CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM 520 South Fourth Street, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOTC
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.
Nevada Bar no. 4349
520 South 4th Street, # 370
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 384-5563
Attorney for Defendant
DAMON CAMPBELL

FILED
FEB 28 3 57 PH'05
CLERK

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MAR 0 3 2005

No. 44799

CASE NO. C16 DEPT. NO. XV

C169550

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAMON CAMPBELL,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, DAMON CAMPBELL, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from his denial of his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), the Notice of Entry of Order entered on January 27, 2005.

DATED this 25 day of February, 2005.

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM

Nevada Bar #004349

520 South Fourth Street., #370

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorney for Defendant

DAMON CAMPBELL



CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM 520 South Fourth Street, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Supreme Court Clerk Supreme Court Building 201 S. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89170

David Roger District Attorney 200 South Third Street, 7th floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Brian Sandoval Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., 3rd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101

An employee of Christopher R. Oram Esq.

2 3

1

CASA CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #004349 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 384-5563

FILED FEB 28 3 58 PM '05

CLERK

Attorney for Defendant **DAMON CAMPBELL**

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA

CASE NO. C169550 DEPT. NO. XV

State of Nevada v. DAMON CAMPBELL

Plaintiff,

VS.

3.

28

DAMON CAMPBELL,

Defendant.

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1.	Appellant	:	DAMON CAMPBELL
2.	Judge	:	Hon. Sally Loehrer
3.	Parties in District Court	:	State of Nevada v. DAMO

4. Parties in Appeal DAMON CAMPBELL v. State of Nevada

5.	Counsel on Appeal	Christopher R. Oram, Esq.	
		520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor	
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
		(702) 384-5563	

DAVID J. ROGER **District Attorney**

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM 520 South Fourth Street, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

200 South Third Street Las Vegas, NV 89155 (702) 455-4711

BRIAN SANDOVAL Attorney General Attention: Criminal Division Capitol Complex Carson City, Nevada 89710 (702) 687-4170

- 6. Appellant was represented by court appointed counsel in the district court.
- 7. Appellant is currently represented by retained counsel on appeal.
- 8. Appellant has not been granted leave to proceed in form pauperis as of this date.
- 9. On January 26, 2005, the Honorable Sally Loehrer denied Mr. Campbell's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), and the Notice of Entry of Order having been entered on January 27, 2005.

DATED this 25 day of February, 2005.

Respectfully submitted by:

CHRISZOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ Nevada Bar No. 004349 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 384-5563 Attorney for Defendant

DAMON CAMPBELL

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM 520 South Fourth Street, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ., and that on the Aday of February, 2005, I did deposit in the United States Postal Service4 office at Las Vegas, Nevada, in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid thereon, a true and correct copy of the above foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT, addressed to:

Supreme Court Clerk Supreme Court Building 201 S. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

David Roger District Attorney 200 S. Third Street, 7th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Brian Sandoval Attorney General 555 E. Washington Ave., 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

An employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.

INDEX

TIME 4:41 PM JUDGE:Loehrer, Sally

STATE OF NEVADA

DATE: 02/28/05

[] vs Campbell, Damon 0

[]

0001 D1 Damon 0 Campbell P O Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301

CASE NO. 00-C-169550-C

004349 Oram, Christopher R. NO. 1 520 S Fourth St., 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101

NO.	FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR	OC S	CH/PER	C
		INFO/INFORMATION Fee \$0.00			08/24/	
		ARRN/INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT	0001		09/07/	00
		CBO /CRIMINAL BINDOVER	0001			
		NOEV/NOTICE OF EXHIBIT(S) IN THE VAULT			08/25/	
		HEAR/TRIAL SETTING	0001		09/21/	00
		NISD/NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY	0001			
0007	09/21/00	ARRN/ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED	0001		09/28/	00
	00/00/00	(VJ 9/27/00)	0001		00/00/	/
0008	09/20/00	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY	0001		08/23/	00
0000	00/21/00	HEARING	0001		09/21/	′00
		INFO/AMENDED INFORMATION SUBT/SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY	0001		09/21/	00
		HEAR/TRIAL SETTING	0001		10/17/	′00
		MOT /STATE'S MOTION TO JOIN DEFTS'	0001		10/17/	
0012	10/05/00	WITH C170186	0001		+0/+//	,
0013	10/10/00	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL SETTING	0001		09/21/	00
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (10/17/00)	0001		10/17/	
		CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0001		05/16/	
		(VJ 5/2/01)	0001			
0016	10/17/00	JURY/TRIAL BY JURY	0001		05/21/	01
		(VJ 5/2/01)	0001			
0017	10/18/00	ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	0001			
		ORDR/ORDER GRANTING STATES MOTION TO	0001	HG	10/17/	00
		CONSOLIDATE CASE	0001			:
0019	11/09/00	PET /DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0001		11/28/	00
		CORPUS	0001			
0020	11/06/00	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF STATES MOTION	0001		10/17/	00
		TO CONSOLIDATE	0001	•		
		ORDR/ORDER - C170186				
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY - C170186			11/09/	00
		WRIT/WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS - C170186				
0024	11/20/00	WRIT/RETURN TO WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS				
0025	: 11/12/00	C170186 ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY - C170186			11/09/	/nn
		REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF	0001		11/09/	00
0020	12/12/00	INVESTIGATOR AND FOR EXCESS FEES	0001			
0027	12/13/00	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER APPOINTING INVESTIGATOR	0001			
0027	12/13/00	AND FOR EXCESS FEES	0001			
0028	01/19/01	OTTE/ORDER TO TRANSPORT - C170186		_	01/19/	01
		HEAR/STATE'S REQUEST STATUS CHECK: TRIAL DATE	0001	GR	05/02/	
		MOT /STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF	0001		10/25/	
	. , –	OTHER CRIMES (CONS W/C170186)	0,001		• •	
0031	05/02/01	HEAR/TRIAL SETTING	0001	. GR	05/07/	01
0032	04/30/01	NOTC/NOTICE OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF	0001			
		AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES	0001			
0033	04/30/01	LIST/NOTICE OF WITNESSES	0001			
		(Continued to page 2)				

00-C-169550- (Continuation Page	2)			
NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION F			H/PER C	
			T.	
0034 04/30/01 LIST/NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES	0001			
0035 05/08/01 CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0001		10/24/01	
0036 05/08/01 JURY/TRIAL BY JURY (VJ 10/1/01)			10/29/01	
0037 05/25/01 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL SETTING	0001		05/07/01	
0038 08/03/01 EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0001 0001			
0039 08/03/01 REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF	0001			
COUNSEL	0001			
0040 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO ALLOW JURY		GR	10/01/01	
QUESTIONNAIRE/15	0001			
0041 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO STRIKE NOTICE OF		DN	10/01/01	
AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES/16	0001			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DN	10/01/01	
JURORS BY THE PROSECUTOR/17	0001		/ /	
0043 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED		DN	10/01/01	
VOIR DIRE/18	0001	DAT	10/01/01	
0044 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL		DN	10/01/01	
PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES/19	0001	TONT	10/01/01	
0045 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN FOR DISCOVERY/20 0046 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO SEVER TRIALS/21			10/01/01	
0046 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MIN TO SEVER TRIALS/21 0047 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO EXCLUDE STATEMENT OF CO			10/01/01	
DEFT /22	0001	GR	10/01/01	
0048 08/28/01 MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF		ďΡ	10/01/01	
EXPECTATIONS OR BENEFITS FOR COOP/23	0001	GIC	10/01/01	
0049 08/29/01 ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001		08/29/01	
0050 09/05/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR	0001		00/25/01	
DISCOVERY	0001			
	0001			
ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES	0001			
0052 09/05/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR	0001			
INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE	0001			
0053 09/05/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO	0001			
ALLOW JURY QUESTIONNAIRE	0001			
0054 09/06/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO	0001			Y
COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXPECTATIONS OR	0001			
EXPECTATIONS OR BENEFITS				
BENEFITS FOR COOPERATION				
0055 09/10/01 MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (9/10/01)	0001		09/10/01	
0056 09/11/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO	0001			Y
STRIKE NOTICE OF AGGRAVATING	0001			
CIRCUMSTANCES				
0057 09/11/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION IN	0001			Y
LIMINE LIMITING REMOVAL OF JURORS BY THE PROSECUTOR	0001			
	0001			
0058 09/11/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO	0001			
SEVER TRIALS 0059 09/11/01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO	0001 0001			
EXCLUDE STATEMENT OF CO DEFENDANT	0001			
0060 09/12/01 REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF	0001			Y
EXPERT WITNESS AND EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001			
EXCESS FEES	J			
0061 09/13/01 ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001		09/13/01	
(Continued to page 3)			, ,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

