	•	
1	А	I Just don't remember.
· 2	Q	Do you know if paramedics or police or
3	anybody had be	en in there and moved anything?
4	A	I wouldn't know that.
5	Q	Were you there when the photograph was
6	taken?	
7	А	Oh, not in there, no.
8	Q	In the apartment?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Do you have any idea if it was hours later
11	or minutes lat	er?
12	А	I wouldn't have any idea.
13	Q	Were you fingerprinted?
14	А	Yes, sir.
15		MR. DUNLEAVY: Court's indulgence lust d
16	second.	
17		THE COURT: Okay.
18	BY MR. DUNLEAN	Y:
19	Q	Now, did the fingerprints or the police
20	specifically t	take your fingerprints on this case? Did they
21	come in and ro	all your prints or
22	A	It was quite a bit later when they did.
23	Q	Are we talking days, weeks, months?
24	A	I think weeks.
		001071

1	Q	Weeks?
2	A	Weeks, at least weeks.
3	Q	But you were contacted in relation to the
4	case and they t	ook the prints?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	You didn't make any effort to search the
7	room yourself,	did you?
8	A	No, sir.
9	Q	Did you straighten anything up while you
10	were in there?	
11	A	No, sir.
12	Q	Did you have to clear a path to walk in and
13	out or could yo	u just walk?
14	A	Just stepped over things, as far as I can
15	remember.	
16		MR. DUNLEAVY: Court's indulgence Just a
17	minute.	
18		
19		(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
20		
21		MR. DUNLEAVY: I have no further questions,
22	Your Honor.	·
23		THE COURT: Redirect?
24		MR. HARMON: A few questions, Your Honor.
		001072

조 R 1		
11 MRippo-07058-R090884	1	Thank you.
58-RC	2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2008 2008 2008 2008 2008 2008 2008 2008	3	BY MR. HARMON:
- P -	4	Q I missed your gaswer on cross, Mr. Hooper.
	5	About how long the first time
	6	were you in the apartment?
	7	A Just a few minutes.
12	8	Q What does that mean?
	9	A Less than five.
	10	Q Less than five minutes?
	11	A Yes, sir.
	12	Q Can you tell us the approximate dimensions
	13	of this studio apartment at 317?
	14	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, could I ask him
	15	to define that question? Are we talking overall, including
	16	closet and bathroom, for example, or just one room?
	17	BY MR. HARMON:
	18	Q Overall, the dimensions, sir.
	19	A Oh, it's I can't remember anymore.
	20	
	21	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
	22	
	23	BY MR. HARMON:
	24	Q Do you know just generally how many square
		001073

1	feet it would h	ave been?
2	A	I think the square footage was, like, maybe
3	450, something	like that. I don't know.
4	Q	About 450 square feet?
5	А	I believe so, yes.
6	Q	You mentioned that, at some point after you
7	left, there was	also a maintenance man in the apartment.
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	Someone there besides Mac Holloway?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Do you recall the name of this person?
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	What was the name of the maintenance man?
14	A	John Ladocher (sic).
15	Q	Will you
16		MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I
17	didn't get the	last name again.
18		THE WITNESS: Ladocher.
19		THE COURT: Will you spell it?
20		THE WITNESS: No.
21	BY MR, HARMON:	
22	Q	But you are saying Ladocher?
23	Α	Right.
24	Q	Do you have a recollection now of seeing
		001074

(1)79	Dit	10K	Dars	U11	U1 1	Luui 1	300000	JII 3	7.11	rne	apgi	CHO!	} _
comp)	lex	lot	for	a	few	days	before	you	dis	COV	ered	her	body?

A __ Well, I don't know -- I can't remember now how long -- how many days I noticed it sitting there, but that Just --

Q Well, you were asked on cross-examination about seeing some keys inside the car.

A Yes,

Q Do you have a memory of walking up close enough to the vehicle to make that observation?

A Very vague memory; just --

Q But if you referred to keys in same other report, are you talking about apartment keys or ignition keys?

A All -- I -- I really don't know. I'm

just -- I think I'm probably Just referring to, like,

ignition keys. I'm not really sure what, you know. It's
been a long time.

Q It is somewhat difficult to remember back to February 1992; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Have you done your best, sir?

A Yes, I have.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

1	That's all, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Anything further?
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Very briefly, Your Honor.
4	
5	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
7	Q Your memory was a lot fresher when you
8	testified before the Grand Jury, was it not?
õ	A Yes, sir.
10	Q And when you used the word keys, plural,
11	would that mean that in your recallection at that time,
12	there was more than one key?
13	A I would suppose, yes. I
14	Q Do you remember who asked you to get
15	fingerprinted?
18	A No, I don't.
17	Q Detective Scholl or Detective Dibble or
18	A I'm not really sure who it was.
19	Q No recollection?
20	A No,
21	Q Where did you go to get fingerprinted for
22	this case?
23	A Somewhere right off Fremont Street. I'm not
24	sure.
	001076

They didn't come out and roll you there? A No, I went there. MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions. Your Honor. MR. HARMON: No further, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Okay. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. MarylJOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you, Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.		
MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions. Your Honor. MR. HARMON: No further, Judge. (HE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Okay. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: Ne'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. Mhereupon, DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	1	Q They didn't come out and roll you there?
Your Honor. MR. HARMON: No further, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Okay. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. Mhereupon, DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-o-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	2	A No, I went there.
MR. HARMON: No further, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Okay, (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. Mhereupon, Marryl Johnson Marryl Johnson Marryl Johnson Marryl Johnson THE Court: Okay. THE Court: Okay. THE Court: Okay. THE Court: Okay. Mhereupon, THE Court: Okay. THE Court: Okay. Mill you's tate your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; THE Our Darryl Johnson; THE Our Darryl Johnson;	3	MR, DUNLEAVY: No further questions,
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. You are excused. THE WITNESS: Okay. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. Mhereupon, DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	4	Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Okay. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	5	MR. HARMON: No further, Judge.
THE WITNESS: Okay. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hooper. You are
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	7	excused.
MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. Mhereupon, Marryl Johnson having been called as a mitness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly smorn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE MITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson. THE COURT: Okay. Mhereupon, Marryl Johnson having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	9.	
THE COURT: Okdy. THE COURT: Okdy. DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	10	HAD DYGWYDDIY
Mhereupon, DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	11	MR. SEATON: We'll call Darryl Johnson.
DARRYL JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	12	THE COURT: Okay.
DARRYL_JOHNSON having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	13	
having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	14	Whereupon,
having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	15	DARRYL JOHNSON
whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	16	having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and
19 and testified as follows: 20 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 21 Will you state your name and 22 spell it for the record, please. 23 THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; 24 D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	17	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	18	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
21 Will you state your name and 22 spell it for the record, please. 23 THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; 24 D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	19	and testified as follows:
spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-α-r-r-y-1, J-α-h-n-s-α-n.	20	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson; D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	21	Will you state your name and
24 D-a-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.	22	spell it for the record, please.
	23	THE WITNESS: Officer Darryl Johnson;
001077	24	D-o-r-r-y-1, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.
•		001077

	٠.	
1,	Ĝ	And how did the two of you get around? Did
2	you have a vet	nicle?
3	А	Yes.
4	. Q	A police
5	A	It was a marked patrol vehicle.
6	Q	Parked?
7	А	Marked patrol vehicle.
8	Ç	Were you in uniform at that time when you
9	did that?	•
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Calling your attention to February the 20th,
12	1992, were you	on duty on that day?
13	А	Yes, I was,
14	Q	And were you with Officer Gosler?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And driving in a unit?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	What shift did you work that day?
19	А	Day shift.
20	Q	Was I'm sorry.
21	A	It was from 7:45 to 5:45 in the evening.
22	Q	Was there a particular area of town in which
23	you worked?	
24	A	Yes.
•		, 001079

	1	136
	•	
1	Q	Tell us what that was.
2	А	It was called the Strip area. It was
3	basically betwe	en Maryland Parkway, the railroad tracks to
4	the west, and S	ahara to the north and the airport to the
5	south.	
6	Q	Are you familiar with Cambridge Street?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Is it encompassed in that area?
9	А	Yes, it is.
.0	Q	Did there come a time that morning, around
1,	ten o'clock in	the morning, when you were called by your
.2	dispatch?	
L 3	А	Yes.
.4	Q	And did they tell you that there was a place
5	you had to go?	
.6	А	Yes.
.7	Q	Where did they say?
.8	A	3600 South Cambridge, Apartment 317.
.9	Q	Did you go there?
20	A	Yes, I did.
21	ū	Do you know for sure today that that was the
22	actual address,	the 3600?
23	А	I'm pretty sure that's it.
24	Q	What time of the day did you get there?
		001089
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 3 7 8 9 1 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 2 3 7 8 1 8 1 8 1 7 8 1 8 1 8 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	basically between the west, and Seconds. the west, and Seconds. south. A B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B

24

А

Yes.

001081

had with them, did you go to a particular apartment number?

2 marked as State's Exhibit Number 5. 3 Do you recognize that 4 particular building? 5 A Yes, I do. 6 Q And what is it?	
particular building? A Yes, I do.	
5 A Yes, I do.	
6 Q And what is it?	
7 A It's the Katie Arms apartment building	y the
8 Q Is that where you responded on Februar	
9 20th, 1992?	
10 A Yes.	
Q And you see that there is a door circl	.ed
12 there?	
A Yes.	
Q Is that the door of the apartment to w	nich
15 you went?	
16 A Yes.	
Q Okay. Thank you.	
18 When did you go there	with
19 Officer Gosler?	
A Yes, I did.	
Q And did you make entry into the apartm	nent?
A Yes.	
Q Was it already open or did someone hav	e to
24 use a key?	
	001082

	·
1	A I think the manager had to use a key.
2	Q And when you went inside, were there any
3	living people who were in the apartment who had been there
4	before?
5	A No.
6	Q When you went inside the apartment, describe
7	what the apartment looked like as you came in.
8	A It was a studio-type apartment, no bedrooms.
9	I think it had two sort of twin beds or couch type bed, and
10	there was a kitchen area to the left; and as you walked
11	through the apartment, the bothroom was in the back on the
12	left and the closet was on the right, to the rear.
13	Q Did you look through those areas of the
14	apartment, which you have just described, to see if there
15	were any other people in there?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And
18	A I didn't go into the bathroom. Officer
19	Gosler went into the bathroom to look to make sure no one
20	was in there,
21	But the both of us did go into the rear
22	of the apartment.
23	Q And is that where the closet that you
24	mentioned is located?

•		
1.	A	Yes, it is.
2	Q	Did you see anything in that closet?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	What did you see in there?
5	A	Two females that appeared to be deceased,
6	laying on the g	round.
7	Q	I'd now like to show you State's Exhibit
8	19 19, and a	sk if you can identify that photograph?
9	A	Yes, I can.
10	Q	Tell us what it is, please,
11	А	It appears to have two females lying on the
12	ground in the c	loset orea.
13	Q	Are those the two females that you had
14	mentioned earli	er which you saw in Apartment 317
1 5	A	Yes.
16	Q	on February the 20th, 1992?
17	A	Yes,
18	Q	The photograph, as you see it now, to the
19	best of your re	collection, is that the way you observed
20	things when you	walked into the apartment?
21	А	Yes, it is.
22	Q	When you went into the apartment as a
23	police officer,	have you been trained as to how to handle a
24	crime scene suc	h as this, in terms of touching things?
1		

1	A	Yes:
2	Q	What is that training? Tell us in a
3	shorthand langu	idge.
4	A	Basically we shouldn't touch anything unless
5	we have to.	
6	Q	And how did you react on this particular
7	day, with that	in mind?
8	А	After we saw that there was no one else in
9	the apartment,	we didn't touch anything well, I didn't
10	touch anything.	
11	Q	All right. Did you see Officer Gosler touch
12	anything?	
13	A	No, I didn't.
14	Q	When you saw what is shown in State's
15	proposed or	State's Exhibit 19, did you call anyone else
16	to the scene?	
17	А	Yes, I did.
18	Q	Who did you call?
19	А	Well, I notified the supervisor and I also
20	had dispatch no	tify the homicide section, the detectives, to
21	respond to the	scene.
22	Q	And did they ultimately respond to the
23	scene?	
24	A	Yes, they did.
		、 00108 5

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	Q What did they do? What did that paramedic
2	do once in the closet grea?
3	A He checked the bodies.
4	Q Did he move them at all?
5	A No.
6	Q Did he change the po
7	A He did not I'm sorry.
8	Q the position of the bodies at all as they
9	gre shown in Exhibit 19?
10	MR. DUNLEAYY: Your Honor, I'd object. He
11	hasn't laid a foundation that this officer was in the
12	position to see what the
13	THE COURT: Sustained,
14	BY MR. HARMON:
15	Q Were you in a position to see what this
16	particular Mercy Ambulance individual was doing?
17	A Yes, I was.
18	Q You were standing in a position to see what
19	is depicted in State's Exhibit Number 19?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And in terms of what that individual did
22	with the bodies, tell us to the best of your ability.
23	A He I'm not sure what areas of the bodies
24	he touched exactly, but to the best of my recollection, he
	001082

1,	checked for maybe a pulse or any signs that sameone would be
2	living.
3	Q We see let me show you the picture again.
4	We see in the photograph that
5	the orms and legs are in particular positions and
6	relative to each other.
7	Did he do anything to change
8	those positions?
9	A Not that I recall.
10	Q All right. So this picture is similar to
11	the way you first saw the badies when you went into the
12	apartment?
13	A Yes.
14	Q While you were there and able to observe,
15	did other officers come into the apartment?
16	A Yes.
17	Q I suppose I should finish with the paramedic
18	first, or the Mercy Ambulance individual.
19	Did that person leave?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Leaving the bodies as they were?
22	A Yes.
23	Q All right. After that person left, then my
24	question applies: Did you see other police officers come
	001088

1	into the apartm	ent?
2	Д	Yes, I did.
3	Q	Did you take particular note to watch them
4	to see whether	or not anyone touched or moved anything
5	inappropriately	?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Did you see any such touching or moving?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Okay. And did the homicide detectives
10	eventually arri	ve?
11	А	Yes, they did.
12	Q	And once they arrived, what happened what
13	did you do at t	hat time?
14	А	I just basically stayed outside the
15	apartment for a	brief time.
16	Q	When the homicide detectives in you had
17	mentioned earli	er in your duties, you make preliminary
18	investigations	of crime scenes, if colled upon.
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Is that correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Is that what you were doing in this
23	particular situ	ation?
24	A	Yes.
		0.01020

*	Q And once the homicide detectives arrive, do
2	your duties then, in terms of preliminary investigation,
3	end?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And did they end on this particular date?
6	A Yes, they did.
7	q To your knowledge then, of all the things
8	you saw, from the time you arrived until the time the
9	homicide detectives arrived, was anyone in the apartment
10	moving or touching things inappropriately?
11	A No.
12	MR. SEATON: Thank you very much.
13	Cross the witness.
14	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
15	
16	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
17	BY MR. WOLFSON:
18	Q Are you aware one of your fellow police
19	officer's fingerprints was found inside the closet?
20	A Yes.
21	Q So your efforts at preserving the crime
22	scene weren't very good, were they?
23	MR. SEATON: Well, I object to that
24	characterization. He can ask him whether they were

1	successful or not, but
2	THE COURT: One at a time.
3	MR. SEATON: I'm still talking.
4	THE COURT: Rephrase your question, Mr.
5	Wolfson.
6	BY MR. WOLFSON:
7	Q Were you successful at preserving the crime
8	scene, recognizing that one of your fellow officer's
9	fingerprints was within feet of these bodies?
10	A I would say yes.
11	Q Officer Johnson, how long have you been with
12	the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?
13	A Seven and a half years.
14	Q So back in 1992, it would have been about
15	three and a half years?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And you were part of a training unit on
18	February 24th, 1992?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What was the duties of your training unit?
21	I mean, what do we mean by training unit?
22	A I was a field training officer. I trained
23	individuals after they completed their time in the police
24	academy.

-fu	It's an to hear bestod such
2	they ride with field training officers and be and they
3	get evaluated during that time.
4	Q Police officers have locations of the city
5	that they patrol in, do they not?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And back in February of 1992, did you have a
8	particular location or beat on your day on your shift
9	that day?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did it have a name to it?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What was the name of 1t?
14	A Two Nora 34; N as in Nancy.
15	Q Two Nora 34?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And the approximate square mileage, if
18	that's the best way to estimate the size of that beat?
19	A In square miles?
20	Q Or maybe it's easier if you put a street on
21	the north, south, east and west boundaries.
22	A Okay. Flamingo to the north, Maryland
23	Parkway to the east, I-15 well, the railroad tracks and

I-15 are kind of interchanges to the west, and the airport

1	area, Well, ac	tually, it would be just north of the airport
2	area.	
3	a	Thank you.
4		How long had you been an
5	officer in that	beat?
6	А	At that time?
7	Q	Yes.
8	A	Approximately a little bit over a year.
9	Q	Would you say that you were pretty familiar
10	with that gener	al area?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	I mean, forgive me if I'm wrong, but you
13	worked four day	s a week, every week for a year, you become
14	pretty familiar	with the area you are working, do you not?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Had you, during that year, every responded
17	to the Katie Ar	ms Apartments for other calls?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Would you please describe the type of
20	apartment build	ing or complex that the Katie Arms Apartments
21	was?	
22	А	As far as what type of calls I would respond
23	to?	
24	Q	Fair enough.
		. 001093

1	A A VORIETY OF OLITTERENT TYPES OF COLLS;
2	anywhere from domestic violence type calls, general
3	disturbance type calls. That apartment complex was probably
4	no different than any other one in that area. It was a
5	variety of calls.
6	Q A lot of calls involving drugs or controlled
7	substances?
8	A Yeah, I responded to those type calls.
9	Q Would you say that those types of calls were
10	more common for that apartment complex than others in other
11	areas of the city?
12	MR. HARMON: They had a unique feature of
13	them. Maybe that's a better way of asking the question.
14	THE WITNESS: I there are apartment
15	complexes that probably have less narcotics type calls than
16	that one, yes.
17	BY MR. WOLFSON:
18	Q Are you aware of the type of rental
19	arrangements people have when they stay at the Katie Arms
20	Apartments? And I'm, of course, asking you about February
21	of '92.
22	A Right. Honestly I can't remember if that
23	was a daily, weekly or not, or if it was a monthly rental.

Q I think we've already heard evidence that it

1	was common for residents to have weekly rentals
2	A Okay,
3	Q at the Katie Arms Apartments.
Ħ	Does that sound accurate?
5	A For that area, yes.
6	Q Were many of the tenants transient in nature
7	that would stay at the Katie Arms Apartments?
8	A Yes,
9	Q Now, at about ten a.m. on February 24th,
10	when you arrived, you weren't the first officer on the
11	scene, were you?
12	A Yes, I was. I arrived simultaneously with
13	another officer.
14	Q I have the advantage of having your
15	officer's report; and obviously your memory was probably
16	better that day than it is today; isn't that a fair
17	statement?
18	A Probably.
19	Q And I'm not trying to trick you, but I will
20	submit to you that at the bottom of the second paragraph,
21	you state that Officer Flenner had told dispatch that he had
22	already arrived on the scene.
23	Does that sound familiar?
24	A You'd have to read more to it than that in
	001095

1	order for me to give you an answer.
2	Q If I showed you your report, would it help
3	refresh your memory as to what you put regarding who may
4	have arrived first?
5	A Yes.
6	MR. WOLFSON: May I approach?
7	THE COURT: You may.
8	MR. WOLFSON: I'm directing your attention
9	to the second paragraph.
10	Counsel, you know what report
11	I'm talking about, don't you?
12	MR. SEATON: Yes.
13	BY MR. WOLFSON:
14	Q Do you remember what you put in your report?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Is it not true that you had stated in your
17	report, I believe as I understand the wording, that Officer
18	Flenner had informed dispatch that he had already arrived on
19	the scene?
20	A He arrived by arriving on the scene, he
21	arrived in the area, I would say.
22	Q Very good. Thank you.
23	Q And why did you go to that call? What were
24	you told by dispatch as to why you should respond to that
	001098

б

scene?
A Could you repeat the question, please?
Q Why did you respond to the Katie Arms
apartment? What were you told by your dispatch, Officer?
A Well, number one, the call came out very
suspicious and I was just right down the street, so I was
closer to the other unit that was dispatched; and also it
was good training for my trainee in the car with me.
Q So when you arrived at that location, the
only thing you knew was that somebody had given somebody
information that something was suspicious?
A Yes.

Q You didn't know it was a homicide?

A Well, I knew that there were two bodies that were found in the closet.

Q You knew that before you arrived at the scene?

A Yes. That was given in the call.

Q Okay, Okay. So the call came over as being suspicious, but you also knew, when you arrived, that somebody had reported the two bodies were found in a closet?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Can you tell us who went in the apartment first and who followed after that?

1	A I think it was Officer Gosler went in first,
2	then myself, then Officer Flanner.
3	Q And did you proceed in that simultaneous
4	fashion; in other words, one after another, within a few
5	moments of each other, is my question.
6	A Yes, all three of us went in at the same
7	time.
8	Q And who discovered the bodies in the closet
9	first?
10	A It would have to be Officer Gosler would
11	have had to have seen the bodies first, but we were within a
12	foot of each other as we went into the apartment.
13	Q Okay. So very shortly thereafter, all three
14	of you realized you had a potential homicide, did you not?
15	A Yes. Well, not as soon as we saw the
16	bodies. I mean, we looked, and then, after seeing what we
17	saw, then, yes.
18	Q Then you probably approached them a little
19	closer to get a good view; would that be a fair
20	representation?
21	A I didn't.
22	Q Who did?
23	A Officer Gosler didn't.
24	Q Did or d1d not?
	. 001098

	•	
1	A	Did not.
2	Q	Well, how close did you get, sir?
3	А	In the area that is in front of the
4	bedroom I me	an, not the bedroom, but in front of the
5	bathroom, but #	where you can see down into the closet area.
6	Q	If I were to ask you to estimate how many
7	feet you were y	within the girls, what would you say?
8	A	Probably — I don't know, three feet, maybe.
9	Q	There was no movement on the part of the
10	girls?	
11	A	Right.
12	Q	That's a question.
13	A	No.
14	Q	Did you see any movement on the part of the
15	girls?	
16	A	No,
17	Q	Did you form an opinion whether or not they
18	were dead or a	Live at that moment, after you took a few
19	moments to ref	lect on what you saw?
20	А	I I thought that they were.
21	Q	Okay. Now, you have received certain
22	training in cr	lme scene preservation, have you not?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Tell the jury what type of training you
		001093

1.0

received in the preservation of crime scenes.

A Well, we received some type of training in the academy. I can't recall how many hours it is. But generally, in a situation like that, once you find a scene which you think is a homicide, it's best to secure the scene and then call the detectives out and turn the crime scene over to the detectives.

Q So you received training in the academy, which it was about three and a half years before this date --

A Yes.

Q Did you ever have any subsequent training during your three and a half years, attend courses or go to academies out of state or anything of that nature during this three and a half years?

A I've had training since -- I've had training since the academy, but I can't really tell you if it was prior to this incident or not.

Q And what do you recall as to what you were trained as to why you preserve crime scenes? What is the purpose of that?

A You wouldn't want to destroy any evidence that's on a crime scene.

Q And why do you not want to destroy evidence

1.	that's on a cri	me scene?
2	А	You want to catch the person that
3	perpetroted the	crime.
4	Q	Okay. Would it be fair to say that when a
5	person is broug	ht to trial, the best possible preservation
6	of evidence occ	urs for the protection of the State's
7	interest, as we	ll as the charged defendant?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. What steps did you take to preserve
10	that crime scen	e?
11	А	We taped off the
12	Q	Sir, what steps did you take to preserve the
13	crime scene; no	t what we did, what did you do?
14	A	Well, I made sure my trainee stayed outside.
15	Q	Your trainee?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. What was his name?
18	A	Gosler.
19	Q	Okay. But Gosler had already gone in; is
20	that right?	
21	A	That's correct.
22	ą	So are you telling us that you then
23	requested that	he step autside?
24	A	Yes; and I gave him some duties to do.
		001101

1	Q Okay. And all of those duties, I assume,
2	were outside the apartment?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. What else did you do?
5	A After that happened?
6	. Q What else did you do to take steps to
7	preserve this crime scene?
8	A I made sure while I was inside the
9	apartment, I made sure nothing else was touched.
10	Q By Whom?
11	A By anybody.
12	Q Were you the senior officer at the scene?
13	A When I initially arrived, yes.
14	Q Between you and the other two, were you the
15	senior officer?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now, isn't it true that there were actually,
18	at some point in time, six patrol officers that had entered
19	the apartment before homicide, before Mercy?
20	A I I don't think six people entered before
21	Mercy arrived, no.
22	Q Officer, it's spelled S-m-y-t-h I don't
23	know if that's Smith or Smyth.
24	A Smyth.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	Q	Did Officer Smyth enter the apartment?
2	Α	Yes, she did.
3	Q.	And did she enter the area where the closet
4	was, where the b	odies were found?
5	A	Not to my no.
6	Q	Not to your knowledge?
7	A	Both; she didn't.
8	Q	Were you present during the entire time that
9	Officer Smyth wa	s inside the apartment?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And you are telling us that she never
12	entered the clos	et area?
13	A	If you are asking me did she go in where the
14	bodies were, no,	she didn't.
15	ď	But she entered the apartment at least,
16	isn't that true?	
1.7	A	Yes,
18	Q	And so did Officer Clark?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And so did Officer Morales?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And isn't it true that Officer Morales and
23	Clark went over	to where the girls were in the closet area
24	and looked at th	e bodies?
		001103

		160
3		
MRippo-07058-R0A00915		
97 65	1	A They were in front of the closet.
-ROA6	2	Q Did they look at the bodies, sir?
9915	3	A Yes.
	4	Q You put that in your report, didn't you?
	5	A That they looked at the bodies, yes.
	6	Q I count that up as six officers that entered
:	7	that apartment: Officers Clark, Flenner, Morales, Smyth,
÷	8	Gosler and yourself.
	9	Does that sound like a fair
	10	representation?
	11	A Yes.
	12	
	13	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at
	14	this time.) BY MR. WOLFSON:
	15	Q Isn't it true that you gave permission to
	16	Officer Smyth to go up and take a look at the bodies
	17	A Yes.
·	18	Q or to go into the apartment?
	19	So there were six officers that
	20	were within just a few feet of the bodies; isn't that true?
	21	A I'll say at least five. I I don't know
	22	if Officer Morales I can't recall if he did or not.
	23	Q I want to show you what's been admitted as
	24	State's Exhibit 19 and ask you to take a look at that

21.

photograph.	
	And you've seen that
photograph, hav	en't you?
А	Yes, I have.
Q	Would it be fair to say that that is a
photograph look	ing at the girls, into the closet?
A	Yes.
Q	So there is a threshold there where one of
the girls is ly	ing, isn't that true?
A	Yes.
Q	Do you know what I mean by threshold?
Α	Yes.
Q	What do we mean by threshold?
A	Well, the there is, like, a di a
dividing div	riding the closet from this area where the
the	

Q A door Jamb?

A Yeah.

Q Is that a fair way to put it?

A Yeah.

Q Where the door jamb separates the interior of the closet from the hallway; is that a good explanation?

A I don't know if I would call it a hallway, but it's -- it separates that area in between the closet and

1 the bathroom. 2 Q Okay. To your knowledge, how many of the 3 six officers that entered this apartment crossed -- crossed 4 the threshold and entered the interior of the closet? 5 A One. 6 Q And who is that? 7 A Officer Flenner. 8 Q Flenner? 9 А Yes. 10 Did you? Q 11 А No. 12 Q Thank you. 13 Would it be fair to say that 14 the area surrounding where the girls were found, meaning the 15 interior of the closet and the area Just outside, would be a 16 primary area for crime scene preservation? 17 А Yes. 18 Q Have you ever had any training in the 19 gathering of physical evidence at a crime scene? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q What type of training? 22 A Very basic training while I was in the 23 academy. 24 Q And would this be so that you, as a police

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	officer who goes to a crime scene, would generally be aware
2	of what the crime lab people do when they come to a scene?
3	A Generally speaking, yes.
4	Q So that you can assist them in other
5	words, if you know what their job is in gathering the
6	evidence, would it be fair to say that that aids you in your
7	process of preserving the crime scene?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What is the yellow tape called that we see
10	on television that cordons off areas where crimes occur?
11	You've got to have a fancy name.
12	A I'm not
13	Q Yellow tape?
14	A Yellow tope is all they call it, police
15	tape.
16	Q Okay. Does it
17	A Yellow tape.
18	Q Does it have wording on it?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. Do you carry that in your vehicle?
21	A Yes.
22	Q ————————————————————————————————————
23	preservation to cordon off crime scene areas?
24	A Yes.
	001107

1		Could the officer just hold it
2	up sa they will	see what photographs he's talking about.
3		THE COURT: Hold it towards the Jury.
4		THE WITNESS: (Complies.)
5		MR. WOLFSON; Thank you, Officer.
6	BY MR. WOLFSON:	
7	Q	Did you place that yellow tape at this crime
8	scene?	
9	. А	I don't recall if I did or not.
10	Q	Okay. Do you recall
11	Α	I don't think I did.
12	Q	You do or do not?
13	A	I don't think I did.
14	Q	Okay. Officer, do you recall whether or not
15	any of this yell	low tape was placed on the interior of the
16	apartment?	
17	A	No.
18	Q	You don't recall or it wasn't?
19	A	It wasn't.
20	Q	All right. So somebody had the foresight to
21	make a determina	ation to cordon off the general area of the
22	apartment unit,	and that's exhibited by this picture?
23	(Indicating) Is	s that right?
24	A	Yes.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122. 391-0379

1	Q	But you don't recall whether ar not somebody
2	cordoned off th	e area where the murders took place?
3	(Indicating)	
4	A	No one put yellow tape there, no.
5	Q	Officer, were you there when the crime lab
6	arrived?	
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	What's the purpose of a crime lab?
9		MR. SEATON: Judge, I'm going to object to
10	that. We've go	t criminalists coming in. They can tell
11	that. This man	is a patrolman, not a crime lab
12		THE COURT: Well, if he knows, he can
13	answer,	
14		THE WITNESS: To gather evidence.
15	BY MR. WOLFSON:	
16	Q	And did you see any crime lab people gather
17	evidence while	you were there?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Did you see crime lab personnel there?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Were you not in the apartment so you could
22	not see and tha	t's why you didn't see them gother evidence?
23	Were you outsid	e?
24	А	I was outside.
		001110

23

24

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

001114

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1		FROM THE AUDIENCE: No, sir.
2		THE COURT: Okay.
3	BY MR. SEATON:	
4	Q	Did there come a period of time in the late
5	afternoon or ea	rly evening when Diana Hunt came to that
6	residence?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you remember what time of the day on
9	Teresa's birthd	ay, February 19th, 1992, 1t was?
10	А	It was in the afternoon, early evening.
11	Q	Did she come there with anybody else?
1.2	Α	No.
13	Q	Do you know how she came there and in what
14	sort of a vehic	le?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Who was in the house?
17	A	I believe just Tom Christos, Teresa and I.
18	Q	Did anyone leave the house after Diana had
19	gotten there?	
20	А	Teresa and Diana.
21	Q	How long a period of time was it from the
22	time that Diana	got there until they left?
23	А	I'm not sure; maybe an hour.
24	Q	Do you know where they were going?
		001114

Jana Barana

1	A They were going shopping to get Teresa a
2	birthday present.
3	Q And did they, in fact, leave?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Let me take you to the next day, February
6	the 20th well, let me ask you this: During the rest of
7	that late afternoon, evening and into the nighttime hours,
8	until the next day, did you see Teresa Perillo?
9	A No, huh-uh.
10	Q She was gone from the house the entire time?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q Did you stay there that entire time at the
13	house?
14	A Yeah.
15	Q Did there come a time, after noon of the
16	next day, when you saw either Diano Hunt or Teresa
17	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to
18	object to the leading nature. He's telling her What time,
19	what day. We can ask and see what the witness knows, but
20	it's improper for the D.A. to tell them what time and days,
21	THE COURT: Sustained, Rephrase, please.
22	MR. SEATON: But I do want to take her to
23	the next day,
24	THE COURT: That's fine.

1.	BY MR. SEATON:	
2	Q	On the next day, February the 20th, 1992, did
3	you have an occ	asion to see Diana Hunt?
4	А	Yes.
5	·	And what time of the day was that?
6	А	Um, I think it was in the afternoon.
7	Q	All right. Was she by herself?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Did she do anything when she came to the
10	house?	
11	А	Yeah, she came to get clathes clothes for
12	Teresa.	
13	Q	Did she get some clothes for Tereso?
14	А	Yeah.
15	Q	How did she go about doing that?
16	A	She packed a suitcase for her.
17	Q	Did she take that suitcase and leave?
18	А	Yeah.
19	Q	Did you see that day the car that she was
20	in?	
21	А	Yeah.
22	Q	Can you tell us what that car looked like?
23	A	It was a red sports car.
24	Q	A red sports car?
		001116

1		While she was there, was there
2	any problem, a	ny problems that arose at all?
3	A	No.
4		MR. SEATON: Court's indulgence, please.
5		THE COURT: Okay.
6	BY MR. SEATON:	
7	Q	I'm showing you what has been marked for
8	purposes of ide	entification as State's Proposed Exhibits 64
9	and 65,	
10		Do you want to take those?
11		Looking at those two
12	photographs, co	nn you tell us if that automobile appears to
13	be familiar to you at all?	
14	А	It looks like the one she came in, except
15	for the fender.	
16	Q	What do you mean except for the fender?
17	A	The fender wasn't primered I'm sorry.
18	The fender wasn	't primered. I mean, if it's the same car.
19	Q	You are pointing to the photograph which is
20	designated prop	osed 64 when you were making the comment.
21		Do you see something about that
22	fender that you	referred to that is different?
23	A	The car she came in was all red.
24	Q	And how is this picture different from that?
		001117

1	Diana Hunt at t	:hat time?
2	A	No, sir.
3	a	Did you see her during those that period
4	of time on occo	ision?
5	A	Not really.
6	a	Do you know of your own knowledge at that
7	time if she had	l a boyfriend?
8	A	I believe so. I'm not sure.
9	a	Did you did you know the name of the
10	boyfriend?	
11		MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor
12		THE WITNESS: Yeah.
13		MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I object. If she
14	didn't know if	she has one, it would be hard for her to know
15	the name.	
16		THE COURT: Sustained the objection.
17	BY MR. SEATON:	,
18	Q	Well, let me ask a little bit more.
19		Were you aware that Diana Hunt
20	had a male frie	nd that she spent time with?
21	A	From hearsay.
22	Q	From whose hearsay?
23 ⁻	А	Hearsay.
24		I guess her T.C. or her,
		001119

1	Teresa, said so	long ago, I'm not sure.
2	Q	Who is T.C.?
3	А	Tom Christos.
4	Q	Around the time of Diana Hunt coming to the
5	house and picki	ng up the clothes of Teresa Perillo and
6	leaving in the	red sports car, did you receive a call, phone
7	call, that you	answered?
8	A	Yes,
9	Q	Did the caller identify him or herself?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	How did they identify themselves?
12	A	As Mike.
13	Q	As Mike? And who did Mike ask for?
14	A	For Diana.
15	Q	Was Diana there at that time?
16	A	No, sir.
17	Q	Did you tell Mike that?
18	. А	Yes.
19	q	Did Mike tell you anything else?
20	A	Yeah, he left it the said to leave a
21	message to her.	
22		MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
23		THE COURT: Sustained.
24	BY MR. SEATON:	
		001120

the second of th

1	Q You had not met anyone named Mike?
2	A No.
3	Q Did you believe that you knew how that Mike
4	was related to Diana?
5	A No.
6	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; that calls for
7	MR. SEATON: She just said no.
8	MR. WOLFSON: Well, I'm still going to
9	object.
10	THE REPORTER: Just a
11	MR. WOLFOSN: He interrupted me. I
12	interrupted you.
13	MR. HARMON: I will withdraw the question.
14	THE COURT: All right. The question is
15	withdrawn.
16	MR. SEATON: And I have no further
17	questions.
18	MR. WOLFSON: Will you please strike the
19	answer,
20	THE COURT: The answer will be stricken.
21	MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence.
22	(Whereupon, a sotto vace at this time.)
23	THE COURT. Now you want me to be
24	THE COURT: Now you want me to be
	001121

1	intelligent, too.
2	
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
5	Q Now, you've known Diana most of your life;
6	she is your cousin?
7	A Yeah. I didn't ~— I didn't know her as a
8	little kid. I mean, I I don't have no recollection
9	because we were so young.
10	Q You indicated she was living with you or
11	living with T.C. and that you were living there at the same
12	time; is that correct?
13	A No. sir.
14	Q No? She visited you on a regular basis?
15	A My cousin, Diana Hunt?
16	Q Uh-huh.
17	A No.
18	Q Did you hang out with her a lot?
19	A About a year before that.
20	Q Is that when you guys would go out and watch
21	bands and stuff like that?
22	A Yeah.
23	Q Did you work days or nights or
24	A When?
	001122

1	a	When you were hanging out with her?
2	A	Um, I don't think I was working at all then.
3	Q	And why did you stop hanging out with her?
4	А	Um
5		MR. SEATON: Objection as to the relevancy
6	of that. Let's	establish when she stopped hanging out with
7	her at least.	
8		THE COURT: Lay a foundation.
9	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	•
10	Q	Okay. You were hanging out with her about a
11	year before, on	a regular basis, going and watching bands,
12	things like tha	t; and then there came a time when you
13	stopped hanging	out with her; is that correct?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	When was that?
16	А	Sometime during the summer, the year before.
17	Q	So
18		THE COURT: What year? Let's get a year
19	now.	
20	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	:
21	Q	July, August of '91?
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q	And why did you
24		THE COURT: Is that a yes?
		001123

JA001397

1	THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry. Yes, sir.
2	I'm sorry.
3	THE COURT: You have to say yes or no.
4	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
5	Q Was there a particular reason that you
6	decided to stop hanging out with her?
7	MR. SEATON: And, Judge, now I will
8	interpose the objection that I said before: Now that we
9	know it's July or August, some half a year before these
10	killings, there is no relevancy.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may I approach
12	the bench? We can make an offer of proof as to the
13	relevance.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Approach.
15	
16	(Discussion had off the record.)
17	
18	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
19	Q Was there a particular reason why you
20	stopped hanging out with her on a regular basis?
21	A Yeah.
22	Q What was that?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry,
	001124

1	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	;
2	Q	What was that?
3	A	She was just part partying too much and
4	I couldn't s	he would steal my clothes, and lying to me
5	and Just	
6	Q	So you had
7	A	Pretty minor stuff, but, you know, it got on
8	my nerves.	
9	Q	Not if it's your clothes, right?
10	А	Yeah; nothing major.
11	Q	You said lying to you on a regular basis?
12	? A	Yeah, just yeah.
13	Q ·	Is that pretty common for her?
14	А	It wasn't at first, no.
15	Q	Was she heavily involved in drugs at the
16	time?	
17	A	I don't know I don't think so. I think
18	she was Just dr	inking then. I'm not sure because I stopped
19	hanging around l	ner. So
20	Q	What about Tom Christos and Teresa; you
21	lived in the hos	use with them; is that correct?
22	A	Yeah, I did.
23	Q	Was there a lot of drug use going on?
24	A	Not that I'm aware of, no.
		001125

Copy

Case No. C 106784

Dept. No. IV

Docket No. C

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

VOLUME IT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GERARD J. BONGIOVANNI

Monday, February 5, 1996

2:30 p.m.

8

9

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO,

13 Defendant.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Reported by: Gerri Lapthorne, C.C.R. No. 82

001202

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For the State: MELVYN T. HARMON,
4	Deputy District Attorney and
5	DANIEL M. SEATON, Deputy District Attorney
6	
7	For the Defendant; STEVEN WOLFSON and
8	PHILIP H. DUNLEAVY
و	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

INDEX OF WITNESSES

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

2

1

3

4

5

б

DIANA HUNT

Direct Examination - Harmon

7 (Continued)

77, 129

Page.

8

9 Voir Dire Examination - Dunleavy

State's Exhibit 104 received:

128

Page

104

10

7 1

, ,

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

.

20

21

22

23

24

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA FEBRUARY 5, 1996 2:30 P.M. 2 3 4 (After a recess the following 5 proceedings were had in open court 6 in the presence and hearing of the 7 jury.) 8 9 10 <u>D I A N A H U N T</u>, having been called as a witness 11 by the State, having been previously sworn to tell 12 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the 13 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 18 (Continued) BY MR. HARMON: 19 20 After Mr. Rippo had used the towel and 21 the cloth to wipe everything down, did the two of you leave? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Did you take anything with you from

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

Í	78
1	inside the apartment?
2	A. No.
3	Q. What about Mike Rippo, điđ he take
4	anything with him?
5	A. He had the bag of like two bags, gym
6	bags.
7	Q. You went out the door?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What happened after you left; was the
10	door closed or was it left open?
11	A. He closed the door and he locked it. He
12	had a key, and he locked the deadbolt lock.
13	Q. Do you know where Mr. Rippo got the key
14	he used?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did you have any type of key to the
17	apartment?
18	A, No.
19	Q. After he locked the deadbolt to the
20	front door, did he keep the key?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Did he still have the stun gun?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you know at that point where the

23

24

79 steak knife was at? 1 2 Α. No. 3 You mentioned earlier inside the 0. 4 apartment that Mr. Rippo used the knife to cut the 5 cords on certain appliances? б Α. Yes. 7 Did you ever see him do anything else Q. 8 with the steak knife? 9 A. No. What happened after the two of you left 10 Q. 11 the apartment? He walked me to the car, to the Pinto. 12 Α. Did you have a conversation at that 13 Q. 14 point? I was crying, and I said, what do 15 Yeah. Α. 16 I do? And he said, just go home and 17 wait for me, and don't start tweaking now. He said, 18 nobody got hurt and nobody has to. That he knew 19 what he was doing. 20 21

Q. Did he tell you where to go at that time?

- A. He told me to go back to Deidre's.
- Q. And did he tell you how to get back

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

		8	Ü
1	there?		
2	A .	No. He said, just drive.	
3	Q.	Did you return to Deidre D'Amore's	
4	place?		
5	Α.	Yes.	
6	Q.	How did you get there?	
7	Α.	I drove the Pinto.	
8	Q.	With Mr. Rippo?	
9	Α.	No.	
10	Q.	What happened to Mr. Rippo?	
11	Α.	I left him there.	
12	Q.	What do you mean you left him there?	
13	Α.	He was standing in the parking let when	
14	I drove off		
15	Q -	Did you have any understanding as you	
16	drove off a	bout when he would recontact you?	
17	Α.	No. He just said he would call.	
18	Q.	What was your state of mind at the time	
19	you drove o	ff?	
20	Α.	I was in shock.	
21	Q.	But you've indicated that you were sick	
22	the night b	efore?	
23	Α.	Yes.	
24	Q.	That you had been throwing up?	

1	Α,	Yes.
2	Q.	Were you still ill?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	What were your thoughts concerning the
5	two young wo	men; Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?
6	Α.	I knew in my heart, I knew that they
7	weren't. He	said they that they were still
8	alive, but I	knew in my heart they couldn't still be
9	alive.	
10	ହ -	Why did you know in your heart they
11	still couldr	n't be alive?
12	Α,	Because I heard that noise and I saw
13	what was hap	pening.
14	Q.	What noise are you talking about?
15	Α.	It was I never heard anything like
16	it. I can't	even describe it.
17	Q.	Who was making the noise?
18	Α.	Denise.
19	Q.	What did it sound like?
20	Α.	It sounded like an animal noise.
21	Q.	Is that what prompted you to crawl into
22	the bedroom	area?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Ω.	Anywhere inside of the apartment did you

1	see any evid	lence of bleeding?
2	Α.	No, I didn't see any.
3	Q.	You said that you were asked on a couple
4	of occasions	to clean up the apartment?
5	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Was there blood to your knowledge inside
7	the apartmen	nt?
8	Α.	well, he told me that there was blood.
9	Q .	When did Mr. Rippo tell you there was
10	blood?	
11	Α.	He went into the closet and he untied
12	Denise's fee	et, and I asked him what he was doing,
13	and he said	that he had bled on her pants, that she
14	had out him	or made him bleed.
15	٥.	During the struggle?
16	À.	Yes,
17	Q -	You said that he untied her legs?
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Ω.	This is Denise you're referring to?
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Did you see what the defendant did then?
22	A.	He took off her shoes, her boots, and he
23	rolled her d	over and undid her pants and pulled them
24	off.	:

•	z. Do low know what nappehed to the pants
2	and shoes of Denise?
3	A. He stuck them in the black bag.
4	Q. Did you ever see them again?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did the defendant tell you why he didn't
7	want an article of clothing left on Denise that had
8	his blood on it?
9	A. He just said, I bled on her pants and I
10	have to take them.
11	Q. To your knowledge of when that you and
12	Mr. Rippo left the apartment, were the two young
13	women still tied up or had they been untied?
14	A. He untied at some point he untied
15	Lauri's hands and, I believe, her feet. I remember
16	her hands were untied. And he untied Denise's feet
17	to take her shoes off.
18	Q. Did he leave her feet untied?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you have an estimate of how long you
21	and Mr. Rippo were inside the apartment of Lauri
22	Jacobson that day, that Tuesday, February 18?
23	A. I would say total approximately two
24	hours.

ater on? alled.
alled.
ime it was
g dark
·
ersation
nversation?
friend's
•
•
he wanted
lephone

1		
1	A.	Yes.
2	Ω.	How did you get from Deidre D'Amore's
3	place to To	m's shop?
4	Α.	I drove the Pinto.
5	Ω.	What happened when you arrived there?
6	Α.	He was there with Tom and another guy
7	was there.	
8	Q.	The defendant was there with Tom Sims?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Do you know the other guy who was also
11	there?	
12	Α.	It was just a guy that worked there.
13	And Mike sa	id, I have a car for you.
14	Ω.	He said that to you?
15	Α.	Yeah.
16	Q.	Did you see the car?
17	Α.	Yeah.
18	Q.	Describe the car that you saw.
19	A.	It was a maroon Nissan, like a 300.
20	Q.	Did Mr. Rippo tell you whose car it was?
21	Α.	No, not then.
22	Ω.	Did he explain where he got the car at
23	that time?	
24	A.	He said he stole it from some people

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

24

that would be out of town.

- Q. Did you have any conversation with him about what was to happen to this car he said he had for you?
- A. He wanted me to get paperwork for the car.
 - Q. What do you mean, paperwork for the car?
- A. Like title, something to license it with.
- Q. Did you know any person or persons who might help in that regard?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Whom did you know?
- 14 A. A friend of mine, TC, Tom Christos.
- Q. Would you spell the last name?
- 16 A. C-r-i-s-t-o-s.
- Q. Did you know at the time you saw this maroon Nissan whose car it was?
- 19 A. I had an idea.
- Q. Why did you have an idea whose car it was?
 - A. Because I had seen it one other time when I went to The Points, these apartments.
 - Q. The Points is an apartment complex?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. The one time you saw it did you
3	associate the car or at least a car which looked
4	like that with Denise Lizzi?
5	A. Well, later on the car was in somebody
6	else's parking place and I asked the person later
7	whose car it was.
8	MR, WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q. So at the apartment complex called The
12	Points you had seen this vehicle before?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. As a result of information you had
15	acquired did you think, perhaps, when you saw the
16	car at Tom's shop that you knew whose vehicle it
17	was?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Whose car did you think it was?
20	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; speculation.
21	Think, perhaps, maybe she knows. That's
22	speculation.
23	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, we're talking
24	about the state of mind of this witness, that's all.

	_		88
1		THE COURT: Objection overruled.	
2	BY MR. HARMO	ON:	
3	Q.	Whose car did you think it was?	
4	Α.	I thought it was Denise's.	
5	Q.	Did you remember where the car was	
6	parked in re	elation to this shop owned by Tom Sims?	
7	Α,	It was right in front of his shop doors	•
8	Q.	Did you drive the car anywhere from that	i.
9	location?		
10	Α.	Yes, I did.	
11	Q.	Had you had anything to do with bringing	J
12	this maroon	Nissan to Tom's shop?	
13	Α.	No.	
14	۵.	Why did you drive the car?	
15	Α.	I took it to my friends.	
16	Q.	I'm asking why you drove?	
17	Α.	Because he told me to.	·
18	Q.	He meaning Mike Rippo?	
19	Α.	Yes.	
20	Q.	What friends did you take it to?	
21	A.	Tom Christos.	
22	Q.	Did you have a conversation with	
23	Mr. Christos	5	
24	Α.	Yes.	
	l		

7	Q about the car?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. The following day, Wednesday, February
4	19, did you have contact with Mike Rippo, the
5	defendant?
6	A. Yes, I did.
7	Q. Did the two of you have occasion to go
8	to a shopping mall?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What mall did you go to?
11	A. The Meadows Mall.
12	Q. What car were you in to go to the
13	Meadows Mall?
14	A. I don't remember. I can't remember if
15	it was the Pinto or the maroon car.
16	Q. By the marcon car
17	A. Denise's car.
18	Q. You don't remember whether it was Mike
19	Rippo's car or the car you think belonged to Denise?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. Who was driving the car on the way to
22	the Meadows?
23	A. Mr. Rippo.
24	Q. Did you have a conversation with him as

1	you drove to the Meadows Mall on Wednesday, February
2	19, about any shopping he had already done that day?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Was it just the two of you in the car?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What did he tell you?
7	A. He told me he had bought an air
8	compressor and some tools at Service Merchandise
5	that morning.
10	Q. He mentioned Sears also?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What exactly is it that you remember him
13	saying?
14	A. Just that he had bought an air
15	compressor and that he had to go pick it up later.
16	Q. But you mentioned two businesses, you
17	mentioned Service Merchandise and Sears?
18	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, your Honor.
19	Actually, the DA mentioned Sears. She just said
20	yes.
21	THE COURT: That's true.
22	BY MR. HARMON:
23	Q. I suggested the second, but you affirmed
24	that is what he said?

1	A .	Yes.
2	Q.	Did he mention he had been to two
3	places?	
4	A .	He said Sears and Service Merchandise,
5	Q -	Did he make it clear to you of where he
6	had gone to	make the purchase of the air compressor?
7	Α.	Service Merchandise.
. 8	Q.	And the other?
9	Α.	Service Merchandise.
10	Q.	But not Sears?
11	A .	No. I thought they were the same place.
12	Q.	Did he tell you when he had gone there?
13	A .	That morning.
14	Q.	Did Mr. Rippo tell you how he had made
15	the purchase	e; that was, whether he paid cash or used
16	a credit car	rd?
17	Α.	He said he had used a credit card.
18	Q.	Did he tell you what credit card he
19	used?	
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	What happened when the two of you got to
22	the Meadows	Mall?
23	Α.	We went to a shop in the mall that sold
24	glasses, su	nglasses and glasses.

I		
1	Q. po	you remember the name of the shop?
2	A. Th	e Sunglass Factory, or something like
3	that. I don't	remember.
4	Ω. но	w did it happen that the two of you
5	went there?	
6	A. He	just said, come on, we're going
7	somewhere.	
8	Q. Ha	d you discussed beforehand
9	A. No) •
10	Q	that you wanted to buy some
11	sunglasses?	
12	A. No) .
13	Q. Di	d both of you go into The Sunglass
14	Factory or sto	re?
15	A. Ye	· S -
16	Q. Ho	w long did you stay there?
17	A. Ab	out 20 minutes.
18	Q. We	ere purchases made?
19	A. Ye	
20	Q. Wh	at did you buy there?
21	A. Tw	o pairs of sunglasses.
22	Q. Or	e for each of you?
23	A. Ye	es.
24	Q. Do	you remember what brand the
	<u> </u>	

		93	3]
1	sunglasses	were?	
2	A.	They were Oakley's.	
3	Q.	Both of them?	
4	Α.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Did you buy them?	
6	Α.	No.	
7	Õ٠	Did you have the money to buy them?	
8	Α.	No.	
9	Q.	Who did buy the sunglasses?	
10	Α.	Mr. Rippo.	
11	Q.	Do you know whether he paid cash or used	
12	a credit ca	ard?	
13	Α.	He used a credit card.	
14	Q.	Did you know what credit card it was?	
15	. A.	Not then, no.	
16	ġ.	Could you tell what type of credit card	
17	it was?		
18	Α.	It was a gold VISA card.	
19	Ö٠	During the transaction did you realize	***************************************
20	what name v	was on the gold VISA credit card?	
21	А.	No.	***************************************
22	Q.	Who signed the credit card slip?	
23	Α.	Mr. Rippo,	***************************************
24	Q.	You saw him do that?	, !
			3

- [
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Did you go to any other stores at the
3	Meadows Mall?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Just to the sunglass place?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What happened after your visit to the
8	Meadows Mall?
9	A. I left and we went back to Deidre's
10	house, and Mike was upstairs doing something, and I
11	got in his wallet.
12	Q. Why did you get in his wallet?
13	A. Because I was going to take money or
14	something to get away from him.
15	Q. Why did you want to get away from him?
16	A. Because I was scared of him.
17	Q. Up to this point had your fear of
18	Mr. Rippo translated into any effort to contact law
19	enforcement?
20	A. That day I talked to Deidre about
21	getting ahold of someone.
22	Q. As a result of the conversation with
23	Deidre D'Amore, did you have a name?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

She told me Kyle Edwards.

- 1	73
1	Q. Except, however, for the conversation
2	with her, had you contacted anyone in law
3	enforcement up to this point?
4	A. Not at that point.
5	Q. Hadn't called 911?
6	A. Not yet.
7	Q. What were you waiting for?
8	A. I was scared to call anyone.
9	Q. Why were you scared?
10	A. Because he told me if I told anyone,
11	that he would kill Deidre and her daughter too. She
12	had a little girl living there with her.
13	Q. He meaning Mike Rippo, the defendant?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Did you take him seriously?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So you've indicated that you went into
18	his wallet while he was upstairs?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What were you looking for?
21	A. Money, Something.
22	Q. Did you find any money?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Did you find you took

1		90
1	Α.	I took a credit card.
2	Q.	Describe the credit card you took.
3	Α.	It was a gold VISA.
4	Q.	Did you notice the name of the gold
5	VISA?	
6	Α.	I did.
7	Q.	At that time you did?
8	A.	I don't know if it was then or later on,
9	but I notice	ed that it wasn't his name.
10	Q.	It wasn't Mike Rippo's name?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	What was the name?
13	A .	Denny Mason.
14	Q.	M-a-s-o-n?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q -	Did you know who Denny Mason was?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q٠	Did you have any idea who this Denny
19	Mason perso	n was?
20	A .	No.
21	Q.	Did you associate Denny Mason to either
22	Denise Lizz	i or Lauri Jacobson?
23	Α,	No.
24	Q.	But you took the credit card?

i	
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. What happened then?
3	A. I went back to TC's house, Tommy
4	Christos.
5	Q. Why did you go back there?
6	A. Because he was supposed to have the
7	paperwork for the car.
8	Q. Did you make contact with Tom Christos?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. Did you have a conversation with him?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did you get the paperwork?
13	A. No. He didn't have it ready.
14	Q. What happened?
15	A. His girlfriend, Teresa, was there, and
16	it was her birthday, and she wanted to go somewhere,
17	and he didn't want her to leave. And she was
18	dressed up, all ready to go. And she asked me to
19	take her. He told me to go get the car, the maroon
20	car. So she asked to come with me.
21	Q. Who told you to go get the maroon car?
22	A. Tommy Christos.
23	Q. So I gather if he asked you to do that,
24	that you weren't driving the maroon Nissan at that

1	time?	
2	Α.	No. I was driving my car.
3	Q.	Did you and Teresa did you explain to
4	us her last	name?
5	A.	I don't even remember her last name.
б	Q.	Did you and Teresa go somewhere
7	together?	
8	Α.	Yes. She came with me to get the other
9	car.	
10	Q.	Where did you go to get the other car?
11	Α.	In the apartment complex behind Deidre's
12	house. That	's where the car was parked.
13	Q-	Was it covered or uncovered?
14	Α.	It was covered.
15	Q.	Who covered it?
16	Α.	I did.
17	Q.	What did you put over it?
18	λ.	A car cover.
19	Q.	Why did you cover it up?
20	A.	Because it was a stolen car.
21	Q.	Do you remember what car you drove with
22	Teresa to th	ne location where the maroon Nissan had
23	been stored	?
24	А.	My Dodge Colt.

•		
1	Q. Did you leave the Dodge Colt at that	
2	location?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. What happened?	
5	A. I left my car there and we got into the	
6	Nissan and went back. We were heading back to TC's	
7	house.	
8	Q. TC is Tom Christos?	
9	A. Yes.	
0	Q. You say you were heading back. Did you	
1 1	get back to his place?	
2	A. No. On the way back Teresa started	
3	crying, and she was begging me, please don't take me	
4	back, that she was saying that he had been	
5	beating her and he wouldn't let her leave the house,	
6	and it was her birthday and she just didn't want to	
7	go back.	
8	Q. As a result of that did you take her	
19	somewhere?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Where did you go?	
22	A. I took her to the Marker Downs.	
2.3	Q. What is the Marker Downs?	
24	A. It's a bar that was right behind Tom	

1	
1	Sims' shop.
2	Q. Did you spend some time there?
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Did you also go to a shopping mall?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. When was that, before or after you went
7	to the Marker Downs?
8	A. I think it was before. I'm not sure.
9	Q. Why did you go with Teresa to a shopping
10	mall?
11	A. Because Mike found out Mr. Rippo
12	found out I had the credit card, and he was calling
13	around trying to take tell me to give it back.
14	So I told him I'd meet him at the
15	shopping mall to give him back the card and he had
16	to bring me some money.
17	Q. Where exactly were you when you had the
18	conversation with Mr. Rippo about returning the car?
19	A. I had his beeper at the time.
20	Q. So he called you on the beeper?
21	A. I called him. He beeped me.
22	Q. He beeped you. As a result of that did
23	you call him?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

Yes.

Α.

1		101
1	Q.	Where did you call?
2	А.	I called him from the mall, and I told
3	him to meet	me there.
4	Q.	You called to Deidre D'Amore's place?
5	Α.	I don't remember where I called.
6	Q-	Did he give you a number to call?
7	Α.	Yeah, there was a number.
8	Q.	Did you have a conversation with him?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	What did you talk about?
11	A.	He was mad. He wanted the credit card
1 2	back.	
13	Q.	And
14	Α.	And he said I shouldn't have taken it
15	and it was I	nis and he wanted it back.
16	Q.	Did he share with you at that time how
17	he happened	to have a credit card under the name
18	Denny Mason	?
19	A.	No.
20	Ω.	Did you agree that he could have it
21	back?	
22	A .	Yes. I said he could have it back if he
23	brought me	some money.
24	Q.	What mall were you calling from?

(_	102
1	Α.	The Meadows.
2	Q.	So you're once more at the Meadows Mall?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	This is still February 19?
5	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Did you ever meet up with the defendant
7	at the Meado	ows Mall that day?
8	А.	No. We waited until the mall closed.
9	Q.	We is yourself and Teresa?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Did you use the credit card while you
12	were at the	Meadows Mall?
13	A.	Yes, I did.
14	Q.	What did you use it to buy?
15	Α.	I bought Teresa a birthday present.
16	Q.	What did you buy her?
17	Α.	Cologne. Obsession.
18	Q.	Did you thereafter go somewhere else
19	with Teresa	?
20	A.	To the bar.
21	Ω-	The Marker Downs?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	How long had you stayed there?
24	A.	Long enough for her to get drunk.
		•

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q.

A. Yes. I took her to another bar, Club Rock, and I called TC from there.

Did you go somewhere else?

- Q. What did you tell TC?
- A. I said I needed to bring her home, that she was drunk, and I couldn't be driving that car around, and that I had to bring her home.
 - Q. Did he want her home?
- A. No. He was very mad, mad at me for having her with me, and he said he didn't want her back and not to bring her back there, and he was mad.
- Q. As a result of that conversation did you make an effort to find a place to stay that night?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What did you do?
- A. I went from motel to motel until I found a place that had a room that would take a credit card, and I got us a room.
- 20 Q. Where did you get the two of you --
- 21 A. At the Gold Coast.
- Q. Was it just the two of you?
- A. No. There was some people we picked up at this bar and took back with us.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

		104
1	Q.	Which bar?
2	A.	Club Rock.
3	Q.	How many people did you pick up?
4	A.	Two.
5	Q -	Who were these two people?
6	Α.	Two guys that were playing there in the
7	band.	
8	Q.	In the band at Club Rock?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Where was it you stayed that night?
11	Α.	At the Gold Coast.
12	Q-	Did you use the credit card in the name
13	Denny Mason	at the Gold Coast?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Do you remember now what time 1t was,
16	approximate)	ly, when you checked into the Gold Coast?
17	A.	I don't remember.
18	Q.	Was it sometime the night of February
19	19, 1992?	
20	A.	It was in the late afternoon, I think,
21	more, or the	evening. I can't remember.
22	Q.	Did you continue to have the marcon
23	Nissan	
24	Α.	Yes.

		,03
*	Q.	that evening?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q+ ₂	While you were with Teresa, did you ever
4	go anywhere	to get some spray paint?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Was that also on February 19?
7	Α.	I can't remember.
8	Q -	where did you go to get spray paint?
9	Α.	To my friends' house, Jason and Rick.
10	Q.	Do you remember their last names?
11	Α.	No, not now.
12	Q.	Why did you go to their place to get
13	some paint?	-
14	Α.	Because they worked on cars and I
15	thought the	y would probably have some.
16	Q.	What did you want to use the paint for?
17	A.	I wanted to spray it on the car because
18	I was drivi	ng a car around I was stuck driving.
19	the car, and	d the car was stolen, and I wanted to
20	change the	appearance.
21	Ω.	But were you able to obtain some paint
22	from Jason a	and Rick?
23	Α.	Yes. Some primer.
24	Ω.	What did you do with the primer paint?
	i	·

1	A. I sprayed on it the front fender of the
5	car.
3	Q. Do you remember where you were when you
4	did that?
5	A. At their house.
б	Q. But I gather from your testimony you
7	don't remember if this was on the 19th or after
8	that?
9	A. Right.
10	Q. Did there come a time when you learned
11	that the crime at the Katie Arms apartment complex
12	had been discovered?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. How did that come to your attention?
15	A. I was back at the same place, Rick and
16	Jason's, and it came on the news.
17	Q. What was your reaction to the news of
18	what had occurred?
19	A. I knew, I knew for sure then with no
20	doubt that they were dead and that that's whose car
. 21 .	I had.
22	Q. Did you continue to drive the car?
23	A. No. I drove it to where I left it.

(702) 382-7530 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES

Where did you leave it?

Q.

24

1	A. I left it at the Albertson's on Rainbow.
2	I left it out toward the street because I thought
3	that the police would see it.
4	Q. Did you make any effort immediately
5	after you learned that for sure these two young
6	women had been killed to get in touch with the
7	police?
8	A. When I talked to Deidre next I did.
9	Q. What were your efforts to contact the
10	police?
11	A. I asked Deidre to help me get in touch
12	with somebody and
13	MR. WOLFSON: I'm going to object;
14	anticipating hearsay.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	BY MR. HARMON:
17	Q. Is this the same conversation you've
18	already referred to?
19	A. No. This was a different one.
20	Q. This is a second time you asked?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. As a result of the conversation did you
23	get the name of someone?
24	A. Yes.

1	Q. What was the name?
2	A. Kyle Edwards.
3	Q. So you're saying this is the second time
4	you got the name Kyle Edwards?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you try to reach a Kyle Edwards at
7	the police department?
8	A. Through Deidre I did.
9	Q. What do you mean through Deidre?
10	A. She said she had already spoken
1 7	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	MR. WOLFSON: Move to strike.
14	THE COURT: It will be stricken.
15	MR. WOLFSON: Ask the witness to be
16	cautioned to not relate what other people say.
17	THE COURT: You can't say what someone
18	else told you. You can only state from your
19	personal observation.
20	BY MR. HARMON;
21	Q. You don't have any legal training, do
2 <i>2</i>	you, Miss Hunt?
23	A. No.
24	Q. You didn't go to law school?

l		
1	A. No.	
2	Q. What is the extent of your formal	
3	education?	
4	A. I graduated high school and I'm in	
5	college.	
6	Q. You say you graduated high school,	điđ
7	you say, and college?	
8	A. I'm in college.	
9	Q. That you're in college.	
10	Are you schooled in the rule	s of
11	evidence in courts of law?	
12	A. No.	
13	Q. Did you ever attempt on your own to	get
14	in touch with anyone at the police department?	
15	A. That one time I did.	
16	Q. What were your efforts?	
17	A. I called them on the phone.	
18	Q. Do you remember where you were when	you
19	placed the call?	
20	A. At Deidre's apartment.	
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: Could we specify who	them
22	is; Highway Patrol	
23	THE COURT: Who did you call on the	
24	phone?	

1		THE WITNESS: I called the homicide.
2	BY MR. HARMO	N:
3	Q.	Where were you when you placed the call?
4	Α.	At Deidre's apartment.
5	Q.	By yourself?
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	You've just indicated in response to the
в	Court that y	ou called someone in homicide?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Ω.	Were you able to talk to anyone?
11		MR. WOLFSON: Objection. I would just
12	like a litt1	e better foundation as to when this
13	occurred.	·
14		THE COURT: Lay a better foundation.
15	BY MR. HARMO	N:
16	Q.	Do you remember approximately when this
17	call was?	
18	А.	The day I saw it on the news, the 20th.
19	Q.	February 20, 1992?
20	A .	Yes.
21	Q.	Do you remember about what time of the
22	day or night	it was
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	that you made the call?

