# ORIGINAL

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL,

Case No. 43493

Appellant/Cross-Respondent.

FILED

vs.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FEB 1 4 2005

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CLERY OF SUPPLEME COURT

DEPUTY CLERY

Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO STRIKE PORTIONS
OF APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF AND
HOLD BRIEFING SCHEDULE IN ABEYANCE

COMES NOW, Appellant JAMES CHAPPELL, by and through his attorney DAVID M. SCHIECK, Special Public Defender, and for his Opposition to the State's Motion to Strike Appellant's Opening Briefs and Hold Briefing Schedule in Abeyance, states as follows:

## **ARGUMENT**

JAMES CHAPPELL was sentenced to death and thereafter granted a new Penalty hearing by the District Court. The State filed an appeal and CHAPPELL cross-appealed on the basis that the District Court should have granted a new trial and that other grounds existed for setting aside the penalty imposed.

Even through CHAPPELL was the prevailing party in District Court and the State filed the Notice of Appeal this Court designated CHAPPELL as the Appellant and ordered CHAPPELL to file an Opening Brief. No restrictions were placed on the issues that could be raise by CHAPPELL. CHAPPELL raised all colorable issues to avoid being faced with a procedural bar should the Court reverse the decision of the District Court straining a new penalty hearing. All of the issues raised in the Court straining a new penalty hearing. All of the issues raised in the COURT

2728

DEPUTY CLERK

and the State would have had to file an Answering Cross-Appeal Brief.

It would appear that the State is more interested in avoiding the issues than timely processing the appeal. CHAPPELL will abide by whatever procedural guidelines this Court imposes, but sees no basis to strike the Opening Brief and requests that the State be ordered to file an Answering Brief.

## CONCLUSION

Appellant requests this Court deny the State's Motion to Strike Portions of Appellant's Opening Brief and Hold Briefing Schedule in Abeyance. Appellant further requests this Court order the State to file its Answering Brief.

DATED this 97% day of February, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

By:

DAVID M. SCHIECK, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 0824

333 S. Third St., 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorney for Appellant

### AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

11 l

DAVID M. SCHIECK, ESQ., being first duly sworn, deposes and says

That Affiant is an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the

State of Nevada and as the Special Public Defender is appointed to

represent JAMES CHAPPELL on his cross-appeal from denial of new trial

but granting of new penalty hearing.

That on February 8, 2005 Affiant filed the Opening Brief on January 11, 2005 and the State served on Appellant a Motion to Strike Portions of Appellant's Opening Brief and Hold Briefing Schedule in Abeyance.

That the issues raised in the Opening Brief were done so in order to prevent Appellant from being procedurally barred should the Court reverse the decision of the District Court granting a new penalty hearing.

That Affiant requests this Court deny the Motion to Strike the Opening Brief and requests that the State be ordered to file an Answering Brief.

Further Affiant sayeth naught

DAVID M. SCHIECK

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me

this  $\frac{9}{1}$  day of February, 2005.

then the old



## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned does hereby certify that on the <u>4</u> day of February, 2005, I deposited in the United States Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the Opposition to Motion to Strike Portions of Appellant's Opening brief and Hold Briefing Schedule in Abeyance, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

District Attorney's Office 200 S. Third Street Las Vegas NV 89155

Nevada Attorney General 100 N. Carson Carson City, NV 89701-4717

An employee of the Special Public Defender's Office