	00-C-169550- (Continuation I	Page 3)
NO. FILED/REC			C SCH/PER C
0062 09/14/01	ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING EXPERT FIREARM AND TOOLMARK EXAMINER AND FORENSIC CRIME	0001 0001	Y
SCENE RECONST	RUCTIONIST AND FOR EXCESS FEES		
	NOTC/RENOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADMIT	r 0001	10/01/01
	EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES	0001	
0064 09/17/01	NOTC/SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESSES	0001	
	LIST/SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSE		
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPT 10 2001		09/10/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 2 2001		05/21/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 16 2001		05/16/01
	EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL	0001	Y
	INVESTIGATIVE FEES IN EXCESS OF THE	0001	
STATUTORY LIM	IT		
0070 09/25/01	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001	09/25/01
0071 09/25/01	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ADMIT	0001	Y
	EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES WRONGS OR	0001	
	TATES CASE IN CHIEF		
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (10/1/01)	0001	10/01/01
	HEAR/PETROCELLI HEARING	0001	10/25/01
0076 10/04/01	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001	10/04/01 Y
EXCESS OF THE	ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATIVE FEES IN STATUTORY LIMIT	0001	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 10-25-01	\mathtt{AL}	10/25/01
	NOEV/NOTICE OF EXHIBIT(S) IN THE VAULT		10/25/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PRE TRIAL	0001	10/01/01
	MOTIONS	0001	
0080 10/30/01	LIST/SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL NOTICE OF WITNESSI	ES 0001	
0081 10/31/01	LIST/NOTICE OF WITNESSES	0001	
	TRB /TRIAL BEGINS		
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CALENDAR CAL		10/24/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PETROCELLI HEAR		10/25/01
0085 11/06/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	11/05/01
	(11/5/01)	0001	
0086 11/06/01	SUBP/SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM	0001	SC 11/08/01
, ,			SV 11/03/01
0087 11/06/01	SUBP/SUBPOENA		SC 11/08/01
			SV 11/03/01
0088 11/06/01		0001	11/07/01
0089 11/07/01	ORDR/MEDIA REQUEST TO PERMIT CAMERA ACCESS		11/07/01
0000 11/05/01	TO PROCEEDINGS	0001	
	CRJL/CRIMINAL JURY LIST	0001	CV 11/02/01
	SUBP/SUBPOENA	0001	SV 11/03/01 11/05/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	11/05/01
0093 11/08/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001 0001	11/0//01
0004 11/07/01	VOLUME I	0001	11/06/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	11/03/01
0030 11/00/01	AFTERNOON SESSION	0001	11/01/01
0096 11/00/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	11/08/01
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	11/08/01
0001 11/00/01	VOLUME II	0001	,,
0098 11/05/01	JURY/TRIAL BY JURY	0001	11/13/01
5.000 II/00/0I	(Continued to page 4)	3001	,,
	(concernation to base 1)		

	00-C-169550- (Continuation)	Page	4)		
NO. FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR		CH/PER C	
	HEAR/PENALTY HEARING	0001		11/15/01	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001		11/13/01	
0101 11/15/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001		11/14/01	
0100 11/15/01	11/14/01 1:30 P.M.)	0001		11/14/01	
0102 11/15/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001		11/14/01	
0102 11/15/01	(11/14/01 3:15 P.M.)	0001		01/14/02	
	SENT/SENTENCING INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY	0001		01/14/02	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001		11/13/01	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001		11/15/01	
	TRE /TRIAL ENDS	0001	-	11/10/01	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	l	11/13/01	
0100 11/10/01	AFTERNOON SESSION	0001		11/13/01	
0100 11/15/01	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0001		11/15/01	
	INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY	0001		11/10/01	
	VER /VERDICT	0001		11/15/01	
	VER /VERDICT VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0001		11/15/01	
0112 11/13/01 0113 11/13/01		0001		11/13/01	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001		11/09/01	
	NOTC/NOTICE OF JURY QUESTIONNAIRES IN THE	0001	-	11/09/01	
0116 11/2//01	VAULT				
0117 11/27/01	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER FOR CONTACT VISITATION	0001			
	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER FOR CONTACT VISITATION	0001			
	REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL EXPERT	0001			
0115 01/05/02	FEES IN EXCESS OF THE STATUTORY LIMIT	0001			
0120 01/18/02	ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	0001			
	JMNT/ADMINISTRATION/ASSESSMENT FEE	0001		01/23/02	
	JMNT/GENETIC TESTING FEE	0001		01/23/02	
	JMNT/JUDGMENT OF RESTITUTION	0001		01/23/02	
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)	0001		01/22/02	
	STAT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	0001		,,	
	NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0001		01/23/02	
	STAT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	0001		0=/==0/ 0=	
	NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0001		01/25/02	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING	0001		01/14/02	
	REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL	0001		0-//-	Y
0130 01,00,02	INVESTIGATIVE FEES IN EXCESS OF THE	0001			
STATUTORY LIM					
	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001	L	02/05/02	Y
•	ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATIVE FEES	0001	L		
IN EXCESS OF	THE STATUTORY LIMIT				
0132 02/05/02	ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF	0001	L	02/05/02	
	EXCESS ATTORNEY FEES AND EXPENSES	0001	<u>.</u>		
0133 02/07/02	REQT/EX PRATE MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL EXPERT	0001	<u>.</u>		
	FEES IN EXCESS OF THE STATUTORY LIMIT	0001	L .		
0134 02/14/02	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001	L	02/14/02	Y
	ADDITIONAL EXPERT FEES IN EXCESS OF	0001	L		
THE STATUTORY					
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	0001	_	11/15/02	
	NOEV/NOTICE OF EXHIBIT(S) IN THE VAULT			11/05/01	
0137 11/15/01	VJRU/VERDICT(S) SUBMITTED TO JURY BUT	0001			
	RETURNED UNSIGNED	0001	-		
	(Continued to page 5)				

