# KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 8345 West Sunset Rd., Suite 250

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	***************************************
	5 2

27

28

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Appellant/Cross Respondent,

v.

GILBERT P. HYATT,

Respondent/Cross Appellant

Supreme Court Case No. 53264

District Court Cas Electronically Filed Dec 21 2009 02:28 p.m.

Notice of Appeal Firacieatch Lindeman

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

Mark A. Hutchison, Nevada Bar No. 4639 Michael K. Wall, Nevada Bar No. 2098 HUTCHISON & STEFFEN 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Telephone: (702) 385-2500 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Peter C. Bernhard, Nevada Bar No. 734 KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO

8345 West Sunset Road

Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

Donald J. Kula, California Bar No. 144342 Perkins Coie 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Attorneys for Respondent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent/Cross-Appellant Gilbert P. Hyatt ("Hyatt"), by and through his attorneys of record, respectfully moves this Court for an order extending the due date for filing Respondent's Answering Brief by 15 days, to and including January 5, 2010. This Motion is based upon NRAP 26(b), on the points and authorities attached hereto, and on all other papers and pleadings on file herein.1

# **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

## I. INTRODUCTION

Respondent's Answering Brief and Opening Brief on Cross Appeal is currently due December 21, 2009. Respondent hereby request an extension of 15 days, to and including January 5, 2010. Respondent has worked diligently to complete his Answering Brief and Opening Brief on Cross Appeal by current due date, but for reasons related solely to size and scope of this case and the number of issues raised by Appellant in its 118 page Opening Brief, as well as the issues raised in two amicus briefs filed on behalf of Appellant, totaling 22 and 25 pages, respectively, Respondent needs an additional 15 days to finalize and file his Answering Brief and Opening Brief on Cross Appeal.

Respondent notes that, regrettably for Respondent, this requested 15 day period will fall over the upcoming holiday period. But the requested extension should not inconvenience Appellant as the filing will not take place until after the holiday season, and Appellant will have sufficient time after the holidays to prepare its reply brief.

For the Court's reference, Appellant's 118-page Opening Brief was submitted on July 20, 2009, and accepted for filing as a brief in excess of thirty (30) pages on August 7, 2009.

Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt has also filed a cross-appeal, so he is a respondent and a cross-appellant, and appellant Franchise Tax Board is an appellant and a cross-respondent. Because FTB has been denominated the appellant in this matter, this Motion, for simplicity, references Hyatt as "Respondent" and FTB as "Appellant", rather than using the respondent/cross-appellant and appellant/cross-respondent designations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent filed a motion on August 6, 2009, requesting additional time and extended pages, in the event the Court permitted Appellant's extended brief to be filed. On August 21, 2009, this Court granted Respondent's motion in part, giving Respondent 90 days from August 7, 2009 (November 5, 2009), as the due date for Respondent's Answering Brief and allowed Respondent's Answering Brief to be 20 pages longer than the Appellant's Opening Brief. On October 14, 2009, Respondent requested an additional 45 days for Respondent's Answering Brief to be served and filed, or through December 21, 2009. On November 6, 2009, the Court granted Respondent's motion, indicating that no further extensions would be filed except for extreme and unforeseeable circumstances. Respondent's Answering Brief is nearly ready for filing, but additional time would be well spent in cross-checking citations to the for the FTB and the Court. This extension is sought solely because of the requirements of this

record, finalizing case citations, and otherwise finalizing edits to the Brief, making review easier case, including the complexity of the issues and length of the trial, and not because of any other caseload of counsel. Also, for the same reasons stated at the time of Respondent's previous request, Respondent now requests this additional 15 day extension because: (i) as Respondent prepares his brief, addressing the Appellant's Opening Brief and the amici briefs, as well as his own cross-appeal, he simply needs additional time to finalize his brief including citing and cross checking his citations to both Appellant's 93-volume Appendix and Respondent's 101-volume Appendix that has been submitted on December 21, 2009, the current due date for his Answering Brief; and (ii) Respondent requires additional time to proof the lengthy document and cite check a large number of legal citations. Respondent is essentially at the proofing stage, but requires some additional time to complete this task.

### II. LEGAL DISCUSSION

Pursuant to NRAP 26(b), "It like court for good cause shown may upon motion enlarge the time prescribed by these rules or by its order for doing any act, or may permit an act to be done after the expiration of such time..." Respondent submits that good cause exists to extend the due date for Respondent's Answering Brief, as requested in this motion.

Prior extensions have been granted, but a short amount of additional time is needed to finalize Answering Brief and Opening Brief on Cross Appeal. Respondent has been working diligently, and long hours on the Answering Brief, now requires the additional 15 days requested in this motion. Respondent asserts that this additional time will be beneficial in terms of presenting Respondent's arguments as clearly and concisely as possible.

# KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 8345 West Sunset Rd., Suite 250

## III. CONCLUSION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For the foregoing reasons, Hyatt respectfully requests that the Court grant his Motion to Extend Time for Respondent's Answering Brief and order that Respondent's Answering Brief be due fifteen (15) days after its current due date, moving the due date from December 21, 2009 to January 5, 2010.

DATED: December \_\_\_, 2009

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD. MARK A. HUTCHISON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2098 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 385-2500 Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

KAEMPFER CROWELL

TRENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO

Peter C. Bernhard (734)

8345 W. Sunset Road, Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Telephone: (702) 792-7000

Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

PERKINS COIE

DONALD J. KULA, ESQ.

California Bar No. 144342

1888 Century Park East

**Suite 1700** 

Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721

Telephone: (310) 788-9900

Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Attorneys for Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt

# KAEMPFER CROWELL RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO 8345 West Sunset Rd., Suite 250

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that I have read this MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR

RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, and in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED: December 2/, 2009.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC MARK A. HUTCHISON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2098 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

KAEMPFER CROWELL

RENSHAW GRONALIER & FIORENTINO

Peter C. Bernhard (734)

8345 W. Sunset Road, Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

PERKINS COIE

DONALD J. KULA, ESQ.

California Bar No. 144342

1888 Century Park East

Suite 1700

Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721

Attorneys for Respondent, Gilbert P. Hyatt

24

25

26

27

28

1

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2 Pursuant to NRAP 25, I certify that I am an employee of KAEMPFER CROWELL 3 RENSHAW GRONAUER & FIORENTINO and that on this 2/day of December, 2009, I 4 caused the above and foregoing document entitled MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR 5 **RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF** to be served by the method(s) indicated below: 6 via U.S. mail, postage prepaid; 7 X via Federal Express; 8 via hand-delivery; 9 via Facsimile; 10 upon the following person(s): 11 to the attorney(s) listed below at the address and/or facsimile number indicated below: 12 13 James A. Bradshaw, Esq. McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 100 West Liberty Street 15 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Reno NV 89501 16 Pat Lundvall, Esq. 17 McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 19 Robert L. Eisenberg 20 Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 21 Reno, NV 89519 22 23

An employee of Kaempfer Crowell
Renshaw Gronauer & Fiorentino