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Living with me. That
20	yeah.
21	MR. STANTON: Here in Las Vegas?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes.
23	MR. STANTON: And was she arrested?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No.
25	MR. STANTON: She wasn't arrested for she was just a

suspect in a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes. So -- but I don't know the actually what happened, and just the one is happened, we find the lawyer or something to help her. Yeah.

MR. STANTON: Okay. So you don't know if she was ever arrested for the crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I don't know.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And I would assume, based upon your answer to my question, you don't know whether or not she was convicted of anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yeah, I don't know, actually, the full --

MR. STANTON: Okay. Does she still work in a casino? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Do you -- did you have any discussion with her about -- or come away with an opinion whether or not she was treated fairly during this investigation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I just don't -- as little time she's feel as that'll be set on something, and she find a number to of trying to stop another things. Yeah.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you.

If you could go, Dean, to -- right -- if you could stop right there, to Mr. Bilzerian, Number -- Badge Number 111.

Mr. Bilzerian, you mentioned about being charged involving a tractor trailer in the desert.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Yes.

MR. STANTON: Do you remember much about that case as far as who was involved in either the investigation or the prosecution of the case from my office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I don't.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Would it be fair to say that you don't -- well, let me just ask you: What is your thoughts about Mr. Brooks and myself being the prosecutors in that same office that prosecuted you for that offense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I mean, it was bullshit, but I don't hold it against you guys. I mean, tannerite's legal, you can buy it at Bass Pro Shops. Semi truck's mine, nothing illegal about it. People do it all the time. But, you know, what are you going to do?

MR. STANTON: Well, is there anything about the -- if you're selected as a juror in this particular case, that Mr. Brooks or myself, being part of the office that prosecuted you, that you thought was bullshit, is that going to be a problem for Mr. Brooks or myself?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: No. I just didn't like how it was guilty until proven innocent.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Because they took away my ability to buy firearms and, you know, whatnot. So other than that. But I don't hold it against you guys. I don't even remember

who it was that was prosecuting me.

MR. STANTON: Okay. And you're able to purchase firearms now? I mean, there's nothing about your conviction that precludes that, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Actually, for some weird reason, they're still not allowing me to purchase firearms.

MR. STANTON: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Even though it was a misdemeanor offense.

MR. STANTON: And it's that misdemeanor that's the basis of the denial, as you understand it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: That's what I was told.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you, sir.

Is there anything else about your interaction with law enforcement, both as a victim several times and also this poker guy, that has a negative experience about law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I have negative and positive. I was part of law enforcement. I've been on both sides of it. So no, I'm impartial.

MR. STANTON: And so kind of like in every line of work, law enforcement being no different, there's good, bad, and average in everything. And you see that in law enforcement too, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: 100 percent.

MR. STANTON: And as you're reserve, you kind of see and have seen that different quality of work both at a patrol level as

well as a detective level; would that be accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Yes.

MR. STANTON: And can you, if you were selected as a juror in this case, could you make that evaluation about the credibility and the weight to lend to testimony, in particular, involving law enforcement based upon your assessment of the evidence you hear and see in a courtroom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I mean, I would be completely impartial, if that's what you're asking.

MR. STANTON: Yeah. That's -- it -- just ruling -- whatever you assess, your background, both working with and having interactions with law enforcement, you'll base it by what you see in a courtroom, not on anything else that's happened in your life; would that be true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: That's correct.

MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you, sir.

If I could, Dean, ask you to go to Mr. Leboeuf, and that would be Badge Number 116.

Sir, can you tell me how I can correctly pronounce your last name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: It's Leboeuf?

MR. STANTON: Leboeuf?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: Leboeuf.

MR. STANTON: And, sir, your voice is a little low and I couldn't hear you, and I apologize. You're currently unemployed?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: Yes.
2	MR. STANTON: And when you were employed, what did
3	you do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: I worked at a door shop,
5	just, you know, doing nailing up doors, building them, and
6	working on the machines and whatnot.
7	MR. STANTON: Okay. Thank you, sir.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 116: Uh-huh.
9	MR. STANTON: If I could have, Dean, this to Badge
0	Nicole Kallush, Badge Number 134. Okay. Thank you.
11	You described that you are an executive for a nonprofit?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: Yeah.
13	MR. STANTON: Can you tell me what the nonprofit its
14	name and its general goal or function?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: [Indiscernible; audio cut
6	out.]
7	MR. STANTON: No, it's not on yet. So let Detective
8	O'Kelly
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: How's that?
20	MR. STANTON: Perfect.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: You can tell I use the mid
2	at my job.
23	MR. STANTON: Right.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 134: I am the VP of luncheons
25	for the West Side Newcomers Club. It is a local nonprofit. It is not

a charity, it's a social organization that helps women who move to the valley or have life changes become more socially integrated to avoid loneliness. And it's a -- that's our philanthropy.

MR. STANTON: I gotcha. Thank you very much. Judge, I have no further questions.

THE COURT: At this time, do you pass the panel?

MR. STANTON: I do, except reserving any questions that defense counsel would bring up.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our lunch hour at this time. Again, the admonishment is still in effect.

Please, during the recess, do not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media, read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, do any research such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials, make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own, or form or express any opinion regarding this case until the matter is formally submitted to you.

It is 12:20. I'll see you back here at 1:20, please.

[Court recessed at 12:18 p.m., until 1:30 p.m.]

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel.]

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Welcome back from lunch, everybody. We're on the record in State of Nevada versus Andrew Young, C-350623. Mr. Young is present with his attorney, Mr. Fischer, both deputy district attorneys, Mr. Stanton and Mr. Brooks, are present.

Do both parties stipulate to the presence of the jury? All right. Great.

So right before we stopped for lunch, the State turned over the panel. So, Mr. Fischer, the floor is yours.

MR. FISCHER: Good afternoon. Appreciate everybody's time.

Just wanted to make a few general statements and get some feedback. And feel free to raise your hand. But does anybody here not understand that there are two different burdens of proof, when we're talking about a civil case versus a criminal case, which is what we have here? Does anybody not understand that or want me to explain what that means?

Yes, juror number, raising her hand. The lady. You'd like us to -- like me to explain it? All right. I'll try to put my law professor hat on for a minute, okay?

UNIDENTIFIED PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Thank you.

MR. FISCHER: So in a civil case we're talking about the burden of proof being on the plaintiff by a preponderance of the evidence. Okay. Whereas, in a criminal case, we're talking about the burden of proof is on the State, the two gentlemen to my left, to

prove each and every element of the offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt. So those are two different evidentiary standards of proof, preponderance in a civil where money is at issue, versus liberty, and the burden being reasonable doubt. Does that make sense?

