IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

28

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Appellant,

٧.

GILBERT P. HYATT,

Respondent

Supreme Court Case No. 53264

District Court Case No.: A382999

Notice of Appeal Filed March 4, 2009

MOTION FIRST POISON TO THE MOTION FOR THE STATE OF THE FOR MOTION FOR THE FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

Mark A. Hutchison, Nevada Bar No. 4639 Michael K. Wall, Nevada Bar No. 2098 Hutchison & Steffen 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: (702) 385-2500 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Peter C. Bernhard, Nevada Bar No. 734
Kaempfer Crowell Renshaw
Gronauer & Fiorentino
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
Seventh Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 792-7000
Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

Donald J. Kula, California Bar No. 144342 Perkins Coie 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Attorneys for Respondent

KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 7th Floor

///

///

///

Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt ("Hyatt"), by and through his attorneys of record, respectfully files this motion for leave to file a limited reply in support of his motion for an order extending the due date for filing Respondent's Answering Brief and in direct response to Appellant Franchise Tax Board of California's Limited Opposition to Hyatt's Motion for an Extension of Time for Responent's (sic) Answering Brief. Hyatt believes that his limited reply should be permitted, first to advise the Court that Hyatt will not oppose future FTB requests for extensions in compliance with NRAP 31 and second to be sure that certain irrelevant allusions

-2-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

in the FTB's Opposition are corrected and not accepted by the Court as accurate speculation about Hyatt. A copy of Hyatt's proposed reply is attached as Exhibit "A", and if the Court grants leave to file it, Hyatt requests that it be deemed filed along with this motion.

DATED: October 22, 2009

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD. MARK A. HUTCHISON Nevada Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL Nevada Bar No. 2098 10080 Alta Drive Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Telephone: (702) 385-2500 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

KAEMPFER CROWELL

RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENMNO

Peter C. Bernhard (734) 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy

Seventh Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

PERKINS COIE DONALD J. KULA California Bar No. 144342 1888 Century Park East **Suite 1700**

Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Attorneys for Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt

KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, 7th Floor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RESPONDENT'S

I hereby certify that I have read this MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE LIMITED

ANSWERING BRIEF, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, and in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED: October 22, 2009.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD.
MARK A. HUTCHISON
Nevada Bar No. 4639
MICHAEL K. WALL
Nevada Bar No. 2098
10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

KAEMPFER CROWELL

RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTING

Peter Č. Bernhard (734) 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy Seventh Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

PERKINS COIE DONALD J. KULA California Bar No. 144342 1888 Century Park East Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721

Attorneys for Respondent, Gilbert P. Hyatt

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

Pursuant to NRAP 25, I certify that I am an employee of KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO and that on this day of October, 2009, I

5

caused the above and foregoing document entitled MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE

LIMITED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR

RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF to be served by the method(s) indicated below:

via U.S. mail, postage prepaid; \mathbf{X} via Federal Express; via hand-delivery; via Facsimile;

upon the following person(s):

to the attorney(s) listed below at the address and/or facsimile number indicated below:

James A. Bradshaw McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 100 West Liberty Street 10th Floor Reno NV 89501

Pat Lundvall McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Robert L. Eisenberg Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno, NV 89519

An employee of Kaempfer Crowell

Renshaw Gronauer & Niorentho

EXHIBIT "A"

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Appellant,

٧.

GILBERT P. HYATT,

Respondent

Supreme Court Case No. 53264

District Court Case No.: A382999

Notice of Appeal Filed March 4, 2009

LIMITED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

Mark A. Hutchison, Nevada Bar No. 4639 Michael K. Wall, Nevada Bar No. 2098 Hutchison & Steffen 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: (702) 385-2500 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Peter C. Bernhard, Nevada Bar No. 734 Kaempfer Crowell Renshaw Gronauer & Fiorentino 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Seventh Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

Donald J. Kula, California Bar No. 144342 Perkins Coie 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Attorneys for Respondent

23

26

27

28

Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt ("Hyatt"), by and through his attorneys of record, respectfully files this limited reply in support of his motion for an order extending the due date for filing Respondent's Answering Brief and in direct response to Appellant Franchise Tax Board of California's Limited Opposition to Hyatt's Motion for an Extension of Time for Responent's (sic) Answering Brief.

