ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN THE SUPREME COURT

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Appellant,

v.

GILBERT P. HYATT,

Respondent

Supreme Court Case No. 53264

District Court Case No.: A382999

Notice of Appeal Filed March 4, 2009

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME AND PERMIT EXPANDED BRIEFING FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

FILED

AUG 0 6 2009

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME AND PERMIT EXPANDED BRILL FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF

Mark A. Hutchison, Nevada Bar No. 4639
Michael K. Wall, Nevada Bar No. 2098
Hutchison & Steffen
10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, NV 89145
Telephone: (702) 385-2500
Facsimile: (702) 385-2086

Peter C. Bernhard, Nevada Bar No. 734 KUMMER KAEMPFER BONNER RENSHAW & FERRARIO

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Seventh Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-7000

Facsimile: (702) 796-7181

Donald J. Kula, California Bar No. 144342 PERKINS COIE

1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700

Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721

Telephone: (310) 788-3212 Facsimile: (310) 788-1291

Attorneys for Respondent -1-

AUG U 6 2009

CUMMER KAEMPFER BOMER RENSHAW & FERRARIO Seventh Floor 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

09-19221

Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt ("Hyatt"), by and through his attorneys of record, respectfully moves this Court for an order setting a briefing schedule for filing Respondent's Answering Brief and for allowing said brief to exceed thirty (30) pages. This Motion is based upon NRAP 26(b), on the points and authorities attached hereto, and on all other papers and pleadings on file herein.¹

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

Appellant's proposed 118-page Opening Brief was submitted on July 20, 2009, attached to Appellant's motion for permission to file its brief in excess of thirty (30) pages. Respondent is not aware if Appellant's motion has been ruled upon, or if Appellant's brief has been accepted for filing. Under NRAP 31, Respondent's Answering Brief is due thirty (30) days after service of appellant's opening brief. Therefore, if Appellant's Opening Brief is accepted for filing by the Court, and if it is deemed served on July 20, 2009, then Respondent's Answering Brief would be due on or before August 19, 2009. Without knowing whether Appellant will be entitled to file its 118-page brief, and without knowing what Appellant's brief will include, if this Court determines that fewer than 118 pages will be allowed, Respondent is concerned that he may not have sufficient time to file his answering brief by August 19.

In this motion, then, Respondent requests that this Court enter a separate scheduling order that Respondent's Answering Brief be due ninety (90) days after Appellant serves and files its opening brief, once it is permitted to be filed in compliance with the order of this Court deciding Appellant's motion for permission to file a brief in excess of thirty (30) pages. Respondent

KUMMER KAEMPFER BOMMER RENSHAW & FERRARIO Seventh Floor 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

¹ Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt has also filed a cross-appeal, so he is a respondent and a cross-appellant, and appellant Franchise Tax Board is an appellant and a cross-respondent. Because FTB has been denominated the appellant in this matter, this Motion, for simplicity, references Hyatt as "Respondent"

requests ninety (90) days for several reasons: (i) the Judgment from which this appeal was taken was entered on September 8, 2008, and the Notice of Appeal to this Court was served and filed on February 10, 2009. Therefore, Appellant had approximately 315 days from the entry of judgment and 160 days from its Notice of Appeal to prepare its Opening Brief. Depending on the date the Opening Brief is served and filed, an additional 90 days for Respondent would allow him 110-120 days from July 20, 2009, to serve and file his brief; (ii) the Appellant's Appendix contains more than 23,000 pages, and because Appellant did not consult with Respondent on a Joint Appendix, Respondent must also prepare any objections to Appellant's Appendix, as well as Respondent's Appendix for service along with his brief; (iii) Respondent may have to address issues and arguments presented by the proposed amici; (iv) although Respondent believes that the appellate issues are fairly straight-forward, the proposed Opening Brief raises constitutional and policy issues that must be addressed, even if not necessary to resolution of the appeal; and (v) the proposed Opening Brief includes an extensive statement of facts, which include "facts" that simply must have been rejected by the jury, in order to reach its verdict. In addition, Respondent requests that this Court include in any such scheduling order permission for Respondent to file an answering brief in excess of thirty (30) pages. Respondent

In addition, Respondent requests that this Court include in any such scheduling order permission for Respondent to file an answering brief in excess of thirty (30) pages. Respondent suggests that he be granted permission to file a brief of the same length as allowed to Appellant, plus thirty (30) additional pages. Respondent requests the additional thirty (30) pages because, under NRAP 28(h), Respondent must present his issues and argument involved in his crossappeal, as well as his answer to the brief of the appellant. Also, two motions are currently pending to allow *amicus* briefs in support of Appellant, and Respondent will need additional pages to answer the *amici*, if those motions are granted and the proposed *amici* briefs are filed.

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and FTB as "Appellant", rather than using the respondent/cross-appellant and appellant/cross-respondent designations.

II. LEGAL DISCUSSION

Pursuant to NRAP 26(b), "[t]he court for good cause shown may upon motion enlarge the time prescribed by these rules or by its order for doing any act, or may permit an act to be done after the expiration of such time..." Under NRAP 28(g), briefs are not to exceed thirty (30) pages, "[e]xcept by permission of the court." Respondent submits that good cause exists to extend the due date for Respondent's Answering Brief, as requested in this motion, and that this Court can and should grant permission to file said brief in excess of thirty (30) pages.