- 001238 -

1	A. When I called him it was after that. It
2	was on the first time I called him directly was,
3	I think, the 29th.
4	Q. Well, that's what you were asked about.
5	When is it that you first learned
6	that the two young women had for sure been killed?
7	A. When I saw it on the news.
8	Q. Do you know what date that was?
9	A. The 20th.
10	Q. But you're indicating it was more like
11	February 29 of when you actually
12	A. When I actually spoke to that man on the
13	phone it was the 29th.
14	Q. You did speak with someone on the
15	telephone
16	A. Yes.
17	Q at the police department?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Did you have a number that you had
20	called?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Where did you get the number?
23	A. From Deidre.
24	Q. When you called the number did you ask

		112
4	for a partic	cular person?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Ω.	Did you speak with someone?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Without going into the conversation, did
6	that person	identify himself or herself?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	٥٠	By what name?
9	Α.	Kyle Edwards.
10	Q.	Previously, on that day, had you had
11	contact with	h the defendant, Mike Rippo
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	on February 29
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	1992?
16		How did you happen to have
17	contact with	h Mr. Rippo on that day?
18	A.	He was trying to get into the apartment
19	where I was	, Deidre's.
20	Ω.	Was it while that was happening that you
21	attempted to	o reach Kyle Edwards of the police
22	department?	
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	What did you say to Mr. Edwards?
	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 Α. I told him that he was at the door, that 2 he was trying to get in the door. 3 About how long were you on the telephone? 4 5 About five minutes. б Q. After the telephone conversation did you 7 go anywhere with Mr. Rippo? 8 After he came in the house, and he left that day, and I came back later. I called the house 9 10 first and Deidre was home and I asked her, is Mike 11 there? And she said, no. 12 And I said, are you sure? And 13 she said, yes. And I came to get the rest of my 14 stuff, and when I got there he was there, and he was 15 waiting in the house. 16 Q. About what time was this? 17 Α. In the afternoon. 18 MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm sorry, your Honor. 19 I'm confused. I thought he came over in the evening 20 to get in and she called Kyle Edwards. I guess I'm 21 confused as to timing. BY MR. HARMON: 22 23 Can you clarify the timing; you're Q.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

saying that he was coming into Deidre's place and

1	you called Kyle Edwards?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. You said that you then left?
4	A. I left Deidre's house the day that he
5	ended up with me. I came back over there and he was
6	waiting there for me.
7	Q. But was that the same day or a different
8	day?
9	A. I'm not sure. It might have been the
10	next day. I know it was February 29 when I went
11	back over there, and he was waiting there for me,
12	and I got in my car to leave, and he got in my car
13	also.
14	Q. Do you have some difficulty after almost
15	four years in remembering specific dates?
16	A. Yes. Yes, I do.
17	Q. But you're saying that there was an
18	occasion when Mr. Rippo got into your car with you?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What car were you driving at that time?
21	A. The Dodge Colt, my car.
22	Q. Did you want him to get into the car
23	with you?
24	A. No.

7	Q. Now, is this after you had the
2	conversation with Kyle Edwards?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What happened after Mr. Rippo got into
5	your Dodge with you?
6	A. He wouldn't get out. He kept asking,
7	telling me to come with him, and telling me not to
8	leave, and he wouldn't get out of my car.
9	Q. Were you driving at that time or was the
10	car parked?
71	A. I was still in the parking lot at that
12	time.
13	Q. Still in which parking lot?
14	A. In Deidre's.
15	Q. Did you at that point have any intention
16	of continuing the relationship with Mr. Rippo?
17	A. No.
18	Q. You said he was telling you not to
19	leave?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Why was he telling you not to leave?
22	A. I think he was actually when I was in
23	the house he wanted me to come upstairs with him,
24	and I wouldn't, because there was no reason to go

1 upstairs, and I was afraid if I went upstairs with him I'd never come back down. 2 3 So I ran out of the house and got into my car, and he followed me and got into my car 4 5 also, and he was saying, don't leave, don't go 6 anywhere. 7 Did Mr. Rippo ever tell you what would 8 happen if you left? 9 Α. Yes. That was later on that day though. 10 Q. Did you end up driving somewhere with him in the car? 11 12 Yeah. I took off driving. He wouldn't Α. 13 get out of the car so I started driving to my friend 14 Doug's house. 15 What is Doug's last name? Q.

- A. Starts with a Z. Ziltz, I think. I can't remember, even remember.
- 18 Q. Do you know how it's spelled?
 - $A. \qquad 2-i-1-t-z.$
- 20 Q. First name was Doug?
- 21 A. Yes.

16

17

19

22

23

24

Q. While you were driving in the direction of Doug's place did the conversation continue with Mr. Rippo?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

- A. Yes.
- Q. What do you remember about the conversation?
- A. He told me -- he started out saying that he wanted to kill every -- quite a lot of people, me included. And he said -- he started talking about what he would do to me if I left. And he started explaining what he did to the girls in the apartment.
- Q. What did he tell you he would do to you if you left?
- A. He told me that he would do the same thing to me that he did to them. And he also told me, I'll hang you in the legal system, when I suggested we go talk to the police.
- Q. Did you suggest that the two of you go to the police?
- 18 A. Yeah.
 - Q. Did Mr. Rippo agree to that?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. You mentioned earlier in your testimony
 that on the day this happened, Tuesday, February 18,
 that when you drove off you left him standing in the
 parking lot of the Katie Arms?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. On this day when you were riding with him, which you've indicated you believe to be February 29, did Mr. Rippo tell you whether he ever went back into the apartment?
 - A. He said he did.
 - Q. He told you that on that occasion?
 - A. He said he had gone back.
 - Q. Did he tell you when he went back?
- 10 A. He just said, I went back that evening.
- That same day. I took it it was the same day.
- Q. Did he tell you what happened when he went back?
 - A. He said he went back and he had cut their throats and that he had jumped up and down on them. And he was just saying all kinds of things that he had done.
 - Q. Did you have any way of knowing whether this was accurate information that he was giving?
 - A. No.
- 21 Q. Did it scare you?
- 22 A. I believed him.
 - Q. Did you ever have any conversation with Mr. Rippo about who it was he had called from Lauri

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

		1 1
1	Jacobson's apartment?	
2	A. Yes. That's when he told me.	
3	Q. That same day while you were in the	
4	Dodge?	
5	A. Yeah.	
6	Q. Did you ask him about it?	
7	A. Yes. I asked him, how did you know the	
8	phone was going to ring? And I was asking him all	
9	kinds of questions.	
10	Q. What did Mr. Rippo say to you?	
11	A. He said he had called Alice, his friend	
12	Alice, and told her to call back.	
13	Q. Did he tell you why he called Alice?	
14	A. I guess because he trusted her.	
15	Q. Did he tell you why he wanted someone,	
16	whether it was Alice or another person, to call the	
17	apartment at that time?	

- A. To occupy Lauri.
 - Q. Lauri Jacobson?
- 20 A. Yes.

19

- Q. To occupy her what, why?
- 22 A. So he could rob Denise.
- Q. Did Mr. Rippo tell you whether he explained any of that to his friend Alice?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. He didn't tell me.
- Q. You mentioned that that day you had gone back over to Deidre's place to collect all of your belongings?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Had you picked up your belongings?
 - A. Yes. They were all in my car.
- Q. At some point did you jump out of your car?
- A. Yes. My car -- he was driving -- we were driving and the car ran out of gas and on Sahara, and he was still telling me not to leave, not to get out of the car, and I just jumped out of the car and started running down the road, waving my arms.
- Q. Did you attempt to take anything with you from the car?
- 18 A. No. I just jumped out.
 - Q. Where did you go?
 - A. I ran down the road and flagged down the first car that came by. And then I went to the gas station that was up the road and I called my friend Doug.
 - Q. Did you talk with him?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

Α.

1 Α. Yeah. He said he would come get me. 2 Did he? Q. 3 Yeah. A. 4 When you say that you had all of your Q. 5 belongings in your Dodge, will you describe for us 6 the types of things that you had? 7 I had clothes and all my personal stuff. Α. 8 Did you have any type of luggage or Q. 9 carrying bags? 10 I had a brown bag that had all my Α. 11 pictures in it and some hygiene items, and I had 12 some other bags with clothes and stuff like that. 13 Do you know what happened to Mr. Rippo Q. and to your Dodge car after you jumped out and left? 14 Well, I know that when me and Doug came 15 back to see if he was still there he was gone and 16 17 some of my stuff was gone out of my car. The door 18 was still open. 19 Was the car still stopped there on Q. Sahara at the same place where it had run out of 20 21 qas? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. What was missing from the car?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

My bag with all my pictures in it and -- .

1	MR. HARMON: May I have the Court's
2	indulgence?
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	Maybe we should take a short
5	recess.
6	Remember, do not converse with
7	anyone on any subject connected with this case;
8	read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary
9	on the trial by any medium of information;
10	including, without limitation, newspapers, radio
11	or television, or form or express any opinion on
12	this trial until the case is submitted to you for
13	deliberation.
14	
15	(After a recess the following
16	proceedings were had in open court
17	in the presence and hearing of the
18	jury.)
19	
20	Counsel stipulate to the presence
21	of the jury?
22	MR. SEATON: Yes, your Honor.
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: You may continue with your

23

24

1 direct examination. Ž MR. HARMON: Thank you. Judge, may I approach the Е 4 witness? 5 THE COURT: You may. б MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, before he 7 asks to open that, we do have an objection to that 8 piece of evidence. 9 Examining it, it appears that the 10 evidence tape has actually been cut and resealed 11 with regular scotch tape. I would also submit that there is 12 13 no chain as to where this came from or how it got 14 here, and I think that needs to be laid before they start handing the evidence to the witness. 15 16 MR. HARMON: Not necessarily, your 17 Honor. 18 What we'd like to do is just to 19 show her the bag. She is not going to recognize the bag, but we simply want the record to show, and I'll 20 21

ask her this, if the bag in is intact, it's in a sealed condition.

Counsel can make whatever record

Counsel can make whatever record he wants to describe the seals, but we're entitled

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

then once the record shows that it's in tact to have her look at the contents, and that's what we want to have her do, to find out if she recognizes the contents.

It appears it's going to be a brown bag, and we want her then to look at what's inside the bag. It's just certainly more expeditious to do that now than to call her back after we put the impounding officer on.

That person will be called as a witness. That person can explain how he or she acquired the bag, but this is simply for the purpose of identification now, Judge.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, they're getting the cart before the horse; particularly, since this is the kind of item that's normally kept in an evidence locker. It's evidence, obviously.

There is no foundation how it got out, and the seals, the Court can take a look, have scotch tape on them instead of the regular evidence tape.

THE COURT: I will reserve ruling on the admissibility of the evidence until proper foundation is laid.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

1	MR. DUNLEAVY: We're objecting to them
2	having a witness testify to what's in there when
3	they haven't laid a foundation to show it's
4	admissible.
5	MR. HARMON: And we're representing,
6	Judge, that the impounding officer is going to be
7	called as a witness. She is Debbie McCracken of the
8	crime lab of the police department.
9	THE COURT: All right. Your objection's
10	overruled.
11	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
12	BY MR. HARMON;
13	Q. Miss Hunt, I'm showing you a large
14	evidence bag. It's been marked by the clerk as
15	Proposed Exhibit No. 92.
16	Now, all that we want you to do
17	will be to examine the bag, have you look at the
18	bottom the sides, I'm sorry.
19	THE COURT: There are brackets
20	underneath that. Push up on that. Brackets
21	underneath.
22	MR. HARMON: You need to push over there
23	too. Thank you.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. -- to tell us if the bag does appear to be sealed at this time?
 - A. Yes, it appears to be sealed. Yeah.
- Q. What we want you to do now, using the scissors I'm handing you, will be to cut open the bag.

Perhaps, you can just cut right across at the top.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we'd ask she cut somewhere where there are no seals.

THE COURT: Maybe on the side up above.

THE WITNESS: Right here?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Turn the bag around. I believe the other side has no tape on it.

MR. HARMON: May want to cut vertically as well.

And now down at the end.

Thank you. Will you now remove

20) the contents.

21 BY MR. HARMON:

Q. For the record, Miss Hunt, what have you just removed from Proposed Exhibit 92, the evidence bag?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

4	*	7
	•	•

ĺ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	A. A bag. A brown bag.
2	Q. Do you recognize the brown bag?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Whose brown bag is it?
5	A. Mine.
6	Q. How do you recognize it?
7	A. Because it's my bag. I remember this
8	bag.
9	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have the
10	bag marked as Proposed 92-A?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'd ask to
13	have her state what is there about this bag that's
14	unique that she can identify.
15	MR. HARMON: Is he asking to take her on
16	voir dire
17	THE COURT: Do you want to take her on
18	voir dire?
19	MR. HARMON: or is he wanting me to
20	ask his questions for him?
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: I just was asking for
22	foundation. If you want me to do it, I'll be glad
23	to do it.
24	MR. HARMON: We're going to go into the
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 contents of the bag. It would certainly assist her 2 in determining whose bag it is. 3 MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, maybe the 4 contents are letters, maybe not. The question right 5 now is is it her bag. 6 MR. HARMON: And she said it was. 7 MR. DUNLEAVY: And I'm saying there was 8 an inadequate foundation. THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy wants to take 9 10 her on voir dire regarding the bag. 11 MR. HARMON: That's fine. 12 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. DUNLEAVY: You took a quick look at this bag. 15 Q. Is there anything about the 16 outside of this bag that says it's yours as opposed 17 to any other bag like this? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Do you have any idea how many of these 21 bags were made? 22 A. No. You don't have your name on it or your 23 Q. 24 initials or anything like that?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

Ì	123
1	A. No.
2	Q. So the best you could say is this is a
3	bag similar to the one you have?
4	A. Exactly similar to the one I had.
5	Q. A brown basically plastic shoulder bag?
б	A. Yes.
7	MR. DUNLEAVY: I just want to lay a
8	foundation. All she's doing is saying whatever the
او	DA wants her to say. We don't know if that's her
10	bag or not.
11	MR. HARMON: That is not necessarily
12	true.
13	THE COURT: She said what she wanted to
14	say, not what the DA wanted her to say.
15	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	(Continued)
18	BY MR. HARMON:
19	Q. Miss Hunt, you previously mentioned that
20	on February 29 your car stalled on Sahara?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. That you were with Mr. Rippo and you
23	said you jumped out?
- 24	A. Yes.

1	Q. That you had referred to a brown bag
2	that was in the car.
3	Where in the car was the bag?
4	A. Right behind the driver's seat in the
5	back seat on the floor.
. 6	Q. As you look at Proposed Exhibit 92-A,
7	does it look like the brown bag you left behind in
8	the car?
9	A. Yes,
10	Q. Now, you mentioned sometime later you
11	and your friend Doug returned to the location where
12	the car had run out of gas?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. But you've already told us a bag was
75	missing. What bag was missing?
16	A. This bag.
17	Q. A bag identical to this bag?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Will you look inside the bag now,
20	Proposed Exhibit 92-A.
21	Do you observe various contents
22	inside the bag?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you recognize any of the contents of

1	the bag?
2	A. Not this stuff on top I don't.
3	Q. Will you remove
4	MR. WOLFSON: I can't hear the witness,
5	Mr. Harmon. Could you please ask the witness to
5	speak up?
7	THE COURT: Speak up.
8	THE WITNESS: I don't recognize this,
9	all this stuff on the top, no.
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q. Will you remove the contents of the bag,
12	please, and simply for the record describe each item
13	as you remove it.
14	A. A tape cassette.
15	MR. DÚNLEAVY: Your Honor, could we have
16	her identify as to what kind and by name and so
17	forth?
18	THE WITNESS: Black Sabbath tape
19	cassette with tape in it.
20	A blue address book.
21	MR, HARMON: That's fine.
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know about this.
23	I don't remember.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. And, perhaps, as you go through these items that we could have you -- you indicated you didn't recognize any of the items at the top?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Will you put to the right any items you don't recognize?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And if you come across anything in Proposed Exhibit 92-A that you do recognize, will you put that in a separate pile to your left?
- 12 A. Okay.
- This is a compact mirror.
- Q. You recognize that or don't recognize that?
- A. I don't recognize it. This is a -
 17 something.
- 18 Q. Just describe its physical appearance.
- A. It's like a garage door opener, or an alarm or something. A black -- it's black.
- A purple thing. I don't know.
- 22 Purple. I don't know what it is. A purple thing.
- 23 Q. Some type of purple bag or purse?
- A. Something you put something in.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

1	A tape cassette, Guns & Roses. A
2	black address book. Another tape cassette that says
3	Guns & Roses.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: That one's in a
5	THE WITNESS: It's in a just a
6	regular tape thing. The tape says Metal Church.
7	A plan a day planner. A black
8	and gray wallet. A small black purse thing. A
9	change purse or something.
10	A Norelco case, black case.
11	Two a package of correction fluid with two things
12	in it, two vials.
13	A package of razor blades. A
14	black key holder thing. A leather this is mine.
15	This is a
16	MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice
17	up.
18	THE WITNESS: This is an organizer.
19	BY MR. HARMON;
20	Q. So you recognize
21	A. That's mine, yeah.
22	Q that?
23	A. This is an opener of some kind. It's
24	yellow. It says Alliance on it.

1	These are this is my sister.
2	These are pictures of mine.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: How many of them?
4	THE WITNESS: There is three right
5	there.
6	MR. DUNLEAVY: Are those Polaroid?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	This is a notebook, a small
9	notebook.
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q. What color is it?
12	A. Blue.
13	A bottle of baby oil, which I
-14	don't really remember in that if that was mine or
15	not.
16	And hair spray. Decdorant.
17	This is a letter to my brother.
18	That's mine.
19	Q. That's written on pink paper?
20	A. Yes.
21	This is my sister's. My sister's
22	social security card ~- thing.
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Is that Xerox copy?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes. And her license.

1	These are pictures of mine.
5	Do you want to know how many
3	there are or what?
4	MR. HARMON: Don't try to count them
5	all, but go as quickly as you can through them so we
б	can determine if you recognize all of these as your
7	pictures.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes, they're all mine.
9	There is an envelope from the
10	Gold Coast. There is two postcards. Also from the
11	Gold Coast.
12	A notebook. A note pad from the
13	Gold Coast. There is pens. Three pens. A tire
14	gauge checker thing. A razor. A toothbrush. Two
15	more razors. Two Gold Coast matchbooks. Another
16	razor.
17	There is a paper that says,
18	Chuck, and it has a phone number.
19	BY MR. HARMON:
20	Q. Is that yours?
21	A. I don't recognize it, no.
22	Q. Put that to the right them.
23	A. Makeup remover.
24	Do you want me to dump that out
,	

or what?

б

Q. Just describe for us generally are there still some loose contents in 92-A?

A. Yeah.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I would object to just describe loosely. If the State wants to move it into evidence, we want on the record what it is.

THE WITNESS: Here's an earring that I

don't recognize. I don't remember. And there is -
MR. HARMON: If I'm -- I didn't mean,

counsel, she wasn't to describe them. I'm not sure

that she has to remove --

THE WITNESS: There is caps and like a Q-tip and some plastic razor covers. And two more razor covers. And that's it.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q. Now, Miss Hunt, of the items that you have removed from Proposed Exhibit 92-A, have you attempted to segregate them; have you put on the left the things that you either recognize or with the knowledge very probably are yours?

A. Yes.

Q. And what about the things on the right?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

23

24

1 Α. Those are not mine. 2 Q. What about these last items? 3 Α. They were probably mine on the bottom of 4 the bag. 5 Q. Probably yours that you had in the bag. So may we move those over to this pile? Ó 7 As you look at the things to your 8 right, is there anything there that you recognize as 9 belonging to you or your friends or members of your 10 family? No. 11 Α. 12 Ο. When you jumped out of the car on 13 February 29, 1992, on Sahara Avenue, were the items 14 which are to your right on the witness stand inside 15 the bag? 16 Α. No. 17 **Q**. Are you sure of that? 18 Α. I'm positive. 19 Q. Do you have any idea how these items got 20 inside the bag? 21 Α. No.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

each of the items that the witness is indicating are

Court's permission, what we'd like to do is to have

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with the

1	not hers marked as 92-A-1 through whatever.
2	THE COURT: You may do so.
3	MR. HARMON: May we do that?
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: Every item should be
5	marked so we can keep track and examine her on these
6	issues.
7	THE COURT: You want every item marked
8	separately?
9	MR. DUNLEAVY: If the State wants only a
10	certain group marked, your Honor. Everything should
11	be marked or
12	THE COURT: All the things that she
13	indicated are not hers are 92-A what?
- 14	MR. HARMON: They can just be contents
15	inside the bag.
16	THE COURT: They can all be kept
17	together in a bag or something.
18	MR. DUNLEAVY: Can we have an
19	opportunity to look at the photos?
20	THE COURT; Sure.
21	MR. HARMON: Perhaps, your Honor, we
22	could have the items that she recognizes marked
23	collectively as 92-A-2. Would that be satisfactory?
24	THE COURT: That would be fine with me.

1	There is no objection?
2	MR. WOLFSON: I'm sorry, I didn't hear
3	what Mr. Harmon said.
4	THE COURT: All the items that she
5	recognizes as hers will be marked as 92-A-2.
6	MR. WOLFSON: A-2, okay.
7	A-1 are those that she didn't,
8	and A-2 are those that she did.
9	MR. HARMON: 92-A-1 through whatever.
10	THE COURT: Maybe we could get a bag and
11	put all of them inside.
12	Why don't you put do that, put
13	all A-1 that she didn't recognize back in the
14	evidence bag. Put all the things that she
15	recognizes to be hers back in the brown bag.
16	MR. HARMON: We would prefer, your
17	Honor
18	THE COURT: Unless you want to get
19	separate bags.
20	MR. HARMON: that we have the items
21	she does not recognize marked individually.
22	THE COURT: Individually?
23	MR. HARMON: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. HARMON: This will be 92-A-2
2	collectively, but we're talking here about I
3	understand the problem, but we need to make it 92
4	THE COURT: B.
5	MR. HARMON: B. Yes. I'm sorry.
6	THE COURT: 92-B are items you don't
7	want them individually marked?
8	MR. HARMON: No.
9	Your Honor, may I again approach
10	the witness?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	If you're going to be marking
13	more exhibits, you're going to confuse her, I'll
14	tell you right now.
15	MR. HARMON: We've already got these
16	marked.
17	THE COURT: Are they already marked?
18	MR. HARMON: Yes.
19	BY MR. HARMON:
20	Q. Miss Hunt, earlier in your testimony you
21	referred to a maroon Nissan that you drove from Tom
22	Sims' shop.
23	In fact, you referred to driving
24	it to various locations and at some point you

1	mentioned that you acquired some primer paint
2	A. Yes.
3	Q and you sprayed one of the fenders?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. I'm showing you two photographs. They
6	are marked as Proposed Exhibit 64 and 65.
7	Do you recognize the vehicle
8	shown in those photographers?
9	A. Yes. It looks like the same car. It
10	is. This one looks the same.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Which one is this one?
12	THE COURT: Describe which picture.
13	THE WITNESS: Both. They're it's the
14	same car. It's Denise's car.
15	THE COURT: The pictures have a tag on
16	the back.
17	BY MR. HARMON:
18	Q. You have referred to Proposed 64
19	A. 64,
20	Q that you indicated that you were sure
21	of when you looked at that picture?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Why is that?
24	A. Because the primer on the door. I mean

COPY

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Dept. No. IV

Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo,

#0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honoroble Gerard J. Bonglovanni Friday, February 2, 1996, 10:20 a'clock a.m. Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings JURY TRIAL

VOLUME VI

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

1
2
3
4
5.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24.

APPEARANCES:

MELVYN T, HARMON, ESQ. DANIEL SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney For the State:

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. PHILIP H. DUNLEAVY, ESQ. For the Defendant:

Attorneys at Law

Law Clerk:

Delwin Potter

1			IN	DEX		
2	WITNESSES	ON BE	HALF OF PLAINT	IFF:		PAGE
3 4		H00PER	, Wayne S. Direct Examin Cross-Examina Redirect Exam	tion by	Mr. Dunlec	118 yy
5			Recross-Exami	nation b	y Mr. Dun]	
6 7		JOHNSO	N, Darryl Direct Examin Cross-Examina			
8 9		BURNS,	Carrie Direct Examin Cross-Examina			
10			CI 035 EXEMITIO	CZOW DY	ter i batta	
11	EXHIBITS	ON BEH	ALF OF PLAINTI	FF:	Marked	Admitted
12	5 19	Photograph	raph			109 109
13	84 84-A 84-B	Katie /	ce Envelope Arms Rent Rece nt Tires Recei		112 112 112	116
14				00-	 _	
15			-	•		
16	l.					
17						
18	1. .5					
19						
20	·					
21			,			
22						
23						
24		,				
				-		000948_

Las Vegas, Nevada, Friday, February 2, 1996, 10:20 a.m.

THE COURT: Case Number C106784, the State of Nevada versus Michael Damon Rippo.

Let the record reflect the presence of the defendant, with his attorneys, Steven Wolfson and Philip Dunleavy; Mel Harmon and Dan Seaton appearing on behalf of the State of Nevada.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, what we've been doing the last -- for the full week is selecting jurors who may sit and act as jurors in this trial.

In our system, the attorneys for both sides have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a Juror. These requests are called challenges.

I'm sure you all saw, during the course of jury selection, that certain people were excused when a challenge for cause was made.

A challenge for cause means that the answers by a particular juror were evident that he or she could not sit and be fair or importial in this case.

	All of	you pr	resent in the	
oudience have been passed	for cause	and ho	ove gotten by	this
type of challenge.				

There is, however, a second type of challenge called a peremptory challenge. This means that a juror may be excused from service without either side having to give a reason for the excusal.

That is where we are presently.

You've all been passed on the for cause challenges and now
we're going to begin exercising our peremptory challenges.

I don't want any of you to be offended if you are excused by these peremptory challenges. It's just part of our system of jury selection to insure that — to protect the rights of the parties under our system of government.

So what we're going to do now is begin the process of the State and the defense exercising their peremptory challenges.

Okay. The State may --

MR. SEATON: We will need a copy of the --

THE COURT: Don't you have one?

THE CLERK: Leo has them.

For the record, I think we

should put, like, one for State, defense one --

1	MR. WOLFSON: No, there is rows, Judge;
2	there is plaintiff on one side and defendant on the other.
3	THE COURT: Oh, that's right. Okay. That
4	takes care of that thought.
5	While they are doing this,
6	we're going to pick 12 jurors to serve on the Jury and four
7	alternate jurors to also sit during this trial.
8	Alternate Jurors are necessary
9	in the event that a Juror becomes sick or it becomes known
10	to the Court that they are otherwise unqualified to sit as a
11	juror for some other reason.
12	So what we're going to pick is
13	12 people to sit on the Jury and four alternates.
14	All right. The court clerk
1 5	will now call the Jurars that are going to sit on this case.
16	Mr. O'Leary, my bailiff, will
17	indicate to you where you are to sit.
18	THE CLERK: Gildardo Escalante.
19	THE BAILIFF: Come right across the front,
20	sir, if you would.
21	THE CLERK: Cindy Pedersen:
22	Krishna Shrivastava;
23	Shelby Romero;
24	Gerald Berger;
	008951

20	oath of service to the four alternate jurors.
19	THE COURT: The clerk will now issue the
18	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
17	was swarn.)
16	(Whereupon, the Jury panel
15	THE COURT: Not the alternates.
14	and raise your hands.
13	THE CLERK: Will the 12 jurors please stand
12	administer the oath of service to the 12 jurors.
11	THE COURT: At this time, the clerk will now
9	(Whereupon, the remaining prospective juror panel was excused.)
8	Stop at the Jury commissioner's office on the way out.
7	You may leave the courtroom.
5	from service, and thank you very much again.
5	Judicial District Court, at this time, you are discharged
4	So on behalf of the Eighth
3	great deal just being here for this week.
2	for you and I'm sure its affected your personal lives a
1	I know it's been a long week

1	THE CLERK: Thank you, Please be seated.
2	THE COURT: All right. At this time, we're
3	going to take a short recess because we have matters to be
4	heard outside your presence.
5	So, remember: Do not converse
6	among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
7	connected to this trial;
8	Read, watch, listen to any
9	report or commentary on the trial by any medium of
10	information, including, without limitation, newspaper,
11	television or radio;
12	Or form or express any opinion
13	on the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.
14	Before I excuse them, are there
15	people in court that you've presently that you tald me
16	about in chambers?
17	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Would you identify them,
19	please.
20	MR. WOLFSON: Judge, I think it should be
21	done out of the presence of the jury.
22	THE COURT: Well, I don't want either side
23	to have contact with the others.
24	MR. SEATON: I think out of the presence of
	1

22

23

24

1 the Jury. 2 3 4 courtroom. 5 6 7 8 now outside the presence of the Jury, 9 10 Perhaps, though, Your Honor, we could pursue making our 11 12 record about the exclusionary rule. The State will be 13 invoking the rule at this time. 14 15 will be in force. 16 17 18 19 20

THE COURT: Out of the presence, okay. All right. Please leave the (The following proceedings were had in open court outside the presence of the Jury;) THE COURT: The record will reflect we are Okay. Somebody had a motion? MR. HARMON: The State had a motion. THE COURT: Okay. The exclusionary rule

MR. HARMON: But the parties have agreed that certain family members, who we anticipate would be called as witnesses, should the jury convict Mr. Rippo of murder of the first degree at a penalty hearing, that those family members have a desire to be in the courtroom during this phase of the trial, and the parties have agreed that certain persons may do so. That would be the mother and father of both victims, and, as I recall, the mother also of the defendant, Mr. Rippo.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And are these parties in
2	the courtroom at the present time? All these people? I
3	believe that would be five people.
4	MR. HARMON: Perhaps we could have them
5	stand, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Would you stand up, please.
7	And who is that other gentleman
8	that just stood?
9	MR. LIZZI: Victim's brother, Nicholas
10	Lizzi, L-i-z-z-i.
11	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, at this point, we
12	have not anticipated using the brother as a victim
13	**impartial witness.
14	MR. WOLFSON: And that's fine. He can stay
15	in the courtroom.
16	MR. DUNLEAVY: We would ask that all parties
17	be admonished.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to admonish
19	them now.
20	I'm going to ask all you people
21	now not to talk to the jury at all, wear any kind of badges
22	indicating who you are, victims, family or whatever, and do
23	not have any contact whatsoever with this jury. If you do,
24	it may contaminate the jury and cause a mistrial in this
	000956

1 matter.

I know you are all under a lot
of stress and have been for the past four years, and for
something like this to happen now and wind up having to do

5 this all over again is not going to benefit anybody.

So, please, don't talk to anyone or give any indication to any of the jurors who you are.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we were also asking that they be admonished -- I mean, there is going to be some very upsetting things come out in this trial -- that they be admonished to not cry or nod their heads or do anything that indicates their feelings regarding the testimony.

If they feel they have a problem, they are going to have to leave and come back in.

THE COURT: If someone becomes so upset over testimony that's being given and cannot control themselves. I'm going to ask you to get up and leave on your own volition, because if that persists and an authreak occurs, I don't want to have to tell you in the middle of the proceedings to leave.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{If I have to do that, then you}$ are not going to be let back in to see -- to view the

SERVE ATT TAR ARADARA ATTA

remainder of the trial. So I know it's hard, but please 1 2 control yourselves. Anything else in this regard? 3 MR. HARMON: Not in this regard, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 5 You may be seated. 6 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State had 7 another legal matter that it wished to present to the Court. 8 We naturally have no way of 9 knowing what the strategies will be of the defense in this 10 11 case, but out of an abundance of caution, before the 12 presentation of opening statements, we wanted to make the 13 Court and counsel aware that we intend to assert the hearsay 14 objection to -- I would say -- almost in their entirety, the 15 various statements made by the defendant after the 16 commission of this crime. 17 In fact, to a substantial 18 number of people, Mr. Rippo made statements that, in effect, pointed the finger at Diana Hunt as one of the primary 19 20 perpetrators of the crime. 21 It's our position that these were self-serving statements made by him, and we just want 22 23 the Court and counsel to be on notice. 24 Our statute, N.R.S. 51.035,

subheading	(3)(a),	18	very	clear	on	this	subject.
------------	---------	----	------	-------	----	------	----------

As the Court knows, the statute

reads:

Hearsay means a statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted unless," and then dropping to subheading (3), "the statement is offered against a party, and is, (a) his own statement, in either his individual or a representative capacity.

We don't want the defense to be alluding -- now maybe they didn't intend to in their opening statement -- but we don't want to be in the position. Judge, of constantly having to object and perhaps appear rude and disrespectful during the defense opening remarks.

If they are going to be alluding to self-serving statements made by the defendant outside of the courtroom, the statute is clear, that there really are three requirements in this cotegory:

It must be the statement of the defendant; to be admissible, it must be a statement offered against him; and when that declaration was made, it must have been inconsistent with the position now taken by the defendant in court.

There are a number of Nevada

- 1	
1	cases which support the position that we take at this time.
2	They are Johnstone v. State, J-o-h-n-s-t-o-n-e, reported at
3	93 Nevada, page 427, at 566 Pacific 2nd, 1130, a 1977 case;
4	Guy v. State, G-u-y, at 108
5	Nevada 770, 839 Pacific 2nd, 578, a 1992 decision;
6	Shaw v. State, S-h-a-w, 104
7	Nevada, 100, 753 Pacific 2nd, 888, a 1988 decision.
8	And consistent in their
9	analysis with the three cases I have alluded to so far,
10	Aguilar v. State, A-g-u-i-l-a-r, 98 Nevada, 18, 639 Pacific
11	2nd, 533, a 1982 decision; and Turner v. State, T-u-r-n-e-r,
12	98 Nevada 1036, 67 it appears 14 Pacific 2nd, 1062, a
13	1982 decision.
14	THE COURT: Response?
15	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, briefly.
16	We all know that there is 28 to
17	30 exceptions to the hearsay rule, so just because something
18	is hearsay doesn't mean it can't come in, specifically, for
19	instance, Tom Christos, who was acting as an agent of the
20	police and wore a wire into the jail to talk to my client
21	for the express purpose of eliciting incriminating
22	statements.
23	Unfortunately, he didn't give
24	him any. He specifically told him he wasn't involved and
	វា ១១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១ ១

told him who was involved.

Now, if you make a statement to the police or an agent of the police saying you didn't do it, that's admissible.

The other thing I would point out is that any of these, if they try and raise something about a recent fabrication, them statements that were made contemporaneous with the act or closely associated with it are admissible to reflect any inference of a recent fabrication of the defense. And those are two widely recognized exceptions, I believe.

MR. HARMON: We don't disagree with the last analysis, but, frankly, we can't imagine that happening unless Mr. Rippo elects to take the witness stand and subject himself to cross-examination.

We vigorously take exception to the comment that if you are an agent of the police and you make a statement to that agent, or if you are making a statement to police officers, that somehow that makes an out-of-court declaration not hearsay.

There is no such exception,

Your Honor. The statute is crystal clear, as are the cases.

These out-of-court hearsay

statements, if they are offered on behalf of Mr. Rippo, are

inadmissible. And we're making it clear now that we have no intention — whether it's Tom Christos or Detective Scholl or any other witness, we have no intention of introducing these hearsay, self-serving statements that, in fact, are consistent — not inconsistent; they are consistent with the position now taken by this defendant at trial. We're not going to offer those against him.

Therefore, regardless of the person to whom they are made, they're inadmissible, unless the defense can come up with some valid exception to the rule.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, of course, they are not going to offer them against him because he says he didn't do it, but I believe that there are authority — and I don't have it with me since I didn't know we were going to have this motion today, we weren't put on notice of it — that if someone makes an exculpatory statement to the authorities, that it's admissible.

And I submit that even

Christos, who is wearing a wire — at the express direction

of the police, went into the jail and had a contact visit

with this man, wearing a wire for the purpose of getting him

to confess, and instead, he said he didn't do it, that's

relevant and that's admissible and we would provide points

and authorities on Monday, because I didn't know they were 1 going to raise this today, and I wasn't prepared to bring it 2 3 in. THE COURT: Okay. I'll reserve ruling on 4 5 that. MR. HARMON: We'll be very interested in 6 7 seeing that authority, Judge. THE COURT: I'll reserve ruling on that. I 8 think, for the most part, the State is right. 9 10 MR. HARMON: We're urging --THE COURT: I guess I'm going to have to 11 12 wait until it comes up before I can rule on it. MR. HARMON: We're urging the Court though 13 to admonish the defense not to get into a contested area. 14 The statute is very clear. It doesn't make any difference 15 16 who you are talking to. 17 They're saying that if we 18 chaose, for example, not to offer the two separate statements made by this defendant to the police department, 19 20 which are essentially self-serving, if we choose not to introduce those, they can bring them in, and the defense 21 gets his version before the Jury without taking a witness 22 23 stand? It doesn't work that way. We 24

1	cannot cross-examine those self-serving statements, and
2	whether it's Christos or Scholl, they are inadmissible.
3	They are not offered against him. That's the language of
4	our statute.
5	MR. WOLFSON: I would ask Your Honor to
6	reserve your ruling until the parties have a chance to
7	present you with authorities.
8	To satisfy the State of Nevada
9	at this point, I am giving the opening statement in this
10	case. I do not plan to tell the Jury, in my opening
11	statement, anything regarding what our client may have said
12	to anybody,
13	MR. HARMON: Very well.
14	MR. WOLFSON: So for purposes of today's
15	opening statement, and I believe that is the purpose for
16	you,
17	MR. HARMON: It is.
18	MR. WOLFSON: at least raising it now,
19	I'm not going to mention that in my opening statement.
20	THE COURT: And when are these authorities
21	going to be presented to the Court?
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: Monday morning, since
23	obviously we won't be able to do it today.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Could you have them
	000964

	•
1	does the State need time to respond to them or just orally
2	or
3	MR. HARMON: Well, we're going to be
4	proceeding with the testimony.
5	If if we could get them
6	somewhat before we start the court on Monday, so we can at
7	least consider them, perhaps nine o'clock, if the Court is
8	intending to start at ten.
9	THE COURT: Probably on Monday, with the
10	criminal calendar, we won't get started until probably
11	10:30, closer to 10:30.
12	MR. HARMON: Well, we would like to have
13	the
14	THE COURT: If the defense could provide the
15	State at nine o'clock on Monday morning with these
16	authorities and at least give them an hour and a half to
17	make any to review them, they can make an opening
18	response prior to starting the trial on Monday.
19	MR. HARMON: Thonk you.
20	THE COURT: Okay?
21	Anything else?
22	MR. HARMON: Not by the State, Your Honor,
23	THE COURT: All right. Let's take five
24	minutes. Then we'll bring the jury in and get started with
	000965

1	opening statements.
2	
3	(Whereupon, a recess was had in
4	the proceedings, at the conclusion of which the following was had:)
5	following was had:)
6	
7	THE COURT: Where is Mr. Seaton?
8	MR. HARMON: He will be right back, Your
9	Honor.
10	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the
11	presence of the jury?
12	MR. HARMON: Yes, Your Honor.
13	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay, I don't know, is the
15	exclusionary rule is in effect.
16	Is there anyone presently in
1.7	the courtroom who may testify in this matter?
18	
19	(No response.)
20	
21	MR. HARMON: That would be excepting the
22	stipulat
23	THE COURT: Excepting those stipulated to.
24	All right. Ladies and
	000966

gentlemen, you are admonished that no juror declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of his own knowledge; and if any juror discovers, during the trial, or after the jury has retired, that he or any other juror has personal knowledge of any of the facts in controversy in this case, he shall disclose such a situation to me in the absence of the other jurors.

This means that if you learn, during the course of the trial, that you are acquainted with the facts of this case or the witnesses, and you have not previously disclosed that information to me, you must then declare that to me through my bailiff.

As I stated before, during the course of the trial, the attorneys and the court personnel, other than my bailiff, will not be permitted to talk to you.

You are further admonished not to visit the scene where any of the acts or occurrences made mention of during this trial have occurred unless specifically asked to do so by the Court.

what I'm now going to say is intended to serve as an introduction to this trial. It is not a substitute for the detailed instructions on the law, which I will give you at the end of the trial.

This is a criminal case

1	commenced by the State of Nevada, which I may sometimes
2	refer to as the State against Michael Rippo.
3	This case is based upon an
4	Information
5	MR. HARMON: Indictment, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Indictment, I'm sorry.
7	The clerk will now read the
8	Indictment to the ladies and gentlemen of the Jury and state
9	the plea of the defendant to that indictment.
10	THE CLERK: Rex Bell, District Attorney,
11	Nevada Bar 001799, Attorney for Plaintiff, the
12	State of Nevada, filed in open court, January 3rd,
13	1996, Loretta Bowman, Clerk, by Josephine Bohn,
14	Deputy.
15	District Court, Clark County,
16	Nevada.
17	The State of Nevada, Plaintiff,
18	versus Michael Damon Rippo, Defendant.
19	Case Number C106784, Department
20	Number IV, Docket Number C.
21	Amended Indictment.
22	The defendant above named,
23	Michael Damon Rippo, accused by the Clark County
24	Grand Jury of the crimes of murder, Felony N.R.S.
	• apana

200.010, 200.030; Robbery, Felony, N.R.S. 200.380; Possession of Stolen Vehicle, Felony N.R.S. 205.273; Possession of Credit Cards Without Cardholder's Consent, Felony, N.R.S. 205.690; Unauthorized Signing of Credit Card Transaction Document, Felony, N.R.S. 205.750; and Aiding and Abetting, N.R.S. 195.020, committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on ar between February 18th, 1992, and February 20th, 1992, as follows:

Damon Rippo, did, on ar between February 18th,
1992, and February 20th, 1992, then and there
willfully, feloniously and without authority of
law, with malice aforethought and premeditation,
and/or during the course of committing robbery
and/or kidnapping and/or burglary, kill Lauri M.
Jacobson, a human being, by strangulation,
defendant being aided or abetted by Diana Lee Hunt
in the perpetration of said crime by defendant
and/or Diana Lee Hunt entering 3890 South
Cambridge, Apartment 317, Las Vegas, Clark County,
Nevada, by defendant deciding to rob Lauri M.
Jacobson and/or Denise M. Lizzi, by defendant

23

24

privately discussing how the crime was going to be committed with Diana Lee Hunt, by defendant surreptitiously arranging to have another person make a diversionary telephone call to Lauri M. Jacobson so that she might be more easily overpowered by Diana Lee Hunt striking Lauri M. Jacobson on the head with a bottle, by defendant using a stun gun to subdue Denise M. Lizzi, by defendant binding the hands and feet and tying gags around the mouths of both female victims, by defendant demanding to know the location of drugs, money and other valuables; defendant being assisted by Diana Lee Hunt in forcefully removing property from the persons -- person or presence of the two victims, defendant and/or Diana Lee Hunt, killing Lauri M. Jacobson and/or Denise M. Lizzi, defendant wiping off surfaces touched inside the apartment and defendant and Diana Lee Hunt then fleeing the scene of the crime with a stolen 1988 Nissan automobile, a stolen Citibank Gold Visa credit card, and other stolen property.

Count II, Murder: Defendant, Michael Damon Rippo, did, on or between, February 18th, 1992 and February 20th, 1992, then and there

22

23

24

willfully, feloniously, without authority of law, with malice aforethought, and premeditation and/or during the course of committing robbery and/or kidnapping and/or burglary, kill Denise M. Lizzi, a human being, by strangulation, defendant being aided or abetted by Diana Lee Hunt in the perpetration of said crime by defendant and/or Diana Lee Hunt entering 3890 South Cambridge, Apartment 317, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, by defendant deciding to rob Lauri M. Jacobson and/or Denise M. Lizzi, by defendant privately discussing how the crime was to be committed with Diana Lee Hunt, by defendant surreptitiously arranging to have another person make a diversionary phone call to Lauri M. Jacobson so that she might more easily be overpowered, by Diana Lee Hunt striking Lauri M. Jacobson on the head with a bottle, by defendant using a stun gun to subdue Denise M. Lizzi, by defendant binding the hands and feet and tying the gags ground the mouths of both female victims, by defendant demanding to know the location of drugs, money and/or valuables; defendant being assisted by Diana Lee Hunt in forcefully removing property from the person or presence of the two victims,

б

1.3

defendant and/or Diana Lee Hunt killing Lauri M.

Jacobson and/or Denise M. Lizzi, defendant wiping off surfaces touched inside the apartment and defendant and Diana Lee Hunt then fleeing the scene of the crime with a stolen 1988 Nissan automobile, a stolen Citibank Gold Visa credit card and other stolen property.

Count III, Robbery: Defendant Michael Damon Rippo did, on or between February 18th, 1992 and February 20th, 1992, then and there willfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, take personal property, to-wit: A 1988 Nissan, bearing Nevada license number 139 CUS, and/or credit cards in her possession, from the person of Denise M. Lizzi, or in her presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said Denise M. Lizzi, defendant being aided or abetted by Diana Lee Hunt in the manner described in Counts I and II.

Count IV, Possession of Stolen Vehicle: Defendant Michael Damon Rippo did, on or between February 18th, 1992 and February 20th, 1992, then and there willfully, unlawfully and

RENEE SILVAGGIO. CCR 122 391-0379

21.

feloniously, possess a stolen motor vehicle wrongfully taken from Denny Mason, to-wit: A 1988 Nissan, bearing Nevado license number 139 CUS, which defendant knew or had reason to believe had been stolen.

Count V, Possession of Credit
Card Without Cardholder's Consent: Michael —
defendant Michael Damon Rippo, did, on or about
February 19th, 1992, willfully and unlawfully, and
feloniously, have in his possession, without the
consent of the cardholder, a credit card, to-wit:
Citibank Gold Yisa credit card, number
4271382060293848, issued in the name of Denny
Mason, with intent to circulate, use, sell or
transfer said card, with intent to defraud the said
Denny Mason and/or the issuer of said credit card.

Count VI, Unauthorized Signing of Credit Card Transaction Document: Defendant Michael Damon Rippo did, on or about February 19th, 1992, willfully, unlawfully and feloniously, with intent to defraud, sign a sales slip which evidenced a credit card transaction at Sunglass Company, Meadows Mall, 4300 Meadows Lane, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, by presenting Citibank

6

7

9

23

24

Gold Visa credit card, number 4271382060293848, issued in the name of Denny Mason, to Angle Sposito, and signing the name Denny Mason to said sales slip for the purchase of \$304.95 in merchandise, defendant not being the cardholder, and not being authorized by the cardholder to use said card, or to sign cardholder's name.

Rex Bell, District Attorney, Nevado Bar 1799, by Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Deputy, District Attorney, Nevada Bar Number 000862.

To which the defendant has entered pleas of not guilty.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Thank you.

upon an Indictment that has just been read by the clerk. You should and continually understand that the indictment is simply a charging document

and is not, of itself, in any sense, evidence of guilt of the defendant.

The State, therefore, has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the Indictment beyond a reasonable doubt.

The purpose of this trial is to

All right. This case is based

000974

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391~0379

determine whether the State has met that burden.

It is your primary

responsibility, as jurors, to find and determine the facts.
Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole
Judge of the facts.

You are to determine the facts from the testimony that you hear and the evidence presented from the witness stand, including exhibits introduced into evidence and accepted by the Court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you may feel may properly be drawn from the evidence.

The parties may sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. At times, I may sustain objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits.

You must not consider any evidence that the Court has sustained objections to and you've been asked to disregard.

It is the duty of all lawyers to object to evidence which they believe may not properly be offered to the Court, and you should not be prejudiced in any way by any lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party he represents.

The case is going to proceed in

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

the following manner:

First, the State may make an opening statement outlining its case.

The defendant may make an opening statement, but, as we all know during your examination as Jurors, the defense is under no obligation to make any statements or offer any evidence in this case.

After the State's opening statement, as I said, the defendant may make an opening statement or may reserve the right to make an opening statement at the close of the State's case.

Opening statements are like a road map. They serve as an introduction to the evidence that the party making that statement intends to prove.

After opening statements, the State will present its case. This is done by introducing witnesses in evidence to you.

Again, the defendant has a right not to introduce any evidence.

Okay. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal trial the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

At the close of the State's case, the defense may then call -- present its case, if they

1 | so desire.

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At the conclusion of the defense's case, if any, the State will be -- will be then able to call rebuttal witnesses. This is so because the State has the burden of proof.

After all the witnesses and testimony are completed, then I will instruct you on the law as it applies to this case; and then we will close out the case with closing arguments of counsel.

The State will have an opportunity to speak to you twice because they do have the burden of proof in this case.

Okoy. At this time, we're going to begin with opening arguments.

Who wishes to open for the

State?

MR. HARMON: I'll do that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon.

MR. HARMON: May it please the Court,

counsel; good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

I first want to congratulate you on being selected as jurors. It has been an arduous process. It may be a dubious honor.

As we learn during the

24.

selection process, not many of you would choose to be on this type of case. This is a very serious responsibility. You were selected because, by your answers, by your demeanor, by the types of persons you appeared to be, it seemed that you could approach this case fairly and objectively.

Some persons have said that when a jury is selected and when it sits in judgment on some other person in the community, that represents the finest hour of our American system of Jurisprudence.

I encourage you, having been selected, to be fully attentive to all that goes on. We're not going to indicate to you that there won't be some slow times during the trial. We're not following any particular script. But you are now the judges of the facts in this case.

If it's going to help to take

notes, do so.

The statements of the attorneys are not evidence. The only evidence that you will hear in this case will come from the witness stand, through the various exhibits that may be introduced, and any stipulations that the parties enter into.

If you conscientiously approach

19

20

21

22

23

24

	1
1	this case and if you decide it solely upon the evidence,
2	fairly and impartially and justly, that's all we can ask,
3	that you do your very best.
4	The poet Fitzgerald tells us
5	the bird of time
6	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to
7	object. This is not an opening statement. The opening
8	statement is supposed to be what the facts are going to show
9	in the trial
10	MR. HARMON: Well, the facts
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: not to instruct the Jury
12	on the law, not to cite poetry.
13	MR. HARMON: The facts are going to show
14	that life is short, and the poet tells us that the bird of
15	time has but a little way to flutter and the bird is on the
16	wing.
17	Ladies and gentlemen, we all

Ladies and gentlemen, we all know, and any murder case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt, that life is fragile.

 $\label{eq:mr.dense} \textbf{MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I want a running} \\$ objection. This is argument. This is improper statement.

THE COURT: Mr. --

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$ HARMON: I'm telling the Jury, Your Honor, what the evidence is going to show.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARMON: Which is, in the lives of two young women, Lauri Jacobson and Denise Lizzi, mortality ended with shocking suddenness.

Lauri Jacobson moved into the Katie Arms apartment at 3890 South Cambridge Street,

Apartment 317, on February the 8th, 1992. She moved in there by herself. She was making weekly payments.

I suppose it could be said by this evidence that the bird of time was fluttering even on that day for her. She had rent that was due the following Soturday, February the 15th, 1992.

She didn't make the rent
payment. She fell behind on her rent, and she came into the
office, at the Katie Arms apartment complex, which is a
rather large apartment building, consisting of about 106
units, an Monday, February the 17th, and basically expressed
that she knew she was behind, but she intended to come in
later that day and bring her rent to date.

And then the office manager, Wayne Hooper, observed that she seemed to go immediately down to her car and she got into it. The evidence will show it was a black Datsun. And he saw that it had a flat tire, and even though there was a flat tire, she drove the car out

21.

of the parking lot and was followed closely by a red Vehicle that Mr. Hooper thought perhaps was a red Camaro. He couldn't tell who was driving the car.

The evidence will show that, in fact, the individual was a close friend of Lauri Jacobson, Wendy Liston, and they went in tandem to Discount Tires on South Maryland Parkway.

The evidence will establish that Lauri dropped her Datsun off at Discount Tires; had them check out the condition of the flat tire; then she was taken back to Katie Arms by Wendy Liston.

The bird of time was on the wing on Manday, February the 17th.

The following morning, the evidence will suggest, Lauri Jacobson went to Discount Tires, and on Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992, at 10:48 a.m. — a receipt found by the police in her vehicle will corroborate that — she took her vehicle and presumably returned to the Katie Arms apartment complex. She was obviously there somewhere around twelve o'clock noon on that date.

Denise Lizzi was a close friend of Lauri Jacobson. She did not live at the Katle Arms apartment complex, but from time to time, she visited her

<u>000981</u>

good friend at Apartment 317.

Denise Lizzi was a young single woman. She had various boyfriends. Two of them, I will identify at this time. As the evidence will show, one was Michael Beaudoin, B-e-a-u-d-o-i-n.

Miss Lizzi spent quite a bit of time with Mr. Beaudoin up until February the 1st, 1992, when they had a very serious disagreement.

They parted company on that date, and the following day, February the 2nd, 1992, Mr. Beaudoin was arrested and stayed as a guest of the Clark County Detention Center until late in February, 1992.

Denise Lizzi also had a boyfriend named Denny Mason. Mr. Mason, you will learn, is a local businessman. Among his ventures was a business called Security Enterprises. He had a 1988 red Nissan that, with his permission, he let Denise Lizzi drive, and she frequently drove that car. The registered owner was Mr. Mason's business, Security Enterprises.

The evidence will show on Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992, Denise Lizzi was driving Mr. Mason's 1988 Nissan, but Mr. Mason is expected to indicate that the last direct contact that he had with Denise was on Saturday, February the 15th.

On that date, he not only
talked with her person to person, but he spoke with her by
telephone. When he conversed with her in person, he gave
her \$20, or some amount of money, and he also provided her
with his Citibank Visa Gold Card. She had that on her

person for the remainder of the day, with the full

7 permission of Mr. Mason to use it.

On Saturday night, February the 15th, at about 12:30 in the morning, Mr. Mason had his last contact with Denise Lizzi. She spoke with him by telephone and indicated she was at the Rio Hotel with friends.

As I've indicated, this evidence is going to show that an Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992, with the bird of time in full flight, Denise Lizzi decided to drive Mr. Mason's red Nissan to the Katie Arms apartment complex to visit Lauri Jacobson.

And this evidence is going to show that on that date, that most unfortunate date for these two young women, the bird of time came to roost for both of them in Apartment 317 of the Katie Arms apartment complex, and it came to roost between approximately twelve o'clock noon and one o'clock in the afternoon.

Well, someone has said there is madness loose in the world, and madness occurred on that

date.

I've already alluded to the apartment manager, the office manager, Wayne Hooper. Mr. Hooper had been there when Lauri Jacobson came in earlier in the week and represented that she would be right back to pay her rent. A number of days went by and Mr. Hooper noticed that her black Datsun was parked in the same place in the parking lot of the Katie Arms.

And he and his wife were also concerned that she was quite a bit in arrears on her rent, and so he and a security officer of the Katie Arms, on Thursday, February the 20th, 1992, shortly after the office had opened at nine o'clock in the morning, they decided to go check on Lauri Jacobson and also to try to determine what the situation was regarding her rent, which was now days overdue.

Between nine and ten o'clock in the morning, on that Thursday, February the 20th, Wayne Hooper and Mac Holloway, the security officer, went to Apartment 317 on the third floor. They knocked. A number of times they knocked on the door with no response.

Mr. Hooper had a pass key. He used it. He noted that the deadbolt lock on the entrance door was in place. So he had to use the pass key to get

RENEE SILVAGGIO. CCR 122 391-0379

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

20

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

inside. He further noticed that the night lock was unlocked.

They entered. There was no sign of movement inside. You will learn that 317 is just a small studio apartment.

They stepped a little ways into the apartment, observed, in the opinion of Mr. Hooper, that it was a total mess. There were things, articles of clothing strewn about. And then they looked to the area of a large wall walk-in closet and observed a pair of legs on the floor protruding from the closet floor. They checked and discovered, side-by-side, face down, the bodies of two persons.

These witnesses did not closely examine the two victims. As I recall, one or the other at least touched the leg of the victim on the right and could tell that there was no sign of life. So they, therefore, contacted law enforcement.

As I've indicated, the two bodies were face down. Lauri Jacobson was located to the left. She was clad in blue sweat pants, a white T shirt and white socks.

Denise Lizzi, just to her right, had her legs protruding out. She actually was

Jacobson, but the bodies were basically touching. She was clad in a white sweat shirt, a black muscle shirt, pink panties and white socks.

Law enforcement responded. It was apparent from the condition of these two individuals that this was a homicide.

Representatives of the crime lab arrived. They examined the scene. They sketched the scene. They took photographs.

After the initial investigatory work had been completed, the bodies were removed to the Clark County Morgue.

On the day following the discovery, February the 21st, 1992, a Friday, the chief medical examiner in Clark County, Dr. Sheldon Green, performed autopsy examinations upon the victims identified as Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson.

Dr. Green determined that -- he autopsied Denise first -- that she was approximately five feet six and a half inches in height, about 118 pounds. He observed evidence of early postmortem decomposition.

Now, the words -- he's going to indicate it was apparent that she had been dead for a

- 000986

certain interval prior to the discovery of the crimes.

A gag had been forced into her mouth. The gag was a black sock. It was held in place by a ligature fashioned from a black brassiere. There was a knotted piece of a black knit scarf tied around the left wrist. There was also a restraint tied on the right wrist, consisting of part of some blue sweat pants.

There were prominent ligature marks, which encircled the entire neck of Denise Lizzi. The individual marks were about five-sixteenths of an inch wide consisting of three parallel lines of slight abrasion and bruising.

There were ligature marks around both wrists and faint ligature marks just above the ankles. Additionally, there were two small stab wounds to the left neck. There were multiple fresh hemorrhages in the soft tissues of the neck.

As a result of his external and internal examinations, Dr. Green concluded that the cause of death of Denise Lizzi was ligature strangulation and gagging.

And he'll tell you a ligature is basically any type of instrument, whether it's a string or a cord or a belt or some piece of clothing, that when

- 000987

RENFE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

fashioned in a way that it can be used on the neck, that basically will be his explanation of what he means by ligature.

Dr. Green concluded that the manner of death was homicide. And homicide is a general term. It refers simply to a death which is caused at the hands of some other person.

Eduri Jacobson was also examined. At the time of the autopsy, she was about five feet nine inches tall. She weighed approximately 148 pounds. The doctor observed that with her it was not simply early, but moderate postmartem decomposition.

As he will explain, suggesting that there may have been — although there are various occurrences which could account for the difference in the condition of the bodies, the difference in the rate of decomposition may suggest that Lauri Jacobson was killed during some interval before Denise Lizzi, whose remains were less decomposed.

Lauri Jacobson was observed by Dr. Green to have a four inch scratch, approximately in the mid line of her neck. Just below this scratch was a one and three-quarters inch scratch with a penetrating cutting wound three-eighths of an inch long at one end. Just below the

~ 000983

right ear was a small penetrating cutting wound,

There was extensive hemorrhage within the soft tissues of the front of the neck, more prominent on the right side of the neck than on the left side, with an incomplete fracture of the thyroid cartilage in the mid line.

There were faint ligature or restraint marks present on the wrists and ankles of Lauri Jacobson. In the opinion of Dr. Green, her cause of death, asphyxia, due to manual strangulation, manual meaning using of the hands.

Well, Shakespeare said on one occasion, "Truth will come to light; murder cannot be hid long,"

Ladies and gentlemen, the primary issue of this jury is undoubtedly not going to be to determine if heinous crimes occurred. Two young women were murdered at the Katie Arms. The serious issue to be resolved in this case is to identify the perpetrator or perpetrators of those crimes.

I will suggest, consistent with the remark of the sage about murder not being hid long, that the police got a major break on March the 1st, 1992. In the 3500 block of Nelson, a number of persons had gathered, and

g

two persons, who you will learn are principals in this case, got into an altercation, after doing some finger pointing at each other in the presence of other witnesses.

And the two persons finger pointing were the defendant, Mr. Rippo, and the lady who was then his girlfriend, Diana Hunt.

As a result of certain allegations she was making in the presence of these other witnesses, Just outside 3512 Nelson, early in the morning on March the 1st, 1992, Mr. Rippo came running around and physically assaulted Diana Hunt.

He began to punch her in the face. He produced a stun gun and held it against her back; and then he began to choke her, until she was rendered helpless, and eventually the other persons helped extricate Mr. Rippo from Diana Hunt.

The result was the police were called and a number of people, who were at that scene, began to make statements to the police about what they had overheard. And Hunt, in particular, later that morning, at about seven o'clock in the morning, at the police station, made a statement, and she identified a person who was responsible for these murders. And from that moment on, the police began to focus their investigation on herself and the

1 defendant, Michael Rippo.

The State, during the course of this trial, will offer a number of categories of evidence connecting Mr. Rippo and, in fact, Miss Hunt to the perpetration of these crimes.

But you will learn, during the course of the trial, that on May the 19th, 1992, Diana Lee Hunt entered into a plea bargain with law enforcement authorities; and on that date, in the presence of her attorney, William H. Smith, a local lawyer, and in the presence of representatives of the office of the District Attorney, and in the presence of detectives from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Homicide Bureau, she made a lengthy statement.

She further agreed to cooperate as a State's witness at all further proceedings in connection with this case. Her benefit, and it was substantial, was to be permitted to plead guilty to robbery.

You will learn that she entered that plea of guilty and she received a 15 year sentence and she is at the Nevada State Prison. She will be produced by the State as a witness.

Now, you will learn, during this trial, there are two basic categories of evidence that

1 2

•

3 4

ore available in any criminal case. One is direct evidence; the other is circumstantial.

Direct evidence is anything that a witness perceives with any of his or her physical senses, and circumstantial is everything else. You will have the luxury in this case, and it is a luxury in a murder case, of hearing the testimony, the eyewitness account of Diana Hunt.

You are the judges of the evidence; you are the judges of credibility of the witnesses; and you will have a chance to determine whether she is giving a credible version of what happened.

Miss Hunt is going to tell you that she and Mr. Rippo had been going together for a few weeks. She met him sometime in January 1992, so it had not been a lengthy relationship. They were living together at the house of a mutual acquaintance, Deldre D'Amore. They weren't paying rent. They were just basically there at the good graces of Miss D'Amore.

On Tuesday morning, February the 18th, 1992, Miss Hunt will explain that she was awakened at about ten o'clock in the morning by the defendant, and he told her to get up and indicated that she had to come with him.

Miss Hunt will explain that she had been using some methamphetamine, sometimes, by slang, characterized as speed, and that she had been sick all night and she didn't really feel like getting up at that time. But with the encouragement of Mr. Rippo, she did, and they left together in his Pinto vehicle.

And she's going to claim that initially she didn't even know where they were going, but they left together and eventually arrived at the Katie Arms apartment complex.

She will further explain that she knew Mr. Rippo had been there the day before, because when he came back the evening of Monday, February the 17th, he explained that Lauri Jacobson, whom he knew — in fact, he had had a certain relationship with her at some time before, he will indicate that — he indicated to Diana Hunt that Jacobson had to move out of her apartment and he said he'd been over there Monday helping her move.

And when they arrived, on Tuesday, at the Katie Arms, he explained that she needed to go up with him, that they were going to continue to help Lauri Jacobson move out of her apartment complex.

They did go upstairs. They were invited in to Apartment 317. Jacobson knew the

defendant, Mr. Rippo, quite well. She didn't know Diana Hunt very well. But they came in; they were welcome.

They were there for a short period of time when Mr. Rippo produced a small vial, which he had represented earlier to Diana Hunt that he had received from Lauri Jacobson, and he explained he brought it back, and in the presence of Hunt, the two used a needle to inject whatever was in this small vial, but it had been represented to be marphine.

Once more, the visit seemed to be cordial to a point, when, with the window open from this third floor apartment, with the curtain open, Jacobson made the observation that Denise had just arrived. At that point, she made a statement, which, in substance, was that Denise, because she didn't particularly like Mr. Rippo, probably wouldn't come up to the apartment if she knew he was here, and so she would go down and talk to her and try to persuade her to come up to the apartment.

to Diana Hunt, and she didn't measure the time, but it was perhaps 15 or 20 minutes that she was gone. And during that period of time, the window was closed by Mr. Rippo. And during that period of time, Mr. Rippo was observed by Diana Hunt to make a telephone call from Lauri Jacobson's

apartment.

Diana Hunt will say that she didn't know who he was calling, but she knows he called someone. And then he told her to give him the stun gun that was inside her purse.

She's going to indicate it was in there because he was always -- whether it was teasing or in a more malicious way -- was always using the thing on her, and so she tried to keep it in her purse. But she will explain, with Jacobson outside, he asked her for it, and she wanted to know why, and she will explain he said Just give it to me.

And she will indicate she still didn't feel well; she was still sick; she didn't want to be there. And then, after a few minutes, Denise Lizzi came up to the apartment with Lauri Jacobson and they entered.

It was Diana Hunt's observation that Denise did seem somewhat wary when she observed that Mike Rippo was there. She threw down her jean or levi Jacket. She went immediately into the bathroom. And it was the perception of Diana Hunt that, inside the bathroom, some type of drug was being ingested by Denise Lizzi, and perhaps Lauri Jacobson, who also went into the bathroom, and possibly by the defendant, Mr. Rippo.

_

At some point after the two ladies had come into the apartment, and while they were in the bathroom, Rippo, the defendant, came out to Diana Hunt, who was seated on a couch just inside the door, and he had, in his hand, a bottle of beer.