	00-C-169550- (Continuation Page		•	TII (DED G	
NO. FILED/REC	, ,		C SC	CH/PER C	
	PFNU/PROPOSED VERDICT FORMS NOT USED AT TRIAL				7.7
0139 03/15/02	REQT/EX-PARTE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF ATTORNEYS	0001 0001			Y
FEES	TO FILE MOTION FOR PAIMENT OF ATTORNETS	0001			
	ORDR/ORDER	0001		03/18/02	
	REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES IN	0001		. ,	
	EXCESS OF THE STATUTORY LIMIT	0001			
0142 04/15/02	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING ATTORNEYS FEES IN EXCESS	0001		04/15/02	
07.42 07./7.6./02	OF THE STATUTORY LIMIT	0001	CID.	07/14/02	
	APCL/APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT: CLOSED 39127 JMNT/CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT AFFIRMED	0001 0001	GR	07/14/03 08/18/03	
	CCJA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/	0001		08/15/03	
0143 00/13/03	JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	0001		00, 10, 00	
0146 09/03/03	PET /PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST	0001			
	CONVICTION) AND APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0001			
0147 09/03/03	REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES IN	0001			
07.40.00/04/00	EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE & COSTS	0001		00/04/02	37
0148 09/04/03	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY	0001 0001		09/04/03	Y
ALLOWANCE AND		0001			
	CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED			09/05/03	
	PET /DEFT'S PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS /32	0001	DN	01/05/05	
	PPOW/ORDER FOR PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS	0001		11/05/03	
	CORPUS	0001			
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL	0001		09/16/03	3.7
0153 10/29/03	OPPS/STATES OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0001 0001			Y
POST CONVICTI	ON AND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0001			
	JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	0001	GR	11/13/03	
	AND ORDER	0001			
0155 12/15/03	WOA /NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL AS ATTORNEY OF	0001		12/15/03	
0.7.5.7.0.40.5.40.0	RECORD	0001		11/12/02	
	NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	0001 0001		11/13/03 07/23/04	
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY PET /PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST	0001		0//23/04	
0130 07/23/01	CONVICTION	0001			
0159 08/10/04	CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED			08/10/04	
0160 08/10/04	MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO PLACE ON CALENDAR/33	0001	GR	08/23/04	
	ARGU/ARGUMENT & DECISION	0001		01/05/05	
0162 10/27/04	BREF/SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF	0001			Y
	DEFENDANTS WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST IDENTIARY HEARING REQUESTED	0001			
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001		10/25/04	
	RSPN/RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR	0001		20,20,02	Y
	WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST	0001			
CONVICTION					
	OTTE/ORDER TO TRANSPORT	0001	SH	12/20/04	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (12/20/04)	0001		12/20/04	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (1/5/05) JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	0001 0001	CΡ	01/05/05	
0100 01/20/02	AND ORDER	0001	Δ£	01/20/05	
0169 01/27/05	NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	0001		01/26/05	
. ,				•	

COMMITT PERM

27

28

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Damon Lamar Campbell, defendant, was charged via information with murder with use of a deadly weapon and two counts of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon.
- A jury found defendant guilty of murder with use of a deadly weapon and one count

FILED

C169550

XV

- of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon. The jury found defendant not guilty of count III, attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon.
 - 3. A Judgment of Conviction was filed on January 22, 2002.
- 4. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on January 25, 2002.

1

2

3

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

10.

- 5. On July 14, 2003, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed defendant's convictions, with the observation that "overwhelming evidence was adduced to support Campbell's convictions."
- 8 6. On September 3, 2003, defendant filed his first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion for Appointment of Counsel.
- 7. On November 13, 2003, this Court denied defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel and the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
- 8. On July 23, 2004, defendant filed the instant second Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
- 9. On October 25, 2004, with the assistance of counsel, defendant filed a supplemental brief on the petition.

In his petition, defendant argues that he received ineffective assistance of trial counsel

- because trial counsel failed to listen to the second caller on a 911 tape recording entered into evidence at trial by the State. The second caller on the tape was not called as a witness at trial. Had they listed to the entire tape, defendant maintains, they would have objected to its admissibility as hearsay because the second caller did not testify. Defendant further claims that the second call on the tape was inadmissible evidence under <u>Crawford v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 1354, 158 L.Ed2d 177 (2004).
- 23 11. On January 5, 2005, the Court heard argument on that issue.
- 24 | 12. Defendant did not demonstrate to the Court that the second call on the 911 tape constituted inadmissible evidence.
- 26 13. The second call on the 911 tape would have been admitted into evidence at trial regardless of whether defense counsel objected.
 - 14. The information or description of events contained in the second call on the 911 tape

also was testified to by trial witnesses, Noe Villanueva and Wilfredo Villanueva.

I

- 2 15. Therefore, even if the second call had been excluded as evidence, there is no indication that the result of the proceeding would have been different because the jury heard the same information from another source—testifying witnesses.
 - 16. Defendant has provided this Court with no evidence that there was any deficient performance of defense counsel which resulted in prejudice to the defendant or which establishes that the result of the trial proceeding would have been different.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. In order to assert a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel the defendant must prove that he was denied reasonably effective assistance of counsel by satisfying the two-prong test of Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 686-87, 104 S. Ct. 2052, 2063-64 (1984).
- 2. Under the <u>Strickland</u> test, the defendant must show first that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and second, that but for counsel's errors, there is a reasonable probability that the result of the proceeding would have been different. <u>Strickland</u>, 466 U.S. at 687-88, 104 S. Ct. at 2068; <u>Warden v. Lyons</u>, 100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984).
- 3. The second call placed to the 911 operator falls under the exception to the hearsay rules as qualifies as an excited utterance and/or a present sense impression. NRS 51.095; NRS 51.085.
- 4. The <u>Crawford</u> decision does not affect the admissibility of the second 911 call as an excited utterance or present sense impression. <u>City of Las Vegas v. Walsh</u>, 120 Nev. Adv. Op. 44, 91 P.3d 591 (2004).
 - 5. The <u>Crawford</u> case indicated that, in some circumstances, certain hearsay exceptions would be admissible evidence only if the declarant also was a testifying witness or the defense had the opportunity to cross-examine the declarant on the statement on a prior occasion. The United States Supreme Court reasoned that the Confrontation Clause imposed a bar to testimonial statements absent a prior opportunity to cross-examine. <u>See Crawford</u>, 124 S. Ct. at 1370.

7. The <u>Crawford</u> case defines testimonial statements as those which the declarant would reasonably expect to be used prosecutorially or at a later trial. <u>Id</u>. at 1364.

8. The Nevada Supreme Court has specifically held that a 911 call is not testimonial. Walsh, 120 Nev. Adv. Op. 44 (2004).

9. The reason why a 911 call is not testimonial is because the call is not initiated by police, the call would not be generated by the desire of the prosecution but, rather, by the caller's desire to be rescued from peril, and because a testimonial statement is one in which the government summons a citizen to be a witness and in the case of a 911 call, it is the citizen who summons the government. <u>Id</u>.

10. Based on this legal precedent, the second 911 call in the instant case would not be testimonial.

11. In light of <u>Crawford</u>, the instant call would have been properly admitted into evidence as an excited utterance and/or present sense impression without the declarant being a testifying witness.

12. Therefore, even if defense counsel in the instant case had listen to the second call and objected to its admission, the objection would have been properly overruled by the Court and the second call would have come into evidence.

13. Thus, defendant Campbell fails to demonstrate that but for defense counsels' error in not listening to the entire 911 tape, the result of the trial proceeding would have been different.

24 | ///

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

47 || *11*

28 | ///

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Post-Conviction Relief shall be, and it is, hereby denied.

DATED this _34 day of January, 2005.

DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781

Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006163

ORIGINAL

NOED

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

FILED

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2005 JAN 27 P 3: 21

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

••

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Order in:

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

DAMON LAMAR CAMPBELL,

Petitioner,

Respondent,

Case No: C169550 Dept No: XV

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 26, 2005, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on January 27, 2005.

