# Exhibit "4"

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL A. CARRIGAN	)
	)
Appellant,	)
	)
vs.	)
	)
THE COMMISSION ON	)
ETHICS OF THE STATE OF	)
NEVADA	)
	)
Defendant.	)
	)

Case No. 51920 Dept. No. Docket No.

## APPLICATION FOR WAIVER OF FEE PURSUANT TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT RULE 42(3)(e)

Jeremy	Charles	Marwell	_, Petitioner, respectfully requests that, pursuant
First	Middle Name	Last	

to SCR 42(3)(e), the Court waive the application fee for the following reason(s):

\_\_\_\_\_ Petitioner is providing *pro bono* services in a death penalty habeas corpus case; or

<u>X</u> Petitioner is providing *pro bono* services in similar circumstances that warrant waiver of the application fee. The facts which support this request are as follows: <u>Petitioner is providing *pro bono* legal representation for the Commission on Ethics of the State of Nevada in the above-captioned matter, which involves a constitutional challenge to the State of Nevada's recusal statute, Nev. Rev. Stat. 281A.420 (2007). Petitoner's law firm has performed well over 300 hours of legal work on this matter at no cost to the Commission. The Commission is an agency of the State of Nevada. Upon information and belief, the Commission presently has no resources allocated for litigation, particularly litigation of this nature, which for the past several years has occupied a large percentage of the time of the Commission's professional staff. Upon information and belief, the Commission is currently operating</u>

on the same budget and staff level provided in its 2005-2006 budget, but now handles more than twice its 2006 caseload. Upon information and belief, without a fee waiver, the Commission will need to repurpose already scarce funds allocated for other agency operations, or include this fee in a request for supplemental funding, either of which involves hearings and bureaucratic processes, whose outcome is not guaranteed, and in any event may not be concluded by the time of briefing and argument in this case before the Nevada Supreme Court; and the expenditure of funds before authorization is disfavored. Upon information and belief, courts regularly waive attorney admission fees for Government entities in other contexts.

### (If necessary, please attach additional pages)

I, <u>Jeremy C. Marwell</u>, do hereby swear/affirm under penalty of perjury that I am the Petitioner in the above entitled matter; that I have read the foregoing Waiver of Fees and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to the matter I believe them to be true.

DATED this <u>3rd</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2011

Petitioner/Affiant

DISTRICT OF COULMBIA STATE OF ) ss COUNTY OF

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 3rd day of Augu

Notary Public UNDA M. SHEFFIELD Motary Public, District of Columbia My Commission Expires May 31, 2016

# Exhibit "3"

1	STAT			
2				
3	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
4	Case No. 51920			
5				
6	Michael A. Carrigan			
7	vs.			
8	The Commission on Ethics			
9	of the State of Nevada			
10	/			
11	STATE BAR OF NEVADA STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SUPREME COURT RULE			
12	<u>42(3)(b)</u>			
13	THE STATE BAR OF NEVADA, in response to the application of			
14	Petitioner, submits the following statement pursuant to SCR42(3):			
15	SCR42(6) <b>Discretion</b> . The granting or denial of a motion to associate			
16	counsel pursuant to this rule by the court is discretionary. The court, arbitrator, mediator, or administrative or governmental			
17	hearing officer may revoke the authority of the person permitted to appear under this rule. Absent special circumstances, repeated			
18	appearances by any person or firm of attorneys pursuant to this rule shall be cause for denial of the motion to associate such person.			
19	(a) Limitation. It shall be presumed, absent special			
20	circumstances, and only upon showing of good cause, that more than 5 appearances by any attorney granted under			
21	this rule in a 3-year period is excessive use of this			
22	rule. (b) <b>Burden on applicant</b> . The applicant shall have the			
23	burden to establish special circumstances and good cause for an appearance in excess of the limitation set forth			
24	in subsection 6(a) of this rule. The applicant shall set forth the special circumstances and good cause in an			
25	affidavit attached to the original verified application.			
26	1. DATE OF APPLICATION: <u>8/5/2011</u>			
27	2. APPLYING ATTORNEY: Jeremy Charles Marwell, Esq.			
28	3. FIRM NAME AND ADDRESS: <u>Vinson &amp; Elkins, LLP, 2200 Pennsylvania</u> Avenue, NW, Suite 500 West, Washington, DC 20037			

