IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,	No. 49350
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Appellant,	FILED
vs.	
WILLIAM JUNGE,	
Respondent.	MAR 30 2009

No. 49350

R 30 7009 K. LINDEMAN ALLA CLERK

RESPONSE TO MARCH 3, 2009, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Note: Response begins on page 3 of this document, after motion filed on 3/20/09. The motion has been addressed and granted.

-						
•		RECEIVED Las Vegas Drop Box of and OF StyPherde Court				
1	Allen Lichtenstein Nevada Bar No. 003992					
2	Margaret A. McLetchie	2009 MAR 18 PM 3: 7				
3	Nevada Bar No. 10931 Judy Carol Cox					
4	Nevada Bar No. 11093 732 South Sixth Street, Ste 200A					
5	Las Vegas, NV 89101 702-366-1902					
6	Lee Rowland					
7	Nevada Bar No. 10209 1325 Airmotive Way, Ste. 202					
8	Reno, Nevada 89502 775-786-1022	FILED				
9	Attorneys for Amicus Curiae	MAR 2 0 2009				
	morneys for minicus Currue	TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT				
10		BY S. Yourg DEPUTY CLERK				
11	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF					
12	THE STATE	C OF NEVADA				
13	STATE OF NEVADA, DEPT. OF MOTOR) Case No.: 49350				
14	VEHICLES Appellant,	 Motion for Leave to Allow Amicus ACLU of Nevada to File A Response to This 				
15	VS.) Court's March 3, 2009 Order to Show) Cause; and Response to Show Cause				
16	WILLIAM JUNGE, Respondent) Order				
17						
18	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO ALLOW A	MICHS ACLUOF NEWADA TO EU FA				
10		MICUS ACLU OF NEVADA TO FILE A RCH 3, 2009 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE				
20	Pursuant to Rules 2 and 7 of the Nevac	la Rules of Appellate Procedure, Amicus Curiae				
21	the American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada	(ACLUN) hereby files: (1) its motion for leave to				
22	file a Response to this Court's March 3, 2009 C	Order to Show Cause; and (2) its Response to this				
23	Court's March 3, 2009 Order to Show Cause.					
24	MAR 2 0 2009					
	TRAIL 2 0 LOOV Motion for Leave to Resp TRAGE K. LINDEMAN	bond to Order to Show Cause				
		09-07/08				

On June 13, 2008, this Court issued an order inviting the ACLUN to participate as Amicus Curiae in order to examine, *inter alia*, the constitutional free speech issues raised in this case. The ALCUN filed its brief on September 19, 2008, and Appellant State of Nevada filed a response brief on December 26, 2008.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

21

22

23

24

On March 3, 2009, this Court issued an Order to Show Cause directing the Appellant State of Nevada, Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to advise the Court: (1) whether the license plate at issue in this matter is currently registered to respondent William Junge; and (2) if it is no longer registered to Mr. Junge, why this appeal should not be dismissed as moot.

9 Rule 27 of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure govern the filing of motions, and 10 does not prohibit amicus curia from filing motions or responding to substantive motions or orders once authorized to participate in an appeal. In an abundance of caution, and in the 11 12 absence of any language specifically authorizing amicus curia to file motions or responses to orders to show cause, the ACLUN seeks an order authorizing it to file a response to the March 13 3, 2009 Order to Show Cause. Rule 2 allows this Court to suspend the provisions of any 14 15 Appellate Procedure Rule for good cause. Here, good cause exists to permit ACLUN to file a response to the Court's March 3, 2009 Order to Show Cause due to the important constitutional 16 issues raised by this case and the fact that Respondent is no longer represented by counsel and is 17 unable to brief the Court on these issues. 18

By accepting this Court's invitation to participate as amicus curiae, the ACLUN, in essence, is standing in as counsel for Respondent and is the only party able to challenge Appellant's legal arguments and offer an opposing analysis of the law. At this point, the ACLUN's participation is especially important because, as discussed in the Response that

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 2 -

follows, Appellant DMV has formally listed Mr. Junge's "HOE" personalized license plate as a "not suitable" personalized plate, and has made it unavailable solely on that basis.

The Court's March 3, 2009 Order to Show Cause seeks argument from Appellant as to why this appeal should not be dismissed as moot. The ACLU of Nevada is seeking to file a response in order to provide this Court with a balanced analysis and thorough examination of these issues, including an explanation as to why the instant appeal is not in any way moot. This Court has the power, under Rule 2 of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure to permit amicus curiae to file a reply brief, and the ACLU of Nevada respectfully requests that it do so.

9 The ACLUN's response to this Court's March 3, 2009 Order to Show Cause follows this
10 motion, and is filed conditioned upon this Court's grant of its foregoing motion for leave.

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of March, 2009

By: Carol Cox

ALCU of Nevada 732 S. Sixth St. Suite 200A Las Vegas, NV 89101-6928

<u>CONDITIONAL RESPONSE</u> <u>TO MARCH 3, 2009 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE</u>

I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The issues in this case are alive and well. The "HOE" personalized license plate at issue in this case remains registered to Mr. Junge, and therefore any concerns this Court has about mootness are allayed. Furthermore, even if this were not the case, the mootness doctrine would not apply due to (1) the public interest issues that are present; (2) the pending, ongoing concerns that affect both the DMV and Mr. Junge; (3) the fact that the challenged actions at issue are

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 3 -

capable of repetition, yet evading review; and (4) that the chilling effect of the DMV's actions produce a constitutional violation independent of the approval or denial of his personalized plate.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

II.

1

2

FACTS AND PRODCEDURAL HISTORY

In 1999, Respondent Mr. Junge applied for and was granted a personalized license bearing the word "HOE." In 2006, while applying to renew the plate, a supervisor at the DMV office decided that the plate might be inappropriate and asked the DMV's Special Plate Committee to vote to revoke it, which it did. (DMV App. p. 6, 11. 4-28.) Mr. Junge then received a letter stating that the "HOE" plates were being recalled because "these special plates are unsuitably (sic) and inappropriate." (DMV App. p. 39, Petitioner's Exhibit A.) Mr. Junge appealed the denial of his "HOE" vanity plate.