He told Hunt at that time that he wanted her to take the bottle of beer and when the telephone rang, and when Lauri Jacobson answered the telephone, and while she was speaking to whomever was going to call, he wanted Diana Hunt to hit Jacobson on the back of the head with the bottle of beer, and then he said, "Because I'm going to rob Denise."

Well, this evidence is going to show that Hunt, at that point, for whatever reason — and she'll be on the witness stand to give any excuse she might have — but she did samething very stupid. She took the battle.

And a few minutes later, the telephone did ring. She will indicate that when the phone rang, two things happened: Jacobson came out of the bathroom to answer the telephone, and Mr. Rippo went into the bathroom.

The telephone was located just a few feet away from this couch Diana Hunt was sitting on.

And Lauri Jacobson, according to her, took the phone and set

it down on the flaor and then kind of squatted down on the

floor with her back to Diana Hunt and picked up the

telephone and began to talk to someone.

And at that point, Hunt did the second thing that was very stupid. She took that bottle of beer and she cracked it over the back of Lauri Jacobson's head, hard enough that, according to Diana Hunt, the bottle of beer broke.

Hunt fell forward — excuse

me — Jacobson fell forward and to the side and seemed to be
either unconscious or, at the least, dazed for a few

moments. And then Diana Hunt could hear the noise of a
scuffle inside the bathroom and she could hear the sound she
recognized of this stun gun zapping someone, and she could
hear sounds which indicated discomfort coming from Denise
Lizzi. And then she became aware that there was a struggle,
which was moving from the bathroom into the closet area,
involving Mr. Rippo and the second victim, Denise Lizzi.

And Hunt will say, at this point, she started to say to him, you know, that that isn't necessary, come on, let's go. And her version is going to to be she was alarmed; that because the sounds of distress began while the phone had fallen, it was either off the hook

1.1

or still in the grip of Jacobson, she began to say, Lauri, Lauri, Lauri,

And Lauri Jacobson began to arise a little bit and wanted to know what had happened, wanted to know why Diana Hunt hit her with a bottle.

And Hunt indicated it would be all right, that she wasn't going to be hurt, that Mike had just wanted to rob Denise.

And Lauri made the comment how uncool this was, that as guests in their home, this sort of thing would happen.

And then the defendant came out to the area where Jacobson was still half sitting, half lying on the floor, and proceeded -- at that time, he had a knife in his hand. Hunt thought it looked like a steak knife.

appliances, one she was sure of was an iron, and he used the knife to cut the cord from the iron. And he had another appliance, whether it was a stereo or a hair dryer, she wasn't sure, but he also cut the cord from that appliance, and then he bound the hands of Lauri Jacobson behind her, with her hands behind. She was sitting at this point. He also bound her feet, which were in front of her.

£

And then he produced what appeared to Hunt to be a purple bandana and forced that into her mouth. And it was about this time that persons could hear someone walking down onto the balcony outside the apartment and someone began to knock on the door of Apartment 317.

Mike Rippo told Diana Hunt not to say anything, and she didn't say anything. And Lauri Jacobson couldn't say anything because she had a purple bandana stuffed in her mouth.

And it is unclear what the exact condition was of Denise Lizzi in the closet at that time. The evidence will — like much of the evidence, there are — various inferences can be drawn, that in all probability the evidence —

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to any inferences of probability in an opening statement.

MR. HARMON: This is just semantics, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

MR. HARMON: But no one knows for sure what the evidence will be until we hear it.

But Wendy Liston, who had

Б

accompanied Lauri Jacobson to Discount Tires the day before, will be called as a witness. She's going to indicate she not only visited on Monday, it was her habit to be concerned about her friend Lauri, because Lauri was hard up financially, and she typically would come by during her lunch break.

And on Tuesday, February the 18th, Wendy Liston, during her lunch break, come by Apartment 317 and she noticed the window was closed and the curtains were drawn. And she knocked on the door vigorously and she called out for Lauri, and no one responded, not a sound from inside Apartment 317.

She further noticed, because she knew both of the girls -- she was a very close friend of Lauri Jacobson, but she also knew Denise Lizzi and she was familiar with the car that Denise generally drove -- and she noticed that both of their cars, the black Datsun and the red Nissan, were parked in the parking lot of the Katie Arms.

She got no response; eventually

she left.

Well, on the inside, Mr. Rippo and Diana Hunt heard these emphatic knocks. When she left, matters deteriorated inside. And it was observed by Diana

Hunt that the bindings were tightened. At some point, the position of Lauri Jacobson was changed and her feet —— she was stretched out on her stomach and the binding of her feet and her arms were connected.

And she also observed that the defendant picked her up and began to drag her or lift her, about the way you would move a suitcase, and she could tell, as this happened, Lauri Jacobson was choking and she could see that she was taken by Mr. Rippo into the closet.

She also observed, at some point, that Mr. Rippo reached onto the floor, he picked up some type of object and forced it into the mouth of Denise Lizzi. She heard additional sounds, went to look in, and at some point, saw the defendant was straddling Miss Lizzi. She was face down; he had his knee in her back. He had ligatures that he was pulling tight. Diana Hunt is going to tell you that there were sounds, ghastly sounds, coming from Denise that sounded to her like an animal. And Mr. Rippo was straining to the point where Denise was lifted a little off the floor.

And then Mr. Rippo told Diana Hunt to clean up. And she'll indicate that she really wasn't sure what he was referring to, but thought he was talking about the spilled beer and the glass, because it

1.2

even splattered on some of the sentimental belongings of Lauri Jacobson. She'll explain she started to clean those up. She picked up the glass and other areas were wiped down and then they left.

And she'll explain that she expressed, as they were leaving to him, you hurt those girls; and that his response was no, they weren't hurt seriously. Their air was just caught cut off and they will be okay in a little while.

And she observed, as they left, that he had keys and he, in fact, locked the apartment, but he kept the keys with him. He also had same type of carrying bag over one of his shoulders, which he did not have when they had arrived at the Katle Arms apartments.

And so Diana Hunt will provide direct evidence, an eyewitness account, of what happened on that Tuesday, February the 18th, at Apartment 317.

There will be additional evidence that the defendant and Miss Hunt were in possession, after the crimes, of Denise Lizzi's car, the red 1988 Nissan.

Hunt will indicate that after the two left Apartment 317 on the day of the crimes, they went downstairs and Mr. Rippo told her to go back home, to

1.3

go to D'Amore's place. And she said she took the Pinto, his car, and did drive back to the apartment.

He told her to stay there until he got in touch with her. And Hunt will mention that when she drove off, he was still standing in the parking lot outside the Katie Arms opartment complex.

Later that evening, she got a telephone call from Michael Rippo and Michael Rippo asked her to meet him. He told her to meet him at a bar close to a business of a person who was an acquaintance of Mr. Rippo. He will be identified as Tom Sims, and he had a maintenance business called Tommy's Maintenance, which was located at Spring Mountain and Valley View.

Miss Hunt will indicate that she did meet with Mr. Rippo later that evening, and at some point, they went together to Tom Sim's business, and when they got there, she saw this red Nissan parked and became aware that Mr. Rippo had moved it from the Katie Arms to that point.

Tom Sims will be called also as a witness and he will indicate he'd known Mr. Rippo for quite a while. He will indicate that he didn't know Hunt really at all. And he will say, at perhaps one or two or three o'clack in the afternoon, still on Tuesday, February

...001003

18th, without any advance notice — not that that was necessary and usual — but without any knowledge that Mike Rippa would come to the business, Mr. Rippo showed up by himself.

Mr. Sims was using the telephone. He will testify he just became aware that Mr. Rippo was there. There were other employees about and other customers. So he completed his telephone call, but during the course of the telephone conversation, he became aware that Mr. Rippo had a suitcase, and that he seemed to be going through items inside the suitcase.

Conversation was completed.

Mr. Sims went over, he had a conversation with Mike Rippo and wanted to know what was in the suitcase. Well, just odds and ends. And at that time, you could see there were a bunch of tapes. And Rippo told him, well, you can have the tapes, if you want. In fact, you can have — you can have the suitcase too.

And Mr. Sims took the suitcase and the tapes, and he'll say there were quite a number of them, perhaps 40 or 50 tapes. The only thing he noticed is they had DL on the tapes.

He had a further conversation with the defendant, which was I've got a car outside; and

Sims is going to indicate that Mr. Rippo already had approached him several days before wanting to borrow some money.

And Mr. Rippo at this time said, well, I'm sure that I can get the \$2,000 now because the car's outside that I want you to look at, and \$2,000 is going to be a very good price for this car.

Indicated something that certainly caught the attention, of Mr. Sims. It at least made him a little bit wary at the vehicle. And the comment was someone died because of this car. Sims looked at it. It did appear to be a fairly late model Nissan. It was a red car, a maroon or burgundy. He noticed it was in reasonably good shape. But on one of the fenders, there was an indentation which he noticed. It appeared that the car had been struck with some type of object.

He wasn't interested. He, on his awn, concluded right away this has to be a stolen car, and he was particularly concerned about someone dying as a result of this car. And in so many words, he told Mike Rippo that he wanted the car moved from the property.

 $\mbox{Well, Mr. Rippo stayed around} \\ \mbox{for a period of time; then he left.} \ \ \mbox{And it was later that} \\$

evening that Mr. Rippo returned with Diana Hunt. And eventually, at the persistence of Mr. Sims, and others at the business, the car was moved. And there will be evidence that it was driven also by Diana Hunt; in fact, that she drove it away from Tommy's Maintenance Shop.

The vehicle in question was the car that was regularly driven by Denise Lizzi, the car given to her by her boyfriend Denny Mason.

The following day, Wednesday, February the 19th, Mr. Rippo and Diana Hunt went to the Meadows Mall. Among businesses they visited was one called Sun Gear, the sunglass shop. And there, the evidence will show, by the testimony of Diana Hunt and the sales clerk, Angle Sposita, S-p-o-s-1-t-o, a purchase of two sunglasses was made by this man and woman with the man signing the credit card.

The amount of the purchase — these are rather expensive glasses, \$160 for one, 125 for the other. With a 1995 sales tax, the total transaction amount came to 304.95. It was a Visa Gold Card that was used and it was in the name Denny Mason.

Mr. Mason will be called as a witness. He will indicate, from the witness stand, Denise Lizzi had his permission to use his car, to use his credit

card, but Mr. Rippo and Miss Hunt and no other individuals had his permission to be using his credit card or his vehicle.

There will be additional evidence that the defendant and Miss Hunt were in possession of property stolen from Lauri Jacobson and Denise Lizzi.

I've already alluded to the suitagse and its contents.

Tom Sims didn't know — at two o'clack, assuming that was about when Mr. Rippo came by on Tuesday, he didn't know about any murder. There wasn't any murder discovered until Thursday, February the 20th, when Wayne Hooper and the security guard came by shortly before ten o'clack in the marning.

But once the discovery was made, there was publicity about it, and Tom Sims, later, on Thursday, February the 20th, heard that a double murder had occurred at the Katie Arms apartment complex, and he eventually heard the identity of the victims.

Well, he'd already heard the comment about someone died for this car. And he's expected to tell you he had a very bad feeling come over him and he already knew, but he had to see it again on his own. He went back and looked at these tapes that he had been given by Mr. Rippo, and noticed for sure there was a DL on at

least most of them.

As I recall, he still didn't know at that point for sure what the other female victim was named, but he learned later that it was Lauri Jacobson, and then noticed that on the suitcase there was a name tag in that name.

On the evening of February the 29th, 1992, this other boyfriend of Denise Lizzi, whom I have mentioned in my remarks, Mike Beaudoin, who had now been released from the Detention Center — I explained that was late February, around February the 25th, 1992, that Mr. Beaudoin was released — he was contacted by the defendant, Mike Rippo, and by telephone, they agreed to meet at the Showboat Hotel on the evening of February the 25th. They met there and Mr. Rippo turned over to Mike Beaudoin some property which consisted of a carrying bag and contents.

Mr. Beaudoin took passession of the bag and the contents. He looked at them right there at the Showboat. He, as I recall, was there with a friend Darryl Scott, and they noticed that inside were some objects they recognized. Several of the objects were automatic gate and garage door openers.

In fact, these gentlemen knew that Denise, on occasion, had stayed at the residence of

1.6

Denny Mason, a boyfriend, and just to be sure if any of these automatic openers would operate the gate leading into his property, they drove over there and discovered that one of these openers, which was inside the bag given to Mr. Beaudoin by the defendant, in fact, opened the gate to Denny Mason's property.

They also noticed a Plan Your Day notebook. They noticed several address books. And I will represent the various witnesses will identify these address books. They're address books which belonged to both Lauri Jacobson and Denise Lizzi inside this bag the defendant had given Michael Begudoin.

There also were some little coin purses. One snap coin purse in particular, and a black coin purse with a white stripe will be identified by witnesses as belonging to Denise Lizzi.

But, ladies and gentlemen, there will also be evidence that the defendant admitted to various people his involvement in these crimes.

He called Thomas Sims on or about February the 26th, 1992, which coincidentally was known by Mr. Sims to be the birthday of Michael Rippo, and during the telephone conversation, Mr. Rippo explained that he left something at Tommy's Maintenance on February the

18th, 1992, and he wanted to retrieve that item.

Well, Mr. Sims will explain he wasn't talking about the tapes. He wasn't talking about the suitcase. He was talking about a little bottle, which he said had some morphine in it, that he had put inside the refrigerator at Tommy's Maintenance, and he wanted to recover that item.

But Mr. Sims will indicate he didn't know what Mike Rippo was talking about, but he went and looked in the refrigerator. Sure enough, there was a little bottle with same type of fluid in it.

And so he agreed to meet with Mr. Rippo later that evening; and they had decided, at the request of Mr. Sims — because by now, he was certainly aware of this individual and he wanted it to be a sort of public place — that they would meet at Caribbean Charlie's on the Strip.

And he got in his vehicle and was headed in that direction. He will explain he got to thinking, I don't know if I ought to meet with him at all, when there was a call on his cellular telephone.

He answered, as he drove along.

It was Mr. Rippo, who explained that he had some car trouble; that, in fact, he wouldn't be able to meet at

1 Caribbean Charlie's; that he was out at Rainbow, I think.
2 and Spring Mountain, clase to the Thrifty Mart.

And this gave Sims even more concern — the place of the meeting was now being changed — but that he went out to Spring Mountain and Rainbow, but was careful to be observant as to whether there would be some indication in this parking lot that the vehicle was disabled, as Mr. Rippo had represented.

He saw the car and, sure enough, there seemed to be a puddle of oil or something, which allayed his concern to some extent, delayed his concern to some extent.

He got out and he gave Mr. Rippo the item that he wanted, this little vial of what purported to be morphine.

And then Mr. Sims, during the course of a short conversation at the parking lot, got a little braver and indicated to Rippo samething about that property he acquired and accused Mr. Rippo of being involved in the murder of those two girls.

And according to Thomas Sims, like any of the other evidence, that you must consider all the factors in determining credibility, the defendant said: Yes, I strangled those bitches.

__

They then got into Sims' car and while driving down Spring Mountain Road, towards the Stardust, Sims persisted in trying to find out a little bit more information. He wanted to know of Mr. Rippo if he was alone when he did it, and the defendant told him no, Diana was with him.

And then Sims wanted to know the answer to a logical question: Well, if she was there, can you trust her?

And Mr. Rippo, according to Tom Sims, said: I'm sure I can because she not only was there, she initiated the action. She hit Lauri Jacobson with a bottle of beer.

Now, Mr. Sims had been picking up a little information about the case from the newspapers or wherever. It may have been totally incorrect, but his state of mind was such that it prompted him — because he remembered something to indicate there was trauma to the face or a part of the body of one of the victims, and he inquired about that.

The defendant, according to him, said that he was dragging one of these girls into the closet or a back room when she fell and her face hit the coffee table.

1	
2	you
3	
4	Den
5	pin
6	
7	tha
8	fin
9	the
10	on1
11	
12	and
13	acc
14	=
15	tho
16	the
17	
18	W1]
19	cel
20	def
21	con
22	MOM
23	
24	ari

Sims then asked: Well, why did you take the pants off of one of victims?

And the evidence will show that denise Lizzi didn't have her outer garments on, only her wink undergants.

The response was by Mr. Rippo that during the altercations with the victims, he cut his finger and he bled on her pants, and he was concerned about the investigation connecting his blood and that's why he not only took her pants off, he took them with him.

Sims asked him why he did it, and the comment to Sims was: Well, the first one was an accident, so he had to kill the second one.

He then commented that both of those girls were really fine. I could have fucked both of them. He indicated he didn't with the comment: I'm cured.

Additionally, the prosecution will present the testimony of a person or persons who shared cells in the Clark County Detention Center with the defendant after his arrest on this charge, to whom he confided his responsibility in the murder of these two young women.

Ladies and gentlemen, the primary question to be resolved by you, as the triers of the

facts in this case, is to answer this question: Who committed the vicious, senseless robbery-murder of two young Las Vegas wamen on Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992, around twelve o'clock noon at the Katie Arms apartment complex, Apartment 317?

The evidence in this case is going to show that the motive was robbery and also other ill feelings that related to events prior to that date.

The evidence will show that the accomplice of this perpetrator was 27 year old Diana Hunt.

The evidence will further show that the perpetrator, the killer, is almost 31 years of age. He's approximately five feet four inches tall, perhaps 150 pounds or less.

The evidence will show in this case he used the element of surprise and a stun gun and a steak knife and various ligatures and his hands to cruelly murder two human beings.

He caused the bird of time to flutter on February the 18th, 1992 and to come to roost where Lauri Jacobson and Denise Lizzi were. Lauri was 27. Denise was 25.

This evidence is going to show he is a killer and he is in this courtroom. He's Michael

	1
	-8

Las Vegas, Nevada, February 2, 1996, 2:00 p.m.

1.8

(The following proceedings were had in open court outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Let the record reflect the presence of the defendant and his attorneys; the attorneys for the State.

The record will also reflect we are outside the presence of the jury.

You had something?

MR. DUNLEAVY: A couple things, Your Honor.

Probably the first one, which

is the simplest one, is I notice there is a couple prospective jurors that have come back to watch the trial. I would just like to make sure they are admonished not to speak to anybody on the panel since they may or may not know that that is prohibited.

THE COURT: Ladies, the two which are the prospective jurors -- there is three of you back there -- you understand you are not supposed to talk to anyone on the panel or anyone else. Okay.

MR. DUNLEAVY: The second one, Your Honor,

is a motion for a mistrial.

Throughout Mr. Harmon's opening statement, he made references to statements Mr. Sims was going to accredit to our client. We've been provided discovery on Mr. Sims, including the statement given to the police and his Grand Jury testimony. None of this was ever discussed.

We've never heard anything about this. We've never provided any discovery indicating that he had any information outside this.

To be sandbagged in opening statement that our client supposedly confessed to somebody is not the way it's supposed to be done. We have discovery orders filed in a timely manner. They were granted.

the client is something we're entitled to be advised of.

We never heard them at all until Mr. Harmon made his opening statement, and I submit to you that's improper and grounds for a mistrial.

And, thirdly, I would put on the record that we're going to need an evidentiary hearing on State's Exhibits 19 through 63, which are photos of bodies and, in my opinion, redundant, photos of autopsy, which are inflammatory, and I don't think -- they're

» 001017

1.8

entitled to one or two, but I think 23 photos of it is a little excessive. And I wish we could argue that when the Judge could view those photos.

MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, I just want to amplify one thing, and that is the matter that Mr. Dunleavy mentioned regarding Mr. Sims and what Mr. Harmon said Mr. Sims would testify to regarding alleged statements by Michael Rippo.

I have ten of these volumes (indicating) for this case. Three or four of them are the discovery alone. I have Mr. Sim's voluntary statement. I have Mr. Sim's Grand Jury testimony. Nowhere in any of that does Mr. Sims say anything close to Mr. Rippo admitting involvement in these murders.

Mr. Harmon obviously has came into this information, either through a pretrial with Mr. Sims or other statements.

Now, these are statements of our client. Pursuant to 174.235, we are obligated -- we are allowed -- they are obligated to provide us with those things, which they have not.

I would ask for an evidentiary hearing on this issue. Here I am about to give an opening statement in response to Mr. Harmon and I'm totally

1 surprised by this new information that has come to the 2 attention of the State, I don't know when. 3 I mean, Judge, they gave us a 4 crime report by criminologist Alon Cabralis Thursday or 5 Friday, that was authored in February of '92 --6 (Whereupon, a sotto voce at 7 this time.) 8 MR. WOLFSON: -- an additional statement by 9 10 another witness, Mr. Lewis. 11 This is -- this is a 12 criminologist's report from three years and eleven months 13 ago, that we got last Thursday. 14 So. Judge, at the very least, 15 I'd like to hear from the State, in an evidentiary fashion, 16 how they came upon Mr. Sim's information and Why it wasn't 17 provided to us until the opening statement. 18 THE COURT: Response? MR. HARMON: Your Honor, Tom Sims testified 19 20 before the Grand Jury. So it's not any secret that he's a 21 witness. 22 As the Court knows, the same 23 rule of endorsing all prospective witnesses doesn't 24 necessarily apply to Grand Jury proceedings, but to make 001019

sure that the defense knew exactly who the witnesses were, that we intended to present witnesses from in our case in chief, we provided a lengthy list, very similar to what we do in the case of an Information.

Once again, Thomas Sims' name was listed. Judge, we don't own these witnesses. They may have information that's helpful to the State, but we do not have a proprietary interest in people like Thomas Sims.

The defense has access. Once a witness is listed, the defense also has the option of conducting pretrial conferences. Mr. Sims did not testify to any confession made by Mr. Rippo to him at the Grand Jury hearing, that's correct. He did not allude, in statements to the police, made in 1992, to admissions made to him by the defendant.

For the first time, a week ago today, when I met Thomas Sims, and conducted a pretrial conference with the man, he disclosed the information.

Judge, there aren't any written or recorded statements. I sat in my office and heard him relate what he is saying Mr. Rippo said to him.

That's the long and short of

it. It is not unusual when witnesses are interviewed during
a pretrial conference or a pre-hearing conference of any

. 001020

-

kind that they have remembered additional information.

Whether he's embellishing for his own motives or whether he now finally is telling us all that he knows, I can't represent to the Court or counsel. I only know what the man said to me.

We cannot make it discoverable.

I -- I couldn't even represent to the Court or counsel precisely what the man is going to say on the witness stand.

MR. DUNLEAVY: He did in opening statement to the jury. Your Honor.

MR. HARMON: I related during my opening statement what the man told me verbally during the conference.

Judge, the defense has the same option with each of these witnesses.

THE COURT: You have investigators and go interview all these witnesses.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, they provided us with 168 witnesses. Last week, they gave us a shortened list. But our investigator spent a lot of time looking for people on that 168 named list that aren't going to be called. Obviously, we haven't gone back and interviewed Mr. Sims, because we had his statement to the police and his Grand Jury testimony.

So we went out looking for people that we didn't have things on to try and put the case tagether.

MR. HARMON: Well, he was certainly on the shortened list. The defense had to have known — because of the original information he gave, that of seeing this vehicle at his business on the day of the crime, of hearing a statement from the defendant, this car caused a murder, and because of discussion about money and leaving town, they had to know Thomas Sims was going to be a witness. That's the only point I'm making. And nothing written or recorded; he came in at a pretrial conference and made the disclosures one week ago.

THE COURT: Motion for mistrial is denied.

Anything else?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Not at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Get the jury.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, they do intend to use at least one of the photos in that group that we want a hearing on.

THE COURT: Do you want to go over these first before we begin?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, they told me they were going to use one of them with the first witness and Danny

	·
1	can show it to the Court.
2	I think counsel
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: It's probably the least
4	objectionable.
5	MR. SEATON: Let me show
6	THE COURT: As long as you are going to
7	limit it to the one.
8	MR. SEATON: Today it's only the one.
9	THE COURT: And then we'll go over the other
10	ones before at a recess or something outside the presence
11	of the jury.
12	Okay, Get the jury.
13	
14	(The following proceedings were had in open court in the
15	presence of the Jury:)
16	
17	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
18	gentlemen.
19	Counsel stipulate to the
20	presence of the jury?
21	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson, you may make your
24	opening statement.
	. 001023

2
2

gentlemen.

Į1

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, ladies and

I, too, on behalf of

co-counsel, Mr. Dunleavy, and Michael, want to congratulate you folks.

After a three day torturous process of grilling you folks on your personal lives and how you thought about this and what would you do if that, you have been selected as jurors.

I submit to you that when the case is finally given to you for decision, that this may be one of the hardest decisions you will ever make. You are going to have to decide from the evidence whether the evidence supports what the government wants or whether the evidence supports what we suggest it doesn't support.

But I ask you in this opening statement to pay close attention; listen to all of the evidence, because I'm sure when the case is finally submitted to you, you will be convinced that the State has not met their burden.

I submit to you that this case should really be titled with two titles: One. The State of Nevada versus Michael Rippo; and, two, Diana Hunt versus the

truth,

v

• --- /

You will see that: She alone says that Michael Rippo committed these crimes; she alone is the one who says Michael Rippo was in the apartment on February 18th, 1992. The evidence will show that she is not believable.

The evidence will show that there is no physical evidence to support her assertion that Michael Rippo spent two hours inside this apartment.

The prosecutor said there is two types of evidence: Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

Us lawyers, us judges, use these terms that we're taught in law school to describe the evidence.

Direct evidence is, as Mr.

Harmon says, someone who is an eyewitness, someone who says

I saw that event happen with my own eyes. That is direct
evidence.

The evidence will show that there is only one person who will testify on behalf of the State of Nevada who claims to have percipient knowledge, who claims to have seen the crime committed, and that is Diana Hunt.

We also have circumstantial evidence, which, as Mr. Harmon very eloquently stated, was everything else besides direct evidence. A perfect example of circumstantial evidence is a fingerprint. A fingerprint is samething other than direct evidence, something other than eyewitness perception.

We all grow up watching television and hearing on television from Perry Mason: Objection, Your Honor, that's circumstantial evidence.

before I became a lawyer, with the impression that circumstantial evidence was something less than direct evidence; it's not as good; it's not as reliable. That's not true.

And I remember growing up.

The evidence in this case, I submit, will show you that the physical evidence, the circumstantial evidence, or the lack thereof, is more compelling than the direct evidence.

The evidence will show that there is a total lack -- there is no physical evidence to connect Michael Rippa with this apartment.

You must keep in mind that, at the beginning of this trial, Mr. Seaton stood up and read off a list of 165 witnesses, thereabouts. I counted the

number up quickly and it's pretty close to 165 witnesses.

You must understand, ladies and gentlemen, that those are the witnesses that are our witnesses too.

You will see, from the procedure of the trial, as His Honor has instructed you, that they have the burden of proof, so they go first. To meet their burden, to attempt to canvince you, they call witnesses to the witness stand.

But, ladies and gentlemen, these witnesses are our witnesses too. So when you listen to the witnesses that are called by the State, please keep in mind that we're not going to call them ourselves because they called them first, but they're equally our witnesses too.

A criminal case begins with a crime; in this case, an ugly, terrible crime. It is followed by an investigation; in this case, by the police department.

We, as citizens, rely on police to do a competent job. We rely on the police, who go to a crime scene, to gather evidence to bring to a courtroom in this search for the truth.

You will learn, from the

 evidence, that the investigation in this case was seriously compromised -- remember that word, seriously compromised -- by the methods of evidence gathering.

In this case, Michael is charged with a number of felony crimes. Most of our discussion from Tuesday through yesterday involved the crime of murder. But you probably learned, for the first time today, that Michael is charged with other crimes. Michael is charged with one count of robbery, one count of possession of stolen vehicle, and two counts of credit card fraud.

Ladies and gentlemen, the evidence will show that Michael Damon Rippo is guilty of credit card fraud. We are not contesting that Michael Rippo used the credit cards of another person without their permission.

We are not going to suggest to you, from this evidence, that he had the permission of Denny Mason to use Denny Mason's credit card.

But, ladies and gentlemen, the evidence will show that he's guilty only of credit card fraud and not of murder.

 $\hbox{A reading of the Indictment,}$ which Miss Clerk so -- did so well this morning, shows the

State themselves don't really know what happened either.

How many and/ors were mentioned to you in the reading of the Indictment? And I'm not going to go through it all, but I count approximately eight and/ors in the count of murder, that their allegation is that Michael Rippo and/or Diana Hunt did this.

The evidence will show that the State of Nevada doesn't even really know what happened.

The evidence will show, according to Diana Hunt, that she spent approximately two hours in this apartment, but there is no physical connection, as to what she claims, between Michael Rippo and that apartment.

If you believe Diana Hunt, you must believe that Michael Rippo was in the apartment for approximately two hours.

The evidence will show that Metro criminologists responded to this apartment; that they gathered certain physical evidence; that they gathered fingerprints; that Metro gathered hair and fiber analysis; that the criminalist gathered footwear impressions; and finally, that fingernall scrapings were taken from Miss Jacobson and Miss Lizzi at the time of the autopsy.

There are reasons why police

ı

departments go to crime scenes to gather evidence.

I submit the evidence will show — because we have the Diana Hunts of the world, the evidence will show that Diano Hunt was charged with murder. She was charged with murder alone, with Michael Rippo, but she was charged, and that two months later, the evidence will show, she turned, she flipped.

I believe it was the day before her preliminary hearing she became the State's witness.

The evidence will show that that is one of the reasons why police departments gather evidence, to support their case.

You will hear that of all of the fingerprints gathered, none of them are Michael Rippo's.

The evidence will show that of the hair and fiber particles that were recovered from this crime scene, none of them come back to Michael Rippo. You will hear that footwear samples were taken. You will hear no evidence that any of those footwear samples — I submit to you, the evidence will show that a criminologist saw something on the floor, that he, in his expert training, thought was the print possibly of the killer or killers, so he recovered the footwear print. You will hear no evidence that it matched Michael Rippo's footwear.

Ţ

victim.

Finally, you will hear evidence that fingernail scrapings were taken from the two girls. The evidence will show that this is done for a purpose, because in many cases when victims are flinging for their lives, fending off attackers, they will come into contact with their attacker; and oftentimes, the attacker's hair or skin or blood will lodge under the fingernails of the

The evidence will show that those scrapings were taken for analysis. The evidence will show that there is no serological value and there is nothing to match that substance to Michael Rippo.

So what we have, the evidence will show, is Diana Hunt, who pled guilty to robbery. Two months after she was charged with murder, the State of Nevada allowed her to plead guilty to robbery, not murder.

The Indictment says that she aided and abetted Michael Rippo in committing this crime. The evidence will show that she is guilty of murder, but that the State of Nevada allowed her to plead guilty to rabbery. You will hear the sentence she received for that robbery, fifteen years.

The evidence will show that she has already been up to the parole board. She already came

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

the evidence will show that they denied her parale.

The evidence will show that the State of Nevada, the District Attorney's Office, has the power to offer plea bargains, incentives, deals, letters to parole boards, letters to help people, and that it is oftentimes done in exchange for things.

You might call it test to money, as well as testimony, that the State of Nevada bargains for.

You will hear that that is exactly what happened with Diana Hunt, that just before her hearing, just before she was going to come to court on murder charges, she was approached, she cut a deal with the State of Nevada.

You will also hear testimony, I submit, that shows that Tom Sims, who Mr. Harmon states said Michael admitted these crimes — you will hear testimony that Mr. Sims provided a voluntary statement to the police weeks after the crime. He did say that he came into contact with Mr. Rippo, pretty much as Mr. Harmon explained.

He didn't say anything in his voluntary statement to the police about what Michael Rippo said and that he confessed to the murder. Tom Sims then

appeared before the Grand Jury, and under oath, provided testimony. Once again, witness Tom Sims never said anything while under oath that Michael Rippo confessed to murder.

I believe the evidence will show that Tom Sims only recently — and isn't that ironic, I use the word recently — but now, I say four years later — says, oh, yeah, and I forgot to tell ya, Michael also admitted to his involvement and killed these two girls.

to pay close attention. I urge you not to form opinions early. The Court has and will continue to advise you to wait until all of the evidence is in. Wait until you can gauge the credibility of all of the witnesses, the State's witnesses and the defense witnesses, before you can analyze and compare the testimony between the two witnesses, before you start forming opinions.

I'm confident that you will be shown pictures, which are not pretty pictures. We are not saying that two girls did not wrongly come to their death.

What we are saying is the evidence will show and that you will be convinced that Michael Rippo did not commit these murders.

So you are going to have σ tendency. It's human nature, to react, to start to form

., 001033

1 opinions, 'cause it's human nature to do so, when you are shown a picture. I submit the evidence will show it's going 2 3 to be offensive, but I urge you to wait until all of the evidence is in so that you can carefully analyze all the 4 5 witnesses. And I'm confident, when the 7 case is finally submitted to you, in two weeks or so, that you will say to the State of Nevada that they have not met 8 9 their burden, and that Michael Rippo is not guilty of 10 murder. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wolfson. 11 12 The State may call its first

13 witness.

MR. HARMON: Wayne Hooper.

THE COURT: Wayne Hooper.

THE CLERK: Would you remain standing,

please, and raise your right-hand.

18

19

14

15

16

17

6

Whereupon,

20

21

22

24

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the

WAYNE HOOPER

23 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined

and testified as follows:

001034

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1.	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
2	Would you state your name and
3	spell it for the record, please.
4	THE WITNESS: Wayne S. Hooper.
5	THE CLERK: Middle initial again, please.
6	THE WITNESS: S, Steven.
7	MR. HARMON: Mr. Hooper, you may want to
8	bring the microphone just a little bit closer.
9	THE WITNESS: Is that akay?
10	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. HARMON:
13	Q Mr. Hooper, are you familiar with an
14	apartment complex called the Katie Arms?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q How is it that you know of that business?
17	A I was a manager.
18	Q How long were you a manager there?
19	A For two years.
20	Q Buring what time frame, sir?
21	A From January of '91 through to February
22	of '93, I believe.
23	Q During the month of February, 1992, did you
24	have contact with a young lady, who became a tenant at the
	001035

	•		93
3 20 1			
MRippo-07058-R0A00848	5 1	the office when	Lauri Jacobson checked in on that date?
56-80 -80	2		
7800H		Α	Yes, I was I was in there with my with
õ	3	my wife.	
	4	Q	What is your wife's name?
	5	Α	Bonnie.
	6	Q	B-o-n-n-e-y?
	7	А	I-e.
	8	Q	Was she also a manager at the Katie Arms?
	9	A	Yes, sir.
•	10	Q	When Lauri Jacobson checked in to Katie Arms
	11	was she staying	there on a weekly basis?
	12	A	Yes, sir,
	13	Q	Do you happen to remember what she was
	14	charged for the	apartment she was given?
	15		MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
	16		THE COURT: Overruled.
	17	BY MR. HARMON:	
	18	Q	Do you remember what she was charged, sir?
	19	А	Yes, şir, \$110 a week.
	20	Q	Do you recall what apartment
	21	A	Apartment 317.
	22	Q	That's on the third floor?
	23	A	Yes, sir.
	24	Q	What type of apartment was that, if you are
			, , •
			001037

1	able to characterize it?	
2	A	It's a studio apartment.
3	q	What is a studio apartment?
4	А	It just has a small cook stove, comes
5	furnished with	a T.V. and two day beds that are
6	perpendicular a	t the corner that can be folded out or put
7	together to make	e a queen-size bed.
8	Q	If Lauri Jacobson was paying by the week,
9	and if she gave	you \$110 on February the 8th, when was her
10	next payment due	9?
11	А	That would have been the 15th.
12	Q	February 15th?
13	A	Right.
14	Q	Do you recall now whether either you or your
15	wife, Bonnie, r	eceived the payment from Lauri Jacobson on
16	February the 15	th?
17		MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection; there is no
18	foundation he wo	ould know if his wife got it.
19		THE COURT: Sustained.
20	BY MR. HARMON:	
21	Q	As a manager at the Katie Arms, did you stay
22	apprised of the	status of rent payments on specific
23	apartments?	
24	Α	Yes, sir. I checked every morning.
		001038

U
υ.
o .
"i
<u> </u>
ဇာ
œ
S
Ö
Τ.
÷
8
C
958 90 E(
፟
Z₹
≖.
22
· · ·
(2)

Ţ	u 11 sameone oscame overque, would that come
2	to your attention?
3	A Yes, sir.
£ļ.	Q Do you have the knowledge now whether Lauri
5	Jacobson made the payment for Apartment 317 on February the
б	15th, 1992?
7	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay; beyond the
8	witness' personal knowledge.
9	How would he learn, Judge, by
10	reading it off a piece of paper? That's hearsay.
11	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, we're talking about
12	a manager; we're talking about the regular activities of the
13	business; we're talking about activities that he hos
14	familiarity about.
15	THE COURT: Well, the records kept, maybe he
16	could testify off of the business records, if they had any.
17	MR. HARMON: Even if there aren't any
18	records being presented at this time, this witness, Judge,
19	is in a position to know as manager whether he had a reason
20	to be concerned about the non-payment of rent.
21	MR. WOLFSON: He would have either learned
22	that from a conversation with Miss Jacobson herself, which
23	would be hearsay; reading it off a business document, which
24	there is no foundation for, so that would be hearsay; or a

1	
1	discussion with his wife, and that would be hearsay.
2	MR. HARMON: Well, it ends up impacting his
3	state of mind and his state of mind because he ended up
4	checking on her at some point. It doesn't necessarily go to
5	the truth.
6	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may we approach
7	for a moment?
8	MR. HARMON: It's simply offered to explain
9	why he acted subsequently in a certain way.
10	THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the
11	objection. You may answer.
12	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
13	THE WITNESS: I forgot what the question was
14	now.
15	BY MR. HARMON:
16	Q Did she make payment on February the 15th,
17	1992?
18	A No, she did not.
19	Q Several days later, did you see Lauri
20	Jacobson in the office of the Katie Arms?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Did you carry on a conversation with her?
23	A No. She just ran in the office and
24	MR. WOLFSON: Objection. I don't know what
į	091040

		i		97
₹.			•	•
MRippo-87058-ROA00852	c	ব	hais soins to	ou but it's a ver or so question
7058-	6	1	ue 2 gorna co :	say, but it's a yes or no question.
ROAGG		2		THE COURT: Sustained.
852		3	BY MR. HARMON:	
		4	Q	Well, my question is: Did you carry on a
		5	conversation w	ith her?
		6	A	She talked, I didn't.
		7	Q	You say she just ran into the office?
		8	А	Right; and
		9	Q	Do you remember about what time of the day
		10	it was?	į
		11	А	No, I really don't remember what time of the
		12	day that was.	
		13	Q	Was it during the daytime?
		14	А	Yes, sir, it was.
		15	Q	Do you have a recollection now of what day
		16	it was?	
		17	A	Monday or Tuesday. I'm not sure which day
		18	it was.	
		19	Q	The Monday or Tuesday following February the
		20	15th?	
		21	А	Yeah, like the 17th or 18th or
		22	Q	Of February, 1992?
		23	A	Right.
		24	Q	You say she just ran in.
		 •		D01041
				AGTOAT

		,
1		About how long was she in the
2	office?	
3	A	She just came in and said, yeah
4		MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
5		THE COURT: The question was how long was
6	she in the offic	ce?
7		MR. HARMON: Yes.
8		THE COURT: He could answer that.
9		THE WITNESS: Thirty seconds.
10	BY MR. HARMON:	
11	Q	Apparently she said something to you?
12	A	Yes,
13	Q	Without going into the hearsay statement
14	that Lauri Jacol	ason made, was it relating to rent payment?
15		MR. WOLFSON: Objection; leading. It's
16	another way of s	getting to a hearsay statement.
17		THE COURT: Sustained.
18		MR. HARMON: I'm just trying to go around
19	the hearsay,	
20		I didn't hear the Court's
21.	ruling.	
22		THE COURT: I sustained the objection.
23	BY MR. HARMON:	
24	Q	As a result of what she said, did you expect
		001042
		

			99
<u>3</u>			
MRisso-97058-ROAB0854	6	1	to get the rent?
.58-₽.		2	A Yes, şir.
9885 5		3	Q Did you see where Miss Jacobson went after
_	7	4	she left the office?
		5	A Just left the property.
		6	Q Were you familiar at that time with the type
		7	of car she drove?
		8	A Yes, sir, I was.
		9	Q What do you remember about her vehicle?
		10	A Black Datsun, I think it was.
	-	11	Q Shortly after Miss Jacobson had visited the
		12	office on either Monday or Tuesday, February the 17th or
		13	18th, 1992, did you observe her black Datsun?
		14	A Yes, I did.
		15	Q Tell us what you saw.
		16	A Well, she had the tire just about flat and
		17	she was driving off.
		18	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, could we ask him
		19	to move the microphone a little claser. It's very hard to
		20	hear him.
		21	MR. WOLFSON: I don't know if it was planted
		22	by prosecution or not, but there is an air conditioning
		23	blower right here, judge, so if you hear me talk about
		24	THE COURT: That was planted by me, not the
		r	001043

1	prosecutor.
· Z	MR. WOLFSON: That's what Mr. Dunleavy is
3	referring to. You can see the little thing blowing.
4	THE COURT: Mr. O'Leary can fix it. This
5	way he doesn't have to listen to it.
6	MR. WOLFSON: He can't hear you, Judge.
7	BY MR. HARMON:
8	Q Mr. Hooper, when you saw the black Datsun,
9	did you recognize it as the Lauri Jacobson car?
10	A Yes, I did,
11	Q And you mention there was a tire which is
12	almost flat?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Did you have any idea at that point where
15	she was going?
16	A No, I did not.
17	Q How soon after she had been in the office
18	was it that you saw the car leaving the premises?
19	A I think it was Just a short time later. I'm
20	not sure of the time frame.
21	Q Did you notice any other car in close
22	proximity to the black Datsun?
23	A It was a red it was a Cor Camaro or a
24	Firebird. I'm not sure what it was.
	001044

1	Q	Here you familiar with that vehicle?
2	А	No, I wasn't.
3	Q	Did you identify it to any particular tenant
4	at the Katie Ar	ms?
5	A	No.
6	Q	How is it that you noticed this red vehicle
7	in connection w	ith Jacobson's black Datsun?
8	A	Well, it was following her car close.
9	Q	I want to direct your attention now to
10	several days la	ter, specifically a Thursday, February the
11	20th, 1992.	
12		On that date, during the
13	morning, did yo	u haye a concern about Lauri Jacobson?
14	A	Well, it the car hadn't moved for some
15	ti me and her re	nt still hadn't been paid.
16		MR. WOLFSON: I'm going to object and ask
17	Your Honor to s	trike that as being nonresponsive.
18		MR. HARMON: Well, he just anticipated
19		THE COURT: Overruled.
20		MR. HARMON: He just anticipated the next
21	question, is of	1, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. HARMON:	
23	Q	I take it your answer to my question is yes?
24	А	Yes,
		. 001045.

1	Q	And you are telling us why you had a
2	concern?	
3	А	Yes.
4		MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we'd ask the
5	Court to direct	the witness to only answer the question.
6		THE COURT: Will you please just answer the
7	question. Don'	t valunteer any additional information.
8		THE WITNESS: Okay.
9		THE COURT: Thank you.
10	BY MR. HARMON:	
11	Q	Are you telling us, Mr. Hooper, that on
12	Thursday, Febru	ary the 20th, the rent still hadn't been paid
13	by Lauri Jacobs	on?
14	A	That's right.
1 5	Q	Now, you've mentioned
16		MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we're going to
17	renew the hears	ay objection as to that issue.
18		THE COURT: Overruled.
19	BY MR. HARMON:	
20	Q	You've mentioned that her car hadn't moved
21	for a few days.	
22	Α	That's right.
23	Q	Now, you had mentioned, on either Monday or
24	Tuesday, the 17	th or 18th, observing it leaving the premises

1.	with a tire that was almost flat.		
2	. А	Yes.	
3	Q	Subsequent to that date, had you seen the	
4	vehicle in the	parking lot?	
5	А	On Monday?	
6	Q	Not on Monday, sir, but just sometime after	
7	that date?		
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	Did you see it move for a several day period	
1.0	of time?		
11	A	Not that I recall, no.	
12	Q	As a result of your concern, what happened	
13	the morning of	February the 20th?	
14	A	Well, I decided to go up to the apartment	
15	and see what wo	is going on.	
16	Q	Did you take anyone with you, sir?	
17	А	A security guard.	
18	Q	Will you identify the security officer?	
19	А	It was Mac Holloway,	
20	Q	Please spell his name, sir,	
21	А	M-a-c is his first name; Holloway,	
22	H-0-1-1-0-พ-a-3	<i>f</i> .	
23	Q	What happened when you and Mr. Holloway got	
24	to Apartment 31	1.7?	
l		001047	

	1		104
35			•
7 MRippo-07058-ROA00859	_	_	
7958-5	1	A	When I knocked two or three times.
300 A D	2	Q	Do you remember about what time of the day
) P	3	this was?	
	4	A	Just that it would be after nine o'clock.
	5	Q	Why do you say it would be after nine
	6	o'clock?	·
	7	А	That's when the office opens.
	8	Q	Are referring to nine o'clock in the
	9	morning?	
	10	A	Yes, sir.
_	11	Q	When you knocked a number of times on the
8	12	door, did you g	et any response?
	13	A	No, sir.
	14	Q	As a result of getting no response, what
	15	happened?	•
	16	A	I used my master key to get in.
	17	Q	At the time you used your master key to
	18	enter, could yo	u determine the condition of the front door?
	19	A	I'm not sure what you mean by that.
	20	Q	Well, did you have to use a key to get in?
	21	A	Yes, I did.
	22	Q	There one key or excuse me, one lock or
	23	various locks?	
	24	А	There are two locks.
		.,	
			001048

1	Q	What did you determine about the condition
2	of the locking	mechanisms on the door to 317?
3	А	The deadbalt was locked, but the bottom lock
4	wasn't.	
5	Q	Did you say the bottom lock was not?
6	A	Right.
7	Q	So you are telling us that you used your
8	master key	
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	on the dead bolt lock?
11	A	Yes, sir.
12	Q	What happened then?
13	Ā	I just opened the door and, I guess I
14	hollered manage	r or something.
15	Q	Did both you and Mr. Holloway enter
16	Apartment 317?	
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	When you entered, did you see any sign of
19	movement?	
20	A	No.
21	Q	What did you observe about the condition of
22	the apartment?	
23	A	It was Just looked like it had been
24	ransacked or ju	est o total mess.
		001049

1	Q Why do you say it looked like it had been
2	ransacked?
3	A Well, there was beer bottles on the floor
4	and a phone was laying in the middle of the floor, off the
5	hook; and there was clothes every where, you know; nothing
6	was arranged.
7	Q Did you begin to look around?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q What did you observe in addition to what you
10	mentioned?
11	A Well, I just walked to the back where the
12	lights for the closet and the bathroom are. I turned the
13	lights on.
14	Q When you turned when you say you
15	turned the lights on. Which lights is that?
16	A Well, the bathroom and the closet light are
17	right next I turned them both on at the same time.
18	Q When you walked in, were there any lights on
19	in Apartment 317?
20	A No.
21.	Q After you turned the bathroom and closet
22	lights on, did you see any person or persons?
23	A I saw two.
24	Q Where were the two people you saw?
	001059

i	А	They were laying in the closet.
2	Q	Do you remember the size of this closet you
3	are referring t	0?
4	А	It's a large walk in.
5	Q	Where in the closet did you see the two
6	persons?	
7	А	At the very just as you entered the
8	closet.	
9	Q	Did you see any movement in connection with
10	either person o	t that time?
11	А	No, I didn't.
12	Q	Do you remember how the persons were lying
13	on the floor?	
14	A	Face down, both.
15	Q	Do you remember what the relationship was of
16	ane to the othe	r? How close to
17	А	They were right next to each other.
18	Q	Did either you or Mac Hollowdy, in your
19	presence, make	any effort to determine if there were vital
20	signs?	
21	А	I called Mac, and I don't know what he did.
22	Q	Did you detect I assume you don't have
23	any training as	a paramedic or
24	А	No, sir.
		. 001051

1.	Q as a coroner or investigator?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q Did you see any evidence of life?
4	A No. sir.
5	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness.
6	Your Honor?
7	THE COURT: You may.
8	BY MR. HARMON:
9	Q I'm showing you, Mr. Kooper, State's
10	Proposed Exhibits 5 and 19.
11	Will you examine both pictures,
12	sir, and tell us if you recognize what is shown in them.
13	Let's start with number 5, to
14	Your right.
15	A Okay.
16	Q Did you recognize what is shown in proposed
1.7	Exhibit 5?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q What is it?
. 20	A That's the Katie Arms and that's Apartment
21	317.
22	Q I'm going to furnish you a red pen, I'd
23	like you to use that to circle, on proposed Exhibit 5, the
24	entrance way to Apartment 317.
	001052

1.		Will you put your initials just
2	outside the circ	cle, please, again in red.
3		I want you now, Mr. Hooper, to
4	examine proposed	d Exhibit 19.
5		Do you recognize the scene
6	depicted in tha	t photograph?
7	А	Yes, I do.
8	Q	Have you seen that room and what you see
9	depicted in the	photograph before?
10	A	Yes.
11	a	When was it?
12	A	That on the 20th of February.
13	Q	1992?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	What part of the Katie Arms complex is
16	depicted in pro	posed Exhibit 19?
17	A	That's the walk in closet in 317.
18	Q	Are these the two bodies that you saw there?
19	А	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Are they in substantially the same condition
21	in this photogr	aph as you remember seeing them Thursday,
22	February the 20	th, 1992?
23	А	Yes, sir.
24	Q	How long were you inside the apartment, sir,
		, 001053

		110
8	1	that day?
	2	A At this at this time, maybe just a very
	3	few minutes.
	4	Q Did you return after that?
	5	A Yes, sir.
	6	Q Were the police contacted after you realized
	. 7	that there were two bodies inside Apartment 317?
	8	A Yes, sir, they were.
	9	Q Who contacted the police?
	10	A My wife.
	11.	Q How soon after you had observed the bodies
	12	was that?
	13	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, Your Honor; there
q	14	is no foundation he knows.
J	15	THE COURT: Sustained.
	1.6	BY MR. HARMON;
	17	Q Were you there when your wife contacted the
	18	police?
	19	A Yes, I was.
	20	Q How quickly after you made the discovery of
	21	the bodies was it?
	22	A Five minutes at the most.
	23	Q Did representatives of the police department
	24	arrive?
		. 001054
	8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Did you make the apartment accessible to the
3	investigating o	fficers?
4	А	Yes, sir.
5	Q	During the time that you and Mr. Holloway
6	initially were	inside the apartment, do you recall if you
7	disturbed anyth	ing, at least deliberately?
8	А	Not that I recall.
9	Q	Do you remember changing the position at all
10	of either of th	e bodies?
11	А	No.
12	Q	Once you found the remains, did you do your
13	best to maintal	n the condition of the apartment just as you
14	had found it?	
15	A	Yes, sir.
16		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
1.7	proposed Exhibi	ts 5 and 19.
18		MR. DUNLEAVY: No objection, Your Honor.
19		THE COURT: 5 and 19 will be admitted.
20		(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 5 and 19 were admitted into
21		evidence.)
22		MR, HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
23		May we publish these
24	photographs to	the jury ot this time?
		001055
	i .	

24

as proposed 84-B?

		j
1.		THE COURT: It may.
2		MR. DUNLEAVY: The defense would like an
3	opportunity to	look at these.
4		THE COURT: The defense may look at them.
5		(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 84, 84-A and 84-B were marked
6		for identification.)
7	BY MR. HARMON:	
8	Q	Mr. Hooper, I'm showing you proposed
9	proposed Exhibi	t 84-A.
10		Will you simply look at the
11	contents and te	ll us if you recognize the document which
12	bears the title	Katie Arms Apartments?
13	A	Yes, sir, I do.
14	Q	How is it that you recognize that document?
15	Α	Well, our standard rent receipt.
16	Q	When you say our standard rent receipt, are
17	you speaking of	the Katie Arms apartment complex?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Do you recognize any of the writing on the
20	document?	
21	А	You mean whose handwriting?
22	Α	Yes, do you recognize what
23	Q	Yeş.
24	A	Yes, 1 do.
		001058

3	1	Q Whose handwriting is it, sir?
	2	A My wife, Bonnie's,
	3	Q Does this appear to be a document prepared
	4	in connection with Lauri Jacobson?
	5	A Yes, it does.
	6	Q Is it a business record of the Katie Arms?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Does it appear to be true and correct, sir?
	9	A Except for the room number.
	10	Q What do you mean except for the room number?
	11	A She wrote down the wrong room number.
	12	Q What room number appears on proposed 84-A?
	13	A 319.
	14	Q Did Lauri Jacobson live in 319?
	15	A No, sir.
	16	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to
	17	object to his testifying that she wrote down the room
	18	document or room number. There is no foundation how he
	19	would know that. Maybe she rented 319.
	20	MR. HARMON: Well, he's going explain it.
	21	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, the whole thing
	22	is they are basing this stuff on business records, the
	23	reliabilities of business records. Well, he's just
	24	testified the business record is in error supposedly.
		001059

	117
3.3	
MRippo-07058-R0000872	
97658 1	A Yes, I was.
-R0A86	Q And what person was that?
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I would object,
4	because he would have known that based on the business
5	records, and they've Just established the business records
6	are not reliable.
7	MR. HARMON: Well, that's not necessarily
8	true.
9	THE COURT: Overruled.
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q Why were you going to 317 on Thursday,
12	February the 20th, 1992?
13	A To see why she hadn't paid her rent.
14	Q To see why Lauri Jacobson hadn't paid her
15	rent?
16	A Right.
17	Q Did you know that she occupied Apartment
18	317?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Except for what you perceive to be an error
21	in the entry of the apartment number, does proposed Exhibit
22	84-A appear to be true and accurate?
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I would object.
24	There is no way in the world he would know that when part of

the document is in error, whether or not another part is in 1 2 There is no foundation that he can determine that. error. 3 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the 4 objection. MR. HARMON: The State offers proposed 84-A, 5 Your Honor. 6 MR. DUNLEAVY: Over our objection, Your 7 Honor. They have not established that it's reliable in any 8 9 way. He's sitting there in self-serving statements, well, 10 it's all reliable, but the one thing we can establish isn't 11 reliable. Well, maybe there is other things unreliable in 12 it. This document is not a reliable document. 13 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, he said it was 14 prepared as a business record. He recognizes his wife's 15 handwriting. He's the manager. She's a co-manager. The 16 name on it is Lauri Jacobson. It has a date, an amount. 17 THE COURT: It will be admitted. 18 MR. HARMON: Thank you. 19 (Whereupon, State's Exhibit 84-A was admitted into 20 evidence.) 21 THE COURT: Mr. Harmon, would you collect 22 the Exhibits 5 and 19. 23 MR. HARMON: Yes, sir. Thank you.

001062

May I again have the Court's

		•
1	indulgence?	
2		THE COURT: Yes.
3		
4		(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
5		
6		MR. HARMON: That concludes direct, Your
7	Honor.	
8		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
9		
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	:
12	Q	Where is your office in relation to the rest
13	of the Katle Ar	ms?
14	А	Where is the photographs
15	Q	Sir, showing you what's been admitted into
16	evidence as Sta	te's Exhibit 5. This is your apartment
17	buil ding or a p	ortion of it? (Indicating)
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Is your office shown in this photograph?
20	A	Yes, it is,
21	Q	Where would your office be?
22	А	The bottom left.
23	Q	Could we have you mark that?
24		Perhaps you could just write
-		001063

1	office. She's s	given you a blue pen. If you could just
2	write office who	ere your office could be in that photograph.
3	A	Across the door there or
4	Q	In the area, sir, so everybody will know
5	what area you a	re talking about.
6	A	0h.
7	Q	It's in basically the bottom left-hand
8	corner of the pi	notograph.
9	A	(Complies.)
10	Q	Is that the bottom left-hand corner pretty
11	much on the grow	ınd floor?
12	Д	Right.
13	Q	Okay. Do you try and keep an eye on the
14	comings and goin	ngs of the parking lot in that area?
15	А	No, 'cause I didn't I did maintenance
16	too, sa I wasn'i	t in the office all the time.
1.7	Q	Okay. Were you familiar with people that
18	were daily visit	tors to the area?
19	А	No.
20	Q	You didn't try and check on anything like
21	that?	
22	Α	No, not normally, no.
23	Q	Now, at nine o'clock in the morning, you had
24		t an duty of the engrtments?

1.	A	No, he wasn't on duty.
2	Q	You had to call him from somewhere to
3	come	
4	Á	He lived in the complex.
5	Q	So you just went and got him?
6	A	Right.
7	Q	Did he work for his rent kind of thing or
8	A	Yeah, he did, I believe.
9	Q	What kind of tenants do you have there?
10	A	What kind of tenants?
11	· Q	Uh-huh.
12	A	There is a variety, you know, casino workers
13	and some retire	d and
14	Q	Have you ever had any problems with drugs or
15	alcohol in your	complex there?
16	A	Yes, we have,
17	Q	Is that one of the reasons they have
18	security guards	?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Now, Miss Jacobson had only been there about
21	a week; is that	correct?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	And then you said, in your opinion, she was
24	about five days	behind on the one week's rent?
		001063

Right, uh-huh.

24

А

1	Q	But you did see the keys in the car?
2	А	I don't know that that was an apartment key.
3	Q	But you did say that you saw the keys were
4	in her car down	stairs?
5	А	Well, I think I meant that, like, the
6	ignition key or	something.
7	Q	And just didn't say
8	A	I'm not sure.
9	Q	Do you remember today one way or the other?
10	А	No, I don't.
11	Q	You indicated that the interior of the
12	apartment looke	d like it had been ransacked?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	Now, had you ever been in that apartment
15	before since sh	e had occupied it?
16	А	Oh, no, I hadn't.
17	Q	Have you ever had tenants in there that are
18	pretty sloppy h	ousekeepers?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Some of them look like they are ransacked on
21	a good day?	
2 2	А	Well, I haven't seen anything quite that
23	bad.	
24	Q	But you hadn't been in that one since she
		001067

1	had occupied it	.?
2	A	No, sir.
3	Q	You say the phone was off the hook?
4	А	Yes, sir.
5	Q	Was it beeping the way it does sometimes
6	when you leave	it off the hook or
7	A	No.
8	Q	Did you hang it up?
9	A	I don't think so.
10	Q	Now, were you the first one through the door
11	or the security	guard?
12	A	I was,
13	Q	And were the blinds drawn?
14	А	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Was it light enough in there that you could
16	see without lig	ht?
17	А	Yes, sir.
18	Q	So did you turn the light switch on for the
19	front room, living room area?	
20	А	I don't know I don't remember if I did
21	that or not.	
22	Q	There is another one you go into the
23	closet and the	bathroom opens into the closet or how does
24	that work?	
		Anine a

1	Q	How long were you in the apartment that
2	time?	•
3	А	I had to wait for the police.
4	q	So you went back up to wait for the police?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	q	Did you leave the security guard in there
7	while you went	down to ask somebody to call the police?
8	А	I think so,
9	Q	So he was in there alone for a while?
10	A	Well, the other maintenance man was there by
11	then, I think.	
12	Q	So there was a maintenance man in the
13	apartment as we	11?
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Do you have any idea what they touched or
16	moved or anythic	ng?
17	A	No. sir.
18	Q	You looked at a photograph and identified it
19	as looking like	the area where you saw the bodies when you
20	first saw them;	is that correct?
21	. А	That's right.
22	Q	Do you know did you study carefully
23	enough to know :	if anything had been moved from the time you
24	first saw it to	the time that photograph was taken?
		001070

		73
3		
MRippo-07058-R0001011	1.	Q If you were Mr. Rippo and you were on trial,
0 5 8 7 7	2	would you want people like yourself sitting in Judgment?
) 181 181	3	A I think so.
J ames	4	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.
	5	Pass for cause.
	6	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Seaton, you may
	7	inquire of Mrs. Pacheco.
	8	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror
	9	140, SANDRA PACHECO, was examined as follows:)
	10	EXAMINATION
	11	BY MR. SEATON:
	12	Q Good morning.
	13	A Good morning.
	14	Q You waited a long time to get up to this
	15	position.
**************************************	16	A Yes, sir.
	17	Q And you've listened to everything that's
	18	gone on?
	19	A Yes.
	20	Q Were you able to hear all right when you
	21	were sitting out here?
	22	A Yes,
	23	Q To that extent, partake in the discussions
	24	that were going on?
The second secon		000824
		<u> </u>

	•	
1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	What's your feelings about being here and
3	being a part of	f this?
4	А	Well, if I had a preference, I'd probably
5	prefer that thi	is was a different sort of case
6	Q	Go ahead.
7	А	instead of one that is so involved.
8	Q	Sa serious?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Is it the death penalty aspect of it?
11	A	Well, maybe not that so much as just
12	everything to b	e considered.
13	Q	The fact that it's a murder?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	A double murder?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Does that trouble you?
18	A	Well, it doesn't trouble me. I Just think
19	it's an awful l	ot to consider.
20	Q	Okay. A lot of responsibility
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	for you as an individual?
23	A	Yes, yes.
24	Q	Okay. All right.
		000825

Α

Yes.

1	Q If you are chosen as a Juror in this case,
2	there are some pretty awesome responsibilities that go along
3	with it.
4	Would you guess more than any
5	than you've ever had before in your life?
6	A I'm sure there is more than what I've had.
7	Q Okay. You know yourself better than we do
8	obviously. Are you going to be able to handle those
9	responsibilities?
10	A I think I would be able to.
11	Q Okay. You have seen some emotionalism among
12	some of the jurors who, when finally faced with and
13	realizing the enormity of this kind of decision-making
14	process, just almost broke down and really didn't handle it
15	very well.
16	Do you not put yourself in that
17	camp? Are you going to be able to handle it okay?
18	A Well, I've been very nervous about it
19	Q Okay,
20	A but I would hope that I would handle it a
21	little bit better than that.
22	Q Have you been have you been nervous about
23	the responsibility of a juror or just getting up here and
24	having to talk to us folks?

000827

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Wa	ell, .	Just	awaiting	the	process.
------	--------	------	----------	-----	----------

Q Yeah, yeah. So in your estimation, from all the parties' points of view here, we obviously want people who — I mean, they don't have to be muscle builders or tough people, but they do need to have the capability to understand what's going on, listen to probably some not very pretty testimony, see some not very pretty pictures, and to make some very hard decisions.

Do you think that you would be the kind of individual that the lawyers are looking for in this case?

- A Well, Just from what I've heard, I think so.
- Q Okay. We're not going to overly upset you and ruin your life for a few weeks by this process?
- A I don't think so.
- 16 Q Okay. Okay.
- 17 What would your position be as 18 to the death penalty?
 - A Well, I -- I believe there may be instances where that is definitely a possibility to consider.
 - Q Okay. And would you be one of those people who would be willing to consider it?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Do you think that the death penalty

1	is appropriately placed in our system?
2	A I think it is as an option.
3.	Q You would prefer it to be there than not to
4	be there?
5	A Well, I don't really know if I have personal
6	thoughts that way, whether I would say yes or no.
7	But I do believe it it could
8	be a possibility.
9	Q Okay. Let's say now that we've gone through
10	the process and there has been a finding of guilt; there
11	have been aggravating circumstances proven beyond a
12	reasonable doubt; they outweigh any mitigating circumstances
13	that have been shown; and you are now able to pursue the
14	thoughts of which penalty to give. One of them is the death
15	penalty.
16	But further assume that you
17	have come to the conclusion that the crimes were so heinous,
18	the character of Mr. Rippo is bad enough, that he deserves
19	the worst of the punishments.
20	Is that going to be the death
21	penalty in your mind?
22	A That is a very good possibility.
23	Q Well
24	A Yes, yes.
	000829

1	Q Okay. The reason I ask that is you gave the
2	answer that most gave, that they thought that life without
3	the possibility of parole was the worst of the punishments.
4	And like most, were you
5	contemplating that on your own behalf?
6	A Yes, that was a personal opinion.
7	Q Okay. In punishing someone else who
8	obviously was fighting against that outcome, would you then
9	think that that would be the worst punishment for them?
10	A Well, I I believe that it's possible.
11	They may feel that the death penalty would be the worst
12	decision.
13	Q Okay. That brings us to the last of the
14	questions; and that is: Do you think that you believe
15	strongly enough in the death penalty that you think you
16	think enough of it to be a part of our system, that if you
17	were faced with the prospect of wanting to give him the
18	worst of all the punishments, that you would have the
19	strength of character to do it?
20	A Well, I think I would be. I I really
21	can't say absolutely yes.
22	Q Okay. You've never been in that position
23	obviously?
2/4	A No Thayan't

Ţ

	Q	Can	you	illuminate	us	đ	little	bit	more	ON
your	thoughts	in the	at ai	rea?						

A Well, I think it's an awful large responsibility to have to say that Mr. So and So is going to be put to death for something that he personally did.

Q The question has been asked of many of the Jurors: If you were sitting in these chairs or those chairs, would you want jurors like yourself sitting in Judgment?

The —— let's Just see if we can answer that question.

If you were in Mr. Rippo's chair in his position, would you want jurors like yourself?

A Well, I feel that I've always been a fair

A Well, I feel that I've always been a fair minded person, and -- yes.

And if you were in the chairs of Mr. Harmon or myself, and we are in the position —— we may be in the position, depending on the evidence, to ask that the death penalty be rendered as a verdict, would you want —— if you were in these chairs asking for that, would you want 12 jurors like yourself ——

A Yes.

Q So you are capable ---

A Yes, sir, I believe I dm.