SHIRLEY B. PARRAGUIRRE, CLERK OF COURT

Robin J. Mills, Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 27 day of January 2005, I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry of Decision and

The bin(s) located in the Office of the County Clerk of: Clark County District Attorney's Office

Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division

☑ The United States mail addressed as follows:

Damon Lamar Campbell #71683 P.O. Box 1989 Ely, NV 89301 Christopher Oram, Esq. 520 S. Fourth St., 2nd fl. Las Vegas, NV 89101

Robin J. Mills, Deputy Clerk

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

1	ORDR DAVID ROGER FILED
2	DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney
3	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 PAMELA WECKERLY Jan 26 2 21 PH 105
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006163
5	200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 455-4711
6	Attorney for Plaintiff
7	DISTRICT COURT
· 8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA THE STATE OF NEVADA,
9	Plaintiff,
10	CASE NO: C169550
11	DAMON LAMAR CAMPBELL, 41196647
12	}
13	Defendant.
14	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF
15	LAW AND ORDER
16	DATE OF HEARING: 01/05/05
17	TIME OF HEARING: 10:30 A.M.
18	THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable SALLY L

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable SALLY LOEHRER, District Judge, on the 5th day of January, 2004, the Petitioner being present, represented by CHRISTOHER R. ORAM, ESQ., the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, by and through PAMELA WECKERLY, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Damon Lamar Campbell, defendant, was charged via information with murder with use of a deadly weapon and two counts of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon.
- 2. A jury found defendant guilty of murder with use of a deadly weapon and one count

- 1 of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon. The jury found defendant not guilty of 2 count III, attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon.
 - 3. A Judgment of Conviction was filed on January 22, 2002.
- 4 4. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on January 25, 2002.

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

10.

- 5 5. On July 14, 2003, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed defendant's convictions, with 6 the observation that "overwhelming evidence was adduced to support Campbell's 7 convictions."
- 8 6. On September 3, 2003, defendant filed his first Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus 9 and Motion for Appointment of Counsel.
- 10 7. On November 13, 2003, this Court denied defendant's Motion for Appointment of 11 Counsel and the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
- 12 On July 23, 2004, defendant filed the instant second Petition for Writ of Habeas 8. Corpus. 13
 - 9. On October 25, 2004, with the assistance of counsel, defendant filed a supplemental brief on the petition.
 - In his petition, defendant argues that he received ineffective assistance of trial counsel because trial counsel failed to listen to the second caller on a 911 tape recording entered into evidence at trial by the State. The second caller on the tape was not called as a witness at trial. Had they listed to the entire tape, defendant maintains, they would have objected to its admissibility as hearsay because the second caller did not testify. Defendant further claims that the second call on the tape was inadmissible evidence under Crawford v. Washington,
- 22 124 S. Ct. 1354, 158 L.Ed2d 177 (2004).
- 23 11. On January 5, 2005, the Court heard argument on that issue.
- 24 12. Defendant did not demonstrate to the Court that the second call on the 911 tape 25 constituted inadmissible evidence.
- 26 13. The second call on the 911 tape would have been admitted into evidence at trial 27 regardless of whether defense counsel objected.
- 28 14. The information or description of events contained in the second call on the 911 tape

also was testified to by trial witnesses, Noe Villanueva and Wilfredo Villanueva.

- 2 15. Therefore, even if the second call had been excluded as evidence, there is no indication that the result of the proceeding would have been different because the jury heard the same information from another source—testifying witnesses.
 - 16. Defendant has provided this Court with no evidence that there was any deficient performance of defense counsel which resulted in prejudice to the defendant or which establishes that the result of the trial proceeding would have been different.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. In order to assert a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel the defendant must prove that he was denied reasonably effective assistance of counsel by satisfying the two-prong test of Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 686-87, 104 S. Ct. 2052, 2063-64 (1984).
- 2. Under the <u>Strickland</u> test, the defendant must show first that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and second, that but for counsel's errors, there is a reasonable probability that the result of the proceeding would have been different. <u>Strickland</u>, 466 U.S. at 687-88, 104 S. Ct. at 2068; <u>Warden v. Lyons</u>, 100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984).
- 3. The second call placed to the 911 operator falls under the exception to the hearsay rules as qualifies as an excited utterance and/or a present sense impression. NRS 51.095; NRS 51.085.
- 4. The <u>Crawford</u> decision does not affect the admissibility of the second 911 call as an excited utterance or present sense impression. <u>City of Las Vegas v. Walsh</u>, 120 Nev. Adv. Op. 44, 91 P.3d 591 (2004).
 - 5. The <u>Crawford</u> case indicated that, in some circumstances, certain hearsay exceptions would be admissible evidence only if the declarant also was a testifying witness or the defense had the opportunity to cross-examine the declarant on the statement on a prior occasion. The United States Supreme Court reasoned that the Confrontation Clause imposed a bar to testimonial statements absent a prior opportunity to cross-examine. <u>See Crawford</u>, 124 S. Ct. at 1370.

- 6. Thus, <u>Crawford</u> held that where testimonial evidence is at issue and the declarant is unavailable, the statements are only admissible if the defendant previously had the opportunity to cross-examine the declarant upon the evidence. Id. at 1374.
- 7. The <u>Crawford</u> case defines testimonial statements as those which the declarant would reasonably expect to be used prosecutorially or at a later trial. <u>Id</u>. at 1364.
- 8. The Nevada Supreme Court has specifically held that a 911 call is not testimonial.

 Walsh, 120 Nev. Adv. Op. 44 (2004).
 - 9. The reason why a 911 call is not testimonial is because the call is not initiated by police, the call would not be generated by the desire of the prosecution but, rather, by the caller's desire to be rescued from peril, and because a testimonial statement is one in which the government summons a citizen to be a witness and in the case of a 911 call, it is the citizen who summons the government. <u>Id</u>.
- 13 | 10. Based on this legal precedent, the second 911 call in the instant case would not be testimonial.
 - 11. In light of <u>Crawford</u>, the instant call would have been properly admitted into evidence as an excited utterance and/or present sense impression without the declarant being a testifying witness.
 - 12. Therefore, even if defense counsel in the instant case had listen to the second call and objected to its admission, the objection would have been properly overruled by the Court and the second call would have come into evidence.
 - 13. Thus, defendant Campbell fails to demonstrate that but for defense counsels' error in not listening to the entire 911 tape, the result of the trial proceeding would have been different.
- 24 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 25 | ///
- 26 | ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

ORDER THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Post-Conviction Relief shall be, and it is, hereby denied. DATED this day of January, 2005. **DAVID ROGER** DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781 Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006163



MINUTES DATE: 09/07/00

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		v	s Campbell	, Damon	0	 	
	09/07/00	08:30 A	M 00	INITIAL .	ARRAIGNMEN	Г			
	HEARD BY:	Sally L	oehrer	, Judge;	Dept. 15				
	OFFICERS:	GEORGET	TE BYRI	D/GB, Rel	lerk ief Clerk r/Recorder				
	PARTIES:	002781		OF NEVAD. , David J					Y Y
		0001 D1 006649		oell, Dam , Andrew	on 0				Y Y

DEFENDANT CAMPBELL ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY and WAIVED THE 60-DAY RULE. State filed their Motion to Seek the Death Penalty. Court's inquiry as to if Mr. Fritz is state board certified to try a death penalty case. Mr. Fritz advised he is not and will be associated with Mr. Laporta during the trial. COURT ORDERED, matter continued two weeks for trial setting.