The Administrative Law Judge ruled as a conclusion of law that (under NAC 482.320, the regulation governing review of license plate content, hereinafter "DMV Regulation") the DMV has authority to prohibit any personalized license plate that is "determined by the Department to be inappropriate" as well as any plates that *may* be offensive or inappropriate, and the that the "HOE" plate was inappropriate. (DMV App. p. 72, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decision, Sept. 18, 2006.) Mr. Junge appealed.

On March 27, 2007, the Eighth Judicial District Court of Nevada then reversed the
Administrative Law Judge's decision (DMV App. p. 87-88, Decision and Order, Case No.
A529007) because "the word 'Hoe' means a gardening tool" and therefore was not
inappropriate. (Transcript of Dist. Crt. Proceedings, Case No. A-529007, Feb. 28, 2007, p. 17.)
The DMV appealed.

On June 13, 2008, this Court issued an order inviting the ACLUN to participate as Amicus Curiae in order to examine, *inter alia*, the constitutional free speech issues raised in this

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 4 -

case. The ALCUN filed its brief on September 19, 2008 ("ACLU Brief"), and Appellant State
 of Nevada filed a response brief on December 26, 2008 ("Response"). On January 27, 2009,
 this Court granted ACLUN's motion for leave to file a reply to Appellant's Response brief, and
 on February 11, 2009, the ACLUN filed its reply.

On March 3, 2009, this Court issued an Order to Show Cause directing the Appellant
State of Nevada, Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to advise the Court: (1) whether the
license plate at issue in this matter is currently registered to respondent William Junge; and (2)
if it is no longer registered to Mr. Junge, why this appeal should not be dismissed as moot.

9 It was recently discovered that the DMV has formally listed Mr. Junge's "HOE" plate as
10 a "not suitable" personalized plate, and has made it unavailable solely on that basis. See
11 Affidavit of Judy Cox in Support of Motion for Leave to Respond to March 3, 2009 Order to
12 Show Cause, and Response to Show Cause Order ("Cox Affidavit"), ¶¶7, 8, attached hereto and
13 incorporated herein. The ACLUN has recently verified the plate at issue in this appeal is still
14 registered to Mr. Junge. *Id.* at ¶9.¹

|| III. THE ARGUMENT

16 17

15

A. The Instant Appeal Is Not Moot Because the License Plate at Issue is Still Registered to Mr. Junge.

This Court asked whether Mr. Junge still had this license plate registered to him, and if

The "HOE" personalized license plate at issue in this appeal appears to still currently registered to Mr. Junge: he recently received a renewal form from the DMV showing that his current registration is in full effect. *See* Cox Affidavit, ¶9.

20

18

19

21

22

23

24

he did not, whether the instant appeal was moot. Because Mr. Junge does indeed still have the

¹ An examination of the lower left portion of the DMV's recent registration renewal sent to Mr. Junge makes his reason for his choice of the "HOE" plate clear: he wanted a "HOE" plate for his 1999 Chevrolet "TAHOE". *See* Cox Affidavit, ¶9, and its Exhibit E.

plate registered him, there are no mootness concerns. However, as discussed below, even if the plate were no longer registered to Mr. Junge, the instant appeal would still not be mooted.

B. Even if the Plate At Issue Were Not Registered to Mr. Junge, the Mootness Doctrine Would Still Be Inapplicable.

1.

1

2

3

4

5

24

The Mootness Doctrine Does Not Apply Here Because of the Public Interest Exception.

6 Any application of the mootness doctrine in this case is overridden by the fact that this 7 case concerns important constitutional issues of great public interest. The ACLUN feels that 8 this case implicates important constitutional free speech issues. The United State Supreme 9 Court made clear that license plate messages implicate the First Amendment rights of the 10 vehicle owner. See Wooley v. Maynard, 430 U.S. 705, 715 (1977) (established that messages 11 on license plates may be considered speech by the vehicle owner); see also Arizona Life 12 Coalition v. Stanton, 515 F.3d 956 (9th Cir. 2008) (holding that privately crafted messages on 13 specialized license plates constitute primarily private speech subject to First Amendment 14 protections, with the plate's message being attributable to the vehicle owner, not the issuing state.) The DMV is exercising unbridled discretion in approving or denying personalized 15 expression contained in individualized license plates in violation of the First Amendment to the 16 17 United States Constitution. In addition, the DMV's recent actions increase the urgency and necessity that this Court render a ruling in this case: it has "upped the ante" by contemptuously 18 ignoring and violating the Eighth Judicial District Court's March 27, 2007 order reversing of 19 the Administrative Law Judge's decision. (DMV App. p. 87-88). The DMV has now listed the 20 "HOE" language in its records and on the DMV website as a "not suitable" personalized plate. 21 22 See Cox Affidavit, ¶¶7, 8. Thus, the DMV has shown that its violation of First Amendment expression has significance beyond Mr. Junge and knows no boundaries: the DMV does not 23

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 6 -

even respect the rulings of Nevada's district courts. This Court apparently agrees that this case raises important constitutional issues, because it *sua sponte* invited the ACLUN to participate as amicus curiae in this appeal.

4 Nevada maintains a public interest exception to the mootness doctrine, where this Court 5 will consider an otherwise moot case where important constitutional issues are raised. See 6 Kirkpatrick v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court, 119 Nev. 66, 68, 64 P.3d 1056, 1057 (2003) (where 7 15 year old New Mexican received Nevada district court authorization to marry in Nevada 8 despite her marriage at that age being illegal in New Mexico, this Court entertained her case 9 based on the "important constitutional issue raised" despite the fact that it was mooted by her 10 having turned 16 and obtaining a marriage license in New Mexico); see also Jason S. v. Valley 11 Hosp. Med. Ctr. (In re L.S.), 120 Nev. 157, 166, fn. 24, 87 P.3d 521, 526, fn. 24 (2004) (sua 12 sponte hearing of an issue mooted by not being raised in the lower court because it was an 13 important constitutional issue).