So you are capable of going in any direction 1 2 in this particular case? 3 Well. I think I'm open minded enough that hearing all the details, then to consider what should be 5 done. Q You've been very candid with us in telling us that you've not ever been in this position before, and 7 8 you think that these things might occur and you believe that 9 the outcome might be a certain way. Do you think that there is any 10 possibility that between now and the end of the trial When 11 12 you begin your deliberations, that you may change your mind, that you may say, for example, as I think a couple of jurors 13 14 have said. I just can't do this sort of thing; it's got to 15 be left in the hands of somebody else? Well, I think -- I think I could make a 16 A decision. But, again, I con't really say definitely yes, I 17 18 can, because I don't really know. Are you going to do your best? 19 Q 20 A Yes, obsolutely. 21 MR. SEATON: Thank you very much. 22 Pass for cause, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.

24

82 MRippo-07058-ROA01020 **EXAMINATION** 1 2 BY MR. DUNLEAVY: Noon on day three of this fun adventure. 3 Q What would you say is the 4 toughest question you've heard asked so far? 5 If you believe in the death penalty. It's a 6 7 big decision. Is that something you had ever given any 8 real thought to before? 9 10 Α Not a lot. What do you think of the idea of being asked 11 12 to accept that kind of responsibility? Well, I hope I would be able to accept that 13 14 responsibility if it's offered to me. 15 Do you think the death penalty sends a message to anybody? 16 17 Not in particular. 18 Now, when you go into the jury room for deliberations in the first phase of this trial, the options 19 20 will be guilty beyond a reasonable doubt or not guilty. 21 Innocence is not a factor in that. 22 Do you understand that? 23 Yes. You have to make up your own mind -- you 24 000833

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

18 .

will see the witnesses on the stand and you will look at them, and some of them, you may say, boy, I believe everything they said. Some of them you may say, that guy is lying. And you have to make that decision yourself and then decide how to weigh the evidence.

If you weigh the evidence one way and the other 11 people say we disagree, would you say, you know. I have to live with my own thoughts in my own heart or would you say, well, I'll go along with the group?

A No. I think I would ask the group to tell me why they disagree or if -- say something to me that's going to change my way of thinking, prove one way or the other to me.

Q And if they didn't change it, would you stick by your guns?

A I think I would -- yes, stay with the decision I made.

Q Now, the D.A. talks a lot about will you be tough enough to come back with the death penalty?

I think he said something about

21 moral courage to do that.

You realize not everybody

believes that that's a courageous thing to do?

A Yes. I'm not.

1	Q	And, in fact, it may be take a lot more
2	moral courage t	show leniency and compossion to someone?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you have any problem in saying that I
5	will consider a	ll of the available punishments?
6	A	No. I believe I can consider everything.
7	Q	Would your friends say you are a liberal or
8	a conservative?	
9	A	My friends would say probably
10	conservative.	
11	Q	And why is that?
12	A	Well, I'm not as outgoing about a lot of
13	things as others	s, not as much of an outgoing person maybe.
14	Q	You indicated that in your opinion,
15	What's wrong wi	th the criminal justice system
16	overprotectivene	ess of criminals and too lenient in
17	sentencing.	
18	A	Well, at the time I answered that, I really
19	wasn't thinking	of a murder
20	Q	Well, we sandbag you with these questions.
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	You don't know what's going on.
23	A	Yes. And I was thinking of something maybe
24	of a lesser magn	nitude.

The court: Okay. Who is going to inquire of Mr. Miller? MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. M				
4 Q And you will fairly consider all three 5 options? 6 A I certainly will try to do that. 7 MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your 8 Honor. 9 I pass for cause. 10 THE COURT: Gkay. Who is going to inquire 11 of Mr. Miller? 12 MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Ckay. Mr. Harmon. 14 (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16 EY MR. HARMON: 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller 19 A Good afternoon. 20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 Hawaii.	3		•	
4 Q And you will fairly consider all three 5 options? 6 A I certainly will try to do that. 7 MR. DUNLEAYY: No further questions, Your 8 Honor. 9 I pass for cause. 10 THE COURT: Gkay. Who is going to inquire 11 of Mr. Miller? 12 MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Ckay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16 EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. HARMON: 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller 19 A Good afternoon. 20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 Hawaii.	PPO-87	1.	Q	You realize that all the options in this
4 Q And you will fairly consider all three 5 options? 6 A I certainly will try to do that. 7 MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your 8 Honor. 9 I pass for cause. 10 THE COURT: Gkay. Who is going to inquire 11 of Mr. Miller? 12 MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Ckay. Mr. Harmon. 14 (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16 EY MR. HARMON: 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller 19 A Good afternoon. 20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 Hawaii.	958-R(2	case are seriou	s?
4 Q And you will fairly consider all three 5 options? 6 A I certainly will try to do that. 7 MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your 8 Honor. 9 I pass for cause. 10 THE COURT: Gkay. Who is going to inquire 11 of Mr. Miller? 12 MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Ckay. Mr. Harmon. 14 (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16 EY MR. HARMON: 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller 19 A Good afternoon. 20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 Hawaii.) 190 ! 9 2	3	A	Yes, absolutely.
A I certainly will try to do that. MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass for cause. I pass for cause. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to inquire of Mr. Miller? MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. (Mhereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) FXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: O Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. O How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Where did you mave from? A Hawaii.	M	4	Q O	And you will fairly consider all three
MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass for cause. I pass for cause. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to inquire of Mr. Miller? MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon. Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) FXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Q Where did you mave from? A Hawaii.		5	options?	
I pass for cause. It pass for all pass for pass		6	A	I certainly will try to do that.
I pass for cause. THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to inquire of Mr. Miller? MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) FXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Q Where did you move from? A Hawaii.		7		MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your
THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to inquire of Mr. Miller? MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) FXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Q Where did you move from? A Hawaii.		8	Honor.	
11 of Mr. Miller? 12 MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon, Prospective Jurar 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16 FXAMINATION 17 BY MR. HARMON: 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller 19 A Good afternoon. 20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 Hawaii.		9		I pass for cause.
MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) FXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Where did you mave from? A Hawaii.		10		THE COURT: Okay. Who is going to inquire
THE COURT: Ckay. Mr. Harmon. (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) FXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. Q Where did you move from? A Hawaii.		11	of Mr. Miller?	
(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16		12		MR. HARMON: Okay. I'll do that, Judge.
141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was examined as follows:) 17 16		13		THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon.
17 16 BY MR. HARMON: 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller 19 A Good afternoon. 20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 Hawaii.				141, CHRISTOPHER MILLER, was
BY MR. HARMON: Q Good afternoon, Mr. Miller A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Q Where did you move from? A Hawaii.	17			FXAMINATION
A Good afternoon. Q How long have you lived in Clark County, sir? A Seven years. Q Where did you move from? A Hawaii.			BY MR. HARMON:	
20 Q How long have you lived in Clark County, 21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 A Hawaii.		18	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Miller
21 sir? 22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 A Hawaii.		19	A	Good afternoon.
22 A Seven years. 23 Q Where did you move from? 24 A Hawaii.		20	Q	How long have you lived in Clark County,
Q Where did you move from? 24 A Hawaii.		21	sir?	
24 A Hawaii.		22	А	Seven years.
		23	Q Q	Where did you move from?
000836		24	A	Hawaii.
				000836

			86	
₹		•		
MRippo-07658-ROA01624	1	Q Ar	e you employed?	
7058-F	2	A No		
00010	3		you still live at home?	
24	4		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	5		w do you feel, Mr. Miller, about the	
	6		a juror on a double murder case?	
	7		think it's perfectly fine.	
	8		u are willing to accept the	
	9	responsibility?		
	10	•	·\$.	
	11		you understand the seriousness of this	
	12	matter?		
	13	A Ye	!\$.	
	14	Q Ho	d you had occasion to consider, before you	
1100	15	came to the courth	ouse, whether you were for or against	1
and an analysis of the state of	16	capital punishment	?	
incomplete de la constitución de l	17	A I	don't really give much thought to it. I	
	18	guess I'm for it.	My parents are for it.	
	19	Q Ho	ive you given some more thought to the	
A1147-2011	20	subject since we t	pegan the jury selection process?	
Territoria de la constitución de	21	A Ye	s. I think I'm for it.	
	22	Τł	E COURT: You have to speak up, sir.	
5	23	BY MR. HARMON:		
	24	Q Yo	ou mentioned in the questionnaire that you	
			αποση	,
			000837	. ,

E	1	7
1		
Í		

23

24

1	had an aunt who touched a guy to get a point across?
2	A Yes.
3	Q I thought that was an interesting way to
4	describe that,
5	What was the situation? Just
6	how firm was the touching?
7	A I'm not really sure, but it happened in
8	Pahrump. She was
9	Q In Pahrump?
10	A Yeah.
11	MR. WOLFSON: I'm sorry. I cannot hear this
12	juror.
13	THE COURT: Could you speak up, Mr. Miller?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141, MR. MILLER: She
15	let's see. She was arrested for touching a guy because
16	of she was trying to talk to him.
17	BY MR. HARMON:
18	Q I gather you don't know the particulars?
19	A Well, it was about teachers sleeping with
20	their students and she brought it to court or something; and

their students and she brought it to court or something; and

21 she was trying to talk to the attorneys or something like

that and they weren't -- they weren't listening to her.

Q Was she charged with same type of offense as a result of what happened?

	88
군	
MRippo-07058-ROA01826	A I don't know. I just know that she went to
85 B-2 2	jail.
)AØ182	Q How long ago was this?
4	A I don't know; like a month ago maybe.
5	Q Maybe haw long?
6	A A month.
7	Q Is there anything about the situation
8	involving your aunt that would influence your attitude
9	concerning this case?
10	A No.
11	Q Had you heard anything about this case
12	before you came to the courthouse?
13	A No.
14	Q Do you still feel like you are open minded?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you understand the importance of basing a
· 17	verdict solely upon the evidence?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Would you agree that such considerations as
20	race or gender or sympathy would not be a proper basis for a
21	verdict?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Some people find it very difficult, when it
24	comes right down to the responsibility of doing it, to pass
	กกกรั้งจั

judgment on another human being. 1 2 You are a young man, Mr. 3 Miller. Do you feel despite your youth that you would have the intestinal fortitude to pass judgment on this defendant, 4 Mr. Rippo? 5 MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to 6 object to that. There is no showing -- intestinal fortitude 7 8 one way or the other. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. 10 BY MR. HARMON: I'm not indicating in which direction, for 11 Q 12 the benefit of Mr. Dunleavy, the judgment would go, but you 13 are going to be required to make judgments in this 14 courtroom. Yes. 15 Α Do you have the intestinal fortitude to do 16 that; that is, to come back in and vote according to your 17 belief, given the evidence that you hear and the law of the 18 19 case? 20 Α Yes. If you had your choice, would you serve or 21 22 not serve on the Jury? 23 Not serve. 24 Why is that?

1	A It's boring.
2	THE COURT: I didn't hear your answer.
3	MR. HARMON: He soid it's boring.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 141, MR. MILLER: This 1s
5	boring.
6	BY MR. HARMON:
7	Q And evidently you don't have great hope that
8	we're going to get this too much more interesting as you go
9	along?
10	A I didn't hear you,
11	Q Well, your primary concern is that you are
12	afraid you will be bored during the case?
13	A No. I mean, this part
14	Q This part of it?
15	A Waiting, coming back; you know, three days,
16	just sitting out there, listening, that was boring.
17	Q Did you feel like you have a responsibility
18	as a citizen to serve?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Mr. Rippo is also a very youthful man. If
21	you were called upon to fix his punishment, and if, after
22	you had considered all of the circumstances, you were
23	convinced that he aught to get the worst punishment, if you
24	were convinced that he ought to get a death sentence

1	said that you	don't care for racist people.
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And I think that that's a fair statement for
4	all.	
5		My question is: If one of the
6	witnesses or o	ne of the participants in this trial was
7	accused of usi	ng a racist remark
8	A	Uh-huh.
9	Q	a name, phrase, would that affect your
10	judgment in an	alyzing that witness' testimony?
11	A	I'm not sure.
12	Q	Mr. Miller, you live at home; is that right?
1 .		Yes.
14	Q	With hoth of your parents?
15	А	Yes.
16	Ü	Do your parents work outside of the home?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And what do they do?
19	A	My dad works at Hughes and my mom is a
20	teacher.	
21	Q	Is she a teacher with the Clark County
-	School Distric	t?
3	А	Yes.
24	Q	Have you ever been involved with the
		000843

1	criminal justic	e system yourself?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	In what fashion?
4	А	A speeding ticket and two tickets for not
5	wearing my seat	belt.
6	Q	Is that the extent of it, three tickets?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	Okay. Anything about those events that
9	would affect yo	our Judgment in this case?
10	А	No.
11	Q	If you were me, Mr. Miller, and you were
12	representing Mr	. Rippo, is there anything you think that I
13	would want to k	know about you in determining whether to
14	chaose you as d	juror in this case that we haven't
15	discussed?	
16	A	I don't know.
17	Q	No? You can't think of anything?
18	A	No.
19		MR. WOLFSON: Okay. Thank you, sir.
20		Pass for cause.
21		THE COURT: All right. Let's take our noon
22	recess. We'll	reconvene at 1:30.
23		Remember: Do not discuss this
24	case among your	selves or with anyone else;
		000844

One of the questions in here, Mr. Ceglarksy.

000846

1	if it was yourself or someone else that had a D.U.I.
2	experience.
3	A My my nephew.
4	Q Okay. Was there any particular problems
5	with that case?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q Was he taken through the system?
8	A That I don't really know.
9	Q You don't harbor any feelings, I take it,
10	one way or the other about
11	A Correct.
12	Q Okay. How do you feel about being here on
13	the third day, getting ready to go into a fairly major case?
14	A Do I have to elaborate on this particular
15	three days or can I elaborate to when I received my
16	subpoena?
17	Q Whatever you like.
18	A When I received my subpoena, I definitely
19	didn't want to come here, being as when I called far my
20	starting date, I came here figuring that I would be on a
21	civil trial. Never in my wildest dreams did I ever figure
22	this would be a criminal trial.
23	Q Okay.
24	A I filled out the questionnaire Monday. I

000847

< %

Just thought it was a standard questionnaire, knowing I'm not familiar at all with the Judicial system.

It wasn't until Tuesday that I came to the realization that I possibly might be sitting in on a murder trial.

It's like the weight of the world landed on my shoulders, and all I could say to myself was, oh, my Gad. But, like everybody else here, there is nobody that really wants to be here. But I feel I'm a very firm — very fair individual, that if I was sitting where Mr. Rippo is sitting right now, I would, beyond a shadow of a doubt, want 12 people sitting here in the jury exactly like I am.

Q There are two sides that have to be treated fairly in each case, his and the State's.

A Yes, sir, I realize that.

Q They need a fair shot as well.

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you have the same answer about sitting in one of these two chairs?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. So you put yourself pretty much in the middle, in neutral in this case, in terms of --

A Yes, I do.

1	q — in terms of leanings or anything of that
2	nature?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay. What you said, that when you first
5	got your your jury summons, you didn't want to be here at
6	all, what was the cause for those feelings initially?
7	A I'm I'm pretty much satisfied with my
8	life, going to work, going home, just minding my own
9	business, staying in my little 70 by 110 piece of property,
10	and I'm very content with my life.
11	Q And you didn't want us bothering that happy
12	life?
13	A Well, I just don't want to interfere with
14	it. I have my own little world.
15	Q A lot of people dan't like change, and this
16	is
17	A Correct.
18	Q a significant change
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q to come down here.
21	Are you comfortable with that
22	change now that you've been here a few days and you know
23	what it's all about?
24	A Yes, sir, I cm.
	000849

•	
1	Q Okay. If you were to be asked then, at this
2	point in time, do you want to serve
3	A Yes, sir, very much.
4	Q Okay. You'd be disappointed if one side or
5	the other let you go?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q You wouldn't be disappointed?
8	A No, sir.
9	Q You could go back to that
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q 70 by a hundred
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Okay. You were one of the individuals who
14	answered that life without the possibility of parole was the
15	worst of the punishments.
16	And you've heard us discuss a
17	lot of people
18	A Yes, sir, I have.
19	Q I don't need to ask you any questions; just
20	tell us your explanation.
21	A Well, I feel I'm I'm a very good person.
22	And I don't know of any circumstances, God forbid, that I
23	would be sitting down there where Mr. Rippo is.
24	I feel that if I were sitting

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

where Mr. Rippo is, it's because of circumstances that were really beyond my control.

And if I were sitting there, in my heart of hearts, knowing what kind of a person I am, knowing that irregardleses of what evidence was brought before the Court, knowing in my heart of hearts that I were innocent, the hardest person — the hardest choice of all would be life without possibility of parole.

But knowing in my heart of hearts that I'm truly innocent, irregardless of what evidence comes across, there is always that slim chance that somebody might dig into my trial and find out I was unjustly accused where I might be pardoned, paroled, whatever the correct word is.

But if I've -- yes, I did commit the crime, there is no doubt beyond a shadow of a doubt in my mind, I did it, I know I did it. I would want the death penalty for myself.

The thought of incarceration for the rest of my life, just -- that would be a horror.

Q Death would be better? Death would be better?

A If I -- if --

Q If you were guilty?

1
2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

If I truly -- the blood is on my hand, I'm truly guilty, yes.

Is that the standard that you will use in Q this trial in determining Mr. Rippo's punishment, should we get to that paint?

> No, that isn't the standard. A

I -- my views on the death penalty are -- I realize murder is murder, but there are degrees of murder, such as a crime of passion, where one temporarily commits an act of murder, where it isn't their normal nature to be a violent individual. I think would deserve a different punishment than a Charles Manson type crime.

Okay. So you, like many of the other Jurors, would be willing to listen to the mitigating and the aggravating circumstances, the nature of the defendant's character, both good and bad, prior to making any decision?

> Α Yes, sir.

Q And use those things as bases for your decision?

> Α Yes, sir.

The better the person, the lesser the crime, 0 the lighter the punishment?

> A Not necessarily.

2

Q Okay, Can you fill in the gaps a little?

A Well, I would have to go back to the type of a crime -- and I use it as an example, a Charles Manson type crime. To me, that's the most severe type of murder that I could possibly imagine.

Q When you just go into a house and slaughter people?

A That was just -- that was horrible -- horror. It was just horror. Like I said, there is murder where there are -- it's a crime of passion, where a usually gracious individual loses it temporarily and commits an act of murder. He's a good person all his life, but he -- has a temporary setback in what his values are in life, and something like this occurs.

Q And as you sit there, you have no idea, do you, in what position Mr. Rippo would be?

A No, sir, at this time, I have -- I don't know anything about the trial even, nothing whatsoever.

Q Okay.

A I don't know the prosecuting or the defending attorneys. I don't know the judge. I know nobody here.

Q Do you think you'll be a fair juror?

A I want 12 people just like me if I was

1

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

sitting in Mr. Rippo's chair right now.

MR. SEATON: Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 142, MR. CEGLARSKY: You

are quite welcome.

MR. SEATON: Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Q You started off by saying in your wildest dreams you didn't think this would be a criminal case.

A No, sir.

Q It kind of turned into your wildest nightmare now?

I feel a responsibility to be a jurar on this trial. I don't want to say I want excused from this because of this reason or that reason. I feel I must be here. This is my duty; not obligation, duty.

Q Well, it would be scary to have 12 people up there who are looking forward to doing this.

But do you understand that the way the system works here, we have to go through a first phase first?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And the criteria there is guilty beyond a
3	reasonable doubt, or not guilty?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q And many people sit there and look for
6	innocence. Innocence basically belongs in a church, not a
7	court of law?
8	A I realize that.
9	Q The issue is: Can the State prove someone
10	guilty beyond a reasonable doubt?
11	A man could be perfectly
12	innocent, but if you were convicted or convinced he's
13	guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, then as a matter of law,
14	he's guilty
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q and vice versa.
1.7	He could be guilty and you are
18	not convinced, that means he's not guilty as a matter of
19	law?
20	A Correct.
21	Q How do you feel about that system?
22	A I think it's the best system we have going
23	in the United States today; and until they come up with a
24	better system, I think it's fine.
	- 000855

••	
₩.	
•	
1	

-1	
(22)	
8	
\circ	
1	
X)	
_	
_	
፟	
 	
3	
B	
ĖΨ	

3

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

V		יקטוי	etu.	LLY,	tner	3 12 OT	HQYS FI	oom	TOF
improvement,	but	1t	i\$	the	only	system	going	in	America
today.									
						. 4016			

Now, 46(b), in your present state of mind, could you, if selected as a juror, consider equally all three possible forms of punishment?

Mr. Rippo is sitting there today. We may some day come to a penalty phase where you are going to have to decide punishment.

> A Yes, sir.

If you, in fact, found someone guilty of two first degree murders --

Yes, sir.

-- and you will be given instructions as to what a first degree murder is, but it's the serious kind -could you consider life with the possibility of parole as an appropriate punishment?

> Α Yes, sir.

You'd wait and see what all the facts were --

> Α Yes, sir.

-- that are going to come out?

Question Number 56: In your

opinion, what is wrong with the criminal justice system?

000856

1	And your answer was: Blue	
2	collar criminals locked up, while early released violent	
3	criminals because of lack of space.	
4	A Yes, sir.	
5	Q You understand that what we're talking about	
6	here, life without basically means that, you spend the rest	
7	of your life in prison?	
8	A Yes, sir.	
9	Q And the first the life with that we're	
10	talking about means ten years to his first parole board.	
11	A Yes, sir.	
12	Q And that doesn't mean he'll make it on his	
13	first board.	
14	A Correct,	
15	Q That's the first time he would ever go.	
16	Do you think those are three	
17	fair ranges?	
18	A Yes, sir.	
19	Q Do you think the death penalty is	
20	appropriately set aside for the worst of the worst?	
21	A Yes, sir well, excuse me; excuse me.	
22	What do you mean set aside?	
23	Q To be imposed only on the worst of the worst	
24	kind of criminals?	
	00085	57

24

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And I think there has been some discussion
3	to the fact that even if you found all the aggravating
4	circumstances, and none of the mitigating circumstances, as
5	a jury, you never have to kill. They leave that decision
6	solely up to you. There is never an obligation to return
7	one of those three verdicts.
8	A Correct.
9	Q How do you think that is? Is that a fair
10	system?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Any doubt you can be fair to both sides?
13	A No, sir, none whatsoever. As I said before,
14	I want 12 people like me if I was sitting there.
15	MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your
16	Honor.
17	Pass for cause.
18	THE COURT: Okay. We may inquire of Mr.
19	Sicotte.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 147, MR. SICOTTE: That's
21	close enough, Your Honor.
22	MR. HARMON: I'll do it, Your Honor.

· 000858

THE COURT:

Mr. Harmon.

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 147, MARCEL SICOTTE, was examined as follows:)

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q How do you pronounce it, sir?
- A Sicotte.
 - Q Sicotte?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Mr. Sicotte, how do you feel about the

 Invitation being extended to you to serve as a Jurar on this

 case?

I've -- in the last three days, I've already based an opinion. And the reason for that basis: I have five daughters and three granddaughters; and basically because the crime was committed against a female, I feel that -- if it would happen to one of my children or my grandchildren, we would not have a trial here today because he wouldn't be here.

- Q Are you tell- --
- A That's my opinion.
- Q —— telling us that because you have raised five daughters, that you don't feel that you could give Mr. Rippo a fair trial, in view of the fact that the two alleged

000853

1	victims were young women?
2	A That's correct.
3	MR. HARMON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: Challenge for cause,
5	Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Sicotte, I'm going to
7	excuse you. Thank you very much for your time.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 147, MR. SICOTTE: Thank
9	you.
10	THE COURT: Stop at the jury commissioner's
11	on the way out, please.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 147, MR. SICOTTE: Thank
13	you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: You are welcome.
15	The clerk will now call the
16	next in line to take Mr. Sicotte's seat.
17	THE CLERK: Number 491, Jack Laino.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Laino, how are you today?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 491, MR. LAINO: Fine,
20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: I guess you are the last one on
22	our jury on our jury list; is that correct?
23	Have you ever served on a jury
24	before?
	000869
	1

3	

are willing to	accept?
----------------	---------

A Yes, sir.

Q Are you the type of person who will be able to pass judgment on someone else and make the difficult decisions required of you in a murder case?

A Yes, sir.

Q Will you base your finding of guilty or not guilty solely on the evidence introduced during the trial?

A Yes, sir.

Q What are your feelings about punishment, should you be called upon to fix a punishment?

A The punishment should fit the crime, sir,

Q Would you describe yourself as being for or against capital punishment?

A After listening to the questions of -- all the questioning, and it's coming to a little bit of clarity for me, I think that -- that the plea -- can you restate the question again?

Q I'm asking you, generally speaking, are you for or against capital punishment?

A For.

Q Why are you for it?

A Well, I think there is some people that you can put them away for -- you can put them in prison, but if

	•
1	they're for example, this case, if they're murderers and
2	they continue on murdering, nobody would be safe. So it
3	would be important to get rid of the individual from
4	society.
5	Q As the Court has made clear to the
5	prospective jurors, in this state, there are three
7	punishments provided for murder of the first degree.
8	Is your frame of mind such that
9	you are able at this time to consider equally the three
10	punishments?
11	A Yes, şir.
12	Q You, of course, don't know anything about
13	the case; is that correct?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Had you heard any news accounts or read any
16	newspaper accounts about the case before you came to the

No, sir.

courthouse?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At this point then, regarding guilt or innocence or any of the punishments, you are completely open minded; is that true?

Yes, sir.

Do you think that you are the type of person who has the strength of his conviction, so that if you once

1	arrive at a conclusion, you will stand by the position you
2	reached?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Mr. Rippo is a young man, probably pretty
5	much in the same age frame as yourself.
6	Is that going to be a problem
7	for you in this case?
8	A No. sir.
9	Q If, after you've heard everything, you
10	believed that he ought to receive the worst punishment,
11	would you have the strength to vote for capital punishment?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. HARMON: Thank you,
14	Pass for cause,
15	THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.
16	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.
17	
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. WOLFSON:
20	Q Good afternoon, sir.
21	It might also take strength and
22	commitment, if you felt it appropriate, to vote for a lesser
23	punishment, assuming we even get that far, of a life
24	sentence.

1	Would you, sir, if it was					
2	appropriate in your mind, express your views on a lesser					
3	punishment in the deliberation room with your fellow jurors					
4	and have the strength and commitment to do that as well?					
5	A Yes, sir.					
6	Q You were in the Army infantry; is that					
7	right?					
8	A Yes, sir.					
9	Q 1984 through '87 roughly?					
10	A That's correct.					
11	Q Were you overseas, sir?					
12	A For a month, I went a reforger (sic) to					
13	Germany. It's an exercise, a military exercise.					
14	Q The rest of the time though you were in the					
15	United States?					
16	A That's correct.					
17	Q Where were you stationed?					
18	A Fort Riley, Kansas.					
19	Q Forgive my naivete, but you did graduate to					
20	the rank of E-3.					
21	And what is that?					
22	A ît's a Private First Class.					
23	Q Were your parents in the service?					
24	A Yes, sir, my father was.					
	000865					

1		Q	Was he in the Army?		
2		Α	Yes.		
3		Q	And did he spend a few years in the Army or		
4	more than	n just o	a few years?		
5		A	Roughly, like, 16, 17 years with the Army		
6	Reserve.				
7		Q	I hate to ask you a question I don't mean		
8	to embar	rass you	: but you did admit in this questionnaire		
9	that you	were a	rrested for a D.U.I. at one time?		
10		A	That's correct.		
11		Q	And when was that and was it here in Clark		
12	County?				
13		A	Yes, sir. November 4th, 1989.		
14		Q	Quite a while ago?		
15		A	Yes,		
16		Q	And is there anything about that experience		
17	that affects you in your judgment in this case?				
18		A	No, sir.		
19		Q	Were you prosecuted by the District		
20	Attorney	's Offic	ce?		
21		A	Yes, sir.		
22		Q	In Clark County, one can be prosecuted for a		
23	misdemed	or by (either the City Attorney's Office or the		
24	Di strict	Attorne	ey's Office, depending on where in the county		
			328000		

it occurs.

And is it your memory that 1t was in the county, and you were prosecuted in this building, versus a City prosecutor's office?

A Yes, sir.

Q I trust Mr. Seaton and Mr. Harmon were not the prosecutors in that case?

A I don't recall, sir.

Refore you came to the courthouse — in response to your juror summons, if you were asked whether you were a person who was for or against the death penalty, before you came to the courthouse, how would you have answered?

changed. It — I didn't have a firm belief either way. You know, people talk about things, say things, but I don't think they mean a lot of things they say until they come into the gravity of a situation that we're in; and a lot of people do a lot of soul searching, which I've done in the past couple of days, and I've come to some conclusions about it, and some of them I've stated earlier.

Q As you sit here now, do you feel that you can voice the opinion that you are either for or against the death penalty?

. 000867

A Yes; yes, sir.					
Q And which position do you take?					
A For the death penalty, sir.					
Q And I understand your answer just a few					
moments ago, before you came to the courthouse, you were					
really never probably put in a position where you were					
directly asked, you know, what are your feelings, which way					
would you vote, and questions like that. And you've been					
sitting around for a couple of days and been forced to do					
some soul searching, like you say.					
And after listening to the					
discussions, you've now come to the opinion that you would					
say you are for the death penalty.					
Is that an accurate reflection					
of your change of mind, if you will?					

Yes, sir.

How would you feel if you were Mike Rippo -and pretend you are sitting where he is -- and you just heard a juror say that. Would you want that type of juror sitting in Judgment of you?

And that's probably the taughest question I've asked.

MR. HARMON: Well, it's also irrelevant, Your Honor.

. 000868

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

1.7

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. WOLFSON: This question has been asked.

THE COURT: This question has been asked

before.

MR. HARMON: Well, that doesn't make it relevant just because it's been asked before.

THE COURT: Overruled.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 491, MR. LAINO: I want to base my -- if I was in Mr. Rippo's spot, I wouldn't base the whole individual on just the one answer. I would look at -but he doesn't get a chance to pick or choose, but I -- I believe that I would make a good juror in the fact that I would weigh the circumstances and try to choose the correct punishment, if it even gets that far.

BY MR. WOLFSON:

0 Can you think of any examples of the types of decisions in life that are as important as this type of decision might be?

Putting it another way: Can you give us what perhaps has been the most difficult Judgment in life you've ever made up to this point?

A Well, I thought a little bit about what you just asked, and I don't -- I can't think of any other Situation where, you know, people would take this much time to make a decision on -- on a possible execution. Even in

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the Army, if you go to war, it's pretty fast. I mean,
whatever is going to happen, I mean, you just do it.
This is very conscious
deliberation, careful, I mean, long, drawn out to make

deliberation, careful, I mean, long, drawn out to make the right decision, and I can't think of any other situation in my mind that would equal that.

MR. WOLFSON: Okay, Thank very much, sir for your answers.

We will pass for cause.

THE COURT: Okay. You may inquire of Mrs.

Rogers.

MR. HARMON: I'll do that, Your Honor.

(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 149, LORA ROGERS, was examined as follows:)

15 EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q Good afternoon.

Mrs. Rogers, I notice in the questionnaire on the series of questions that inquire about involvement with the criminal justice system, you may — that you didn't have any involvement, but you had known people who did.

A Yes.

Q Any personal -- close personal friends who

5

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have had brushes with the criminal justice system?

A My husband has had -- what did you

A My husband has had -- what did you call

them -- brushes? I don't know.

Q That was my word.

Just how close were his brushes

6 | with the system?

A He had had, when we first got married -- today's my anniversary, 27 years.

Q Congratulations.

A —— and so when we were first married and very young, he had —— it's been a long time —— so he had some brushes which were burglary, burglary tools, possession of marijuana, and he had done some time on that, I think ten months or something like that. I don't remember. It's been a very long time.

Q You said this was early in your marriage?

A Yes. Actually '69, 1969.

Q Did you form any opinions as a result of his involvement with the system about how justice had worked in his case?

A I was -- because we were newlyweds also,
that wasn't my lifestyle, and I was pregnant with -- with
my -- with our son. And it was very upsetting to me because
I knew he would have to do some time. But because I know

l;

what he had done was wrong, I knew that he had to do — I knew that he had to do the time, and so I really never had any problem with the judicial system. It's just the way I was brought up.

Q But you don't harbor any ill feelings towards courts or prosecutors or policemen --

A No, I don't --

Q -- by the manner in which your husband's case was handled?

A No. I have high respect for the Judicial system.

Q That was a long time ago. And so I take it that that would have nothing to do with any decision you might make as a juror in this case.

A No. It just makes -- I feel that it just makes me that much more sensitive to life. It just -- that I've experienced -- I don't know. How can I explain what I'm saying?

I'm not above someone that has done something wrong. I personally have not been in any trouble. But, like I said, because it hit close to home, to me, I know what it's -- I know what it's like. You know, some people don't have any connections with crime, I guess you could say. I don't know, Please forgive my words. But

Q

wouldn't be a problem to me.

problem to you?

21

22

23

24

000873

Is the similarity of age going to be a

No. I thought about that, and it -- it

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

Q But, you are going to be called upon, of course, to make some very difficult decisions. You've got to listen to the evidence and first decide whether Mr. Rippo is guilty or not guilty.

A Yes, sir.

Are you going to relate unnecessarily to the defendant because you will be thinking, well, suppose, by same unfortunate circumstances, my son was sitting in that position?

have thoughts run through my mind on that. But I also know, too, that — that I have a duty, if chosen as a Juror, to weigh all the evidence and the facts and to Judge a situation and be able to put aside my feelings or any — anything that would be an example to something outside the court.

- Q Are you employed outside of the home?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What do you do?
- 20 A I work at Wal Mart. I sell jewelry at 21 Wal Mart in the jewelry department.
- 22 Q How long have you been employed by Wal Mart?
- 23 A I think three years in two months.
 - Q What about your husband, what does he do for

	I	124
3		
დ MRi⊳⊧ი-07058-ROA01062	·	
87 6 58	<u>1</u>	g living?
	2	A He's a carpenter foreman.
1862	3	Q How long has he been in carpentry?
	4	A Ever since I've known him; since '67, 1967.
	5	Q What are your views about punishment, in the
	6	event that becomes relevant to this case?
	7	A I believe that the options that the
	8	Court, the State of Nevada, offers for us as jurors to
	9	chaose from, I think that they're fair.
	10	Q At this time, are you able to consider all
	11	three of the punishments equally?
	12	A Yes, sir.
,	13	Q What are your thoughts specifically about
	14	capital punishment? Are you for or against 1t?
	15	A I am for capital punishment.
	16	Q Why are you for it?
	17	A I believe in law and order. I believe that
	18	we for us to live and to work in a community, we need law
Ŧ	19	and order. So if an individual has chosen to break the law,
	20	then he not he then that individual has put their self
	21	in the position that others, by law, would be judged. And
	22	because there is an option I lost my track here. I would
	23	consider one of the three fairly.

	24	Q Do you believe that you have the emotional

toughness — if this jury had the responsibility of fixing punishment, and if you decided that this case required the worst punishment to be imposed, would you have the toughness to come into the court and vote for capital punishment?

4 5

3

A Yes, sir.

6

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

7

Pass for cause, Your Honor.

8

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy -- Mr. Wolfson.

9

MR. WOLFSON: I'll be asking. Thank you,

10

Judge.

11

EXAMINATION

13

12

BY MR. WOLFSON:

14

Q Good afternoon, ma'am.

15

A Hello.

16

17

Q You noted in your questionnaire that religion is a very important part of your life.

18

A Yes, it is.

19

Q And you stated that you attend services

20

quite often; is that right?

21

A Yes, sir.

22

Q Is there anything about your religious beliefs and your religious convictions that contradict the

23

Dellers and your religious convictions that contradict th

24

choice that you may have to make in one of the three

000876

evidence, without sympathy being permitted, going to affect you because you are a woman and two women died in this case?

Do you think that that would overly affect you to the point where you couldn't be unbiased and you would be overly

A No, sir.

emotionally affected?

Q If I were to ask you to look at yourself and describe yourself as either a strong willed or a moderately willed or mild willed person, how would you describe yourself?

A Strong willed.

Q If you had a choice right now and we were in a private room where nabody would hear about your answer, and you had a choice whether to sit or not sit on this jury, what would your choice be?

A My choice would be to sit on the Jury if -if I'm chosen; and if I'm not, that's -- that's fine.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.

Pass for cause.

THE COURT: You may inquire of Mr. Foley.

MR. HARMON: I'll do it, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

7 1 2	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 481, DANIEL FOLEY, was examined as follows:)
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. HARMON:
5	Q Good afternoon, sir.
6	A Good day, sir.
7	Q You did something rather extraordinary,
8	which is, after being excused, you came back to us and got
9	yourself reinstated.
10	A I'm in a situation where I can serve and I
11	feel it's my duty to serve and I actually feel privileged to
12	serve.
13	Q We do appreciate that attitude and I know
14	the Court already expressed his point of view.
15	You mentioned in the
16	questionnaire an experience that involved you and your wife.
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q It was one of domestic violence?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q How long ago was that?
21	A It was three years ago.
22	Q You described the situation. She was
23	apparently destroying some property?
24	A She was extremely distraught and she started
	000879

throwing things around in the kitchen and she had thrown one item at me and hurt me. So I expedited her from the property. And once she calmed down, where I thought she had calmed down, she came back into the house and she called 911.

In Henderson, it's customary for them to keep someone in Jail overnight just so that neither of the parties suffer any further injury, so I stayed the night in Jail.

Q You also indicated that the result was that you pled no contest.

A Yes, I did. There were three -- three options at hand: First was to pay a counselor \$200 to seek counseling. I didn't feel that I needed counseling.

The other -- one of the others was to pay the court fine, which was \$200.

And the other was to pay \$200 to a lawyer to seek a trial, and -- or to dismiss charges or to go in that direction.

And I felt — I had just landed this — my — I had Just landed a Job, and it was in my best interest not to miss any work and not to have any discussion of that at my work at that time, so I opted to pay the court costs.

M9 : sea-97958-90091968		
-9795s	7	1
2009		2
<u>-</u>		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		8
		9
		10
		11
		12
		13
		14
		15
		16
		17
		18
		19
		20
		21
		22
	R	£ £

24

	Q	You	ı əxt	press	ed the	point	of	Việw	1 ,n	the
questionn	aire	that	you	felt	like	you've	act	ually	be	en:
punished	for	someth	ing	you i	didn'i	do.				

Well, yes. I was fined \$200 for something А that I felt was -- onyone would have had to have done.

- You are still married to the same lady?
- Yes, I am.
- Do you harbor any ill feelings towards law enforcement or --
 - Α No. I do not.
- -- or persons who operate within the criminal justice system as a result of that?
- I felt that that case was unjust, but I don't hold it against anybody.
- Are you very confident, Mr. Foley, that that Q incident would not affect your attitude of this case in any way?
 - Absolutely.
- Had you heard anything about this case before you came to the courthouse to fill out the questionnaire?

I may have seen the defendant on T.V. recently, but I'm not sure, and it would not affect my Judgment in any way.

ø		
Ö		
3		
ĩ		
ċ	,	
	į	
١,	ì	
į	1	
Ċ)	
ı		
ï	,	
)	
E	•	
	•	
_		
E)	
۳	٠.	

.L
2

3

5

5 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

You certainly haven't formed any opinion about the case at this point?

> A No.

What are your feelings about the punishments prescribed for murder of the first degree in this state?

If, in fact, he is charged guilty, I will weigh the evidence and choose the proper punishment, defined by the Nevada state law.

Q You don't have any type of moral or conscientious objection against any of the punishments?

No, I do not.

Generally speaking, would you describe yourself as being for or against capital punishment?

Α In my earlier years, I was more for it, because I didn't understand that it is more expensive -- I thought it was more of a burden on society; but knowing now that it is more of a burden on society to execute an individual. I have waived that thought.

In the questionnaire that -- you, I'm sure, will not remember the number -- but there is (a), (b), (c) and two which are (c) and (d), which are part of question 46. It deals with the ranges of punishment.

46(a) inquires if you are of such a state of mind that you could not consider the full

3

4

or --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

range of punishments in this case, and you checked yes for that.

Was that a mistake on your part

A Obviously.

Are you at this time ---

Oh, well --

-- of a state of mind --

When I read -- when I read -- when I read that, I didn't -- I didn't take that and say a case. I must have -- must have misunderstood what was written.

I'm sorry.

Well, I just wanted to find out if perhaps you had misunderstood the question. It may not be as artfully worded --

I understand. There was not enough information on there to make any kind of judgment in my opinion.

Are you of a frame of mind now where you can consider the full range of punishments provided for the murder in the first degree?

Obviously I don't understand the question, because there hasn't been any facts presented for me to make that --

-	j Me	ell, we'r	e just os	king you fo	r a commitment
now that y	ou are o	ble to c	onsider l	ife with th	e possibility
of parole,	·life w	Lthout, a	r the dea	th penalty.	`
'	٧,	se eir	After th	e the fa	cts that have

A Yes, sir. After the — the facts that have been presented and it was — when we get to come to the — conclude that he was guilty, and then, yes, I could weigh the three possibilities.

Q It certainly is very hypothetical at this point. There will only be a penalty hearing. The Judge only gets involved if the defendant is convicted of murder of the first degree.

A Right.

Q But we would like to know now -- it would be too late to get to a penalty hearing and then discover that certain of the jurors could not consider all of the punishments prior.

A I didn't want to put on my part that I'm ready right now to convict this man --

Q Sure.

A -- and that's why I put that.

Q And we wouldn't want anyone to take that position.

A I'm -- I just misread it.

Q It certainly is accurate that the

1 2

_

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

prosecution, which files the charges, carries the burden of proof, throughout any trial.

Mr. Foley, would you have the same type of emotional strain if you thought that the defendant had been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of first degree murder and if you thought all of the circumstances required the worst punishment, would you have the strength to come in here and make a judgment which was that this would be?

A By this defendant?

I could.

Q You could do that?

A Yes, sir.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q Would you agree that it might take as much strength and stamina and commitment to return a verdict of life imprisonment rather than death and tell the prosecution they're wrong, if under the appropriate scenario you

1.6 1.7

w111.

believed in that?

A It would be much more difficult to come back with a death sentence than it would be a life sentence.

Q On your questionnaire, Mr. Foley, Mr.

Dunleavy pointed out to me that you didn't answer one of the questions. So let me explore that with you for a moment.

And that question was: Would your views or state of mind prevent or substantially impair the performance of your duty as a Juror in accordance with the Court's instructions on the law?

A I must not have understood the question then and I still don't understand it now.

Would you repeat it, please?

Q A lawyer must have written the question. Of course, like so many others, you have to read them two or three times to really appreciate them, so I can understand your answer.

For your benefit, Mr. Foley, I think the law statewide is that for a person who is arrested for domestic violence, they must spend 12 hours in jail.

It's not just Henderson.

A Right. Okay, sir.

Q Let's talk about that for a moment, if you

As I understood what you spoke with Mr. Harmon about, you basically said that you didn't commit the crime, but that in the interest of what's best for you, when you were confronted with a few choices — this is after your arrest I'm talking about, and when you actually go to court and have to deal with the system —

A Yes.

Q — you are presented with a few chaices, and that even though you weren't guilty, you chose to end the matter by pleading no contest.

A Yes.

Q So in your case, an innocent man was convicted of a crime.

A Yes.

Q Mr. Foley, did you, early on in our presentation of this case a couple days ago, indicate that you may know some of the witnesses?

A No, I did not.

Q You were not one of those questioned?

If you were sitting where I am,

Mr. Foley, as Michael's lawyer, is there anything about yourself emotionally, psychologically, anything about your background, anything about how you might feel that I should know about, in determining whether I should select you as a

MRippo-07058-R0A01075

9

24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

How bothersome is that

frustration?

A It's part of the system. But, I mean, it's -- it's like when I answered what was wrong with the court system, it's overloaded; it's somehow that the entire show couldn't get on as planned.

Q Okay. Let's -- let's take what we've been doing here as an example.

Before I start, I'll tell you I share a lot of your frustration, but I want your feelings about what we're doing here.

We obviously talked to every juror individually. We ask them a lot of the same questions, but we try to hold them fairly short.

Do we -- in that three day process that we're going through, in your view, while we're in here doing it, is it a waste of time?

A No. It's a necessary part of the system for you to obtain what you are looking for and the defendant to get what he is looking for.

Q Somehow there will be a neutral, middle ground that we can have a jury that can aid both sides.

A I doubt that, but --

Q The frustration that you feel, will it get in the way of your doing a decent job of being a juror?

1	А	Not really.
2	Q	How do you feel after listening to all these
3	comments about	the death penalty?
4	A	I'm very ambivalent as for as the death
5	penalty 1s conc	erned.
8	Q	Can you explain your ambivalence to us?
7	А	I'm neither for it nor against it. I would
8	never get on a	soap box and get up there and say we've got
9	to execute, all	for one, or get up and say it's the wrong
10	thing. It's th	ere. It's part of the law. You use it.
11	Q	Do you mind it being a part of the law?
12	А	No.
13	Q	Okay. Can you use it as a juror if you
14	think it's appr	opriate?
15	А	Probably.
16	Q	Probably?
17	А	I I've got to have facts before I can say
18	yes, I'm going	to do it.
19	Q	I understand. I understand.
20	А	Okay.
21	Q	There are there are varying degrees of
22	murders which t	ake place
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	some not as violent, not as nasty, not as
		000891

tı.

heinous as others.

A Yes.

Q We've seen them throughout the years in the newspapers. It's pretty easy to pick out the particularly bad ones.

A Yes.

newspaper, if we could consider that to be evidence, but we're -- are you able to look at those and say, oh, yeah, this was a real bad one and we might be able to consider the death penalty there; and over here, there is one that's not nearly so bad and it probably wouldn't apply?

A There are a lot of times that newspaper accounts are very exaggerated and are very short, missing a whole lot of details.

Q Right.

A And it's the details that really are the thing that makes up your mind not to -- I mean, not to get carried away by the sentiment, which, all of a sudden, the headline, which is on there.

Q So you would be looking for as many facts as possible, both pro and con, regarding, first of all, guilty or not guilty, guiltiness of Mr. Rippo, and then the penalty to be given to him if he is found guilty; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Do you anticipate -- have you ever been a Juror? I can't remember your -- questionnaire?

A This is the third time I've been called.

The first time, Just got sent home. The second time, I got in and there was a plea. So we never went to deliberations or anything else.

Q Okay.

A And --

Q So this will be the first time that you have -- if it goes that far, that you would go forward and deliberate in a case?

A Yes, I have never deliberated in one.

Q As a person who may potentially be deliberating somewhere down the road, do you anticipate that you will hear every available fact that speaks toward the crimes that were committed?

A Well, now, that's the function of a jury, to hear those and to basically file them away for, you know, recall when you are in deliberations.

Q You necessarily have to rely an witnesses to come in and tell their story and sift through what they say to determine what the truth is, do you not?

A Yes.

5

anything to say about this case, first of all, could be found, and, second of all, could be talked in to coming in here so that you get every single thing before you?

A Well, let me put it this way: I mean, the anly way we could ever, ever know every fact is if there was -- some reason there happened to be a camera in the sky looking down --

Q Exactly.

A -- that we could see, you know, that we could replay the incident from the start to finish; because your memory, my memory, and everything else is selective in what we use and what we -- others use.

Q Well, I could say something else about our memory, yours and mine, at our age, probably, but I wouldn't.

So you appreciate the difficulty that a jury has in sifting through some of the facts that are presented to them, that they are not going to get everything because there aren't cameras at all crime scenes.

A Well, you sift through the facts when they are in -- when they are opposed to each other.

If A gets up there and says he.

did it and B gets up there and says, no, he did it, you know, then it's a question of credibility.

Q Would you be surprised if at the end of the trial, you -- you were in deliberations and you turned to your fellow jurars and you said, I've got a question that they didn't answer, something out there that -- there is an answer to it and we weren't given it, would that surprise you if that would happen?

A For me personally?

Q Uh-huh, yes.

A Only if that particular fact became relevant to the determination of guilt or innocence.

Q I think what I'm suggesting to you is that you are not getting all the facts. You are not going to have every question answered.

A Oh, that's understandable.

Q Okay. Understanding that, are you able then to take the facts which are given to you, and there will be many, and sift through those and still be able to come to a decision?

MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm going to object to that question unless he knows what facts are missing. Nobody could possibly answer that question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR 482, MR. BONNIN: And to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

different idea of a few questions than almost anybody else in the planet.

You've been sitting here for three days paying attention. What's the one question you haven't heard asked you think should be?

A Oh, God.

MR. WOLFSON: That was it.

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Q If you don't have it, that's fine.

A I'm not tuned in on that.

Q Now the D.A. talks some about what happens if witnesses don't show up or something.

You understand they have to

14 present a case?

A Yeah.

a And if the case is less than beyond a reasonable doubt, then, as a matter of law, it means not guilty; it's more than beyond a reasonable doubt, it means guilty.

A Yes.

Q Do you understand that's the standard?

A Right.

Q It's not speculating about who didn't show up or what might have happened outside the courtroom. It

000896

			146
A			
MRippo-97058-R0901084	1	han to hannon have to e	name of way wad by alven to voy as it
2028 1	1		ront of you and be given to you or it
R0901	2	didn't exist.	
8	3	A Correct	î
	4	Q Are you	comfortable with that idea?
	· 5	A Yes. I	mean, how else can you do it, right?
	6	A Right.	
	7	Q Now, a	couple of questions I want to go into
	8	very briefly.	
	9		On 31, you have three brothers,
	10	I believe, all retired?	
	11	A Yes,	
	12	Q Were an	y of them in law enforcement or
	13	attorneys or Judges or	
	14	A No.	
	15	Q A good	family. Okay.
	16	A The clo	sest you'd come to one was a fireman.
	17	Q I see t	hat at one time you gave money to the
	18	ACLU.	
	19		Were you an active supporter or
	20	anything like that?	
:	21	-	I gave ten dollars.
			,
	22		weak spot one day?
	23		Oh, the moiling got to me.
	24	Q And lik	e many, many people, you put down

7

 that life without the possibility of parole would be the worst punishment from your perspective?

A For me -- I'm talking as an individual -for me to go through life behind granite walls and not be
able to listen to what radio I wanted or what television I
wanted and see what animals or movies I wanted or to go out
and have a beer or do anything, I mean, I'd rather be dead.

Q That's a major sacrifice.

But what do you think of the number of people that say that's the worst punishment to them, but, oh, the real worst punishment is death? Why do you think there is two worst punishments?

A I don't think you are talk- -- you know, you are asking me about what my worst punishment is.

Q Uh-huh.

A I don't know what the worst punishment for he to be. I know, in that sense, punishment would be, you know, decided as to after a guilt is found, but, I mean, it would depend upon the circumstances.

Q So you will reserve judgment or any of that until you've gotten all the facts?

A Yes.

Q Question 62: What type of bias or prejudices might you have that could affect your judgment in

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this case?

And your answer was: I'd like 3 to think of myself as even minded, but it would depend on the circumstances.

What kind of circumstances could cause you a problem there?

I can't think of any straight off. It would -- you know, it's like -- it's like trying to answer the question I'll be even minded. Would something set me off? It's possible. I mean, I try to be honest with it and say -- oh, God, I don't know. I can't think of anything straight off.

But I know, in that sense, that on occasion, I mean, I do become opinionated.

- 0 I think everybody probably does.
- A Yeah, I mean --
- Now, on Question 75, something I've talked about to many jurges, the right of persons charged of crimes are better protected than the rights of the victim.

What rights did you have in mind when you said yes?

I was -- I was thinking not in terms of sitting here at a trial. I was thinking in terms of generally, out -- because here, as far as that goes, it's a

000899

RENEE SILVAGGID, CCR 122 391-0379

different situation when you have the --- his rights being protected, as opposed to a victim or anything else.

Actually, the victim of the crime has no rights anymore because -- I mean, his rights have been violated; they've been taken away by supposedly --

- Q Part of the reason of the crime.
- A Yeah, but what we're talking about is what is right.
- Q Right. That's why I asked you what kind of rights you had in mind.
 - A Yeah.
- Q What do you think of the idea of going through a process like this where they're trying to find 12 people who will agree that they would impose the death penalty under the right circumstances, to kill somebody?
- A Although I don't, I don't oppose the death penalty. I don't especially care for that particular phase of it, because, to me, it seems almost like you are hunting a jury that's going to hang somebody.
- Q You will make an effort to be fair to both sides in this case?
 - A It's impossible.
- Q Well, are you going to try and be fair to both sides?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Q Is that the proper pronunciation?

A Reinert.

Q This question 46(a) pops up again. A lot of people have trouble with this thing, with the way it's written, but it's essentially asking: Is your state of mind such that you can consider the full range of punishment —

A Yes.

Q -- which means the life with, life without and the death penalty?

A Yes.

Q You answered yes, you could not consider, but I think it's that almost a double negative we throw in there that --

A I can consider -- I can consider it.

Q You could consider them both?

A Yes.

Q Okay. How do you feel about being here and perhaps being selected as a jurar?

A It doesn't bother me.

Q Is it something you want to do?

A No. I can do it.

Q Is it something you want to do?

A No.

Q You'd rather be some place else?

24

what would it be?

			153
		•	
12 18:0-07058-R0901891			
97 97 97 97	1	A	It would be death.
8-R0A	2	Q	Okay. What about your ability to come back
1691	3	with such a ve	rdict, would that be troublesome at all for
	4	you?	•
	5	А	No.
	6	Q	You will
	7	A	If I felt he did it or if he's guilty, I can
	8	come back with	that decision.
	9	Q	Okay. You believe in the death penalty?
4	10	А	Yes.
	11	Q	Have you always believed in the death
	12	penalty?	
	13	A	As far as I remember.
	14	Q	Okay: You're fairly young. You're 20 years
	15	old,	
	16		Have you had an opportunity to
	1.7	think about t	o discuss, the death penalty with other
			a dizeass, file dediti benaits uttil penei
	18	people?	N.S.
	19	A	No.
	20	Q	Did you ever study it in school?
	21	A	No.
I	22	Q	Okay.

ų ukuy,

23

24

A Not that I remember.

Q Have your thoughts arisen mostly from

reading things, seeing things on T.V.? 1 2 Yes. Do you think your -- the thoughts that you 3 4 carry with you today are pretty firmly implanted in your 5 mind? 6 No. Α 7 Is there a possibility that as the trial 8 wears on, you could realize, even more than you do today, 9 and I'm sure everybody does to a very large extent, the 10 seriousness of what's going on? 11 А Sure. And is it possible that you might come to a 12 13 different conclusion? Maybe on one hand, you still believe 14 in the death penalty, but on the other, you might prefer not 15 to be the person who was responsible for voting for it 16 against a particular individual? 17 Could that happen, do you 18 think? Could you have that --19 Could you say that again? Α 20 MR. SEATON: Yech. 21 MR. WOLFSON: Exactly. 22

BY MR. SEATON:

23

24

If the court reporter laughs at you, you know your question is too long. She's the one writing it

down.

Let me give you an example, and I will talk about both sides. I'll talk about the defense first.

And this gentleman next to you, I think, probably hit the nail on the head closer than anybody has. When the case is all said and done, the facts come out the way that they're anticipated to, these gentlemen will be asking the Jury to come back with the smallest punishment possible, the life with.

- A Right.
- Q You understand that.

And we have said on a number of accasions, if the evidence turns out as we anticipate, that we may be before you asking for the death penalty. So the two sides are diametrically opposed.

- A Uh-huh.
- Q What the system wants is a jury of 12 people who, when they're selected and when the trial starts, and they don't know anything about the case, can go either way.
 - A Yeah, I know that.
- Q If they have the capability of coming back with either of those two punishments or the one in between, the life without the possibility of parole.

4 5

1.9

Are you with me?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Think about how unfair it would be to them, for example, if you said, yes, I can — and they've asked a number of jurars, can you come back with a life with the possibility of parale verdict if the facts are right, and people have said yes.

and tell them that, and then hear the case, go into the jury deliberation room, and having been thinking about it for the few weeks that you are here, say to yourself, you know, I heard somebody say in the jury that anybody who kills anybody ought to get killed himself, and I'm just not going to consider that life with, I don't believe in that any more, I've changed my mind, that would be unfair to them?

A Right.

Q Do you agree?

A I agree.

Q Yeah, because they would have thought you were going to think some different way.

A Right.

Q Similarly, on our side, if you heard all the evidence and you went back and you said I've been thinking about this and I still believe in the death penalty, but I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think only God should have the right to end someone's life, that would be unfair to the State, because then we would have gotten a juror who couldn't possibly have come back with the death penalty.

Taking a long way around to ask
you the question: Do you think because of your age -- and
that's the reason I'm asking you this --

A Right.

Q — that you might be susceptible to changing your mind about these views that you have?

A No.

Q Okay, You think you could be a fair Juror?

A Sure.

Q You do your best to listen carefully, and being away from work isn't going to interfere or anything like that?

16 like that?

A No.

Q Has work said it would be okay?

A Yeah, 1t's fine.

MR. SEATON: Okay, Thanks very much.

Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson, Mr. Dunleavy. You

guys are playing games on me.

MR. WOLFSON: Odd, even; odd, even.

3 BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

EXAMINATION

Q Do you think it's only the young that are susceptible to changing their minds?

A No.

Q Anybody can do that, right?

A Sure.

Q Now, by now, the emphasis is on question 46. You indicated on the first one the answer was yes, but to try and break it down into English, sold that you didn't think you could consider all three punishments?

A Did I say this on there?

Q It's not hard to misunderstand some of those questions.

Is there one that you have reservations about or is it just a misunderstanding on the questionnaire?

A I think it's a misunderstanding.

Q That's what happens when you let lawyers near a typewriter, you know.

Then on (d), it looks like you had checked death and scratched out and put life without as to what you thought was the worst.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Did you change your mind while you were filling it out or --

A No. I -- I didn't know I did that. Life without parale would be the worst for me.

Q For you.

Now, I asked this other gentleman, and several other people, I believe, that why is it you think that you perceive life without as the worst on a personal basis, but whenever they ask you what's the worst punishment, it's death?

A I'm not sure, I ---

Q Would you think you are doing somebody a favor by giving them the death penalty?

A No, 'cause they might not want to die.

Q You indicated, Question 56, in your opinion, what's wrong with the criminal justice system: It lets too many guilty people go free.

How does that happen?

A It just seems like a lot of guilty people are let free; either they spent some time in jail, but they get out early or they didn't serve at all any prison time.

Q So if somebody gets probation, you feel that's a miscarriage of Justice? Probation meaning released before they do any prison time?

1	A Right, No, no. It depends on what they
2	did.
3	Q I mean, a lot of these when you answer
4	this, you are not sitting there getting hammered and
5	everybody questioning you. Now we're sitting here trying to
6	get techniques, and obviously you've had three days to give
7	a lot of thought to punishment that you probably never done
8	before.
9	A Right.
10	Q Have you changed any of your thoughts
11	relating to punishment?
1.2	A No, not that I can think of.
13	Q Question Number 62: What type of bias or
14	prejudice might you have that could affect your judgment in
15	this case?
16	I don't consider myself a
17	racist towards others, but I do prejudge them sometimes by
18	what some of them say towards whites or by how they blame
19	the problems on the whites.
20	Is there a problem here that we
21	need to know about, that if this case hinged on one witness
22	who was black
23	A No, I'm not racist at all,
24	Q would there be a problem?

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	A I'm not a racist person at all.
2	Q Okay,
3	A If someone were to say something to me, I
4	prejudge sometimes, but
5	Q Now, the State asked you about what your
6	beliefs are on the death penalty.
7	And you don't have any problem
8	with the concept?
9	A No.
10	Q You also put down that you believe in an eye
11	for an eye.
12	What does that mean to you?
13	A Well, if someone does something to me, I'm
14	going to do it back to them; not like, say, they kill one of
15	my family members, I'll probably if it was them, I'll
16	kill one of their family members.
17	Q Don't you think the courts can handle the
18	problem?
19	A Well, that's Just that's the way I was
20	raised.
21	Q So if somebody stole from you, you would
22	steal from them?
23	A No, but I'd get them back somehow.
24	Q If somebody ran into you with their car, you
	กกกฉาว

1	would run into them with your car?
2	A No, no.
3	Q Where does this concept stop?
14	A I couldn't say.
5	Q Have you ever given it a lot of thought?
6	A No, not really.
7	Q You realize that if you went through with
8	what you are talking about, you'd wind up sitting in Mr.
9	Rippo's seat.
10	A Yeah, I'm sure I would.
11	Q Do you think that's a good idea?
12	A No.
13	Q Another question that I've talked to a lot
14	of people about: Rights of a person charged with a crime
15	are better protected.
16	Do you still agree that that's
17	right, or do you understand that those rights come into
18	play now here in the courtroom?
19	A Sure.
20	Q And, unfortunately, there is no way to
21	enforce those rights out on the streets or we wouldn't have
22	any crime.
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you have any doubts as to whether or not

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

you can consider both sides of this case and keep an open 1 2 mind until it's submitted to you? 3 A No. You don't hear the first witness and say, 4 oh, well, that's it, I've made up my mind? You are going to 5 wait until you've heard it all and get it submitted to you 6 7 and then you'll think about it? 8 А Yeah. MR. DUNLEAVY: I have no further questions, 9 10 Your Honor. I'd pass for cause. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Seaton, you may 11 inquire of Miss Durante. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR 486, MS. DURANTE: Uh-huh. 13 (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 14 486. MS. DURANTE, Was examined as follows:) 15 16 EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON: 17 How are you this afternoon? Q 18 Just fine. 19 Α Good, Well, you've got that same disease 20 Q that the gentleman sitting next to you has got, and we all 21

22

23

24

A I'm young.

wish we had --

) — you're young.

		1
		2

How do you -- you heard all my

questions with him?

- A Uh-huh.
- Q How do you think that impacts you?

A Most of the time, I honestly feel that younger people are not taken seriously. I feel they're taken very lightly and I feel a lot of people do not give their Judgment or any of their beliefs much consideration, because due to the fact that most people are older in this room —

- Q Be careful what you say now.
- A I'm sorry, Not insulting, Sorry,

I feel that a lot of people may actually consider what would -- we would think as younger people or how we would feel as younger people not as heavily as they would weigh an older person's opinion.

Q Fair enough, I understand.

Do you want to be a juror in

19 this case?

A I would like to be a juror; not necessarily -- it doesn't have to be this case. I would be flattered if I was chosen as a juror in this case because the way things are going. I can tell it's a tedious process, choosing and selecting certain people that would be fair to

MRippo-07058<u>-8</u>0801103

1.0

both sides.

- Q All right, Can you be --
- A Yes.
- Q --- fair to both sides?
- A Uh-huh.
- Q What are your views on capital punishment?

A I honestly feel capital punishment provides somewhat of a deterrent to heavy crime, major crime; but I don't see much of an effect.

So, yes, I believe in capital punishment, and I believe that if it is there as an option, I could honestly consider it. But I haven't -- being as it's been said earlier, it's -- the more it happens, the less you hear about it. So I honestly don't know if it is a deterrent to crime, but I do see it as a deterrent.

Q Okay. If you got into that situation that we've talked about with many other jurors, where you were considering penalty and you thought it should be harsh — the harshest of penalties, would your opinion then be that it would be the death penalty?

A I would feel in my own case, as it says on my survey, that if somebody told me all my freedom was being taken away, but I was still going to have to live with myself after I had been found guilty, I was going to have no

Ħ

freedoms, no choice, no nothing, life without possibility of parole, I would feel, for me, that would be the worst.

But I also can't say that death would not be the worst either, because if somebody was going to sit there — 12 people, my peers, were going to sit there and judge me and think that I was so horrible that I should be killed, I would also think that that could be a very horrible thing to hear. I think that would be a terrible way of being punished.

Q If it comes down to Mr. Rippo, if we get to that point, which of those views is going to you in the way you -- you arrive at a decision?

A Depending on what is displayed, what is posed to me, either could come into play.

If I feel that it's not necessary that this man die, then I will not impose the death penalty. If I feel that it's necessary that he dies because he's committed such a vile crime, I will consider the death penalty.

Q Okay. And at age 19, you've got the ability to make that kind of a hard choice?

A I believe I do.

Q You belong to -- or belonged to something called the Clark County Youth Law Association?

	1	5
,		

A	Uh-huh.	

- Q What is that?
- A Clark County Youth Law Association is a group of younger people the majority when I started were 17 and 18, even some 16 and what they do is they offer classes in certain types of trials. You learn terminology; you learn proceedings; you learn different methods of research, and things of that nature, in law, criminal or civil.

Most of the time, they handled more civil than criminal teachings. And after you went through these classes, you would -- there is monthly meetings -- you discuss what went on, if anybody missed a class or anything.

And after you've gone through the classes, you have the opportunity to take — I am not sure because I never took it — but it's either the bar exam or a mock bar exam, and if you pass it, you can actually be certified to handle Juvenile court cases, other than criminal.

Q Okay. Was it something that was implemented through the high schools?

A Actually I heard about it outside of high school, but I do recall that they passed out flyers.