CUSTODY

09/21/00 8:30 AM TRIAL SETTING

09/21/00 08:30 AM 00 TRIAL SETTING

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: MELISSA DAVIS, Court Clerk

MARY BETH COOK, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000981 Noxon, Arthur G.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004784 Walton, Stanley A.

006649 Fritz, Andrew

Mr. Fritz present. Mr. Walton submitted and FILED SUBSTITUTION OF ATTORNEY IN OPEN COURT. Mr. Walton requested one week to see if another attorney will need to be retained to assist in defending attorney. AMENDED INFORMATION FILED IN OPEN COURT; same charges, different language. ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

9/28/00 8:30 AM TRIAL SETTING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 002

Y

Y

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 MINUTES DATE: 09/21/00 PAGE: 001

MINUTES DATE: 09/28/00

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

vs Campbell, Damon 0 00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 001 09/28/00 08:30 AM 00 TRIAL SETTING HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15 OFFICERS: THERESA LEE, Court Clerk LISA MAKOWSKI, Reporter/Recorder PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Υ 002781 Roger, David J. Y 0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0

Mr. Walton stated he discussed this matte with Mr. Roger, and requested matter CONTINUED to 10/12/00, SO ORDERED. Upon inquiry of Mr. Walton, Court stated the Preliminary Hearing transcript was filed either 9/20/00 or 9/28/00.

004784 Walton, Stanley A.

CUSTODY

10/12/00 08:30 AM CONTINUED TO: 01

> TRIAL SETTING 10/12/00 08:30 AM 01

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: THERESA LEE, Court Clerk

MARY BETH COOK, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006503 Skupa, Kristy L.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0

004784 Walton, Stanley A.

Ms. Skupa advised Court Mr. Walton was present earlier and requested this matter CONTINUED to the same day as the Motion to Consolidate, SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY

LATER, Mr. Walton present and was advised of the continuance.

CONTINUED TO: 10/17/00 08:30 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 003

Y Y

Y

MINUTES DATE: 10/12/00

MINUTES DATE: 10/17/00

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		7	rs Campbel	l, Dam	on	0		
						UNITHO	ED	FROM	PAGE:	002
	10/17/00	08:30 AM	00	ALL PENI	OING MOTIC	NS (10	/1	7/00)		
	HEARD BY:	Sally Los	ehrer	, Judge;	Dept. 15					
	OFFICERS:				Clerk cer/Record	ler				
	PARTIES:	7		OF NEVAL , Kristy						Y Y
		0001 D1	Campl	bell, Dam	non 0					Y

TRIAL SETTING...STATE'S MOTION TO JOIN DEFENDANTS (CAMPBELL & HOLLIMON)

004784 Walton, Stanley A.

Upon Court's inquiry counsel advised that the State has filed a Notice of Intent to Seek the Death Penalty against this defendant. COURT ORDERED, defendant having waived the 60 day rule, matter set for trial. Ms. Walton requested Mr. Schieck be appointed to assist in representing defendant and COURT SO ORDERED. COURT ORDERED, motion to join in GRANTED and matter set for trial.

CUSTODY

5/16/01 8:30 AM CALENDAR CALL

5/21/01 1:30 PM JURY TRIAL

11/28/00 08:30 AM 00 DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: MELISSA DAVIS, Court Clerk

LISA MAKOWSKI, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

001802 Jorgenson, Eric G.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 N Y

002255 Jackson, Alzora B.

Defendant not present. Court noted this case is consolidated with C170186m with defendant Hollimon. Upon Court's inquiry, this petition applies to Defendant Hollimon ONLY. COURT ORDERED, petition is DENIED without argument.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 004

Y

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 MINUTES DATE: 11/28/00 PAGE: 003

MINUTES DATE: 05/02/01

Υ

Y

Y

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Campbell, Damon 0 CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 003

05/02/01 08:30 AM 00 STATE'S REQUEST STATUS CHECK: TRIAL DATE

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: MELISSA DAVIS, Court Clerk

LISA MAKOWSKI, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

005691 Kochevar, Brian J.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004784 Walton, Stanley A.

COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. Mr. Walton stated he will obtain the Court's schedule on trial dates and all counsel will meet to discuss a date that is acceptable to all parties and requested a brief continuance.

CUSTODY

5/7/01 8:30 AM TRIAL SETTING

05/07/01 08:30 AM 00 TRIAL SETTING

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: THERESA LEE, Court Clerk

MARY BETH COOK, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

002781 Roger, David J.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004784 Walton, Stanley A. 000824 Schieck, David M.

Colloquy between Court and Counsel re possible trial dates. Court advised counsel the trial will commence 10/29/01 which is a short week for this Court. The Court will be here 10/29/01 and 10/30/01 and possibly 11/1/01, and the Court will pick the trial back up on 11/5/01. All counsel concurred. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Schieck stated pre-trial motions will be filed soon. COURT ORDERED, ALL pre-trial motions will be heard no later than 10/10/01. The Court WILL NOT sign any Order Shortening Time orders, the motions need to be filed before then. Counsel concurred.

CUSTODY

10/24/01 8:30 A.M. CALENDAR CALL

10/29/01 10:30 A.M. TRIAL BY JURY

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 005

MINUTES DATE: 05/16/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		vs Campbell, Damon 0	
				CONTINUED FROM PAGE:	004
	05/16/01	08:30 AM	00	STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES (CONS W/C170186)	
	HEARD BY:	Sally Lo	ehrer	, Judge; Dept. 15	
	OFFICERS:			, Court Clerk , Reporter/Recorder	
	PARTIES:	006503		OF NEVADA , Kristy L.	Y Y
		0001 D1 000824		bell, Damon 0 ck, David M.	Y Y

Ms. Jackson noted that this case being consolidated with C170186, she was not notified of this motion and should have been. Ms. Jackson requested she be notified on all motions in the future. Mr. Schieck stated that counsel are stipulating to take this motion off calendar and to be re-noticed at the time defenses' motions are set. COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR. directed the State to courtesy copy Ms. Jackson on future motions.

CUSTODY (CAMPBELL) ... CUSTODY (COC-NDP) HOLLIMON

CONTINUED TO: 10/01/01 08:30 AM 0.1

> 09/10/01 08:30 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (9/10/01)

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Melissa Davis, Court Clerk

Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

004353 Pace, Barter G.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 002255 Jackson, Alzora B.

DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO ALLOW JURY QUESTIONNAIRE . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO STRIKE NOTICE OF AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION IN LIMINE LIMITING REMOVAL OF JURORS BY THE PROSECUTOR . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO SEVER TRIALS . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATEMENT OF CO-DEFENDANT . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXPECTATIONS OR BENEFITS FOR COOPERATION

Court advised Ms. Jackson that matters have been continued 3 weeks. Ms. Jackson requested she be permitted to join in the motions and COURT SO

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 006

Y

Y

MINUTES DATE: 09/10/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Campbell, Damon 0

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 005

Y

Y

Y

Y

ORDERED.

CUSTODY (CAMPBELL)

CONTINUED TO: 10/1/01 @ 8:30 AM

10/01/01 08:30 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (10/1/01)

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Melissa Davis, Court Clerk

Lisa Makowski, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002781 Roger, David J.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004784 Walton, Stanley A. 000824 Schieck, David M. 002255 Jackson, Alzora B.

DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO ALLOW QUESTIONNAIRE . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO STRIKE NOTICE OF AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION IN LIMINE LIMITING REMOVAL OF JURORS BY THE PROSECUTOR . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO ALLOW ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO SEVER TRIALS . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE STATEMENT OF CO-DEFENDANT . . . DEFT CAMPBELL'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXPECTATIONS OR BENEFITS FOR COOPERATION . . . STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES

Ms. Jackson present, representing co-defendant Hollimon. Defendant Hollimon not present as he is currently housed at the Nevada Department of Corrections. Ms. Jackson requested to join in Motion for Discovery and Motion to Compel Disclosure of Expectations or Benefits for Cooperation. There being no objection, COURT SO ORDERED.

