ORIGINAL NOAS 1 PATRICIA M. ERICKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar #3506 1 34 PH 103 Nov 25 601 South Tenth Street, #206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-1055 Shirilay & Langina. Counsel for Defendant: SAMUEL HOWARD 5 **DISTRICT COURT** 6 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 7 No. 42593 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Case No.: Dept. No.: 9 Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 SAMUEL HOWARD, Defendant. 12

Notice is hereby given that SAMUEL HOWARD, defendant above named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the District Court's findings of fact, conclusions of law and order dismissing his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction), which was filed on October 28, 2003.

DATED this **357** day of November, 2003.

Respectfully Submitted,

#6>0)
Patricia M. Erickson, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 3506
601 South Tenth St., Suite 206
Las Vegas, NV 89101
702.388.1055
Counsel for Defendant:
SAMUEL HOWARD

C53867

FILED

JAN 07 2004

CUERK OF SUPREME COURT

JAN 0 7 2004

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

28

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this day of November, 2003 a true and correct copy of the forgoing NOTICE OF APPEAL was deposited in the United States Mail, with postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

David Roger District Attorney 200 South Third Street Las Vegas, NV 89155

An/Employee of Patricia M. Erickson, Esc



PER 30 1 LE PH '03 **ASTA** PATRICIA M. ERICKSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar #3506 601 South Tenth Street, #206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-1055 Counsel for Defendant: SAMUEL HOWARD 5 6 DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. Case No.: C53867 9 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: 10 VS. SAMUEL HOWARD. 11 Defendant. 12 13 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 14 1. Petitioner/Appellant - Samuel Howard 15 2. Judge - Honorable Jackie Glass 16 Parties in district court - State of Nevada and Samuel Howard (Petitioner) 3. 17 4. Parties in appeal - same as above 18 Counsel on appeal - for Samuel Howard - Patricia M. Erickson, 601 South 5. 19 Tenth St., Suite 206, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101 PRO BONO; counsel for the State 20 of Nevada, Clark Peterson, Chief Deputy District Attorney, counsel for the 21 Respondents. 22 6. Representation at district court - same as above 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

7. A "Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) was filed on behal-
of SAMUEL HOWARD with the clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court or
December 20, 2002. On October 23, 2003, the Honorable Jackie Glass entered ar
order denying the Petition. A "Notice of Entry of Order" was filed with regard to
this order on October 28, 2003.

As SAMUEL HOWARD was previously sentenced to death, this is not a "fast 8. track" case.

DATED this 30 day of December, 2003.

Respectfully Submitted,

Patricia M. Erickson, Esq. Nevada Bar #3506 601 South Tenth St., Suite 206 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702.388.1055 Counsel for Appellant: SAMUEL HOWARD

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 30 day of December, 2003, I mailed a true and correct copy of the CASE APPEAL STATEMENT, first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

Clark Peterson Chief Deputy District Attorney Post Conviction and Appellate Division 200 South Third St. Las Vegas, NV 89155

Samuel Howard #18329 P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301

Patricia M. Erickson

DATE: 12/30/03 CASE NO. 81-C-053867-C I N D E X

TIME10:41 AM JUDGE:Glass, Jackie

STATE OF NEVADA

[] vs Howard, Samuel

[]

001 D1 Samuel Howard

003506 Erickson, Patricia M.
NO. 1 601 S. Tenth St. #206
Las Vegas, NV 89101

NO.	FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR	OC :	SCH/PER (C
		COMP/CRIMINAL COMPLAINT	001			
		IND / (GRAND JURY) INDICTMENT	001		05/21/81	
0003	3 02/15/91	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY OF REQUEST TO PLACE ON CALENDAR AND SUPPLEMENTAL BILLING BY	001 001		02/14/91	LY
CIVI	L DISTRICT	ATTORNEYS OFFICE				
0004	02/19/91	RSPN/RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR FEES	S			
	•	IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY MAXIMUM	S			
0005 CAS		MOT /MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY GUIDELINES	001 001	GR	03/07/91	L
		CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING TO ATTORNEY	001		02/26/91	ı
STA	02/20/91	GENERAL OF REQUEST TO PLACE ON CALENDAR	001		02/20/21	_
		MOT /MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD	001	CP	07/07/92)
000.	/ 03/12/91	SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION	001	GR	01/01/52	2
	0 02/25/01	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS			03/25/91	I
0000	03/23/91	OF STATUTORY GUIDELINES	001	*	03/23/31	L
0009	9 12/16/91	PET / PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF	001	DN	06/09/92	2
		NOTC/NOTICE OF PETITION	001	SC	02/04/92	2
		REQT/MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED PETITION FOR	S		02/07/92	
		POST CONVICTION RELIEF	S		, ,	
0012	02/14/92	OCAL/STATUS CHECK EVIDENTIARY HEARING	001	DN	06/09/92	2
		STIP/STIPULATION VACATING PETITONERS AMENDED	001		02/14/92	
		PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF SET	001			
FOR	2-11-92					
		ORDR/ORDER VACATING PETITIONERS AMENDED	001		02/20/92	2
		PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF	001			
0015	5 04/17/92	RSPN/RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED	001		04/17/92	2
CAS.		PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF	001			
0016	04/21/92	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 4/21/92	001		04/21/92	
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 4-28-92			04/28/92	
0018	3 05/27/92	ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	001		05/07/92	2
0019	9 06/09/92	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6/9/92	001		06/09/92	2
0020	07/07/92	ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION	001		07/07/92	
002	L 07/07/92	WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION	001		07/07/92	
0022	2 07/07/92	ORDR/ORDER DENYING AMENDED PETITION FOR POST	001		07/07/92	2
		CONVICTION RELIEF	001			
		NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL	001	ΑP	07/13/92	
0024	1 07/22/92	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	001		07/22/92	
0025	5 07/29/92	ORDR/ORDER RE; TRANSCRIPTS	001		07/27/92	
0026	5 08/12/92	MOT /MOTION FOR EXTRAORDINARY FEES	001	GR	08/25/92	
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	001		08/17/92	
0028	3 08/26/92	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTRAORDINARY	001		08/25/92	2
0000	04/10/00	FEES	001			
0029	04/19/93	EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER NUNC	001			
And the	04/07/02	PRO TUNC FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	001			Y
0030	04/26/93	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR ENTRY				Ţ
001		OF ORDER NUNC PRO TUNC FOR APPOINTMENT	001			
981		(Continued to page 2)				

