ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

DONTE JOHNSON,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Case No. 45456

FILED

JAN 0 4 2007

∧JANETTE M. BLOOM ERK OF, SUPREME COURT Wasado

MOTION FOR STAY OF REMITTITUR PENDING APPLICATION FOR CERTIORARI (NRAP 41)

COMES NOW, Appellant DONTE JOHNSON, by and through his attorney LEE-ELIZABETH McMAHON, Deputy Special Public Defender, and moves this Honorable Court pursuant to the provisions of Nevada Rules of Appellant Procedure Rule 41(b), for a Stay of Remittitur, for 60 days, pending application to the United States Supreme Court for a Writ of Certiorari.

This matter was before the Court on an appeal from a death sentence after a new penalty hearing. This Court affirmed the sentence (Johnson v. State, 122 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 113, December 28, Remitittur has not issued as of this date.

Counsel for Petitioner is prepared to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the United States Supreme Court within the time period provided in Untied States Supreme Court Rule 13.

DATED:

BY LEE-ELIZABETH McMAHON

DEPUTY SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar No. 1765 330 S. Third St., Ste. 800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

(702)455-6265

3 34 JAN -2 PH 3: 19

28

27

RECEIVED

COLEMY OF SUPPEME COURT

JAN 0 4 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER CLARK COUNTY

NEVADA

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 41 provides in pertinent part:

Stay of remittitur pending application for A stay of the remittitur pending application certiorari. to the Supreme Court of the United States for a writ of certiorari may be granted upon motion, reasonable notice of The stay shall not which shall be given to all parties. exceed sixty (60) days unless the period is extended for If during the period of the stay there is cause shown. filed with the clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada a notice from the clerk of the Supreme Court of the United States that the party who has obtained the stay has filed a petition for the writ in that court, the stay shall continue until final disposition by the Supreme Court of Upon the filing of a copy of an order the United States. of the Supreme Court of the United States denying the petition for writ of certiorari the remittitur shall issue immediately...."

Good cause exists for stay of Remittitur in this case because it is a capital case and due to the nature of the issues intended to be raised. As such the propriety of the decision should be scrutinized by the highest Court in the land.

A stay of sixty (60) days in issuance of the remittitur is therefore respectfully requested.

DATED: 2. January 200

BY LEE-ELIZABETH McMAHOW DEPUTY SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar No. 1765 330 S. Third St., Ste. 800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702)455-6265

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, KATHLEEN FITZGERALD, do hereby certify that on the $\underline{\theta}$ day of January, 2007, a copy of the foregoing Motion was deposited in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, enclosed in a sealed envelope

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

NEVADA

upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to the following: District Attorney Nevada Attorney General Clark County Courthouse 100 N. Carson Street 200 Lewis Ave., 3rd Floor Carson City, Nevada 89701 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 KATHLEEN FITZGERALD an employee of The Special Public Defender's Office

SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

CLARK COUNTY NEVADA ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2

3 DONTE JOHNSON,

vs.

Case No. 45456

4

6

7

1

Appellant,

FILED

5

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

JUN 19 2006

Respondent.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

JUN 19 2006

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

1

06-12795

MOTION TO ENLARGE RECORD ON APPEAL

COMES NOW, Appellant, DONTE JOHNSON, by and through his attorney, LEE-ELIZABETH McMAHON, Deputy Special Public Defender, and moves this Court to enlarge the record on appeal to include the exhibits introduced into evidence by the State.

This Motion is made and based upon Supreme Court Rule 250 (6) and NRAP 26, and the Affidavit of counsel filed herewith.

DATED this 15th day of June, 2006.