As to Motion to Allow Jury Questionnaire: COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. Court directed counsel to put trial date in the questionnaire an to refer to both defendants as being presumed innocent.

As to Motion to Strike Notice of Aggravating Circumstances: Court advised it is constrained by the law as the statutes do not provide a narrow group of people that are death qualified and therefore, ORDERED, motion DENIED.

As to Motion in Limine Limiting Removal of Jurors by Prosecutor: Court advised that all prospective jurors must be able to consider all punishments and can be removed for cause if a prospective juror advises they cannot consider all forms of punishment, therefore, COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 007

MINUTES DATE: 10/01/01 PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 006

MINUTES DATE: 10/01/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Campbell, Damon 0

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 006

As to Motion for Individual Sequestered Voir Dire: COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED.

As to Motion to Allow Additional Peremptory Challenges: Court finds that 9 challenges per side is sufficient and ORDERED, motion DENIED.

As to Motion for Discovery (Deft Hollimon joined in this motion): COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

As to Motion to Sever Trials: Court noted that statements given can be redacted where needed and does not find a there is a Bruton problem. Response by Mr. Schieck. COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED.

As to Motion to Exclude Statement of Co-Defendant: COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

As to Motion to Compel Disclosure of Expectations or Benefits for Cooperation (Deft Hollimon joined in this motion): COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

As to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes: Mr. Roger argued that it is an act rather than a crime that will be sought to be introduced. Arguments by counsel. Upon Court's inquiry, counsel concurred that a Petrocelli Hearing will be necessary and COURT SO ORDERED. Mr. Roger to have person that stole the car as well as people inside the apartment at the time of the killing for hearing.

CUSTODY (CAMPBELL)...CUSTODY (COC-NDC) HOLLIMON

10/25/01 1:30 PM STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES/ PETROCELLI HEARING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 008

MINUTES DATE: 10/01/01

MINUTES DATE: 10/24/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF 1	NEVADA		vs	Campb	ell,	Damon	0		
						CON	TINUED	FROM	PAGE:	007
	10/24/01	08:30 AM	0.0	CALENDAR C	CALL					
	HEARD BY:	Sally Lo	ehrer,	, Judge; De	ept. 1	5				
		4	•		-					

OFFICERS: Theresa Lee, Court Clerk Tina Hurd/th, Relief Clerk

Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:		STATE OF NEVADA	Y
	001802	Jorgenson, Eric G.	Y
	002781	Roger, David J.	Y

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 000824 Schieck, David M.

Alzora Jackson, DSPD, present for Deft. Sheldon Hollimon from companion case C170186. David Roger, DDA, not present. Mr. Schieck announced ready for trial and stated he believes Mr. Roger is ready also. Court advised the jury questionnaires were delivered upstairs and the jury will be brought in sometime this week and counsel will be called when the questionnaires are ready. Ms. Jackson advised she is ready for trial. Mr. Schieck stated he believes this is a 2-3 week trial and there are several civilian witnesses who will need a Spanish interpreter. Court directed the State to advise the Interpreter's Office they will need people here for extended periods of time and the Court requests they use the headphone system so there is not a court interpreter speaking out loud. Ms. Jackson advised they have a Petrocelli Hearing tomorrow and requested Deft. Hollimon remain here from the High Desert facility as she would like to have him here for the Petrocelli Hearing and for trial preparation; she would like to have him here all next week. Court Services advised Deft. Hollimon can be booked into jail today and he will stay there for the duration of the trial. COURT SO ORDERED. Mr. Schieck advised the only witness problem he is aware of is several witnesses that were deported. Mr. Roger appeared at this time and indicated he has no witness problems for trial; he may have for the hearing tomorrow, but he will take care of that. COURT ORDERED, this matter will proceed to trial on Monday, November 5 at 1:30 p.m.

CUSTODY

11-5-01 1:30 PM JURY TRIAL

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 009

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 MINUTES DATE: 10/24/01 PAGE: 008

MINUTES DATE: 10/25/01

Y Y

Y

Y

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

		0							
00-C-169550-C	STATE OF 1	NEVADA		V	s Campbell,				
					CON	TINUED	FROM	PAGE:	800
	10/25/01	01:30 P	M 00 A	LL PEND	ING MOTIONS	10-25	-01		
	HEARD BY:	Sally L	oehrer,	Judge; I	Dept. 15				
	OFFICERS:	Rebecca	Foster,	Relief					
	PARTIES:		STATE OF Pate, Stronger, S	usan					N Y Y
			Campbe Walton, Schieck	Stanley	y A.				N Y Y
STATE'S MOTIO	N TO ADMIT	EVIDENC	E OF OTH	ER CRIM	ESPETROC	ELLI H	EARIN	G	
Witnesses swo:	rn/testifi	ed per w	orksheet	•					
(3:10 P.M I Arguments by a statements and	counsel. (COURT OR	DERED, m	atters v	will not be	allow	ed in	openi	ng

CUSTODY (CAMPBELL) . . . CUSTODY (COC-NDC) HOLLIMON

11/05/01 01:30 PM 00 TRIAL BY JURY

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

eyewitnesses. Therefore, COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

OFFICERS: Melissa Davis, Court Clerk

Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002781 Roger, David J.

007480 Pate, Susan

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0

004784 Walton, Stanley A. 000824 Schieck, David M.

1:55 p.m. Prospective jury panel present. Clerk administered Voir Dire oath. Court and counsel gave introduction to case. Jury selection began. Court excused those jurors that are qualified and ADMONISHED them and ORDERED them to return tomorrow. 5:00 p.m. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED, jury selection to resume tomorrow.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/06/01 10:00 AM 01

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 009 CONTINUED ON PAGE: 010 MINUTES DATE: 11/05/01

MINUTES DATE: 11/06/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF I	NEVADA			vs Ca	ampbe	11,	Damon	0		
							CONT	INUED	FROM	PAGE:	009
	11/06/01	10:00 A	M 01	TRIAL	BY JUR	RY					
	HEARD BY:	Sally L	oehrer,	, Judge	; Dept	. 15					
	OFFICERS:	Keith Re Lisa Ma				ook,	Repo	orter/I	Record	ler	
	PARTIES:	002781 007480	Roger	OF NEV , David Susan	J.						Y Y Y
		0001 D1 004784 000824	Walton		ley A.						Y Y Y

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Court noted in exercising the peremptory challenges defense counsel objected to the State's challenges to prospective jurors # 593 & # 625 as they have stricken all of the African American males from the panel. Mr. Roger advised the Court of his reasons for the challenges. Mr. Walton stated there is a pattern of dismissing African American males from jury panels. COURT ORDERED, objection OVERRULED as the race and gender neutral reasons are sufficient. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Mr. Roger noted a Batson challenge to the peremptory challenges of defense counsel in regards to prospective Hispanic jurors # 612 & #579. Mr. Walton and Mr. Schieck advised the Court of the reason for the challenges. Court stated the race and gender neutral reasons are sufficient and ORDERED, challenge OVERRULED. Jury selected and sworn. EXCLUSIONARY RULE INVOKED. Opening statements by Mr. Roger and Mr. Walton. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.) Jury Released @ 4:00 p.m. to return November 7 @ 10:30 a.m. OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Mr. Roger stated defense counsel earlier made a Batson challenge and wanted to reflect the presence of one African American remaining on the panel. Mr. Schieck noted for the record there also appears to be two Hispanics on the panel.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/07/01 10:30 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 011

