ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
Las Vegas Drop Box
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF THE STATE OF 3: 34

3

2

1

4 ERICK M. BROWN,
5 Appellant,

6 **v**

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

9

8

SUPREME COURT NO. 47856

CASE NO. C189658 DEPT NO. XIV FILED

APR 17 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

APPELLANT'S ERRATA TO FAST TRACK STATEMENT APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION

11

10

MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006266 CRISTALLI & SAGGESE, LTD. 732 South Sixth Street, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 386-2180

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

DAVID ROGER, ESQ. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ESQ. NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL Criminal Justice Division 100 N. Carson Carson City, Nevada 89701

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

MAR 15 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

FAST TRACK OPENING

21. Statement of facts. Briefly set forth the facts material to the issues on appeal:

On November 23, 2002, two men entered the Las Vegas Manufacturing Dewelers (LVMJ) for the purposes of robbing the facility. (AA p.5) The perpetrators, armed with a gun, forced victim Connelly (Connelly) and victim 7 |Golsecker (Golsecker) to the floor of the back room. (AA p.6-7) They tied the victims' hands together, using force, and repeatedly asked where money, keys, and surveillance were located. (AA p.7-8) If the victims did not timely respond, the perpetrators continued to use force in order to ascertain the location of money. (AA p.8)

In order to remove jewelry and monies from the victims' possession, the perpetrators continued to keep the victims bound by their hands, laying on the around. (AA p.8-10)

Blackwell was convicted of the crimes pertaining to the LVMJ incident. The 16 victims were able to give an accurate description of the "shorter" 5'7 perpetrator ||(Blackwell), and positively identify Blackwell, at a photographic lineup, at the preliminary hearing, and at trial. (AA p.11-12) Blackwell was referred to, at Appellant's trial, as the "shorter" perpetrator. (AA p.11)

Appellant Brown was tried as being the "taller" perpetrator, though the description given by the victims was inconsistent with Appellant Brown's person, nor could either victim identify Appellant Brown at a photographic lineup, as Blackwell had previously been identified. (AA 11-13, 23)

Connelly described the "taller" perpetrator as being "tall and thin," younger than 25, and with "longer" hair than the shorter perpetrator. (AA 14, 16) Golsecker described the "taller" perpetrator as having a full head of hair. (AA)

27

26

1

2

3

4

12

15

20

21

22

23

24

1 p.22) Connelly described the perpetrators as having been identified by the name 2 of "Cal, Dean, Pete, Greg, or Craig." (AA p.17) It was not until Connelly saw a subpoena with Appellant Brown's name that he stated recognition of the name 4 "Erick." (AA p.17) The victims' description also included that the "taller" perpetrator had an earring. (AA p.18, 24)

Appellant Brown was 33 at the time of trial. (AA p.30) He had consistently 7 sported a shaven head, and did not wear an earring. (AA p.34-35)

Though an identification was later made at the preliminary hearing, both 9 |victims admitted that they could not positively identify Appellant Brown when they 10 were shown a 6 pack photographic lineup. (AA p.16, 24) Both victims admitted 11 to having only a few seconds of interaction with the "taller" perpetrator (between **|**5-15 seconds). (AA p.15, 21)

Though the victims believed fingerprints were "all over," and samples were Indeed taken, no latents matched Appellant Brown's fingerprints. (AA p.14, 19)

Appellant Brown took the stand and denied involvement with the incident at 16 l LVMJ. (AA p.30) Though he was in possession of the victims' property, he stated that he was in receipt of the property only for the purposes of selling the property, and did not personally obtain said property from LVMJ. (AA p.31)

At Appellant Brown's trial, evidence was brought forth that another individual was also found in possession of stolen property relating to the LVMJ incident. (AA p.36) Williams closely matched the victims' description of the 22 | "taller" perpetrator, standing at 6'1. (Appellant Brown at 6'5, and Williams at 6'1, 23 are both taller than Blackwell). (AA p.33) Williams had short hair. (AA p.32) Williams was known to have sported an earring. (AA p.32) Finally, Williams also had a criminal history. (AA p.36)

The victims' description of the "taller" perpetrator was weaker than the

27

24

25

26

6

8

13

15

17

18

19

20

victims' description of Blackwell; moreover, the victims were unable to identify
Appellant Brown at a 6 pack photographic lineup, though they were able to
identify Blackwell under these circumstances. ((AA 11, 12, 16, 24)
The State, for the alleged "purpose" of strengthening the victims' ability to

The State, for the alleged "purpose" of strengthening the victims' ability to identify, paraded Blackwell before the jury, in front a special agent with the FBI, Aimaro, and asked Aimaro to identify Blackwell as the "shorter" perpetrator. (AA p.28) Blackwell did not take the stand, nor did the defense have an opportunity to cross-examine him. (AA p.28) Over Defense counsel's objection, the State argued that it was not error to parade Blackwell, a convicted felon, in front of the jury because he was simply a piece of "evidence," to prove accuracy for identification purposes. (AA p.26-27)

Appellant Brown was convicted of the crimes relating to the LVMJ incident, as the "taller" perpetrator. (See Judgment of Conviction, AA p.1-2).

DATED this 13th day of March, 2007.

espectfully submitted by:

MICHAEL V. CRISTALLI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 006266 CRISTALLI & SAGGESE, LTD.

CRISTALLI & SAGGESE, LTD. 732 South Sixth Street, Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 386-2180

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

23

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2425

26

27

<u>VERIFICATION</u>

I recognize that pursuant to NRAP 3C I am responsible for filing a timely fast track statement and that the Supreme Court of Nevada may sanction an attorney for failing to file a timely fast track statement, or failing to raise material issues or arguments in the fast track statement, or failing to cooperate fully with respondent counsel during the course of an appeal. I therefore certify that the information provided in this fast track statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

day of March, 2007

Respectfully submitted by:

vada Bar No. 006266

CRISTALLI & SAGGESE, LTD. 732 South Sixth Street, Suite 100

_as Vegas. Nevada 89101

RNEY FOR APPELLANT

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that I have read this appellate brief, and to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, in particular N.R.A.P.28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be 7 supported by a reference to the page of the transcript or appendix where the 8 matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanction in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED this 13th 11

day of March, 2007

Respectfully submitted by:

Nevada Bar No. 006266

& SAGGESE, LTD. 732 S. Sixth Street, Suite 100

Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

(702) 386-2180

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

22

2

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23 24

25

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that on the 13th day of March, 2007, I deposited a copy of the Appellant's ERRATA TO FAST TRACK APPEAL in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid, addressed to: DAVID ROGER, ESQ. 200 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ESQ. NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL **Criminal Justice Division** 100 N. Carson Carson City, Nevada 89701 12 and that there is regular communication between the place(s) so addressed and the place(s) of mailing.