This Court's decision to decision to invite the ACLUN to participate as amicus curiae, as well as the DMV's continued flagrant First Amendment Violation and contempt of the Eighth Judicial District Court's order show beyond a doubt that the public interest exception to the mootness doctrine applies here.

18

19

24

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

2. <u>Mootness Cannot Be Found in this Case Even If the Plate at Issue is not</u> <u>Registered to Mr. Junge, or if Mr. Junge Himself Failed to Renew His</u> <u>Registration.</u>

Even if the "HOE" plate was not currently registered to Mr. Junge, or if he failed to renew the registration of the plate, this fact would still be insufficient to invoke the mootness doctrine. Mr. Junge could seek reinstatement of his registration for this personalized plate, and if this Court had dismissed this appeal based on mootness, the issue would be revived and

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 7 -

unresolved. Moreover, because Mr. Junge prevailed in the district court, the DMV would still be restrained in its actions by the district court's ruling without the benefit of a final resolution or legal determination from this Court. It is both Mr. Junge's and the DMV's interests that are thus implicated and which prevent a finding of mootness, and which militate in favor of this Court making a final determination as to the issue on appeal in this case.

This situation parallels the one addressed by the U.S. Supreme Court in City of Erie v. 6 Pap's A.M., 529 U.S. 277 (2000). In Erie, the state court enjoined the enforcement of the city's 7 anti-nudity ordinance, but the nude dancing club that brought the challenge had closed by the 8 time the case reached the Supreme Court, and the proprietor submitted an affidavit stating that 9 he did not intend to resume the business. The Supreme Court found that the case was not moot 10 for two reasons. First, the Supreme Court reached that conclusion in part because the business 11 "could again decide to operate." Id. at 287. Likewise here, if Mr. Junge had for some reason 12 allowed his registration of the "HOE" plate to lapse, he could again decide to register it. 13 Second, and equally applicable here, the Erie Court based its refusal to apply the mootness 14 doctrine on the fact that the respondent club owner sought "to have the case declared moot" 15 after the business had "prevailed below," obtaining a judgment that invalidated the city's 16 ordinance. Id. at 288. The Erie Court went on to note that had they declared Erie moot, the 17 defendant city would have been saddled with an "ongoing injury," i.e., the judgment 18 invalidating its law. Id. Here, the DMV is in a similar position: if this Court declares the 19 DMV's appeal moot, the DMV will be subject to the district court's judgment invalidating its 20 review process for personalized plates, without the benefit of a ruling from this Court to finally 21 dispose of the issue one way or the other. Thus, the posture of this case forecloses any finding 22

23 24

1

2

3

4

5

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 8 -

of mootness, even if the "HOE" plate is no longer registered to Mr. Junge, and even if he
 himself is responsible for the registration lapsing.

3. <u>The Question of Whether the License Plate is Currently Registered to Mr.</u> Junge is Irrelevant Because the Challenged Action is Capable of Repetition Yet Evading Review

5 Even if this license plate is not currently registered to respondent Junge, the appeal 6 should not be dismissed as moot, because the "capable of repetition, yet evading review" 7 exception to the mootness doctrine would apply. The general formula applicable to non-class 8 action cases is found in Weinstein v. Bradford, 423 U.S. 147, 149 (1975) (per curiam), where it 9 was held that an injury is capable of repetition, yet evades review if: (1) the challenged action 10 was of limited duration, too short to be fully litigated prior to its cessation or expiration; and (2) there is a reasonable expectation that the same complaining party will be subjected to the same 11 12 action again.

13 Nevada has adapted this rule to hold that a court may decide a technically moot case when otherwise "an important question of law could never be decided because of the nature of 14 15 its timing." See State v. Washoe Co. Public Defender, 105 Nev. 299, 301, 775 P.2d 217, 218 (1989). This exception to the mootness doctrine applies when the duration of the challenged 16 action is "relatively short," and there is a "likelihood that a similar issue will arise in the future." 17 Traffic Control Servs. v. United Rentals, 120 Nev. 168, 171-72, 87 P.3d 1054, 1057 (2004); see 18 also Del Papa v. Board of Regents, 114 Nev. 388, 401, 956 P.2d 770, 779 (Nev. 1998) (where 19 Board of Regents engaged in a non-public vote concerning the issuance of an press release that 20 was ultimately not issued, mootness doctrine did not apply to question of whether this act 21 violated the Open Meeting Law because the act was capable of repetition, yet evading review). 22

23

24

3

4

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

1 The DMV's annual registration requirement and its sole and exclusive power to cancel 2 registrations fulfill the first element of the Weinstein test. See Weinstein, 423, U.S., at 149 3 (mootness doctrine inapplicable where the challenged action was of limited duration, too short 4 to be fully litigated prior to its cessation or expiration.) The DMV exercises its unbridled 5 discretion regarding the approval or denial of personalized expression contained in 6 individualized license plates-which violates the First Amendment to the United States 7 Constitution—on an annual basis. This one-year period does not provide sufficient time to 8 address the challenged violation, because each year will be a separate act of violation of Mr. 9 Junge's right to freedom of expression. See Traffic Control Servs., 120 Nev. 168, 171-72, 87 10 P.3d 1054, 1057 (2004) (finding that the term of a one-year non-competition covenant was 11 sufficiently short to invoke the exception). The DMV can approve or deny the "HOE" 12 personalized plate at its whim, making the fact of yearly registration of the plate to Mr. Junge 13 too short of a time frame to support a mootness determination. This is especially apparent in 14 this case, where the DMV has directly violated the district court order requiring the DMV to 15 permit Mr. Junge to have the "HOE" plate registration, putting Mr. Junge in the impossible position of not ever being able to know with certainty when this latest violation of Mr. Junge's 16 First Amendment rights actually occurred. Does this contemptuous behavior by the DMV apply 17 18 to the original registration attempt in 2006, or to subsequent registrations? Moreover, the 19 application of the exception to mootness based on this short time frame is supported by the fact 20 that the DMV can escape any consequences for its unconstitutional actions by simply changing 21 its determination as to the approval or denial of certain plates from year to year.