Are there any follow-up questions?

That's very fundamental to our country, to this constitution is understanding that.

Anyone take issue with the presumption of innocence that our constitution provides? What is the presumption of innocence?

Anyone?

Right here, ma'am, Juror Number --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: 35.

MR. FISCHER: Thank you, ma'am. Go ahead.

THE COURT: This is Ms. Parks, for the record.

MR. FISCHER: Yes. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: That's correct.

Though we are to presume the innocence of the gentleman you're representing until the State shows otherwise.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And they show otherwise how?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: By presenting evidence that would bring us to believe beyond a reasonable doubt that your client is guilty.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right. And you're making it specific to Mr. Young, who does stand charged. And so I -- that's

okay. That's a correct statement.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: But generally speaking, any of your criminal clients.

MR. FISCHER: So in general terms, presumption of innocence under our constitution is, only because you're charged does not automatically equal that you are also guilty. Does anybody take issue with that?

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Fischer. It's just the first 24 for right now.

MR. FISCHER: Oh, it's just the first 24? Okay. So am I going beyond that, Judge?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

THE COURT: He just had a question for the marshal.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. We'll take it one step beyond that, the fact that Mr. Young is taking his case to a jury trial, does anyone take issue with that specific to Mr. Young here? Okay.

And you will expect to receive or see evidence in the form of witnesses, the State's burden showing that the charges being brought are, in fact, the responsibility of Mr. Young seated to my right.

Now, credibility of witnesses, does anybody here think that just because somebody works in law enforcement, they should have more credibility than, say, a regular witness to an event? And in the first 24, I guess I'll just start pointing fingers. Anybody have

an opinion?

This is a good one for you, sir. Right here, Juror Number -- Meador.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Meador. Do you think simply because you're law enforcement, simply -- not because you, but because a witness is law enforcement, that they automatically should have more credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.

MR. FISCHER: So you would judge each witness by their testimony and by what they're describing to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.

MR. FISCHER: Regardless of whether or not, for example, they have a badge? Or in some cases, it could also be you would hold the badge against them, correct? Which we don't want either.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I'd say I wouldn't put it against them, but everyone --

MR. FISCHER: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: -- I would take everyone as equal, whether they're law enforcement or not, personally.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And that's exactly what we want.

And I know everybody likes to pick on you a little bit, if you want to call it that, with your legal background, your paralegal background, working on the parole board. Do you feel you would be a good juror here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I think I would.

MR. FISCHER: And why is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Because I see things, similar cases, I guess, with -- well, not necessarily similar -- well, yeah, similar cases and cases in general, I hear all day long. We do about 25 a day. So I see a little bit of everything. And I think I see a little bit more of this than the average person would that's not working for something in a similar field.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Now, you understand that there's no specialized training or experience other than what's brought into this trial. Obviously, your everyday experience. But any specialized training, we really, you know -- you wouldn't want to make decisions beyond what you should be as a juror, understanding what you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah, I understand.

MR. FISCHER: Yes? Okay. Good. It was a little awkwardly expressed, but I think --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yeah.

MR. FISCHER: -- everyone hopefully understood what I was getting at there. Okay. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Meador.

Court's indulgence here.

So Ms. Parks, Juror 35. We're going to follow up a little bit more. And it's certainly not a crime to be friends with somebody who is in law enforcement. It's not at all what I'm suggesting. And as a defense attorney, I'm certainly not saying

that, either. But we, obviously, want to talk about the fact that a witness or a potential witness in this case is someone that you have some familiarity with.

So having said that, do you feel you would be a good juror under the circumstances? Would you be able to do what we just discussed with Mr. Meador?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: There we go. I don't feel differently than what I said prior, that I've never been faced with that before. It's a very valid question. It's -- everything in this -- in that question. I don't know that it would be an issue, except if you have direct opposition to that witness's testimony, if you have a counter-witness for that, that could be an issue.

Because between someone I don't know and someone that I do, all things being equal and hearing the words that -- I don't know that I would give the same weight if I heard contradictory things, direct contradictory things to that person's testimony.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. So am I hearing you saying that if you have some familiarity with the person, you may tend to give them -- their testimony a little bit more weight? Or what they have to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I don't think it's -- it's very minorly different than that. I feel that it's more if there was -- if you brought a witness that was in direct opposition, opposing witness to that very statement, that that's where it would be an issue. I don't think that just the -- a general testimony from a witness that I

know and have that familiarity would necessarily tip me towards that side's argument. It's that if it's in direct opposition. Because if two people are saying things that are opposite, either one is lying --

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: -- or they just have a different memory of it, a different viewpoint of it. One of the two, right?

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: So -- and I don't know what's going to be presented. So that's where I'm, like, oh, we're speaking a little in hypotheticals, right?

MR. FISCHER: Well, yeah, sure. It's a -- definitely a hypothetical --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: It's hard to know.

MR. FISCHER: -- and that's -- we're -- it -- you know, we don't want you to feel that you should be excluded, because, again, I started saying that's certainly not a crime to associated or friends with somebody.

In this case, it goes a little bit beyond that. We just want to make sure that I'm still not clear under the circumstances what you're describing, are you saying that you would give the testimony of two witnesses giving the same type of testimony equal weight? Is that what you're saying?

THE COURT: Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: Yes.

nurse consultant.

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: In civil matters.

MR. FISCHER: Right. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I really don't dabble in the -- in any criminal matters. But I have to look at everything, I have to look at what's in medical records, what are statements that are said, read depositions and look at all of that together in order to form an opinion and to be of assistance. The difference is I don't know any of those people. So I have not been faced with what is it when I know someone and respect their character versus just having some general knowledge of the person. Does that help?

MR. FISCHER: That does. And I think that sounds fair. I appreciate your honesty. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: And if I may just follow up.

Your Honor, you asked if it would be in direct contradiction. And yes, if it weren't in direct contradiction or if they were similar stories, would I give more weight? There wouldn't probably be a reason to. It's if there's opposing testimony to that statement that the person I know gave.

THE COURT: Understood.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

funding for schooling and housing. So I went for schooling and housing, and then I did the hotel management and culinary.

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: As a trade.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Like a trade school.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right. No children, married, and then you have had some involvement with -- as a victim yourself in the criminal justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.

MR. FISCHER: And you don't feel that that was handled very well, you said, as it pertained to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah, the one to me, I didn't personally think that was handled well. But at the end of the day, I just let it go.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And what was it about that experience that wasn't handled correctly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Well, rape. Rape is always a big topic.

