

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS HIDALGO, III AND ANABEL  
ESPINDOLA,  
Petitioners,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK, THE HONORABLE DONALD  
M. MOSLEY, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 48233

**FILED**

**MAR 28 2007**

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richards*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER SCHEDULING ORAL ARGUMENT

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges an order of the district court denying a motion to strike the State's notice of intent to seek the death penalty. This court has concluded that oral argument may be of assistance in resolving this petition. Accordingly, the clerk of this court shall schedule this petition for oral argument on the court's next available en banc argument calendar. In addition to all issues raised by petitioner, the parties shall be prepared to address at oral argument the State's claim that counsel for petitioner Hidalgo, III has an impermissible conflict of interest. The oral argument shall be limited to 30 minutes.

It is so ORDERED.

*Libbons*, A.C.J.

cc: Hon. Donald M. Mosley, District Judge  
Gentile DePalma, Ltd.  
JoNell Thomas  
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger  
Eighth District Court Clerk