## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAIMON MONROE A/K/A DAIMON DEVI HOYT, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 52788

FILED

AUG 2 6 2009

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Respondent has filed a motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief. Cause appearing, we grant the motion. NRAP 31(a)(1). Respondent shall have until September 16, 2009, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extreme and unforeseeable circumstances. Counsel's caseload will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sardesty, C.J

cc: Law Offices of Martin Hart, LLC
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A