The second element of the *Weinstein* test is also met here. *See Weinstein*, 423, U.S., at 149 (mootness doctrine inapplicable where there is a reasonable expectation that the same

22

23

24

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 10 -

complaining party will be subjected to the same action again.)² The DMV has disregarded the 1 2 Eighth Judicial District Court's March 27, 2007 order requiring the DMV to reinstate Mr. 3 Junge's "HOE" tag (DMV App. p. 87-88, Decision and Order, Case No. A529007), and its finding that "the word 'Hoe' means a gardening tool" and therefore was not inappropriate. 4 5 (Transcript of Dist. Crt. Proceedings, Case No. A-529007, Feb. 28, 2007, p. 17.) The DMV has 6 shown quite plainly that Mr. Junge continues to be subject to the DMV's violation of his right to 7 expression, and that he will continue to be subject to this abuse. Moreover, even if Mr. Junge 8 had for some reason let his registration lapse-such as being worn down by the DMV's dogged 9 abuse of his right to expression—he could easily decide to re-register the "HOE" plate in the 10 future. This certainly qualifies as the requisite "reasonable expectation" of recurrence found 11 sufficient by the U.S. Supreme Court to avoid mootness. Honig v. Doe, 484 U.S. 305, 318-319, 12 n. 6; see also Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113, 124-125 (1973) (challenge to abortion statute was 13 held not moot eve though the woman who initiated the action was no longer pregnant, on the 14 basis that she could possibly become pregnant at a later date.) Therefore, even if the "HOE"

15

² This element of the *Weinberg* test is in fact quite fluid and not a hard and fast rule: in many cases, the U.S. Supreme Court and Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals have simply ignored 16 the necessity of determining whether there will likely be a repetition of the injury in question. See, e.g., Super Tire Engineering Co. v. McCorkle, 416 U.S. 115, 125-26 (1974) (strike over but 17 action against strikers receiving welfare not moot because state policy is fixed; no discussion of probability of another strike against plaintiff-employer); Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113, 125 (1973) 18 (attack on abortion laws not moot despite plaintiff no longer being pregnant and giving no indication that she will again become pregnant and seek abortion); Dunn v. Blumstein, 405 U.S. 19 330, 333 n.2, (1972) (even though plaintiff now eligible to vote, challenge to durational residency requirement allowed because others still affected); Moore v. Ogilvie, 394 U.S. 814, 20 816 (1969) (election over but challenge to nominating petition procedure will proceed because of "continuing controversy in the federal-state area"); Allen v. Monger, 583 F.2d 438, 440 (9th 21 Cir. 1978) (action by now-discharged sailors who served aboard now moth-balled ship to enjoin regulation prohibiting petitioning of Congressmen not moot because "serious questions raised"), 22 vacated, 444 U.S. 1063, 100 S. Ct. 1003, 62 L. Ed. 2d 745 (1980); Webster v. Mesa, 521 F.2d 442, 443 (9th Cir. 1975) (action against law prohibiting voter who has signed partisan 23 candidate's petition from also signing independent's petition for same office not moot despite end of petitioning period). 24

personalized plate is no longer registered to Mr. Junge, the mootness doctrine is simply inapplicable.

4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Any Concerns About Mootness Are Overridden By the Need to Counteract the Chilling Effect Produced by the DMV's Disregard of Mr. Junge's Right to Expression and its Violation of the District Court's Order.

The chilling effect produced by the DMV's actions to date further demonstrates why the instant appeal is not moot in any manner. The First Amendment issues raised in this case invoke a public interest concern and collateral constitutional violations that eliminate any mootness and extend beyond Mr. Junge's individual claim.

A scheme that makes the ability to engage in constitutionally protected expression contingent upon having permission from the government, or its designee, constitutes a prior restraint. See Freedman v. Maryland, 380 U.S. 51, 58 (1965); FW/PBS, Inc. v. City of Dallas, 493 U.S. 215, 227 (1990). Unfettered discretion on the part of governmental agencies is an impermissible prior restraint. See City of Lakewood v. Plain Dealer Publishing Co., 486 U.S. 750, 770 (1988). The DMV's denial of Mr. Junge's protected expression via his personalized plate precluded him from disseminating his message, and thus has a chilling effect on his protected speech, as well as that of others like him who must deal with the DMV in seeking personalized plates. This is a constitutional violation independent of the DMV's inappropriate and standardless denial of Mr. Junge's plate. See Bd. of County Com'rs, Wabaunsee County, Kan. v. Umbehr, 518 U.S. 668, 674 (1996) (recognizing that a chilling effect is a "constitutional violation[] [that] may arise from the deterrent, or chilling, effect of governmental [efforts] that fall short of a direct prohibition against the exercise of First Amendment rights." (quoting Laird v. Tatum, 408 U.S. 1, 11 (1972)). Any concerns over mootness are thus superseded by this broader public concern that goes beyond Mr. Junge's individual case.