1	4. NEVADA COUNSEL OF RECORD: Yvonne Marie Nevarez-Goodson, Esq. ,
2	P.O. Box 34012, Reno, NV 89533
3	5. There is no record of previous applications for appearance by petitioner within the past three (3) years.
4	
5	
6	DATED this August 12, 2011
7	AL
8	Mary Jorgensen
9	Member Services Coordinator Pro Hac Vice Processor
10	STATE BAR OF NEVADA
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

# Exhibit "2"



District of Columbia Court of Appeals Committee on Admissions 430 F Street, N.W. — Room 123 Washington, D. C. 20001 202/879-2710

I, JULIO A. CASTILLO, Clerk of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, do hereby certify that

JEREMY CHARLES MARWELL

was on the <u>11<sup>TH</sup></u> day of <u>APRIL, 2011</u> duly qualified and admitted as an attorney and counselor and entitled to practice before this Court and is, on the date indicated below, an active member in good standing of this Bar.

> In Testimony Whereof, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of this Court at the City of Washington, D.C., on July 15, 2011.

JULIO A. CASTILLO Clerk of the Court

By: \_ Clerk

## Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York First Indicial Department

I, Susanna Rojas, Clerk of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, First Iudicial Department, certify that

## JEREMY CHARLES MARWELL

was duly licensed and admitted to practice as an Attorney and Counsellor at Haw in all the courts of the State of New York on **June 12, 2008**, has duly taken and subscribed the oath of office prescribed by law, has been enrolled in the Roll of Attorneys and Counsellors at Haw on file in my office, has duly registered with the administrative office of the courts, and according to the records of this court is in good standing as an attorney and counsellor at law.

> In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this court on

> > July 22, 2011

SumuRp

**Alerk** of the Court

2379

# Exhibit "1"

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL A. CARI	RIGAN, )	No. 51	920			
Appell	) ant, ) )					
v.	)	VERIFI	ED APPLIC	CATION FO	R	
	)	ASSOC	IATION OF	F COUNSEL	UNDER	
THE COMMISSION	ION )	<u>NEVAI</u>	DA SUPREN	ME COURT	<u>RULE 42</u>	
ETHICS OF THE ST	FATE OF )					
NEVADA	)					
Respon	) )					
Respon						
	)					
Jeremy	C.	]	Marwell	, Pet	itioner, respectful	ly represents:
First	Middle Name		Last		, <b>1</b>	<b>J</b>
	1. e					
1. Petitioner resides	at 3930 Co	nnecticu	t Avenue, N	W, Apt. 304	-H	
		5	Street Addre	ess		
Washington			_,		, <u></u>	
City			Cour	nty	State	
20008 , (	202) 237-0838					
Zip Code	Telepho	ne				
-	-					
		•			4	
2. Petitioner is a	n attorney at lav	v and a m	ember of th	e law firm o	f	
Vinson & Ell	kins, LLP					
with offices at <u>220</u>		Avenue,	NW, Suite	500 West		
	Street Address					
Washington						DC
City	i hie ti	ъ.	.,Cou	ntv	,,	State
City			Cou	lity		Blait
6						
20037	, (202) 639-650	7		jmarwell(	@velaw.com	
Zip	Telephone				Email	

3. Petitioner has been retained personally or as a member of the above named law firm by <u>Respondent the Nevada Commission on Ethics</u> to provide legal representation in connection with the above-entitled matter now pending before the above referenced court.

