

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT SCOTLUND VAILE,
Appellant,
vs.
CISILIE A. PORSBOLL F/K/A CISILIE
A. VAILE,
Respondent.

No. 52457

FILED

OCT 13 2008

TRAVIS W. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from district court orders denying several motions regarding child support. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Cheryl B. Moss, Judge.

Our review of the documents transmitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, the orders appealed from are not substantively appealable.

In this case, the district court's March 20, 2008, order amending the January 15, 2008, order and the August 15, 2008, order concerning the order for hearing held June 11, 2008, both indicate that additional documentation must be supplied and that the district court contemplates further review and determination of the child support amounts. Orders that are subject to review and modification by the

district court are temporary orders that may not be appealed.¹
Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.²

Hardesty, J.
Hardesty

Parraguirre, J.
Parraguirre

Douglas, J.
Douglas

cc: Hon. Cheryl B. Moss, District Judge, Family Court Division
Robert Scotlund Vaile
Willick Law Group
Eighth District Court Clerk

¹See In re Temporary Custody of Five Minors, 105 Nev. 441, 777 P.2d 901 (1989) (holding that no appeal may be taken from a temporary order subject to periodic mandatory review); Sugarman Co. v. Morse Bros., 50 Nev. 191, 255 P. 1010 (1927) (indicating that no appeal may be taken from a temporary restraining order); see also NRAP 3A(b)(2).

²On September 22, 2008, appellant was issued a notice to pay the filing fee required by NRS 2.250. To date, appellant has still not paid the filing fee. Appellant's failure to pay the filing fee constitutes an independent basis for dismissing this appeal.