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

1 The fact that the DMV has now officially listed Mr. Junge's personalized plate as "not appropriate" in its records and on its website in violation of the district court's order further 2 emphasizes the fact that the concerns and issues in this case extend far beyond Mr. Junge 3 4 individually. See Cox Affidavit, ¶9. This Court needs to address the DMV's ability to exercise 5 unbridled discretion in approving or denying personalized plates, because the DMV apparently 6 has no intention of pulling back its inappropriate restraints on expression in relation to Mr. 7 Junge or anyone else. Therefore, even if the plate at issue is no longer registered to Mr. Junge, 8 the instant appeal remains a live cause and is not in any way mooted. 9 IV. **CONCLUSION** 10 For the reasons set forth above, the ACLUN respectfully request that the instant appeal 11 be allowed to continue so that the important issue at stake in this matter can be fully addressed 12 by this Court. 13 Respectfully submitted this 18th day of March, 2009. 14 15 By: 16 ALCU of Nevada 732 S. Sixth St. 17 Suite 200A Las Vegas, NV 89101-6928 18 19

Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 18th day of March, 2009, I served a copy of the Motion for Leave to Allow Amicus ACLU of Nevada to File A Response to This Court's March 3, 2009 Order to Show Cause; and Response to Show Cause Order, on all parties by mailing, postage prepaid, a true copy thereof, addressed to:

WILLIAM JUNGE 5409 CONTERA COURT LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
10 OFFICE OF NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL
100 N. CARSON STREET
11 CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89701

12 CAROLYN L. WATERS
13 TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
14 SUITE 3900
14 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

An employee of the ACLU of Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF JUDY COX IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO RESPOND TO MARCH 3, 2009 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, AND RESPONSE TO SHOW CAUSE ORDER

State of Nevada)) County of Clark)

SS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Affiant, Judy Cox, Esq., being first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and state as follows: 1. I am an attorney and a member in good standing of the State Bar of Nevada (admitted in October, 2008), and am an attorney for the American Civil Liberties Union of Nevada ("ACLUN"). The ACLUN is a statewide organization devoted to the protection of civil rights and civil liberties of all Nevadans.

2. On June 13, 2008, this Court issued an order inviting the ACLUN to participate as Amicus Curiae in *State of Nevada, DMV v. Junge*, Case no: 49350, in order to examine, *inter alia*, the constitutional and free speech issues raised in this appeal. I have received and reviewed a copy of the March 3, 2009 Nevada Supreme Court's Order to Show Cause why the appeal would not be moot if the "HOE" plate is no longer registered to Mr. Junge.

3. Based on the district court's ruling that the "HOE" plate was not offensive and could not be revoked because it was "inappropriate," I assumed that if Mr. Junge no longer had the "HOE" plate, then it would be available for another person to choose, so I went to the Nevada DMV website to see if the "HOE" plate was available.

194. The Nevada DMV website has an online personalized plate search which lets a20person verify whether their choice of letter and/or number combinations for a personalize21license plate is available. A true and correct copy of this webpage is attached as **Exhibit A**.

5. The webpage states "You can choose any personalized combination that is not
offensive or in bad taste, has not already been issued to someone else and does not conflict with
any standard-issue Nevada numbering system."

Affidavit in Support of Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

-1-

6. I clicked on the "personalized plate search" link which took me to an interactive page in which I could type any personalized plate letter/number combination that I wished. A true and correct copy of this webpage is attached as Exhibit B.

4 7. I typed in HOE into the search box and hit "submit." The result message stated that HOE was not available (N/A) because:

"HOE is not suitable and cannot be ordered. You may enter a different combination and try again."

A true and correct copy of this webpage result is attached as Exhibit C.

8. I thought might be the standard message for all unavailable personalized plates, so I also tried another offensive plate message ("PIMP") and a non-offensive popular plate message ("REBEL"). The result for the PIMP plate stated "PIMP is not suitable and cannot be ordered" whereas the result for REBEL simply stated that "REBEL is unavailable." True and correct copies of these webpage results for PIMP and REBEL are attached as Exhibit D.

On March 17, 2009, our office received a copy of the registration renewal form 9. for Appellee William Junge's "HOE" personalized license plate which he received from Appellant Nevada DMV. The form indicates that Mr. Junge's registration of this plate is current and due to expire on April 10, 2009. A true and correct copy of the renewal form is

attached hereto as Exhibit E.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

Further affiant sayeth naught.

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 18th day of March, 2009.

22 TARY PUBLIC in and for said 23

County and State

Judy Cox Es TAMIKA M. SHAUNTEI Notary Public State of Nevada

> No. 06-102067-1 My appt. exp. Jan. 3, 2010

Affidavit in Support of Motion for Leave to Respond to Order to Show Cause

- 2 -

EXHIBIT A



Personalized Plates

On This Page

- Costs & Application
- What Plate Numbers Are Available?
- How Do I Order Custom Plates?
- How Do I Order Plates as a Gift?
- Complaints

What's Related

- License Plates Home Page
- Registration Home Page
- Circa 1982 Replica Plates
- Fire Fighter Plates
- Charitable and Collegiate
- Organizational Plates
- Veterans & Military
- <u>Classic Vehicles</u>
- Proposed Specialty Plates
- Sample and Souvenir Plates

NEW! Flat Check Personalized Plate Numbers Online!! See how your plates will look before you order.

FlatMost Nevada license plates are no longer stamped or embossed. They do not
have raised lettering. The DMV has transitioned to digitized license plate
manufacturing that is more efficient and costs less than older methods.

You must have Acrobat Reader software to view and print PDF forms. It's free and easy to install. See Forms Help or Download Reader.

Plate Image (Click for a larger picture)	Туре	Standard Initial/Annual Fee	Motorcycle Initial/Annual Fee	Availability
PERSPL8	Personalized Plates Standard Nevada plate design with your choice of up to 7 custom letters/numbers. 6 letters/numbers maximum for motorcycles and small trailers.	\$36/\$20	\$35.50/\$20	Custom- Ordered <u>Application</u> <u>sp66</u>

What Plate Numbers Are Available?

Back To Top

Personalized plates in the Sunset style can have up to seven characters. Specialty plates vary from four to seven characters as designated on each plate's application. You do not have to fill all available spaces. Punctuation and special symbols are not allowed.

Use our online <u>Personalized Plate Search</u>, <u>e-mail</u> or call to verify whether your choice is available. Please have three choices ready when you fill out the application.