		81-C-053867	(Continuati Page		2)		
NO.	FILED/REC	CODE	REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR	OC S	SCH/PER C	
OF C	OUNSEL						
0031	11/18/93	NSCO/NEVADA	SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT / ORDERED	001	GR	11/18/93	
			DISMISSED	001			
0032	01/19/94	-	FION AND ORDER FOR EXTRA-ORDINARY				
	01/01/01	FEES		001			
			E MOTION FOR EXTRAORDINARY FEES	001			
			NT OF FEES AND COSTS E)ACTIVATED ON	001			
			PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS /9	001	VC	06/12/03	
			NMENT OF JUDGE Sobel TO JUDGE	001		00/12/03	
507:	,,,	Glass					
0038	12/31/02	MOT /DEFT'S	PRO PER MTN TO APPOINT EFFECTIVE	001	DN	01/14/03	
Ş Q :			NVICTION/10	001			
			OF TRANSCRIPT(S) ON THE SHELVES	001			
			DING MOTIONS FOR 1/14/03	001		01/14/03	
0041	01/13/03		OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION	001			Y
COLIN	CDI	FOR APPO	DINTMENT OF POST CONVICTION	001			
COUN		MOTO / MOTTOE (OF APPEARANCE AS PRO BONO	001			
0042	01/1//03	COUNSEL	OF AFFEARANCE AS FRO BONO	001			
0043	02/19/03		UTION OF ATTORNEY	001			
			MTN TO DISMISS PTN FOR WRIT	001	VC	06/12/03	
		HABEAS	CORPUS/12	001			
0045	03/18/03	MOT /ALL PENI	DING MOTIONS FOR 3/18/03	001		03/18/03	
	03/20/03		CHECK: VERIFICATION OF PETITION	001	VC	06/12/03	
	/ /	VJ 06/03		001			
			DING MOTIONS FOR 3/20/03	001		03/20/03	7.7
0048	03/28/03		R'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANTS PRO PER	001		03/18/03	Y
Q T A T	EC MOTION		ON FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS EFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HA:		COPI	OIIC	
			FENDANTS FEITITON FOR WRIT OF HA. FAND DECISION: DEFT'S PETITION/	001		06/12/03	
03	01,00,00		MTN TO DISMISS/STATUS CHECK: PET	001		00/12/00	
0050	04/03/03		DING MOTIONS 04/03/03	001		04/03/03	
		· .	ATION OF PETITIONER	001		• • •	
		ROC /RECEIPT		001		04/03/03	
0053	05/21/03		MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE AN	001	GR	06/03/03	
00-4	05/02/02		ION TO STATE'S MTN TO DISMISS/18	001		00/01/00	
0054	06/03/03	-	RE: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	001		08/21/03	
0055	00/10/03	CORPUS	E APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO	001			Y
0033	08/18/03		PLY TO STATES RESPONSE TO	001			т
AMEN	DED PETIT		OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION				
			PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	001			
Alexander Maria			(POST CONVICTION) (DEATH PENALTY)	001			
	08/20/03		NER HOWARDS OPPOSITION TO STATES	001			
10.04	/ /		TO DISMISS PETITION	001			
	08/21/03		I/DECISION: DEFT'S WRIT FOR	001	DN	10/02/03	
S C	00/20/02	HABEAS (001 001		08/20/03	
		ROC /RECEIPT ROC /RECEIPT		001		08/20/03	
			S TO PETITIONER HOWARDS	001		00,21,00	Y
	30,31,00		ION TO STATES MOTION TO DISMISS	001			_
			(Continued to page 3)				

81-C-053867-	(Continuation	Page	3)

NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION FOR OC SCH/PER C VOL II 08/21/03 Y 0062 08/25/03 NOTC/NOTICE OF EXHIBITS TO EXHIBITS TO PETITIONER HOWARD'S OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS IN THE VAULT 001 0063 08/21/03 EXH /EXHIBITS TO PETITIONER HOWARDS OPPOSITION TO STATES MOTION TO DISMISS 001 Υ 0064 09/24/03 RPLY/STATES REPLY TO DEFENDANTS OPPOSITION 001 TO STATES MOTION TO DISMISS 0.01 DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST-CONVICTION 0065 10/08/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ARGUMENT/DECISION: 001 10/02/03 PETITION FOR WRIT FOR HABEAS CORPUS 0.01 03/20/03 Y 0066 10/08/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATES MOTION TO 0.01 DISMISS DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT 001 OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) DEFENDANTS PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 0067 10/08/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANTS PRO PER 001 01/14/03 Y MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF EFFECTIVE 001 POST-CONVICTION COUNSEL DEFENDANTS PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 0068 10/08/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATES MOTION TO 001 04/03/03 Y DISMISS DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT 001 OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION) DEFENDANTS PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION) STATUS CHECK: VERIFICATION OF PETITION 06/03/03 Y 0069 10/08/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANTS MOTION 001 TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE AN OPPOSITION 001 TO STATES MOTION TO DISMISS 0070 10/08/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT HEARING: WRIT OF 001 08/21/03 001 HABEAS CORPUS (POST CONVICTION) 10/02/03 0071 10/13/03 ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT 001 001 GR 10/23/03 0072 10/23/03 JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 001 AND ORDER