MINUTES DATE: 11/06/01 PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 010

MINUTES DATE: 11/07/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF	NEVADA			vs Campl	pell,	Damon	0		
						CONT	INUED	FROM	PAGE:	010
	11/07/01	10:30 A	M 02	TRIAL B	Y JURY					
	HEARD BY:	Sally L	oehrer	, Judge;	Dept.	15				
	OFFICERS:			, Court /Mary Be		, Repo	rter/I	Record	der	
	PARTIES:	002781 007480	Roger	OF NEVA , David Susan						Y Y Y
		0001 D1 004784 000824	Walto	oell, Da n, Stanl ck, Davi	ey A.					Ү Ү Ү
10:45 a.m. Al p.m. COURT AL								csheet	5. 5:	13
CUSTODY				•						
CONTINUED TO:	11/08/01	10:00 A	M 03							
	11/08/01	10:00 A	M 03	TRIAL B	Y JURY					
	HEARD BY:	Sally L	oehrer	, Judge;	Dept.	15				
	OFFICERS:	Mary Be	th Cool	, Court c/Lisa M Court I	akowski		rter/I	Record	der	
	PARTIES:	002781 007480	Roger	OF NEVA , David Susan						У У У
		0001 D1 004784 000824	Walto	pell, Da n, Stanl ck, Davi	ey A.					У У У
German Santil	la, Court	Spanish	Interp:	reter al	so pres	ent.	10:00	a.m.	All	

German Santilla, Court Spanish Interpreter also present. 10:00 a.m. All parties present. Testimony and exhibits per worksheet. 5:00 p.m. COURT ADMONISHED JURORS AND ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 11/09/01 08:30 AM 04

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 012

MINUTES DATE: 11/08/01 PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 011

MINUTES DATE: 11/09/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF 1	NEVADA	vs	Campbell	L, Damon	0 -		
				C	ONTINUED	FROM	PAGE:	011
	11/09/01	08:30 AM 04	TRIAL BY	JURY				
	HEARD BY:	Sally Loehren	r, Judge; D	ept. 15				
	OFFICERS:	Melissa Davis Lisa Makowski LAURA VILLAR,	i, Reporter	/Recorder				
	PARTIES:	002781 Roger	E OF NEVADA c, David J. Susan					Y Y Y
		0001 D1 Camp	bell, Damo	n 0				Y

German Santilla, Court Spanish Interpreter also present. Continued testimony per worksheets. Judge McGroarty presiding from 9:15 a.m. to 10:46 a.m. Continued testimony per worksheets. 11:44 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Mr. Roger renewed the Bad Acts motion. Arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, matter is too close to call and will rule on the side of caution and feels prior acts are not necessary to prove this case and therefore ORDERED, motion DENIED. 1:30 p.m. JURY PRESENT. State RESTED. Defense presented its case in chief and testimony per worksheet. 2:48 p.m. COURT ADMONISHED JURY PANEL AND ORDERED, matter CONTINUED. OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Court advised Defendant of his right to testify or not to testify. Defendant stated he understands his rights. Court directed counsel to exchange Jury Instructions by Tuesday morning.

PAGE: 012

004784 Walton, Stanley A. 000824 Schieck, David M.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05

CONTINUED TO: 11/13/01 10:00 AM 05

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 013

MINUTES DATE: 11/09/01

MINUTES DATE: 11/13/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF NEVADA	vs Campbell, Damon 0	_
		CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 012	3
	11/13/01 10:00	AM 05 TRIAL BY JURY	
	HEARD BY: Sally	Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15	
		sa Davis, Court Clerk Makowski/Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder	
		STATE OF NEVADA Roger, David J. Pate, Susan	Y
	004784	Walton, Stanley A.	Y Y Y

10:15 a.m. All parties present. Continued testimony and exhibits per worksheet. 10:38 a.m. DEFENSE RESTED. 10:40 a.m. OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Court and counsel settled Jury Instructions. 11:30 a.m. Court ready Jury Instructions. 1:21 p.m. to 2:47 p.m. Closing arguments. Bailiff and Matron sworn to take charge of the jury. Jury began deliberations. 5:00 p.m. JURY PRESENT. Upon Court's inquiry, Jury announced they have reached a verdict. JURY FOUND DEFENDANT GUILTY OF COUNT I - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F); COUNT II - ATTEMPT MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F); COUNT III - NOT GUILTY. Mr. Schieck requested Jury be polled and COURT SO ORDERED. Jury having found the Defendant guilty of First Degree Murder, matter CONTINUED for Penalty Hearing, tomorrow, November 14, 2001 at 1:30 p.m. COURT ADMONISHED JURY PANEL.

CUSTODY

11/14/01 1:30 PM PENALTY HEARING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 014

MINUTES DATE: 11/13/01 PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 013

MINUTES DATE: 11/15/01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF	NEVADA			vs Camp	bell,	Damon	0		
						CON	TINUED	FROM	PAGE:	013
	11/15/01	09:30 A	M 01	PENALTY	HEARIN	G				
	HEARD BY:	Sally L	oehrer	, Judge;	Dept.	15				
	OFFICERS:				lerk er/Reco	rder				
	PARTIES:	002781 007480	Roger	OF NEVA , David Susan						Y Y Y
		0001 D1 004784 000824	Walton	pell, Da n, Stanl ck, Davi	ey A.					Y Y Y

JURY PRESENT. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.) Mr. Schieck read a STIPULATION into the record, "that the records of Clark County District Court with regard to the Gross Misdemeanor conviction suffered by Damon Campbell. He received an Honorable Discharge from Probation, filed on 1/11/99." STATEMENT OF ALLOCUTION by Damon Campbell. Court advised the Jury they will be instructed in the law of the case at this time. The Court will recess for the lunch break and return for closing arguments of counsel. Court INSTRUCTED the Jury. Following the lunch recess, Counsel presented their CLOSING ARGUMENTS to the Jury. At the hour of 1:07 P.M. the Jury RETIRED to DELIBERATE.

At the hour of 3:57 P.M., the Jury returned with a VERDICT of LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE. Court thanked and excused the Jury. COURT ORDERED, matter set for sentencing.

CUSTODY

1/7/02 8:30 A.M. SENTENCING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 015

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 MINUTES DATE: 11/15/01 PAGE: 014

MINUTES DATE: 01/07/02

Y

Y

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Campbell, Damon 0
CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 014

01/07/02 08:30 AM 00 SENTENCING

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Keith Reed, Relief Clerk

Lisa Makowski, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006204 Digiacomo, Sandra

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004784 Walton, Stanley A.

CONFERENCE AT BENCH. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for Mr. Walton to go over documentation with the Deft. and for the appearance of Mr. Schieck.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 01/14/02 08:30 AM 01

01/14/02 08:30 AM 01 SENTENCING

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Melissa Davis, Court Clerk

Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006204 Digiacomo, Sandra

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004784 Walton, Stanley A.