	168
: :	
2 2 3	Q Did you feel it was a beneficial experience
, 1,7 1 , ,	
2	for the time that you spent at it?
	A Uh-huh, uh-huh.
4	THE COURT: That yes?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR 486, MS. DURANTE: Yes.
6	I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
7	MR. SEATON: I don't think I have any more
8	questions. Thanks.
9	Pass for cause, Judge.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
14	Q Forgive me, but I don't recall: Was I one
15	of the instructors in your youth law program?
16	A I don't believe so. I never attended any of
17	the classes. I was in college
18	Q Oh, okay.
19	A for part of the time.
20	Q In that case, I wasn't.
21	A Nope.
22	Q Did you learn anything in that youth law
23	program about the death penalty or philosophies regarding
24	the way cases are handled that would affect the way you look
	000919

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

-24

at this case?

A No. Most of the discussing of the way cases are handled, this type of a case or the death penalty. I learned through a class called C.A.P., which is Current American Problems, when I was in California; also we discussed it in government my senior year in high school.

Q On Question 56, in your opinion what's wrong with the criminal justice system, your answer was: There are loopholes.

A Uh-huh. I knew this was going to be asked.

I didn't put very much thought into that.

And what I meant was basically what a lot of other people I heard say: There are a lot of circumstances that arise that are beyond some people's control that set things back, as in put cases back in time, or as I've also heard, set some people that — that I may feel are guilty, set them free.

Q Well, these people you are talking about, based on what you've seen in the newspapers or T.V. or --

A Most of it, I would say media, yes.

Q You recognize that sometimes they distort things a little bit?

A Yes, uh-huh.

Q As a matter of fact, there has been a lot of

 talk about the O.J. case.

Did you watch any of that case?

A Unfortunately. I visit my grandparents a lot and my grandfather was the avid O.J. watcher, so I heard it more than saw it, but sitting in the kitchen, you can't help but hear. He's deaf. He has the T.V. on real loud.

Q Well, you know, one of the problems in society is that probably the only people in America that didn't hear all of the other comments were the jury.

A Uh-huh -- yes.

They had to make the decision based on what they heard, and they didn't have access to newspapers and Geraldo and all the rest of the garbage going on out there. They just listened to what happened in the courtroom.

A Right.

Q Do you understand that's what's got to happen here?

A Right. And I feel that the way that they didn't have any autside access to the media probably made it better in the case.

When you hear the mumbo --

As long as you are not the one sequestered?

A Right. When you hear the mumbo Jumbo

outside, compared to what really happened which being
that I'm young and I have two years out of high school, I
know that he said-she said, he said-she said, I know how
that works quite a bit. And you can't take what he soid and
she said so heavily when it comes to outside of the court.
Nobody knows what goes on inside. It's not there.
Q And you are also involved in Child Seekers?

A th-huh, yes.

Q What is that?

A Nevada Child Seekers is a group of people —
right now, two women run it — and they work with Nevada
police enforcement or law enforcement and outlying counties
in states like Arizona and California, even as far as
Wyoming I think they've also done, to help locate or find
missing children.

When you see missing children alerts on television, like on Fox 5 --

Q Do you go out and knock on doors looking for them or --

A No, we do not. I personally am not allowed to get involved in any of the law details.

Q Why is that?

A Because I am not -- I'm not a lawyer. I am not a police officer. I'm not a law enforcement person.

**

All I've done for them is help them do fingerprinting in public. I would get the kids lined up. I would shoot their pictures --

- Q Oh, okay.
- A -- things like that. I just volunteer work.
- Q Child identification kits?
- A Right. I do volunteer work for them.
- Q Good. Now, in any of your duties, have you ever looked at whether or not murder is one of the lowest recidivism rates?
 - A Actually I haven't.
- Q You put down here that parole may give them an opportunity to commit the crime again.

A I do believe that if somebody has the opportunity to be paraled and they are not qualified — or they have not reformed themselves at all, but are well enough that they can show the parale board, hey, I've changed, look, I can draw pretty flowers, you can let me go, if they have not changed, in all actuality, they do have the opportunity to get out and do it again.

And I am not saying that all people will do that. And I'm not saying that if this man were sent to prison on a life sentence with possibility of parale that he would get out and do it again. I personally

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2 13

14

17

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

would not see anybody wanting to do it again and go back to that place.

Are you aware that a lot of people go to the Q parale board and don't get out?

> A Yes.

And that in a case like this, where basically his first trip to the parole board would be ten years --

> Uh-huh, yes. A

-- people can change a lot in ten years? Q

А Yes.

You've changed in the last ten years? O

A Yes, I have.

Expect to change a lot more in the next ten? Q

Α Yes, I do.

Now, on Question 70, do you believe in the adage an eye for an eye, you drew a box around the question first.

Why is that?

It honestly caught me off guard. I was Ā reading questions that were based on how do you feel about this, how do you feel about that. I read a selection about a murder case, and then it comes to do you believe in an eye for an eye. I actually had to sit and think about it.

 In some cases, I would think maybe an eye for an eye would apply, but I generally do not live by if you beat up my brother, I'm going to beat you up, if you steal something from you, I'm stealing something from you. I do not believe in that. I've always been someone can help me take care of this the correct way.

Q And you checked the box that says no, you don't believe in that.

A Right.

Q Do you see any contradiction between that and saying that you believe in the death penalty?

A No, because I'm not saying that this man should be put to death because he killed somebody.

I'm saying I feel --

Q Then why would he be put to death?

A Because, yes, it would have to be proven to me that he did it beyond a reasonable doubt, murder in the first degree.

But I think that the death penalty should be reserved for if I think this man has no possibility of reform, I think he has no chance of living ever a decent life, I don't think that he is qualified to live amongst everybody else. Then I would think I would consider the death penalty, but not because of the fact that

he killed somebody, but because I think that there is no way this man can change and he is a vile person.

What do you think about the idea of saying killing is wrong; therefore, we're going to kill you?

A Right. And I thought about that when you said it earlier, and it's a tough question to answer because there is no way really to dance around it. You can't -- I cannot answer that question directly and give it an honest answer. I'd have to say I don't know.

Q Do you think by the time you are through with this you should get a credit in philosophy?

A I hope so.

MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your Honor. I pass for cause.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. You may inquire of --

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, may counsel approach the bench?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Discussion had off the record.)

THE COURT: All right. We'll take about a ten-minute recess.

Remember: Do not converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

24

Q

Do you understand the importance of basing a

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

•		
E		
•		
Ü		
ū		
5		
9		
J		
9		
÷		
7		
•		
*		
-		
Ų		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	•	
	Q	At this time, are you telling us that you
are	able to c	onsider equally the three punishments provided
for	first deg	ree murder?
	A	Yes, sir, I om.
	Q	You are not leaning in any particular
d1re	ction now	?

No, sir, I am not. A

Philosophically, will you describe yourself as being for or against capital punishment?

Well, I tell you, up until Monday, I really A haven't given it much thought. It's not even something I've discussed with people, as we like to say, in the social setting.

There obviously is a need for it or we wouldn't have it, and if the severity of the crime and my 11 fellow jurges call for it, then I will vote for 1t.

Is there onything in your personal life which would prevent you from giving your full attention to this trial during the court hours if selected as a juror?

> A No. sir.

> > MR. HARMON: Thank you.

Pass for cause.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.

1

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.

EXAMINATION

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. WOLFSON::

5 Q

Q Good afternoon, Mr. Hooper.

Mr. Hooper, you've just said something, and you probably didn't mean the exact -- exact thing you said. You said if the other 11 of my fellow jurors felt that way, then I'd probably go that way, or words to that effect.

A No, that's not what I meant, Mr. Wolfson.

Q Why don't you elaborate for us for a moment.

A If -- if we all draw the same conclusion, that the severity of the crime would call for the death penalty, well, then, I could -- I would vote for death.

Q You understand that if you are a jurar with the other 12 eventual jurars, that it's an independent decision that you must make within yourself within this deliberation process?

A Yes, sir.

Q You were a juror before; is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I believe you said in 1991, you served in a fraud case.

24 In a fraud

1	8		1

3 4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

Was that a civil case?

No, sir, it was criminal.

The reason I asked you about civil -- and Q just so you'll see, as I have your questionnaire -- and if I may, Judge, I think you circled civil here, (indicating) and if that's a mistake, that's fine.

It's a mistake.

Okoy. Very good.

So you remember now, sir, that it was a criminal case.

Yes, sir, and the two defendants were sent to prison now.

Now, you served as a juror, but you didn't Q decide punishment in that case, did you?

No, sir. As a matter of fact, I only read A about it later in the newspaper, much later. I -- I believe they were sentenced two to three months after the trial was over with and I just happened to read it in the newspaper or I wouldn't have even known.

Was that a case that occurred here in this Q courthouse?

No, sir. It was at the federal building.

Okay. But it was the federal building, right, two blocks away?

	1	4
 	1	. 1
ì		
١		
į.		
ı		
į L F		
٠		
•		

- A Yes, the Foley building; yes, sir.
- Q Were you the foreman of that jury, sir?
- A No. sir.
- Q Do you understand that the burden of proof that the prosecution in that case had, and those were federal prosecutors, is the same exact burden that these two gentlemen have in this case?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q That being to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt?
 - A Yes, sir.
- asked a few other Jurors, Mr. Hooper; and that is: That I recognize that you have two daughters and their ages are 29 and 27, and there is going to be testimony about the two deaths in this case being two females right about that same age.

And I have two girls, quite young now, because I'm not as old as the prosecutors and my children are much younger --

MR. SEATON: Or as wise.

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q -- but I can empathize and I can just say how it must feel for a Juror to incorporate one's own life

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21.

22 23

24

in to their feelings because you will probably have family members of the victims come in and testify, and they're probably going to cry, as they should, because their children are dead.

Will you be able to separate that particular emotion, because you have yourself two daughters, from your consideration of the evidence?

I believe I -- I can, yes.

Okay. Do you recognize why I asked that question and why you may be a little different than this lady, perhaps, because she has no children, or somebody else that has two sons?

> A Certainly.

My fomily is -- is and will always be the world to me; and raising two young ladies that my wife and I have done, that was the most important thing in my life the last 29 years.

I think you implied early on -- here we go using you as an example -- that you perhaps feel you'd make a better juror than your next door neighbor here because you are a little older.

I didn't mean to imply that, if I did.

I have more experience in life per se. I'm quite a bit older than this young lady. But

1 2

I'm not saying that I would make a better juror than she.

articulate, intelligent, educated, but you seem to think that because you perhaps are twice her age that that brings you to be a better juror. And I'm not saying whether you are right or wrong, but that's what I'm drawing from your responses.

And my question is: Why do you think you would be a better juror because you are older? What do you bring to both yourself individually, when you make your own decision, as well as to the group in the deliberation room?

A Well, there again, sir, I'm not saying that — if I did imply that I would be a better juror, I'm — I would like to say that I think I would make a good juror because I would listen, I would pay attention to the facts that are presented, and that's how I would base my decision.

Q All right.

A It's not that my mind is going to be wondering about my job or any young children in school or discipline or anything like that.

Q You don't have those outside --

A I have no problem with any of that.

1	<

1	
2	

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q -- distractions.

4 42001400220110

A I like to read the newspaper, but I have a granddaughter that lives with me. Now when I come home from work every afternoon at five, she's -- she's there waiting for me, and my opportunity to read is after her bedtime.

Do you read newspapers?

Now, after nine o'clock at night, I'm just about ready to go to bed when she goes to bed, and I do try to make an attempt to read the sports section and the business section.

But as far as the first three sections of the paper, I mean, I may not get to that more than once or twice a week at the most.

Q When you do read the newspapers, do you read stories thoroughly or do you just brush over headlines?

A I read the headline, and if it gets my attention, I'll read the story.

Q What about television, do you listen to the news and wotch the news on a regular basis?

A No, I do not.

Q When you drive in your car, do you listen to the radio?

A I do, but I -- I don't listen to any news stations. I listen to the oldies station.

000936

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	A Yes. And there is not a hole I can crawl
2	into either.
3	Q Well, I'll try not to make you feel like you
4	need to.
5	You have heard everything?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q You've heard every bit of it.
8	Tell us why or how you feel
9	about being here.
10	A I'd rather be some place else.
11	Q Okay.
12	A It's a it's a very grave situation that
13	we're being asked to weigh here. It's a something I'd
14	rather not do, but if I was called by the State of Nevada to
15	do it, I would I would accept it only because if
16	everybody turned it down, there wouldn't be any fair
17	decisions received in this courtroom because there wouldn't
18	be anybody to give them.
19	Q Could you be one of the people to help give
20	those kind of fair decisions?
21	A I'm an open minded person that doesn't I
22	form my own opinions on things, so I think I could.
23	Q You've heard all the talk about the death
24	penalty.
!	000938

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1.3

What are your views?

A I feel that it has a place in our society today. It's the ultimate form of punishment and it should go with the ultimate crime.

It would be a very hard decision to come to. The facts would have to — to be extremely heinous for somebody to come to that decision, to take samething that's precious — there is nothing more precious than life — to take samebody's life away from them.

 $\label{eq:But I} \textbf{But I -- I feel that it has a}$ place for something, that it's -- as a form of punishment that could be waived on somebody for an extreme crime.

Q Have you thought this way about the death penalty most of your life?

A As far back as I can remember. I'm sure, as a young person, I didn't even give it any thought.

But as a -- an older adult, that's never been -- at my age, you would think that I've been called to jury duty three or four times, but this is my first time, and that's a -- it's hard to believe somebody 46 years old has never been called to jury duty before.

Q Are you glod for the opportunity?

A I was very aggravated at the time. I

_			
£.,	3	ш	ı

1

3

)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

thought, no. my life is too hectic, I can't do this. I work ten hours a day. I am president of my homeowners' association. I'm on three committees for that.

Q You sound like the kind of person who should be on a jury.

A I don't know. But I'm sure everybody feels that way, that their life is too busy to do this, but somebody has to -- this man could be innocent, this man could be guilty. We need somebody to decide that.

Q And you think you could do that fairly?

A I do.

Q And in the event that you thought he was guilty and thought that he deserved the worst of punishments, do you have the capacity within you to render a decision on the death penalty?

A Yes, I do.

Q You've sat here and looked at him for a considerable period of time.

A Yes.

Q Young, nice looking fellow.

A Yes, he is,

Q Does that bother you?

A Having him in the courtroom, I think is his right to be here, and it would be my right to be there too.

2

7

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24 So it shouldn't bother me.

MR, SEATON: Thonk you very much.

Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Q Your duty to society, if called upon, you would do it, to return a verdict of death; is that correct?

A Not my duty to society to do that.

If the crime warranted death,

that -- if the crime was so extremely heinous. I think that is the ultimate punishment that can be inflicted on samebody.

Q I believe you said to the D.A. though, if called upon, you would serve, and if you served and you felt it was necessary, you would impose it.

A Yes, if I felt it was necessary.

Q So if somebody called upon you to carry out the execution, would you do it?

A If I -- if I personally could --

Q Uh-huh.

A -- perform a lethal injection? I don't know if I personally could do it.

1		I had to sign the papers to
2	terminate my mo	ther's life. That was very difficult,
3	Q	Living will?
4	l A	No. I'm a sole child.
5	q	Different scendrios though?
6	А	Yes, very.
7	Q	That was when she was elderly and ill?
8	А	No, she wasn't elderly, but she was very
9	i 11.	
10	Q	Would your friends call you a liberal or a
11	conservative?	
12	А	I'm very conservative.
13	Q	Very conservative?
14	A	I think so. I'm not a party person.
15	Q	Too late. (Indicating)
16	A	A little bit.
17	Q	You indicated on question Number 76: I
18	don't know that	I could be impartial or not.
19	A	I'm I don't think I
20	a	The question was: What is it about yourself
21	that makes you	feel you can be a fair and importial juror?
22		Answer was: I don't know that
23	I can be impart:	Lal.
24	А	Well, I don't remember putting that down
		000942

1	there, but
2	4:30, when
3	Q
4	A
5	Q
6	school tea
7	А
8	Q
9	associatio
10	A
11	Q
12	A
13	Q
14	putting th
15	a young ma
16	А
17	that ri
18	,
19	decide my
20	how the St
21	ପ
22	away with
23	A
24	

I -- my day starts at 2:30 in the marning and by I was doing that, I was very tired.

- Now you are a school teacher?
- No, I'm not. I'm a bookkeeper for Lucky's.
- Oh, I'm sorry. It's your daughter that's a cher.
 - Yes.
- And you are active in your homeowners' n?
 - Yes.
 - So you take a lot of responsibility?
- I do.
 - What do you think of the idea of the State is responsibility on you to come in and decide if n should live or die?
 - I think we have the only system in the world ght now, I feel that this is the best one.

I'd rother have 12 people fate rather than one. And this -- I don't know ate could do it any other way.

- Do you know if most countries have just done the death penalty?
 - I don't know that for a fact.

I would -- it would be great if

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

they would do away with the death penalty here. If nobody would kill anybody would be great.

But it's --

- Q That it would.
- But I feel it's a deterrent too.
- Now you said you felt it was a deterrent? Q
- A Yes.
 - Have you ever read any studies indicating it Q was a deterrent?
 - I haven't. I haven't. But if somebody threatened me, to take my life away from me if I committed this crime. I feel it would deter me from doing it.
 - But you've never read any scientific Q studies?
- 15 No, I have not. Α
- 16 Q Or any of the historical studies on it?
- 17 I have not.
- 18 Do you know that when they used to hang pickpockets, pickpockets used to work the crowd after the 19 20 hangings?
- 21 They cut their hand off too for doing that.
- 22 Q Different country.
- Do you have any doubt that you 24 can be fair and impartial to both sides?

1	A I feel that I'm very open minded and a fair
2	person, that I would be fair to both sides.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you.
4	No further questions,
5	Your Honor. Pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: I think that gives us 36.
7	MR. HARMON: It does.
8	THE COURT: Okay, Ladies and gentlemen, I'm
9	gaing to excuse you until tomorrow morning at ten a.m. You
10	will report back here.
11	Remember, do not converse among yourselves
12	or with anyone else on any subject connected with this
13	trial;
14	Read, watch, listen to any
15	newspaper, television or radio, or article I mean radio,
16	concerning this trial;
17	Or form or express any opinion
18	on the trial until this case is finally submitted to you.
19	We'll see you tomorrow at ten a.m.
20	(Proceedings recessed until Friday, February 2, 1996, at 10:00 a.m.)
21	1601 adi y 2, 1330, ac 10.00 a.m.,
22	ATTEST: Full, true and correct transcript of proceedings.
23	
24	RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR NO. 122
	000945

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Appellant,) No. 53626

E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Respondent.

JOINT APPENDIX Volume 5B of 48

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Affidavit	02/14/94	JA00371-JA00377
2	Affidavit	03/07/94	JA00400-JA00402
18	Affidavit of David M. Schieck Regarding Supplemental Brief in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus	08/17/04	JA04316-JA04320
3	Amended Indictment	01/03/96	JA00629-JA00633
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	03/23/94	JA00583-JA00590
8	Answer in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation	02/08/96	JA01873-JA01886
17	Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial	05/01/96	JA04008-JA04013
48	Criminal Court Minutes	10/27/08	JA11603
2	Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	08/20/93	JA00274-JA00281
18	Errata to Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	03/12/04	JA04257-JA04258

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
19	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	12/01/04	JA04411-JA04413
48	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	11/17/08	JA11604-JA11611
1	Indictment	06/05/92	JA00235-JA00238
15	Instructions to the Jury	03/06/96	JA03358-JA03398
16	Instructions to the Jury	03/14/96	JA03809-JA03834
17	Judgment of Conviction	05/31/96	JA04037-JA04039
11	Motion for Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence Pertaining to the impact of the Defendant's Execution Upon Victim's Family Members	02/28/96	JA02620-JA02624
2	Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to Rippo's Defense	08/24/93	JA00286-JA00294
3	Motion for a Witness Deposition	06/19/94	JA00621-JA00628
17	Motion for New Trial	04/29/96	JA04002-JA04007
2	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Defendant's Prior Bad Acts	08/23/93	JA282-001 to JA282-005
2	Motion of Defendant for Discovery and to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	10/21/92	JA00254-JA00259
11	Motion to Bar the Admission of Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Clause	02/28/96	JA02603-JA02606
2	Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office	02/07/94	JA00334-JA00345
2	Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	08/23/93	JA00282-JA00285
11	Motion to Preclude the Consideration of Victim Impact Evidence Pursuant to NRS 175.552, 200.033, and 200.035	02/28/96	JA02613-JA02619
11	Motion to Preclude the Introduction of Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim Family Members' Characterizations and Opinions About the Crime, the Defendant, and/or the Appropriate Sentence	02/28/96	JA02625-JA02629
2	Motion to Quash and for a Protective Order	09/09/93	JA00298-JA00303

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	on an Order Shortening Time		
11	Motion to Require a Pretrial Judicial Review of all Victim Impact Evidence the State Intends to Introduce at the Penalty Phase	02/28/96	JA02607-JA02612
2	Notice of Alibi	09/20/93	JA00295-JA00297
19	Notice of Appeal	10/12/04	JA04409-JA04410
48	Notice of Appeal	04/15/09	JA11659-JA11661
19	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	12/15/04	JA04414
48	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	03/16/09	JA11648-JA11658
36	Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Counsel	02/15/08	JA08669-JA08672
1	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	06/30/92	JA00239-JA00241
42	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA09989-JA10014
42	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA10015-JA10025
42	1 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, State v. Bailey, Case No. C129217, Eighth Judicial District Court, July 30, 1996		JA10026-JA10034
42	Answers to Interrogatories p. 7, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al., Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH (RAM), February 9, 1998		JA10035-JA10037
42	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, partial, State v. Bennett, Case NO. C083143, September 14, 1998		JA10038-JA10040
42	4 Non-Trial Disposition Memo, Clark County District Attorney's Office regarding Joseph Beeson, in Bennett v. McDaniel, Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of Nevada, October, 1988		JA10041-JA10042
42	5 Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, partial, <u>State v. Bennett</u> ,		JA10043-JA10050

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	Case No. C083143, November 18, 1999		JA10051-JA10057
4	42	6 Decision, <u>Bennett v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C83143, Eighth Judicial District Court, November 16, 2001		JA10031-JA10037
5	42			JA10058-JA10061
6 7		7 Declaration of Michael Pescetta regarding locating exhibits in Parker file, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al. Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of		
8	42	Nevada, January 8, 2003		JA10062-JA10066
9 10		8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Memorandum re: State v. Butler, Case No. C155791,		31110002 31110000
10	42	December 30, 1999 Transcript of Defendant's Motion for		JA10067-JA10085
12		Status Check on Production of Discovery, State v. Butler, Case No.		
13		C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 18, 2000		
14	42	10 Letter from Office of the District		JA10086-JA10087
15		Attorney to Joseph S. Sciscento, Esq., re <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
16	42	Court, November 16, 2000		JA 10088-JA 10092
17		11 Letter from Law Offices of Sam Stone to Hon. Michael Douglas,		37110000-37110072
18		District Court Judge, <u>State v. Butler,</u> Case No. 155791, Eighth Judicial		
19 20	42	District Court, December 7, 2000		JA10093-JA10107
$\frac{20}{21}$		12 Motion for New Trial, <u>State v.</u> <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 17,		
22	42	2001		JA 10108-JA 10112
23		13 Affidavit of Carolyn Trotti, <u>State v.</u> Butler, Case No. C155791, January		31110100 31110112
24	42	19, 20 01		JA10113-JA10135
25		14 Opposition to Motion for New Trial Based on Allegations of Newly		
26		Discovered Evidence, <u>State v.</u> <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth		
27		Judicial District Court, February 16,		
28		4		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	2001		JA10136-JA10141
4		15 Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial, State v. Butler, Case No. C155791,		
5 6	42	Eighth Judicial District Court, February 27, 2001		JA10142-JA10144
7	42	16 Order, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, March 8, 2001		JA10145-JA10154
8 9		17 Fax Transmission from Terri Elliott with the Office of the Special Public		
10 11	42	Defender, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, <u>Eighth Judicial District</u> Court, March 19, 2001		JA10155-JA10161
12	42	Order affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding, State v. Butler, Case No. 37591, May 14, 2002		JA10162-JA10170
13 14		19 Reporter's transcript of jury trial, <u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 11,		
15	42	2002 Reporter's transcript of jury trial,		JA10171-JA10177
.6 .7	42	United States v. Catania, June 13, 2002		JA10178-JA10184
8		21 Transcript of Status Conference/Scheduling Conference Before the Honorable Howard K.		
9 30		McKibben, United States District Judge, Case No. CV-N-00-101-HDM (RAM), District of Nevada, January		
1	42	14, 2003 (Doyle)		JA 10185-JA 10200
22		22 Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial; or in the Alternative, Motion for New Appeal, State v.		
24	42	D'Agostino, Case No. C95335, Eighth Judicial District Court, September 21, 1993		JA10201-JA10207
25 26		Declaration of Tim Gabrielsen, and partial FBI production in Echavarria v. McDaniel, et al., CV-N-98-0202, June 2004		
27				
28		5		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	42 43			JA10208-JA10238 JA10239-JA10353
3 4	43	24 Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, <u>Emil v. McDaniel, et al.</u> , August 24, 2001		JA10354-JA10357
5 6		25 Criminal Complaint and Minutes of the Court, State v. Kenny, Case No. 85F-3637, Justice Court, Las Vegas		
7	43	Township, 1985 (Emil)		JA10358-JA10362
8 9		26 Notice of Denial of Request, Clark County District Attorney, State v. Emil, Case No. C82176, Eighth		
10	43	Judicial District Court, August 13, 1985		JA10363-JA10383
11		27 Various reports of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
12		Detention Services Division, produced in <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, regarding		
13		investigation into the identity of Clark County Detention Center		
14 15	42	inmate who manufactured a shank, 1987		JA 10384-JA 10434
16		28 Deposition of Sharon Dean in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
17	42	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, October 15, 1998 and December 7, 1998		JA10435-JA10449
18 19		29 Deposition of Arlene Ralbovsky in		
20		Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28,		
21	43 44	1999		JA 10450-JA 10488 JA 10489-JA 10554
22		30 Deposition of Patricia Schmitt in <u>Haberstroh v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District,		
23	44	December 7, 1998 and January 28, 1999		JA10555-JA10563
24 25		31 Recorder's Transcript Re:		
23 26		Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v.</u> <u>Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District Court,		
27		January 28, 2000		
28		6		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
3	44	32 Order, Hill v. McDaniel, et al., Case No. CV-S-98-914-JBR (LRL), District of Nevada, May 20, 1999		JA10564-JA10568
5	44	FBI memorandum to SA Newark, Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick 167), August 31, 1977		JA10569-JA10570
6 7	44	34 FBI memorandum, New York to Newark <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , (Homick 168), January 31, 1978		JA10571-JA10573
8 9	44	35 FBI Teletype, FM Director to Las Vegas (Homick 166), September, 1985		JA10574-JA10576
10 11	44	36 FBI Teletype San Diego to Las Vegas (Homick 165), October, 1985		JA10577-JA10582
12	44	37 Chronological record, <u>Homick v.</u> McDaniel (Homick 10), November		JA 10583-JA 10584
131415	44	T985 38 FBI notes re Homick receiving money from LVMPD employee, Homick v. McDaniel, December 11,		JA10585-JA10589
16 17	44	1985 39 FBI notes, Homick v. McDaniel,		JA 10590-JA 10593
18	44	December 1985 and January 1986 40 FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Pennsylvania) January 4, 1986		JA 10594-JA 10595
19 20	44	41 FBI redacted notes, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> (New Jersey), January 7,		JA 10596-JA 10597
21 22	44	42 FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10598-JA10599
23	44	McDaniel (Homick), January 9, 1986 43 FBI redacted notes, Homick v. McDaniel (Pennsylvania), January		JA10600-JA10601
2425	44	44 FBI redacted notes, Homick v. McDaniel (Las Vegas), January 14,		JA10602-JA10603
2627		1986		
28		7		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	45	FBI 302 interview of Norma K. Thompson, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , March 18, 1986		JA10604-JA10606
4	44	46	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10607-JA10608
5			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
6			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
7	44	47	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10609-JA10610
8			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
9			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
10	44	48	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10611-JA10612
11			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
12			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
13 14	44	49	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10613-JA10614
15			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
16			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
17	44	50	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10615-JA10616
18			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
19			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
20	44	51	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10617-JA10618
21			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
22			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
23	44	52	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10619-JA10620
24			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
25			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
26					
27					
28			8		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	44	53	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		JA10621-JA10622
5 6	44	54	FBI 302 memorandum of interview of Tim Catt, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 164), August 18, 1988		JA10623-JA10625
7 8	44	55	Reporter's transcript of evidentiary hearing, partial, State v. Homick, March 7, 1989		JA10626-JA10637
9 10	44	56	Reporter's transcript of motions, State v. Homick (Homick 48), April 10, 1989		JA10638-JA10640
11 12	44	57	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 6, State v. Homick, April 25, 1989		JA10641-JA10652
13	44	58	Reporter's transcript of jury trial, partial, Vol. 7, <u>State v. Homick</u> , April 26, 1989		JA10653-JA10660
14 15	44	59	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 11, State v. Homick (Homick 52), May 2, 1989		JA10661-JA10664
16 17	44	60	Reporter's transcript of penalty hearing, State v. Homick, Vol. 1 (Homick 108), May 17, 1989		JA10665-JA10668
18 19	44	61	Reporter's transcript of trial, partial, Vol. 83, <u>State v. Homick</u> , November 10, 1992		JA10669-JA10673
20 21 22	44	62	Letter from Eric Johnson/Walt Ayers, Assistant United States Attorneys to Mark Kaiserman denying FBI joint investigation with LVMPD, Homick v. McDaniel, January 28, 1993		JA1674-JA10676
:3 :4 :5	44	63	January 28, 1993 Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, Homick v. McDaniel, May 7, 1993		JA10677-JA60678
26 27					
28			9		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
3	44	64	Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> , May 11, 1993		JA10679-JA10680
4 5	44	65	Reporter's transcript on appeal, State v. Homick Vol. 140 (Homick 102) June 29, 1994		JA10681-JA10684
6 7	44	66	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - joint activity between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10685-JA10692
8 9 10	44	67	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - information sharing between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10693-JA10696
11 12	44	68	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - admissions, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10697-JA10705
13 14 15	44	69	Declaration of Joseph Wright, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 176), October 9, 2003		JA10706-JA10707
16 17	44 45	70	Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Homick v. McDaniel, October 10, 2003		JA10708-JA10738 JA10739-JA10756
18 19	45	71	Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v.</u> <u>Jiminez</u> , Case No. C77955, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 19,		JA10757-JA10786
20 21	45	72	Transcript of Proceedings Sentence, State v. Bezak, Case No. CR89- 1765, Second Judicial District Court,		JA10787-JA10796
22 23 24	45	73	November 27, 1989 (Jones) Response to Motion to Compel Discovery, Jones v. McDaniel, et al., Case No. CV-N-96-633-ECR,		JA10797-JA10802
25 26			District of Nevada, March 1999		
27		<u> </u>			

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	74	Declaration of David J.J. Roger, Chief Deputy District Attorney, concerning Jones v. McDaniel, Case		JA10803-JA10805
4			No. CV-N-96-633 ECR, District of Nevada, June 30, 1999		
5	45	75	Transcription of VCR Tape of the Adam Evans hearing in front of		JA10806-JA10809
6			Judge Hardcastle, <u>In The Matter of</u> Adam Owens Evans, Case No.		
7			J52293, Juvenile Court (Lisle)		
8	45	76	Excerpt of trial record, State v. Lisle, Case No. 129540, Vol. 10 page 15,		JA10810-JA10812
9			March 12, 1996		
10		77	Not Used		
11		78	Not Used		
12	45	79	Letter from Inv. Larry A. Schuchman, City of Orlando,		JA10813-JA10816
13			Florida, Police Department, to Inv. Bob Milby, Nevada Division of Inv.		
14 15			and Narcotics re Terry Carl Bonnette, January 29, 1981 (Milligan)		
16	45	80	Notice of Entry of Decision and		JA10817-JA10838
17			Order and Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
18			Order, <u>State v. Miranda</u> , Case No. C057788, <u>Eighth Judicial District</u> Court, February 13, 1996		
19	45	81	Reporter's Transcript of		JA10839-JA10846
20		61	Proceedings, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Case No. C106784, Eighth Judicial		JA10639-JA10640
21			District Court, February 8, 1996		
22	45	82	Reporter's Transcript of Calendar Call, <u>State v. Morelli</u> , Case		JA10847-JA10859
23 24			Nos.C64603 and C64604, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 12, 1984 (Snow)		
25			1707 (DIIOW)		
26					
27					

1	7	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	4	15	83	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (Testimony of Richard Morelli),		JA10860-JA10884
4				State v. Snow, Case No.C61676, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 17, 1984		
5	4	! 5	84	Letter from Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Danyty, Office of the District		JA10885-JA10886
6				Chief Deputy, Office of the District Attorney, To Whom It May Concern re Richard Joseph Morelli, July 20,		
7				1984 (Snow)		
8 9	4	15	85	Deposition of Melvyn T. Harmon, Esq., Snow v. Angelone, Case No. 6-		JA10887-JA10921
10				12-89-WPHC, Seventh Judicial District Court, September 25, 1992		
11	4	15	86	Las Vegas Review Journal excerpt, May 3, 2004, "Police Say Binion Wire and New Condition" (Tablish)		JA10922-JA10924
12		15	07	Witness Not Credible" (Tabish)		LA 10025 LA 10020
13		ы	87	Letter from Kent R. Robison of Robison, Belaustegui, Robb and Sharp, to E. Leslie Combs, Jr., Esq.		JA10925-JA10929
14 15				Re: Kathryn Cox v. Circus Circus, et al., October 16, 1995, in relation to Witter v. McDaniel, CV-S-01-1034-		
16				RLH (LRL), District of Nevada		
17	4	15	88	LVMPD Certificate of [Informant] Management Course completion, April 14, 1994		JA10930-JA10931
18		15	89	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police		JA10932-JA10934
19		ני	69	Department Cooperating Individual Agreement and Special Consent and		JA10932-JA10934
20				Waiver of Liability		
21	4	15	90	David J.J. Roger letter to Nevada State Parole Board Chairman		JA10935-JA10936
22 23				regarding Robert Bezak (Jones), December 3, 1990		
24	4	15	91	Declaration of Herbert Duzant dated May 15, 2008		JA10937-JA10938
25	4	1 5	92	Records request to Juvenile Justice		JA10939-JA10948
26				Division dated May 14, 2008		
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
3	45	93	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated May 15, 2008		JA10949-JA10973
4 5	46	94	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated May 15, 2008		JA10974-JA10996
6	46	95	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated November 29, 2007		JA10997-JA11007
7 8 9	46	96	Records request to Office of the Clark County District Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11008-JA11010
10 11	46	97	Records request to Office of the United States Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11011-JA11013
12 13	46	98	Records request to the Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re: Michael Beaudoin, James		JA11014-JA11026
14 15 16			Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
17 18	46	99	Records request to Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re Victim/Witness information)		JA11027-JA11034
19 20	46	100	Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated November 29, 2007		JA11035-JA11050
21	46	101	Records request to Justice Court, Criminal Records dated December 5, 2007		JA11051-JA11055
2223	46	102	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated		JA11056-JA11069
24 25	46	103	November 28, 2007 Records request to Nevada Department of Corrections dated		JA11070-JA11080
26 27			November 29, 2007 (re: Levine)		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	104	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated November 29, 2007 (re Levine)		JA11081-JA11095
5	46	105	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated April 12, 2007 (re: Rippo)		JA11096-JA11103
6 7 8	46	106	Records request to Word of Life Christian Center Pastor David Shears, Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated November 29, 2007		JA11104-JA11110
9 10	46	107	Response to records request from Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated December 3, 2007		JA11111-JA11112
11 12	46	108	Response to records request from Office of the District Attorney dated January 28, 2008 (re Victim Witness)		JA11113-JA11114
13 14	46	109	Response to records request from Word of Life Christian Center Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated		JA11115-JA11116
15 16	46	110	December 11, 2007 Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli)		JA11117-JA11128
17 18	46	111	Records request (FOIA) to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys dated November 27, 2007		JA11129-JA11132
19 20	46	112	Records request (FOIA) to the FBI dated November 27, 2007		JA11133-JA11135
21 22	46	113	Response to records request to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys, undated		JA11136-JA11137
23 24	46	114	Records request to Nevada Division of Child and Family Services dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie)		JA11138-JA11144
25 26	46	115	Records request to Claude I. Howard Children's Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli, Carole		JA11145-JA11156
27			Ann Campanelli (deceased))		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	116	Records request to Clark County School District dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA111457-JA11171
5	46	117	Records request to University Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11172-JA11185
7 8 9	46	118	Records request to Valley Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11186-JA11199
10 11	46	119	Records request to Desert Springs Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11200-JA11213
12 13 14	46	120	Records request to Reno Police Department, Records and ID Section dated May 16, 2008		JA11214-JA11221
15	47	121	Records request to Washoe County Sheriff's Office dated May 16, 2008		JA11222-JA11229
16 17	47	122	Records request to Sparks Police Department dated May 16, 2008		JA11230-JA11237
18	47	123	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Beaudoin		JA11238-JA11239
19 20	47	124	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Thomas Christos		JA11240-JA11241
21 22	47	125	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Thomas Edward		JA11242-JA11244
23 24	47	126	Sims Response to records request to Justice Court re: request and clerk's		JA11245-JA11248
25		127	notes Omitted.		
26					
27		<u> </u>			

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	128	Subpoena to Clark County District Attorney, Criminal Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11249-JA11257
7	47	129	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attoreny		JA11258-JA11267
8 9	47	130	Subpoena to Central Medicaid Office, New York, New York		JA11268-JA11272
10	47	131	Subpoena to Claude I. Howard Children's Center		JA11273-JA11277
11 12	47	132	Subpoena to City of New York, Department of Social Services		JA11278-JA11282
13	47	133	Subpoena to Desert Springs Hospital		JA11283-JA11288
14	47	134	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Fingerprint Bureau		JA11289-JA11295
15 16 17	47	135	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Bureau		JA11296-JA11301
18	47	136	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Confidential Informant Section		JA11302-JA11308
19 20	47	137	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminalistics Bureau		JA11309-JA11316
21 22	47	138	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Evidence Vault		JA11317-JA11323
23 24	47	139	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminal Intelligence Section		JA11324-JA11330
25 26	47	140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Narcotics Sections I, II, and III		JA11331-JA11337
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	47	141	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Crimes Bureau		JA11338-JA11344
4 5	47	142	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Records Bureau		JA11345-JA11352
6	47	143	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Robbery / Homicide Bureau		JA11353-JA11360
7 8 9 10	47	144	Subpoena to Nevada Parole and Probation (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		JA11361-JA11368
11 12	47	145	Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo) Proposed Order to the Nevada		JA11369-JA11373
13	47	146	Department of Parole and Probation Subposes to Las Vagas Matropolitan		JA11374-JA11379
14 15		140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Gang Crimes Bureau		JA113/4-JA113/9
16	47	147	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department SWAT Division		JA11380-JA11385
17 18	47	148	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Vice Section		JA11386-JA11392
19	47	149	Subpoena to Clark County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11393-JA11399
20 21			Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
22	47	150	Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo) Subpoena to Henderson Police		JA11400-JA11406
2324			Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas		
25			Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
2627					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	47	151	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services		JA11407-JA11411
5 6 7	47	152	Subpoena to Reno Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt		JA11412-JA11418
8 9	47	153	and Michael Rippo) Subpoena to Sparks Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin,		JA11419-JA11427
10 11			James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
12 13	47	154	Subpoena to University Medical Center		JA11428-JA11432
14	47	155	Subpoena to Valley Hospital		JA11433-JA11438
15 16 17 18	47	156	Subpoena to Washoe County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11439-JA11445
19	47	157	Subpoena to Washoe County		JA11446-JA11453
20			Sheriff's Office, Records and ID Section (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		
21			Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		
22			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
23 24					
25					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	158	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Forensic Science Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11454-JA11460
7	47	159	Deposition Subpoena to Dominic Campanelli		JA11461-JA11463
8	47	160	Deposition Subpoena to Melody Anzini		JA11464-JA11466
10	47	161	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11467-JA11471
12	48	162	Subpoena to Nancy Becker		JA11472-JA11476
13	48	163	Subpoena to Clark County Human Resources Department (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11477-JA11481
14 15	48	164	Subpoena to Nassau County Department of Social Services		JA11482-JA11486
16 17	48	165	Subpoena to the Clark County School District		JA11487-JA11490
18	48	166	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11491-JA11495
19 20	48	167	Subpoena to the Office of the United States Attorney (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11496-JA11499
21 22	48	168	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11500-JA11505
23 24 25	48	169	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11506-JA11508
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	48	170	Subpoena to the Office of Legal Services, Executive Offices for United States Attorneys FOIA (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11509-JA11513
5	48	171	Subpoena to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (re Bongiovanni)		JA11514-JA11518
6 7 8 9	48	172	Subpoena to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Criminal Intelligence Section, Homeland Security Bureau, Special Operations Division (re Bongiovanni)		JA11519-JA11522
10	48	173	Subpoena to Leo P. Flangas, Esq. (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11523-JA11526
11 12	48	174	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Investigation		JA11527-JA11530
13	48	175	Subpoena to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		JA11531-JA11534
14 15	48	176	Subpoena to Robert Archie (re: Simms)		JA11535-JA11538
16	48	177	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Corrections (re: lethal injection)		JA11539-JA11545
17 18	48	178	Deposition subpoena to Howard Skolnik, NDOC		JA11546-JA11548
19	48	179	Deposition subpoena to Robert Bruce Bannister, D.O., NDOC		JA11549-JA11551
20 21	48	180	Deposition subpoena to Warden Bill Donat		JA11552-JA11554
22	48 1	181	Deposition subpoena to Stacy Giomi, Chief, Carson City Fire Department		JA11555-JA11 557
23 24	37		sition to Motion to Dismiss Petition rit of Habeas Corpus (Post- ction)	05/21/08	JA08758-JA08866
25	37	Exhib	its to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	05/21/08	JA08867-JA08869
26					
27		<u> </u>		l	

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2	37	329.	Leonard v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08870-JA08884
3 4			C126285, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 11, 2008.		
5	37	330.	Lopez v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA08885-JA08890
6			District Court, Case No. C068946, State's Motion to Dismiss Petition		
7			for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed February 15, 2008.		
8	38	331.	Sherman v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08991-JA09002
9			C126969, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed June 25,		
10			2007.		
11	38	332.	Witter v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09003-JA09013
12			District Court, Case No. C117513, Reply to Opposition to Motion to		
13	20	222	Dismiss, filed July 5, 2007.		14 00014 14 00020
14	38	333.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C159897,		JA09014-JA09020
15			Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
16			Habeas Corpus, filed December 28, 2007.		
17	38	334.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09021-JA09027
18			District Court, Case No. C159897, State's Opposition to Defendant's		
19			Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion to		
20	2.0		Dismiss, filed August 18, 2007.		T. 00000 T. 00000
21	38	335.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784,		JA09028-JA09073
22			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
23			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed February 10, 2004.		
24	38	336.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA09074-JA09185
25			Court, Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief.		
26					
27					

1	V	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	38		337.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980, Indictment, filed December 16, 1994.		JA09186-JA09200
4	38 39		338.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980,		JA09201-JA09240 JA09241-JA09280
5 6				Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Thursday, December 15, 1994.		31107211 31107200
7 8	39		339.	Declaration of Stacie Campanelli dated April 29, 2008.		JA09281-JA0289
9	39		340.	Declaration of Domiano Campanelli, February 2008, Mastic Beach, N.Y.		JA09290-JA09300
10 11	39		341.	Declaration of Sari Heslin dated February 25, 2008.		JA09301-JA09305
12	39	,	342.	Declaration of Melody Anzini dated February 26, 2008.		JA09306-JA09311
13	39		343.	Declaration of Catherine Campanelli dated February 29, 2008.		JA09312-JA09317
14 15	39	,	344.	Declaration of Jessica Parket-Asaro dated March 9, 2008.		JA09318-JA09323
16 17	39		345.	Declaration of Mark Beeson dated March 26, 2008.		JA09324-JA09328
18	39		346.	State's Trial Exhibit 1: Laurie Jacobson photograph		JA09329-JA09330
19	39	,	347.	State's Trial Exhibit 2: Denise Lizzi photograph		JA09331-JA09332
20 21	39		348.	State's Trial Exhibit 99: Michael Rippo		JA09333-JA09334
22	39		349.	State's Trial Exhibit 31: Autopsy photo Denise Lizzi		JA09335-JA09336
2324	39		350.	State's Trial Exhibit 53: Autopsy photo Laurie Jacobson		JA09337-JA09338
25	39		351.	State's Trial Exhibit 125: Laurie		JA09339-JA09360
26				Jacobson victim-impact scrapbook photographs		
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	39	352.	State's Trial Exhibit 127: Denise Lizzi victim-impact scrapbook photographs		JA09361-JA09374
4	39	353.	Declaration of Jay Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09375-JA09377
5 6	39	354.	Declaration of Robert Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09378-JA09381
7	39	355.	Juvenile Records of Stacie Campanelli		JA09382-JA09444
8 9	39	356	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C136066, State v.		JA09445-JA09450
10			Sims, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		
11	39 40	357	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Sims		JA09451-JA09490 JA09491-JA09520
13	40 41	358	Justice Court Printout for Michael Beaudoin		JA09521-JA09740 JA09741-JA09815
14 15	41	359	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C102962, <u>State v.</u> <u>Beaudoin</u> , Case Activity, Calendar,		JA09816-JA09829
16	41	360	Minutes Blackstone District Court Case		JA09830-JA09838
17 18			Inquiry: Case No. C95279, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		31107630-31107636
19	41	361	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C130797, State v.		JA09839-JA09847
20 21			Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		
22	41	362	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C134430, State v.		JA09848-JA09852
23			Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		
2425	41	363	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Christos		JA09952-JA09907
26	41	364	Justice Court Printout for James Ison		JA09908-JA09930
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	41	365	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Order dated September 22, 1993		JA09931-JA09933
4 5	41	366	Declaration of Michael Beaudoin dated May 18, 2008		JA09934-JA09935
6	41	367	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Amended Indictment, dated January 3, 1996		JA09936-JA09941
8 9	41	368	State's Trial Exhibits 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62		JA09942-JA09965
10	41	369	State's Trial Exhibit 54		JA09966-JA09967
11 12	41	370	Letter from Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections, to Robert Crowley dated August 29 1997		JA09968-JA09969
13 14 15	41	371	Letter from Jennifer Schlotterbeck to Ted D'Amico, M.D., Nevada Department of Corrections dated March 24, 2004		JA09970-JA09971
16 17	41	372	Letter from Michael Pescetta to Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections dated September 23, 2004		JA09972-JA09977
18 19	41	373	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Warrant of Execution dated May 17, 1996		JA09978-JA09981
2021	41	374	Declaration of William Burkett dated May 12, 2008		JA09982-JA09984
22	41	375	Handwritten Notes of William Hehn		JA09985-JA09986
23	48	Objec	tion to Proposed Order	11/21/08	JA11612-JA11647
24	48	Орро	sition to Motion for Discovery	06/09/08	JA11558-JA11563
25	2	Order		11/12/92	JA00264-JA00265
26	2	Order		11/18/92	JA00266-JA00267
27	2	Order		09/22/93	JA00320-JA00321

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	3	Order	04/22/94	JA00619-JA00320
3	15	Order	03/08/96	JA03412
4	41	Order Appointing Counsel	02/13/08	JA09987-JA09988
5	5B	Order Sealing Affidavit	09/30/93	JA 1401-180 to JA 1401-185
6 7	2	Order to Produce Handwriting / Handprinting Exemplar	09/14/92	JA00252-JA00253
8	17	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Appointment of Counsel	12/04/98	JA04040-JA04047
9	19 20	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	01/15/08	JA04415-JA04570 JA04571-JA04609
11	20	Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	01/15/08	JA04610-JA04619
12 13	20	101. Bennett v. State, No. 38934 Respondent's Answering Brief (November 26, 2002)		JA04620-JA04647
141516	20	102. State v. Colwell, No. C123476, Findings, Determinations and Imposition of Sentence (August 10, 1995)		JA04648-JA04650
17	20	103. <u>Doleman v. State</u> , No. 33424 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 17, 2000)		JA04651-JA04653
18 19	20	104. Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of Prisons, No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 31, 1988)		JA04654-JA04660
2021	20	105. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562, Order Dismissing Appeal (February 20, 1992)		JA04661-JA04663
22 23	20	106. Farmer v. State, No. 29120, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		JA04664-JA04670
242526	20	107. <u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789, Order Affirming in Part and Vacating in Part (November 14, 2002)		JA04671-JA04679
27	20	108. Hankins v. State, No. 20780, Order		JA04680-JA04683

1	Vol		Title	Date	Page
2	20		of Remand (April 24, 1990)		IA 04684 IA 04680
3	20	109.	Hardison v. State, No. 24195, Order		JA04684-JA04689
4	20	1.10	of Remand (May 24, 1994)		JA04690-JA04692
5		110.	Hill v. State, No. 18253, Order Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1987)		T. 04/02 T. 04/04
6	20	111.	Jones v. State, No. 24497 Order		JA04693-JA04696
7			Dismissing Appeal (August 28, 1996)		
8	20	112.	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No.		JA04697-JA04712
9			39091, Order of Affirmance (December 19, 2002)		
10	20	113.	Milligan v. State, No. 21504 Order		JA04713-JA04715
11	20		Dismissing Appeal (June 17, 1991)		JA04716-JA04735
12		114.	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845, Order of Affirmance (July 24, 2002)		
13	20	115.	Moran v. State, No. 28188, Order		JA04736-JA04753
14	20		Dismissing Appeal (March 21, 1996)		JA04754-JA04764
15		116.	Neuschafer v. Warden, No. 18371, Order Dismissing Appeal (August		
16	20		19, 1987)		JA04765-JA04769
17		117.	Nevius v. Sumner (Nevius I), Nos. 17059, 17060, Order Dismissing		
18			Appeal and Denying Petition (February 19, 1986)		
19	20	118.	Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II), Nos.		JA04770-JA04783
20			29027, 29028, Order Dismissing Appeal and Denying Petition for		
21			Writ of Habeas Corpus (October 9, 1996)		
22	20	119.	Nevius v. Warden (Nevius III), Nos.		JA04784-JA04788
23			29027, 29028, Order Denying Rehearing (July 17, 1998)		
24	20				JA04789-JA04796
25		120.	Nevius v. McDaniel, D. Nev. No. CV-N-96-785-HDM-(RAM),		
26			Response to Nevius' Supplemental Memo at 3 (October 18, 1999)		
27					

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	20	101 001 111 0		JA04797-JA04803
3		121. O'Neill v. State, No. 39143, Order of Reversal and Remand (December 18, 2002)		
4	20	122. Rider v. State, No. 20925, Order		JA04804-JA04807
5	20	(April 30, 1990)		JA04808-JA04812
6		123. <u>Riley v. State</u> , No. 33750, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 19,		3110 1000 3110 1012
7	20	1999)		14.04912 14.04917
8	20	124. Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858, Order		JA04813-JA04817
9		Dismissing Appeal (May 28, 1993), Amended Order Dismissing Appeal		
10	21	(June 4, 1993)		JA04818-JA04825
11		125. Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137, Order of Affirmance (May 13, 2002)		
12	21	126. Sechrest v. State, No 29170, Order		JA04826-JA04830
13		Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		
14	21	127. Smith v. State, No. 20959, Order of		JA04831-JA04834
15	21	Remand (September 14, 1990)		JA04835-JA04842
16		128. Stevens v. State, No. 24138, Order of Remand (July 8, 1994)		
17	21	129. Wade v. State, No. 37467, Order of		JA04843-JA04848
18	21	Affirmance (October 11, 2001)		JA04849-JA04852
19		130. Williams v. State, No. 20732, Order Dismissing Appeal (July 18, 1990)		31104047-31104032
20	21	131. Williams v. Warden, No. 29084,		JA04853-JA04857
21		Order Dismissing Appeal (August 29, 1997)		
22	21	,		JA04858-JA04861
23		132. Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Prison, No. 19705, Order		
24	21	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1989)		JA04862-JA04873
25		133. <u>Ybarra v. Warden</u> , No. 43981, Order Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part,		
		and Remanding (November 28, 2005)		
26				
27		L		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	21	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order Denying Rehearing (February 2, 2006)		JA04874-JA04879
4	21	135.	Rippo v. State; Bejarano v. State,		JA04880-JA04883
5			No. 44094, No. 44297, Order Directing Oral Argument (March 16, 2006)		
6	21	 136.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784,		JA04884-JA04931
7			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
8			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), February 10, 2004		
9	0.1	127	•		14.04022 14.04025
10	21	137.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of		JA04932-JA04935
11			Law and Order, December 1, 2004		
12	21	138.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, May 19, 2005		JA04936-JA04986
13	21	 139.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No.		JA04987-JA05048
14 15			44094, Respondent's Answering Brief, June 17, 2005		
16	22	140.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief, September 28, 2005		JA05049-JA05079
17	22	141	•		JA05080-JA05100
18	22	141.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental Brief As Ordered By This Court,		JA03080-JA03100
19			December 12, 2005		
20					
21	22	201.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Opinion filed		JA05101-JA05123
22			October 1, 1997		
23	22	202.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Affirmance filed November 16, 2006		JA05124-JA05143
24	22	203.	Confidential Execution Manual,		JA05144-JA05186
25		203.	Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison		JA05144-JA05160
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	22	204.	Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner, United States Supreme Court Case No. 03-6821, David Larry Nelson v. Donal Campbell and Grantt Culliver, October Term, 2003		JA05187-JA05211
5	22	205.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A.		JA05212-JA05214
6			Zimmers, David A. Lubarsky, and Jonathan P. Sheldon, <u>Inadequate</u> Anaesthesia in Lethal Injection for		
7			Execution, Vol. 365, April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com		
8 9	22	206.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath,		JA05215-JA05298
10	23		M.D., dated May 16, 2006, including attached exhibits		JA05299-JA05340
11	23	207.	"Lethal Injection: Chemical Asphyxiation?" Teresa A. Zimmers,		JA05341-JA05348
12			Jonathan Sheldon, David A. Lubarsky, Francisco Lopez-Munoz,		
13			Linda Waterman, Richard Weisman, Leonida G. Kniaris, Plos Medicine,		
14		200	April 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 4		TA 05340 TA 05453
15 16	23	208.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief		JA05349-JA05452
17 18	23	209.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Reply Brief		JA05453-JA05488
18	23	210.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05489-JA05538
20			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, filed May 19, 2005		
21	24	211.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05539-JA05568
22			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief, filed September 28,		
23			2005		
24	24	212.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05569-JA05588
25			Supplemental Brief as Ordered by this Court filed December 22, 2005		
26					
27					
28			29		

1	Vol.	Τ	Title	Date	Page
2 3	24	213.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Order Directing Oral Argument filed		JA05589-JA05591
4			March 16, 2006		
5	24	214.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Transcript of Oral Argument on June 13, 2006		JA05592-JA05627
6 7 8	24	215.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Petition for Rehearing filed December 11, 2006		JA05628-JA05635
9	24	216.	Supplemental Points and Authorities		JA05636-JA05737
10 11			in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and attached exhibits filed August 8, 2002		
12	24	217			JA05738
13	24	217.	Letter dated August 20, 2004 from Rippo to Judge Mosley		JA03/38
14	24	218.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, filed March 24, 1994		JA05739-JA05741
15 16	24	219.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Jury Instructions, filed March 6, 1996		JA05742-JA05782
17 18	25	220.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Notice of Alibi, filed September 2, 1993		JA05783-JA05785
19 20	25	221.	Affidavit of Alice May Starr dated January 26, 1994		JA05786-JA05791
21 22	25	222.	Letter dated October 12, 1993 from Starr to President Clinton		JA05792-JA05795
23	25	223.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Order Sealing Affidavit (and exhibits), dated September 30, 1993		JA05796-JA05801
2425	25	224.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Report dated		JA05802-JA05803
26			September 30, 1993		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	25	225.	Letter dated November ??, 1993 from Starr to Rex Bell, District Attorney		JA05804-JA05807
4 5	25	226.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C57388, Draft Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea		JA05808-JA05812
6	25	227.	Justice Court Record, Thomas Edward Sims		JA05813-JA05881
7 8 9	25 26 27	228.	Justice Court Record, Michael Angelo Beaudoin		JA05882-JA06032 JA06033-JA06282 JA06283-JA06334
10 11	27	229.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Voluntary Statement of Michael Angelo Beaudoin dated March 1, 1992		JA06335-JA06349
12 13	27	230.	Justice Court Record, Michael Thomas Christos		JA06350-JA06403
14	27	231.	Justice Court Record, David Jeffrey Levine		JA06404-JA06417
15 16	27	232.	Justice Court Record, James Robert Ison		JA06418-JA06427
17	27	233.	MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) Scoring for Diana Hunt dated September 2, 1992		JA06428-JA06434
18 19	27	234.	Handwritten Declaration of James Ison dated November 30, 2007		JA06435-JA06436
20 21	27	235.	Handwritten Declaration of David Levine dated November 20, 2007		JA06437-JA06438
22 23	27	236.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed August		JA06439-JA06483
242526	27	237.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Motion to Dismiss for Outrageous Government Misconduct, filed September 13, 1996		JA06484-JA06511
27		1			<u> </u>

1	7	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	2	28	238.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2, December 3, 1997		JA06512-JA06689
4 5		28 29	239.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, December 4, 1997		JA06690-JA06761 JA06762-JA06933
6 7		29 80	240.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4, December 8, 1997		JA06734-JA07011 JA07012-JA07133
8 9		30 31	241.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6, December 10, 1997		JA07134-JA07261 JA07262-JA06332
10 11	3	31	242.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, December 15, 1997		JA07333-JA07382
12 13		31 32	243.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9, December 16, 1997		JA07383-JA07511 JA07512-JA07525
14 15	3	32	244.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Respondent's Answering Brief, filed February 14, 1997		JA07526-JA07641
161718	3	32	245.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed December 2, 1997		JA07642-JA07709
19 20	3	32	246.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 124980, Criminal Court Minutes		JA07710-JA07713
212223	3	32	247.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Motion for New Trial, filed April 29, 1996		JA07714-JA07719
24 25 26	3	32	248.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Superseding Criminal Indictment, filed May 6, 1997		JA07720-JA07751
26						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	249.	In the Matter of the Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing the Interception of Wire Communications dated October 11, 1995		JA07752-JA07756
5 6	33	250.	Clark County School District Records for Michael D. Rippo		JA07757-JA07762
7	33	251.	Neuropsychological Assessment, Thomas F. Kinsora, Ph.D., dated February 1, 1996		JA07763-JA07772
8 9 10	33	252.	Addendum to Neurological Assessment Report, Thomas F. Kinsors, Ph.D., dated March 12, 1996		JA07773-JA07775
11 12	33	253.	Pre-Sentence Report, State v. Rippo, Case No. 97388, dated April 23, 1982		JA07776-JA07782
13 14	33	254.	Psychiatric Evaluation, Norton A. Roitman, M.D., dated February 17, 1996		JA07783-JA07789
15 16	33	255.	SCOPE printout for Carole Ann Rippo		JA07790
17	33	256.	Progress Reports dated October 15, 1981		JA07791-JA07792
18 19	33	257.	Supplemental Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA07793-JA07801
20 21	33	258.	County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981 Order, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 9, 1981		JA07802-JA07803
2223	33	259.	Terms of Probation, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 1, 1981		JA07804-JA07805
24 25	33	260.	Transcript of Proceedings, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 14, 1981		JA07806-JA07811
26					
27					

1	V	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	3:	3	261.	Petition No. 1, Recommendation for Adjudication and Order of Approval, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 19, 1981		JA07812
5 6	3:	3	262.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 8, 1981		JA07813
7 8	3.	3	263.	Certification, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed October 19, 1981		JA07814
9 10	3:	3	264.	Probation Officer's Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981		JA07815-JA07823
11 12	3:	3	265.	Baseline Psychiatric Evaluation, Southern Desert Correctional Center, by Franklin D. Master, M.D., dated April 9, 1982		JA07824
13 14 15	3:	3	266.	Confidential Psychological Evaluation by Eric S. Smith, Ph.D., Timothy L, Boyles, M.A., James F. Triggs, Ed.D., dated February 11, 1982		JA07825-JA07827
16 17	3	3	267.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07828-JA07829
18 19 20	3:	3	268.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07830-JA07831
21 22	3.	3	269.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07832-JA07833
23 24	3:	3	270.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07834-JA07835
25 26	3:	3	271.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	272.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
4 5	33	273.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Arrest Report dated January 27, 1982		JA07838
6 7	33	274.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 29, 1982		JA07839-JA07840
8 9 10	33	275.	Certification Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 23, 1982		JA07841-JA07853
11 12	33	276.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 2, 1982		JA07854
13 14	33	277.	Judgment of Conviction, Case No. C57388, State v. Rippo, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 28, 1982		JA07855
15	33	278.	Psychological Report: Corrections Master, dated June 2, 1982		JA07856-JA07859
16 17	33	279.	Test of Educational Development dated March 9, 1983		JA07860-JA07862
18	33	280.	Psychological Evaluation dated December 2, 1983		JA07863
19 20	33	281.	Parole Progress Report, March 1985 Agenda		JA07864-JA07865
21	33	282.	Institutional Progress Report, March 1987 Agenda		JA07866-JA07868
22 23	33	283.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated January 29, 1987		JA07869
24	33	284.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated August 12, 1988		JA07870
2526	33	285.	Parole Progress Report, September 1988 Agenda		JA07871-JA07872
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	286.	Psychological Evaluation dated August 23, 1989		JA07873
4	33	287.	Parole Progress Report, September 1989 Agenda		JA07874-JA07875
5	33	288.	Parole Officers' Notes beginning December 4, 1989		JA07876-JA07884
6 7	33	289.	Institutional Progress Report dated May 1993		JA07885-JA07886
8	33	290.	Health Services, Psychology Referral Form dated April 28, 1993		JA07887
9 10	33	291.	Handwritten notes dated February 17, 1994		JA07888
11	33	292.	Handwritten notes dated March 9, 1994		JA07889
12 13	33	293.	Handwritten exam notes (Roitman) dated January 13, 1996		JA07890-JA07894
14	33	294.	Psychological Panel Results Notification dated January 10, 1996		JA07895
15 16	33	295.	Norton A. Roitman, Addendum, dated March 11, 1996		JA07896-JA07897
17	33	296.	Bongiovanni Off the Bench, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07898-JA07899
18 19	33	297.	Fraud probe led to judge, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07900
20	33	298.	Charge opens judge's race, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07901-JA07902
2122	33	299.	Judge Bongiovanni Indicted, <i>Las</i> Vegas Sun, April 18, 1986		JA07903
23	33	300.	Judge's actions examined, Las Vegas Review-Journal, April 19, 1996		JA07904-JA07906
2425	33	301.	Mental Health Progress Notes dated June 20, 1993		JA07907
26 27	33	302.	Affidavit of David M. Schieck dated March 16, 1998		JA07908

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	303.	Declaration of Carole A. Duncan dated January 19, 2000		JA07909-JA07910
4	33	304.	Union Free School #24, Pupil History Record, Michael Campanelli		JA07911-JA07912
5 6	33 34	305.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7, October 27, 1998		JA07913-JA08006 JA08007-JA08039
7 8	34	306.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, October 28, 1998		JA08040-JA08155
9 .0 .1	34	307.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Emergency Motion to Disqualify John Fadgen, Esq. From Representing Defendant Bongiovanni at Trial, July 24, 1997		JA08156-JA08225
.2		308.	OMITTED		
.3	34	309.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-		JA08226-JA08246
.4			96-98-LDG(RJJ), Notice of Tape Recordings Intended for Use in Government's Case in Chief, filed August 2, 1996		
.6	35	310.	Letter from Donald J. Green requesting additional discovery dated July 9, 1996		JA08247-JA08253
.8	35	311.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5, December 9, 1997		JA08254-JA08399
0	35	312.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA08400-JA08405
1			District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Answer		
2			in Opposition to Motion for New Trial, filed May 1, 1996		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8			37		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	35	313.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08406-JA08413
4			Nevada, Case No. 106784, Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances		
5			Numbered I and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
6			Circumstance Number 4, filed August 20, 1993		
7	35	314.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08414-JA08417
8			Nevada, Case No. 106784, State's Response to Defendant's Motion to		
10			Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
11			Circumstance Number 4, filed February 11, 1994		
12	35	315.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08418-JA08419
13 14			Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
15	35	316.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08420-JA08421
16			Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
17	35 36	317.	Social History		JA08422-JA08496 JA08497-8538
18 19	36	318.	Parental Agreement, Case No.		JA08539
20			23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, dated April 29, 1981		
21	36	319.	Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., and		JA08540-JA08564
22			Thomas J. Reidy, Ph.D., <u>Integrating</u> Base Rate Data in Violence Risk Assessments at Capital Sentencing,		
23			16 Behavioral Sciences and the Law 71, 88-89 (1998)		
2425	36	320.	Letter from Michael Rippo to Steve Wolfson dated April 17, 1996		JA08565
26	36	321.	Report of Jonathan Mack, Ph.D.		JA08566-JA08596
27					

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
36	322. Trial Exhibit: Photograph of Michael Rippo		JA08597
36	323. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Application and Order for Fee in Excess of Statutory Amount for Investigator, filed December 3, 1996		JA08598-JA08605
36	324. Wiretap Transcript, Tommy Simms [sic], dated June 8, 1992		JA08606-JA08609
36	325. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Continued Initial Arraignment, heard March 25, 1982		JA08610-JA08619
36	326. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Further Proceedings and/or Continued Initial Arraignment heard March 30, 1982		JA08620-JA08626
36	327. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C106784, Instructions to the Jury, filed March 14, 1996		JA08627-JA08652
36	328. Declaration of Elisabeth B. Stanton, dated January 15, 2008		JA08653-JA08664
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	06/09/08	JA11564-JA11574
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	09/16/08	JA11575-JA11585
1	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/06/92	JA00242-JA00245
2	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/20/92	JA00246-JA00251
36	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel	02/11/08	JA08665-JA08668
2	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Proceedings; Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District	02/14/94	JA00378-JA00399

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	Attorney's Office		
19	Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/10/04	JA04347-JA0440
48	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing	09/22/08	JA11586-JA11602
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Attorney General's Motion to Quash and for Protective Order	09/20/93	JA00316-JA0031
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Motion to Continue Jury Trial	09/10/93	JA00304-JA0031:
3	Reporter's Transcript of Motions Hearing	03/09/94	JA00565-JA00569
18	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary [sic] Hearing	11/27/02	JA04202-JA04204
19	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Honorable Donald M. Mosely	08/20/04	JA04321-JA0434
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Argument and Decision	05/02/02	JA04048-JA0405
1	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Grand Jury	06/04/92	JA00001-JA0023
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1; 10:00 a.m.	01/30/96	JA00634-JA0064
3 4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 1:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00642-JA00723 JA00726
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. III; 3:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00727-JA0079
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:15 AM	01/31/96	JA00796-JA00888
4 5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	01/31/96	JA00889-JA00975 JA00976-JA01025
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I; 10:20 a.m.	02/01/96	JA01026-JA01219
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. VI; 10:20 a.m.	02/02/96	JA01220-JA0140
5B	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1, 1:30 p.m.	02/05/96	JA01401-001 to JA01401-179
5 6	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 2:30 p.m.	02/02/96	JA01402-JA01469 JA01470-JA01506

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
7	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/06/96	JA01507-JA01688
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	02/06/96	JA01689-JA01766
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 1:45 PM	02/07/96	JA01767 JA01872
8 9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/08/96	JA01887-JA01938 JA01939-JA02054
9 10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:45 AM	02/26/96	JA02055-JA02188 JA02189-JA02232
10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00AM	02/27/96	JA02233-JA02404
11	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	02/28/96	JA02405-JA02602
12 13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:35 a.m.	02/29/96	JA02630-JA02879 JA02880-JA02885
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial 9:00 AM	03/01/96	JA02886-JA03064
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	03/04/96	JA03065-JA03120
14	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00 a.m.	03/05/96	JA03121-JA03357
16	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. 1 11:30 a.m.	03/13/96	JA03594-JA03808
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 9:30 AM	03/14/96	JA03841-JA04001
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	03/18/94	JA00575-JA00582
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	04/14/94	JA00591-JA00618
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Penalty Phase 10:00 a.m.	03/12/96	JA03413-JA03593
2 3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office	03/07/94	JA00403-485 JA00486-564

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings re: Oral Request of District Attorney	01/31/94	JA00322-JA00333
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Ruling on Defense Motion	03/11/94	JA00570-JA00574
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	05/17/96	JA04014-JA04036
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Verdict	03/06/96	JA03403-JA03411
2	Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to His Defense	02/07/94	JA00351-JA00357
36 37	State's Motion to Dismiss and Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/23/08	JA08673-JA08746 JA08747-JA08757
2	State's Motion to Expedite Trial Date or in the Alternative Transfer Case to Another Department	02/16/93	JA00268-JA00273
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery and State's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	10/27/92	JA00260-JA00263
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	02/07/94	JA00346-JA00350
18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	10/14/02	JA04154-JA04201
2	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	02/14/94	JA00367-JA00370
18	State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/06/04	JA04259-JA04315
2	State's Response to Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office and State's Motion to Quash Subpoenas	02/14/94	JA00358-JA00366
18	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	02/10/04	JA04206-JA04256

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
17 18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	08/08/02	JA04052-JA04090 JA04091-JA04153
15	Verdicts	03/06/96	JA03399-JA03402
16	Verdicts and Special Verdict	03/14/96	JA03835-JA03840

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

瓯

ļ i

Las Vegas, Nevada, February 5,-1996, 1:30 o'clock p.m.