REPLY

Appellant filed what it termed a "Limited Opposition" to Hyatt's motion for a 45 day extension of time to file his answering brief. In the relevant portion of Appellant Franchise Tax Board of California's opposition, it states that if an extension is granted to Hyatt that (i) it be Hyatt's last extension, barring extreme or unforeseen circumstances, and (ii) the FTB be granted a similar extension if necessary when drafting its reply to Hyatt's answering brief. As to the former, Hyatt's counsel understands the need to process this voluminous appeal and is working diligently on his responding brief. He will continue to do so and has requested the additional 45 days with the clear expectation that this will be sufficient time. He also understands that if he requests any additional time, the court will rule on any such request based on the circumstances then existing. As to the latter, Hyatt and his counsel foresee no issue with stipulating to a similar reasonable extension for the FTB's reply, as long as it satisfies the requirements of NRAP 31 when and if requested by the FTB.

Hyatt expects that any reasonable request by the FTB for an extension to file its reply will not be opposed. In addition, since the FTB reply will also include the FTB's answering brief to Hyatt's cross-appeal, Hyatt is entitled to file a reply in support of his cross-appeal. As part of that process, Hyatt would similarly expect that the FTB would agree to a reasonable extension of time, when and if requested by Hyatt under NRAP 31. The parties therefore have a mutual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

interest in agreeing to reasonable requests for extensions, to ensure that each side can present its arguments adequately and efficiently for this Court's review.

The FTB's limited opposition, unfortunately, then adds irrelevant speculation to cast unnecessary, incorrect, negative aspersions against Hyatt. The FTB, despite agreeing in principle to Hyatt's requested extension for his Nevada responding brief, tries to create some sinister theory by Hyatt with respect to the approved briefing schedule in pending administrative proceedings before the California State Board of Equalization. This unsupported accusation by the FTB is unrelated to an extension in this appeal and is simply not true or relevant to this Court's decision to grant or deny Hyatt's requested extension. The FTB's suggests some sort of "Hyatt conspiracy" to connect the timing of events in this case, both in the trial court and in this Court, with events in the tax appeals pending in California. For whatever reason, the FTB cannot resist taking shots at Hyatt, even in the context of an essentially-unopposed motion for an extension.

Without devoting too much space in response to the FTB's unfounded and reckless speculation in regard to its conspiracy theory — attempting to draw a connection between the briefing schedule in each proceeding — the FTB disregards the fact that the California administrative agency (the State Board of Equalization) has approved the briefing schedule in that case, meaning that its schedule is appropriate for its purposes in this type of proceeding. In addition, the final determination letter issued by the FTB in November of 2007 asserted a different and wholly independent basis for taxing Hyatt that was not set forth in the FTB's preliminary assessments in 1996 and 1997, which the FTB had been reviewing for over 11 years. The FTB's assertion of this new basis for taxing, after 11 years, may have something to do with the time necessary for briefing in the California administrative proceedings.

KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, 7th Floor Las Veras, NV 89169	
--	--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Hyatt therefore requests that the Court disregard the FTB's irrelevant and speculative assertions concerning the timing and length of the briefing schedule in the administrative tax appeal. Hyatt also requests that the Court grant the 45 day extension he requests for filing his answering brief for this appeal. In so requesting, Hyatt does not dispute that a similar reasonable extension to the FTB for preparation and filing its reply brief may be necessary if requested by the FTB, along with a potential similar extension for Hyatt's filing of his reply brief in support of his cross-appeal.

DATED: October , 2009

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD.
MARK A. HUTCHISON
Nevada Bar No. 4639
MICHAEL K. WALL
Nevada Bar No. 2098
10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Telephone: (702) 385-2500
Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO

Peter C. Bernhard (734) 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy Seventh Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

PERKINS COIE DONALD J. KULA California Bar No. 144342 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Attorneys for Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that I have read this LIMITED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, and in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED: October __, 2009.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD. MARK A. HUTCHISON Nevada Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL Nevada Bar No. 2098 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

KAEMPFER CROWELL **RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO**

Peter C. Bernhard (734) 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy Seventh Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

California Bar No. 144342 1888 Century Park East **Suite 1700** Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721

Attorneys for Respondent, Gilbert P. Hyatt

PERKINS COIE DONALD J. KULA

KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 7th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	Pursuant to NRAP 25, I certify that I am an employee of KAEMPFER CROWELL
3	RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO and that on this day of October, 2009, I
4	caused the above and foregoing document entitled LIMITED REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
5	
6	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF to be served
7	by the method(s) indicated below:
8	via U.S. mail, postage prepaid;
9	X via Federal Express;
10	via hand-delivery;
11	via Facsimile;
12	upon the following person(s):
13	to the attorney(s) listed below at the address and/or facsimile number indicated below:
14	
15	James A. Bradshaw McDonald Carano Wilson LLP
16	100 West Liberty Street
17	Reno NV 89501
18	Pat Lundvall
19	McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
20	
21	Robert L. Eisenberg
22	Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno, NV 89519
23	
24	
25	
26	An employee of Kaempfer Crowell
	Renshaw Gronauer & Fiorentino
27	
28	