IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 FILED 3 4 JAN 2 4 2008 5 KENNETH COUNTS. die K. Lindeman **XF. Gurt**eme Court 6 Petitioner, DEPUTY CLERK 7 VS. 8 THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT JUDGE Case No. 50939 9 Dist. Ct. No. C212667 10 11 Respondent, 12 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 13 Real Party in Interest. 14 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS 15 KRISTINA WILDEVELD KRISTINA WILDEVELD, LTD. Nevada Bar # 005825 16 DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney 17 Nevada Bar #002781 Clark County Courthouse 200 South Third Street, Suite 701 Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 1100 South 10th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 18 (702) 257-9500 19 (702) 455-4711 20 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO 21 Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar No. 003926 22 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 23 (775) 684-1265 24 JAN 2 4 2008 25 TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK

Counsel for Petitioner

26

27

28

Counsel for Respondent

I:\APPELLAT\WPDOCS\SBCRETARY\MOTIONS\STAY PROCEEDINGS\COUNTS,KENNETH-OPP STAY D.CT.PROCEEDINGS.DOC

KENNETH COUNTS,

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Petitioner,
vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN
AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND
THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR,
DISTRICT JUDGE
Respondent,
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest

Case No.

Dist. Ct. No. C212667

STATE'S OPPOSITION TO EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STAY OF PROCEEDINGS

On January 23, 2008, undersigned counsel received a copy of an emergency motion for stay of proceedings styled with an unusual caption. The State, by and through DAVID ROGER, District Attorney and his representative, Deputy District Attorney NANCY A. BECKER does hereby oppose the motion for stay of the capital trial below currently set to commence on January 29, 2008.

This is the tenth trial setting for Kenneth Counts on this two and half year old murder case with prior trial dates having been set and vacated for August 29, 2005, October 6, 2005, July 26, 2006, December 7, 2006, April 16, 2007, April 23, 2007, June 4, 2007, June 26, 2007, and August 28, 2007. Counts has waited and carefully timed the filing of his motion to this Court specifically to undermine the legitimate

Defendant's counsel has incorrectly named himself as the Petitioner and his client as the real party in interest.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

efforts of the district court judge and the prosecution to get this matter to trial. The issues raised by Counts, namely the adequacy of the aggravating circumstances, are capable of review on direct appeal.

The State filed the original notice of intent to seek death penalty against Kenneth Counts on July 6, 2005. Counts waited over two years before challenging the language of the aggravating circumstances. Moreover, Counts's co-defendants sought similar relief from this Court following a denial of their motions to strike aggravating circumstances in 2006. See Luis Hidalgo, III and Anabel Espindola, Case Counts could have raised his issues at that time and taken a writ of No. 48233. mandamus as did his co-defendants. Instead, Counts only sought to strike the Notice of Intent on Apprendi grounds in July, 2006 and April, 2007.

The fact that Counts did not raise this issues at an earlier date and instead waited another year and a half to raise his claims in district court and then file the instant motion for stay with this Court on the eve of trial, belies any claim that there is an "emergency" need for consideration of his claims. Writs of mandamus are subject to the doctrine of laches which precludes consideration of his claim at this point. Buckholt v. Second Judicial Dist. Ct., 94 Nev. 631, 584 P.2d 672 (1978) (overruled on other grounds).

As to the language of the first enumerated aggravator, "under sentence of imprisonment," Counts asserted two reasons below, and in his Petition before this Court, for striking that aggravator: (1) it is facially invalid as it applied to any crime, including non-violent crimes such as possession of a controlled substance, and therefore does not perform a narrowing function; and (2) there is a possibility Counts completed his probation and was not under sentence of imprisonment at the time of the murder of Timothy Hadland.

The Legislature could have restricted the "sentence of imprisonment" aggravator to certain types of crimes, but chose not to do so. It is not ambiguous and it does perform a narrowing function because it relates to a defendant's background.

² The Notice was mistakenly titled notice of evidence.

Allegations that there is insufficient evidence to support the aggravator should not support a motion to strike, as this is a matter left to the jury. Counts claims the State has no evidence that he was under a sentence of imprisonment, however the record and the notice of evidence in support demonstrate that Counts' never completed his probation, has an outstanding bench warrant, and is thus still under a sentence of imprisonment.

The arguments given in the Petition do not demonstrate a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits, which, combined with the untimely nature of the challenge, should weigh in favor of denying the stay.

As to the second "pecuniary gain" aggravator, Counts failed to join in or file any motion to strike this aggravator until after this Court issued its ruling in <u>Hidalgo v. District Court</u>, 123 Nev.Adv.Op. 59 (2007) (Petition for Rehearing pending). More importantly, as Counts was the shooter and the notice clearly indicated he received money for killing Hadland, the problems that this Court addressed in <u>Hidalgo</u> do not apply to Counts and this case is factually distinguishable from <u>Hidalgo</u>. In addition, the State has filed a new Notice of Intent² reiterating that Counts was paid \$6,000 to kill Hadland as well as a detailed statement of the facts surrounding the decision made by individuals affiliated with the Palomino Club to kill Hadland for a price and to stop him from interfering with the Club's customers causing the Club to lose money. Thus the notice issues of concern to the Court in <u>Hidalgo</u> do not exist in this case. Moreover, the facts and evidence have been known to Counts for almost two years, so the short continuance date, from January 10 until January 28th poses no prejudice to Counts. Again these factors weigh against granting the stay.

Finally, Counts argues that the State has an ulterior motive for maintaining the death penalty in this case, it wishes to place Counts in a position to negotiate by providing testimony against Luis Hidalgo, Jr., owner of the Palomino Club. This

ignores the fact that Counts is the classic example of the killer for which the death penalty was designed, a killer for hire. Of course the State intends to pursue the death penalty against him. For the above reasons the request for a stay should be denied. Dated this 24th day of January, 2008. **DAVID ROGER** Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 002781 BY Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #000145 Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 1 I hereby certify and affirm that I mailed and faxed a copy of the foregoing 2 State's Opposition to Emergency Motion for Stay of Proceedings to the attorney of 3 record listed below on 24th day of January, 2008. 4 5 Kristina Wildeveld Kristina Wildeveld Ltd. 6 1100 South 10th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 7 8 9 10 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify and affirm that on 24th day of January 2008, a copy of the 11 foregoing State's Opposition to Emergency Motion or Stay of Proceedings was served 12 via facsimile on: 13 14 Valerie Adair 15 Department XXI Clark County Courthouse 16 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 17 Fax Number: 671-4451 18 19 20 21 District Attorney's Office 22 23 24 25 26 27 BECKER/english 28

I:\APPELLAT\WPDOCS\SECRETARY\MOTIONS\STAY PROCEEDINGS\COUNTS,KENNETH-OPP STAY D.CT.FROCEEDINGS.DOC



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY **CRIMINAL APPEALS UNIT**

DAVID ROGER

District Attorney

CHRISTOPHER J. LALLI Assistant District Attorney

ROBERT W. TEUTON Assistant District Attorney

MARY-ANNE MILLER County Counsel

STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy

> **NANCY BECKER** Chief Deputy

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Fax No. (702) 382-5815

Telephone No. (702) 671-2750

TO:

The Nevada Supreme Court

FAX#:

775 684-1601

FROM:

Deputy District Attorney Nancy A. Becker

SUBJECT: Kenneth Counts – C212667

DATE:

January 24, 2008

State's Opposition to Emergency Motion for Stay of Proceedings

NO. OF PAGES, EXCLUDING COVER PAGE: ____5_ Please call (702) 671-2750 if there are any problems with transmission