In its proposed Opening Brief, FTB's Legal Argument contains Subsections A-I, which include its issues on appeal. Each of those subsections include more sub-issues. These challenge virtually every pre-trial, trial, and post-trial ruling of the district court, including a challenge to the viability of each of Respondent's claims for relief, an argument that comity requires a decision in Appellant's favor, and various other issues claimed to be dispositive in Appellant's favor. With this shotgun approach taken by Appellant, Respondent must address and refute each of these issues, requiring additional time and briefing to address adequately. The Appellant's Appendix includes 93 volumes, containing 23,245 bates-numbered pages. In addition, under NRAP 28(h), Respondent's answering brief must also include the issues and argument involved in Respondent's cross-appeal. Given the number of issues raised by Appellant's proposed Opening Brief, the voluminous record, and the magnitude of the jury damage award, Respondent respectfully submits that additional time and volume is appropriate for Respondent's brief.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Hyatt respectfully requests that the Court grant his Motion to Extend Time and Permit Expanded Briefing for Respondent's Answering Brief and order that Respondent's Answering Brief be due ninety (90) days after service and filing of Appellant's

CUMMER KAEMPFER BOMMER RENSHAW & FERRARIO Seventh Floor 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

| 1 | Opening Brief, and that Respondent's Answering Brief may contain not more than thirty (30) |
|----|--|
| 2 | pages, in addition to the length permitted for Appellant's Opening Brief. |
| 3 | DATED: August 5, 2009 |
| 4 | THEORIGON & CONTROL OF |
| 5 | HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD. MARK A. HUTCHISON, ESQ. Navada Ban No. 4620 |
| 6 | Nevada Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL, ESQ. |
| 7 | Nevada Bar No. 2098 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 |
| 8 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 385-2500 |
| 9 | Facsimile: (702) 385-2086 |
| 10 | KUMMER KAEMPFER BONNER (RENSHAW & FERRARIO) |
| 11 | RENSBAW & PERBANGO |
| 12 | Peter C. Bernhard (734) |
| 13 | 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy Seventh Floor |
| 14 | Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 792-7000 |
| 15 | Facsimile: (702) 796-7181 |
| 16 | PERKINS COIE |
| | DONALD J. KULA, ESQ. |
| 17 | California Bar No. 144342 1888 Century Park East |
| 18 | Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721 |
| 19 | Telephone: (310) 788-9900 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399 |
| 20 | Attorneys for Respondent Gilbert P. Hyatt |
| 21 | morneys for Respondent Gueen 1. Hydii |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |

CUMMER KAEMPFER BOAMER RENSHAW & FERRATIO Seventh Floor 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that I have read this MOTION TO EXTEND TIME AND PERMIT EXPANDED BRIEFING FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose. I further certify that this brief complies with all applicable Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, and in particular NRAP 28(e), which requires every assertion in the brief regarding matters in the record to be supported by a reference to the page of the transcript or appendix where the matter relied on is to be found. I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying brief is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

DATED: August 5, 2009.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LTD. MARK A. HUTCHISON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 4639 MICHAEL K. WALL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 2098 10080 Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

KUMMER KAEMPFER BONNER

RENSHAW & FERRARIO

Peter C. Bernhard (734) 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy Seventh Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

PERKINS COIE DONALD J. KULA, ESQ. California Bar No. 144342 1888 Century Park East Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721

Attorneys for Respondent, Gilbert P. Hyatt

KUMMER KAEMPFER BOMMER RENSHAW & FERRARIO Seventh Floor 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

| 2 | Pursuant to NRAP 25, I certify that I am an employee of KUMMER KAEMPFER |
|----------------|--|
| 3 | BONNER RENSHAW & FERRARIO and that on thisday of August, 2009, I caused the |
| 4 | above and foregoing document entitled MOTION TO EXTEND TIME AND PERMIT |
| 5 | EXPANDED BRIEFING FOR RESPONDENT'S ANSWERING BRIEF to be served by the |
| 6 | method(s) indicated below: |
| 7 | via U.S. mail, postage prepaid; |
| 8 | X via Federal Express; |
| 9 | via hand-delivery; |
| 10 | via Facsimile; |
| 11 | upon the following person(s): |
| 12 13 14 | James A. Bradshaw, Esq. Patricia K. Lundvall, Esq. McDonald Carano Wilson LLP McDonald Carano Wilson LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 |
| 15 | 10 th Floor Reno, Nevada 89501 Attorneys for Appellant |
| 16 | Attorneys for Appellant |
| 17 | Robert L. Eisenberg Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg |
| 18 | 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno, Nevada 89519 |
| 19 | Attorneys for Appellant |
| 20 | |
| 21 | marehoria Diai |
| 22 | () () () () () () () () () () |

CUMMER KAEMPFER BOWAR RENSHAW & FERRARIO Seventh Floor 800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

23

An employee of Kummer Kaempfer

Bonner Renshaw & Ferrario