6 . 8)	•	RECEIVED Las Vegas Drop Box ORIGINAL
	1	CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
	2	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA
	3	
	4	
	5	DONTE JOHNSON,
	6	Appellant,
	7	V
	8	THE STATE OF NEVADA Case No. 45456 FILED
	9	APR 0 3 2007
	10	Respondent
	11	
	12	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO ALLOW LATE FILING OF PETITION FOR REHEARING
	13	The present appeal was decided against Donte Johnson in a published opinion
	14	filed on December 28, 2006. Johnson v. State, 122 Nev. Adv. Op. 113 (Dec. 28,
	15	2006). Pursuant to NRAP Rule 40, a petition for rehearing may be filed within
	16	eighteen (18) days after the filing of the court's decision unless the time is shortened
	17	or enlarged by order. NRAP Rule 40(a)(1). The instant motion to allow late filing
	18	acknowledges that the deadline for filing a petition for rehearing in this case was
	19	January 15, 2007. Johnson now seeks permission to file a petition for rehearing
	20	nearly three months, or 88 days, after the Court's decision was filed. Pursuant to
	21	NRAP Rule 26(b), the Court may permit an act to be done after the expiration of time
	22	prescribed by the rules only upon "good cause shown."
	23	
	24	The Motion is Untimely and Without Good Cause
	25	The "good cause" alleged by Johnson's counsel is that certain facts concerning
	26	Justice Becker's subsequent employment by the district attorney's office were not
	27	known previously and have only recently come to his attention. Johnson's counsel
	28	
		(APR 0 3 2007
		JANETTE M. BLOOM CLEPH CO SUPPEME COLIRT
		*/ *///////////////////////////////////

points to John L. Smith's column in the Review Journal on January 5, 2007, as the first indication that Justice Becker was considering employment with the district attorney's office. Notably, the article was printed a full week after the filing of the Court's opinion in this case and does not in any way suggest that employment discussions pre-dated Justice Becker's decision in this case.

Although Johnson's counsel claims to not have had actual notice of the Review Journal article until sometime later, he was on constructive notice at that time nonetheless. See <u>Snyder v. Viani</u>, 112 Nev. 568, 916 P.2d 170 (1996). At a minimum, Johnson's counsel admits actual knowledge of Becker's employment with the district attorney from an official announcement made on January 16, 2007.

Johnson fails to establish "good cause" for the subsequent two-month delay 11 once the facts giving rise to the petition for rehearing became known to him. Neither 12 13 the motion nor the petition itself purport to give any explanation at all as to why counsel waited an additional 69 days to bring the issue to the Court's attention. A 14 motion for disqualification must be filed with the Court "as soon as possible" after 15 receiving either actual or constructive notice of the grounds for disqualification, 16 otherwise it is waived. Id. Even assuming the knowledge gained on January 16, 17 2007, constituted good cause for the late filing of a petition for rehearing at that time, 18 there is no showing of good cause to explain the subsequent two-month delay in 19 bringing the current motion. 20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

The Issues Raised Are Not Cognizable in a Petition for Rehearing

Pursuant to NRAP Rule 40(c)(1), "no point may be raised for the first time on
rehearing." Disqualification of Justice Becker and the request for a "confidential
investigation" are new issues not previously raised in the pleadings on file herein.
The petition for rehearing does not allege that this Court overlooked or
misapprehended a material fact "in the record" or a material question of law "in the
case" or authority directly controlling a dispositive issue "in the case." NRAP Rule

40(c)(2). A challenge to Justice Becker's bias and impartiality is a collateral issue unrelated to the merits of this appeal. Accordingly, the issue is not properly raised for the first time in a petition for rehearing. For that reason alone, the motion to allow late filing of the petition should be denied.

5

1

2

3

4

When a justice has participated in a case, NRAP Rule 35 requires that a motion to disqualify must establish that it is timely filed and that the alleged disqualifying 6 interest amounts to "fraud or like illegal conduct." NRAP Rule 35. Johnson's 7 counsel fails to cite this rule or make any attempt to comply with its procedures even 8 though it directly pertains to the relief he is seeking. The mere timing of Nancy 9 Becker's employment by the district attorney following publication of the Court's last 10 opinions for the year on December 28th comes no where near the fraud or illegal 11 conduct needed for disqualification. 12

13 While the written opinion of the Court may have been filed on December 28, 14 2006, this does not mean that a vote was taken and the decision was rendered that day. Briefing in the case occurred between January and May of 2006, and the Court heard 15 16 oral argument on June 14, 2006. This was well before the November election. 17 Presumably, an informal decision on the case would have preceded the assignment to 18 Justice Hardesty to draft the written opinion. All seven members of the Court, 19 including Justice Becker, unanimously affirmed Johnson's death sentence, belying 20 any suggestion that Justice Becker was impartial. The three justices who filed a short 21 concurring opinion agreed that Johnson's death sentence should be affirmed and 22 differed from the majority only on a narrow point of law that had no application to Johnson's case.¹ The notion that any one justice's vote would have changed the 23 24 outcome of Johnson's case is inaccurate.

- 25
- 26

27

28

Justices Rose, Maupin and Douglas concurred in the majority's conclusion that it was not error under the Confrontation Clause and Crawford v. Washington to admit inmate disciplinary reports during the selection phase of Donte Johnson's penalty hearing.

WHEREFORE, the State respectfully requests that the Motion to Allow Late Filing of Petition for Rehearing be denied. Dated March 30, 2007. By ENS Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352

• • •

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</u>		
2	I herby certify and affirm that I mailed a copy of the foregoing Opposition To		
3	Motion To Allow Late Filing Of Petition For Rehearing to the attorney of record		
4	listed below on March 30, 2007.		
5			
6	Randall H. Pike, Esq.		
7	330 South Third St., #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155		
8	Las Vegas, Nevaua 67155		
9			
10	man 201		
11	Employee, Clark County		
12	District Attorney's Office		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	OWENS/english		
28			