You can choose any personalized combination that is not offensive or in bad taste, has not already been issued to someone else and does not conflict with any standard-issue Nevada numbering system. If your plate number is denied or recalled for any of these reasons, you may appeal the decision through the <u>Office of Administrative Hearings</u>.

Personalized plate numbers which have expired or been surrendered become available to any applicant 18 months after expiration. Individuals may transfer plate numbers if the original owner signs a form as the new owner's registration is completed at a DMV office.

• How Do I Order Custom Plates?

Forms Help | Back To Top

You have the choice of ordering by mail, fax or in person. We suggest mail or fax if your vehicle is already registered in Nevada, if you are moving to Nevada in the near future or if you will be buying a vehicle in the near future. Order in person if you will be registering a vehicle or renewing the registration within the next 60 days. See <u>Previously-Issued Plate Numbers</u> if you wish to order a specific standard plate number.

Application sp66 - Personalized Plate Application sp33 - Veterans Application sp41 - Professional Firefighters Application sp62 - Volunteer Firefighters Application sp49 - Masons

Order by Mail or Fax. Check for three choices on our <u>Personalized Plate Search</u>. You may also <u>e-</u> mail or call.

Download and complete the appropriate application for your plate. Mail the application and fees to the address on the application. Fees may be paid by check or money order payable to DMV or a <u>Credit</u> <u>Card Authorization</u>. For faxed orders, fax the completed application and a <u>Credit Card Authorization</u> to (775) 684-4797.

You can order plates even if you are moving to Nevada within the next 60 days but have not actually registered a vehicle here yet.

Personalized plates must be approved, manufactured and then shipped to the DMV or Assessor's office you chose on the application. If your application is rejected, your check will be returned.

When the DMV or Assessor receives your plates, the staff will send you a letter notifying you they are ready.

If your vehicle is currently registered in Nevada, bring the old plates to the DMV for surrender. If you wish to keep them, bring the rear plate only to surrender the decal.

If you choose to keep the same expiration date, we will issue a new registration slip and decal with your new plates. No emissions inspection or registration renewal is required.

You have the option of renewing your vehicle registration for a full year. Complete an emissions inspection if required and if the last test was completed more than 90 days ago. Your expiration date will change if the current expiration date is more than 35 days away. Credit will be given for the unused portion of your current Nevada registration.

If you have just purchased a vehicle or have already moved to Nevada, we encourage you to order in person at the same time you register your vehicle.

Order in Person. You can order your custom plates in person at the same time you register a vehicle or renew its registration at a <u>DMV Full Service Office</u> or at a <u>County Assessor's Office</u> which offers vehicle registration services. You may wish to use our online <u>Personalized Plate Search</u> in advance to help select your plate style and/or personalized numbering.

If you have just purchased a vehicle or are registering it in Nevada for the first time, see the full list of Registration Requirements or New Resident Guide and use our online Registration Fee Estimates. Bring all required documentation along with the appropriate license plate application. We will issue the vehicle registration slip, decal and a temporary movement permit. The plates will be mailed to you.

If your vehicle is currently registered in Nevada, bring the old plates to the DMV for surrender. If you wish to keep them, bring the rear plate only to surrender the decal.

If you choose to keep the same expiration date, we will issue a new registration slip, decal and a temporary movement permit. No emissions inspection or registration renewal is required. The plates will be mailed to you.

You have the option of renewing your vehicle registration for a full year. Complete an emissions inspection if required and if the last test was completed more than 90 days ago. Your expiration date will change if the current expiration date is more than 35 days away. Credit will be given for the unused portion of your current Nevada registration.

How Do I Order Plates As A Gift?

Back To Top

We encourage you to order by mail or fax as above, placing your name and address on the application and the recipient's name on the line labeled "Name of person plate will be registered to if other than applicant."

Personalized plates in the Sunset style can have up to seven characters. Specialty plates vary from four to seven characters as designated on each plate's application. You do not have to fill all available spaces. Punctuation and special symbols are not allowed. Use our online <u>Personalized Plate Search</u> to verify whether your choices are available.

When the DMV or County Assessor's office you chose on the application receives your plates, the staff will notify you by mail. The office will hold the plates for 60 days. We cannot release license plates which are not registered to a vehicle.

The registration will have to completed in person at a DMV or Assessor office as outlined above.

Plate Number Complaints

Forms Help | Back To Top

If you see a personalized plate number that you find offensive, you may ask the Department to review and possibly recall it. Please complete the following form and mail it to the address listed.

License Plate Complaint Form (SP 74)

The Department will consider recalling any personalized license plate that:

- Expresses contempt, ridicule, or superiority of race, ethnic heritage, religion, gender, or political affiliation.
- Contains any connotation that is sexual, vulgar, derogatory, profane, or obscene.
- Contains a direct or indirect reference to drug or drug paraphernalia, or a gang.
- Makes a defamatory reference to a person or group.

• Is determined by the department to be inappropriate.



Nevada Internet Privacy Policy (PDF) Federal Rehabilitation Act (Section 508) Driver License | Registration | License Plates | Forms Locations | FAQs | Online Services | Site Map | Home

E-Mail: info@dmv.nv.gov Please include your plate number or other information needed to research your request. Copyright © 1997-2009 Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles



EXHIBIT B



Personalized License Plate Availability Search

Please read the following information before you begin:

Combinations **MAY NOT** exceed the number of positions, including spaces, designed for each Specialty License Plate. Symbols and punctuation marks **MAY NOT** be used.

For the most part, Specialty License Plates are available for passenger and light duty vehicles only. Specialty License Plates are not available for motorcycles. In some instances a Specialty License Plate may be manufactured in the small trailer plate design. Please contact DMV as referenced below for more details on small trailer plates.

The department has the right to refuse any combination of letters and/or numbers that may carry connotations offensive to good taste and decency, or which would be misleading, or in conflict with any license plate series that has been issued.