MR. FISCHER: Let me stop you real quick. I guess the question is a little more specific. In terms of law enforcement and how it was handled, that's really -- I understand it was a serious -- there was a serious accusation, but what was it about that law enforcement that maybe it wasn't --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: They --

23

24

25

MR. FISCHER: If I'm hearing you correctly.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah. The law enforcement and the detectives -- basically, the judgment. The judgment was very, very rude. You know, not trusting what we have to say as being victims and that was probably the big thing, like, just not having my voice heard. Which is, like, the number one things in the Constitution, right? You have the right to your voice. So, I don't know, it just -- when I wasn't being heard on the topic, I just dismissed the charges.

MR. FISCHER: So you felt you weren't heard, so you felt like maybe your rights weren't protected somewhere along the way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes, correct.

MR. FISCHER: And am I hearing you correctly, that it was -- that you didn't feel good about that, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Right. And, like, to be honest, in any type of law situations, getting a ticket or anything like that, I always feel like I'm not being heard as a person.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. That's a -- that's fair. I appreciate that answer.

Was it Stratton with the retired military pilot husband? There we go. Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Hello.

MR. FISCHER: And that's not a crime, either, to be retired from the military, by the way. So.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I hope not.
2	MR. FISCHER: Which branch did your husband serve in?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: The Army.
4	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And he served, what, 20 years?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 20 years.
6	MR. FISCHER: More than 20?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 20 years.
8	MR. FISCHER: Okay. When did he retire?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 1995.
10	MR. FISCHER: Oh, that's been a little while then.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
12	MR. FISCHER: Did you guys travel?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Traveled all over.
14	MR. FISCHER: Where did you travel while you were in
15	military or were you in the military or just your husband?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Oh, no. No. My
17	father-in-law we're all military, the whole family.
18	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Military brats, military
20	spouse. Yeah. We were in Germany, Kentucky, Alabama,
21	Washington state, New York. I think that's about it.
22	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And he was a pilot for the Army?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah, Black Hawk pilot.
24	MR. FISCHER: Oh, cool. Okay. All right. Thank you.
25	And then Mr. Johnson, 47. Hi there.

1

2

assault.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Hello.
MR. FISCHER: So you've been a victim of a few different
situations in your or crimes, as you as we put it; is that correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I've been a victim of

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I just -- I've had family members, as well, who have been victims of various crimes.

MR. FISCHER: And those were -- and I'm sorry if you already answered this, were those reported crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: My assault was not reported. As far as I know, my immediate family members, the molestation she suffered as a child, I believe that was reported. My uncle's lewdness with a minor was reported and prosecuted him.

MR. FISCHER: And did you say that those were handled, in your opinion, they were handled fairly as far as you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MR. FISCHER: They were? And then you never reported the assault, I think you said in school, maybe, as it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MR. FISCHER: How old were you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I was 15.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And that was here in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Yes.

MR. FISCHER: And why did you not report I?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I didn't want to tell anybody about it. I felt ashamed that it happened, because I didn't feel that it happened with a sexual intent. But the assault was of a sexual nature. So it felt very violating. And at that point, I didn't want to tell anybody about it. Reporting it would have just opened it up; I didn't want that.

MR. FISCHER: And do you have any regrets about not reporting it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: No, because, again, I don't feel that the way I felt about what happened matched with the intent behind what happened. So it's something I moved on from.

MR. FISCHER: So this wasn't a legal proceeding that determined that, that was your own thinking --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: It's just my rationalization of it, correct.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Do you think you would be a fair and impartial juror if you were selected here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: I believe so.

MR. FISCHER: Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Thank you.

MR. FISCHER: 48, Jose, please. Okay.

Hi there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Hi.

MR. FISCHER: Right in front of me. We don't even need these microphones, but nobody else could hear us.

1	So you are employed at the hospital; is that right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
3	MR. FISCHER: And which hospital is that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Valley.
5	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And you're the you're is it you
6	husband who's the lab manager?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: My husband is a lab
8	manager in Spring Valley.
9	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And you're and what do you do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm an RN in a specialty
11	unit. It's we recover and we prep patients for heart procedures.
12	MR. FISCHER: How long have you been doing that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I've been working here at
14	the in Las Vegas for almost, like, 15 years.
15	MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right. And you have three
16	children; those keep you busy, I'm sorry, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah.
18	MR. FISCHER: What were their ages again?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm so sorry?
20	MR. FISCHER: Your children's ages?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I have 17, I have a 14, and
22	I have a 10.
23	MR. FISCHER: So 17 is a junior, then?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah.
25	Ty8thpe text here MR. FISCHER: About to go into senior year of high

1	school.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah.
3	MR. FISCHER: Here we go.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm not ready for that.
5	MR. FISCHER: So you mentioned the word burglary,
6	victim of a crime; is that right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
8	MR. FISCHER: And I just wanted to follow up on that a
9	little bit. That was here in Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
11	MR. FISCHER: And what was the year for that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Probably around 2011.
13	That was at my previous home.
14	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: In yeah, around the
16	Valley. Yeah.
17	MR. FISCHER: And that was reported?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It was reported, yeah.
19	MR. FISCHER: And was it was there any sort of
20	resolution?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm not sure if the person
22	was caught. And we're not even aware how many people, you
23	know
24	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
25	8thTy8thMarch pe text here PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: were in

1	MR. FISCHER: But you think there was a criminal
2	proceeding, maybe, that came from that, or you don't know?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I am not aware, actually.
4	MR. FISCHER: So you never testified or anything?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I never followed up on
6	that one.
7	MR. FISCHER: Okay. So that's not something that lingers
8	to this day in your mind as unresolved?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Probably just, you know,
10	my privacy, personal issues, you know.
11	MR. FISCHER: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yeah, but other than that,
13	no.
14	MR. FISCHER: Just feeling invaded because of that
15	experience?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
17	MR. FISCHER: Okay. But you wouldn't hold that
18	experience against Mr. Young, the fact that you've been through an
19	experience that was, essentially, a victim of a crime, you wouldn't
20	hold that against him?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
22	MR. FISCHER: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
23	Okay. All right. Gammie, 52, please. Okay.
24	Fort Collins, Colorado.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.

1	MR. FISCHER: All right. Okay. And then Clark County
2	since 2005?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Correct.
4	MR. FISCHER: Then victim of you said of several
5	crimes, armed robberies, assaults. Then you also provided a
6	some answers to a questionnaire; do you recall doing that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.
8	MR. FISCHER: Prior to trial?
9	And you answered some questions there, one of the
10	questions being:
11	Is the criminal justice system effective?
12	Do you remember that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.
14	MR. FISCHER: And, specifically, in there, your answer
15	and correct me if it's not right was no; is that right?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Correct.
17	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And then I'm going to come back to
18	that, if you don't mind, because I'd like to just follow up on it a little
19	bit. Okay?
20	And then the following question, 8, is: In general, you feel
21	the criminal justice system is fair? And then you say no, I believe.
22	Is that correct again? Is that your
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I'm not sure I follow you.
24	MR. FISCHER: Number 8, was your answer no?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I'd have to see the
- 1	

question again.