: 21

. 22.

(The following proceedings were had in chambers, outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Let the record reflect all the attorneys are here. We're outside the presence of the Jury on the State of Nevada yersus Michael Rippo.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, basically, something we thought we ought to put on the record because of the part of the newspaper this weekend — and I'm under the impression that the State has at least been in touch with defense to find out whether or not they thought this case would be interrupted if there was a possible Indictment.

I'm also under the impression
the Jury was admonished not to read anything about Rippo.
They were not admonished not to read anything about the
Court. This weekend, they saw fit to run a lengthy article
about Your Honor.

And the inference is that because the State is -- obviously has to cooperate with the

feds in reviewing cases: of alleged bias, that there may be pressure placed on the Court to show favor to the State.

There is also the inference:

What happens if you are:indicted during this trial?

Is it going to be because the State thinks their case is going south and they need an

I mean, the questions are out there. They are just question marks. I think we need to but it on record.

The reason I say that is it was brought to my attention. There is a case called In Re McFall (sic), of a judge who was indicted and cooperated with the government; and as part of that — and, of course, I'm not privy to your lawsuit. I don't believe anybody in this raom is — but in that case, the judge wound up wearing a wire, setting up some other judges, and 29 cases were overturned because the judge was basically under pressure to cooperate with the government during the time that he was trying these cases.

And the cite for this case is 617 Atlantic 2nd, 707, PA 1992.

Now, like I say, I have no --no idea what's going on in your case other than the fact

the --

THE COURT: Neither do I.

MR. DUNEEAVY: -- they found it necessary to

smear you.

THE COURT: I know as much as you do, what the newspaper writes.

MR. DUNLEAVY: But there is a problem when the newspaper starts writing about it at length. And I just wanted to put on the record that I think there may be a potential conflict. If they try and put any pressure, it would be incumbent upon the Court to show how tough it can be and how it can be favorable to the State, and that's an issue that my client in a death penalty should have put on the record.

MR. SEATON: I need to respond just a little

bit.

20:

21

22

23

. 24

THE COURT: Okcy.

MR. SEATON: And I want the record to reflect that Mr. Dunleavy and Mr. Wolfson brought this to Mr. Harmon's and my attention at 10:30 or thereabouts; and it just so happened, as this conversation started, Stu Bell was standing there,

And the conversation came about as a result of Mr. Dunleavy and I speaking last week as to

- 14

. 17

· 24:

what might happen along these lines.

And I told Mr. Dunleavy that the feeling that I had, from the information that I had received -- and there was nothing more -- any more formal than talking to Chuck Thompson and Stu Bell: Do you know what's going on?

And they said not really, except they didn't think that — if anything was going to happen, it wasn't going to happen soon, and the trial would not be harmed in any way by it.

I think Mr. Dunleavy then spoke with Mr. Wolfson and they decided that this motion might be -- might be necessary.

Stu Bell said some interesting things to us, what he said in front of them, and some which he said to me just after. And I'll lead off by saying he said that if you want him to come down and talk to you, be put on the stand or whatever, he'd be happy to do that.

But I will report to you that he told me that when the search warrant occurred, that the feds called him and — Just as sort of a courtesy call — told him that that was going to be happening and said to him: Is there anything else you want to know?

Because they only told him that

1.5

one was being served or had been. I don't remember. It was probably had been. They didn't want him reading anything in the papers.

And he said absolutely not. I don't want to hear a word. I have to work with this Judge. I don't want to know any information whatsoever. And he said, to this date, he knows of no information at all.

And so, I think the major thing that I want to point out, it is two different governmental entities. The State of Nevada, in terms of the District Attorney's Office at least, and through Stu, I can speak for our office, we have nothing to do with any sort of — what we're reading about in the newspapers, because that's all I know, is the same thing that you read.

We don't have any agreements with them, any working arrangements with them. We don't have anything with them and don't anticipate having any.

And so, I can't see that the State of Nevada, in here before you now, would have any emphasis one way or the other on any rulings or decisions that you might make. In fact, we want to make it abundantly clear that there is nothing like that going on. And we just — we want to say what we believe is true anyway, which is, that you are not going to take sides.

1	П	b
2	H	ĸ
1	ы	,

2

3

4

5

7

8

9 .

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

'yesterday.

22

24

I mean, I don't -- I don't

think so.

MR. DUNLEAVY: And I would be the first to go on the record to say I don't see any indications of anybody taking sides, but in a death penalty, when I don't know if the State is cooperating with them or not, I don't know, you know, any of these things, I think it is incumbent upon us to put it on the record.

MR. SEATON: I can say as an officer of the Court, we are not —— and that is through Stu Bell, and if you want further words out of him, he will be happy to give them —— but we just don't think —— we don't think there is anything to this motion, and that perhaps you want to give your feelings to some extent.

THE COURT: Before I place myself in that position of taking sides, I would just get off the case.

MR. SEATON: Fair enough.

MR. DUNDEAVY: I just -- because of the nature of the case, I felt we had to put it on the record.

THE COURT: I feel no --

MR. DUNLEAVY: Especially after the paper

MR. SEATON: And the only other thing that

He need to put on the record, from our point of view, 18

. 10

that, excuse me, this is a motion which could have been put on the record some time ago. It is a very tardy motion. He've all known, from reading the papers, what's been going on to the extent that we know.

And --

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, he may not believe it, but yesterday was the first article I ever read about this. People have told me it's been in the paper. I haven't seen it; never bothered to look at it.

MR. WOLFSON: Hell, you know, Just to add to that a little, Mr. Seaton, my co-counsel, Mr. Dunleavy, told me the middle of last week that he had a conversation with you on this topic.

We had already begun and, in fact, probably reached the close of Jury selection at that point, and we went right into the beginning of the trial with opening statements, and the first few witnesses, and we needed to do a little legal research.

So we didn't become aware of --or at least I didn't become aware of any potential contact
between the State of Nevada and the federal authorities
until last Thursday or so. And a day later, we did our
research and that's why we're bringing it now.

MR. DUNLEAVY: In the case I cited,

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22.

23

24

basically the federal Public Defender's Office hearing about this case just brought me the case and said you pught to know about this and I read it this weekend.

MR. SEATON: Well, I can assure you that neither I nor Mr. Harmon have had any contact with the feds; and I learned from my boss this morning, Stu Bell, that he, nor any other person in our office, to his knowledge, has had contact.

So I --

THE COURT: Well, would there be any difference if Metro ever conducted an investigation? I don't know. I heard a rumor to that effect, but I don't know if it's true.

MR. WOLFSON: It's very common for Metro's intelligence --

THE COURT: I think I read something in the paper to that effect in one of those articles.

MR. WOLFSON: It's very common for Metro's intelligence units to work with federal law enforcement agencies in a joint effort. I don't know whether they are doing that in this case or not.

THE COURT: I don't know either.

MR. SEATON: I have no idea.

MR. WOLFSON: It's not been uncommon.

	THE COURT. House Charletone
	THE COURT: Merry Christmas.
2	MR. WOLFSON: May I have the Court's
3	indulgence for a moment?
4	MR. HARMON: Hoppy New Year.
5	
6	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
7	
8	MR. SEATON: Now, if we say that in a
9	murder trial, we get reversed
10	MR. HARMON: That's why I say Merry
11	Christmas, Mr. Rippo.
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, the bottom line, I
13	guess we would just say it's up to the Court whether you
14	feel the situation is such that you should recuse.
15	And in all seriousness, this
16	case was delivered to me because the P.D. heard we were in
17	this case and said we aught to know about it. And I felt in
18	g death penalty, it's incumbent on me to put it in the
19	record.
20	THE COURT: I could assure you I have no
21	bios toward each to either side.
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: I don't have anything
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

24

further.

assure you I have no MR. DUNLEAVY: I don't have anything THE COURT: The way I feel, that other thing

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

have to do ---

17

18

19

20

21 22

. 23

24

is Just going to go away.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, that article didn't seem like anything -- well, it's a slow newsday, so let's hammer somebody.

THE COURT: But you never know.

MR. WOLFSON: I think the timeliness of some of these news articles, in particular the one yesterday morning, is what prompted us, and made us conclude that we needed to put something on the record this morning.

THE COURT: Well, probably every morning, you will have to do it. It seems like the Sun is the one that puts them in the Sunday paper.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, could we Just have a continuing motion then?

MR. SEATON: Well, the only other thing we

THE COURT: Now, I have this Juror, Mr.

His employer has called my office --Cunningham.

MR. SEATON: Is that the butcher?

MR. POTTER: No. 1t's Juror --

MR. WOLFSON: The baker.

THE COURT: No. His employer is very, very mod that he is on this jury and has indicated -- I think he even said in court, his job might be on the line if it's the

same person. 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

. 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20. 21;

. 22

23 24

And I told him that --

MR. DUNLEAVY: It's Number 10.

THE COURT: I told him, under the law, his employer can't fire him for service on the Jury; but now his employer, I guess, colled this morning. I wouldn't talk to him. I just said he had all last week to come forward with reasons why he shouldn't be on the jury and he failed to do so. Now he's been picked as a juror and it's too late. I'm going to keep him on the Jury.

MR. WOLFSON: I think that will --

THE COURT: But I think there is a lot of animosity on the part of the employer. My secretary indicated he's going to write me a masty letter.

So --

MR. WOLFSON: I think that Mr. Cunninghom should be asked about it himself in camera, and that would be my request.

MR, DUNLEAVY: Whether it would affect

h1s ---

line.

MR. HOLFSON: Right, if he knows what his employer is doing, if he knows whether his Job is on the

MR. POTTER: He knows, because he handed a

. 13

. 21.

Q Okay. He called here this morning and talked to my secretary. I don't know --

What's the problem? He doesn't want you on the Jury? Is your job so indispensable to your employment that nobody else can do it or what?

A No, he's trained in it.

I'm just an office administrator. And his only explanation to me is that he doesn't want to have to pay for a temporary and pay me salary to be on a jury for the time I'm going to be on this jury, and that's basically it.

Q He was very irate, my secretary said.

Is your job on the line? Did he indicate -- make any indication to you of that fact?

A No, he hasn't threatened my job or anything, but he's just been calling me constantly and -- and --

P Did we have a conversation like this during selection? I know I had that conversation with somebody.

A . No, it wasn't with me, no.

But, you know, I -- I had -- my previous boss, Jack Charles, was informed of the Jury duty; and I asked him if I should ask for a delay or, you know -- and he said no, to go through with Jury duty, to do what I had to do, so that I can get it over with.

this.

l •

. 12

- 18

 Q Uh-huh.

A And he -- and he had no problem with any of

And then they -- suddenly, he was out and a new boss was brought in, and it's the new boss that has this big problem.

Q You realize under the law of our state, you can't -- they can't fire you or terminate you for serving on Jury,

A Right. And I understand it and it's great.

I just ---

a By the same taken, if it is going to be a pressure on you that you cannot fully concentrate on the trial, listening to the testimony, that might affect your ability to serve as a juror.

A It wouldn't affect me at all if -- if -- if my employer would just stop badgering me, calling me all the time, and -- and telling me that, at any cost, I have to get someone to contact him or he's going to contact someone because of my need to be at the office, if he would just stop bothering me on that level, I wan't have any problems whatsoever, because I don't mind --

Q And if he continues calling --- what does he do, call you at home at night?

Бı

16:

A Yeah. He calls me in the marning and -like this morning, he called me up at eight o'clock this
morning and --

Q What did he tell you?

A He told me this morning, what I found out, 'cause he --- he informed me to speak to you on Friday, saying it was an undue --

Q Burden?

A -- burden on my position for me to go on to be on this jury.

And I did speak to the bailiff and we got that straightened out. I did call Friday afternoon to inform my boss of that.

He Masn't there. My old boss was there. I informed him of that. My old boss said there is no problem and to continue on.

And then, I got my call this morning from the new boss, stating that he wanted a phone number to who he could call 'cause this is an undue stress upon my position.

And I -- and personally, I know that's not to be the fact. I know they can get someone to cover that position. He simply does not want to spend the money.

i÷	•
1	u
-	

- 1.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6. 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- ___
- · 11

13

- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 23

11

24

Q Is it a small company ar --

A No. it's huge. It's the third largest uniform company in the United States.

Q So the extra money that's being spent for a temporary would have no effect on you --

A None, Just his little location. But in the scheme of the entire company, it would do nothing. It wouldn't even be a blink of an eye.

- Q Do you want to serve on this jury?
- A Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Anybody have any questions?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Do you feel that if you continue to serve, sir, that knowing your direct boss' feelings would have an affect on you in this case?

A No. As long as I know that my boss has been aware or has been advised of the law, that I cannot be terminated because I'm on a jury, then I'm fine.

THE COURT: You understand that Just because the law says you cannot be, it doesn't mean that it can't happen

JUROR CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, I understand that.

	'
1	THE COURT: Then you might have to get an
2	attorney and sue your company.
3	JUROR CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, I understand that,
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. WOLFSON: I think, on behalf of Mr.
6	Rippo, we?re comfortable with this man continuing to serve.
7	THE COURT: Is the State comfortable?
8	MR. HARMON: Yes, yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. You will remain on.
10	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.
11	THE COURT: All right. What we're going to
12	do is break for lunch. Come back at 1:30 and we'll get
13	started.
14	THE BAILIFF: All right, Judge.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	JURGE CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.
17	(Whereupon, the Juror
18	маs excused.)
19	MR. WOLFSON: May we go off the record?
20	THE COURT: Off the record.
21	
22	(Discussion had off the record.)
23	
24	MR. DUNLEAVY: Back on the record.

. 20

21⁻

I had objected to their references that we could not bring in some exculpatory statements of my client; and they were objecting under the hearsay issue.

And I agreed that unless they open the door, by trying to bring in subsequent statements or something, we can't. If they open the door, then we will come prancing in. And I think they agree to that.

The law is there. If they try and bring in other statements that are inconsistent, then we can bring in previous, either consistent or inconsistent, statements.

MR. HARMON: We do agree with that, Judge.

We just want to make it clear
again that the evidence code, 51.035, declares that these
out-of-court hearsay, self-serving statements must be
offered against the defendant; and if that doesn't happen
and if the door doesn't swing open, then it's subject to the
hearsay exclusion.

MR. DUNLEAVY: And we agree to that.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DUNLEAVY: One other thing I believe we talked about is they have listed, what, five or six Jail house snitches.

. 50:

Well, technically, you can't call witnesses to say our client is in jail or in prison, but they're putting the defense in the position — one of the ways you cross-examine these people is the fact that they are cons looking for a decl.

So they're putting the defense in the position of having to say that our client is in Jail in order to defend our client.

MR. WOLFSON: Not only in Jail, but many of these conversations occurred in state prison.

MR. DUNLEAYY: In maximum security.

MR. WOLFSON: So it's not just our client being temporarily housed awaiting trial, but depending on how you examine these witnesses, we're afraid of it coming out that he was in state prison at the time of these statements.

MR. HARMON: Well, perhaps it necessarily will have to come out that there is a custodial setting. I don't think we can let in the conversations entirely out of context.

MR. DUNLEAVY: The --- particularly, I need to cross-examine them about the fact they are in prison looking for deals.

MR. HARMON: Well, that's the reason it has

to stay in a custodial context. We don't have to establish what facility.

And, surely, on a double murder

that it is related in any way to some other criminal history. We're going to relate right to this case.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Oh, I just want to make sure we put on the record, yeah, nobody is going to talk about he's in custody perhaps on this case.

THE COURT: I think they're worried about how they are going to cross-examine these people.

MR. WOLFSON: I think Mr. Dunleavy is concerned: --

THE COURT: The cross-examination would bring out that they're --

MR. DUNLEAVY: Even Tom Sims met Rippo in maximum on his previous case.

MR. HARMON: He's been admonished not to allude to that. We may ask him how long he's known him, but not where ar under what circumstances they met. We're not bringing that out.

THE COURT: Some of your questions on cross-examination may trigger that answer.

1	1	MR. DUNLEAVY: That's going to be a real
	2	problem.
•	3	THE COURT: That's what I can foresee.
	4	MR. HARMON: Well, we've both got to be
	5	careful.
	6	MR, SEATON: We do because we could do
	7	things that are too projudicial, and they then could do
•	8	things that would open the door for us to go in and inquire
	9	further to clear up the picture, so I think both sides
	10	THE COURT: Well, I think if they ask
	11	questions on cross, it brings this out, then I could see
:	12	problems with them, ineffective assistance of counsel later
:	13	down the line.
-	14	MR. SEATON: But I think as a starter, I
:	15	agree with Mel that we can talk about a custodial setting,
ī	16 :	but not pinpoint where it was and for what purpose.
	17	Do you gentlemen agree with
:	18	that?
: - 1	1.9	MR. WOLFSON: No, I agree, but I think our
2	20	point and I think the Judge is hitting on this, that if
•	21	Phil cross-examines Donald Hill, he must go in for
;	22	effective cross-examination into some of the bases to
	23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Where this conversation
. !	24	occurred.
	•	
		:

2 3 4

1

5

7

8

9

10

11,

12 13

14

15

16

in --

17 18

19

20.

21 22

. 23

24

MR. WOLFSON: Where Donald Hill was, what he was under sentence for at the time, and that may — we're being forced to bring out perhaps our client's custody status, in addition to just being in a custodial setting, but that he was in Ely State Prison or Indian Springs State Prison.

MR. DUNLEAVY: That is the context of how these conversations come about is important.

MR. HARMON: You are not being forced to do anything though. You do many things as a matter of trial strategy, if it's your choice.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Not to defend our client.

MR. HARMON: In order to effectively cross-examine, then you do that.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, the State can Just call

MR. HARMON: But the alternative is to preclude this type of evidence and that would be inappropriate too.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, I don't know. I mean, State can say we're going to call samebody from max, because we know when we call them, the defense is going to have to admit their client is in maximum.

MR. HARMON: Well, that's not the reason for

	•	
	: .	
12	2	1
		2 3
		3
:	,	4
	•	5
		6
-	•	5 6 7 8
<u> </u>		8
:		9
] 1	•	10
	1 :	11
:		12
		13
į 1		14 15
	1	15
ļ !	,	16
:		17
	:	16 17 18 19
	;	19
1.	:	

21

22

23

24

calling any of these witnesses.

MR. SEATON: We call them because they save a statement.

MR. DUNLEAVY: In exchange for agreements.

MR. SEATON: We will ask them about that.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Obviously. But when we do.

we have to put in context where they are and what the charges are.

MR, SEATON: Life is full of tough choices.

MR. WOLFSON: I think what we're mainly concerned about is our client's custodial status.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Before jury.

I mean, it's almost impossible to say this conversation occurred at maximum security, but you are supposed to assume that our client is in custody on this case or something.

I mean, there is a --

MR. NOLESON: Nell, perhaps we could request the State -- and I'm sure you've done it so far -- but perhaps another reminder to your witnesses to Just avoid any mention of Michael's reason for being in custody, other than you are accused of murder and you are awaiting trial.

MR. HARMON: They will be advised of that.

MR. SEATON: We've been doing that and we'll

24

		•	!	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
i	.;			
		• •		4
		17		
		- !	: :	2
	; ; ; ;	٠.	:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
				4
				5
				6
		:	!	7
			•	′
	W	1		
	i			9
				10
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	1	1	11
	. :	I.		12
				13
				14
	-		:	15
				16
				17
		;		18
				19
	i			21
į				20 21 22
		1		23
п				

continue to do that. We were just as worried about that as you are.

NR. WOLFSON: Our client is in custody on a parole revocation. He's re-serving, if you will, a life sentence, and that's what we want to avoid.

THE COURT: I understand, I'm aware of it.

MR. WOLFSON: Okay. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay, 1:30.

MR. HARNON: Okdy.

(Whereupon, the proceedings were recessed for lunch.)

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, February 5, 1996, 1:35 p.m.
	Eds regus, Merudo, replants 3, 1330, 1133 Pinn
3	
4	
5 6	(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the Jury:)
7	THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Michael
8	Damon Rippo.
9	Let the record reflect the
10	presence of the defendant, and his attorneys, Steven Wolfson
11	and Philip Dunleavy; Dan Seaton and Mel Harmon for the
12	State.
13	Counsel stipulate to the
14	presence of the Jury?
15	MR. SEATON: Yes.
16	MR. DUNLEAVY: We will, yes, Judge.
17	THE COURT: I'd like to apologize that we're
18	getting started late today. I had a long morning calendar
19	and then other hearings, and we had a couple of matters
20	outside your presence on this case. That's why we're
21	getting started late.
22	The State may now call its next
23	witness
24	MR. HÄRMON: Diana Hunt,
	i

1	1	THE CLERK: Remain standing, please, and
	2	raise your right hand.
	3	
	4	Whereupon;
	5	DIANA LEE HENT
1 -	6	having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and
	7	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
	8	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
	9	and testified as follows:
	: 10	THE CLERK: Thank you.
	11	Please be seated.
	12	Will you state your name and
	13	spell it for the record.
	14	THE WITNESS: Diana Hunt; D-1-a-n-a,
	15	H-u-n-t. :
	16	THE CLERK: Thank you.
	17	
	18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	: 19	BY MR. HARMON:
	20	Q Is it Miss Hunt?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q How old are you?
	23	A 1 m 27,
1	24	Q Where were you born and raised?
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

	1	-
1.	Ä	Las Vegas,
2	اً وُ	Do you know the defendant in this action,
	1	Do And Klick detellering to the decrease
3	Michael Rippo?	
4	A	Yes, I do.
5	Q	How is it that you know him?
6	A	I met him in 1992.
, 7	Q Q	Do you remember about when in 1992 it was?
8	A	Approximately the second week in January.
9	Q	After you met him in January 1992, did you
10	become friends?	
11	A	Yes, we did.
12	Q	Did you, at any time, become boyfriend and
13	girlfriend?	
14	A	Yes; we started dating.
15	Q	For about how long did you have that
16	relationship?	·
17	A	About three weeks.
18	i a	Miss Hunt, did you know personally the two
19	victims in this	case, Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?
20	Ą	No, I didn't.
21	a a	Did you personally harbor any type of ill
22	feeling toward	either of those persons?
23	A	No.
24	q	You mention for about three weeks you had
	<u></u>	

		· ·
1.	the relationsh	ip of girlfriend to Michael Rippo?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Is the person with whom you had that
4	relationship p	resent in the courtroom this afternoom?
5	A	Yes, hells.
6	Q	Will you point to him and describe some
7	article of cla	thing he's wearing today.
8	Ą	He's right there. He has the greenish blue
9	sweater on. (Indicating)
10		MR, HARMON: Your Honor, may the record show
11	that the witne	ess has identified the defendant, Michael
12	Rippo?	
13		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
14		MR. HARMON: Thank you.
15	BY MR. HARMON:	
16	Q	Did you, for a period of time, live With
17	Michael Rippoi	
18	- А	Yes, I did.
19	Q	Where did you live together?
20	Ą	In a house on Gowan Road.
21	Q	That's G-o-w-a-n?
22	A	Yes.
23	à	Was the house on Gawan Road in Las Vegas?
24	Ą	Yes.
	,	!
	<u></u>	

1	Q	About how long did you live there?
2	A	About three weeks.
3	Ω	Do you know a lady identified as Deidre
4	D'Amore?	
5	Ä	Yes, I do.
6	Q	How is it that you know her?
7	A	She was a friend of Michael's.
8	Q	When you refer to Michael, are you speaking
9	of the defendan	t, Mr. Rippo?
10	А	Yes.
1.1		Did you and Michael Rippo stay, for a period
12	of time, with D	eidre D'Amore?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	For how long?
15	Ą	A week, maybe two.
16	Q.	I want to direct your attention to Monday,
17	February the 17	th, 1992:
18		On that date, where were you
19	and Mr. Rippo 1	iving?
20	Ą	With Deidre.
21	Q	Did she live in a house or an apartment?
22	A	It was, like, a townhouse.
23	Q.	Do you recall the address?
24	Ą	I know it was on Smoke Ranch and Torrey
,	·	•

		•
1	Pines.	:
2	Q	That is also in Las Vegas?
3	A	Yes.
4	a	During your relationship with the defendant,
5		hether Mr. Rippo knew Lauri Jacobson?
6	A ATO YOU INCH	Yes.
7		
	Q	How did you learn about that?
8	A .	He talked about her, that he used to date
9	her.	· ·
10	a	That he used to date Lauri Jacobson?
11	Ą	Yes.
12	Q	Did you learn whether Mr. Rippo knew Denise
13	L1ZZ1?	
14	A	He mentioned her.
15	Q	On Monday, February the 17th, 1992, did Mr.
16	Rippo go šomewh	ere, to your knowledge?
17	A	On the 17th?
18	Q	Yes.
19	Ą	Yes, he went to Lauri Jacobson's apartment,
20	he said.	:
21	a	When was it that he told you where he was
22	going?	
23	Ą	He didn't tell me where he was going. He
24	told me when he	got back where he had been.

1	Q	What time was that, when you had the
2	conversat1o	n with him about where he had been?
3	Ä	Late in the afternoon on the 17th.
4	Q	Of February, 1992?
5	A	Yes,
6	Q	Where were you when you had the conversation
7	with Mr. Ri	ppo about where he had been?
8	A	At Deidre's house.
9	Q	What did he tell you?
10	A	He said he had been over there helping her
11	move.	
12	Q	Over there helping who move?
- 13	A	Helping Lauri move.
14	Q	Did you know at that time where Lauri
15	Jacobson 11	ved?
16	Ą	No, I didn't.
17	Q	Had you ever been to Lauri Jacobson's
18	residence?	
19	A	No.
20	Q	Did you and Mr. Rippo have access to
21	vehicles in	Fabruary 1992?
22	A	Yes.
23	q	What cars?
24	Ą	We had his white Pinto and I had a car. It

	35
2 1	was a Dodge Colt.
2	Q You were staying, you said, with Deidre
3	D'Amore?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did she have a vehicle?
6	A She had an Isuzu truck.
7	Q When you had the conversation with Mr. Rippa
8	about being at Lauri Jacobson's residence Monday, February
9	the 17th, did he tell you why Lauri was going to move?
. 10	A He said she couldn't pay her rent and she
11	had ~~
12	MR. WOLFSON: I'm going to object on the
13	grounds of potentially double hearsay. Where would Michael
14	have learned this but from another out-of-court declarant,
15	and that would be hearsay.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	MR. HARMON: What part is sustained, Judge?
18	THE COURT: His objection as to what what
19	he stated to her regarding that she was going to move.
20	You could probably rephrase it
21	if you wont.
22	BY MR. HARMON:
23	Q But he did make it clear that he had been
	•

over to her place helping her move?

. 24

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

1	A	Yes
2	Q.	Did you have any discussion that evening
3	about any plans	the two of you might have for the following
4	day, Tuesday	
5	· А	No.
6	Q	February the 18th?
7	A	None.
8	a	The following day, during the morning hours,
9	did something h	appen?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Tell us what happened.
12	A	He came in about nine o'clock and wake me
13	up. I was sleep	ping upstairs.
14	Q	Did you have a conversation when Mr. Rippo
15	woke you up?	:
16	Ä	Yes. He said to get up and get dressed,
17	that we had to	go,
18	Q	Did he tell you where you had to go?
19	Å	Not at that time.
20	q	Did you want to get up at about nine o'clock
21	in the morning	and go somewhere?
22	A	No. :
23	Q.	Why is that?
24	A	I was sick.

•	ا و	Why were you sick?
1	1	
2		Because'I had been using drugs; and a few
3	days before that	t, I guess, I used bad drugs or did them
4	wrong.	
5	Q	What type of drugs had you been using?
6	A	Methomphetamine.
7	Q	Had you slept well the night of February the
8	17th?	
9	A	No. I'd been up throwing up all night. I
10	was sick.	
11	a	Nevertheless, did you agree to go with Mr.
1.2	Rippo?	•
13	A	He told me I couldn't stay there without
14	him, that I had	to go with him.
15	Q	By there, you are still referring to Deidre
16	D'Amore's place	?
17	А	Yes. :
18	Q	As a result of him waking you up and the
19	conversation you	u had, did the two of you go somewhere?
20	Á	Yes.
21	Q	What vehicle, if any, did you use?
22	A	The Pinto, the white Pinto.
23	à	The car of the defendant, Mr. Rippo?
24	À	Yes,
24	A	Yes,

:		
	1	Q Who drove the car?
	2	A Mr. Rippo.
	3	Q Will you estimate about what time it was
	4	when you left Miss D'Amore's place?
	5	A Approximately ten.
	6	Q Where did you 90?
	7	A We went to apartment building Katie Arms
:	8	Apartments.
	9	Q Did you know why you went to that location?
	10	A I asked him, and he said we were going to
	11	help Lauri move.
	12	Q At what point did you ask Mr. Rippo why you
	1.3	were going there?
	14	A When we were driving, I asked him where we
	15	were going.
	16	Q Do you know about how long it took you to
	17	get from Miss D'Amore's place to the Katle Arms Apartments?
	18	A About a half on hour.
	19	Q Had you been to the Katle Arms apartment
!	20	complex prior to this date?
	21	A No,
	22	Q What happened when the two of you arrived
	23	there?
	24	A He parked the car and we got out of the car
		· ·

	1	and we walked up three flights of stairs and halfway down
	2	the balcony to her apartment.
	3	Q What happened then?
	4	A And them her door was open, I believe,
	5	and we went in and her and Mike were just talking and
	6	Q You said you believed her door was just
	7	open.
	8	Did you simply wolk in to the
	9	apartment, as you remember, or did you knock before
	10	entering?
	11	A He knocked on the door. The the window
	12	was open and the stereo was on and I believe the door was
	13	open. I can't remember. But he knocked and she was right
	14	there at the door and said come in.
	15	Q As you think back about this now, do you
	16	have any recollection of ever having seen Lauri Jacobson
	17	before that moment?
	18	A Yes, I'd seen her.
	19	Q You had seen her before that?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Do you remember how often you had seen her?
	22	A I think twice of Fuddy Duddy's.
1	23	Q What is Fuddy Duddy's?
j. :	24	A It was, like, a nightclub.

:	•	
2	1	Q Have you ever been formally introduced to
	. 2	her?
:	. 3	A Once.
	4	Q At Fuddy Duddy's?
	. 5	That's yes?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q What happened after you and Mr. Rippo
•	8	entered the apartment?
	9	A I sat on the couch. There was couches
	10	there. I sat down
ì	11	Q Where was the couch you sat on in relation
	12	to the front door?
	13	A Right behind the front door.
	14	Q When you first arrived, was anyone else
: ;	15	there besides Lauri Jacobson?
	16	A No.
	17	Q What happened?
	18	A I sat on the couch. They were laughing and
	1.9	talking and running around the apartment, and they were
	20	doing drugs.
Ż	21	And then Lauri looked out the
.	22	window and she said Denise is here.
. :	23	Q You have mentioned that after your arrival,
	24	that the defendant and Lauri were laughing and talking and

:	
1	running around
2	A Yeah.
3	Q in the apartment.
4	What do you mean?
5	A I mean, they were just talking. They were
. 6	visiting. They were friends.
7	Q Now you mentioned there came a time when you
- 8	were aware they were doing drugs.
9	A Yes.
10	Q What do you mean?
. 11	A I saw them do drugs.
12	Q You saw what happen?
13	A Mike Mr. Ripps went in the kitchen area
14	and he had a small brown vial of what he said was morphine,
15	and he used a needle and he injected it in himself, and then
16	he handed it to Lauri.
17	Q He injected the substance from this small
18	brown vial in to what part of his body?
19	A His arm.
20	Q Did you see if anything happened regarding
21	Lauri Jacobson?
22	I saw her draw up the drugs and inject it in
23	her left wrist.
24	Q Now, who had said what was contained inside

A Mr. Rippo. Q Do you remember him saying it was marphine? A Yes. Q When did he say that? A The day before, when he brought it hame from Lauri's. I guess that's where he had been. Q But you've already mentioned that when you saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Q Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. Q What did he say about it? A Well, the little pirl — Deidre's little pirl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach. and Deidre asked what it was —	3	. 1	the small brown vial?
A Yes. Q When did he say that? A The day before, when he brought it home from Lauri's. I guess that's where he had been. Q But you've already mentioned that when you saw Mr. Rippa at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. Q What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach,		2	A Mr. Rippo.
The day before, when he brought it home from Lauri's. I guess that's where he had been. But you've already mentioned that when you saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me ond Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach,		3	Q Do you remember him saying it was morphine?
A The day before, when he brought it hame from Lauri's. I guess that's where he had been. But you've already mentioned that when you saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me ond Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach,	•	4	A Yes.
Lauri's. I guess that's where he had been. Q But you've already mentioned that when you saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Q Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. Q What did he say about it? A Well, the little pirl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach,		5	Q When did he say that?
8 Q But you've already mentioned that when you saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. 12 Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Q Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. Q What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach,	: 1	6:	A The day before, when he brought it home from
saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	•	7.	Lauri's. I guess that's where he had been.
or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had been. Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Q Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.		8	Q But you've already mentioned that when you
Did you see this small brown 13 vial that evening? A Yes, I did. 15 Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? 16 A He was there in the house with us, with me 17 and Deidre. 18 Q Was there any conversation with him about 19 the vial? 20. A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. 21 Q What did he say about it? 22 A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little 23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.		9	saw Mr. Rippo at Miss D'Amore's place, in the late afternoon
Did you see this small brown vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach,		10	or evening of February the 17th, that he said where he had
vial that evening? A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Q Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. Q What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.		11	been.
A Yes, I did. Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	· ,	12	Did you see this small brown
15 Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it? A He was there in the house with us, with me 17 and Deidre. 18 Q Was there any conversation with him about 19 the vial? 20. A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. 21 Q What did he say about it? 22 A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little 23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.		13	vial that; evening?
A He was there in the house with us, with me and Deidre. Was there any conversation with him about the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	:	14	A Yes, I did.
17 and Deidre. 18 Q Was there any conversation with him about 19 the vial? 20. A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. 21 Q What did he say about it? 22 A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little 23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	·	15	Q Where was Mr. Rippo when you saw it?
18 Q Was there any conversation with him about 19 the vial? 20. A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. 21 Q What did he say about it? 22 A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little 23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	•	16	A He was there in the house with us, with me
the vial? A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. What did he say about it? A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	· •	17	and Deidre.
20. A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator. 21. Q What did he say about it? 22. A Well, the little girl — Deidre's little 23. girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.		18	Q Was there any conversation with him about
21 Q What did he say about it? 22 A Well, the little girl Deidre's little 23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	' ·	1.9	the vial?
A Well, the little girl Deidre's little 23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	:	20 .	A Yes, because he put it in the refrigerator.
23 girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	21	Q What did he say about it?
		22	A Well, the little girl Deidre's little
24 ond Deidre osked what it was	<u>.</u>	23	girl got in the refrigerator and it was within her reach.
		24	and Deidre asked what it was

		; -
1	1	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
2		THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. HARMON:	
. 4	Q	Then you say that there was some
- 5	conversation abo	ut 1t?
6	Ä	Yes,
7	Q .	As a result of the little sirl being able to
8	reach it?	
9	A	Yes.
10	q	What, if anything, did the defendant, Mr.
11	Rippo, say?	
12	Ą	He told me it was morphine.
13	Q	Did he tell you where he had acquired the
14	morphine?	
15	A	From Lauri.
. 16.	Q	Lauri Jacobson?
17	A	Yes.
18	ģ	Did he tell you whether he had purchased it
19	or whether she h	ad Just given it to him?
20		He didnit say.
21	ų d	Did you know, when you were at Lauri's
22	apartment the ne	xt day, whether it was the same small brown
23	vial?	
24	A	It looked to be the same.
	:	: ·
	<u>'</u>	;

1	Q	Will you indicate with your hand about how
. 2	large it was?	:
3	A	About that, (Indicating)
4	Q	You are indicating, what, perhaps two, two
5	and a half inch	nes high?
6	A	Yes; and it was square.
7	Q	Did you ever have a chance to actually look
8	ot it to see wh	ether there was a fluid inside the vial?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Was there?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	About how could you tell how much fluid
13	was inside of i	.t?
14	A	About half of the bottle was full.
15	Q	Were you given any type of injection from
16.	whatever was in	iside the brown vial?
17	Ą	No.
18	à	Did you ingest any type of drugs of Lauri
19	Jacobson's apar	tment?
20	Ą	No.
21	ů,	In addition to observing Mr. Rippo and Lauri
22	inject with the	needle in to their arms what appeared to
23	have come from	this bottle, did you see them ingest any
24	other type of o	Irug?

1	A No.	
2	Q Now you've mentioned at some point someone	
3	else arrived?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q How did; you learn about that?	
6	A The window was open and Lauri saw saw he	r
7	pull up outside.	
8	Q Did Lauri make some comment at that point?	
9	A Yes.	
10	MR, WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.	
11	THE COURT: Sustained.	
12	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, I would represent	
13	to the Court it isn't necessarily offered for the truth.	
14	but, in this instance, offered to explain that Lauri	
15	Jacobson went somewhere. So it's simply offered to explain	
16	why she left the apartment.	
17	MR. WOLFSON: But the out-of-court statemen	t
18	by Miss Jacabson is not needed to prove that up.	
19	What this witness saw and what	
20	this witness saw took place next can answer that question.	
21	I can't cross-examine this	
22	out-of-court statement.	
23	MR. HARMON: Well, that's true, Your Honor,	

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

but if a statement prompts subsequent action, that goes to

1	state of mind,	and that's an exception under which we
. 2		THE COURT: All right. I'll overrule the
3	objection. You	ı may answer the question.
4	BY MR. HARMON:	
5	Q	What did Lauri say?
6	A	She said: Denise is here.
7	Q	At that point, what happened?
8	À	She said that Denise didn't mon't want to
9	come in	
10		MR. WOLFSON: Objection. Same objection;
11	hearsay.	
12		THE COURT: Sustained.
13		MR. HARMON: And it's offered for the some
14	reason, Judge.	
15		THE COURT: I am going to sustain that.
16	BY MR. HARMON:	
17	Q	As a result of the remark that Lauri made,
1.8	did she leave t	he apartment?
. 19	A	Yes, she did.
20	9	About how long was she gone?
21	A	Approximately 20 minutes.
22	Q	During that approximate 20 minute interval,
23	did you and Mr.	Rippo remain in Lauri's apartment?
24	Á	Yes.

1.	q	What happened during that time?
2	А	Well, when Lauri left the apartment, my
3	or Mr. Rippo cla	osed the curtains and closed the window and
4	came to me and :	said give me my stun gun that was in my
5	purse.	:
6	· Q	Did you ask the defendant, Mr. Rippo, Why he
7	wanted the stun	gun?
8	A	Yes, I did.
. 9	Q	What did he say to you?
10	A	He told me just to shut up and give it to
11	him.	· ·
12	Q	Did you:give it to him?
13	. А	Yes.
14	Q	Did you know at that point why he wanted it?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Now, you have indicated that after he had
17	closed the curto	in and the window, he asked you to give him.
18	I think you said	I that he said, his stun gun, my stun gun?
1.9;	Ą	Yes,
20	Q	If it was his, how did it happen to be in
21	your purse?	
22	A	Because I hid it from him in my purse.
23.	Ģ	Why did you want to hide it from him?
24	A	Because he liked to stun me with it.
	·	

	•
1	Q After you gave Mr. Rippo the stun gun by
2	the way, will you describe the object that you are
3	characterizing as a stun gun?
4	A It's about six five or six inches long;
5	it's about two or three:inches wide; and it has two prongs
6	on top that are about an inch and a half or two inches
7 :	apart; and it had a trigger button on the side.
8	Q To your knowledge, was this stun gun
9	operational?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did it make any type of noise when it was
12	used?
13	A Yes, it made a zapping noise.
14	q You've indicated that you had it in your
15	purse because Mr. Rippo liked to use it on you.
16	A Yes.
17	Q Was that in a teasing way?
18	A Well, like when I was asleep or something.
19	Q What would be the effect when the stun gun
20	was used?
21	A It hurt; it shocked you.
22	Q It what?
23	A Shocked you.
24	Q Sa it produced an electrical shack?
!	

1	, A	Yes
2	Q	While Lauri Jacobsan was out of the
3	apartment, did	either you or Mr. Rippo make a telephone call
4	from inside the	apartment?
5	A	Mr. Rippo did.
6	Q	Was there a telephone inside Lauri's
7	apartment?	
8	A	Yes.
9	a	Do you know who Mr. Rippo called?
10	Д	I do now.
11	à	At the time he made the call, did you know?
12	Ā	No.
13	Q	Did he tell you he was going to call anyone?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Whot did you see him do?
16	À	I saw him get on the phone to make a phone
17	call.	
18	Q	Do you remember about how long he was on the
19	:telephone?	! :
20	A	About five minutes.
21	Q.	What happened then?
22	А	Then he hung up the phone and he was just
23	Handering aroun	d the apartment.
24	Q.	You've indicated that Lauri was gone for

24

behind the door.

4	1	about 20 minutes.
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q After about 20 minutes, what happened?
:	4	A She came back in with Denise.
,	5	Q You previously mentioned that you weren't
	6	well acquainted with either of these ladies. Lauri Jacobson
	7	or Denise Lizzi.
i	8	A Yeah.
•	9	Q But you had met Denise also or had you?
	10	A I met her on one occasion.
	11	Q Did Denise come into the apartment with
:	12	Lauri Jacobson?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q What happened after she came in?
	15	A She took her coat off and threw it on the
	16	table and went to the to the bathroom.
	17.	Q What type of coat was Denise wearing that
	. 18	she took off and threw on the table?
	19	A A Levi Jacket.
	20	Q Where were you situated in the apartment at
•	21	the time Lauri Jacobson came back with Denise?
	22	A I was still in the same place, on the couch,

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Had you moved from that point?

1	
2	

No.

Q Where is it that Denise went?

3

A To the bathroom.

4

Q What happened at that time?

5

A Her and Lauri were both in the bathroom and they were:talking and -- and laughing; and Mr. Rippo went to the refrigerator, in the apartment, and got a beer and

7

8 brought it to me.

9

Q Had you asked him to go to the refrigerator and set you a beer?

10

A No.

11 12

Q While Lauri and Denise were still in the bathroom, did you have a conversation with Michael Rippo?

13 14

A When he brought me the beer, he said: When tauri answers the phone, I want you to hit her with this

15 16

bottle so I can rob Denise.

17

What was your reaction to that statement by

18

Mr. Rippo?

apartment?

19

A I told him I didn't want to do it, that I didn't feel good, that I wasn't up for it.

20 21

Q Up until that moment, had you been expecting

22

anything unusual to happen there at Lauri Jacobson's

23

Á No.

24

1	Q Did you personally come to the apartment	
2	that day with any intention to commit a rabbery?	
3	A No.	
4	Q You mentioned you had the stun gun in your	
5	purse originally.	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Had you'come to the apartment intending to	
8	use the stun gun on either Lauri Jacobson or any guest she	
· 9	might have in the apartment?	
10	A No.	
11	Q So what happened after you told Mr. Rippo	
12	that you didn't want to do it, that you didn't feel good,	
13	that you weren't up to 1t?	
14	A He said too bad; just do what I tell you.	
15	Q Did he give you the bottle of beer?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Describe the bottle.	
18	A It was a small neck, brown bottle of	
19	non-alcoholic beer.	
20	Q Had he opened the bottle for you?	
21	A I don't remember. I really don't remember.	
22	Q So you don't know if the lid or if the	
23	cap was still on the bottle?	
24	A No.	
i		

		-
1	Q	What happened after that?
2	A	I Just held it and sat there and had and
3	the phone ran	g a few minutes after that.
4	Q	You said how long had elapsed before the
5	telephone: ran	97
6	A	Just a few minutes.
7.	a	What happened when the phone rang?
8	A	Lauri came out of the bathroom and came to
9	answer the phone; and the phone was an the floor, right at	
10	my feet. And she went back to and when she bent down and	
11	she was talki	ng on the phone for a second and I took and I
12	hit her with	the bottle.
13	Q	Where did you hit her with the bottle?
14	A	On the back of the head.
15	Q	How hard did you hit her?
16	A	Hard enough to break the bottle.
17	Q	But what do you mean by hard enough?
18	A	The bottle broke. That's how hard
19	Q	What happened to the contents of the bottle?
20	Α	The glass broke.
21	Q	What happened to Lauri Jacobson?
22	A	She fell down. She fell forward on her
23	elbows and	
24	Q	Why did you hit Lauri Jacobson with a bottle

	when the telephone rang?
}	A That's what he told me to do.
	Q Why would you go along with something like
•	that?
	A Because I didn't want him coming after me.
	Q You said that Lauri fell forward.
	Do you know if it knocked her
	out?
	A I didn't knock her completely out.
	Q What do you mean?
	A Because she was she's still moving. She
	was dazed,
	When the telephone rang, do you recall when
	Mr. Rippo Has?
	A He was in the room when it rang, and then
	when Lauri came: out of the bathroom to answer the phone, he
	went to the bathroom.
	Q You saw Mr. Rippo go into the bathroom?
	A Yes.
	Q To your knowledge, was Denise still in the
	bathroom?
	A Yes.
	Q After you hit Lauri Jacobson, could you hed
	anything happening in the bathroom?

_
כ
_

	,	
1	A	Yes.
2	ģ	What co
3 .	A	I heard
4	heard them arg	uing d
5	and I heard hi	s voice.
6	į ė	Did the
7	moved from the	bathroo
8	А	Yes.
9	him, and he wr	estled h
10	was a big clos	et there
11	a	At that
12	where Lauri wa	s?
13	A	I Has :
14	over to the do	orway, bi
15	going off.	
16	Q	When yo
17	are you talkin	g about 1
18	A	Yes.
19	. Q	What ki
20 [:]	A	It's a
21	little room.	It's a bi
22	Q Q	Is ther

24

What could you hear?

A I heard that stun gun going off. And I heard them arguing -- or yelling. I heard Denise's voice and I heard his voice.

Did there come a time when the struggle moved from the bathroom to some other location?

A Yes. He wrestled her. She was fighting him, and he wrestled her across the hall into a big -- there was a big closet there across the hall.

Q At that time, did you remain in the room where Lauri was?

A I was in the room with Lauri, and then I ran over to the doorway, because I still heard that stun gun going off.

Q When you say you ran over to that doorway, are you talking about the doorway to the closet?

What kind of closet is this?

A It's a real big closet. It's really like a tie room. It's a big room.

Q Is there a door to the closet or was it simply a walk in?

A There is no door.

÷	
1	Q When you got to the doorway area, could you
2	see what was happening inside the closet?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What did you abserve?
5.	A He had wrestled Denise to the ground and he
: 6·	was, like, sitting on her, and she was on her side, and he
7	was still stunning her with the stun gun.
8	Q Did you actually see the stun gun in Mr.
9	Rippo's hand at the scene of the accident?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you recall in which hand he had the gun?
12	Do you recall what part of Denise's body
13	A All over on her side.
14	Q Do you know if Mr. Rippo is left handed or
15	right handed?
16	A I think he's right handed.
17	Q What happened after you went to the closet
18	doorway and saw what was happening?
19	A I told him to stop, that was enough with the
20	stun gun; and he told me to shut up, that he knew what he
21.	was doing.
. 22	Q As a result of that reaction by Mr. Rippo.
23	what did you do?
24	A I went back he told me to go back in with

	,	
•		
6	1	Lauri.
	2	Q Did you go back to where Lauri was?
	. 3	A Yes,
	4	Q What was Lauri doing at that time?
	5	A She was sitting trying to sit up and she
	6	was holding her head.
	7	Q Did you make any effort to arouse her
	8	further?
	9	A Yes, I did.
 	10	Q What did you do?
	11	A I helped her sit up.
; ; ;	12	Q Did there come a time when Mr. Rippo emerged
	13	from the closet area?
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q When was that?
	16	A He heard me and Lauri were sitting there
[[] []	17	talking
	18	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, Your Honor. There
	19	is no may she knows what somebody else heard.
	20	THE COURT: Sustained.
	21	· :
eir i	22	BY MR. HARMON:
	- 23	Q Is this a large apartment?
E i i	24	A No. 1t was a studio.

1	Q Is it your testimony that you had begun to
2	talk to Lauri Jacobson?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What were you talking about with her?
5	A I was telling her she was asking me why l
6	hit her, and I told her it had nothing to do with her, that
7	Mr. Rippo wonted to rob Denise
8.	Q At some point, did Mike Rippo come out of
9	the closet?
10	A Yes.
11	Q What happened then?
12	A He had a knife in his hand.
13	Q Do you know where he had acquired the knife?
14	A No. I didn't see him get it.
15	Q Will you describe the knife?
1,6	A It was just a serrated, brown handled
17	steaked steak knife.
18	Q Do you know whether he had the knife when
19	you first arrived at the apartment?
20	A Uh-huh.
21	Q He didn't or you don't know?
22	I don't know. I never saw him With it.
23	Q Okay. To your knowledge, had you ever seen
24	the steak knife before?

4		No.
1	A	No.
2	Q	Did Mr. Rippo use the steak knife?
. 3	A	Yes.
4	q	What happened?
5	A	There was some appliances sitting right
· 6	outside the cl	loset door; and he reached down and cut the
. 7	cords off of t	the appliances.
8	Q	What types of appliances?
9	A	I remember one was an iron, but I can't
10	remember what	the other ones were.
11	Q	To your memory, how many cords did he cut
12	from appliance	e s ?
13	(A	Two, that I remember.
14	a a	Did he use the steak knife in making the
15	cuts?	·
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What did he do with the cords?
18	Α	He came up to where me and Lauri were. He
19	told Lauri to	lay down.
20	Q	Did she:lay down?
21	A	Not right away. She argued, but then she
22	laid down.	
23	· q	What do you mean she argued?
24	A	She said you don't want to do this. I'm not
		: :

1	going to do anything.
2	And I said the same thing. I
3	said she is not going to do anything.
4	Q Did she eventually lay down?
5	A Yes.
6	On her stomach or on her back?
7	A On her stomach.
8	Q What happened then?
9	A He told her to put her hands behind her back
10	and he tied her hands.
11	Q Did he tie her hands tagether?
- 12	A Yes.
13	Q What about her feet?
14	A He tied her feet together too.
15	Q Did you protest in any way at this time
16	about what he was doing?
17	A Yes. I told him there was no reason to tie
1.8	her up. She said there was no reason; she wasn't going to
19	do anything. She wanted the IIC (ph) claim.
20.	Q And what was the reaction of Mr. Rippo?
. 21	A He said it was insurance.
22	Insurance? Did he explain what he meant?
. 23	A No.
24	Q At some time, was at some point, was

_	4,1, 6,1-1,2	The Ann San San San San San San San San San S
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What did you see happen?
4	A	He came out of the went back in the
5	closet and came	out with a bandana.
6	Q	Do you recall the color of the bandana?
7	A	I think it was purple, but I'm not positive.
. 8	Ģ	What did he do with the bandana?
9	A	He tied it in her mouth.
10	q	What do you mean he tied it in her mouth?
11	A	He Jabbed her with or he tied it ground
1.2	her head.	
13	a	During the time that Lauri Jacobson was
14	being tied and :	pagged, did you hear any sounds from the
15	closet area?	·
16	Ą	No. I I could hear Denise cry, but
17	Q	Could you hear Denise Lizzi?
18	A	Yes.
19	q	Did you attempt, at that time, to go to her
20	assistance?	
21	i A	Yes. I went and looked in the closet.
22	i Q	When you went in the closet, what did you
23	see this time?	
24	Ą	Mike was in there. He had tied her hands

behind her back. She was sitting up and he had tied her 1 feet together and he was asking her all kinds of questions. 2 What do you mean all kinds of questions? 3 Like where do you get your drugs, and he was 4 asking her about who lives in some house somewhere, but I 5 don't even know where the house was; just really strange 6 7 questions. Was Denise replying to these questions? 8 She was saying -- I don't know what you 9 A want. But -- and she was trying. 10 Did there come a time when you became aware 11 that someone was approaching Lauri Jacobson's apartment? 12. Yes. That was after. 13 After you saw what happened to Denise in the 14 15 closet? You have to answer out loud. 16 · 17 Α Yes What did happen? 18 Well, he -- then he put something in 19 Denise's mouth. I'm not sure what, 20 But you saw that Mr. Rippo put something in 21 her mouth? 22 And so she fell on her side. . 23 And I told him -- I was telling 24

him let's Just go, because obviously she didn't have 1 2 anything to rob or whatever he wanted to rob. And he stood up and he -- he 3 told me I told you not to tell me what to do and he shoved me. 5 And I fell on my butt in the - 6 7 hallway, and I was crying then. Did you hear steps outside the apartment at 8 9 about this time? Yeah. You could hear somebody on the 10 11 balcony. 12 Tell us what happened. Well, he put --- he put his hand on my mouth. 13 He was telling me to shut up and stop crying and quit 14 15 tweaking (sic). And we heard somebody coming 16 17 and he told everybody to be quiet, not say anything. And somebody came to the door of the apartment and was knocking 1.8 on the door; and it was a woman and she was yelling for 19 20 Laur1. Did Lauri say anything when the woman called 21 Q 22 out for her? 23 A No. 24 Q She still had a gag in her mouth?

	•	6
1.		
7	1	A Yes.
	2	Q Did you say anything?
1911 1913	3 ,	A No.
	4	Q Did anyone inside Lauri Jacobson's apartment
11	5	say anything to the person who was outside the apartment?
	6	A No.
	7	Q How long did this woman remain cutside the
	8	apartment, to your knowledge?
	9	A Just for about five minutes.
	10	Q During the five minutes, what was he doing?
	11	A Yelling at the woman and yelling for Lauri.
	12	Q And yelling at you?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q After about five minutes, could you tell
	15	whether the woman had left?
	16	. A We could hear her walking away.
	17	What happened then inside the apartment?
	18	A Then, Mr. Rippo, he he changed. He was,
	19	like, I'm sorry. You know, I'm sorry I got out of cantrol,
	20	and if everybody just cooperates, then everything will be
	21	all right
	22	He was really apologetic?
	23	A He Just he Just had a different attitude
	24	from the beginning.

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

1	Q Well, what happened then, a minute or so?
2	A Then we went out back to the room and Lauri
3	was still laying there
4	Q Still on her stomach?
5	: A Yes,
6	Q Honds and feet still tied?
7	A Yes,
8	Q Is the pag still in her mouth?
. 9	A Yes. And she got down on her back and it
10	was tightening up the cords around her wrist.
11	Q Did you say anything?
12	A Yeah, I told him why are you doing that?
13	· And he looked right at me I
14	was standing right by the bathroom door, and he looked right
15	at me and put the stun gun on her back and started stunning
16	her with the stun gun.
17	Q How did you feel at that time when you
18	witnessed that sort of thing happening?
19	A I was scared, but I was mad too because I
2 Q	know how that stun gun feels, and I Just wanted him to quit
21	stunning her.
22	Q Did you tell him that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Did he stop?

÷	.7
÷	7

A No.

2

• 3

4

5

7

8

9

. 6

1

So I -- I ran at him and I grabbed his right hand -- it was in his right hand -- and I grabbed his wrist, and instead of pulling backward, he pulled forward and I tripped over Louri and fell.

- Q Miss Hunt, how large are you?
 - A I'm five eight.
 - Q About how much do you weigh?
 - A 123.
- 10 Q Did you weigh more or less on February the 11 the 18th, 1992 than you weigh now?
- 12 A I weighed less.
- 13 Q What was your approximate weight back in

14 February 1992?

- 15 A About 105.
- 16 Q What about the defendant, Mr. Rippo, do you
 17 know his approximate height?
- 18 A He's about five seven. He's about five 19 seven.
- 20 Do you have an estimate of how much he 21 weighed in February 1992?
 - A I'd say:170.
 - Q As you look at him now here in court, would you say Mr. Rippo weighs more or less at this time than he

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

22

23

24

1.

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

did in February 1992?

A Less.

Q So you are telling us that you ended up

falling down?

A Yes.

Q What happened then?

A I was kind of dazed, but not really. I turned around and he was — he had taken another cord or a belt or something — I couldn't see what it was — and he had put it through the ties on her feet and her wrists, and put it around her neck +-

MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm sorry, Your Honor, 1s this Denise or Lauri?

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

.BY MR. HARMON:

Q Will you clarify who you are referring to?

A That was Lauri.

: 18 Q Where are you positioned at the time this is

19 | happening?

A I'm on the floor right by Lauri -- right -there was a table there and I fell on the table and fell on
the ground, and I was laying on the ground right by Lauri.

In what manner are you laying?

A I was on all -- I fell forward, but I turned

8

2

3

4

5

7

-8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

' 22

23

24

11

over and was sitting -- sitting up facing that when I saw this.

Q So what is it that you saw Mr. Rippa do at this time regarding Lauri Jacobson?

A He was dragging her across the floor, trying to pick her up with the cord.

Q What had he done to enable him to drag her across the floor?

A He had put some cord or belt -- I couldn't see what it was -- through the cords on her hands and her feet and put it ground her neck and picked her up like a suitcase and was dragging her across the floor.

- Q In what direction?
- A Toward the closet.
- Q Could you tell what the condition was of Lauri Jacobson?
 - A She was choking.
 - Q Was she taken completely into the closet?
- i A Yes,
- 20 Q What happened then?

A I crawled across the -- the floor and I -- I
was throwing in -- and there was a garbage bag there and I
was throwing up in it, and I heard a noise caming from the
closet, and I -- I looked -- went over and I looked into the

1.8

closet, and he had --- Mr. Rippo had his knee in the small of Denise's back and he had something around her neck and he was pulling on it and he was cheking her.

Q Could you tell whether Mike Rippo was asserting pressure to the body of Denise Lizzi?

A He was pulling really hard. He was pulling so hard that the whole front of her was up off the ground and his arms were straining.

Q What was your response when you saw something like that happen?

A I couldn't even -- I couldn't even comprehend what I was seeing. I don't know if I fainted or what happened, but he was shaking me, saying get up, we've got to go

Q What happened when you say Mr. Rippo was shaking you and he said we've got to go?

A He said we've got to hurry up and get out of here.

And I was hysterical. I was saying you choked them. I saw you choke them.

And he said, no, I didn't. I Just cut off their air and we have to hurry up before they wake up.

Q At the time when that verbal exchange

. . 1

2

3

4

5

6

. . 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 18

19

20

21

22

23

occurred between you and Mr. Rippo, were you able to see the two young women, Denise and Lauri?

- A Yes.
- Q Where were they at that time?

A They were both laying in the closet. Lauri was face dawn and Denise was right next to her and she was also face down.

Q Do you remember, as you looked into the closet, who was on the left and who would have been to the right?

A Lauri was on the left and Denise was on the right.

Q Do you remember how their bodies were lying in relation to each other?

A They were right next to each other,

Q Did the feet of either of the young ladies extend farther out of the closet at that time?

A No.

Q So when you say right next to each other, basically level with each other?

À Yes,

When you glanced in at that time, were they both still tied?

A Yes.

24

	'	<u>:</u>
1	Q	Did you observe movement?
. 2	A	No.
3	Q	Not from either of the young ladies?
4	A	No.
- 5	p	What happened after Mr. Rippa said that you
6	had to get out	of there?
7	A	He said to he had a bag in his hand and
8	he said put eve	rything in the bag.
9	ρ	What type of bag did he have in his hand?
10	A	It was like a gym bag.
11	Q	Do you know where he got the bag?
12	A	Well, he must have got it there. He dumped
13	clothes out of	1t.
14	Q	You saw him dump clothes out of the bag?
. 15	A	Yes.
16	Q	When he dumped the clothes out of the bag,
17	where did they	90?
18	Å	On the floor.
19	à	Did you see whether anything was placed in
20	the bag at that	time?
21	A	Yes. He started grabbing all kind of things
22	and putting it	in the bág.
23	Q	Well, what sorts of things are you talking
24	about?	
a.	1	
*		,

1	A	All kinds of wierd, like, pieces of paper,
2	whatever happen	ed to be right there. Like there was papers
3	and some little	boxes, and Just I didn't wierd stuff.
4	, Q	Did these things come from inside the
5	apartment?	
6	A	Yes
7	q	Did you see Mr. Rippo put them in this bag?
8	A	Yes. '
9	Q	What color was the bag?
10	: A	Black.
11	q	Had you ever seen the bag prior to that
12	occasion?	•
13	A	No.
14	Q	Did Mr. Rippo tell you to do anything?
15	· А	He told me to clean up and put everything in
16	the bag.	
17	, q	Did you clean up?
18	A	Well, earlier, when he told me to clean up,
19	I thought he me	ant clean up the beer that was spilled when I
20	hit Lauri with	the bottle, and I was cleaning up the beer,
21	thinking that's	what he meant. And I was wiping off her
22	pictures. And	I didn't know what he meant.
23	Q	Well, the second time when he told you to

clean up, did you follow his instructions?

24

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

	_	•
1,	A	I just held the bag that he was putting
. 2	he was putting	some stuff in it.
3	a	Did you see whether anything was wiped down
4	while you were	there in the apartment?
5	A	He wiped the whole apartment down.
6	a a	Who wiped the whole apartment down?
7	A	Mr. Rippo.
. 8	Q.	Did you see him do that?
9	Ä	Yes, I did.
10	à	What did he use to wipe the apartment down?
11	A	He had a rag, and there was a towel also.
12	Q	When you say he wiped everything down, what
13	are you talking	about?
. 14	Α .	I mean, he was just running all over the
15	apartment wipin	g everything off.
16	Q	Surfaces inside the aportment?
17	A	Uh-huh +- yes.
18		(Whereupon, a recess was had in the proceedings.)
19	·	
20	:	
21		:
22		
23		•
24		
	:	

4 İ

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA PEBRUARY 5, 1996 2:30 P.M.

jury.)