The Department will reject requests for any personalized license plate that:

- Expresses contempt, ridicule, or superiority of race, ethnic heritage, religion, gender, or political affiliation.
- Contains any connotation that is sexual, vulgar, derogatory, profane, or obscene.
- Contains a direct or indirect reference to drug or drug paraphernalia, or a gang.
- Makes a defamatory reference to a person or group.
- Is determined by the department to be inappropriate.



Ordering Special Personalized Plates online is currently unavailable. You may select one of the forms below to order your Special Personalized Plates or see also <u>How to Order</u> Custom Plates.

Application sp66 - Personalized Plate Application sp45 - Circa 1982 Replica Plate Application sp41 - Professional Firefighters Application sp62 - Volunteer Firefighters Application sp49 - Masons Application sp33 - Veterans



Please allow 4 to 6 weeks for your personalized license plate to be manufactured.

You must have Acrobat Reader software to view and print PDF forms. It's free and easy to install. See Forms Help or Download Reader.

DMV online services are normally available:

Monday: Tuesday - Friday: Saturday: Sunday & Holidays: 24 Hours. From 2:00 am to midnight. From 2:00 am to 8:00 pm. From midnight to 9:00 pm.

https://dmvapp.state.nv.us/PlateAV/PlateAV_Input.aspx

This schedule may vary due to maintenance.

Contact Us

Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles555 Wright WayCarson City, NV 89711-0725E-mail: info@dmv.nv.govCall a customer service representative:Las Vegas Area486-4DMV (702) 486-4368Reno/Sparks/Carson City684-4DMV (775) 684-4368Rural Nevada1-877-368-7828Fax(775) 684-4992TDD (Hearing Impaired Only)(775) 684-4904

DMV Home Page | Online Services | State of Nevada Home Page

EXHIBIT C

Nevada DMV Personalized License Plate Availability Search



Personalized License Plate Availability Search

Please read the following information before you begin:

Combinations <u>MAY NOT</u> exceed the number of positions, including spaces, designed for each Specialty License Plate. Symbols and punctuation marks <u>MAY NOT</u> be used.

For the most part, Specialty License Plates are available for passenger and light duty vehicles only. Specialty License Plates are not available for motorcycles. In some instances a Specialty License Plate may be manufactured in the small trailer plate design. Please contact DMV as referenced below for more details on small trailer plates.

The department has the right to refuse any combination of letters and/or numbers that may carry connotations offensive to good taste and decency, or which would be misleading, or in conflict with any license plate series that has been issued.

The Department will reject requests for any personalized license plate that:

- Expresses contempt, ridicule, or superiority of race, ethnic heritage, religion, gender, or political affiliation.
- Contains any connotation that is sexual, vulgar, derogatory, profane, or obscene.
- Contains a direct or indirect reference to drug or drug paraphernalia, or a gang.
- Makes a defamatory reference to a person or group.
- Is determined by the department to be inappropriate.



Ordering Special Personalized Plates online is currently unavailable. You may select one of the forms below to order your Special Personalized Plates or see also <u>How to Order</u> Custom Plates.

Application sp66 - Personalized Plate Application sp45 - Circa 1982 Replica Plate Application sp41 - Professional Firefighters Application sp62 - Volunteer Firefighters Application sp49 - Masons Application sp33 - Veterans



Please allow 4 to 6 weeks for your personalized license plate to be manufactured.

You must have Acrobat Reader software to view and print PDF forms. It's free and easy to install. See Forms Help or Download Reader.

DMV online services are normally available:

Monday: Tuesday - Friday: 24 Hours. From 2:00 am to midnight. Nevada DMV Personalized License Plate Availability Search

Saturday: Sunday & Holidays:

From 2:00 am to 8:00 pm. From midnight to 9:00 pm.

This schedule may vary due to maintenance.

• Contact Us

 Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles

 555 Wright Way
 555 Wright Way

 Carson City, NV 89711-0725
 E-mail: info@dmv.nv.gov

 Call a customer service representative:
 486-4DMV (702) 486-4368

 Las Vegas Area
 486-4DMV (702) 486-4368

 Reno/Sparks/Carson City
 684-4DMV (775) 684-4368

 Rural Nevada
 1-877-368-7828

 Fax
 (775) 684-4992

 TDD (Hearing Impaired Only)
 (775) 684-4904

DMV Home Page | Online Services | State of Nevada Home Page

EXHIBIT D



Personalized License Plate Availability Search

Please read the following information before you begin:

Combinations **MAY NOT** exceed the number of positions, including spaces, designed for each Specialty License Plate. Symbols and punctuation marks **MAY NOT** be used.

For the most part, Specialty License Plates are available for passenger and light duty vehicles only. Specialty License Plates are not available for motorcycles. In some instances a Specialty License Plate may be manufactured in the small trailer plate design. Please contact DMV as referenced below for more details on small trailer plates.

The department has the right to refuse any combination of letters and/or numbers that may carry connotations offensive to good taste and decency, or which would be misleading, or in conflict with any license plate series that has been issued.

The Department will reject requests for any personalized license plate that:

- Expresses contempt, ridicule, or superiority of race, ethnic heritage, religion, gender, or political affiliation.
- Contains any connotation that is sexual, vulgar, derogatory, profane, or obscene.
- Contains a direct or indirect reference to drug or drug paraphernalia, or a gang.
- Makes a defamatory reference to a person or group.
- Is determined by the department to be inappropriate.



Ordering Special Personalized Plates online is currently unavailable. You may select one of the forms below to order your Special Personalized Plates or see also <u>How to Order</u> Custom Plates.

Application sp66 - Personalized Plate Application sp45 - Circa 1982 Replica Plate Application sp41 - Professional Firefighters Application sp62 - Volunteer Firefighters Application sp49 - Masons Application sp33 - Veterans



Please allow 4 to 6 weeks for your personalized license plate to be manufactured.

You must have Acrobat Reader software to view and print PDF forms. It's free and easy to install. See Forms Help or Download Reader.