MR. FISCHER: Sure. Let's see if I have a hard copy of that right here.

Is that something I can do, Judge? Can I show him the questionnaire?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Let's see if I can get to it quickly here. Court's brief indulgence.

[Pause in proceedings.]

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, right, yeah.

MR. FISCHER: Did you have a chance to look at that, sir? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.

MR. FISCHER: Do you agree that that's what you wrote on that form at the time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right. Yes, I do.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And by the way, this isn't, like, a right-or-wrong, you know, I'm not trying to make you feel bad. I just want to understand and make sure we're all on the same page.

So to that question your answer was no, as well, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right.

MR. FISCHER: And then if we go down a little bit further, there's a specific question about: Have you ever had prejudicial thoughts about another person based on their racial background?

And then it goes on beyond that. I want to be exact with the language: Even if those thoughts made you feel uncomfortable

or uneasy?

And then your answer to that was yes; is that correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right. So if you don't mind, I just want to go back for a little bit and just talk about your answers to 7, 8, and 14; is that okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Thank you.

So regarding Question Number 17: In general, do you feel the criminal justice system is effective? And your answer is no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Correct.

MR. FISCHER: And what do you mean by that, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah, I just don't think that it's always effective.

MR. FISCHER: Like, could you be more specific?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Well, I mean, there's a lot of people that get away with crimes and a lot of people that are arrested unfairly. I don't think it's always effective. Should it be?

Oh, yeah. It's the best system we've got, so -- but it doesn't always work 100 percent.

MR. FISCHER: So am I hearing you say that mistakes occur, the system as a whole, mistakes happen, whether it be by the government actors, law enforcement, private attorneys representing people charged, public defenders --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right.

1	MR. FISCHER: judges?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Mistakes happen.
3	MR. FISCHER: You're saying along the way, in that entire
4	system
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Correct.
6	MR. FISCHER: mistakes happen?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right.
8	MR. FISCHER: So that's not different than any other
9	organization, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right. Right.
11	MR. FISCHER: Do you think that's correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I don't think it's a correct
13	or incorrect thing, it's just the way that it shakes out. Mistakes
14	happen, so.
15	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And, you know, we'll go a little bit to
16	sort of where I started in terms of some of the fundamental rights of
17	a trial. Right? And our founding fathers thought that this was
18	something that was very fundamental in that I think I took away
19	from it that it was effective. So are you saying that as it's being
20	done it's not effective?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, I think
22	MR. FISCHER: Or as it was created?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: As it was created, I think
24	it's effective. I just think in actual practice it's not as effective.
25	MR. FISCHER: Do you

	PROSPE	CTIVE J	UROR	NO. 0	52:	lt's	suppo	osed	to be	in a
you know	, perfect	world, b	out it's	not a	per	fect	world	d. Sc)	

MR. FISCHER: So you're saying the system itself, be it the Constitution, separation of powers and individual rights, those you don't take any issue with --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No.

MR. FISCHER: -- but you're saying that the system as it's maybe carried out now --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: In practice.

MR. FISCHER: -- 200-some years later --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right.

MR. FISCHER: -- isn't what you would like it to be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right.

MR. FISCHER: And your examples of that are what again? Like you were saying, judge -- I think you said that sometimes it's -- I don't want to put words in your mouth.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, but --

MR. FISCHER: But as far as the people responsible, did you have an opinion about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, it's just the way it is. It's just human nature, people make mistakes. There's mistakes on both sides, there's, you know, people make mistakes, they get arrested. People make mistakes and arrest the wrong people. It's just the way it is.

MR. FISCHER: And so that's why you're -- the follow-up

question is no, as well; is that why -- when we -- where when you're asked is the criminal justice system fair, in Question 8, you said no. Is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right. Well, I also think that there's a lot to do with, you know, do you have a lot of money, you can get the proper lawyers and you can bend the system around to your way of thinking or not. If you don't, same thing can happen in reverse.

MR. FISCHER: So you're saying that it's possible through really effective defense lawyer trickery to obtain acquittals?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I think it's possible, sure. I think everybody does.

MR. FISCHER: So what's an example of that type of trickery? What would that be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, I don't know. I haven't -- thinking of anything specific. You know, but I'm just saying in general.

MR. FISCHER: I'm not a magician. The evidence will be what it is.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Well, the -- you know, I mean, not having been through a trial, I don't know any specific stuff.

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I'm just going on what I hear.

1	MR. FISCHER: Will you keep an open mind if selected?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Sure.
3	MR. FISCHER: And maybe contribute to an effective
4	system.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Right.
6	MR. FISCHER: Specifically, an effective trial allowing for
7	Mr. Young to have a fair and impartial jury.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.
9	MR. FISCHER: Are you okay with that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Sure.
11	MR. FISCHER: Okay. So then Number 14 is the last one.
12	And this was the question about: Have you ever had prejudice
13	thoughts about another person based on their racial background,
14	even if those made you feel uncomfortable and uneasy?
15	Now, I'm not trying to point fingers; I just want to help
16	you help me understand what you mean by that statement.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, just thinking back to
18	in grade school and high school and the stuff we used to call each
19	other and guys we hung around with, you know.
20	MR. FISCHER: Okay. Can you be more specific? I'm
21	sorry, you know
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Well, just
23	MR. FISCHER: maybe in your life, but
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: thinking back, my high
25	school days.
	107

1	MR. FISCHER: give us an example. I'm sorry?					
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: You know, I can't think of					
3	any specific example, but back when you're kids, you know,					
4	everybody's saying stuff to everybody else and calling them names					
5	and					
6	MR. FISCHER: Are we referring to some type of reference					
7	to race here?					
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, yeah. Absolutely.					
9	MR. FISCHER: Are we referring to statements? Are we					
10	referring to actions? Are we referring to both? What are you					
11	saying?					
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, just name calling as					
13	kids.					
14	MR. FISCHER: I'm sorry?					
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Just name calling as kids					
16	You know, in school.					
17	MR. FISCHER: Okay. So maybe					
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: So-and-so are you					
19	so-and-so or					
20	MR. FISCHER: Racial slurs?					
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, absolutely, yeah.					
22	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And those made you feel					
23	uncomfortable?					
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah, you kind of get into					
25	the habit of it and it's not a good habit to be in.					

