

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SIAOSI VANISI,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 50607

FILED

FEB 16 2010

ORDER

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

This case is currently scheduled for oral argument on March 1, 2010, at 10:00 a.m.

Although counsel should be prepared to address any of the issues raised in this appeal, counsel should be prepared specifically to address: (1) whether the district court erred in concluding that the jury's consideration of an aggravator that is invalid under McConnell v. State, 120 Nev. 1043, 102 P.3d 606 (2004) was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt; (2) whether the district court erred in rejecting appellant's claims that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance; and (3) whether the district court erred in finding appellant competent to proceed with the post-conviction petition, including whether a petitioner must be competent in order to proceed with a post-conviction petition, the standard for determining competency to proceed with a post-conviction petition, and the appropriate remedy or process to be used when a petitioner is found to be incompetent to proceed with a post-conviction petition.

It is so ORDERED.

Parragon, C.J.

cc: Scott W. Edwards
Law Office of Thomas L. Qualls, Ltd.
Attorney General/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney