IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
Appellant/Cross-Respondent,
vs.
GILBERT P. HYATT,
Respondent/Cross-Appellant.

No. 53264

FILED

MAY 1 1 2012

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER SCHEDULING ADDITIONAL ORAL ARGUMENT

Oral argument was held in this matter on May 7, 2012. This court has determined that additional oral argument would assist in the resolution of this matter. Accordingly, the clerk of this court shall schedule this matter for oral argument on Monday, June 18, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the courtroom in Carson City. Argument shall be limited to 60 minutes.

The parties should be prepared to address the following issues:

- (1) Did Hyatt adequately demonstrate and present to the jury intentional torts or bad-faith conduct by FTB, including whether the jury was instructed or made findings as to bad-faith conduct?
- (2) Did the district court improperly allow consideration of the audit conclusions at trial?
- (3) Did the district court abuse its discretion in excluding evidence offered by FTB to refute the adverse inference from spoliation of evidence?
- (4) Is FTB entitled to statutory caps on damages and immunity from punitive damages under comity principles?

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

- (5) Are punitive damages available in this case under the common law?
- (6) Did the district court err in ruling that the statute of limitations for all of Hyatt's claims, except his fraud claim, had not expired?

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: McDonald Carano Wilson LLP/Reno
McDonald Carano Wilson LLP/Las Vegas
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg
Lewis & Roca, LLP/Las Vegas
Perkins Coie
Hutchison & Steffen, LLC
Kaempfer Crowell Renshaw Gronauer & Fiorentino
Multistate Tax Commission
Attorney General/Carson City
Utah Attorney General's Office