4. Since <u>April 11</u> of <u>2011</u>, petitioner has been, and presently is, a member of good standing of the bar of the highest court of the <u>District of Columbia</u> where petitioner regularly practices law.

5. Petitioner was admitted to practice before the following United States District Courts, United States Circuit Courts of Appeal, the Supreme Court of the United States, and/or courts of other states on the dates indicated for each, and is presently a member in good standing of the bars of said Courts:

#### DATE ADMITTED

New York	6/12/2008
U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit	1/18/2011

6. Petitioner is not currently suspended or disbarred in any court except as hereinafter provided
(Give particulars; e.g., Court, jurisdiction, date): N/A

7. Petitioner is not currently subject to any disciplinary proceedings by any organization with authority at law except as hereinafter provided (give particulars, e.g. court, discipline authority, date, status): N/A

8. Petitioner has never received public discipline including, but not limited to, suspension or disbarment, by any organization with authority to discipline attorneys at law except as hereinafter

provided (give particulars, e.g. court, discipline authority, date, status): <u>N/A</u>

9. Petitioner has never had any certificate or privilege to appear and practice before any regulatory administrative body suspended or revoked except as hereinafter provided (give particulars, e.g. date, administrative body, date of suspension or reinstatement): <u>N/A</u>

10. Petitioner, either by resignation, withdrawal, or otherwise, has never terminated or attempted to terminate Petitioner's office as an attorney in order to avoid administrative, disciplinary, disbarment, or suspension proceedings except as hereinafter provided; <u>N/A</u>

11. Petitioner has filed the following application(s) to appear as counsel under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 during the past three (3) years in the following matters: (do not include Federal Pro Hacs)

Date of Application	Cause	Title of Court Administrative Body <u>or Arbitrator</u>	Was Application Granted or <u>Denied</u>
No prior application	ons.		

(If necessary, please attach a statement of additional applications)

12. Nevada Counsel of Record for Petition in this matter is: (must be the same as the signature on the Nevada Counsel consent page)

Yvonne	М.	Nevarez-Goodson	8474
First Name	Middle Name	Last Name	Bar #
who has offices at <u>The Nevada Comm</u> Firm		ssion on Ethics Name/Company	,
<u>704 West Nye Lane</u> Street Address	e, Suite 204	<u>, Carson City</u> City	<u>, Carson City</u> , County
<u>89703</u> Zip Code	, <u>(775) 687-5469</u> Phone	Number	
13. The following accurately represents the names and addresses of each party in this matter,			

WHETHER OR NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, and the names and addresses of each counsel of record who appeared for said parties:

NAME	MAILING ADDRESSS
Michael A. Carrigan, Appellant	3393 Alpland Lane, Sparks, NV 89434
Chester H. Adams, Douglas R. Thornley (Counsel for Appellant)	431 Prater Way, Sparks, NV 89432
John W. Griffin, Matthew M. Griffin (Counsel for Appellant)	1400 South Virginia St., Suite A, Reno NV 89502
Mark S. Davies, Rachel M. McKenzie (Counsel for Appellant)	1152 15th Street, NW, Washington DC 20005
The Nevada Commission on Ethics, Respondent	704 W. Nye Lane, Suite 204, Carson City, NV 89703
Yvonne M. Nevarez-Goodson (Counsel for Respondent)	(same as for Commission)
14. Petitioner agrees to comply with the provisions of	Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42(3) and (13)

and Petitioner consents to the jurisdiction of the courts and disciplinary boards of the State of Nevada in accordance with provisions as set forth in SCR 42(3) and (13). Petitioner respectfully requests that Petitioner be admitted to practice in the above-entitled court FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS MATTER ONLY.

15. Petitioner has disclosed in writing to the client that the applicant is not admitted to practice in this jurisdiction and that the client has consented to such representation.