(After a recess the following

proceedings were had in open court

in the presence and hearing of the

DIANA HUNT, having been called as a witness

by the State, having been previously sworn to tell

DIRECT EXAMINATION

(Continued)

the cloth; to wipe everything down, did the two of

the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the

truth, was examined and testified as follows:

2

3

4

٦

Ģ

7

8

9

10

• •

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

.

20

.21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

0.

you leave?

BY MR. HARMON:

Did you take anything with you from

After Mr. Rippo had used the towel and

```
inside the apartment?
 1
 2
                 No.
                 What about Mike Rippo, did he take
 3
          Q.
     anything with him?
                 He had the bag of -- like two bags, gym
 5
          A.
 6
     bags.
 7
                 You went out the door?
          Q.
 8
          A.
                 Yes.
                 What happened after you left; was the
 9
          Q.
     door closed or was it left open?
10
                 He closed the door and he locked it. He
11
     had a key, and he locked the deadbolt lock.
12
13
          Q.
                Do you know where Mr. Rippo got the key
     he used?
14
15
                 No.
          Α.
                 Did you have any type of key to the
16
          Ω.
     apartment?
17
                 No.
18
          A,
                 After he locked the deadbolt to the
19
          Q.
20
     front door, did he keep the key?
21
          Α.
                 Yes.
                 Did he still have the stun gun?
22
          Ω.
23
          Α.
                 Yes.
24
          Q. . Do you know at that point where the
```

steak knife was at?

A. No.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

23

24

- Q. You mentioned earlier inside the apartment that Mr. Rippo used the knife to cut the cords on certain appliances?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever see him do anything else with the steak knife?
 - A. No.
- 10 Q. What happened after the two of you left 11 the apartment?
 - A. He walked me to the car, to the Pinto.
- Q. Did you have a conversation at that point?
- 15 A. Yeah. I was crying, and I said, what do
- And he said, just go home and
 wait for me, and don't start tweaking now. He said,
 nobody got hurt and nobody has to. That he knew
 what he was doing.
- Q. Did he tell you where to go at that time?
 - A. He told me to go back to Deidre's.
 - Q. And did he tell you how to get back

			
			80
1	there?		
2	Α,	No. He said, just drive.	
3	۵٠	Did you return to Deidre D'Amore's	
4	place?		
5	Α,	Yes.	
6	Q.	How did you get there?	
7	A.	I drove the Pinto.	
8	Q.	With Mr. Rippo?	
9	Α.	No.	
10	Ω.	What happened to Mr. R1ppo?	
11	λ.	I left him there.	
12	Q.	What do you mean you left him there?	
13	А.	He was standing in the parking let when	l
1 4	I drove of		
15	Q.	Did you have any understanding as you	
16	drove off a	bout when he would recontact you?	
17	A.	No. He just said he would call.	
18	Ω.	What was your state of mind at the time	1
19	you drove o	off?	
20	A	I was in shock.	
21	Ω.	But you've indicated that you were sick	1
22	the night h	pefore?	
23	A.	Yes.	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

That you had been throwing up?

22

23

24

the bedroom area?

Yes.

	81
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Were you still ill?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What were your thoughts concerning the
5	two young women; Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?
б	A. I knew in my heart, I knew that they
7	weren't. He said they that they were still
8	alive, but I knew in my heart they couldn't still be
9	alive.
10	Q. Why did you know in your heart they
11	still couldn't be alive?
1 2	A. Because I heard that noise and I saw
13	what was happening.
1 4	Q. What noise are you talking about?
15	A. It was I never heard anything like
16	it. I cam't even describe it.
17	Q. Who was making the noise?
18	A. Denise.
19	Q. What did it sound like?
20	A. It sounded like an animal noise.

Q. Anywhere inside of the apartment did you

Is that what prompted you to crawl into

- see any evidence of bleeding?
- A. No, I didn't see any.
 - Q. You said that you were asked on a couple of occasions to clean up the apartment?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

21

- Q. Was there blood to your knowledge inside the apartment?
 - A. Well, he told me that there was blood.
- Q. When did Mr. Rippo tell you there was blood?
- A. He went into the closet and he untied Denise's feet, and I asked him what he was doing, and he said that he had bled on her pants, that she had cut him or made him bleed.
- Q. During the struggle?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. You said that he untied her legs?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. This is Denise you're referring to?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you see what the defendant did then?
- 22 A. He took off her shoes, her boots, and he 23 rolled her over and undid her pants and pulled them 24 off.

1	Q. Do you know what happened to the pants
2	and shoes of Denise?
3	A. He stuck them in the black bag.
4	Q. Did you ever see them again?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did the defendant tell you why he didn't
7	want an article of clothing left on Denise that had
8	his blood on it?
و	A. He just said, I bled on her pants and I
10	have to take them.
11	Q. To your knowledge of when that you and
12	Mr. Rippo left the apartment, were the two young
13	women still tied up or had they been untied?
14	A. He untied at some point he untied
15	Lauri's hands and, I believe, her feet. I remember
16	her hands were untied. And he untied Denise's feet
17	to take her shoes off.
18	Q. Did he leave her feet untied?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Do you have an estimate of how long you
21	and Mr. Rippo were inside the apartment of Lauri
22	Jacobson that day, that Tuesday, February 18?
23	A. I would say total approximately two
24	hours.

1	Q.	Afterward did you drive the Pinto back
2	to Deidre's	place?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q -	Did you hear from Mr. Rippo later on?
5	Α.	Yes. Later that evening he called.
6	Q.	The same evening?
7	Α,	Yes.
8	Q.	Did you remember about what time it was
9	that he call	Led?
10	A.	I just remember it was getting dark
11	outside.	
12	۵.	Did you have a telephone conversation
13	with him?	
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	What was the nature of the conversation?
16	A.	He told me to meet him at his friend's
17	shop, and he	e told me how to get there.
18	Q.	Did you know the friend?
19	A .	I had met him on one occasion.
20	Ω.	Who was the friend whose shop he wanted
21	you to meet	him at?
. 22	Α.	His name was Tom Sims.
23	Ω.	Did you as a result of the telephone
24	conversation	n go to that location?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	How did you get from Deidre D'Amore's
3	place to To	m's shop?
4	A.	I drove the Pinto.
5	Q.	What happened when you arrived there?
6	A.	He was there with Tom and another guy
7	was there.	:
8	Ω.	The defendant was there with Tom Sima?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Do you know the other guy who was also
11	there?	
12	А.	It was just a guy that worked there.
13	And Mike sa	id, I have a car for you.
14	Q.	He said that to you?
15	A. :	Yeah.
16	Q.	Did you see the car?
17	Α,	Yeah.
18	Q.	Describe the car that you saw.
19	A.	TO HOR OF HONDON STANDAMY THE TOTAL
20	Q. ,	Did Mr. Rippo tell you whose car it was?
21	Α.	No, not then,
22	Q.	Did he explain where he got the car at
23	that time?	: ,
24	Α.	He said he stole it from some people

24

Q.

86 1 that would be out of town. Did you have any conversation with him 2 3 about what was to happen to this car he said he had for you? 5 Α. He wanted me to get paperwork for the car. 7 What do you mean, paperwork for the car? Q. Like title, something to license it 8 Α. 9 with. 10 Did you know any person or persons who Q. might help in that regard? 11 12 λ. Yes. 13 Whom did you know? Q. A friend of mine, TC, Tom Christos. 14 Α. 15 Q. Would you spell the last name? 16 C-r-i-s-t-o-s. A. 17 Did you know at the time you saw this Q. 18 maroon Nissan whose car it was? I had an idea. 19 A. 20 Q. Why did you have an idea whose car it 21 was? Because I had seen it one other time 22

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

The Points is an apartment complex?

when I went to The Points, these apartments.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes.
- Q. The one time you saw it did you associate the car or at least a car which looked like that with Danise Lizzi?
- A. Well, later on the car was in somebody else's parking place and I asked the person later whose car it was.

MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR, HARMON:

- Q. So at the apartment complex called The Points you had seen this vehicle before?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As a result of information you had acquired did you think, perhaps, when you saw the car at Tom's shop that you knew whose vehicle it was?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Whose car did you think it was?

MR. WOLFSON: Objection; speculation.

- 21 Think, perhaps, maybe she knows. That's22 speculation.
- _ _ _

MR. HARMON; Your Honor, we're talking

24 about the state of mind of this witness, that's all.

	-	•	88
1		THE COURT: Objection overruled.	
2	BY MR. HARMO	Э н :	
3	Ω.	Whose car did you think it was?	
4	Α.	I thought it was Denise's.	
5	Ω.	Did you remember where the car was	
6	parked in re	elation to this shop owned by Tom Sims?	
7	λ.	It was right in front of his shop doors	•
8	Q.	Did you drive the car anywhere from that	t
9	location?		
10	A.	Yes, I did.	
11	Q.	Had you had anything to do with bringing	3
12	this marcon	Nissan to Tom's shop?	
13	A.	No.	
14	Q.	Why did you drive the car?	
15	A,	I took it to my friends.	
16	Ω,	I'm asking why you drove?	
17	A,	Because he told me to.	
18	Q.	He meaning Mike Rippo?	
19	A. :	Yes.	
20	Q.	What friends did you take it to?	
21	λ.	Tom Christos.	
22	٥.	Did you have a conversation with	
23	Mr. Christo	5 	
24	A	Yes.	

		p 9
1	Q about the car?	
2	λ. Yes.	
3	Q. The following day, Wednesday, February	
4	19, did you have contact with Mike Rippo, the	
5	defendant?	
6	A. Yes, I did.	
7	Q. Did the two of you have occasion to go	
8	to a shopping mall?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. What mall did you go to?	
11	A. The Meadows Mail.	
12	Q. What car were you in to go to the	
13	Meadows Mall?	
14	A. I don't remember. I can't remember if	
15	it was the Pinto or the marcon car.	
16	Q. By the maroon car	
17	A. Denise's car.	
18	Q. You don't remember whether it was Mike	
19	Rippo's car or the car you think belonged to Denise?	
20	A. Right.	
21	Q. Who was driving the car on the way to	
22	the Meadows?	
23	A. Mr. Rippo.	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

Did you have a conversation with him as

you drove to the Meadows Mall on Wednesday, February 1 19, about any shopping he had already done that day? 2 3 Yes. Was it just the two of you in the car? 4 Q. 5 Α. Yes. What did he tell you? 6 Q. He told me he had bought an air 7 A. compressor and some tools at Service Merchandise 8 that morning. 9 He mentioned Sears also? 10 Q. Yes. 11 A. What exactly is it that you remember him 12 Q. 13 saying? A. Just that he had bought an air 14 compressor and that he had to go pick it up later. 15 But you mentioned two businesses, you 16 Q. mentioned Service Merchandise and Sears? 17 MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, your Honor. 18 Actually, the DA mentioned Sears. She just said 19 20 yes. THE COURT: That's true. 21

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

I suggested the second, but you affirmed

BY MR. HARMON:

that is what he said?

22

23

24

		91
1	A	Yes.
2	Q.	Did he mention he had been to two
. 3	places?	
4	A,	He said Sears and Service Merchandise.
5	Q.	Did he make it clear to you of where he
6	had gone to	make the purchase of the air compressor?
7	A.	Service Merchandise.
8	Q.	And the other?
9	A	Service Merchandise.
10	ρ.	But not Sears?
11	A.	No. I thought they were the same place.
12	Ω.	Did he tell you when he had gone there?
13	λ.	That morning.
1 4	٥.	Did Mr. Rippo tell you how he had made
15	the purchas	e; that was, whether he paid cash or used
16	a credit car	rd?
17	A	He said he had used a credit card.
18	Ω.	Did he tell you what credit card he
19	used?	
20	А.	No.

- What happened when the two of you got to 21 22 the Meadows Mall?
- We went to a shop in the mall that sold 23 glasses, sunglasses and glasses. 24

	•
1	Q. Do you remember the name of the shop?
2	A. The Sunglass Factory, or something like
3	that. I don't remember.
4	Q. How did it happen that the two of you
5	went there?
6	A. He just said, come on, we're going
7	somewhere,
8	Q. Had you discussed beforehand
9	A. No.
10	Q that you wanted to buy some
11	sunglasses?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did both of you go into The Sunglass
1 4	Factory or store?
1 5	A. Yes,
16	Q. How long did you stay there?
17	A. About 20 minutes.
18	Q. Were purchases made?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What did you buy there?
21	A. Two pairs of sunglasses.
22	Q. One for each of you?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you remember what brand the

		93
1	sunglasses	were?
2	A. ¹ .	They were Oakley's.
3	۵.	Both of them?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q	Did you buy them?
6	Α.	No.
7	Q.	Did you have the money to buy them?
8	А.	No.
9	Q.	Who did buy the sunglasses?
10	А,	Mr. Rippo.
11	Q.	Do you know whether he paid cash or used
12	a credit c	ard?
13	A.	He used a credit card.
14	σ.	Did you know what credit card it was?
15	A.	Not then, no.
16	õ.	Could you tell what type of credit card
17	it was?	· :
18	A.	It was a gold VISA card.
19	Q.	During the transaction did you realize
20	what name	was on the gold VISA credit card?
21	A.	No.
22	Q.	Who signed the credit card slip?
23	A.	Mr. Rippo.
24	Q.	You saw him do that?

(702) 382-7530 LAS VEGAS REPORTING SERVICES NEVADA

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A, Yes.
- Q. Did you go to any other stores at the Meadows Mall?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Just to the sunglass place?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What happened after your visit to the Meadows Mall?
 - A. I left and we went back to Deidre's house, and Mike was upstairs doing something, and I got in his wallet.
 - Q. Why did you get in his wallet?
 - A. Because I was going to take money or something to get away from him.
 - Q. . Why did you want to get away from him?
 - A. Because I was scared of him.
 - Q. Up to this point had your fear of Mr. Rippo translated into any effort to contact law enforcement?
 - A. That day I talked to Deidre about getting shold of someone.
 - Q. As a result of the conversation with Deidre D'Amore, did you have a name?
 - A. She told me Kyle Edwards.

. 1	Q. Except, however, for the conversation
2	with her, had you contacted anyone in law
3	enforcement up to this point?
4	A. Not at that point.
5	Q. Hadn't called 911?
6	A. Not yet.
7	Q. What were you waiting for?
8	A. I was scared to call anyone.
9	Q. Why were you scared?
10	A. Because he told me if I told anyone,
11	that he would kill Deidre and her daughter too. She
12	had a little girl living there with her.
13	Q. He meaning Mike Rippo, the defendant?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Did you take him seriously?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So you've indicated that you went into
18	his wallet while he was upstairs?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What were you looking for?
. 21	A. Money, Something.
22	Q. Did you find any money?
: 23	A. No.
24	Q. Did you find you took

	•	:
1	Α.	I took a credit card.
2	۵.	Describe the credit card you took.
3	Α.	It was a gold VISA.
4	Q.	Did you notice the name of the gold
5	VISA?	
6	Α.	I did.
7	Q.	At that time you did?
8	λ.	I don't know if it was then or later on,
9	but I notice	d that it wasn't his name.
10	Q.	It wasn't Mike Rippo's name?
11	Α,	Yes.
12	Q.	What was the name?
13	A,	Denny Mason.
14	Q.	M-a-s-o-n?
15	A.	Yes.
16	٥.	Did you know who Denny Mason was?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	Did you have any idea who this Denny
19	Mason persor	n was?
20	Α	No.
21	Q.	Did you associate Denny Mason to either
23	Denise Lizzi	or Lauri Jacobson?
23	A.	No.
24	Q٠	But you took the credit card?

	:	,	97
1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	What happened then?	
3	А.	I went back to TC's house, Tommy	
4	Christos.		
5	Ω	Why did you go back there?	
6	А.	Because he was supposed to have the	
7	paperwork f	or the car.	
8	Q.	Did you make contact with Tom Christos?	
9	Α.	Yes, I did.	
10	Q.	Did you have a conversation with him?	
11	A.	Yes.	
12	Q.	Did you get the paperwork?	
13	Α.	No. He didn't have it ready.	
1 4	۵.	What happened?	
15	A.	His girlfriend, Teresa, was there, and	
16	it was her	birthday, and she wanted to go somewhere	,
17	and he didn	't want her to leave. And she was	
18	dressed up,	all ready to go. And she asked me to	
19	take her.	He told me to go get the car, the maroon	
20	car. So sh	e asked to come with me.	
21	Ω.	Who told you to go get the marcon car?	

- who told you to go get the marcon car? Q.
- Tommy Christos. Α,

So I gather if he asked you to do that, 23 Q. that you weren't driving the marcon Nissan at that 24

	t	1	m	e	7
İ					

- A. No. I was driving my car.
- Q. Did you and Teresa -- did you explain to us her last name?
 - A. I don't even remember her last nams.
- 6 Q. Did you and Teresa go somewhere
- 7 together?
- 8 A. Yes. She came with me to get the other 9 car.
- 10 Q. Where did you go to get the other car?
- 11 A. In the apartment complex behind Deidre's
- 12 house. That's where the car was parked.
- 13 Q. Was it covered or uncovered?
- 14 A. It was covered.
- 15 Q. Who covered it?
- 16 A. I did.
- 17 Q. What did you put over it?
- 18 A. A car cover.
- 19 Q. Why did you cover it up?
- 20 A. Because it was a stolen car.
- 21 Q. Do you remember what car you drove with
- 22 Teresa to the location where the maroon Nissan had
- 23 been stored?

24

A. My Dodge Colt.

1	Q. Did you leave the Dodge Colt at that
2	location?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. what happened?
5	A. I left my car there and we got into the
6	Nissan and went back. We were heading back to TC's
7	house.
8	Q. TC is Tom Christos?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. You say you were heading back. Did you
11	get back to his place?
12	A. No. On the way back Teresa started
13	crying, and she was begging me, please don't take me
14	back, that she was saying that he had been
15	beating her and he wouldn't let her leave the house,
6	and it was her birthday and she just didn't want to
7	go back.
18	Q. As a result of that did you take her
i 9	somewhere?
20	λ. Yes.
21	Q. Where did you go?
22	A. I took her to the Marker Downs.
23	Q. What is the Marker Downs?
₹4	A. It's a bar that was right behind Tom

		•
1	Sims' shop.	
2	Q.	Did you spend some time there?
3	A.	Yeah.
4	Q.	Did you also go to a shopping mall?
Ś	A.	Yes.
6	۵.	When was that, before or after you went
7	to the Mark	er Downs?
8	A.	I think it was before. I'm not sure.
9	Q.	Why did you go with Teresa to a shopping
10	mall?	
11	A.	Because Mike found out Mr. Rippo
12	found out I	had the credit card, and he was calling
13	around tryi	ng to take tell me to give it back.
14		So I told him I'd meet him at the
15	shopping ma	ll to give him back the card and he had

Q. Where exactly were you when you had the conversation with Mr. Rippo about returning the car?

A. I had his beeper at the time.

Q. So he called you on the beeper?

A. I called him. He beeped me.

Q. He beeped you. As a result of that did you call him?

A. Yes.

to bring me some money.

.16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

101 1 Q. Where did you call? 2 I called him from the mall, and I told Α. 3 him to meet me there. 4 Q. You called to Deidre D'Amore's place? 5 I don't remember where I called. A. 6 Q. Did he give you a number to call? 7 Yeah, there was a number. Α. 8 Q. Did you have a conversation with him? 9 A. Yes. 10 What did you talk about? Q. 11 A. He was mad. He wanted the credit card 12 back. 13 And --And he said I shouldn't have taken it 14 15 and it was his and he wanted it back. 16 Did he share with you at that time how Q. he happened to have a credit card under the name 18 Denny Mason? . 19 A. No. 20 Q. Did you agree that he could have it back? 21 22 Yes. I said he could have it back if he A.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

What mall were you calling from?

brought me some money.

Q.

	_	_
ł	n	-
ı	u	

ŧ		
1	Α.	The Meadows.
2	Q.	So you're once more at the Meadows Mall?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q.	This is still February 19?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Did you ever meet up with the defendant
7	at the Meado	ows Mall that day?
8	λ.	No. We waited until the mall closed.
9	Ω.	We is yourself and Teresa?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Did you use the credit card while you
12	were at the	Meadows Mall?
13	A.	Yes, I did.
14	Ω.	what did you use it to buy?
15	Α.	I bought Teresa a birthday present.
16	۵.	What did you buy her?
17	А.	Cologne. Obsession.
18	Ω.	Did you thereafter go somewhere else
19	with Tereșa	?
.20	А.	To the bar.
21	Q.	The Marker Downs?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	How long had you stayed there?
24	Α.	Long enough for her to get drunk.
22 23	A. Q.	Yes. How long had you stayed there?

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

- Q. Did you go somewhere else? Yes. I took her to another bar, Club Α. 3 Rock, and I called TC from there.
 - What did you tell TC? Q.
 - I said I needed to bring her home, that A. she was drunk, and I couldn't be driving that car around, and that I had to bring her home.
 - Did he want her home? Q.
 - No. He was very mad, mad at me for Α. having her with me, and he said he didn't want her back and not to bring her back there, and he was mad.
 - As a result of that conversation did you make an effort to find a place to stay that night?
 - Yes, I did. Α.
 - What did you do? Q.
- 17 I went from motel to motel until I found Α. 18 a place that had a room that would take a credit 19 card, and I got us a room.
 - Where did you get the two of you --Q.
- 21 At the Gold Coast. Α.
- Was it just the two of you? 22 Q.
- No. There was some people we picked up 23 24 at this bar and took back with us.

ŀ		
1	Q.	Which bar?
2	λ.	Club Rock.
3	Q.	How many people did you pick up?
4	A.	Two.
5	Ω-	Who were these two people?
6	A.	Two guys that were playing there in the
7	band.	
8	Q.	In the band at Club Rock?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	۵.	Where was it you stayed that night?
11	A.	At the Gold Coast.
12	Q.	Did you use the credit card in the name
13	Denny Mason	at the Gold Coast?
14	A. :	Yes.
15	Q.	Do you remember now what time it was,
16	approximate.	ly, when you checked into the Gold Coast?
17	A.	I don't remember.
18	۵.	Was it sometime the night of February
19	19, 1992?	•
20	Α.	It was in the late afternoon, I think,
21	more, or th	e evening. I can't remember.
22	Ω.	Did you continue to have the maroon
23	Nissan	t .
24	۸.	Yes.

1	Q.	that evening?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Ω.	While you were with Teresa, did you ever
4	go anywhere	to get some spray paint?
5	Α.	Yes,.
6	۵.	was that also on February 19?
7	А.	I can't remember,
8	Ω.	Where did you go to get spray paint?
9	Α.	To my friends' house, Jason and Rick.
10	۷.	Do you remember their last names?
11	Α.	No, not now.
1 2	۵.	Why did you go to their place to get
13	some paint?	
1 4	А.	Because they worked on cars and I
15	thought the	y would probably have some.
16	Ω.	What did you want to use the paint for?
17	А.	I wanted to spray it on the car because
18	I was drivi:	ng a car around I was stuck driving
19	the car, and	the car was stolen, and I wanted to
20	change the	appearance
21	Q.	But were you able to obtain some paint
22	from Jason	and Rick?
23	۸.	Yes, Some primer.
24	Ω.	What did you do with the primer paint?

. 24

I sprayed on it the front fender of the 1 Α. 2 car. Do you remember where you were when you Q. did that? At their house. Α. 5 6 Ω. But I gather from your testimony you 7 don't remember if this was on the 19th or after 8 that? 9 Α. Right. Did there come a time when you learned 10 that the crime at the Katie Arms apartment complex 11 had been discovered? . 12 Yes. 13 Α. How did that come to your attention? 14 Q. I was back at the same place, Rick and 15 Jason's, and it came on the news. 16 17 What was your reaction to the news of ٥. what had occurred? 18 I knew, I knew for sure then with no 19 Α. doubt that they were dead and that that's whose car 20 I had. 21 22 Did you continue to drive the car? Q. I drove it to where I left it. 23 Where did you leave it?

Α. I left it at the Albertson's on Rainbow, 1 2 I left it out toward the street because I thought that the police would see it. Э. Q. Did you make any effort immediately 4 after you learned that for sure these two young 5 women had been killed to get in touch with the 7 police? When I talked to Deidre next I did. 8 Α. What were your efforts to contact the 9 Q. 10 police? 11 Α. I asked Deidre to help me get in touch with somebody and --12 MR. WOLFSON: I'm going to object; 13 anticipating hearsay. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. 15 BY MR. HARMON: 16 Is this the same conversation you've 17 Q. already referred to? 18 No. This was a different one. 19 Α, This is a second time you asked? 20 Q. A. Yes. 21 As a result of the conversation did you 22 get the name of someone? 23

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

A,

Yes.

24

1	Q. What was the name?
2	A. Kyle Edwards.
. 3	Q. So you're saying this is the second time
4	you got the name Kyle Edwards?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you try to reach a Kyle Edwards at
7	the police department?
8	A. Through Deidre I did.
9	Q. What do you mean through Deidre?
10	A. She said she had already spoken
11	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	MR. WOLFSON: Move to strike.
14	THE COURT: It will be stricken.
15	MR. WOLFSON: Ask the witness to be
16	gautioned to not relate what other people say.
17	THE COURT: You can't say what someone
18	else told you. You can only state from your
19	personal observation.
20	BY MR. HARMON:
21	Q. You don't have any legal training, do
22	you, Miss Hunt?
23	A. No.
24	Q. You didn't go to law school?

1	A. No.
2	Q. What is the extent of your formal
3	education?
4	A. I graduated high school and I'm in
5	college.
6	Q. You say you graduated high school, did
7	you say, and college?
а	A. I'm in college.
9	Q. That you're in college.
10	Are you schooled in the rules of
11	evidence in courts of law?
1 2	A. No.
13	Q. Did you ever attempt on your own to get
14	in touch with anyone at the police department?
15	A. That one time I did.
16	Q. What were your efforts?
17	A. I called them on the phone.
18	Q. Do you remember where you were when you
19	placed the call?
20	A. At Deidre's apartment.
21	MR. DUNLEAVY; Could we specify who them
22	is; Highway Patrol
.23	THE COURT: Who did you call on the
24	phone?

THE WITNESS: I called the homicide. 2 BY MR. HARMON: Where were you when you placed the call? 3 Q. At Deidre's apartment. Α. By yourself? Q. 5 6 Yes. Α. You've just indicated in response to the 7 Q. 8 Court that you called someone in homicide? 9 A. Were you able to talk to anyone? 10 Q. MR. WOLFSON: Objection. I would just 11 like a little better foundation as to when this 12 13 occurred. THE COURT: Lay a better foundation. 14 BY MR. HARMON: 15 Do you remember approximately when this 16 Q. call was? 17 The day I saw it on the news, the 20th. 18 Α. February 20, 1992? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. Do you remember about what time of the 21 Q. day or night it was --22 23 Α. No. -- that you made the call? 24 Q.

1	A. When I called him it was after that. It
2	was on the first time I called him directly was,
3	I think, the 29th.
4	Q. Well, that's what you were asked about.
5	When is it that you first learned
6	that the two young women had for sure been killed?
7	A. When I saw it on the news.
8	Q. Do you know what date that was?
9	A. The 20th.
10	Q. But you're indicating it was more like
11	February 29 of when you actually
12	A. When I actually spoke to that man on the
13	phone it was the 29th.
14	Q. You did speak with someone on the
15	telephone
16	A. Yes.
17	Q at the police department?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Did you have a number that you had
20	called?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Where did you get the number?
22 23	Q. Where did you get the number? A. From Deidre.

Q.

1 for a particular person? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Did you speak with someone? A, Yes. without going into the conversation, did 5 Q. б that person identify himself or herself? 7 Yes. Α. 8 Q. By what name? 9 Kyle Edwards. A. Previously, on that day, had you had 10 Q. contact with the defendant, Mike Rippo --11 12 Α., Yes. -- on February 29 --13 Q. 14 A. Yes. -- 1992? Q. 15 How did you happen to have 16 17 contact with Mr. Rippe on that day? He was trying to get into the apartment 18 where I was, Deidre's. 19 Was it while that was happening that you 20 attempted to reach Kyle Edwards of the police 21 department? 22 Α. Yes. 23

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

What did you say to Mr. Edwards?

- A. I told him that he was at the door, that he was trying to get in the door.

 Q. About how long were you on the telephone?

 A. About five minutes.
- Q. After the telephone conversation did you go anywhere with Mr. Rippo?
- A. After he came in the house, and he left that day, and I came back later. I called the house first and Deidre was home and I asked her, is Mike there? And she said, no.

And I said, are you sure? And she said, yes. And I came to get the rest of my stuff, and when I got there he was there, and he was waiting in the house.

- Q. About what time was this?
- A. In the afternoon.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm sorry, your Honor.

I'm confused. I thought he came over in the evening to get in and she called Kyle Edwards. I guess I'm confused as to timing.

22 BY MR. HARMON:

Q. Can you clarify the timing; you're saying that he was coming into Deidre's place and

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

18

you called Kyle Edwards?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You said that you then left?
- A. I left Deidre's house the day that he ended up with me. I came back over there and he was waiting there for me.
- Q. But was that the same day or a different day?
- A. I'm not sure. It might have been the next day. I know it was February 29 when I went back over there, and he was waiting there for me, and I got in my car to leave, and he got in my car also.
- 14 Q. Do you have some difficulty after almost
 15 four years in remembering specific dates?
 - A. Yes, Yes, I do.
 - Q. But you're saying that there was an occasion when Mr. Rippo got into your car with you?
 - λ. Yes.
 - Q. What car were you driving at that time?
- 21 A. The Dodge Colt, my car.
- Q. Did you want him to get into the car with you?
 - . |
- 24 A. No.

- 1	
1	Q. Now, is this after you had the
2	conversation with Kyle Edwards?
3	A, Yes.
4	Q. What happened after Mr. Rippo got into
5	your Dodge with you?
6	A. He wouldn't get out. He kept asking,
7	telling me to come with him, and telling me not to
8	leave, and he wouldn't get out of my car.
9	Q. Were you driving at that time or was the
10	car parked?
11	A. I was still in the parking lot at that
12	time.
13	Q. Still in which parking lot?
14	A. In Deidre is.
15	Q. Did you at that point have any intention
16	of continuing the relationship with Mr. Rippo?
17	A. No.
18	Q. You said he was telling you not to
19	leave?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Why was he telling you not to leave?
22	A. I think he was actually when I was in
23	the house he wanted me to come upstairs with him,

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

and I wouldn't, because there was no reason to go

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

15

18

19

upstairs, and I was afraid if I went upstairs with him I'd never come back down.

So I ran out of the house and got into my car also, and he was saying, don't leave, don't go anywhere.

- Q. Did Mr. Rippo ever tell you what would happen if you left?
 - A. Yes. That was later on that day though.
- Q. Did you end up driving somewhere with him in the car?
- A. Yeah, I took off driving. He wouldn't get out of the car so I started driving to my friend Doug's house.
 - Q. What is Doug's last name?
- 16 A. Starts with a Z. Ziltz, I think. I
 17 can't remember, even remember.
 - Q. Do you know how it's spelled?
 - $A. \qquad z-i-1-t-z,$
- 20 Q. First name was Doug?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. While you were driving in the direction of Doug's place did the conversation continue with Mr. Rippo?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What do you remember about the conversation?
- A. He told me -- he started out saying that he wanted to kill every -- quite a lot of people, me included. And he said -- he started talking about what he would do to me if I left. And he started explaining what he did to the girls in the apartment.
- Q. What did he tell you he would do to you if you left?
- A. He told me that he would do the same thing to me that he did to them. And he also told me, I'll hang you in the legal system, when I suggested we go talk to the police.
- 16 Q. Did you suggest that the two of you go 17 to the police?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Did Mr. Rippo agree to that?
 - A. No.
 - Q. You mentioned earlier in your testimony that on the day this happened, Tuesday, February 18, that when you drove off you left him standing in the parking lot of the Katie Arms?

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

14

15

18

19

23

24

- A. Yes.
- On this day when you were riding with him, which you've indicated you believe to be February 29, did Mr. Rippo tell you whether he ever went back into the apartment?
 - He said he did. Α,
 - Q. He told you that on that occasion?
 - He said he had gone back. Α.
 - Did he tell you when he went back? Q.
 - He just said, I went back that evening.
- 11 That same day. I took it it was the same day.
- 12 Did he tell you what happened when he went back? 13
- He said he went back and he had cut Α. their throats and that he had jumped up and down on them. And he was just saying all kinds of things 16 17 that he had done.
 - Did you have any way of knowing whether Q. this was accurate information that he was giving?
- 20 Α. No.
- Did it scare you? 21 Q.
- I believed him. 22 Α.
 - Did you ever have any conversation with Q. Mr. Rippo about who it was he had called from Lauri

Jacobson's apartment?

- A. Yes. That's when he told me.
- 3 Q. That same day while you were in the
 - Dodge?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

- A. Yeah.
- Q. Did you ask him about it?
- A. Yes. I asked him, how did you know the phone was going to ring? And I was asking him all kinds of questions.
- Q. What did Mr. Rippo say to you?
- A. He said he had called Alice, his friend
 Alice, and told her to call back.
- 13 Q. Did he tell you why he called Alice?
- 14 A. I guess because he trusted her.
- 15 Q. Did he tell you why he wanted someone,
 16 whether it was Alice or another person, to call the
 17 apartment at that time?
- 18 A. To occupy Lauri.
 - Q. , Lauri Jacobson?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. To occupy her what, why?
- 22 A. So he could rob Denise.
- Q. Did Mr. Rippo tell you whether he explained any of that to his friend Alice?

- A. He didn't tell me.
- Q. You mentioned that that day you had gone back over to Deidre's place to collect all of your belongings?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Had you picked up your belongings?
 - A. Yes. They were all in my car.
 - Q. At some point did you jump out of your car?
 - A. Yes. My car -- he was driving -- we were driving and the car ran out of gas and on Sahara, and he was still telling me not to leave, not to get out of the car, and I just jumped out of the car and started running down the road, waving my arms.
 - Q. Did you attempt to take anything with you from the car?
 - A. No. I just jumped out.
 - Q. Where did you go?
 - A. I ran down the road and flagged down the first car that came by. And then I went to the gas station that was up the road and I called my friend Doug.
 - Q. Did you talk with him?

١.

22

23

24

- Yeah. He said he would come get me. 1 A. 2 Did he? Q. 3 Yeah. Α. When you say that you had all of your 4 Q. belongings in your Dodge, will you describe for us 5 the types of things that you had? 6 I had clothes and all my personal stuff, 7 A. 8 Q. Did you have any type of luggage or 9 carrying bags? I had a brown bag that had all my 10 pictures in it and some hygiene items, and I had 11 some other bags with clothes and stuff like that. 12 Do you know what happened to Mr. Rippo 13 and to your Dodge car after you jumped out and left? 14 well, I know that when me and Doug came 15 Α. back to see if he was still there he was gone and 16 some of my stuff was gone out of my car. The door 17 18 was still open. was the car still stopped there on 19 Sahara at the same place where it had run out of 20 21
 - gas?
 - Yes. A.
 - What was missing from the car? Q.
 - My bag with all my pictures in it and --Α.

(702) 382-7530 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES

NR. HARMON: May I have the Court's 1 2 indulgence? THE COURT: You may. 3 Maybe we should take a short 5 recess. Remember, do not converse with 6 7 anyone on any subject connected with this case; 8 read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the trial by any medium of information; 9 including, without limitation, newspapers, radio 10 or television, or form or express any opinion on 11 12 this trial until the case is submitted to you for 13 deliberation. 14 (After a recess the following 15 proceedings were had in open court 16 in the presence and hearing of the 17 jury.) 18 19 20 Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury? 21 22 MR. SEATON: Yes, your Honor. 23 MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: You may continue with your

direct examination.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

Judge, may I approach the

witness?

. 30

THE COURT: You may.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, before he asks to open that, we do have an objection to that piece of evidence.

Examining it, it appears that the evidence tape has actually been cut and resealed with regular scotch tape.

I would also submit that there is no chain as to where this came from or how it got here, and I think that needs to be laid before they start handing the evidence to the witness.

MR. HARMON: Not necessarily, your Honor.

what we'd like to do is just to show her the bag. She is not going to recognize the bag, but we simply want the record to show, and I'll ask her this, if the bag in is intact, it's in a sealed condition.

Counsel can make whatever record he wants to describe the seals, but we're entitled

then once the record shows that it's in tact to have her look at the contents, and that's what we want to have her do, to find out if she recognizes the contents.

It appears it's going to be a brown bag, and we want her then to look at what's inside the bag. It's just certainly more expeditious to do that now than to call her back after we put the impounding officer on.

That person will be called as a witness. That person can explain how he or she acquired the bag, but this is simply for the purpose of identification now, Judge.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, they're getting the cart before the horse; particularly, since this is the kind of item that's normally kept in an evidence locker. It's evidence, obviously.

There is no foundation how it got out, and the seals, the Court can take a look, have scotch tape on them instead of the regular evidence tape.

THE COURT: I will reserve ruling on the admissibility of the evidence until proper foundation is laid.

б

. :

! '

MR. DUNLEAVY: We're objecting to them having a witness testify to what's in there when they haven't laid a foundation to show it's admissible.

MR. HARMON: And we're representing,

Judge, that the impounding officer is going to be
called as a witness. She is Debbie McCracken of the
crime lab of the police department.

THE COURT: All right. Your objection's overruled.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q. Miss Hunt, I'm showing you a large evidence bag. It's been marked by the clerk as Proposed Exhibit No. 92.

Now, all that we want you to do will be to examine the bag, have you look at the bottom — the sides, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: There are brackets underneath that. Push up on that. Brackets underneath.

MR. HARMON: You need to push over there too. Thank you.

BY MR. HARMON:

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

1 ¢

11

12

. 13

14

15

18

22

- 23

. 24

, 19

- Q. -- to tell us if the bag does appear to be sealed at this time?
 - A. Yes, it appears to be sealed. Yeah.
- Q. What we want you to do now, using the scissors I'm handing you, will be to cut open the bag.

Perhaps, you can just cut right across at the top.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we'd ask she cut somewhere where there are no seals.

THE COURT: Maybe on the side up above.

THE WITNESS: Right here?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Turn the bag around. I believe the other side has no tape on it.

MR. HARMON: May want to cut vertically

17 as well.

And now down at the end.

Thank you. Will you now remove

20 the contents.

21 BY MR. HARMON:

Q. For the record, Miss Hunt, what have you just removed from Proposed Exhibit 92, the evidence bag?

1	A. A bag. A brown bag.
\$	Q. Do you recognize the brown bag?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Whose brown bag is it?
5	A. Mine.
6	Q. How do you recognize it?
7	A. Because it's my bag. I remember this
8	bag.
9	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have the
10	bag marked as Proposed 92-A?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'd ask to
13	have her state what is there about this bag that's
14	unique that she can identify.
15	MR. HARMON: Is he asking to take her on
16	voir dire
17	THE COURT: Do you want to take her on
18	voir dire?
19	MR. HARMON: or is he wanting me to
20	ask his questions for him?
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: I just was asking for
22	foundation. If you want me to do it, I'll be glad
23	to do it.
24	MR. HARMON; We're going to go into the
	ı

contents of the bag. It would certainly assist her in determining whose bag it is.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, maybe the contents are letters, maybe not. The question right now is is it her bag.

MR. HARMON: And she said it was.

MR. DUNLEAVY: And I'm saying there was an inadequate foundation.

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy wants to take her on voir dire regarding the bag.

MR. HARMON: That's fine.

12

14

15

20

21

d | 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

- Q. You took a quick look at this bag.
- Is there anything about the

 outside of this bag that says it's yours as opposed

 to any other bag like this?
- 19 A. No.
 - Q. Do you have any idea how many of these bags were made?
- 22 A. No.
- Q, You don't have your name on it or your anitials or anything like that?

	129
1	A. No.
2	Q. So the best you could say is this is a
3	bag similar to the one you have?
4	A. Exactly similar to the one I had.
5	Q. A brown basically plastic shoulder bag?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. DUNLEAVY: I just want to lay a
8	foundation. All she's doing is saying whatever the
9	DA wants her to say. We don't know if that's her
10	bag or not.
11	MR. HARMON: That is not necessarily
12	true.
13	THE COURT: She said what she wanted to
14	say, not what the DA wanted her to say.
15	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	(Continued)
18	BY MR. HARMON:
19	Q. Miss Hunt, you previously mentioned that
20	on February 29 your car stalled on Sahara?
21	A. Yes.
	1

Q. That you were with Mr. Rippo and you

said you jumped out?

22

23

24

A. Yes.

	. 130
1	Q. That you had referred to a brown bag
2	that was in the car.
3	Where in the car was the bag?
4	A. Right behind the driver's seat in the
5	back seat on the floor.
6	Q. As you look at Proposed Exhibit 92-A,
7	does it look like the brown bag you left behind in
8	the car?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Now, you mentioned sometime later you
11	and your friend Doug returned to the location where
12	the car had run out of gas?
13	A. Yea.
14	Q. But you've already told us a bag was
15	missing. What bag was missing?
16	A. This bag.
17	Q. A bag identical to this bag?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Will you look inside the bag now,
20	Proposed Exhibit 92-A.
21	Do you observe various contents
22	inside the bag?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you recognize any of the contents of

the bag?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

- A. Not this stuff on top I don't.
- Q. Will you remove --

MR. WOLFSON: I can't hear the witness,
Mr. Harmon. Could you please ask the witness to

6 speak up?

THE COURT: Speak up.

THE WITNESS: I don't recognize this,

all this stuff on the top, no.

10 BY MR. HARMON:

A.

- Q. Will you remove the contents of the bag, please, and simply for the record describe each item
- ·

as you remove it.

15 MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, could we have

her identify as to what kind and by name and so

17 forth?

18 THE WITNESS: Black Sabbath tape

A tape cassette.

19 cassette with tape in it.

A blue address book.

MR. HARMON: That's fine.

THE WITNESS: I don't know about this.

I don't remember.

24

20

21

22

23

BY MR. HARMON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

23

24

4

- Q. And, perhaps, as you go through these items that we could have you -- you indicated you didn't recognize any of the items at the top?
 - A. Right,
- Q. Will you put to the right any items you don't recognize?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And if you come across anything in Proposed Exhibit 92-A that you do recognize, will you put that in a separate pile to your left?
- 12 A. Okay.
- This is a compact mirror.
- 14 Q. You recognize that or don't recognize
 15 that?
 - 16 A. I don't recognize it. This is a -17 something:
 - Q. Just describe its physical appearance.
 - A. It's like a garage door opener, or an alarm or something. A black -- it's black.
 - 21 A purple thing. I don't know.
- 22 Purple. I don't know what it is. A purple thing.
 - Q. Some type of purple bag or purse?
 - A. Something you put something in.

1	A tape cassette, Guns & Roses. A
2	black address book. Another tape cassette that says
3	Guns & Roses.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: That one's in a
5	THE WITNESS: It's in a just a
6	regular tape thing. The tape says Metal Church.
7	A plan a day planner. A black
8	and gray wallet. A small black purse thing. A
9	change purse or something.
10	A Norelco case, black case.
11	Two a package of correction fluid with two things
12	in it, two vials.
1	
13	A package of razor blades. A
13	A package of razor blades. A
13 14	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather this is mine.
13 14 15	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather this is mine. This is a
13 14 15 16	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather this is mine. This is a MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice
13 14 15 16	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather this is mine. This is a MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice up.
13 14 15 16 17 18	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather — this is mine. This is a —— MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice up. THE WITNESS: This is an organizer.
13 14 15 16 17 18	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather — this is mine. This is a — MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice up. THE WITNESS: This is an organizer. BY MR. HARMON:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather — this is mine. This is a — MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice up. THE WITNESS: This is an organizer. BY MR. HARMON: Q. So you recognize —
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A package of razor blades. A black key holder thing. A leather — this is mine. This is a — MR. HARMON: You need to keep your voice up. THE WITNESS: This is an organizer. BY MR. HARMON: Q. So you recognize — A. That's mine, yeah.

ii.

```
1
                          These are -- this is my sister.
  2
      These are pictures of mine.
  3
                  MR. DUNLEAVY: How many of them?
  4
                  THE WITNESS: There is three right
      there.
  5
                  MR. DUNLEAVY: Are those Polaroid?
  6
  7
                  THE WITNESS: Yes.
  8
                         This is a notebook, a small
      notebook.
  9
      BY MR. HARMON:
 10
                  What color is it?
 11
           Q.
 12
           A.
                  Blue.
                         A bottle of baby oil, which I
 13
      don't really remember in that if that was mine or
 14
      not.
 15
                         And hair spray. Deodorant.
 16
                         This is a letter to my brother.
 17
      That's mine.
 18
 19
           Q.
                 That's written on pink paper?
                  Yes.
 20
                         This is my sister's. My sister's
 21
22
      social security card -- thing.
23
                  MR. DUNLEAVY: Is that Xerox copy?
 24
                  THE WITNESS: Yes. And her license.
```

б

Gold Coast.

			1
The	sse are pi	ctures of m	ine.
Do	you want	to know how	many
there are or what?			
MR. HARMOI	i: Don't	try to cour	it them
all, but go as quickly	y as you o	an through	them so we
can determine if you	recognize	all of thes	se as your
pictures.			
THE WITNES	SS: Yes,	they're all	l mine.
The	ere is an	envelope fi	om the
Gold Coast, There is	two posto	cards. Also	from the

A notebook. A note pad from the Gold Coast. There is pens. Three pens. A tire gauge checker thing. A razor. A toothbrush. Two more razors. Two Gold Coast matchbooks. Another razor.

There is a paper that says.

Chuck, and it has a phone number.

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. Is that yours?
- A, I don't recognize it, no.
- Q. Put that to the right then.
- A. Makeup remover.

Do you want me to dump that out

or what?

Q. Just describe for us generally are there still some loose contents in 92-A?

A. Yeah.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Henor, I would object to just describe loosely. If the State wants to move it into evidence, we want on the record what it is.

THE WITNESS: Here's an earring that I don't recognize. I don't remember. And there is -
MR. HARMON: If I'm -- I didn't mean, counsel, she wasn't to describe them. I'm not sure that she has to remove --

THE WITNESS: There is caps and like a Q-tip and some plastic razor covers. And two more razor covers. And that's it.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q. Now, Miss Hunt, of the items that you have removed from Proposed Exhibit 92-A, have you attempted to segregate them; have you put on the left the things that you either recognize or with the knowledge very probably are yours?

A. Yes.

Q. And what about the things on the right?

1 Α. Those are not mine. What about these last items? 2 Q. They were probably mine on the bottom of 3 Α. the bag. 5 Q. Probably yours that you had in the bag. 6 So may we move those over to this pile? 7 As you look at the things to your right, is there anything there that you recognize as 8 9 belonging to you or your friends or members of your 10 family? 11 Α, No. When you jumped out of the car on 12 February 29, 1992, on Sahara Avenue, were the items 13 which are to your right on the witness stand inside 14 15 the bag? 16 A. No. 17 Q. Are you sure of that? I'm positive. 18 Α. Do you have any idea how these items got 19 Q. inside the bag? 20 21 A. No. MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with the 22 Court's permission, what we'd like to do is to have 23

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

each of the items that the witness is indicating are

į

not hers marked as 92-A-1 through whatever. 1 2 THE COURT: You may do so. 3 MR. HARMON: May we do that? MR, DUNLEAVY: Every item should be 5 marked so we can keep track and examine her on these 6 issues. 7 THE COURT: You want every item marked ₿ separately? MR. DUNLEAVY: If the State wants only a 10 certain group marked, your Honor. Everything should 11 be marked or --12 THE COURT: All the things that she 13 indicated are not hers are 92-A what? 14 MR. HARMON: They can just be contents 15 inside the bag. 16 THE COURT: They can all be kept 17 together in a bag or something. 18 MR. DUNLEAVY: Can we have an 19 opportunity to look at the photos? 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 MR. HARMON: Perhaps, your Honor, we 22 could have the items that she recognizes marked 23 collectively as 92-A-2. Would that be satisfactory? 24 THE COURT: That would be fine with me.

24

	139
1	There is no objection?
2	MR. WOLFSON: I'm sorry, I didn't hear
3	what Mr. Harmon said.
4	THE COURT: All the items that she
5	recognizes as hers will be marked as 92-A-2.
6	MR. WOLFSON: A-2, okay.
7	A-1 are those that she didn't,
8	and A-2 are those that she did.
9	MR. HARMON: 92-A-1 through whatever.
10	THE COURT: Maybe we could get a bag and
11	put all of them inside.
12	Why don't you put do that, put
13	all A-1 that she didn't recognize back in the
14	evidence bag. Put all the things that she
15	recognizes to be hers back in the brown bag.
16	MR. HARMON: We would prefer, your
17	Honor
18	THE COURT: Unless you want to get
19	separate bags.
20	MR. HARMON: that we have the items
21	she does not recognize marked individually.
22	THE COURT: Individually?

(702) 382-7530 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES

Okay.

Yes.

MR, HARMON:

THE COURT:

1	MR. HARMON: This will be 92-A-2
2	collectively, but we're talking here about I
3	understand the problem, but we need to make 1t 92
4	THE COURT: B.
5	MR. HARMON: B. Yes. I'm sorry.
6	THE COURT: 92-B are items you don't
7	want them individually marked?
8	MR. HARMON: No.
9	Your Honor, may I again approach
10	the witness?
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	If you're going to be marking
13	more exhibits, you're going to confuse her, I'll
14	tell you right now.
15	MR, HARMON: We've already got these
	MA, MARMON, WE WE GITERUY GOT THEOLOG
16	marked,
16 17	
	marked,
17	marked. THE COURT: Are they already marked?
17 18	marked. THE COURT: Are they already marked? MR. HARMON: Yes.
17 18 19	marked. THE COURT: Are they already marked? MR. HARMON: Yes. BY MR. HARMON:
17 18 19 20	marked. THE COURT: Are they already marked? MR. HARMON: Yes. BY MR. HARMON: Q. Miss Hunt, earlier in your testimony you
17 18 19 20 21	marked. THE COURT: Are they already marked? MR. HARMON: Yes. BY MR. HARMON: Q. Miss Hunt, earlier in your testimony you referred to a maroon Nissan that you drove from Tom

1	mentioned that you acquired some primer paint
2	A. Yes.
3	Q and you sprayed one of the fenders?
4	A. Yes,
5	Q. I'm showing you two photographs. They
6	are marked as Proposed Exhibit 64 and 65.
7	Do you recognize the vehicle
В	shown in those photographers?
9	A. Yes. It looks like the same car. It
10	is. This one looks the same.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Which one is this one?
12	THE COURT: Describe which picture.
13	THE WITNESS: Both. They're it's the
14	same car. It's Denise's car.
15	THE COURT: The pictures have a tag on
16	the back.
17	BY MR. HARMON:
18	Q. You have referred to Proposed 64
19	A. 64.
20	Q that you indicated that you were sure
21	of when you looked at that picture?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Why is that?
24	A. Because the primer on the door. I mean

on the fender.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

16

19

- Q. Is the primer paint on the fender the paint which was sprayed on by you?
- A. And also the -- yes, and also the mirror. I remember the mirror was broken like that.
 - Q. You're indicating --
 - A. The driver's side.
- Q. -- the rear-view mirror on the driver's side?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you able to say by looking at the photos of the vehicle in Proposed Exhibit 64 and 65, that these are photographs of the car you drove away from Tom Sims' shop the evening of February 18, 1992?
- A. Yes.
- MR. DUNLEAVY: I object. There is no foundation on 65 yet. If there is anything on 65 she recognizes.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 MR. HARMON: I thought she said she
- 22 recognized them both.
- MR. DUNLEAVY: I only heard 64.
- 24 THE COURT: I only heard 64.

1		THE WITNESS: I recognize 65 also.
2		THE COURT: Do you how do you
3	recognize 6	5?
4		THE WITNESS: Because it's the same car.
5	The license	is the same.
6		THE COURT: Okay.
7	BY MR. HARM	ON:
8	Ω.	Rarlier in your testimony you talked
9	about picku	p truck that belonged to Deidre D'Amore?
10	A.	Yes.
11	٥.	I'm showing you Proposed Exhibit 68.
12		Do you recognize the truck shown
13	in that pho	tograph?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q.	Whose truck is it?
16.		made crook to te.
10	λ.	Deidre's.
17	-	
	Α.	Deidre's.
17	A. Q.	Deidre's. What type of truck is it?
17 18	A. Q. A. Q.	Deidre's. What type of truck is it? An Isuzu.: It's tan in color.
17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	Deidre's. What type of truck is it? An Isuzu.: It's tan in color. Do you have any personal knowledge of e Rippo ever had access to Deidre's Isuzu
17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. whether Mik	Deidre's. What type of truck is it? An Isuzu.: It's tan in color. Do you have any personal knowledge of e Rippo ever had access to Deidre's Isuzu
17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. whether Mik pickup truc	Deidre's. What type of truck is it? An Isuzu.: It's tan in color. Do you have any personal knowledge of e Rippo ever had access to Deidre's Isuzu k?

		144
1	Q • .	You referred to a Pinto that was owned
2	by Mr. Ripp	o, the defendant?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	I'm showing you Proposed Exhibit 71 and
5	72,	
6	λ.	Yes, that's the car.
7	Q.	Do you recognize the vehicle shown in
8	those photo	graphs?
9	λ.	Yes.
10	Q٠	What car is that?
11	A.	That's Mr. Rippo's Pinto.
12	Q.	You've also alluded to a Dodge, did you
13	say, Dodge	Colt?
14	A.	Yes.
15	۵.	That was your car?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	I'm showing you Proposed Exhibit 74 and
18	75.	·
19		Do you recognize the vehicle
20	shown in th	ose pictures?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q٠	Whose car is it?
23	A.	That was my car.
24	۵٠	Also, Proposed Exhibit 78.

23

24

1 Do you recognize the business 2 shown in that photograph? That's Tom Sims' shop. 3 You mentioned that when you went down 5 the evening of February 18, 1992 to meet Mr. Rippo, that you observed a maroon Nissan; in fact, the same 6 vehicle which is marked Proposed Exhibit 64 and 65? 7 8 A. Yes. That was parked somewhere in 9 relationship to Mr. Sims' shop; is that correct? 10 11 Yes. 12 Q. Where was it parked? 13 A. Right here in this space. MR. DUNLEAVY: May the record reflect 14 15 she's indicating the bottom left-hand corner of the photograph. 16 17 THE COURT: On which exhibit? Turn the exhibit over. What 18 19 number? 20 THE WITNESS: 78. BY MR. HARMON: 21

I'm handing you a red pen. I realize we can only see in the lower left-hand corner of the photograph part of the parking space, but would you

146 1 put an N for Nissan in red at that location? To your memory was the car parked 2 3 in that parking space? Yes. 4 Α. Q. Will you circle the N that you have 5 placed on Proposed Exhibit 78 and put your initials 6 outside of the circle? 7 Thank you. 8 Your Honor, may we again have the 9 Court's indulgence? 10 11 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. HARMON: 12 Q. I'm showing you now, Miss Hunt, several 13 documents, but one has been marked as Proposed 14 Exhibit 102, and the other, it's a series of pages 15 16 marked Proposed 103. Are you able to tell by examining 17 these what they are? 18 19 They're -- it's a bill, bills from a Gold Coast. 20 MR. DUNLEAVY: Which one is she 21 referring to? 22 THE WITNESS: All of them. 23 MR. HARMON: She's referring to Proposed

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

23

. 24

Exhibits 102 and 103.

THE COURT: Bills or receipts; how would you describe them?

THE WITNESS: I guess receipts. From the credit card.

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. You mentioned previously in your testimony that you and Teresa, the girlfriend of Tom Christos, and two of the band members that you picked up at the Club Rock, went to the Gold Coast and stayed?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you able to say whether these records, Proposed Exhibits 102 and 103, refer to the room you rented at the Gold Coast?

MR. DUNLEAVY; Your Honor, I would object. First, 102 appears to be Xerox copy of something. I don't know if there is any foundation that that's an authentic copy. I don't know where it came from. I think they have to lay a foundation.

MR. HARMON: That's what we're trying to do, your Honor. We have to go one step at a time.

MR. DUNLEAVY: She's not qualified to

148 testify to business records of the Gold Coast Hotel. 1 2 MR. HARMON; She may be able to 3 recognize writing on the documents. THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection at this time until more foundation. 5 BY MR. HARMON: Are you examining now Proposed 103? Q. Yes, I am. I'm looking at these phone 8 9 numbers, and I remember some of these phone numbers, 10 so I made these calls. Let me return then to the question of a 11 12 few moments ago. 13 Are you able to tell us after examining Proposed Exhibits 102 and 103, whether 14 these documents relate to the room that you rented 15 16 at the Gold Coast? 17 A. Yes. MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, your Honor. 18 19 She only looked at 103. 20 MR. HARMON: That is not true. They're 21 all right in front of her, Judge.

THE WITNESS: Yes. 22

BY MR. HARMON: 23

24

Do these documents relate to the room Q.

(702) 382-7530 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES

```
1
     you rented for yourself, Teresa, and the two band
 2
     members --
 3
          Α.
                Yes.
          Q.
                -- at the Gold Coast?
 5
             : Yes.
          Α.
                And now how is it that you're able to
 Ģ
          Q.
 7
     identify these documents either as original
 8
     documents or copies of the transaction which
 9
     occurred at the Gold Coast either on February 19 or
10
     February 20, 1992?
                Because that's -- I write -- I wrote
11
          A.
12
     this.
13
                You're referring now to Proposed Exhibit
          Q.
     102?
14
                 102.
15
          Α.
16
                 What did you write --
          Q.
17
                 I wrote --
          A.
18
          Q.
                 -- on Proposed 1087
19
          A.
                 I wrote what was on the credit card.
20
                 Does that appear to be the copy of a
          Q,
     registration card?
21
22
          A.
                 Yes.
          Q. Can you just read into the record what
23
24
     words you wrote on Proposed 102 that you recognize?
```

1	A. Denny R. Mason, 7201 West Park, Las
2	Vegas, Nevada.
3	Q. Are you telling us that all that is in
. 4	your handwriting?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Would you recognize your handwriting,
7	whether it was on the original or a copy?
8	A, Yes.
9	Q. Does Proposed Exhibit 102 appear to be
10	simply a copy?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you remember either from your
13	independent memory or by examining the documents
14	what room it is you rented?
15	A. 1033.
16	Q. At the Gold Coast?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Does it appear that the card, the copy
19	of the card, Proposed: 102, filled out by yourself,
20	is true and accurate?
21	A. Is it true and accurate?
22	Q. Is the copy in substantially
23	A. Yes.
24	Q the same condition as the original

```
registration card you filled out?
 1
 2
          A.
                  Yes.
 3
                 Now, regarding the other record,
     Proposed 103, which appears to list room charges ---
 4
 5
          Α.
                  Yes.
                 -- is that one for 1033 also?
 6
 7
             Yes, it is.
          A.
 8
                 Do you recognize a number of the charges
          Q.
 9
     of which appear on the record as charges you would
     have incurred?
10
11
                  Yes.
          Α.
                 Explain what you mean.
12
          Q.
             Because I used the phone there.
13
     called a lot of people, a lot of numbers, and --
14
                  Did you end up paying for any charges
15
          Q.
     that resulted either from the rental of the room or
16
     anything else that resulted while you were in the
17
18
     room?
19
                 Did I end up paying?
          A.
20
                  Yes.
          Q.
                       I just signed the credit or --
.21
                  No.
                  That's what I mean, did you pay cash or
22
     use a credit card?
23
24
                  I used a credit card.
```

1	Q. Are you the person who used the credit
2	card?
3	A, Yes,
4	Q. What credit card did you use?
5	A. Denny Mason's credit card.
6	Q. The gold VISA credit card?
7	A. Yes.
8	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, at this time
9	the State offers Proposed Exhibits 102 and 103.
10	MR. WOLFSON: May I take a look at
11	those, please?
12	THE COURT: You may.
13	NR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we would ask
14	the Courtireserve ruling on this until after
15	cross-examination.
16	THE COURT: All right. I'll reserve
17	ruling.
18	BY MR. HARMON:
19	Q. Miss Hunt, regarding the portion of 102
20	that related to your handwriting as you were
21	checking into the Gold Coast, do you recall now how
22	you knew what address to indicate for that of Denny
23	Mason?
24	A. It was on the credit card. It was on

	153
1	the as far as I know, it was on the credit card.
2	Q. I've forgotten the street numbers, but
3	you had indicated West Park?
4	A. West Park.
5	Q. And what's the full address?
6	A. 7201 West Park.
7	Q. It's your belief now that address was
8	right on the credit card?
9	A, I believe so. I don't remember.
10	Q. After February 29, did you have further
11	contact with defendant, Mr. Rippo, either later that
12	night or early the next morning?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do you recall where that was?
15	A. At a house in North Las Vegas on Nelson
16	Street.
17	Q. How did you happen to be at a house on
18	Nelson Street in North Las Vegas?
19	A. There was a girl, Brenda, that I had
20	met.
21	Q, Do you remember Brenda's last name?
32	A. Brummell, I think. Brummell.
23	Q. Brummell or Brummet?

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

I'm not sure.

1	Q. But you knew a woman named Brenda?
2	
	A, Yes.
3	Q. How did it happen that you were at the
4	address on Nelson Street in connection with Brenda?
5	A. Brenda told me that I could come
6	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. HARMON:
9	Q. Did you have a conversation with Brenda?
10	A. Yes.
1 1	Q. As a result of the conversation did you
12	go to an address on Nelson Street?
13	A. Yes.
1 4	Q. Were there other persons there?
15	A. Her uncle or her some relative of
16	hers was there.
17	Q. Did you know whether this was Brenda's
18	place or the uncle's place?
19	A. I think it was the uncle's place.
20	Q. Do you regall the name of the uncle?
21	A. No.
22	Q. At some point after you arrived there
23	did the defendant, Mr. Rippo, also appear on the
24	scene?

	_	÷	155
1	Α.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Had you been expecting him to come	
3	there?		
4	Α,	No.	
5	Q.	Did you want to see Mike Rippo again?	
6	Α,	No,	
7	Q.	Did you have a confrontation with Mr.	
8	Rippo?		
9	Α,	Yes.	
10	Q.	At this address on Nelson Street?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	About what time of the night was it?	
13	Α.	It was like 2 in the morning.	
14	Q.	Was it inside the residence or outside?	
15	Α.	Outside.	
1 6	Ω.	At some point did you say something to	•
17	Mr. Rippo?		
18	Α.	Yes.	
19	Ω.	Where was he when you first remember	
20	that you we	re aware he was there?	
21	A.	He pulled up in Deidre's truck in the	
22	driveway.		
23	۵.	In the Isuzu pickup truck?	
24	A.	Yes.	

1	Q. The same one you identified in one of
2	the photographs earlier?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did he get out?
5	A. Yes, he got out of the truck. He opened
6	the door to get out and he started yelling.
7	Q. As a result of him starting to yell did
8	you yell something at him?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What did you yell at him?
11	A. I told he had said something about
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection; nonresponsive,
13	your Honor. She was asked what she said.
14	THE WITNESS: I said, you killed those
15	girls, and I can prove it.
16	BY MR. HARMON:
17	Q. At that point did Mr. Rippo do
18	something?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. What did he do?
21	A. He ran around the front of the truck and
22	started punching me in the face.
23	Q. Were there others in the area of this
24	fight when it occurred?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes. Q. What
 - Q. What other persons were there?
- A. Michael Beaudoin. Dan, I think his last name is Barton. There was a lady there that I don't even remember her name. Brenda was there.

There was some other guys there that were -- came with Mike Beaudoin and Dan that I don't know who they were.

- Q. You've indicated that when you made the statement that you have referred to the defendant came running around and punched you in the face?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Are you talking about with fists?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15. Q. He hit you once or various times?
- 16 A. He hit me over and over.
- 17 Q. In the face?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What happened then?
 - A. He was trying to get me down and I just kept going backwards, and he reached in his belt and got the stun gun out, and he started stunning me with it.
 - Q. Where did he stun you with the stun gun?

1	A. All over. My side of me, and then he
2	held it on my back, and that's when I went down.
3	Q. Is this the same stun gun that you
4	referred to earlier in your testimony?
5	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, your Honor.
6	There is no foundation that she'd know whether or
7	not it's the same one.
8	THE COURT: Lay a foundation.
9	MR. HARMON: Well, the question asked
0	for yes or no, your Honor.
1	THE COURT: Do you know if it's the same
2	one?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was the same one.
4	BY MR. HARMON:
1 5	Q. How do you know it's the same one?
16	A. Because I had seen it so many times, and
7	I've been stunned with it so many times, and I know
8 1	that's the only stun gun he had.
19	Q. You say that after you were stunned all
20	over with the gun you started to go down?
21	A. When he held it on my back, on my spine.
22	I went down.
23	Q. Did the defendant do anything else
3.4	harden much you in the face and chun you with the

			''	•
1	stun	gun?		
3		A. Y	es. He started choking me.	
3		Q. H	ow did he start to choke you?	
4		A. W	ith his hands on my throat.	
5		Q. I	hen what happened?	
6		А, н	e was banging my head, choking me.	
7		Q. E	anging your head where?	
8		A. I	nto the pavement.	
9		Q. W	hat were these other people doing who	
10	were	there?		
11		A. W	atching.	
12		Q. n	id they come to your assistance?	
13	•	A. 16	o. They just watched.	
14			And then I knew I was passing	
15	out,	and I lo	oked at Mike Beaudoin, and I told him,	
16	I sat	ld, I can	prove that. I can prove it. And when	
17	I sai	ld that t	hey jumped on him and pulled him off.	
18		Q. T	hey pulled Mr. Rippo off of you?	
19		A y	es.	
20		Q. D	o you know whether the police were	
21	notii	ied?	•	
22		A. Y	eah, the police came.	
23		Q. W	ere you involved in contacting the	
24	polic	ie?		