0073 10/28/03 NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER

0074 11/25/03 NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL

10/23/03

AP 11/25/03

001

ORIGINAL

1	ORDR	FILED
2	DAVID RÖGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781	FILED
3	CLARK PETERSON	OCT 23 2 07 PH '03 CLERK
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006088	Phile of P
5	200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2211	CLERK
6	(702) 455-4711 Attorney for Plaintiff	
7		STRICT COURT
8	. CLARK	COUNTY, NEVADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	`
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C53867
11	-vs-	DEPT NO: V
12	SAMUEL HOWARD, #0624173	} DEFINO:
13	#0024173	
14	Defendant.	}
15		FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF
16	L^A	AW AND ORDER

DATE OF HEARING: October 2, 2003 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M.

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable JACKIE GLASS, District Judge, on the 2nd day of October, 2003, the Petitioner not being present, Proceeding In Forma Pauperis with assistance from PATRICIA ERICKSON, appearing pro bono, the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, by and through CLARK PETERSON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. In 1983 Samuel Howard ("Howard") was convicted of murder and two counts of robbery with a deadly weapon and sentenced to death by a jury.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

- Howard appealed directly to the Nevada Supreme Court, which affirmed his 2. conviction and sentence. Howard v. State, 102 Nev. 572, 729 P.2d 1341 (1986). His subsequent petition for rehearing was denied.
- Howard then filed his first petition for post-conviction relief. There was an evidentiary hearing and his petition for post-conviction relief was denied. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed the district court's denial of Howard's petition for post-conviction relief. Howard v. State, 106 Nev. 713, 800 P.2d 175 (1990). Again, a subsequent petition for rehearing was denied.
- Howard filed a second petition for post-conviction relief that was subsequently denied in 1992 on procedural grounds. Howard appealed the denial of his second petition to the Nevada Supreme Court, which dismissed his appeal on March 19, 1993. The Nevada Supreme Court in its 1993 Order Dismissing Appeal found that Howard's latest petition was so lacking in merit that briefing and oral argument were not even warranted.
- Howard filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the United States District Court 5. that was dismissed without prejudice in 1988. His petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court was denied in 1993. His second petition for writ of habeas corpus in the United States District Court has been stayed pending exhaustion of remedies in Nevada state court.
- On December 20, 2002, Howard filed the instant third petition for writ of habeas 6. corpus. The instant petition, however, was not properly before this Court until it was verified by Howard on April 2, 2003.
- 7. Howard is not entitled to counsel on this petition as it is his third petition. NRS 34.820; see also Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 725, 111 S.Ct. 2546, 2552 (1991); McKague v. Warden, 112 Nev. 159, 163, 912 P.2d 255, 257-58 (1996).
- The instant petition may be summarily denied. NRS 34.810. Howard's petition fails 8. to allege new or different grounds that have not already been addressed on direct appeal or should have been addressed on direct appeal as required under NRS 34.810. Pelligrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 34 P.3d 519 (2001).

1

43

2

9.

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

10.

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

12.

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27 28

13. Similarly, remand from Federal Court does not constitute good cause to overcome procedural bars. See Shumway v. Payne, 223 F.3d 982, 989 (9th Cir. 2000); see also,

Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235, 236, 773 P.2d 1229, 1230 (1989).

Pelligrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 34 P.3d 519 (2001).

Hart v. State, 116 Nev. 558, 1 P.3d 969 (2000).

14. Because Howard has failed to show good cause or actual prejudice there is no need for the State to address the merits of the petition. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934

Howard is time barred from bringing his instant petition under NRS 34.726(1) and

has failed to meet his burden of showing good cause for delay. The latest remittitur from the

Nevada Supreme Court was filed October 23, 1993, long after the one year time for such

petitions as detailed in NRS 34.726(1). Additionally, NRS 34.800 creates a presumption of

prejudice to the State in this case. Howard is also barred by the doctrine of equitable laches.

because they are procedurally barred. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247,

252 (1997). Once the State raises procedural bars the burden falls on the defendant to show

good cause and actual prejudice. Only if this Court concludes that Howard has overcome the

above failure. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997); see also

without merit. Any counsel acting for Howard is his agent, so errors or failings on behalf of

said counsel are the risk Howard must bear and do not meet the definition of good cause for

delay. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997); see also Hathaway

v. State, 119 Nev. 30, 71 P.3d 503 (2003); Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 111 S.Ct.

procedural bars would the State have to answer the instant petition on the merits.

The State was not required to respond to the merits of Howard's instant petition

Howard has also failed to make a showing of good cause or actual prejudice for the

Howard's claim that failures by counsel create good cause to avoid procedural bars is

P.2d 247, 252 (1997).

2546 (1991).

Finally, under 34.770, since Howard is not entitled to relief here, an evidentiary hearing is not required.

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Howard's Third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus shall be, and is, hereby denied.

DATED this Way of October, 2003.

