

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF  
PHARMACY, A PUBLIC ENTITY OF  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Appellant,

vs.

CANNABIS EQUITY AND INCLUSION  
COMMUNITY (CEIC), A DOMESTIC  
NONPROFIT CORPORATION; AND  
ANTOINE POOLE, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondents.

THE STATE OF NEVADA BOARD OF  
PHARMACY, A PUBLIC ENTITY OF  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Appellant,

vs.

CANNABIS EQUITY AND INCLUSION  
COMMUNITY (CEIC), A DOMESTIC  
NONPROFIT CORPORATION; AND  
ANTOINE POOLE, AN INDIVIDUAL,  
Respondents.

No. 85756

**FILED**

**AUG 18 2023**

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 86128

*ORDER*

The Nevada District Attorney's Association (NDAA) has filed an untimely motion for leave to participate as amicus curiae and for an extension of time to file an amicus brief. The motion for leave to file an amicus brief is denied without prejudice because it is not accompanied by the proposed brief. *See* NRAP 29(c). The motion for an extension of time to file the brief is unnecessary because the NDAA has not yet been granted leave to file an amicus brief.<sup>1</sup> However, this court construes the motion as

---

<sup>1</sup>To the extent the NDAA asserts that leave of court is not required to file its amicus brief because it has obtained respondents' consent, the

seeking an extension of time to file a motion for leave to file an amicus brief and grants the motion as follows. NDAA shall have until September 5, 2023, to file and serve a motion for leave to file a brief of amicus curiae in support of appellant. Alternatively, if the NDAA obtains the written consent of all parties to file an amicus brief, the NDAA shall have until September 5, 2023, to file and serve a motion for an extension of time to file its brief.

Respondents' motion for a second extension of time to file their answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3). Respondents shall have until September 22, 2023, to file and serve their answering brief. Failure to timely comply may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the disposition of this appeal without an answering brief. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Stiglm*, C.J.

cc: Attorney General/Carson City  
Peter K. Keegan  
W. Brett Kandt  
American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada/Las Vegas  
Clark County District Attorney  
Nevada District Attorney's Association

---

assertion lacks merit. Written consent of *all* parties is required before a non-governmental entity may file an amicus brief without leave of court. NRAP 29(a).