IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PIERRE HASCHEFF, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant/Cross-Appellant,

VS.

LYNDA HASCHEFF, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

Case No. 86976

Electronically Filed Jan 26 2024 02:03 PM Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

STIPULATION TO SEAL PORTIONS

OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Appellant Pierre Hascheff and Respondent Lynda Hascheff, through their respective counsel, agree and stipulate as follows:

- 1. On September 29, 2022, the district court entered an Order After Status Hearing ordering that certain attorney invoices of Pierre's be filed under seal to protect their confidentiality. That order is attached as **Exhibit 1**, and also appears in the Amended Appendix at 4 AA 855-857.
- 2. Pursuant to that order, the parties agree and stipulate that Amended Appendix pages 4 AA 863 through 4 AA 887, which comprise unredacted billing invoices containing information protected by the attorney-client and/or work product

between Pierre and his counsel, be filed under seal. A copy of the requested pages to be sealed is attached as **Exhibit 2**.

- 3. On April 7, 2023, district court entered a Stipulation and Order Regarding Attorney Client Privilege, by which certain unreducted invoices of Lynda's counsel containing attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product were filed under seal. A copy of that stipulation and order is attached as **Exhibit 3**, and appears in the Amended Appendix at 5 AA 1092-1094.
- 4. Pursuant to that stipulation, the parties agree and stipulate that the documents found at Amended Appendix pages 4 AA 997-1000, and 5 AA 1001-1019, 1052-1090, which comprise billing invoices containing information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or work product between Lynda and her counsel, be filed under seal. A copy of the requested pages to be sealed is attached as **Exhibit** 4.

DATED this 26th day of January, 2024.

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

/s/ Therese M. Shanks

Therese M. Shanks Nevada Bar No. 12890 7800 Rancharrah Parkway Reno, NV 89511

Attorney for Appellant/Cross-Appellant

LEONARD LAW, PC

Debbie A. Leonard, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 8260

955 S. Virginia Street, Suite 220

Reno, Nevada 89502

Attorneys for Respondent/ Cross-Appellant

FILED
Electronically
DV13-00656
2022-09-29 02:25:08 PM
Alicia L. Lerud
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 9287309

CODE

. .

VS.

IN THE FAMILY DIVISION

OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

PIERRE A. HASCHEFF.

LYNDA HASCHEFF,

Plaintiff,

Case No. DV13-00656

Dept. No.12

Defendant.

ORDER AFTER STATUS HEARING

This matter came before the Court on September 28, 2022, by audio visual means pursuant to the Administrative Order entered March 16, 2020, and Nevada Supreme Court Rule Part IX-B. The hearing was set for a status hearing pursuant to the Order Setting Status Hearing entered August 12, 2022. Plaintiff, Pierre Hascheff, was present represented by Stephen Kent, Esq. Defendant, Lynda Hascheff, was present represented by Shawn B. Meador, Esq.

At the hearing, Mr. Kent stated the reimbursement of fees due to Mr. Hascheff by Ms. Hascheff will need to be determined. Mr. Kent stated paragraph 40 of the parties' Marital Settlement Agreement (MSA) entered September 30, 2013 is ambiguous with regard to recoverable fees. He stated there are other provisions in the MSA regarding the recovery of expenses and fees that may need to be addressed. He requested a hearing be set where testimony could be provided regarding the issues in this case. Mr. Kent offered to provide a copy of the unredacted invoices that reflect the fees incurred by Mr. Hascheff for both the collateral matter and the malpractice action to

1 2 3

the Court and Ms. Hascheff if a protective order could be entered regarding the confidentiality of the documents as there are other ongoing litigations that could be affected by those disclosures.

Mr. Meador argued a hearing would only cause delay and more legal fees for Ms. Hascheff and is not necessary to address the issues in the case. Mr. Meador stated he requested a copy of the unredacted invoices to determine the actual fees incurred by Mr. Hascheff directly related to the malpractice action that were not covered by insurance multiple times. He has not received those documents as of this hearing. He also stated a determination needs to be made on who the prevailing party was entitled to fees and he believes Ms. Hascheff was the prevailing party on all issues. Mr. Meador disagreed with Mr. Kent regarding the order of remand and stated paragraph 40 of the MSA was very clear and unambiguous in that any recoverable fees must arise from a malpractice action only and not any collateral actions. Mr. Meador did not object to signing a stipulation for a protective order in order to receive a copy of the unredacted invoices.

Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing, the Court enters the following Orders:

- 1. The parties shall file with the Court and exchange a copy of the unredacted invoices that reflect the fees incurred by Mr. Hascheff along with the signed stipulation related to the protective order to maintain the confidentiality of the unredacted invoices by October 12, 2022. If this cannot be completed by that date, counsel shall appear for a status hearing on October 12, 2022 by audio visual means. A Zoom link will be provided to counsel upon the status hearing being set.
- 2. Thereafter, Mr. Hascheff shall file with the Court a brief three-page statement no later than October 31, 2022, related to his claims of ambiguity of paragraph 40 of the MSA. Ms. Hascheff shall file her brief three-page response no later than two weeks thereafter. A reply shall not be filed and counsel shall submit their statements to the Court. Thereafter, the Court will then enter an order on how to proceed.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 29 day of September 2022.

Sandra A. Unsworth District Judge

DV13-00656

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the Second Judicial District Court in and for the County of Washoe, and that on September 29, 2022, I deposited in the county mailing system for postage and mailing with the United States Postal Service in Reno, Nevada, or by efiling, a true copy of the foregoing document addressed as follows:

ELECTRONIC FILING:

STEPHEN KENT, ESQ. for PIERRE HASCHEFF SHAWN MEADOR, ESQ. for LYNDA HASCHEFF

Judicial Assistan

FILED UNDER SEAL

FILED Electronically DV13-00656 2023-04-07 11:13:15 AM Alicia L. Lerud Clerk of the Court Transaction # 9601222

1

Code: 3980

SHAWN B MEADOR

NEVADA BAR NO. 338 WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Post Office Box 2311 Reno, Nevada 89505 Telephone: (775) 688-3000 Facsimile: (775) 688-3088

2

4 5

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

v.

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28 WOODBURN AND WEDGEO 6100 Neif Road, Suite 551 Reno, NY 89511 Tel: (775) 688-3000 IN THE FAMILY DIVISION

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

PIERRE A. HASCHEFF,

LYNDA L. HASCHEFF,

smeador@woodburnandwedge.com Attorneys for Lynda Hascheff

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. DV13-00656

DEPT. NO. 12

Defendant.

STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Plaintiff, Pierre Hascheff, and defendant, Lynda Hascheff, by and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. On February 17, 2023, this Court entered its Order Regarding Indemnification of Fees and Costs Under MSA §40; Order Regarding Prevailing Party Under MSA §35.1 pursuant to which the Court authorized Ms. Hascheff to file a *Wilfong* affidavit.
- Ms. Hascheff filed her Wilfong affidavit on March 10, 2023. Ms. Hascheff attached redacted copies of her billing invoices to her Wilfong affidavit.

WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno. NV 89511 3. Mr. Hascheff filed his Opposition/Response to Wilfong Affidavit on March 24, 2023. Among other things, Mr. Hascheff argued that he could not fully and fairly evaluate the redacted billing invoices.

Based on the foregoing, and good cause therefore, the parties STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Ms. Hascheff's counsel will provide Mr. Hascheff's counsel with copies of the billing invoices that are not redacted (other than with respect to one conversation counsel had with Ms. Hascheff's appellate counsel).
- 2. Ms. Hascheff's production of unredacted invoices shall not constitute a waiver of her attorney client privilege with respect to any matter. The unredacted invoice shall be treated as disclosures of non-confidential information that are not protected by the attorney client privilege.
- 3. Upon review of Ms. Hascheff's unredacted invoices, if Mr. Hascheff believes it is necessary or appropriate for him to do so, he will have the opportunity to file a Supplemental Opposition/Response to Wilfong Affidavit. Any such Supplemental Opposition shall be filed within one week of the date on which he receives copies of the unredacted invoices.
- 4. If Mr. Hascheff does not believe it is necessary or appropriate for him to file a Supplemental Opposition, in her Reply in Support of Wilfong Affidavit, Ms. Hascheff may refer to this Stipulation to address the concerns Mr. Hascheff expressed in his Opposition regarding the redacted invoices.

Affirmation Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned affirms that this document does not contain the personal information of any party.

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
2	DATED this Z day of March, 2023. DATED this Z day of March, 2023.
3	
4	By Meas By Alm
5	Shawn B Meador, Esq. John Springgate, Esq.
6	Attorney for Defendant Attorney for Plaintiff
7	
8	ORDER
9	IT IS SO ORDERED this _7_day of _April, 2023.
10	
11	Stanara a . Lensworth
12	DISTRIC JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	-3-
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, NY 89511 Tel: (775) 688-3000	

-3-

FILED UNDER SEAL