DISTR

DAVID ROGER

DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781

BY

Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006088

ORIGINAL

1	NOED					
2	District Court Oct 28 11 16 All 103 Clark County, Nevada SAMUEL HOWARD, CLERK					
3	Clark County, Nevada					
4	SAMUEL HOWARD, CLERK					
5	Petitioner,					
6	Case No. C53867					
7	vs Dept. No. V					
8						
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER					
10	Respondent.					
11	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on October 23, 2003, the court entered a decision or order in this					
12	matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.					
13	You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal,					
14	you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this					
15	notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on October 28, 2003.					
16	SHIRLEY B. PARRAGUIRRE, CLERK OF COURT					
17	By: <u>////////////////////////////////////</u>					
18	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
19						
20	I hereby certify that on the <u>28</u> day of <u>October</u> , 2003, I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry of Decision and Order in:	,				
21	The bin(s) located in the Office of the County Clerk of:					
22	Clark County District Attorney's Office - Appellate Division Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division					
23	□ The United States mail addressed as follows:					
24	Attorney - Patricia Erickson					
25	601 S 10 St. #206 Las Vegas, NV 89101	//				
26	Norreta Caldwell, Deputy Clerk					
27						

Notice of Entry of Decision and Order/2-01/jh

FILED
OCT 23 2 07 PH=103
CLERK **ORDR** 1 **DAVID ROGER** 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 3 CLARK PETERSON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006088 4 200 South Third Street 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2211 (702) 455-4711 6 Attorney for Plaintiff DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff. 10 CASE NO: C53867 11 -vs-DEPT NO: 12 SAMUEL HOWARD, #0624173 13 Defendant. 14 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF 15 LAW AND ORDER 16 DATE OF HEARING: October 2, 2003 17 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M. 18 THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable JACKIE GLASS, 19 District Judge, on the 2nd day of October, 2003, the Petitioner not being present, Proceeding 20 21 In Forma Pauperis with assistance from PATRICIA ERICKSON, appearing pro bono, the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, by and through 22 CLARK PETERSON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the 23 matter, including briefs, transcripts, arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein. 24 now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law: 25 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 26 In 1983 Samuel Howard ("Howard") was convicted of murder and two counts of 27

robbery with a deadly weapon and sentenced to death by a jury.

28 | Stat

- 2. Howard appealed directly to the Nevada Supreme Court, which affirmed his conviction and sentence. <u>Howard v. State</u>, 102 Nev. 572, 729 P.2d 1341 (1986). His subsequent petition for rehearing was denied.
- 3. Howard then filed his first petition for post-conviction relief. There was an evidentiary hearing and his petition for post-conviction relief was denied. The Nevada Supreme Court affirmed the district court's denial of Howard's petition for post-conviction relief. Howard v. State, 106 Nev. 713, 800 P.2d 175 (1990). Again, a subsequent petition for rehearing was denied.
- 4. Howard filed a second petition for post-conviction relief that was subsequently denied in 1992 on procedural grounds. Howard appealed the denial of his second petition to the Nevada Supreme Court, which dismissed his appeal on March 19, 1993. The Nevada Supreme Court in its 1993 Order Dismissing Appeal found that Howard's latest petition was so lacking in merit that briefing and oral argument were not even warranted.
- 5. Howard filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus in the United States District Court that was dismissed without prejudice in 1988. His petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Supreme Court was denied in 1993. His second petition for writ of habeas corpus in the United States District Court has been stayed pending exhaustion of remedies in Nevada state court.
- 6. On December 20, 2002, Howard filed the instant third petition for writ of habeas corpus. The instant petition, however, was not properly before this Court until it was verified by Howard on April 2, 2003.
- 7. Howard is not entitled to counsel on this petition as it is his third petition. NRS 34.820; see also Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 725, 111 S.Ct. 2546, 2552 (1991); McKague v. Warden, 112 Nev. 159, 163, 912 P.2d 255, 257-58 (1996).
- 8. The instant petition may be summarily denied. NRS 34.810. Howard's petition fails to allege new or different grounds that have not already been addressed on direct appeal or should have been addressed on direct appeal as required under NRS 34.810. Pelligrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 34 P.3d 519 (2001).

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Howard is time barred from bringing his instant petition under NRS 34.726(1) and 9. has failed to meet his burden of showing good cause for delay. The latest remittitur from the Nevada Supreme Court was filed October 23, 1993, long after the one year time for such petitions as detailed in NRS 34.726(1). Additionally, NRS 34.800 creates a presumption of prejudice to the State in this case. Howard is also barred by the doctrine of equitable laches. Hart v. State, 116 Nev. 558, 1 P.3d 969 (2000).

The State was not required to respond to the merits of Howard's instant petition 10. because they are procedurally barred. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997). Once the State raises procedural bars the burden falls on the defendant to show good cause and actual prejudice. Only if this Court concludes that Howard has overcome the procedural bars would the State have to answer the instant petition on the merits.

Howard has also failed to make a showing of good cause or actual prejudice for the 11. above failure. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997); see also Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235, 236, 773 P.2d 1229, 1230 (1989).

Howard's claim that failures by counsel create good cause to avoid procedural bars is 12. without merit. Any counsel acting for Howard is his agent, so errors or failings on behalf of said counsel are the risk Howard must bear and do not meet the definition of good cause for delay. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997); see also Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 30, 71 P.3d 503 (2003); Coleman v. Thompson, 501 U.S. 722, 111 S.Ct. 2546 (1991).

