			# S.	THE CHOCKER AND IT THE CHASE OF MENTANDA
				IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON, N BT 10/24/2023
•	9			Appellant, No. 8 7003
	v*			DANIEL L- SCHWARTZ, RESPONDENT - CLERK OF THE COURT
			1	Defendant/ In Propria Personam - Placint off Post Office Box 650 (HDSP) - MORC - 1/2 (66.2
			- 11	Indian Springs Nevada 89018
			3	REV. MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON, CHTD DEC 05 2023 ABA 10 No. 0462784
			4	ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREMENCOURCE
	20		5	DISTRICT COURT BY // CLERK
			6	CLARK_COUNTY, NEVADA - Case No. A-17-758861-C
			7	Deat No. de
			8	MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON.) - Case NºA-22-862155-C Pept. Nº 27
			9	Plaintiff, - Case No A-23-865442-C.
			10	- Case No. $A-23-875718-C$
			11	MANDALAY BAY CORP. ET AL) STATE BAR OF NEVADA RT AL) Dept No
			12	TOSE PH M. LOMBARDO ET AL) - Case No. A-22-853203-W ALEKIS BI OVECKER ET AL Defendant(s).
			13	CALVIN JOHNSON ET AL DAOT NO 17
			14	EMERGENCY MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS,
			15	PLAINTIFF MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON "HEARING REQUESTED"
			16	Date of Hearing:
			17	Time of Hearing:
			18	"ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED, (es X)No"
			19	COMES NOW, Defendant, Plaintiff, Matthew Travis Houston, proceeding in proper person,
			20	hereby moves this Honorable Court for its ORDER for the production of all documents, papers,
			21	pleadings and tangible property in the possession of: SCOTT POISSON DIBIA
			22	BERNSTEIN & POISSON, LLP. Also Bernard H. Little, Erica Tosh + Juson Barne
			23	This Motion is made and based upon all papers and pleadings on file with the Clerk of the Court
CLERK OF THE COURT	OCT 2 3 2023	RECEIVED	24	which are hereby incorporated by this reference, the Points and Authorities herein, and attached
			25	Affidavit of Defendant: Notice of Motion and Motion For Telephone Hearings.
			26	DATED: this 13th day of October, 2023.
部の	2023	M	27	BY: Nather Tyees Huston
Š.	~	U	28	Defendant/In Propria Personam
7000 1				FREINE
			The state of the s	DEC 0 5 2023
		/		THE PERSONAL PROPERTY.

CLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK
OLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT

23-39349

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The Nevada Revised Statute 7.055(1), which deals with the duty of a discharged attorney, states:

"An attorney who has been discharged by his client shall, upon demand and payment of the fee due from the client, immediately deliver to the client all papers, documents, pleadings and items of tangible property which belong to or were prepared for that client."

As can be seen in this case, the defendant does not owe any fees, in fact, they, meaning counsel(s) of record, were appointed by the Court to represent the defendant, who was an indigent, in Case

Number, 357427, in Department No. 10 323614 in Dept. 19.

N.R.S. 7.055(2) gives this Court the power to Order the Attorney(s) of record to produce and deliver to the defendant in his/her possession, which states:

"A client who, after demand therefore and payment of the fee due from him, does not receive from his discharged attorney all papers, documents, pleadings and items of tangible personal property may, by a motion filed after at least 5 days' notice to the attorney, obtain an order for the production of his papers, Documents, pleadings and other property."

In numerous cases throughout this great land, the courts have held attorneys to a high degree of professional responsibility and integrity. This carried from the time of hiring to and through the attorney's termination of employment.

Supreme Court Rule 173 states quite clear that a withdrawn attorney owes his former client a "...prompt accounting of all his client's...property in his possession." This is echoed in Canon 2 of the Code of Professional Responsibility of the American Bar Association, which states in pertinent part EC 2-32: "A lawyer should protect the welfare of his client by ... delivering to the client all papers and property to which the client is entitled." Again in Disciplinary Rule 2-110(A)(2) of the ABA, this is brought out that a withdrawn attorney must deliver to the client all papers an comply with applicable laws on the subject.

In the cases of In Re Yount, 93 Ariz. 322, 380 P.2d 780 (1963) and State v. Alvey, 215 Kan. 460, 524 P.2d 747 (1974), both of which dealt with a factual situation involving a withdrawn attorney refusing to deliver to a former client his documents after being requested to do so by the client. The court in Yount, supra, ordered the attorney disbarred while in Alvey, supra, the court had the attorney censored.

1 2

Plaintiff It's write at the intention of the Defendant in this case to have the attorney disbarred; these cases do show a pattern in the court in considering the refusal to deliver to a former client all his documents and property after being requested to do so, a serious infraction of the law and of professional ethics. See, In Re Sullivan, 212 Kan. 233, 510 P.2d 1199 (1973). These atternies fould be disbarred? In summary, this court has jurisdiction through NRS 7.055 to Order the attorney(s) to produce and deliver to the Defendant all documents and personal property in his/their possession belonging to him or prepared for him. The Defendant has fulfilled his obligations in trying to obtain the papers. The attorney(s) is in discord with Cannon 2 of the Code of Professional responsibility and the Nevada Supreme Court Rules 173, 176 and 203. DATED: this 13th day of October, 20,23. Defendant/In Propria Personam Plaintiff

LEGAL MAIL OF THE BSICI-ESCOOD AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION. MEMBER

Indian Springs, MY # 12 10652 HOSP 650 2002

MATTHEW TRAVIS HOUSTON, Et HEA.

BS# %44734 LAS VEGAS NV 890

19 OCT 2023PM 3 L

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2023

CLERK OF THE COURT

200 Lewis Ave.

89 155

COURT ADMIZISTRATION

Las Vegas, NV

ZIP 89101 \$ 002.07° 02.4W 0000391000 0CT 19 2023 7

OCT 23 2023 RECEIVED

DISTRICT COURT