

State of Nevada CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS BOARD

500 North Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107 Phone: 702-448-8140 Fax: 702-448-8141

Email: NVCCR@aol.com Website: www.crptr.state.nv.us

MARY CAMERON - Chairperson SEVERIN CARLSON, Esq. - Vice Chairman LORI JUDD - Board Member DIANE KUMAR - Board Member DENISE PHIPPS - Board Member

FILED

DEC 3 0 2008

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK)

December 24, 2008

Supreme Court of the State of Nevada 201 South Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Subject:

Supreme Court of the State of Nevada – Case No. #50898, #51577, #52104
William K. Nelson, Certified Court Reporter, #191

To whom it may concern:

The Nevada Certified Court Reporters Board is the regulatory agency, duly empowered to license, supervise and regulate the conduct and practice of court reporting in the state of Nevada. The authority for the Board is the Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 656 and the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 656.

As a result of the allegations made by the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, case #50898, #51577 and #52104 against certified court reporter, William K. Nelson, #191 the Board conducted an investigation. The Board is in receipt of Mr. Nelson's response, a copy is enclosed for your files. Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 656 of the NRS and NAC, the Investigating Board Member of the Nevada Certified Court Reporters Board has determined that these matters have been resolved and no further action is required.

If there are additional matters to be addressed or you require further information, please contact our office.

Thank you very much.

Respectfully.

Debbie Uehara Executive Secretary



State of Nevada Certified Court Reporters Board 500 North Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89107

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing you in response to letters that I received from you concerning certain cases presently in the Nevada Supreme Court and alleged violations against me concerning said cases. I will give you my response in the order in which I received them

In LaMarr Rowell -vs.- The State of Nevada. The first notice I received from the court was file stamped August 21, 2008 (also stamped August 28, 2008), which is attached. If there were other notices mailed to me, they never reached me. It is possible that they didn't get to me because I moved to a new address in April, and for some reason a lot of my mail didn't reach me, even though I did put in a change of address form with the U.S. Postal Service. Be that as it may, when I did finally receive a notice, I immediately started working on the transcript and filed it on August 27th, six days later, and a copy of the certificate of delivery is attached. Although, according to my certificate of delivery I mistakenly put that it was filed August 26, and then realized it was the 27th, so I made a correction in pen to the 27th, which can be confirmed by checking with the District Court clerk's office as to when it was filed. As far as the order conditionally imposing sanctions is concerned, the court stated at that time I would have 15 days from the date of the order to comply, and any sanctions would be automatically waived if I did. Therefore, the six days it took me to file the transcript falls within the 15-day period they allowed. So it seems to me that any sanctions have been waived.

In Vanisacker -vs.- Daimler Chrysler. On June 6, 2008 the Supreme Court sent me a notice to file a certificate of delivery for a transcript that was never ordered by the attorneys on the case, which is attached. Being unable to file a certificate of delivery on a transcript that was never ordered or delivered, on August 29, 2008 the court entered an order conditionally imposing sanctions on me for not providing a transcript of said trial or a certificate of delivery of said transcript, also attached. Now being extremely upset with being brought into this fiasco, on September 2, 2008 I responded in a letter, which is attached, that I cannot file a transcript or a certificate of delivery because the attorneys involved never ordered the transcript, nor was the required deposit ever paid to me. On December 3, 2008 the court sent me an order regarding transcripts, which is attached, acknowledging that the transcripts were never ordered, no deposits were ever paid, and that any sanctions imposed are waived, and no action will be taken on any other relief that may be requested. Therefore, it seems to me that any sanctions on this case have also been waived.

In Delarian K. Wilson -vs.- The State of Nevada. The court mistakenly got me involved in this case and wanted to impose sanctions against me, even though at a later date they realized that I wasn't even the court reporter involved in the hearings they requested. The request for transcript was sent on September 10, 2008 to J & B Nelson, which there is no such person or entity, a copy is attached. On October 21, 2008 the Supreme Court sent me a notice to file a certificate of delivery within 10 days after the transcript was delivered, also attached. However, even though they never asked for it, another mistake on their part, I did provide a transcript of Mr. Wilson's sentencing that I was the court reporter on and filed it on November 12, 2008 and also filed a certificate of delivery on the same date, attached. So being that I wasn't the court reporter on the dates they requested, I couldn't file a transcript or a certificate of deliver for something that I wasn't even involved in. The real court reporters involved were Jackie Jennelle and Lee Bahr. On November 17, 2008 the Supreme Court issued an order acknowledging that upon further review they realized the court reporters were Jackie Jennelle and Lee Bahr, thereby relieving me of any sanctions that could have been imposed upon me, attached also.

This is not the first time I have had to deal with the incompetence of attorneys or court employees. There was a case a few months ago (Julio Pavon -vs.- The State of Nevada) where I actually paid the Supreme Court Law Library a sanctioning fee that I didn't even owe, in which they once again had the wrong court reporter involved, it was Jackie Jennelle and Lee Bahr once again, and the court clerk put down the wrong name on her calendar, which she acknowledged later and made a correction, but they still wanted me to pay a sanctioning fee, so out of frustration I just sent them a check to get them off my back. I can send you the paperwork on that case too should you like to see it.

I am really getting frustrated at having to answer to the Supreme Court's demands, and now the court reporters board, when they don't even have the facts correct, and/or I am not even the right person they are making demands of. I am an extremely busy man and don't have time to waste on this unnecessary correspondence. There have been many other instances of attorney and/or court employee incompetence, and I don't appreciate being the scapegoat each time for everybody else's mistakes. I do my job to the best of my ability and have done so for the past 31 years.

I really think that the Supreme Court and the court reporters board should take a closer look at the attorneys involved in these cases, along with their own court employees, before always going after the court reporter and making threats to suspend or take away our license to make a living. If anybody's incompetence, other than my own, results in my court reporter license being suspended or revoked, thereby preventing me from making a living, I can assure you I will go straight to my attorney and file a legal action against all concerned.

Hopefully, this should clear everything up concerning these matters. If there is anything else you need from me, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, Buil Muc

Bill Nelson, CCR #191 Certified Court Reporter Zbillnelson@aol.com

Office - 702.360.4677

Cell - 702.302.2156

Fax - 702.360.2844