I, <u>Jeremy C. Marwell</u>, do hereby swear/affirm under penalty of perjury that the assertions of this application are true:

That I am the Petitioner in the above entitled matter; that I have read the foregoing and know the contents thereof; that the same is true of my own knowledge except as to those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to the matter I believe them to be true.

That I further certify that I am subject to the jurisdiction of the Courts and disciplinary boards of this state with respect to the law of this state governing the conduct of attorneys to the same extent as a member of the State Bar of Nevada; that I understand and shall comply with the standards of professional conduct required by members of the State Bar of Nevada; and that I am subject to the disciplinary jurisdiction to the State Bar of Nevada with respect to any of my actions occurring in the course of such appearance.

DATED this 27th day of July 2011

Petitioner/Affiant If this signature is not in <u>blue ink</u>, you have a copy.



DISTRICT OF COLUM	BIA
STATE OF	)
	) ss
COUNTY OF	)

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this 27 \_, 20 // dav of Notary Public

LINDA M. SHEFFIELD Notary Public, District of Columbia My Commission Expires May 31, 2016 I Would M. Neulez - Gardson hereby consent as Nevada Counsel of Record to the Print NV Counsel name

designation of Petitioner to associate in this cause pursuant to SCR 42.

DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of <u>August</u>, 20\_11\_\_\_

Counsel of Record If this signature is not in <u>blue ink</u>, you have a copy.

STATE OF MULLA ) ss COUNTY OF Carsonlite

Subscribed and sworn to before me

day of HUQU this 4 Liev INDOL EC

Notary Public



1	IN THE SUDDEME COUDT OF	THE STATE OF NEWADA		
2	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
3		Electronically Filed		
4 5	MICHAEL A. CARRIGAN, Fourth Ward City Council Member of the City of	Docket No. 51929 22 2011 04:58 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court		
6	Sparks,			
7	Appellant,	MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL		
8				
9	VS.			
10	THE COMMISSION ON ETHICS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
11				
12	Respondent.			
13	/			
14	Respondent, Commission on Ethics of the State of Nevada, hereby moves this			
15	Court for an order permitting Jeremy C. Marwell, Esq., to practice in Nevada pursuant to			
16	Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 (SCR42). This motion is supported by the attached			
17	"Verified Application for Association of Counsel" (Exhibit 1); "Certificates of Good			
18	Standing" from Washington D. C. and New York (Exhibit 2); "State Bar of Nevada			
19	Statement" (Exhibit 3); and "Application for Waiver of Fee Pursuant to Nevada Supreme			
20	Court Rule 42(3)(e)" (Exhibit 4).			
21	Dated this 22nd day of August, 2011.			
22				
23		/s/ Yvonne M. Nevarez-Goodson, Esq.		
24		vonne M. Nevarez-Goodson, Esq.		
25		evada Bar No. 8474 evada Commission on Ethics		
26		04 W. Nye Lane, Suite 204		
27		arson City, Nevada 89703 elephone: (775) 687-5469		
28		ttorney for Respondent		
	1			
		Docket 51920 Document 2011-25560		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2			
3	Pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I hereby		
4	certify that on this 22nd day of August, 2011, a true and correct copy of the Motion to		
5	Associate Counsel, was electronically served pursuant to Rule 9 of the Nevada		
6	Electronic Filing Rules on the following:		
7	Matthew M. Griffin THE CAPITOL COMPANY		
8	1400 South Virginia Street Suite A		
9	Reno, NV 89502		
10	And a true and correct copy of the foregoing Response was served by U. S. Mail, prepaid,		
11	on the following:		
12			
13	Mark S. Davies Rachel M. McKenzie		
14	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP Columbia Center		
15	1152 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005		
16			
17	Dated: August 22, 2011		
18	/s/ Yvonne Nevarez-Goodson		
19	Counsel for the Nevada Commission		
20	on Ethics		
21			
22 23			
23 24			
24 25			
25 26			
20			
28			
1			