IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA FILED

3 | 4 |

JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL,

Appellant,

v.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Respondent.



Case No. 43493

MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS OF APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF AND HOLD BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN ABEYANCE

DAVID M. SCHIECK Attorney at Law Nevada Bar No. 000824 302 East Carson Avenue, Suite No. 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 382-1844

DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar #002781
Clark County Courthouse
200 South Third Street, Suite 701
Post Office Box 552212
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 455-4711
State of Nevada

BRIAN SANDOVAL Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 003805 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265



Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

I:\APPELLAT\WPDOCS\SECRETARY\MOTIONS\STRIKE\CHAPPELL, JAMES CAP CASE 43493.DOC

05-12136

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	
4	
5	JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL)
6	Appellant, {
7	v. { Case No. 43493
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, {
9	Respondent.
10	MOTION TO STRIKE APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF AND HOLD
11	BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN ABEYANCE
12	COMES NOW the State of Nevada, by DAVID ROGER, Clark County District
13	Attorney, through his Chief Deputy, STEVEN OWENS, and submits this Motion to
14	Strike Appellant's Opening Brief and Hold Briefing Schedule in Abeyance.
15	This motion is based on the following memorandum and all papers and
16	pleadings on file herein.
17	Dated this 8 th day of February, 2005.
18	Respectfully submitted,
19	
20	DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 002781
21	Runa
22	BY (MUX)
23	STEVEN OWENS Deputy District Attorney
24	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352
25	Attorney for Respondent
26	
27	
28	

 $I.\APPELLAT.\WPDOCS\\\SECRETARY\\\MOTIONS\\\STRIKE\\\CHAPPELL,\ JAMES\ CAP\ CASE\ 43493.DOC$

MEMORANDUM

James Montell Chappell, hereinafter Appellant, was found guilty of burglary, robbery with use of a deadly weapon and first degree murder and sentenced to death following a jury trial in 1996. This Court affirmed Appellant's conviction and sentence on December 30, 1998. <u>Chappell v. State</u>, 114 Nev. 1403, 972 P.2d 838 (1998); rehearing denied 1999.

Appellant filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post Conviction) in the Eighth Judicial District Court. The district court held evidentiary hearings and granted Appellant a new penalty hearing, but refused to grant Appellant a new trial on April 2, 2004. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order were entered on June 3, 2004.

The State appealed the granting of a new penalty hearing, filing Notice of Appeal on June 8, 2004. Appellant filed a Notice of Cross Appeal on June 24, 2004 stating his intent to appeal the district court's denial of a new trial.

This Court designated Chappell as the Appellant/Cross Respondent and the State as the Respondent/Cross Appellant. On July 15, 2004 this Court ordered the briefing schedule as follows: "(1) appellant shall serve and file his file opening brief.

- . . (2) respondent shall file and serve its combined answering brief and cross-appeal. .
- . (3) appellant shall file and serve a combined reply brief on appeal and answering brief on cross-appeal . . . (4) if deemed necessary, respondent shall file and serve a reply brief on cross-appeal."

Appellant filed an oversized opening brief on January 11, 2005 alleging errors in the district court's refusal to grant a new trial, but also alleging errors with the trial court's "not granting relief in other grounds raised challenging the penalty hearing." Appellant's Opening Brief p. 5. Appellant's brief alleges fourteen penalty phase errors. This is improper.

NRS 177.015 provides:

The party **aggrieved** in a criminal action may appeal only as follows:

. . . to the Supreme Court from an order of the district court granting a motion to dismiss, a motion for an acquittal or a motion in arrest of judgment, or granting or refusing a new trial.

. . . The Defendant may only appeal from a final judgment or verdict in a criminal case."

(Emphasis added.)

It is clear that, pursuant to NRS 177.015, Appellant may only appeal the district court's refusal to grant a new trial. Since Appellant was granted relief (a new penalty hearing) for his claims raised challenging the penalty hearing, he cannot possibly be the aggrieved party to that order. It is therefore a violation of NRS 171.015 for him to raise issues pertaining to his penalty hearing.

Moreover, there is nothing in the record to support that Appellant's claim that the district court refused to grant relief on other grounds raised challenging the penalty hearing. The district court's order, which Appellant appeals from, does not state that it evaluated the merits of Appellant's other grounds raised, let alone refused to grant relief for them. It merely states its findings that Appellant's counsel "was deficient in not locating and presenting [] witnesses at the penalty hearing. . . which the Court finds sufficient to determine that the outcome of the penalty hearing cannot be relied upon as having produced a just result." Appellant's Appendix, 11 p. 2717. There is no justification whatsoever for Appellant's inclusion of penalty phase allegations in his opening brief.

Furthermore, Appellant's inclusion of the penalty phase allegations is a clear violation of this Court's Order Setting Briefing Schedule. It appears that Appellant is addressing issues which should be raised in its combined reply brief on appeal and answering brief on cross-appeal. Since the State has yet to file an opening brief on cross-appeal, Appellant's actions here amount to the filing of an answer before an opening brief is even filed. This is highly improper.

I:\APPELLAT\WPDOCS\SECRETARY\MOTIONS\STRIKE\CHAPPELL. JAMES CAP CASE 43-93.DOC

As such, Appellant's Opening Brief should be stricken. The State further requests this Court hold the briefing schedule in abeyance so that Appellant may file an amended opening brief in accordance with NRS 177.015 and this Court's Order Setting Briefing Schedule.

Dated this 8th day of February, 2005.

BY

Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352

Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify and affirm that I mailed a copy of the foregoing Motion To Strike Portions Of Appellant's Opening Brief to the attorney of record listed below on this 8th day of February, 2005.

David M. Schieck Attorney at Law 302 East Carson Avenue, Suite No. 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Employee, Clark County District Attorney's Office

OWENS/Noreen Nyikos/english