1	MR. FISCHER: And who was uncomfortable, you or the
2	other person, or both of you?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Oh, probably a little bit of
4	both.
5	MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right. Thank you, sir.
6	Dimick, 77, please. Dimick, 77.
7	Hi there.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Hi.
9	MR. FISCHER: I wrote in all capitals B-A-R, born and
10	raised in Las Vegas; is that correct?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
12	MR. FISCHER: Okay. I'm not going to pick on you, don't
13	worry.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Okay.
15	MR. FISCHER: I'm just going ask you a few questions.
16	You have a bachelor's in criminal justice; is that right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
18	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And what is it that so you work for
19	the Caesar's. How
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I work for
21	MR. FISCHER: I'm sorry?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: I work security for
23	Caesar's Palace.
24	MR. FISCHER: Okay. And how long have you done that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Three years.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And what's your -- tell us a little bit about your job responsibilities?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: We collect all the money, make sure there's no fights, kick out prostitutes, homeless.

MR. FISCHER: You interact with law enforcement quite a bit in that context?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: All the time.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And you didn't raise your hand as recognizing anybody the State named today; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yeah, I don't know.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. All right. So nothing about your employment with the -- with Caesar's Palace or your employment as private security would affect you here in, for example, giving, say, law enforcement and their testimony greater weight than that of another, like we kind of discussed just a few moments ago; would you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No. But my thing is, if you steal something and you get caught, you pay it and you've got to pay your comings. I mean, if that is what it is. I don't believe in -- I'm not going to --

MR. FISCHER: Sure. But the mere fact that somebody's charged and seated at this table doesn't mean that they're responsible or guilty, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Correct.

MR. FISCHER: So you would consider all the evidence

1	presented
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
3	MR. FISCHER: before you make a decision, even if you
4	had more than one officer telling you this is who you need to be
5	looking at, this is who did this?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Just because they're an
7	officer doesn't mean that they know any more than anybody. Yeah
8	No.
9	MR. FISCHER: Okay. Would you be a fair juror?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: If I knew that he didn't
11	yes. They've got to prove he did it.
12	MR. FISCHER: Who's they?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: They need to prove that
14	he did whatever they're I'm thinking it has to do something with
15	stealing.
16	MR. FISCHER: Well, so I think what you were just saying
17	is that they, being the State
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Yes.
9	MR. FISCHER: Mr. Stanton and Mr. Brooks for the State
20	are the ones who bear the burden of
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Proof.
22	MR. FISCHER: proving each and every element of the
23	offense charged here
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Uh-huh.
25	MR. FISCHER: beyond a
- 1	101

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077:	Reasonable doubt.

MR. FISCHER: Right. So you would expect them to do that, and you wouldn't just give them a pass if you thought maybe there was some evidence good in one place, but not in another. It's okay that, you know, they're the ones -- we're on the same team.

You wouldn't do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: No.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Well, thank you.

Okay. All right. Let's see here, Mr. Lin, 100.

Hi there, sir. How are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Not too bad.

MR. FISCHER: Good. Just a few minutes here. So 38 years in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes, sir.

MR. FISCHER: And where were you before that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I was born and raised here.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. So I would have guessed that, but the way it's written down, I wasn't sure if that meant you lived somewhere else. And you're a DJ?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes, sir.

MR. FISCHER: Is that your full-time profession?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Uh-huh. Not working right now, because nothing's open. But.

MR. FISCHER: That sounds almost as cool as the guy

behind you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Pretty much.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. Now, I did want to ask you a little bit about being robbed at gunpoint around 2003.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yeah, around there, 2002, 2003, when I was, like, around 20-ish.

MR. FISCHER: Is that -- what -- tell us about how that made you feel.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: The actual robbery or -MR. FISCHER: The experience, sure. I mean, yes. You
know, I guess, really, we're speaking to the experience, you know,
from --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yeah, it's -- I mean, it was pretty intense, you know. My then-girlfriend and I were outside my parents' house and then, you know, some teenagers ran up on us and, you know, did the whole thing. I turned around, there's a gun in my face. You know, we gave them our money and then they took off. We called the police, you know, did a report. They stopped some teenagers that fit the description and then they asked us to ID them, but, you know, we were pretty much in shock, so we really couldn't tell if it was them or not. So I don't think they ever caught anybody.

MR. FISCHER: So you bring up a really good point there.
What do you mean by you were in shock and you were -- what were
your words? You really couldn't --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: You know, just really frightened after that happened. And then, you know, the officers drove us around, they said they stopped some people. They're, like, were these the people? You know, we weren't positive about it, so we couldn't positively ID them. And then that was pretty much it.

MR. FISCHER: And what do you attribute that to, why you couldn't positively ID them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I mean, they were, like, when the situation was happening, they were behind me. So I didn't really get a great look at them. You know, I wasn't exactly going through my head to make a mental note of what, you know, exactly, they were wearing or anything like that.

MR. FISCHER: Were you inside an establishment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: I was outside my parents' house, in the driveway.

MR. FISCHER: So it was 2003, maybe, I don't know how advanced your family is, but did you have video surveillance of the incident?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: What's that?

MR. FISCHER: Was there any video surveillance of what occurred?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Oh, no, not at all.

MR. FISCHER: So there wasn't really anything other than what your memory was?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Mine and then my then-girlfriend.

MR. FISCHER: And both of you were not able to really -- what were you able to recall, if anything, as to the description?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: They -- you know, they were younger, probably in their teens. They were -- I think they took off on bicycles. They were African-American. You know, males. That's about it.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. That brings up an interesting point. So they were African-American. What -- and what about that, did that age your memory or did it, you think, cause you maybe some inability to remember specifics?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: No, I don't think so. You know, it just wasn't -- yeah, I just didn't want to get hurt at that point. That was running through my mind, you know, I wasn't plan -- you know, I wasn't thinking about making a mental note of exactly what they look like or anything like that.

MR. FISCHER: But you did your very best, obviously, to cooperate with law enforcement, provide them with the details that you could, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Uh-huh. Absolutely.

MR. FISCHER: And then nothing was -- nothing came out of it because they couldn't locate anybody that was responsible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yeah, you know, like I said, they did stop some people and they asked us to ID them to see

if, you know, we could give a positive ID if that was them or not.

But we couldn't, because we couldn't remember, you know, clearly exactly what they looked like.

MR. FISCHER: Anything about that experience that leaves you feeling frustrated or bitter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: No, not at all.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And that -- would that be something that you feel like you could set aside and consider all the evidence until it's been completely presented to you before reaching a decision in this case, yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Absolutely.

MR. FISCHER: And even though these two very handsome well-dressed gentlemen to my left will be able to do what they do, you'll still give the evidence your consideration, after considering it fully, yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 100: Yes, sir.