Cleveland Avery from the Division of Parole and Probation present. COURT ADJUDGED DEFENDANT GUILTY OF COUNT I - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F) and COUNT II - ATTEMPT MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE WITH USE OF DEADLY WEAPON (F). Parties argued and submitted. Colloquy between Court and counsel regarding enhancements with a life sentence. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, \$250.00 DNA Fee and \$2500.00 RESTITUTION (jointly and severally with co-defendant), Defendant SENTENCED on COUNT I to LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBLITY OF PAROLE in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE WITHOUT THE POSSIBLITY OF PAROLE in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) for use of a deadly weapon; Count II Deft. SENTENCED to a MINIMUM of FORTY THREE (43) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED NINETY TWO (192) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of a MINIMUM of FORTY THREE (43) MONTHS and a MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED NINETY TWO (192) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) for use of a deadly weapon. Count II CONCURRENT with Count I and

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 016

M

MINUTES DATE: 01/14/02

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Campbell, Damon 0

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 015

Υ

Y

Ν

Y

Y

Ν

Υ

Defendant to receive 541 days Credit for Time Served. FURTHER, Defendant to submit to a test for the purpose of determining genetic markers.

LATER: COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck APPOINTED to represent Defendant for the purpose of Direct Appeal.

NDC

11/05/03 08:30 AM 00 DEFT'S PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS /32

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Carole D'Aloia, Relief Clerk

Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

003231 Chrysanthis, Alexandra C.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 000824 Schieck, David M.

Court inquired if Mr. Schieck represented Defendant at time of trial and Mr. Schieck responded yes. Mr. Schieck moved to withdraw as attorney of records, noting Defendant is requesting appointment of counsel. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck's Motion to Withdraw GRANTED. Court advised this is a bare bones petition and, ORDERED, Defendant's Petition DENIED as it has no merit.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05

CONTINUED TO: 12/20/04 08:30 AM 01

08/23/04 08:30 AM 00 DEFT'S MTN TO PLACE ON CALENDAR/33

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Theresa Lee, Court Clerk

Angela Lee, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002415 Moreo, Thomas J.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004349 Oram, Christopher R.

Mr. Oram requested two months to have an opportunity to file an opening brief, the State can respond and calendar the matter for argument. COURT ORDERED, request GRANTED, Mr. Oram will have 60 days until 10/25/04 in which

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 017

PAGE: 016 MINUTES DATE: 08/23/04

MINUTES DATE: 08/23/04

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Campbell, Damon 0

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 016

to file his opening brief, State to file their response in 30 days, by 11/29/04, and Mr. Oram will have until 12/13/04 to file his reply, and the matter is CONTINUED for Argument and Decision. Court advised Mr. Oram if he wants his client present for argument he is to prepare an Order to Transport Deft in a timely fashion. FURTHER ORDERED, argument date 11/22/04 is VACATED.

NDC

12/20/04 8:30 A.M. ARGUMENT AND DECISION...DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

12/20/04 08:30 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (12/20/04)

HEARD BY: Sally Loehrer, Judge; Dept. 15

OFFICERS: Theresa Lee, Court Clerk

Mary Beth Cook, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

006381 Knapp, Gregory D.

0001 D1 Campbell, Damon 0 004349 Oram, Christopher R. Y

Y

Court stated Ms. Weckerly and Mr. Oram agreed to pass this matter until 1/5/05 at 8:30 A.M. Court advised Mr. Oram the Court cannot have an evidentiary hearing on the 8:30 A.M. calendar. COURT ORDERED, the Court will set the Evidentiary Hearing on 1/5/05 at 10:30 A.M. to accommodate Court's calendar. Mr. Oram stated this is for argument only, he has not been given permission to call any witnesses at this time.

NDC

1/5/05 10:30 A.M. EVIDENTIARY HEARING

CLERK'S NOTE: Minute Order MODIFIED changing the hearing time from 1/7/05 to 1/5/05, counsel notified by Court staff. (tl)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 018

PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 017 MINUTES DATE: 12/20/04



MINUTES DATE: 01/05/05

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

00-C-169550-C	STATE OF	NEVADA	V	s Campbell,	Damon	0		
				CON	TINUED	FROM :	PAGE:	017
	01/05/05	08:30 AM	00 ALL PEND	ING MOTIONS	(1/5/0	5)		
	HEARD BY:	Sally Loeh	rer, Judge; l	Dept. 15				
	OFFICERS:		e, Court Cle Cook, Reporte					
	PARTIES:		ATE OF NEVADA					Y
			ampbell, Damo					Y Y

004349 Oram, Christopher R.

DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...ARGUMENT AND DECISION

Mr. Oram stated he will be asking for an evidentiary hearing and argued in support thereof. Mr. Oram stated David Roger prosecuted the case, and there was a 911 tape played for the jury. There was a second caller on the tape which was a male voice. He spoke to Mr. Schieck and he had not listened to the tape prior to it being introduced to the jury. This is a violation of the Confrontation clause. The State needs to bring that person forward. This is a very narrow issue, and the question is why counsel for the deft, David Schieck and Stan Walton did not hear the tape prior to the jury hearing the tape. Neither counsel listened to the tape as to the second caller. They heard the first caller, Ms. Sandalin (phonetic). This is a violation of the confrontation clause to establish ineffective assistance of counsel. Ms. Weckerly stated there is no doubt defense did not hear the second part of the tape, but arqued the Strickland case. Further arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, the Court does not believe an Evidentiary Hearing is necessary, request DENIED. The factS are clear from a legal standpoint the Crawford case does apply. The Supreme Court determined in the case of City of North Las Vegas Vs. Walsh, the tape is not testimonial and does not trigger the confrontation clause. Whether counsel for deft listened to the tape, new about it or not, the fact will remain the person that made the second call will remain unidentified. Supreme Court ruled the 911 caller is not testimonial, is admissible and resgestae, therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, the Writ is DENIED. Ms. Weckerly to prepare the order and findings.

NDC

MINUTES DATE: 01/05/05 PRINT DATE: 02/28/05 PAGE: 018

02/28/05 CASE NO. 00-C-169550-C

STATE OF NEVADA

EXHIBITS

CASE STATUS: CLOSED

[] vs Campbell, Damon 0

[]

NO.	CODE	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	SUB	OF/OB	DATE S
0001	P	/JUSTICE COURT EXHIBITS	S	/	08/25/00 V
0002	P-1	/EXH 1 THROUGH 3 (PHOTOS)	S	AD/	10/25/01 V
0003	P/	/JURY QUESTIONNAIRES		7	99/99/99 V
0004	P1	/AERIAL PHOTO - CHART	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0005	P2	/DIAGRAM - CHART	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0006	P/3	/3-21 PHOTOS	S	/	99/99/99 V
0007	P22	/EVIDENCE BAG	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
8000	P22/1	/1-10 EXPENDED CARTGRIDGE CASE .45ACP	0001	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0009	P23	/EVIDENCE BAG	0001	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0010	P23A	/RUGER P90C	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0011	P23B	/CARTRIDGE & MAGAZINE	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0012	P24	/24-28 PHOTOS	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0013	P29	/AUDIO CASSETTE TAPE	S	AD/NO	11/07/01 V
0014	P30	/GUILTY PLES OF HOLLIMON	S	AD/NO	11/13/01 V
0015	Ρ	/***PENALTY PHASE	S	/ /	99/99/99
0016	P31	/PSI	S	AD/NO	11/14/01 V
0017	P32	/JOC	S	AD/NO	11/14/01 V
0018	D/A	/A-S PHOTOS	0001	/	99/99/99 V

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada	٦	88
County of Clark		SS

I, Shirley B. Parraguirre, the duly elected, qualifying and acting Clerk of Clark County, in the State of Nevada, and Ex-Officio Clerk of the District Court, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the original:

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST

STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff(s), vs.) Case No: C169550) Dept No: XV
DAMON CAMPBELL,	
Defendant(s),)
) ·

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada this 28 day of February 2005.

Shirley B. Parraguirre, Clark County Clerk

Robin J. Mills/Deputy Clerk