SUBMITTED BY:

SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

LEE-ELIZABETH McMAHØN NEVADA BAR #1765

330 SOUTH THIRD ST., Ste. 800 89155-2316 LAS VEGAS, NV

(702)455-6265

Attorney for Appellant

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

NRAP 26(b) states in pertinent part:

"The court for good cause shown may upon motion enlarge the time prescribed by these rules or by its order for doing any act to be done after the expiration of such time...."

wirt Rule 250 sets forth the procedure of the clerk's to follow of direct appeal from a judgement of conviction and sentence of death. The relevant sections state:

- "(a) Docketing of appeal. When the district court enters a written judgement of conviction imposing a sentence of death, the clerk of the district court shall immediately transmit to the clerk of the supreme court two certified, file-stamped copies of the following documents: (1) the written judgement signed by the judge and filed by the district court clerk; (2) the notice of appeal, if any; (3) the strict court docket entries; (4) the minutes of the district court proceedings; and (5) a list of exhibits offered into evidence, if any...." (emphasis added)
- Form and contents of direct appeal record. direct appeal from a judgement of conviction and sentence of death, the clerk of the district court shall transmit as the record on appeal a certified copy of the complete record made and considered in the court below. limitation, complete record shall include, without certified copies of: ... documentary evidence or exhibits no physical evidence or filed in the lower courts..... exhibits shall be transcript absent an order of the supreme court...." (emphasis added)

During Appellant's oral argument on June 14, 2006, counsel for JOHNSON stated JOHNSON deserved a new penalty hearing because documents were given to the jury that violated his right to confront his accusers. She further stated the documents, admitted as business records, were unsworn affidavits that could not be repudiated or clarified through cross-examination. This Court stated it could not determine what type of records they were or whether they were prejudicial since they were not part of the record and could not be reviewed.

JOHNSON requests this Court allow the record on appeal to be enlarged to include the 28 exhibits introduced into evidence by the

24 | . .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 |

19 II

21

22

23

25 . . .

26 . . .

27 . . .

28 . . .

2 3

4

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

26

25

27 28 state, specifically the discipline/incident reports from Clark County Detention Center, and any other exhibits this Court deems relevant.

DATED this 15th day of June, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

LEE-ELIZABETH McMAHON NEVADA BAR #1765

330 SOUTH THIRD ST., Ste. 800 LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-2316 (702)455-6265

Attorney for Appellant

AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

DAVID M. SCHIECK, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

Affiant is an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada and the Special Public Defender. That Affiant submits this Affidavit on behalf of Deputy Special Public Defender Lee-Elizabeth McMahon in support of Appellant JOHNSON'S Motion to Enlarge the Record of Appeal.

The Clark County Clerk's Office prepares the Record on Appeal in capital cases. That pursuant to SCR 250(6) a list of exhibits are to be transmitted to this Court upon the judgement of conviction being entered if a sentence of death is imposed.

The rules of the Supreme Court state "documentary evidence or exhibits filed in the lower courts" shall be included in the record but it further states that no physical evidence or exhibits "shall be transmitted absent an order of the supreme court."

10 11

12 13

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 27

28

NOTARY PUBLIC

One of the issues raised by Appellant on direct appeal concerns the State's introduction into evidence at trial of exhibits, specifically discipline/incident reports from Clark County Detention Center. At oral argument on June 14, 2006 Ms. McMahon stated JOHNSON deserved a new penalty hearing because the documents violated his right to confront his accusers. She further stated the documents, admitted as business records, were boxes of reports from correctional officers and were not really business records, but unsworn affidavits. The records could not be repudiated or clarified through crossexamination.

Affiant is informed and believes that in order for this Court to determine what type of records they were or whether they were prejudicial to Appellant, the record on appeal needs to be enlarged to include same.

Therefore, Affiant requests this Court grant the Motion to Enlarge the Record on Appeal and order the Clark County Clerk's Office to include the exhibits introduced into evidence by the State.

This Motion is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay.

Further Affiant sayeth naught.

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me

this day of June, 2006.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, KATHLEEN FITZGERALD, do hereby certify that on the Oday of June, 2006, did deposit in the United States Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the above and foregoing Motion, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to the following:

Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas NV 89155

Nevada Attorney General 100 N. Carson Carson City NV 89701-4717

KATHLEEN FITZGERALD an employee of The Special Public Defender