DMV online services are normally available:

Monday: Tuesday - Friday: Saturday: 24 Hours. From 2:00 am to midnight. From 2:00 am to 8:00 pm.

https://dmvapp.state.nv.us/PlateAV/PlateAV Input.aspx

Nevada DMV Personalized License Plate Availability Search



Personalized License Plate Availability Search

Please read the following information before you begin:

Combinations **MAY NOT** exceed the number of positions, including spaces, designed for each Specialty License Plate. Symbols and punctuation marks **MAY NOT** be used.

For the most part, Specialty License Plates are available for passenger and light duty vehicles only. Specialty License Plates are not available for motorcycles. In some instances a Specialty License Plate may be manufactured in the small trailer plate design. Please contact DMV as referenced below for more details on small trailer plates.

The department has the right to refuse any combination of letters and/or numbers that may carry connotations offensive to good taste and decency, or which would be misleading, or in conflict with any license plate series that has been issued.

The Department will reject requests for any personalized license plate that:

- Expresses contempt, ridicule, or superiority of race, ethnic heritage, religion, gender, or political affiliation.
- Contains any connotation that is sexual, vulgar, derogatory, profane, or obscene.
- Contains a direct or indirect reference to drug or drug paraphernalia, or a gang.
- Makes a defamatory reference to a person or group.
- Is determined by the department to be inappropriate.



Ordering Special Personalized Plates online is currently unavailable. You may select one of the forms below to order your Special Personalized Plates or see also <u>How to Order</u> Custom Plates.

Application sp66 - Personalized Plate Application sp45 - Circa 1982 Replica Plate Application sp41 - Professional Firefighters Application sp62 - Volunteer Firefighters Application sp49 - Masons Application sp33 - Veterans



Please allow 4 to 6 weeks for your personalized license plate to be manufactured.

You must have Acrobat Reader software to view and print PDF forms. It's free and easy to install. See Forms Help or Download Reader.

DMV online services are normally available:

Monday: Tuesday - Friday: 24 Hours. From 2:00 am to midnight.



EXHIBIT E

Mar 17 2009 4:01PM THE UPS STORE #0975	7024514809	P.2
		P • C
à ³⁴		
	SSE SSE	NRIGHT WAY
	CARSON CITY	
	s/Carson City (775) 684-	
	as Vegas Area (702) 486– Ivada or Dut of State (87	
		<u>w.dmvnv.com</u>
VEHICLE REGISTRATION RENEWA	L NOTICE	
		ITEMIZED FEES
	1982 REPLICA	
JUNGE WILLIAM CHARLES	REG FEE HOE	\$33.00
5409 CONTERA CT	BASIC GOV SVCS TAX	\$26.00
LAS VEGAS NV 89120-2062	SUPL GOV SYCS TAX	\$6.00
	PERSONALIZED	\$20.00
SHOG-EMISSIONS CHECK - REQUIRED	TOTAL FEES	\$85.00
RENEWING IS AS EASY A	S 1, 2 OR 3	
Web and Phone Options Not Available for Diesel Veh		
1. THE WEB You're just a click away from being first in line at <u>www.dmvnv.com</u> . Use your access code and credit card to renew 2. CALL US in Reno/Sparks/Carson Rural Nevada. (877) 368-7829. Use your access of 3. EH L OUT	City: (776) 684-4DMV (4338); Las Vegas area; ode and just follow the voice prompts	(702) 486-4DMV(4368)
on the web. Follow the step-by-step instructions. 3. FILL OUT and return the bottom p	an lon of this form with eithe a check or money	order for the proper
Use your access code and credit card to renew on the web. Follow the step-by-step instructions. ACCESS CODE: 33530506444347 3. FILL OUT and return the bottom pr amount or complete and enclose the credit card for Emission Control Certificate f applicable. MAKE of your check or money order. Renewal decas will be	m below. Please INCLUDE any tax exemption heck payable to DVV and write your vehicle list	authorization and
ACCESS CODE: 33530506444347 your check or money order. Renewel decess will be	PODTION FOR DERCOMAL DECO	
RETURN BOTTOM PORTION RETAIN TOP	PORTION FOR PERSONAL RECO	
Name (as it appears on the bard)	Phone ()	
Billing Address		
CityState	Zip Code	
Data Distable Assessment Gire	n Here	
Date Plate No Amount \$ SIG CHARGE MY ATM/DEBIT (STAR, NYCE, PULSE) VISA MASTERCARD AMERICAN		
	EXPIRES:	
I understand and agree that by checking ATM/DEBIT, I am authorizing the DMV to debit my ecoount for the that if the ATM/DEB T transaction fails or is declined, I am authorizing the DMV to complete the transaction	amount specified above. Further, Lunderstand as a wordt sand phange # phasihip.	l and agree
	Mandatory Insurance Verific	
VEHICLE INFORMATION - 1982 REPLICA LICENSE NO: HOE EXPIRES: 04-10-2009	er NRS 485.185, every owner of	
VTN NO: 3GNEC18EXXG160826 VE/MAKE: 1999 CHEV	egistered or required to be re	-
MODEL - C1500 TABOE TYPE, UT CYL. 08	tate, shall continuously provi in insurance company licensed t	
FUEL: G 2 WGT: 5999 MRP: 536755	n insurance company licensed t evada. Trailers are exempt.	n constants in
COUNTY BASED IN: CLARK		
- ITEMIZED FEES - REG FEE \$33.00	hanged? If so, show correct a	ddress below.
GOV SER TAX \$26.00 PERSONALIZED \$20.00	SMOG-EMISSIONS CHECK -	REQUIRED
SUP GS TAX \$6.00 TOTAL FEES \$85.00	JUNGE WILLIAM CHARLES	
	5409 CONTERA CT	•
CENTRAL SERVICES & RECORDS DIVISION	LAS VEGAS NV 89120-2062	· · ·
555 WRICHT WAY Carson City, NV 89711-0725	• • •	
CHINGH CITT HA CALT ALT		
FORM MGRENN (REV 1 88/07)		