MR. FISCHER: Thank you.

Oh, boy. Leg span, right behind him. We won't talk too much celebrity here. I know everybody probably would love to. How are you, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Not too bad. I haven't slept much, but --

MR. FISCHER: I just did a quick search to pull up some images to give everybody an idea here. So -- meaning my client, who you are.

	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Okay.
	MR. FISCHER: Well, thank you for your time.
	What are your thoughts about being on this jury?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I wasn't too excited about
it.	But now that I'm here, figure I might as well do it.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. What does that mean? Be a little more specific. I don't want you to be so casual that, you know, we're talking --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Well, you asked me where my thoughts were at.

MR. FISCHER: -- we need serious people that can be fair and impartial. Cool people are cool, but we need serious people. Which one are you going to be if on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: No, no, I get it. I just -you know, it was a little early for me. I've been writing my book.
So it was tough to get up at 7:00 a.m., but here I am.

MR. FISCHER: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I'm going to, you know, do my best.

MR. FISCHER: And you've had experiences a little bit on both sides of the equation of law enforcement, right? As both an accused and then also as somebody who was a victim; is that right? Or in circumstances where you were robbed, I think you said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I mean, all three. So I've 8th been a cop, I've been a victim of crime, and then I've committed

Constitution, right?

crime well, allegedly, I guess. Blowing up your own truck, I
guess, is a crime, but according to them. I don't think it is. It
wasn't illegal, but, you know, the way the court system is set up,
you know, it's, you know, you plead out and do what you've got to
do so you don't lose your rights.

MR. FISCHER: Okay. And you talked about rights before. What do you mean by losing your rights?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Well, I was assumed guilty. The moment, you know, they charged me, I could buy a firearm. So that is an assumption of guilt in my opinion.

MR. FISCHER: As opposed to what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Well, you should be able to operate freely until you're convicted.

MR. FISCHER: Presumption of innocence, maybe.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Yeah, that's the

MR. FISCHER: And, obviously, the defense as well as the prosecution wants fair and impartial jurors. If selected, you already said -- I think -- I'm not sure, are you committed to the idea of being a juror if selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: Yeah, I'll do my best. I mean, it's -- like I said, wasn't excited about it, but, I mean, if you want a fair trial, you have to be, you know, willing to be on a jury. So.

MR. FISCHER: It's a civic duty and, I mean, it's -- how

much burden is it really?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: It's worth it. I mean, a person's life is on the line. So.

MR. FISCHER: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 111: I said it's worth it. A person's life is on the line.

MR. FISCHER: Thank you, Mr. Bilzerian.

134, Kallush. So we're ready -- I'm sorry, we already spoke, ma'am. Thank you, appreciate it. Just going over my notes, making sure I'm not missing anything. I think that concludes -- pass, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you pass the panel as a whole, Mr. Fischer?

MR. FISCHER: Yes, Your Honor. Yes.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Stanton, were there any issues that were brought up that we need to discuss at the back?

MR. STANTON: No.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So both sides are passing for -- the panel for cause. All right.

Okay. So, ladies and gentlemen, at this time, if you remember at the beginning of this morning when I was talking about there are two types of causes, challenges for cause and challenges for peremptory. So at this point in time, we are doing the challenges for peremptory.

In a moment, you're going to see my marshal handing

back a piece of papers between the parties. They are exercising their peremptory challenges. Each side gets five. And so after they're done passing that sheet, we will have our jury.

So please feel free to talk quietly amongst yourselves with one another. Also you can get out your cell phone. This process takes a little bit, but please stay in your seats, because they need to make sure the faces where the seat is. All right. Thank you very much.

[Pause in proceedings.]

THE COURT: Parties in the back, please.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury, in the back with the parties. I just always want to give you guys the last opportunity to make sure that the page is correct. So the individuals that are not highlighted are your jury.

Since Plaintiff waived, that means the last guy gets kicked.

So take a look at that and just make sure that the people who are not highlighted are the people that you selected as your jurors.

[End of bench conference.]

THE COURT: All right. If I say your name, please stand.

Badge Number 017, Krysti Mars; Badge Number 018,

Jeremy Meador; Badge Number 024, Stephanie McHugh; Badge

Number 025, Dion Katsoris; Badge Number 042, Ursula Stratton;

Badge Number 045, Alex Jacobs; Badge Number 17, Stephanie

8th8th

Scarpantonio; Badge Number 056, Jose Altamirano; Badge

23

24

25

Number 082, Steven Dumovich; Badge Number 100, Tommy Lin: Badge Number 111, Dan Bilzerian; Badge Number 140, Alex Perren; Badge Number 129, Richard Stieve; and Badge Number 130, Brittany Gries.

Those of you seated at this moment, thank you so much for your willingness to serve on this jury. I appreciate you coming down, especially under these circumstances. At this point in time you are excused if you are seated. Go ahead and go, please.

[Balance of prospective jury dismissed at 2:32 p.m.]

THE COURT: Those of you that remain are our jurors. If you could just go ahead and have a seat, please. All right.

So the remaining 14 of you are our jurors. I'm going to give you, like, just a quick 5-10-minute break, because in a -- when you guys come back, I'm going to read you the opening instructions for the trial. And then I'm going to let you go for the day. And then tomorrow we'll come back at 9:00 a.m. and we'll start the jury trial with the opening statements. But there are some preliminary instructions that I have to read before we start with opening instructions. So I'll go ahead and do those this afternoon, so when you get to court tomorrow morning, we can roll right into the opening and witnesses. Okay.

So it is 2:35. We'll take a quick 10-minute break. Be back at 2:45 so I can give you those instructions.

During this recess you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case

or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media, read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, do any research, such as consulting, dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials, make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own, or form or express any opinion regarding this case until the matter is submitted to you.

I'll see you in 10 minutes. Thank you very much.

[Court recessed at 2:33 p.m., until 2:47 p.m.] [In the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're back on the record in State of Nevada versus Andrew Young, C-350623. Mr. Young is present with his attorney, Mr. Fischer, both deputy district attorneys, Mr. Stanton and Mr. Brooks, are also present in the courtroom. Do the parties stipulate to the now jury?

MR. FISCHER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, before we begin the trial, for those of you who remain as jurors, I want to let you know what you can expect throughout this process. What I will now say is intended to serve as a general introduction to the trial of this case. It is not a substitute for the detailed instructions on the law, which I will give you at the close of the case and before you retire to consider your verdict.