Similarly, remand from Federal Court does not constitute good cause to overcome 13. procedural bars. See Shumway v. Payne, 223 F.3d 982, 989 (9th Cir. 2000); see also, Pelligrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 34 P.3d 519 (2001).

Because Howard has failed to show good cause or actual prejudice there is no need 14. for the State to address the merits of the petition. Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997).

Finally, under 34.770, since Howard is not entitled to relief here, an evidentiary hearing is not required.

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Howard's Third Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus shall be, and is, hereby denied.

DATED this day of October, 2003.

DISTR

DAVID ROGER

DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781

BY

Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006088

P:\WPDOCS\ORDR\FORDR\OUTLYING\0g0\0g012702.doc

MINUTES DATE: 02/26/91

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Howard, Samuel MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY 02/26/91 09:00 AM 0.0 GUIDELINES HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge OFFICERS: ALONA FUJII, Court Clerk SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder Ν PARTIES: Howard, Samuel 001 D1 Υ 000824 Schieck, David M.

State represented by Ronald Bloxham, DDA. Defendant Howard not present, in custody, represented by David Schieck. Court advised Mr. Schieck that the state should be represented by the Attorney General's office and not the District Attorney's office. COURT ORDERED, matter continued.

 $\frac{2}{3}$ 3/7/91 @ 9 a.m.

03/07/91 CONTINUED TO: 09:00 AM 01

> MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY 03/07/91 09:00 AM 01

GUIDELINES

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA FUJII, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

> 001 D1 Howard, Samuel 000824 Schieck, David M.

COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck advised court that the State has no opposition.

motion GRANTED, Mr. Schieck to prepare order.

LATER: Stephanie Tucker and Keith Marcher, DAGs appeared and advised court that the Attorney General's office was not aware of date, and will notify court if there is opposition.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 002

Y

Ν

Y

MINUTES DATE: 03/07/91 PAGE: 001 PRINT DATE: 12/30/03

MINUTES DATE: 03/26/91

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Howard, Samuel CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 001 03/26/91 09:00 AM 00 MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge OFFICERS: ALONA FUJII, Court Clerk SANDRA SMITH, Relief Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

There being no parties present, COURT ORDERED: Matter continued for one week.

CUSTODY (NSP)

04/02/91 09:00 AM CONTINUED TO: 01

> 04/02/91 09:00 AM 01 MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA FUJII, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

003776 Monroe, Vicki J.

Howard, Samuel 001 D1

000824 Schieck, David M.

Statements by counsel. Ms. Monroe advised court that this is Mr. Seaton's case. Mr. Schieck advised court that he just received a copy of warrant from Ms. Monroe today in court and there are a few errors. Court advised counsel that it is reluctant to sign a warrant of execution which is not letter perfect. COURT ORDERED, matter continued.

PAGE: 002

CUSTODY - NSP

APRIL 9, 1991 @ 9 A.M. -- STATE'S MTN CONTINUED

CONTINUED TO: 04/09/91 09:00 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 003

γ

Ν

Y

MINUTES DATE: 04/09/91

Y

Y

Ν

Y

Y

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 002

04/09/91 09:00 AM 02 MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA FUJII, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

billiable cinciploff and only inopologic, industrial

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA 002274 Smith, Ulrich W.

001 D1

000824 Schieck, David M.

Mr. Schieck requested to review warrant of execution. COURT ORDERED, matter trailed.

Howard, Samuel

LATER: Mr. Schieck advised court that he has reviewed warrant and has no opposition. Third supplemental Warrant and Order of execution signed and filed in open court. COURT ORDERED, the Director of the department of Prisons shall during the week beginning Monday, the 6th day of May 1991, carry out said judgment and sentence by executing said Samuel Howard by the administration to him, said Defendant Samuel Howard, an injection of a lethal drug in the manner as required by law and pursuant to the Third Supplemental Warrant of Execution.

CUSTODY - NSP

CONTINUED TO: 04/21/92 09:00 AM 03

02/04/92 09:00 AM 00 PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000862 Harmon, Melvyn T.

Mr. Harmon requested this matter be continued for one week. COURT ORDERED, MATTER CONTINUED.

CUSTODY - SNP

//Clerk telephoned Mr. Potter's office and advised continuance date. (Michelle 2/5/92 @2:43 p.m. ac)

CONTINUED TO: 02/11/92 09:00 AM 01

PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 PAGE: 003 CONTINUED ON PAGE: 004
PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 PAGE: 003 MINUTES DATE: 02/04/92

MINUTES DATE: 02/11/92

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 003

02/11/92 09:00 AM 01 PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

003776 Monroe, Vicki J.

Ms. Monroe advised Court that Mr. Harmon was present earlier and he spoke with Mr. Schieck, who requested this matter be continued. Ms. Monroe submitted a copy of the State's response to the Court. COURT ORDERED, MATTER CONTINUED FOR ONE MONTH. Court advised Ms. Monroe for the State to contact Mr. Schieck and advise continuance date. All courtesy copies should Babe filed and submitted to the Court one full week prior to hearing date. Mr. Schieck to advised Court whether the Defendant is entitled to an Evidentiary Hearing, or if one is necessary.

3/12/92 @ 9 A.M. -- STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

CUSTODY - NSP

CONTINUED TO: 04/21/92 09:00 AM 02

03/12/92 09:00 AM 00 STATUS CHECK EVIDENTIARY HEARING

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

LEONE DUMIRE, Relief Clerk DEBRA WINN, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

001648 Barker, David B.

Ν

MR. BARKER STATED THERE WAS A STIPULATION IN HIS FILE THAT HAD NOT BEEN SIGNED BY MR. HARMON, DATED 2/12/92 TO CONTINUE THIS HEARING DATE, HOWEVER, BEYOND THAT, HE DID NOT KNOW WHAT TO TELL THE COURT.

