12       DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, Respondent, and       FILED         13       and       MAR 11 2008         14       THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.       MAR 11 2008         16       Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thom respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this Course of the state's Rehearing Petition. Should this Course of the state's Rehearing Petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this Course of the state is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Course of the state is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Course of the state is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State is no longer seek the death penalty against her deather her the state is no longer seek the death p	7		• ORIGINA	4L •	
<ul> <li>ANABEL ESPINDOLA, Petitioners,</li> <li>vs.</li> <li>THE HONORABLE DONALD M. MOSLEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, Respondent, and</li> <li>THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.</li> <li>Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thom respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Court ind Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not accessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this CENTRATE OF INFORMATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN</li></ul>	RECEIVED [] Las Vegas Drop Box	-	State Bar No. 4771 c/o Clark County Special Public Defender 330 South Third Street, Suite 800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6270 Attorney for Petitioner ANABEL ESPINDOLA		
<ul> <li>Petitioners,</li> <li>vs.</li> <li>THE HONORABLE DONALD M. MOSLEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, Respondent,</li> <li>and</li> <li>THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.</li> <li>Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thom respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Court find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not petition for the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this Court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this Court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this court of the to file</li></ul>	6.	8	LUIS HIDALGO III and ANABEL ESPINDOLA	Supreme Court No. 48233	
<ul> <li><sup>10</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>13</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>14</sup></li> <li><sup>15</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>16</sup></li> <li><sup>17</sup></li> <li><sup>18</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>19</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li><sup>12</sup></li> <li><sup>11</sup></li> <li< th=""><th></th><th>9</th><th></th><th></th></li<></ul>		9			
11       THE HONORABLE DONALD M. MOSLEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, Respondent, and       TIME FOR FILING OF ANSWER TO PETITION FOR REHEARING <b>FILED</b> 13       and       MAR 11 2008         14       THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.       THACHER, LINDEMAN CLEAR & SUBJECT COURT BY CLEAR & LINDEMAN CLEAR & SUBJECT COURT BY CLEAR & C		10	VS.		
13       and       MAR 11 2008         14       THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.       Tracle K LINDEMAN Real Party in Interest.         16       Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thom respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Court find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not personary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this CELEVENT for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this CELEVENT for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this			MOSLEY, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE,	TIME FOR FILING OF ANSWER TO PETITION FOR REHEARING	
14       THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest.         15       Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thomas respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Court and the State's Rehearing Petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this Court and the State's Rehearing Petition and the State's Rehearing Petition. Should		13			
Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thom respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not personal for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this <i>CELIVED</i>			THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by and through her counsel JoNell Thom respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this V///				DEPUTY CLERK	
respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this $V_{1/1}$ $AR_{1}$ 1 2008			Petitioner Anabel Espindola, by a	and through her counsel JoNell Thomas,	
Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espind filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this $V_{25}$ /// $V_{25}$ ///			respectfully requests that she be granted an extension of time for the filing of her		
filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this $(1/1)^{-1}$			Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. On or about February 24, 2008, Espindola		
had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seek the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Co find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this $P_{25}$ /// AR 11 2008			filed in this Court a notice that this matter appeared to be moot as to her because she		
find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this $R_{25}$ /// $AR_{1}$ 1 2008		21	had negotiated the charges against her with the State and the State is no longer seeking		
24 necessary for her to file an Answer to the State's Rehearing Petition. Should this $R_{25}$ /// $AR_{1}$ 1 2008		22	the death penalty against her. The State has not file an opposition. Should this Court		
<b>RECEIVED</b> 1/1/ AR(112008		23	find Espindola's petition to be moot and order the petition dismissed, it will not be		
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK		26	AR, J 1 2008 Racie K. Lindeman	State's Rehearing Petition. Should this	

l

Court find that the petition is not moot, Espindola respectfully requests that she be granted seven days from the date of that decision to file an Answer. Dated this 7th day of March, 2008. øNell Thomas 

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that I caused to be hand-delivered to the District Attorney's		
3	drop-box in the office of the Clark County Clerk, and caused to be hand-delivered to		
4	the office of Honorable Donald M. Mosley, Eighth Judicial District Court, a true and		
5	correct copy of this MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING OF		
6	ANSWER TO PETITION FOR REHEARING addressed to		
7			
8 9	The Honorable Donald M. Mosley Eighth Judicial District Court 200 Lewis Avenue		
10	Las Vegas, NV 89155		
11	Marc DiGiacomo		
12	Chief Deputy District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Les Vesses NV 80155		
13	Las Vegas, NV 89155 I also caused a copy of the foregoing to be mailed, postage prepaid, to counsel for		
14	Luis Hidalgo III, addressed to:		
15 16	Dominic P. Gentile Gordon & Silver 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vegas, NV 89169		
17			
18	Dated this th day of March, 2008.		
19	for Low A		
20	JoNell Thomas		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			