First, we would like you to be as comfortable as possible

25

while you are here. If you have trouble hearing or seeing at any time during the trial, please raise your hand as an indication. When we get into the COVID courtroom tomorrow, the witness will be behind a plexiglas, like I'm behind right now, and they will have a mask on. So at times, if it becomes difficult to hear them, please just make sure and raise your hand and just let me know, like, by showing -- you know, tapping your ear, and I will turn up the mics, I will have them speak a little bit louder. So just make sure you let me know. Okay?

Also, if you need to use the restroom, if you feel ill or if you have any other problem, please raise your hand as an indication. I also encourage you to stand up and stretch between witnesses or when the attorneys are discussing something up here at the bench with me. You can also feel free to bring in water or any other clear liquid, as long as it doesn't cause any disruption in the proceedings.

During trial we generally meet around 9:00 a.m. and recess for lunch around 12:00, and then we always end a little bit before 5:00. Occasionally, we may break a little bit after 5:00 if there is a witness testifying at that time or a witness who needs to be called out of order.

Generally, I take breaks about every hour and a half to two hours for about 15 minutes, as you see in the morning and then in the afternoon, as well.

As I already stated, this is a criminal case commenced by

the State of Nevada, which I may sometimes refer to as the State, against the defendant. This case is based on an amended superseding indictment. The clerk will now read that amended superseding indictment to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury and state the plea of the defendant to that amended superseding indictment, please.

[Indictment read.]

THE COURT: Thank you.

Please understand that the document just read by the clerk is simply a charging document and that is it not in any sense evidence of the allegations it contains. The defendant has pled not guilty. The State therefore has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the amended superseding indictment beyond a reasonable doubt. As the defendant sits before you now, he is not guilty. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden.

It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are here to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and other evidence, including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence.

Ladies and gentlemen, you must base your verdict solely on the evidence presented in the courtroom and the instructions on the law that I give you. To protect the integrity of the jury process,

it is very important that you do not do any independent research about this case until the jury has reached a final decision.

You may not visit any location involved in this case. You must not do any research or look up words, names, maps, or anything else that may have anything to do with the case. This includes reading newspapers, watching television or using a computer, cell phone, the Internet, or any other means to get information related to this case or the people and places involved in this case. This applies whether you're in the courthouse, at home, or anywhere else.

Additionally, until you are discharged from service as a juror, you must not provide or receive any information about your jury service to anyone, including friends, co-workers, family members, or announce it on social media. You may tell people that you have been picked for a jury and how long the case will take. However, you must not give any other information about the case itself or the people involved in the case.

You must also warn people not to try to say anything to you or write to you about your jury service on the case. This includes face to face, phone, or computer communications. In this age of electronic medium communication, I want to stress that you must not use electronics devices or computers to research or talk about this case in any form, including Googling, Tweeting, texting, blogging, e-mailing, Instagraming, Tik-Toking, I mean, it goes on and on. Okay? So don't post any information on a web site or any

other means at all. All of us are depending on you to follow these rules so that there will be a fair and lawful resolution of this case.

You may not declare to your fellow jurors any facts relating to this case of your own knowledge, and if you discover during the trial or after the jury has retired that you or any other juror has personal knowledge of any witness or fact in controversy in this case, you must disclose that information to me outside the presence of the other jurors.

The trial begins with opening statements. The district attorneys will make an opening statement if they so desire, which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove in their case. Next, the defense attorney may, if he so desires, make an opening statement, but does not have to. Opening statements serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove, but they are not evidence.

Then comes the presentation of evidence. The State will commence with its case in chief. This is the State's opportunity to present its evidence in its case. This consists of the calling of witnesses and the production of physical items of evidence, such as documents or photographs and the like. Counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the State's witnesses.

Following the State's case in chief, the defendant may present evidence and the district attorneys may cross-examine the defense witnesses. However, as I have said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence.

8thMarchTy8thMalahche text here

Next becomes rebuttal evidence. If the defendant does present evidence, the State will then have the opportunity to present what's referred to as rebuttal evidence, and the defendant, if he so chooses, may have the opportunity to present surrebuttal evidence.

The evidence in this case will consist of sworn testimony of witnesses, all exhibits received as admissible evidence regardless of which side introduces the evidence. If the attorneys on both sides stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must, unless otherwise instructed, accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proven.

In every case, there are two types of evidence: Direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what the person saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if that fact is proven, you can infer the existence of the second fact.

So let me give you a real-world example of direct and circumstantial evidence are. So direct evidence is, is if today, as we're leaving the courtroom, you get into your car, the clouds start forming, you start seeing thunder and lightning, everything gets gray, and it starts to rain, and rain falls before your eyes on your car, that's direct evidence. You saw -- you, yourself saw that rain falling. That's direct evidence that it rained.

Circumstantial evidence is a little bit different. So same

thing, out of court today, you leave, you get in your car, you see the clouds forming, you start to hear thunder and lightning, everything gets gray. You go home, you're tired, you go straight to bed.

When you wake up in the morning, you get out to your car, there's water on your car, there's water running down the street, there's water on the grass, on the driveway. In your mind, that is circumstantial evidence that it's rained. You didn't see it rain, right? So it's not direct evidence. But looking at all of the facts around, it's circumstantial evidence that you know it rained.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both types of evidence. But it is up to you to decide how much weight to give a particular piece of evidence.

The parties may sometimes make objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he or she believes may not properly be offered, and you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party he or she represents.

At times I may sustain objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard. You also must not consider anything which you may have seen or heard when the court is not in session, even what you see or hear is said -- even if what you see or hear is said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

In considering the weight and value of the testimony of any witness, you must take into consideration the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, if any, the relation of the witness to the defendant or the State, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, and the probability or improbability of the witness's statements and all of the facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus, you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value as you believe the testimony of the witness is entitled to receive.

At the conclusion of the evidence, I will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions or in the instructions that I will read to you after the evidence is in. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that give to you by the Court.

Until the case is submitted to you, you must not discuss it with anyone, even with your fellow jurors, after it is submitted to you. You must discuss it only the jury room with your fellow jurors.

It is important that you keep an open mind and not decide any issue in the case until the case is formally submitted to you under instructions from me. Again, if you discovery during the course of the trial that you have personal knowledge of the facts of the case or that you know one of the witnesses, please give a note

to the marshal, who will present it to me.

Oftentimes jurors don't recognize that you can't even speak to each other about the case until it's submitted to you. Obviously, everybody knows you can't go home and talk to your husband, wife, boyfriend, girlfriend, kids, friends, anything about the case. You actually can't even speak to one another about your thoughts on the case until the case is completely over and I've sent you to deliberate. Okay. So just keep that in mind, please.