COURT ORDERED: THIS MATTER IS PASSED FOR ONE WEEK AND THE COURT CLERK WILL NOTIFY MR. WHETHERALL AND MR. SCHIECK OF NEW COURT DATE AND TO HAVE THEM PRESENT TO DETERMINE THE STATUS OF THIS MATTER AND IF AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING IS NECESSARY.

CUSTODY

3/19/92 @ 9 AM - STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEAIRNG

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 005

MINUTES DATE: 03/12/92

MINUTES DATE: 03/12/92

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 004

ON 3/12/92 THE CT. CLERK CALLED MR. WETHERALL'S OFFICE AND INFORMED HIS SECRETARY OF NEW DATE, AS WELL AS MR. SCHIECK'S OFFICE.

MR. WETHERALL'S SECRETARY APPOLOGIZED FOR MR. WETHERALL NOT BEING PRESENT AND FOR THE MIX UP AND STATED THERE WAS A FILE STAMPED COPY OF THE STIPULATION AND ORDER SIGNED BY THE COURT TO CONTINUE THE MATTER TO 4/21/92, HOWEVER, WOULD HAVE MR. WETHERALL PRESENT AT NEXT HEARING DATE.

CONTINUED TO:

03/19/92 09:00 AM 01

03/19/92 09:00 AM 01 STATUS CHECK EVIDENTIARY HEARING

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

003776 Monroe, Vicki J.

Y

Defendant represented by Peter Wetherall. Court inquired whether Counsel are ready in terms of the petition. Ms. Monroe advised Court that the State filed a motion to dismiss which has not been answered. Mr. Wetherall advised Court that he will be answering the motion to dismiss. COURT ORDERED, THIS MATTER CONTINUED, COUNSEL TO SUBMIT COURTESY COPIES TO THE COURT. FURTHER ORDERED, THE 4/9/92 MOTION TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION IS ALSO CONTINUED TO NEXT HEARING DATE.

CUSTODY - NSP

CONTINUED TO: 04/21/92 09:00 AM 02

04/21/92 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 4/21/92

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000981 Noxon, Arthur G.

Ϋ́

DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

PRINT DATE: 12/30/03

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 006

PAGE: 005 MINUTES DATE: 04/21/92

MINUTES DATE: 04/21/92

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 005

Defendant represented by Peter Wetherall, who advised Court that he has answered the motion. Court advised Counsel that it has not seen the answer as it did not receive a courtesy copy. Mr. Noxon advised Court that this is Mr. Harmon's case. COURT ORDERED, MATTER CONTINUED. Mr. Wetherall advised Court that he was appointed in the Federal Court matter and does not believe that the motion for appointment of counsel was ever filed. COURT ORDERED, MR. WETHERALL TO PUT IN WRITING AND COURT WILL TAKE UP NEXT WEEK.

CUSTODY - NSP

4/28/92 - ALL PENDING MOTIONS

04/28/92 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 4-28-92

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

SHARON PHELPS, Relief Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

003776 Monroe, Vicki J.

Ÿ

DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR POST-CONVICATION RELIEF/STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION/STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Peter Wetherall present for the defendant. Court ORDERED Mr. Wetherall officially appointed to represent the deft. on the Petition for Post Conviction Relief. Court advised it needs to review the "A" and "B" files on this case, and ORDERED, matters continued.

CUSTODY (NSP)...5-19-92 @ 9:00 A.M. DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF/STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION/STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

PAGE: 006

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 007

MINUTES DATE: 04/28/92

MINUTES DATE: 06/09/92

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 006

Υ

Y

Ν

Ν

Υ

Ν

Υ

06/09/92 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6/9/92

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

ARLENE BLAZI, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000981 Noxon, Arthur G.

004352 Owens, Steven S.

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

000824 Schieck, David M.

DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Defendant also represented by Peter Wetherall. Court advised counsel that it has reviewed the "A" and "B" files. COURT ORDERED, PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF IS DENIED. Court inquired about the supplemental warrant of execution. State advised court that it does not have the warrant prepared. COURT ORDERED, MOTION FOR THIRD SUPPPLEMENTAL WARRANT CONTINUED.

CUSTODY - NSP

6/23/92 -- STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

06/23/92 09:00 AM 07 MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD

SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

PATRICIA CAMAROTE, Relief Clerk ARLENE BLAZI, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

001648 Barker, David B.

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 008

MINUTES DATE: 06/23/92

PRINT DATE: 12/30/03

PAGE: 007

MINUTES DATE: 06/23/92

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 007

Mr. Potter objected to the findings of facts not being prepared in this case. Mr. Barker advised Court that what routinely happens is a very expensive execution is put on, and then a stay is received from Judge Reed. Mr. Barker requested a continuance for Mr. Harmon to appear as the findings have not been filed. COURT ORDERED, MATTER CONTINUED. Mr. Potter advised Court that he needs the findings for Federal Court.

CUSTODY - NSP

7/7/92 -- 9 AM -- STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

CONTINUED TO:

07/07/92 09:00 AM 08

07/07/92 09:00 AM 08 MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE THIRD

SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

PATRICIA CAMAROTE, Relief Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

001398 Bloxham, Ronald C.

Peter Wetherall present on behalf of defendant. Court stated it received the Findings of Facts last evening, has read them and further stated they do comply. Court signed the Order Denying Amended Petition, Order for Execution and the Warrant of Execution in open Court and returned them to the State for filing in open Court and Service. Mr. Wetherall stated an indication that Mr. Harmon wants to wait and further stated that, once this matter is resolved here, it will go back to Federal Court, due to the fact that Federal Court wants to take over this case after the State's claims are exhausted. Mr. Bloxham objected. Court stated that the State is entitled to the Warrant. COURT ORDERED, MOTION GRANTED.

CUSTODY (NSP)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 009

MINUTES DATE: 07/07/92

MINUTES DATE: 08/25/92

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 008

Ν

Υ

Y

Y

Ν

Y

MOTION FOR EXTRAORDINARY FEES 08/25/92 09:00 AM 00

HEARD BY: Jeffrey Sobel, Judge

OFFICERS: ALONA CANDITO, Court Clerk

SHIRLEE CHRISTOFFERSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000360 Paine, Charles A.

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

Potter, III, Cal J. 001988

Mr. Paine advised court that the state has no objection. COURT ORDERED, MOTION GRANTED.

811

CUSTODY - NSP

00 DEFT'S PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS /9 01/09/03 09:00 AM

HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5

OFFICERS: Billie Jo Craig, Court Clerk

Shirley Parawalsky, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA 002781 Roger, David J. 00.6088 Peterson, Clark A.

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

003506 Erickson, Patricia M.

Mr. Peterson advised this was a Pro Per Motion and objected to Ms. Erickson being present and speaking. She has not been appointed and the hearing is next week to appoint counsel and defendant has no right to an attorney. There was a briefing schedule set and a hearing was supposed to be today. However, there was a subsequent petition filed in December that the State wishes to respond to in 60 days. He requested the time to respond be extended. Ms. Erickson represented she has been representing defendant for 6-1/2 years in his federal case and Judge Hicks ordered her to represent defendant and amend the petition. COURT ORDERED, this matter CONTINUED to the same date as Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Appointment of Effective Post-Conviction Counsel. Court directed Ms. Erickson to provide Mr. Peterson and the Court with a copy of the documentation where Judge Hicks ordered her to represent defendant in his federal case and to amend the petition.

NDC

CONTINUED TO:

01/14/03 09:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 010

MINUTES DATE: 01/09/03 PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 PAGE: 009

MI

MINUTES DATE: 01/14/03

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Howard, Samuel CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 009 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 1/14/03 01/14/03 HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5 OFFICERS: Billie Jo Craig, Court Clerk Shirlee Parawalsky, Reporter/Recorder Υ PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Y 002781 Roger, David J. 000439 Tufteland, James N. Ν 001 D1 Howard, Samuel

003506 Erickson, Patricia M.

DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF EFFECTIVE POST-CONVICTION COUNSEL...DEFENDANT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

Mr. Tufteland advised defendant has a federal petition with constitutional claims which are not exhausted. The Pro Per Petition was actually prepared by Ms. Erickson and is not verified. He intends to file a Motion to Dismiss as defendant not entitled to counsel. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Pro Per Motion for Appointment of Effective Post-Conviction Counsel is DENIED. As the State previously requested time to respond to the Petition, COURT ORDERED, the State's request to respond is GRANTED and matter CONTINUED. Court directed Ms. Erickson to file a written Motion to be appointed as Counsel in this case.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 3/18/03 9:00 AM DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

03/18/03 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 3/18/03

HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5

OFFICERS: Billie Jo Craig, Court Clerk

Shirlee Prawalsky, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002781 Roger, David J. 006088 Peterson, Clark A.

DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)... STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

Mr. Peterson advised he was out of the office for three days and had a

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 011

Y

PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 PAGE: 010 MINUTES DATE: 03/18/03

MINUTES DATE: 03/18/03

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 010

Y

Y

Υ

Ν

Y

Motion to Dismiss ready if the Petition was verified. If not verified, the Petition should be dismissed as if it was not filed. Colloquy regarding who defendant's attorney was and whether his attorney was working pro bono. Mr. Peterson advised there was no reason to appoint an attorney and Ms. Erickson could work pro bono. However, there was a difference if the Court appointed an attorney pro bono or not. Mr. Peterson advised it was not appropriate for Ms. Erickson to substitute in as attorney of record as she would need permission of the Court. Court noted it did not know if the Petition was verified or not as it only had the "D" file, and ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 3/20/03 9:00 AM SAME MOTIONS

03/20/03 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 3/20/03

HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5

OFFICERS: Billie Jo Craig, Court Clerk

Shirlee Prawalsky, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA 002781 Roger, David J. 006088 Peterson, Clark A.

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

003506 Erickson, Patricia M.

DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)... STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

Ms. Erickson advised matter not resolved. Court noted Ms. Erickson is defendant's counsel but was not appointed by the Court. Court noted Ms. Erickson not appointed pro bono and she is donating her time with no compensation. As to verification, Court noted it does not comply with statute. Mr. Erickson advised she was not informed as to that issue and was not prepared to respond. Court directed Ms. Erickson to take care of the verification today and the State to response in 30 days. COURT ORDERED, matter SET for Status Check: Verification of Petition. The two matters on Calendar today to be CONTINUED to the Status Check date with a date to be heard set at that time.