After the instructions have been read to you, each side will have the opportunity to present closing arguments. What is said in closing arguments is not evidence, just like opening statements are not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence while discussing with you how to apply the law to the facts in the case. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. This means the State will make a closing argument, followed by closing arguments from the defense, and then the State may make a rebuttal closing argument. After the arguments have been completed, you'll retire to deliberate on your verdict.

During the trial it may be necessary for me to confer with the lawyers. Before COVID we would do it up here at the bench or in the COVID courtroom up at the bench where my -- where I sit. However, because of COVID, we actually have to step outside of the courtroom and go to a conference room behind. So if you see me,

just like you saw me today, say parties approach, we'll just go back to the courtroom, we'll put something on the record, and we'll be right back.

Sometimes you also may be excused from the courtroom for these same reasons. I try to limit these interruptions as much as possible, but please understand, if we take a break, it is necessary and that we appreciate your patience.

I was a juror, like I said to you guys earlier, and one of the things I was most frustrated with was we were always out in the hall for longer periods of time. So the judge would say 15 minutes, and, like, 30 minutes later, we'd still be out there. I understand it's frustrating. What I just ask of you guys is that you understand and have patience that when we are in the courtroom, we are actually deciding legal issues and it actually makes it move more quickly and more efficiently. So if you're out there and the time is ticking, I apologize. Blame me for that. I'll take the responsibility. But just know that we're not in there having a break, we're actually discussing the legal issues and trying to move the case forward as efficiently as possible.

You will be given a blue badge to wear during your jury service. Please wear the badge at all times while you're in the courthouse or on a break. This badge lets everyone know that you are a juror in this case. This is important, because during the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides and court personnel other than the marshal are not permitted to talk to members of the

jury. And they can't talk to you at all, not even to ask the time of day, to say good morning, thank you, you're welcome, none of that.

The badge helps them to identify you as a juror. If someone will not talk to you, please understand they are not being rude. To ensure that absolute impartiality of the jury, the people involved in the case are bound by ethics and law to avoid contact with jurors until the case is decided.

You will also be handed out a little -- I don't want to say binder, but a little booklet, a notebook, and pens for your use. You are free to take notes during the trial if you wish, but please keep the notes to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you. You also should rely on your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors. If jurors have conflicting notes, you should not rely on the notes, because the court recorder record contains the complete and authentic record of the trial and can be given to you if needed.

I will probably type a lot of notes during trial. Please do not take this into consideration. If I begin to type during a witness's testimony, you are not to consider that testimony more important than any other testimony. In fact, you are not to consider anything I say or do or anything during this trial that suggests that I am inclined to favor the claims or position of any party. I am required to remain neutral. It would be wrong for you to conclude that anything I say or do means I am for one side or another in trial.

Discussing and deciding the facts is your job alone.

Jurors are allowed to ask questions in my courtroom. And so that process looks like this: After the attorneys ask the questions and the witness is free to leave, before I let them leave, I will turn to the jurors and ask, ladies and gentlemen, do any of my jurors have a question for this witness? If you do, in your notepad on a clean piece of paper, I need you to write the question, I need you to write your juror number and sign it. Only one question per page. So if a witness is up there and you have three questions, each question has to be on a separate piece of paper with your badge number and your signature.

Questions must be factual in nature and designed to clarify information already presented. If it is a proper question under court rules, I will ask it; if the evidence rules prevent me from asking the question, then I won't ask it. If I don't ask one of your questions, please don't think that someone is trying to hide the answer from you. It's just because there are certain evidentiary rules in place that prevents me from asking the question.

Like I stated, please reserve your questions until the attorneys have finished asking questions of the witness, as the attorneys will likely ask your question. Jurors must not place undue weight on the responses to their questions or draw any conclusions because a question was not asked.

Some testimony may be given in the Thai language. An interpreter will provide a translation for you at the time the

testimony is given. You must rely on the translation provided by the interpreter, even if you understand the language spoken by the witness. Do not retranslate any testimony for other jurors. If you believe the court interpreter translated testimony incorrectly, let me know immediately by writing a note and giving it to the marshal prior to the witness being excused from the courtroom.

Finally, in fairness to the parties to this case, you should keep an open mind throughout the trial, reach your conclusion only during your final deliberations, after all of the evidence is in and you have heard the attorneys closing arguments and my instructions to you on the law.

Also lastly, I should have said, when we are in court, please make sure that your cell phones are either, A, powered off, or B, on silent. And then in your -- of course, in your pocket or in your purse. They can't be out while the court is in session.

The attorneys will always -- you'll see them constantly typing on their laptops, their iPads, texting, things like that. They are working on this case 24 hours a day, seven days a week when they're not sleeping. Right? So they have to be constant contact with law clerks, secretaries, interns, all of that. So please don't hold it against them if you see them on their laptop, their iPad, or their cell phone.

Tomorrow when we meet, we'll be meeting at 9:00 in the morning, and we'll be meeting just across this little bridge in 3F.

That will be the courtroom --

Are we in 3F or 3C? 3C, sorry. I always get those two confused. We are in 3C as in Cat. So be a few minutes before 9:00 in -- out front in -- of 3C. And we will start with opening -- I'll have to swear you in as a jury and then we'll go right into opening statements and then witnesses.

So I'm going to leave you now for the evening.

During this recess you must not discuss or communicate with anyone, including fellow jurors, in any way regarding the case or its merits either by voice, phone, e-mail, text, Internet, or other means of communication or social media, read, watch or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, using the Internet, or using reference materials, make any investigation, test a theory of the case, recreate any aspect of the case, or in any other way investigate or learn about the case on your own, or form or express any opinion regarding this case until the matter is finally submitted to you.

Have a good evening. I'll see you tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. Thank you.

[Court recessed at 3:16 p.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Shawna Ortega, CET*562

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANDREW YOUNG,) NO. 83243
Appellant,	
VS.	
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Respondent.)
APPELLAN	NT'S APPENDIX
VOLUME IV	<u> – PAGES 611-765</u>
NANCY L. LEMCKE Nancy Lemcke Law, LLC. 10161 Park Run Dr., Ste. 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 902-6691	STEVEN B. WOLFSON CLARK COUNTY DIST. ATTY. 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2700
Attorney for Appellant	AARON D. FORD Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265 Counsel for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the $\underline{\text{Type}}$ that hofe $\underline{\text{Type}}$ text here 2021.

Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

AARON D. FORD ALEXANDER CHEN NANCY LEMCKE Nancy Lemcke Law, LLC

By /s/ Nancy L. Lemcke

NANCY L. LEMCKE, #5416
Nancy Lemcke Law, LLC
10161 Park Run Drive, Ste. 150
Las Vegas, NV 89145
(702) 902-6691
Nancy.Lemcke@LemckeLawLV.com
Attorney for Defendant/Appellant