NDC

CONTINUED: 4/3/03 9:00 AM SAME MATTERS...STATUS CHECK: VERIFICATION OF PETITION

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 012 PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 MINUTES DATE: 03/20/03

PAGE: 011

MINUTES DATE: 04/03/03

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Howard, Samuel CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 011 04/03/03 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 04/03/03 HEARD BY: Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ30 OFFICERS: Georgette Byrd, Court Clerk Shirlee Prawalsky, Reporter/Recorder PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA 006088 Peterson, Clark A. 001 D1 Howard, Samuel Y

STATUS CHECK: VERIFICATION OF PETITION..DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) .. STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)

Erickson, Patricia M.

Ms. Erickson stated she filed Defendants petition yesterday and requested a date to respond by to State's Motion to Dismiss. COURT ORDERED, Defendant to respond by June 5, 2003; State advised it does not need a reply date.

NDC

06/12/03 9:00 AM ARGUMENT/DECISION: DEFT PETITION/STATE'S MOTION/STATUS CHECK

> 06/03/03 09:00 AM 0.0 DEFT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE AN OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MTN TO DISMISS/18

HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5

OFFICERS: Georgette Byrd, Court Clerk

Shirlee Prawalsky, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

003506

006088 Peterson, Clark A.

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

003506 Erickson, Patricia M.

Ms. Erickson requested an extension. Mr. Peterson argued this is defendants third successive petition for writ which the Stated urged the Court to appoint counsel. The State has written their opposition and object to a continuance to August. Ms. Erickson stated she must find a reason why this Court should not deny defendants petition. Further Ms. Erickson stated she has broken her arm and should not be working at this point per doctors orders. COURT ORDERED, Ms. Erickson will be granted additional time to work on her opposition, however if the opposition is not submitted by August 18, 2003 the case will be dismissed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 013

Υ

Ν

MINUTES DATE: 06/03/03 PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 PAGE: 012

MINUTES DATE: 06/03/03

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 012

NDC

08/21/03 9:00 AM HEARING: DEFT'S WRIT FOR HABEAS CORPUS

HEARING RE: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS 08/21/03 09:00 AM 00

COR PUS

HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5

OFFICERS: Georgette Byrd, Court Clerk

Shirlee Prawalsky, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

Υ

006088 Peterson, Clark A.

Howard, Samuel 001 D1

Ν Y

003506 Erickson, Patricia M.

Ms. Erickson filed Exhibit To Petition Howard/Opposition To State's Motion To Dismiss. Court noted it gave Ms. Erickson until 8/18 to file her opposition. Ms. Erickson stated she filed an Ex Parte Motion for a two day extention and provided a copy to Court and counsel. Arguments by Mr. Peterson. COURT ORDERED, State has until 9/25/03 to file their reply and matter is continued.

NDC

10/02/03 9:00 AM ARGUMENTS/DECISION: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

10/02/03 09:00 AM 00 ARGUMENT/DECISION: DEFT'S WRIT FOR

HABEAS CORPUS

HEARD BY: Jackie Glass, Judge; Dept. 5

OFFICERS: Georgette Byrd, Court Clerk

Shirlee Prawalsky, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

006088 Peterson, Clark A.

Y

001 D1 Howard, Samuel

003506 Erickson, Patricia M. Ν

Ms. Erickson requested an evidentiary hearing on all counts and submitted on her pleadings. Mr. Peterson stated Deft's Writ is time barred and twice his writ has been denied. Mr. Peterson requested Court to grant State's Motion to Dismiss. COURT ORDERED, Deft's Petition for Writ for Habeas Corpus is

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 014

MINUTES DATE: 10/02/03 PRINT DATE: 12/30/03 PAGE: 013

MIN

MINUTES DATE: 10/02/03

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

81-C-053867-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Howard, Samuel

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 013

DENIED; States's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED.

NDC

MINUTES DATE: 10/02/03

PRINT DATE: 12/30/03

PAGE: 014

12/30/03 CASE NO. 81-C-053867-C EXHIBITS

10:42 AM

CASE STATUS: INACTIVE

STATE OF NEVADA

[] vs Howard, Samuel

[]

NO.	CODE		EXH	BI:	C DESCRIPTION	N	SUB	OF/OB	DATE	<u>s_</u>
0001	D	/EXH TO	EXHIBITS	TO	PETITIONERS	OPPOSITIO		/	08/21/03	V

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada		
	}	SS
County of Clark)	

I, Shirley B. Parraguirre, the duly elected, qualifying and acting Clerk of Clark County, in the State of Nevada, and Ex-Officio Clerk of the District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the original:

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBIT LIST;

STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff(s),) Case No: C53867) Dept No: V
vs.) -
SAMUEL HOWARD,))
Defendant(s),))
	,

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have herunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada this 30 day of December 2003.

Shirley B. Parraguirre, Clark County Clerk

ANUEL NVAS, Deputy Clerk



Office of the County Clerk

Shirley B. Parraguirre County Clerk Commissioner of Civil Marriages

> Diana Alba Assistant County Clerk

200 South Third Street P. O. Box 551601

Las Vegas NV 89155-1601

(702) 455-3156 -- Day Telephone

(702) 455-4415 -- Night Telephone

(702) 455-4929 -- Dax

December 30, 2003

Janette Bloom Clerk of the Supreme Court 201 South Carson Street, Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702



No. 42593

RE: STATE OF NEVADA VS SAMUEL HOWARD

S.C. CASE: N/A D.C. CASE: C53867

Dear Ms. Bloom:

We apologize for the delay in processing this new appeal packet but our appeals division did not receive a copy of this Notice of Appeal.

If you have any questions you may reach me at (702) 455-4409.

Sincerely, SHIRLEY B. PARRAGUIRRE, COUNTY CLERK

MANUEL RIVAS, Deputy Clerk