	130
1	A. No. I was almost unconscious laying in
2	the driveway.
3	Q. Had you suffered injuries as a result of
4	the fight?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What, in what way?
7	A. My head was cut, the back of my head.
8	And I had burns all over me from the stun gun. I
9	was shaking. I was my muscles were wigging out
10	from the stun gun.
11	Q. What about your face; you've
12	indicated
13	A. I had a black eye. I had a fat lip. I
14	had two black eyes, and my lip was split.
15	Q. Do you know who it was who contacted the
16	police?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Did the police arrive sometime after the
19	injuries had been inflicted upon you?
2 Ó	A. Yes.
21	Q. Was Mr. Rippo still there?
22	A. No. He had ran away.
,23	Q. Did you see him run away?
24	A. No. I couldn't.

24

interview occurred?

	• -
1	Q. How do you know he ran away?
2	A. Because they told me. Dan and Mike
3	Beaudoin.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection to the answer
5	as hearsay. Ask that it be stricken.
6	THE COURT: Sustained. It will be
7	stricken.
8	BY MR. HARMON:
9	Q. I take it of your own personal knowledge
10	you only know that Mr. Rippo was not present when
1 1	the police arrived?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did you have occasion later that morning
14	to give a statement to representatives of the Las
15	Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Do you remember where you gave the
18	statement?
19	A. At the police station.
20	Q. Do you remember who interviewed you?
21	A. Detectives Dibble and Scholl.
22	Q. Do you recall about what time the

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

It was about 3 in the morning.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

I'm not positive.

- Q. Did you tell them everything that you knew about the killing Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson at that time?
 - A, No.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. Because they asked me why he was doing that to me, and I asked them, if I tell you, are you going to protect me? And they said no.
- Q. Were you in fear at that time for your life?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did there come a time when you were placed under arrest for offenses relating to the killing and robbery of Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?
 - 17 A. Yes.
 - 18 Q. Where were you at the time you were 19 arrested?
 - 20 A. I was in Yerington, Nevada.
 - Q. On what date were you arrested?
 - 22 A. April 21.
 - 23 Q. 1992?
 - 24 A. Yes.

Why had you gone to Yerington, Nevada? 1 Q. 2 Because I told the police that I was 3 leaving town and they wanted to know --MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay. THE COURT: Sustained. 5 BY MR. HARMON: 6 You're indicating you told the police 7 Q. that you were leaving town? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Are you saying that you advised someone in the police department that you were going to a 11 particular destination? 12 13 Α. Yes. Did you tell them Yerington, Nevada? 14 Q. Yes. 15 A. After your arrest did there come a time 16 Q. 17 when you worked out some type of agreement with law enforcement? 18 19 Yes. Α. Did you have an attorney at that time? 20 Q. 21 A. Yes. What was the agreement you worked out? 22 Q. That I would not be prosecuted for the 23 24 murders or in exchange for my testimony.

	, ••
1	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may I again
2	approach the witness?
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	BY MR. HARMON:
5	Q. Miss Hunt, I'm showing you a four-page
6	document which has been marked as Proposed Exhibit
7	104.
8	Are you familiar with that
9	document?
10	A. Yes, I am. This is the plea agreement
11	that we made.
12	Q. Is it signed by you?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Does it bear a particular date when it
15	was signed?
16	A. June 2, 1992.
17	Q. Did you sign the document freely and
18	voluntarily?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Is it also signed by your attorney?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Who was your attorney?
23	A. William H. Smith.
24	Q. Is it signed also by two prosecutors

1	A. Yes.
2	Q from the Office of the District
3	Attorney?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Who are they?
6	A. Teresa Lowry and William Hine.
7	Q. Does Proposed Exhibit I've forgotten
8	the number.
9	THE COURT: 104.
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q 104 appear to be a true and correct
12	copy of the plea agreement you signed on June 2,
13	1992?
14	A. Yes.
15	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State
16	offers Proposed Exhibit 104.
17	MR. DUNLEAVY: No objection, your Honor.
18	I believe it's part of the court record.
19	THE COURT: 104 will be admitted.
20	
21	(State's Exhibit 104
22	received in evidence.)
23	
24	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

BY MR. HARMON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Now, you've indicated that part of the understanding is that you wouldn't be prosecuted for murder if you would cooperate with the State?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Had you murdered anyone?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any intention on Tuesday, February 18, 1992, that either of these two young women would be killed?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. Did you personally get involved in the choking to death either of them?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Did you tie either of the young ladies up?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you apply any type of ligature to

 19 the neck or any other part of the body of either

 20 Lauri Jacobson or Denise Lizzi?
 - 21 A. No.
 - 22 Q. Who did those things?
 - 23 A. Michael Rippo.
 - 24 Q. The defendant who is here in the

courtroom?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. Yes.
- Q. However, you did aid in something; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you aid in, Miss Hunt?
- A. I hit Lauri Jacobson with that bottle when he told me to.
 - Q. Why did you hit her with the bottle?
- A. Why did I? Because he told me to and I didn't want to argue. I didn't want to -- I didn't want him on me.
- Q. Did Mr. Rippo tell you why he wanted you to hit Lauri Jacobson with the bottle?
- MR. DUNLEAVY: It's been asked and answered, your Honor.
- THE COURT: I'll allow her to answer it.
- 18 THE WITNESS: So that he could rob
- 19 Denise.
- . 20 BY MR. HARMON:
 - Q. In connection with the agreement that
 you worked out with law enforcement as is reflected
 in Exhibit 104, did you enter a plea of guilty to a
 - 24 crime?

		, 00
1	A. Yes, I did.	
2	Q. Of what crime did you please guil	ty to?
3	A. Robbery.	
4	4 Q. Did you later receive a sentence	for
5	5 robbery?	
6	6 A. Yes, I did.	
7	Q. What was your sentence?	
8	A. 15 years Nevada State Prison.	
9	9 Q. Are you presently incarcerated in	Nevada
10	10 State Prison system?	
11	11 A. Yes, I am.	
12	12 Q. Are you serving your 15 year sent	ence
13	A. Yes.	
14	14 Q in connection with a robbery?	
15	15 Had you had any type of	
16	discussion with Mr. Rippo prior to February 1	8,
17	17 1992, wherein he indicated any type of disagr	eement
18	18 or any type of problem of which he might have	with
19	19 either Lauri or Denise?	
20	20 A. Yes, he did.	
21	21 Q. Did you have a conversation with	him
22	22 about that?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Where were you when you had the	

conversation?

1

2

5

6

7

₿

9

10

11

- A. At the house on Gowan Road.
- Q. Are you able to tell us approximately when the conversation happened?
 - A. It was before February 1.
 - Q. 1992?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How much before?
 - A. Approximately a week.
 - Q. Why do you use February 1, 1992 as a frame of reference?
- A. Because that's the date, I believe, that

 Michael Beaudoin got arrested.
- 14 Q. Who is Michael Beaudoin?
- A. He was a guy that I met that I used to buy drugs from.
- 17 Q. Used to buy drugs from him?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So you're indicating, perhaps, a week 20 before February 1 of 1992, at the house on Gowan
- 21 Street is where and when you had the conversation
- 22 with Mr. Rippo?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it just the two of you who

1 participated in the conversation? 2 A. No. There was a girl Roxanne there, 3 Roxanne Holloway. 4 The last name is Holloway? Q. Yes, I believe so. 5 Α. H-0-1-1-0-w--a-y? Q. 7 A.

- A. I'm not positive about that, but as far as I remember.
- Q. What did Mr. Rippo tell you on that date concerning any disagreement that he had with Lauri Jacobson or Denise Lizzi?
- A. Well, he had -- he had had a problem with them prior to that that I didn't know about, and I was trying to find out what the problem was so that I could hook him up with -- up with Michael Beaudoin, so that I didn't have to go between the two of them.

And nobody wanted to deal with Mr. Rippo, and I was trying to find out why, and the reason Denise, I guess, didn't like him.

MR. WOLFSON: Objection. This is nonresponsive and hearsay.

THE COURT: Sustained.

24

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. What I'm asking you now is what it was that Mr. Rippo said to you about any disagreement he had with Denise or Lauri?
- A. He said that they had burned him on a drug deal.
- Q. Did he elaborate about what he was talking about?
- A. He said that he was trying to buy drugs from Denise and he had went through Lauri and when they brought the drugs, meaning Lauri and Denise, brought the drugs to his house, the drugs were no good.
- Q. Did he tell you who specifically he believed had burned him?
 - A. Denise.
- Q. Did he tell you why he believed it was Denise?
 - A. Because that's who he had wanted to go through to get -- that's who he went to to get the drugs.
 - Q. You've described in your testimony a certain manner in which you saw that the defendant had tied up Lauri Jacobson?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

20

- Yes. A.
- And that you were describing how he carried her much as a person might carry a suitcase from the living room to the closet?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This is a leading statement. He's telling her what to say.

MR. HARMON: Well, she's already said this, your Honor.

MR, DUNLEAVY: Then it's asked and answered and it's improper.

MR. HARMON: It's not. It's preliminary 13 to another question.

THE COURT: Overruled.

15 BY MR. HARMON:

- Had you ever seen Mr. Rippo tying a ٥. person or persons in that manner before?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Where was this? Q.
 - At the house on Gowan Road. Α.
 - Can you tell us approximately when this 21 Q.

occurred? 22

- 23 In January. Α,
 - 24 Q. Barly, middle or late January?

1	A. Late January,
2	Q. Late January, 1992?
3	λ. Yes,
4	Q. What persons were there?
5	A. Myself, Chris Lloyd, Ricky Price, and
6	Michael Rippo.
7	Q. You've already mentioned that you lived
8	at this house on Gowan Road with Mr. Rippo.
9	Who are these other persons;
10	Chris Lloyd and Ricky Price?
11	A. They were friends of Mr. Rippo's, and
1.2	they were they hung out there. They were there
13	most of the time with us.
14	Q. What occurred on this occasion in late
15	January in the presence of yourself, Ricky Price and
16	Chris Lloyd?
17	A. We were all sitting around in the living
18	room and Rippo was talking about how to restrain
19	somebody, and he was showing us.
20	Q. Did he demonstrate to some extent what
21	he knew how to do?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. How did he demonstrate?
24	A. He asked me to lay down so he could show

	-	174
1	them.	
2	Q. Did you lay down?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. When you laid down what did he do?	
5	A. He tied my hands behind my back and my	
6	feet.	
7	Q. With what?	
8	A. A karate belt thing. A tie from a	
9	karate.	
10	Q. Did he explain if you were tied in this	
11	fashion, what he could do?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. What did he say?	
14	A. He just said it was easy to restrain	
15	somebody that way.	
16	Q. The morning this happened, February 18,	
17	1992, had you ingested any type of drugs at Deidre	
18	D'Amore's place before you and Mr. Rippo went	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. What about Mr. Rippo, had he ingested	
21	any type of dope?	
22	A. I don't know.	
23	Q. How would you describe your frame of	
24	mind that day?	

(702) 382-7530 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES

MR. DUNLEAVY: At what time, your Honor? 1 2 I'm sure it changed. 3 THE COURT: Lay a foundation. BY MR. HARMON: 4 Well, let's start with the period of 5 Q. time when you were inside Lauri Jacobson's 6 7 apartment; what was your frame of mind? 8 When I first got there? A. 9 At anytime while you were there. Q. Well, I was sick when I got there. 10 wasn't thinking right. I wasn't feeling good. 11 12 But were you thinking straight? Q. 13 I don't -- not like I'm thinking now. Kave you in prior statements ever 14 Q. described your brain as being pretty screwed up that 15 day? 16 A. Yes. 17 When you have said that what did you 18 19 mean? I mean I hadn't had any sleep in days 20 and weeks, and I hadn't been eating. I had been 21 doing drugs and I was just a mess. 22 23 At the specific time when some person 24 called the apartment of Lauri Jacobson, and,

apparently, you already had this bottle in your hand at that moment; did you have any idea who was calling?

A. No.

Q. After you struck Lauri on the head, did you call out her name for any reason?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. At what point did you do that?
- A. I went -- when I went to the bathroom and I looked in and he was wrestling with Denise. He was still stunning her. I kept hearing that stungun. And I told him to quit, and when he wouldn't, I started yelling for Lauri to get up.
- Q. Do you have any idea how many times you called her name?
 - A. Two or three times.
 - Q. Are you able to estimate how soon this was after the phone had rung?
- A. It happened so fast. Almost a minute maybe.
- Q. What happened to the telephone when you hit Lauri Jacobson in the back of the head?
 - A. She dropped it.
 - Q. Was it at that point off the hook?

24

	177
1	A. Yes.
2	MR. HARMON: May I again approach the
3	witness, your Honor?
4	THE COURT: You may.
5	MR. HARMON: This is Proposed 19.
6	BY MR. HARMON:
7	Q. I'm showing you now, Miss Hunt, Proposed
8	Exhibit 19.
9	Do you recognize what is shown in
10	this photograph?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What is depicted in Proposed Exhibit 19?
13	A. That's Lauri and Denise, but that's not
14	how I saw them last.
15	Q. As you look at the photograph, starting
16	with the body to the right, who is that?
17	A. That's Denise.
18	Q. Denise Lizzi?
19	A, Yes.
20	Q. And who is to her immediate left?
21	A. Lauri Jacobson.
22	Q. Now, you've indicated that the positions

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

of the bodies in that photograph, Proposed Exhibit

19, aren't exactly as you remember them --

	:	1/0
1	A. No.	
2	Q from the last time you saw them?	
3	A. Right.	
4	Q. What is different?	
5	A. The last time I saw them they were	
6	level. They were both side by side in the closet.	
7	Q. In the photograph, Proposed Exhibit 19,	
8	are the legs of one of the victims extending out	
9	farther	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q than the other?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Whose legs are extending out the	
14	farthest?	
15	A. Denise's.	
16	Q. Except for that difference, is Proposed	
17	Exhibit 19 an accurate photograph of what you	
18	remember when you last looked inside the closet?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Are the two young ladies dressed the	
21	same as you remember them being dressed when you	
22	last looked at them?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have the	

Court's indulgence?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, that concludes

direct.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: All right, This is a good time to break for the day. We'll begin tomorrow morning at 9:45.

yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the trial; read, watch, listen to any report or commentary on the trial by any medium of information; including, without limitation, newspapers, television or radio, or form or express any opinion on this trial until the case is finally submitted to you for deliberation.

Have a good evening.

(Proceedings adjourned to

Tuesday, February 6 1996

at 9:45 a.m.)

---000---

20 21

22

23

ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of

proceedings:

24

GERRI LAPTHORNE, C.C.R. NO. 82

REX BELL
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar #001799
200 S. Third Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4711
Attorney for Plaintiff
THE STATE OF NEVADA

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In The Matter of:

Search Warrant No.

ORDER SEALING AFFIDAVIT

UPON the Ex-Parte Application of the State of Nevada, by and through, JOHN P. LUKENS, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and good cause appearing, it is therefore ORDERED that:

The Affidavit in Support of the Search Warrant is sealed until further order of this Court.

DATED this 30 day of Sentembel , 1993

Judge

By:

John Patrick Lukens, Esq. Chief Deputy District Attorney

SEARCH WARRANT

STATE OF MEYADA)

COUNTY OF CLARK)

The State of Hevada, to any Peace Officer in the County of Clark.

Proof by Affidavit having been made before me by R. Chandler, said Affidavit attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, that there is probable cause to believe certain property, namely:

- Documents and other handwriting exemplars of the Defendant Michael Rippo;
- 2. Limited items of personal property which would show the identity of persons in control of said premises, which items of property would consist in part of, but not be limited to, rent receipts, addressed envelopes and mail, photographs, leases, and utility bills.

is presently located at:

3117 Whispering Willow Las Vegas, Nevada

A single story off-white stucco residence with brown trim. As you look at the residence from the street, there is garage on the right side of the house.

and as I am satisfied that there is probable cause to believe that said property is located as set forth above and that based upon the Affidavit attached hereto there are sufficient grounds for the

issuance of the search warrant.

You are hereby commanded to search forthwith said premises for said property. If the property is there, you are directed to seize it, prepare a written inventory of the property seized, and make a return to me within ten days.

DATED this 30 day of Splember, 1993.

Judge

APPLICATION AND APPLDAVIT FOR A SEARCE WARRANT

STATE OF MEVADA) as. COUNTY OF CLARK)

R. Chandler, being first duly sworn deposes and states that he is the Affiant herein and is a Homicide Detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department presently assigned to the Homicide Division. That I have been employed with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department for the past 22 years and have been assigned to the Homicide Division for the past 1 years.

There is probable cause to believe that certain property described hereinafter will be found at the following described location, to wit:

3117 Whispering Willow Las Vegas, Nevada

A single story off-white stucco residence with brown trim. As you look at the residence from the street, there is garage on the right side of the house.

The property referred to and sought to be seized consists of the following:

- Documents and other handwriting exemplars of the Defendant Michael Rippo;
- 2. Limited items of personal property which would show the identity of persons in control of said premises, which items of property would consist in part of, but not be limited to, rent receipts, addressed envelopes and mail, photographs, leases, and

utility bills.

The property hereinbefore described constitutes evidence which tends to demonstrate that the criminal offenses of Murder, Robbery w/ Use of a Desdly Weapon, and Unauthorized Signing of a Credit Transaction Document have been committed by the Michael Rippo. That said offenses are a violation of the laws of the State of Nevada.

In support of your Affiant's assertion to constitute the existence of probable cause to believe the above, the following facts are offered:

- 1) On February 18, 1992, Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobsen were murdered by an individual named Michael Rippo.
- 2) Present in the apartment shortly before the murder was a woman by the name of Diana Hunt. She has stated and given a statement to the effect that Michael Rippo killed the two women.
- 3) Taken from Denise Lizzi at the time she was murdered was a credit card in the name of Denny Mason. This credit card was used for purchasing goods and services by both Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo. A signature purported to be Michael Rippo's appears on at least one credit card transaction record.
- 4) When requested and ordered to give a handwriting exemplar for comparison of his handwriting with that on the credit card transaction by Judge Bongiovanni, Michael Rippo refused and has never complied.
- 5) According to an inmate by the name of David Levine, who is currently incarcerated with Michael Rippo, Michael Rippo corresponds with a person by the name of Alice Starr. He sends her

letters in an envelope with the return address of "David Levine."
Alice Starr resides at the above described address.

- 6) Levine's statement about the correspondence was confirmed by a security guard at the prison. There were at least 3 letters from David Levine to Alice Starr at the above described address.
- 7) That based upon the above, it is reasonable to believe that letters or other documents with Michael Rippo's handwriting will be found inside the above described residence.
- 8) That since some of the information contained in this affidavit is supplied by a person presently incarcerated in the Nevada State Prison system, the same system that houses Michael Rippo, it is requested that this affidavit be sealed. To release the information would place his life in danger.

~~

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 30 day of

review por , 1993.

Judge

Drafted By:

John P. Lukens Chief Deputy District Attorney

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL RIPPO,

Appellant,

No. 53626

FILED

-VS-

E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Respondent.

OCT 19 2009

CLERK OF SUPPLIES SOURT
BY CHEF DEPUTY CLERK

JOINT APPENDIX Volume 5 of 48

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Affidavit	02/14/94	JA00371-JA00377
2	Affidavit	03/07/94	JA00400-JA00402
18	Affidavit of David M. Schieck Regarding Supplemental Brief in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus	08/17/04	JA04316-JA04320
3	Amended Indictment	01/03/96	JA00629-JA00633
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	03/23/94	JA00583-JA00590
8	Answer in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation	02/08/96	JA01873-JA01886
17	Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial	05/01/96	JA04008-JA04013
48	Criminal Court Minutes	10/27/08	JA11603
2	Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	08/20/93	JA00274-JA00281
18	Errata to Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	03/12/04	JA04257-JA04258

09-25377

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
19	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	12/01/04	JA04411-JA0441
48	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	11/17/08	JA11604-JA1161
1	Indictment	06/05/92	JA00235-JA0023
15	Instructions to the Jury	03/06/96	JA03358-JA0339
16	Instructions to the Jury	03/14/96	JA03809-JA0383
17	Judgment of Conviction	05/31/96	JA04037-JA0403
11	Motion for Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence Pertaining to the impact of the Defendant's Execution Upon Victim's Family Members	02/28/96	JA02620-JA0262
2	Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to Rippo's Defense	08/24/93	JA00286-JA0029
3	Motion for a Witness Deposition	06/19/94	JA00621-JA0062
17	Motion for New Trial	04/29/96	JA04002-JA0400
2	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Defendant's Prior Bad Acts	08/23/93	JA282-001 to JA282-005
2	Motion of Defendant for Discovery and to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	10/21/92	JA00254-JA0025
11	Motion to Bar the Admission of Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Clause	02/28/96	JA02603-JA0260
2	Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office	02/07/94	JA00334-JA0034
2	Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	08/23/93	JA00282-JA0028
11	Motion to Preclude the Consideration of Victim Impact Evidence Pursuant to NRS 175.552, 200.033, and 200.035	02/28/96	JA02613-JA0261
11	Motion to Preclude the Introduction of Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim Family Members' Characterizations and Opinions About the Crime, the Defendant, and/or the Appropriate Sentence	02/28/96	JA02625-JA0262
2	Motion to Quash and for a Protective Order	09/09/93	JA00298-JA0030

Vol	Title	Date	Page
	on an Order Shortening Time		
11	Motion to Require a Pretrial Judicial Review of all Victim Impact Evidence the State Intends to Introduce at the Penalty Phase	02/28/96	JA02607-JA02612
2	Notice of Alibi	09/20/93	JA00295-JA00297
19	Notice of Appeal	10/12/04	JA04409-JA04410
48	Notice of Appeal	04/15/09	JA11659-JA11661
19	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	12/15/04	JA04414
48	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	03/16/09	JA11648-JA11658
36	Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Counsel	02/15/08	JA08669-JA08672
1	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	06/30/92	JA00239-JA00241
42	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA09989-JA10014
42	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA10015-JA10025
42	1 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, State v. Bailey, Case No. C129217, Eighth Judicial District Court, July 30, 1996		JA10026-JA10034
42	Answers to Interrogatories p. 7, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al., Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH (RAM), February 9, 1998		JA10035-JA10037
42	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, partial, State v. Bennett, Case NO. C083143, September 14, 1998		JA10038-JA10040
42	4 Non-Trial Disposition Memo, Clark County District Attorney's Office regarding Joseph Beeson, in Bennett v. McDaniel, Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of Nevada, October, 1988		JA10041-JA10042
42	5 Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, partial, State v. Bennett,		JA10043-JA10050

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	Case No. C083143, November 18, 1999		JA10051-JA10057
4	42	6 Decision, <u>Bennett v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C83143, Eighth Judicial District		JA10031-JA10037
5	42	Court, November 16, 2001		JA10058-JA10061
6 7		7 Declaration of Michael Pescetta regarding locating exhibits in Parker file, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al. Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of		
8	42	Nevada, January 8, 2003		JA 10062-JA 10066
9	72	8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Memorandum re: <u>State</u> v. <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791,		JA10002-JA10000
10	42	December 30, 1999		JA10067-JA10085
12		9 Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Status Check on Production of		
13		Discovery, State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
14	42	Court, April 18, 2000 10 Letter from Office of the District		JA10086-JA10087
15		Attorney to Joseph S. Sciscento, Esq., re State v. Butler, Case No.		
16		C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, November 16, 2000		
17	42	11 Letter from Law Offices of Sam		JA10088-JA10092
18		Stone to Hon. Michael Douglas, District Court Judge, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
19		Case No. 155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, December 7, 2000		
20	42	12 Motion for New Trial, State v.		JA10093-JA10107
21		<u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 17,		
22	42	2001		JA10108-JA10112
23		13 Affidavit of Carolyn Trotti, <u>State v.</u> Butler, Case No. C155791, January 19, 2001		
24	42	14 Opposition to Motion for New Trial		JA10113-JA10135
25		Based on Allegations of Newly Discovered Evidence, State v.		
26		Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 16,		
27		1,	l	<u> </u>
28		4		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	2001		JA10136-JA10141
4		Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial,		
5	42	State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 27, 2001		JA10142-JA10144
6 7		16 Order, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
8	42	Court, March 8, 2001		JA10145-JA10154
9		17 Fax Transmission from Terri Elliott with the Office of the Special Public Defender, State v. Butler, Case No.		
10 11	42	C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, March 19, 2001		JA10155-JA10161
12	١	18 Order affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
13	42	Case No. 37591, May 14, 2002		JA10162-JA10170
14	42	19 Reporter's transcript of jury trial, <u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 11, 2002		JA10171-JA10177
15 16		20 Reporter's transcript of jury trial,		
17	42	<u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 13, 2002		JA10178-JA10184
18		21 Transcript of Status Conference/Scheduling Conference		
19		Before the Honorable Howard K. McKibben, United States District Judge, Case No. CV-N-00-101-HDM		
20	42	(RAM), District of Nevada, January 14, 2003 (Doyle)		JA10185-JA10200
21 22		Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial; or in the Alternative,		
23		Motion for New Appeal, <u>State v.</u> D'Agostino, Case No. C95335,		
24	42	Eighth Judicial District Court, September 21, 1993		JA10201-JA10207
25		23 Declaration of Tim Gabrielsen, and partial FBI production in Echavarria		
26		v. McDaniel, et al., CV-N-98-0202, June 2004		
27 28		5		
		5		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	42 43			JA10208-JA10238 JA10239-JA10353
3 4	43	24 Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, <u>Emil v. McDaniel, et al.</u> , August 24, 2001		JA10354-JA10357
5 6		Criminal Complaint and Minutes of the Court, State v. Kenny, Case No. 85F-3637, Justice Court, Las Vegas		
7	43	Township, 1985 (Emil)		JA10358-JA10362
8 9		26 Notice of Denial of Request, Clark County District Attorney, State v. Emil, Case No. C82176, Eighth		
10	43	Judicial District Court, August 13, 1985		JA10363-JA10383
11		27 Various reports of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
12		Detention Services Division, produced in <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, regarding		
13		investigation into the identity of Clark County Detention Center		
14 15	42	inmate who manufactured a shank, 1987		JA10384-JA10434
16		28 Deposition of Sharon Dean in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
17	42	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, October 15, 1998 and December 7, 1998		JA10435-JA10449
18 19		29 Deposition of Arlene Ralbovsky in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
20		C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28,		
21	43 44	1999		JA10450-JA10488 JA10489-JA10554
22		30 Deposition of Patricia Schmitt in <u>Haberstroh v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District,		
23 24	44	December 7, 1998 and January 28, 1999		JA10555-JA10563
25		31 Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, State v.		
26		Haberstroh, Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District Court,		
27		January 28, 2000		
28		6		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	44	32 Order, Hill v. McDaniel, et al., Case		JA10564-JA10568
3		No. CV-S-98-914-JBR (LRL), District of Nevada, May 20, 1999		
5	44	33 FBI memorandum to SA Newark, Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick		JA10569-JA10570
6	44	Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick 167), August 31, 1977		JA10571-JA10573
7		34 FBI memorandum, New York to Newark <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , (Homick 168), January 31, 1978		
9	44	35 FBI Teletype, FM Director to Las Vegas (Homick 166), September, 1985		JA10574-JA10576
.0	44			JA10577-JA10582
11	44	Vegas (Homick 165), October, 1985		JA10583-JA10584
.3		37 Chronological record, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> (Homick 10), November 1985		
.4	44	38 FBI notes re Homick receiving money from LVMPD employee,		JA10585-JA10589
.5		Homick v. McDaniel, December 11, 1985		
67	44	39 FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , December 1985 and January 1986		JA10590-JA10593
8	44	40 FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Pennsylvania) January 4, 1986		JA10594-JA10595
9	44	41 FBI redacted notes, <u>Homick v.</u>		JA10596-JA10597
20 21	44	McDaniel (New Jersey), January 7, 1986		JA10598-JA10599
2		FBI redacted notes, Homick v. McDaniel (Homick), January 9, 1986		
3	44	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10600-JA10601
24	44	McDaniel (Pennsylvania), January 13, 1986		14 10 (02 14 10 (02
25	44	44 FBI redacted notes, Homick v. McDaniel (Las Vegas), January 14,		JA10602-JA10603
:6		1986		
27 28		7	ı	<u> </u>

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	45	FBI 302 interview of Norma K. Thompson, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , March 18, 1986		JA10604-JA10606
4	44	46	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10607-JA10608
5			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
6			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
7	44	47	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10609-JA10610
8			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
9			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
10 11	44	48	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10611-JA10612
12			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
13			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
14	44	49	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10613-JA10614
15			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
16			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
17	44	50	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10615-JA10616
18			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
19			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
20	44	51	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10617-JA10618
21			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
22	4.4	50	McDaniel, June 10, 1986		14 10/10 14 10/20
23	44	52	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		JA10619-JA10620
24			joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
25			MicDaniel, June 10, 1980		
26					
27		<u> </u>			I
28			8		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	44	53	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		JA10621-JA10622
5 6	44	54	FBI 302 memorandum of interview of Tim Catt, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 164), August 18, 1988		JA10623-JA10625
7 8	44	55	Reporter's transcript of evidentiary hearing, partial, <u>State v. Homick</u> , March 7, 1989		JA10626-JA10637
9 10	44	56	Reporter's transcript of motions, State v. Homick (Homick 48), April 10, 1989		JA10638-JA10640
11 12	44	57	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 6, State v. Homick, April 25, 1989		JA10641-JA10652
13	44	58	Reporter's transcript of jury trial, partial, Vol. 7, <u>State v. Homick,</u> April 26, 1989		JA10653-JA10660
14 15	44	59	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 11, <u>State v. Homick</u> (Homick 52), May 2, 1989		JA10661-JA10664
16 17	44	60	Reporter's transcript of penalty hearing, State v. Homick, Vol. 1 (Homick 108), May 17, 1989		JA10665-JA10668
18 19	44	61	Reporter's transcript of trial, partial, Vol. 83, <u>State v. Homick</u> , November 10, 1992		JA10669-JA10673
20212222	44	62	Letter from Eric Johnson/Walt Ayers, Assistant United States Attorneys to Mark Kaiserman denying FBI joint investigation with LVMPD, Homick v. McDaniel,		JA1674-JA10676
232425	44	63	January 28, 1993 Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, Homick v. McDaniel, May 7, 1993		JA10677-JA60678
2627					
28			9		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	64	Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> , May 11, 1993		JA10679-JA10680
4 5	44	65	Reporter's transcript on appeal, <u>State</u> v. <u>Homick</u> Vol. 140 (Homick 102) June 29, 1994		JA10681-JA10684
6 7	44	66	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - joint activity between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10685-JA10692
8 9 10	44	67	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - information sharing between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10693-JA10696
11 12	44	68	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - admissions, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10697-JA10705
13 14 15	44	69	Declaration of Joseph Wright, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 176), October 9, 2003		JA10706-JA10707
16 17	44 45	70	Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, <u>Homick v.</u> McDaniel, October 10, 2003		JA10708-JA10738 JA10739-JA10756
17 18 19	45	71	Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, State v. Jiminez, Case No. C77955, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 19, 1993		JA10757-JA10786
202122	45	72	Transcript of Proceedings Sentence, <u>State v. Bezak</u> , Case No. CR89- 1765, Second Judicial District Court, November 27, 1989 (Jones)		JA10787-JA10796
232425	45	73	Response to Motion to Compel Discovery, <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , et al., Case No. CV-N-96-633-ECR, District of Nevada, March 1999		JA10797-JA10802
26 27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2	45	74	Declaration of David J.J. Roger, Chief Deputy District Attorney,		JA10803-JA10805
3 4			concerning <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. CV-N-96-633 ECR, District of Nevada, June 30, 1999		
5	45	75	Transcription of VCR Tape of the		JA10806-JA10809
6			Adam Evans hearing in front of Judge Hardcastle, <u>In The Matter of</u>		
7			Adam Owens Evans, Case No. J52293, Juvenile Court (Lisle)		
8	45	76	Excerpt of trial record, State v. Lisle,		JA10810-JA10812
9			Case No. 129540, Vol. 10 page 15, March 12, 1996		
10		77	Not Used		
11		78	Not Used		
12	45	79	Letter from Inv. Larry A. Schuchman, City of Orlando,		JA10813-JA10816
13			Florida, Police Department, to Inv. Bob Milby, Nevada Division of Inv.		
14			and Narcotics re Terry Carl Bonnette, January 29, 1981		
15			(Milligan)		
16	45	80	Notice of Entry of Decision and		JA10817-JA10838
17			Order and Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
18			Order, State v. Miranda, Case No. C057788, Eighth Judicial District		
19	15	01	Court, February 13, 1996		JA10839-JA10846
20	45	81	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Case No. C106784, <u>Eighth Judicial</u>		JA10839-JA10846
21			District Court, February 8, 1996		
22	45	82	Reporter's Transcript of Calendar		JA10847-JA10859
23			Call, State v. Morelli, Case Nos.C64603 and C64604, Eighth		
24			Judicial District Court, January 12, 1984 (Snow)		
25					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	83	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (Testimony of Richard Morelli), State v. Snow, Case No.C61676,		JA10860-JA10884
4			Eighth Judicial District Court, April 17, 1984		
5	45	84	Letter from Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Deputy, Office of the District		JA10885-JA10886
6			Attorney, To Whom It May Concern re Richard Joseph Morelli, July 20,		
7 8			1984 (Snow)		111007 111001
9	45	85	Deposition of Melvyn T. Harmon, Esq., Snow v. Angelone, Case No. 6- 12-89-WPHC, Seventh Judicial District Court, September 25, 1992		JA10887-JA10921
10					
11	45	86	<u>Las Vegas Review Journal</u> excerpt, May 3, 2004, "Police Say Binion Witness Not Credible" (Tabish)		JA10922-JA10924
12	45	87	` ′		JA10925-JA10929
13	43	87	Letter from Kent R. Robison of Robison, Belaustegui, Robb and Sharp, to E. Leslie Combs, Jr., Esq.		JA10923-JA10929
14 15			Re: Kathryn Cox v. Circus Circus, et al., October 16, 1995, in relation to		
16			Witter v. McDaniel, CV-S-01-1034- RLH (LRL), District of Nevada		
17	45	88	LVMPD Certificate of [Informant] Management Course completion, April 14, 1994		JA10930-JA10931
18	4.5		-		14 10022 14 10024
19	45	89	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Cooperating Individual Agreement and Special Consent and		JA10932-JA10934
20			Waiver of Liability		
21	45	90	David J.J. Roger letter to Nevada State Parole Board Chairman		JA10935-JA10936
22			regarding Robert Bezak (Jones), December 3, 1990		
23	45	91	Declaration of Herbert Duzant dated		JA 10937-JA 10938
24			May 15, 2008		
25	45	92	Records request to Juvenile Justice Division dated May 14, 2008		JA10939-JA10948
26			=y =, 		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	93	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated May 15, 2008		JA10949-JA10973
4 5	46	94	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated May 15, 2008		JA10974-JA10996
6	46	95	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated November 29, 2007		JA10997-JA11007
7 8 9	46	96	Records request to Office of the Clark County District Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11008-JA11010
10 11	46	97	Records request to Office of the United States Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11011-JA11013
12 13	46	98	Records request to the Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re: Michael Beaudoin, James		JA11014-JA11026
14 15 16			Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
17 18	46	99	Records request to Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re Victim/Witness information)		JA11027-JA11034
19 20	46	100	Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated November 29, 2007		JA11035-JA11050
21	46	101	Records request to Justice Court, Criminal Records dated December 5, 2007		JA11051-JA11055
2223	46	102	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated		JA11056-JA11069
24 25	46	103	November 28, 2007 Records request to Nevada Department of Corrections dated		JA11070-JA11080
26 27			November 29, 2007 (re: Levine)		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	104	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated November 29, 2007 (re Levine)		JA11081-JA11095
5	46	105	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated April 12, 2007 (re: Rippo)		JA11096-JA11103
6 7 8	46	106	Records request to Word of Life Christian Center Pastor David Shears, Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated November 29, 2007		JA11104-JA11110
9 10	46	107	Response to records request from Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated December 3, 2007		JA11111-JA11112
11 12	46	108	Response to records request from Office of the District Attorney dated January 28, 2008 (re Victim Witness)		JA11113-JA11114
13 14	46	109	Response to records request from Word of Life Christian Center Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated		JA11115-JA11116
15 16	46	110	December 11, 2007 Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli)		JA11117-JA11128
17 18	46	111	Records request (FOIA) to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys dated November 27, 2007		JA11129-JA11132
19 20	46	112	Records request (FOIA) to the FBI dated November 27, 2007		JA11133-JA11135
21 22	46	113	Response to records request to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys, undated		JA11136-JA11137
23 24	46	114	Records request to Nevada Division of Child and Family Services dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie)		JA11138-JA11144
25 26	46	115	Records request to Claude I. Howard Children's Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli, Carole		JA11145-JA11156
27			Ann Campanelli (deceased))		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	116	Records request to Clark County School District dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA111457-JA11171
5	46	117	Records request to University Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11172-JA11185
7 8 9	46	118	Records request to Valley Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11186-JA11199
10 11	46	119	Records request to Desert Springs Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11200-JA11213
12 13 14	46	120	Records request to Reno Police Department, Records and ID Section dated May 16, 2008		JA11214-JA11221
15	47	121	Records request to Washoe County Sheriff's Office dated May 16, 2008		JA11222-JA11229
16 17	47	122	Records request to Sparks Police Department dated May 16, 2008		JA11230-JA11237
18	47	123	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Beaudoin		JA11238-JA11239
19 20	47	124	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Thomas Christos		JA11240-JA11241
21 22	47	125	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Thomas Edward Sims		JA11242-JA11244
23	47	126	Response to records request to Justice Court re: request and clerk's notes		JA11245-JA11248
25		127	Omitted.		
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	128	Subpoena to Clark County District Attorney, Criminal Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11249-JA11257
7	47	129	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attoreny		JA11258-JA11267
8 9	47	130	Subpoena to Central Medicaid Office, New York, New York		JA11268-JA11272
10	47	131	Subpoena to Claude I. Howard Children's Center		JA11273-JA11277
11 12	47	132	Subpoena to City of New York, Department of Social Services		JA11278-JA11282
13	47	133	Subpoena to Desert Springs Hospital		JA11283-JA11288
14 15	47	134	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Fingerprint Bureau		JA11289-JA11295
16 17	47	135	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Bureau		JA11296-JA11301
18	47	136	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Confidential Informant Section		JA11302-JA11308
19 20	47	137	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminalistics Bureau		JA11309-JA11316
21 22	47	138	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Evidence Vault		JA11317-JA11323
23 24	47	139	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminal Intelligence Section		JA11324-JA11330
25 26	47	140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Narcotics Sections I, II, and III		JA11331-JA11337
27					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
3		47	141	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Crimes Bureau		JA11338-JA11344
4 5		47	142	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Records Bureau		JA11345-JA11352
6		47	143	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Robbery / Homicide Bureau		JA11353-JA11360
7 8 9 10		47	144	Subpoena to Nevada Parole and Probation (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11361-JA11368
11		47	145	Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo) Proposed Order to the Nevada		JA11369-JA11373
12 13		1,	115	Department of Parole and Probation		
14 15		47	146	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Gang Crimes Bureau		JA11374-JA11379
16		47	147	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department SWAT Division		JA11380-JA11385
17 18		47	148	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Vice Section		JA11386-JA11392
19		47	149	Subpoena to Clark County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11393-JA11399
20 21				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
22				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
23 24		47	150	Subpoena to Henderson Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11400-JA11406
25				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
26				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
27	L					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	47	151	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services		JA11407-JA11411
5 6 7	47	152	Subpoena to Reno Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt		JA11412-JA11418
8 9 10 11	47	153	and Michael Rippo) Subpoena to Sparks Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11419-JA11427
12 13	47	154	Subpoena to University Medical Center		JA11428-JA11432
14	47	155	Subpoena to Valley Hospital		JA11433-JA11438
15 16 17 18	47	156	Subpoena to Washoe County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11439-JA11445
192021	47	157	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Records and ID Section (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11446-JA11453
22 23			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
24 25					
26					
27					

1	Vo	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	47		158	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Forensic Science Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11454-JA11460
4 5				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		
6				Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
7	47		159	Deposition Subpoena to Dominic Campanelli		JA11461-JA11463
8 9	47		160	Deposition Subpoena to Melody Anzini		JA11464-JA11466
10	47		161	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11467-JA11471
11 12	48		162	Subpoena to Nancy Becker		JA11472-JA11476
13	48		163	Subpoena to Clark County Human Resources Department (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11477-JA11481
14 15	48		164	Subpoena to Nassau County Department of Social Services		JA11482-JA11486
16 17	48		165	Subpoena to the Clark County School District		JA11487-JA11490
18	48		166	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11491-JA11495
192021	48		167	Subpoena to the Office of the United States Attorney (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11496-JA11499
22	48		168	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11500-JA11505
23 24	48		169	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11506-JA11508
25						
26 27			_			
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	48	170	Subpoena to the Office of Legal Services, Executive Offices for United States Attorneys FOIA (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11509-JA11513
5	48	171	Subpoena to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (re Bongiovanni)		JA11514-JA11518
6 7 8 9	48	172	Subpoena to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Criminal Intelligence Section, Homeland Security Bureau, Special Operations Division (re Bongiovanni)		JA11519-JA11522
10	48	173	Subpoena to Leo P. Flangas, Esq. (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11523-JA11526
11 12	48	174	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Investigation		JA11527-JA11530
13	48	175	Subpoena to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		JA11531-JA11534
14 15	48	176	Subpoena to Robert Archie (re: Simms)		JA11535-JA11538
16	48	177	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Corrections (re: lethal injection)		JA11539-JA11545
17 18	48	178	Deposition subpoena to Howard Skolnik, NDOC		JA11546-JA11548
19	48	179	Deposition subpoena to Robert Bruce Bannister, D.O., NDOC		JA11549-JA11551
20 21	48	180	Deposition subpoena to Warden Bill Donat		JA11552-JA11554
22	48 1	181	Deposition subpoena to Stacy Giomi, Chief, Carson City Fire Department		JA11555-JA11 557
23 24	37	for W	sition to Motion to Dismiss Petition rit of Habeas Corpus (Post- iction)	05/21/08	JA08758-JA08866
25	37	Exhib	oits to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	05/21/08	JA08867-JA08869
26					
27				1	

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3		37	329.	Leonard v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08870-JA08884
4				C126285, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 11, 2008.		
5		37	330.	Lopez v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA08885-JA08890
6 7				District Court, Case No. C068946, State's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed		
8		38	331.	February 15, 2008. Sherman v. McDaniel, Eighth		JA08991-JA09002
9		36	331.	Judicial District Court, Case No. C126969, Reply to Opposition to		JA08991-JA09002
10				Motion to Dismiss, filed June 25, 2007.		
11		38	332.	Witter v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C117513,		JA09003-JA09013
12 13				Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed July 5, 2007.		
14		38	333.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09014-JA09020
15				District Court, Case No. C159897, Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
16				Habeas Corpus, filed December 28, 2007.		
17		38	334.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09021-JA09027
18				District Court, Case No. C159897, State's Opposition to Defendant's		
19				Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion to		
20		38	335.	Dismiss, filed August 18, 2007. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA09028-JA09073
21		36	333.	District Court, Case No. C106784, Supplemental Brief in Support of		JA07020-JA07073
22				Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction),		
23				filed February 10, 2004.		
24		38	336.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 28865, Appellant's		JA09074-JA09185
25				Opening Brief.		
26						
27	Ι'		l			

1	Vo	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	38	Ĭ.	337.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980, Indictment, filed December 16, 1994.		JA09186-JA09200
4	38 39		338.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980,		JA09201-JA09240 JA09241-JA09280
5 6				Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Thursday, December 15, 1994.		
7 8	39		339.	Declaration of Stacie Campanelli dated April 29, 2008.		JA09281-JA0289
9	39		340.	Declaration of Domiano Campanelli, February 2008, Mastic Beach, N.Y.		JA09290-JA09300
10	39		341.	Declaration of Sari Heslin dated February 25, 2008.		JA09301-JA09305
11 12	39	,	342.	Declaration of Melody Anzini dated February 26, 2008.		JA09306-JA09311
13 14	39	,	343.	Declaration of Catherine Campanelli dated February 29, 2008.		JA09312-JA09317
15	39		344.	Declaration of Jessica Parket-Asaro dated March 9, 2008.		JA09318-JA09323
16 17	39		345.	Declaration of Mark Beeson dated March 26, 2008.		JA09324-JA09328
18	39		346.	State's Trial Exhibit 1: Laurie Jacobson photograph		JA09329-JA09330
19	39		347.	State's Trial Exhibit 2: Denise Lizzi photograph		JA09331-JA09332
2021	39		348.	State's Trial Exhibit 99: Michael Rippo		JA09333-JA09334
22	39		349.	State's Trial Exhibit 31: Autopsy photo Denise Lizzi		JA09335-JA09336
2324	39		350.	State's Trial Exhibit 53: Autopsy photo Laurie Jacobson		JA09337-JA09338
25	39		351.	State's Trial Exhibit 125: Laurie Jacobson victim-impact scrapbook		JA09339-JA09360
26				photographs		
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	39	352.	State's Trial Exhibit 127: Denise Lizzi victim-impact scrapbook photographs		JA09361-JA09374
4 5	39	353.	Declaration of Jay Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09375-JA09377
6	39	354.	Declaration of Robert Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09378-JA09381
7 8	39	355.	Juvenile Records of Stacie Campanelli		JA09382-JA09444
9	39	356	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C136066, State v. Sims, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09445-JA09450
11 12	39 40	357	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Sims		JA09451-JA09490 JA09491-JA09520
13	40 41	358	Justice Court Printout for Michael Beaudoin		JA09521-JA09740 JA09741-JA09815
14 15 16	41	359	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C102962, <u>State v.</u> <u>Beaudoin</u> , Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09816-JA09829
17 18	41	360	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C95279, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09830-JA09838
19 20	41	361	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C130797, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09839-JA09847
212223	41	362	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C134430, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09848-JA09852
24	41	363	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Christos		JA09952-JA09907
2526	41	364	Justice Court Printout for James Ison		JA09908-JA09930
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	41	365	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Order dated September 22, 1993		JA09931-JA09933
4 5	41	366	Declaration of Michael Beaudoin dated May 18, 2008		JA09934-JA09935
6 7	41	367	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Amended Indictment, dated January 3, 1996		JA09936-JA09941
8 9	41	368	State's Trial Exhibits 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62		JA09942-JA09965
10	41	369	State's Trial Exhibit 54		JA09966-JA09967
11 12	41	370	Letter from Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections, to Robert Crowley dated August 29 1997		JA09968-JA09969
13 14 15	41	371	Letter from Jennifer Schlotterbeck to Ted D'Amico, M.D., Nevada Department of Corrections dated March 24, 2004		JA09970-JA09971
16 17	41	372	Letter from Michael Pescetta to Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections dated September 23, 2004		JA09972-JA09977
18 19	41	373	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Warrant of Execution dated May 17, 1996		JA09978-JA09981
20 21	41	374	Declaration of William Burkett dated May 12, 2008		JA09982-JA09984
22	41	375	Handwritten Notes of William Hehn		JA09985-JA09986
23	48	Objec	tion to Proposed Order	11/21/08	JA11612-JA11647
24	48	Oppo	sition to Motion for Discovery	06/09/08	JA11558-JA11563
25	2	Order		11/12/92	JA00264-JA00265
26	2	Order		11/18/92	JA00266-JA00267
27	2	Order		09/22/93	JA00320-JA00321

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	3	Order	04/22/94	JA00619-JA00320
3	15	Order	03/08/96	JA03412
4	41	Order Appointing Counsel	02/13/08	JA09987-JA09988
5	5B	Order Sealing Affidavit	09/30/93	JA 1401-180 to JA 1401-185
6 7	2	Order to Produce Handwriting / Handprinting Exemplar	09/14/92	JA00252-JA00253
8	17	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Appointment of Counsel	12/04/98	JA04040-JA04047
9	19 20	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	01/15/08	JA04415-JA04570 JA04571-JA04609
11	20	Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	01/15/08	JA04610-JA04619
12 13	20	101. Bennett v. State, No. 38934 Respondent's Answering Brief (November 26, 2002)		JA04620-JA04647
141516	20	102. State v. Colwell, No. C123476, Findings, Determinations and Imposition of Sentence (August 10, 1995)		JA04648-JA04650
17	20	103. <u>Doleman v. State</u> , No. 33424 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 17, 2000)		JA04651-JA04653
18 19	20	104. Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of Prisons, No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 31, 1988)		JA04654-JA04660
2021	20	105. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562, Order Dismissing Appeal (February 20, 1992)		JA04661-JA04663
22 23	20	106. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 29120, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		JA04664-JA04670
242526	20	107. <u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789, Order Affirming in Part and Vacating in Part (November 14, 2002)		JA04671-JA04679
27	20	108. Hankins v. State, No. 20780, Order		JA04680-JA04683

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2	20		of Remand (April 24, 1990)		14.04694 14.04690
3	20	109			JA04684-JA04689
4	20		of Remand (May 24, 1994)		JA04690-JA04692
5		110	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1987)		T. 04/02 T. 04/04
6	20	111			JA04693-JA04696
7			Dismissing Appeal (August 28, 1996)		
8	20	112	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No.		JA04697-JA04712
9			39091, Order of Affirmance (December 19, 2002)		
10	20	113			JA04713-JA04715
11	20		Dismissing Appeal (June 17, 1991)		JA04716-JA04735
12		114	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845, Order of Affirmance (July 24, 2002)		
13	20	115			JA04736-JA04753
14	20		Dismissing Appeal (March 21, 1996)		JA04754-JA04764
15		116	Order Dismissing Appeal (August		
16	20		19, 1987)		JA04765-JA04769
17			17059, 17060, Order Dismissing		
18			Appeal and Denying Petition (February 19, 1986)		
19	20	118	. <u>Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II)</u> , Nos.		JA04770-JA04783
20			29027, 29028, Order Dismissing Appeal and Denying Petition for		
21			Writ of Habeas Corpus (October 9, 1996)		
22	20	119			JA04784-JA04788
23			29027, 29028, Order Denying Rehearing (July 17, 1998)		
24	20				JA04789-JA04796
25		120	$\overline{\text{CV-N-96-785-HDM}}$ -(RAM),		
26			Response to Nevius' Supplemental Memo at 3 (October 18, 1999)		
27					

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	20	101 ONLTH Co. N. 20142 O. L. C.		JA04797-JA04803
3		121. O'Neill v. State, No. 39143, Order of Reversal and Remand (December 18, 2002)		
5	20	122. <u>Rider v. State</u> , No. 20925, Order (April 30, 1990)		JA04804-JA04807
6	20	123. Riley v. State, No. 33750, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 19,		JA04808-JA04812
7 8	20	1999) 124. Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858, Order		JA04813-JA04817
9		Dismissing Appeal (May 28, 1993), Amended Order Dismissing Appeal (June 4, 1993)		
10	21	125. Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137, Order of Affirmance (May 13, 2002)		JA04818-JA04825
12	21	126. Sechrest v. State, No 29170, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20,		JA04826-JA04830
13 14	21	1997) 127. Smith v. State, No. 20959, Order of		JA04831-JA04834
15	21	Remand (September 14, 1990) 128. Stevens v. State, No. 24138, Order		JA04835-JA04842
16 17	21	of Remand (July 8, 1994) 129. Wade v. State, No. 37467, Order of		JA04843-JA04848
18	21	Affirmance (October 11, 2001) 130. Williams v. State, No. 20732, Order		JA04849-JA04852
19 20	21	Dismissing Appeal (July 18, 1990) 131. Williams v. Warden, No. 29084,		JA04853-JA04857
21		Order Dismissing Appeal (August 29, 1997)		1101050 1101071
22	21	132. Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Prison, No. 19705, Order		JA04858-JA04861
23 24	21	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1989) 133. Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order		JA04862-JA04873
25		Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (November 28,		
26		2005)		
27				

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	21	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order Denying Rehearing (February 2, 2006)		JA04874-JA04879
4	21	135.	Rippo v. State; Bejarano v. State,		JA04880-JA04883
5			No. 44094, No. 44297, Order Directing Oral Argument (March 16, 2006)		
6	21	 136.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784,		JA04884-JA04931
7			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
8			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), February 10, 2004		
9	0.1	127	•		14.04022 14.04025
10	21	137.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of		JA04932-JA04935
11			Law and Order, December 1, 2004		
12	21	138.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, May 19, 2005		JA04936-JA04986
13	21	 139.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No.		JA04987-JA05048
14 15			44094, Respondent's Answering Brief, June 17, 2005		
16	22	140.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief, September 28, 2005		JA05049-JA05079
17		1 4 1	•		TA 05000 TA 05100
18	22	141.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental		JA05080-JA05100
19			Brief As Ordered By This Court, December 12, 2005		
20					
21	22	201.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Opinion filed		JA05101-JA05123
22			October 1, 1997		
23	22	202.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Affirmance filed November 16, 2006		JA05124-JA05143
24	22	203.	Confidential Execution Manual,		JA05144-JA05186
25		203.	Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison		JA05177-JA05160
26			1 charry, 110 tada o anto 1 113011		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	22	204.	Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner, United States Supreme Court Case No. 03-6821, David Larry Nelson v. Donal Campbell and Grantt Culliver, October Term, 2003		JA05187-JA05211
5	22	205.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A. Zimmers, David A. Lubarsky, and		JA05212-JA05214
6 7			Jonathan P. Sheldon, <u>Inadequate</u> Anaesthesia in Lethal <u>Injection for</u> Execution, Vol. 365, April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com		
9	22 23	206.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M.D., dated May 16, 2006, including attached exhibits		JA05215-JA05298 JA05299-JA05340
10 11	23	207.	"Lethal Injection: Chemical		JA05341-JA05348
12			Asphyxiation?" Teresa A. Zimmers, Jonathan Sheldon, David A. Lubarsky, Francisco Lopez-Munoz,		
13			Linda Waterman, Richard Weisman, Leonida G. Kniaris, <u>PloS Medicine</u> ,		
14			April 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 4		
15 16	23	208.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief		JA05349-JA05452
17 18	23	209.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Reply Brief		JA05453-JA05488
19	23	210.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05489-JA05538
20			Opening Brief, filed May 19, 2005		
21	24	211.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05539-JA05568
22			Reply Brief, filed September 28, 2005		
23	24	212.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05569-JA05588
24 25			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental Brief as Ordered by		
26			this Court filed December 22, 2005		
27					
28			29		
20			۷۶		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	24	213.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Order Directing Oral Argument filed		JA05589-JA05591
4			March 16, 2006		
5	24	214.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Transcript of Oral Argument on June 13, 2006		JA05592-JA05627
6	24	215.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05628-JA05635
7 8			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Petition for Rehearing filed December 11, 2006		
9	24	216.	Supplemental Points and Authorities		JA05636-JA05737
10			in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and attached exhibits filed August 8,		
11			2002		
12 13	24	217.	Letter dated August 20, 2004 from Rippo to Judge Mosley		JA05738
14	24	218.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, filed March 24, 1994		JA05739-JA05741
15	2.4	210			14.05742 14.05792
16 17	24	219.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Jury Instructions, filed March 6, 1996		JA05742-JA05782
18	25	220.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Notice of Alibi, filed September 2,		JA05783-JA05785
19			1993		
20	25	221.	Affidavit of Alice May Starr dated January 26, 1994		JA05786-JA05791
21	25	222.	Letter dated October 12, 1993 from Starr to President Clinton		JA05792-JA05795
22 23	25	223.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Order Sealing Affidavit (and		JA05796-JA05801
24			exhibits), dated September 30, 1993		
25	25	224.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Report dated		JA05802-JA05803
26			September 30, 1993		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	25	225.	Letter dated November ??, 1993 from Starr to Rex Bell, District Attorney		JA05804-JA05807
4 5	25	226.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C57388, Draft Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea		JA05808-JA05812
6 7	25	227.	Justice Court Record, Thomas Edward Sims		JA05813-JA05881
8	25 26 27	228.	Justice Court Record, Michael Angelo Beaudoin		JA05882-JA06032 JA06033-JA06282 JA06283-JA06334
9 10 11	27	229.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Voluntary Statement of Michael Angelo Beaudoin dated March 1, 1992		JA06335-JA06349
12 13	27	230.	Justice Court Record, Michael Thomas Christos		JA06350-JA06403
14	27	231.	Justice Court Record, David Jeffrey Levine		JA06404-JA06417
15 16	27	232.	Justice Court Record, James Robert Ison		JA06418-JA06427
17	27	233.	MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) Scoring for Diana Hunt dated September 2, 1992		JA06428-JA06434
18 19	27	234.	Handwritten Declaration of James Ison dated November 30, 2007		JA06435-JA06436
2021	27	235.	Handwritten Declaration of David Levine dated November 20, 2007		JA06437-JA06438
22 23	27	236.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed August		JA06439-JA06483
242526	27	237.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Motion to Dismiss for Outrageous Government Misconduct, filed September 13, 1996		JA06484-JA06511
27		<u> </u>			

1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	2	8	238.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2, December 3, 1997		JA06512-JA06689
4 5		.8 .9	239.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, December 4, 1997		JA06690-JA06761 JA06762-JA06933
6 7		9	240.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4, December 8, 1997		JA06734-JA07011 JA07012-JA07133
8 9		0	241.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6, December 10, 1997		JA07134-JA07261 JA07262-JA06332
10 11	3	1	242.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, December 15, 1997		JA07333-JA07382
12 13		1 2	243.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9, December 16, 1997		JA07383-JA07511 JA07512-JA07525
14 15	3	2	244.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Respondent's Answering Brief, filed February 14, 1997		JA07526-JA07641
161718	3	2	245.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed December 2, 1997		JA07642-JA07709
19 20	3	2	246.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 124980, Criminal Court Minutes		JA07710-JA07713
212223	3	2	247.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Motion for New Trial, filed April 29, 1996		JA07714-JA07719
24 25 26	3	2	248.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Superseding Criminal Indictment, filed May 6, 1997		JA07720-JA07751
26						

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	249.	In the Matter of the Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing the Interception of Wire Communications dated October 11, 1995		JA07752-JA07756
5 6	33	250.	Clark County School District Records for Michael D. Rippo		JA07757-JA07762
7	33	251.	Neuropsychological Assessment, Thomas F. Kinsora, Ph.D., dated February 1, 1996		JA07763-JA07772
8 9 10	33	252.	Addendum to Neurological Assessment Report, Thomas F. Kinsors, Ph.D., dated March 12, 1996		JA07773-JA07775
11 12	33	253.	Pre-Sentence Report, State v. Rippo, Case No. 97388, dated April 23, 1982		JA07776-JA07782
13 14	33	254.	Psychiatric Evaluation, Norton A. Roitman, M.D., dated February 17, 1996		JA07783-JA07789
15 16	33	255.	SCOPE printout for Carole Ann Rippo		JA07790
17	33	256.	Progress Reports dated October 15, 1981		JA07791-JA07792
18 19	33	257.	Supplemental Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA07793-JA07801
20 21	33	258.	County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981 Order, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 9, 1981		JA07802-JA07803
22 23	33	259.	Terms of Probation, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 1, 1981		JA07804-JA07805
24 25	33	260.	Transcript of Proceedings, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 14, 1981		JA07806-JA07811
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	261.	Petition No. 1, Recommendation for Adjudication and Order of Approval, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 19, 1981		JA07812
5 6	33	262.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 8, 1981		JA07813
7 8	33	263.	Certification, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed October 19, 1981		JA07814
9 10	33	264.	Probation Officer's Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981		JA07815-JA07823
11 12	33	265.	Baseline Psychiatric Evaluation, Southern Desert Correctional Center, by Franklin D. Master, M.D., dated April 9, 1982		JA07824
13141516	33	266.	Confidential Psychological Evaluation by Eric S. Smith, Ph.D., Timothy L, Boyles, M.A., James F. Triggs, Ed.D., dated February 11, 1982		JA07825-JA07827
17 18	33	267.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07828-JA07829
19 20	33	268.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07830-JA07831
21 22	33	269.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07832-JA07833
23 24	33	270.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07834-JA07835
25 26	33	271.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
27					

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	272.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
4 5	33	273.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Arrest Report dated January 27, 1982		JA07838
6 7	33	274.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 29, 1982		JA07839-JA07840
8 9 10	33	275.	Certification Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 23, 1982		JA07841-JA07853
11 12	33	276.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 2, 1982		JA07854
13 14	33	277.	Judgment of Conviction, Case No. C57388, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Clark County, Nevada, filed May 28, 1982		JA07855
15	33	278.	Psychological Report: Corrections Master, dated June 2, 1982		JA07856-JA07859
16 17	33	279.	Test of Educational Development dated March 9, 1983		JA07860-JA07862
18	33	280.	Psychological Evaluation dated December 2, 1983		JA07863
19 20	33	281.	Parole Progress Report, March 1985 Agenda		JA07864-JA07865
21	33	282.	Institutional Progress Report, March 1987 Agenda		JA07866-JA07868
22 23	33	283.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated January 29, 1987		JA07869
24	33	284.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated August 12, 1988		JA07870
2526	33	285.	Parole Progress Report, September 1988 Agenda		JA07871-JA07872
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	286.	Psychological Evaluation dated August 23, 1989		JA07873
4	33	287.	Parole Progress Report, September 1989 Agenda		JA07874-JA07875
5	33	288.	Parole Officers' Notes beginning December 4, 1989		JA07876-JA07884
6 7	33	289.	Institutional Progress Report dated May 1993		JA07885-JA07886
8	33	290.	Health Services, Psychology Referral Form dated April 28, 1993		JA07887
9	33	291.	Handwritten notes dated February 17, 1994		JA07888
11	33	292.	Handwritten notes dated March 9, 1994		JA07889
12 13	33	293.	Handwritten exam notes (Roitman) dated January 13, 1996		JA07890-JA07894
14	33	294.	Psychological Panel Results Notification dated January 10, 1996		JA07895
15 16	33	295.	Norton A. Roitman, Addendum, dated March 11, 1996		JA07896-JA07897
17	33	296.	Bongiovanni Off the Bench, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07898-JA07899
18 19	33	297.	Fraud probe led to judge, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07900
20	33	298.	Charge opens judge's race, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07901-JA07902
2122	33	299.	Judge Bongiovanni Indicted, <i>Las</i> Vegas Sun, April 18, 1986		JA07903
23	33	300.	Judge's actions examined, Las Vegas Review-Journal, April 19, 1996		JA07904-JA07906
2425	33	301.	Mental Health Progress Notes dated June 20, 1993		JA07907
26 27	33	302.	Affidavit of David M. Schieck dated March 16, 1998		JA07908

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	303.	Declaration of Carole A. Duncan dated January 19, 2000		JA07909-JA07910
4	33	304.	Union Free School #24, Pupil History Record, Michael Campanelli		JA07911-JA07912
5 6	33 34	305.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7, October 27, 1998		JA07913-JA08006 JA08007-JA08039
7 8	34	306.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, October 28, 1998		JA08040-JA08155
9 .0 .1	34	307.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Emergency Motion to Disqualify John Fadgen, Esq. From Representing Defendant Bongiovanni at Trial, July 24, 1997		JA08156-JA08225
.2		308.	OMITTED		
.3	34	309.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-		JA08226-JA08246
.4			96-98-LDG(RJJ), Notice of Tape Recordings Intended for Use in Government's Case in Chief, filed August 2, 1996		
.7	35	310.	Letter from Donald J. Green requesting additional discovery dated July 9, 1996		JA08247-JA08253
.8	35	311.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5, December 9, 1997		JA08254-JA08399
0	35	312.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA08400-JA08405
1			District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Answer		
2			in Opposition to Motion for New Trial, filed May 1, 1996		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					<u> </u>

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2		35	313.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08406-JA08413
3 4				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances		
5				Numbered I and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
6				Circumstance Number 4, filed August 20, 1993		
7		35	314.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08414-JA08417
8				Nevada, Case No. 106784, State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance		
10				Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
11				Circumstance Number 4, filed February 11, 1994		
12 13		35	315.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08418-JA08419
14				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
15		35	316.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special		JA08420-JA08421
16				Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
17 18		35 36	317.	Social History		JA08422-JA08496 JA08497-8538
19		36	318.	Parental Agreement, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA08539
20				County, Nevada, dated April 29, 1981		
21		36	319.	Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., and Thomas J. Reidy, Ph.D., <u>Integrating</u>		JA08540-JA08564
22				Base Rate Data in Violence Risk Assessments at Capital Sentencing,		
23 24				16 Behavioral Sciences and the Law 71, 88-89 (1998)		
25		36	320.	Letter from Michael Rippo to Steve Wolfson dated April 17, 1996		JA08565
26		36	321.	Report of Jonathan Mack, Ph.D.		JA08566-JA08596
27			<u> </u>			

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
36	322. Trial Exhibit: Photograph of Michael Rippo		JA08597
36	323. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Application and Order for Fee in Excess of Statutory Amount for Investigator, filed December 3, 1996		JA08598-JA08605
36	324. Wiretap Transcript, Tommy Simms [sic], dated June 8, 1992		JA08606-JA08609
36	325. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Continued Initial Arraignment, heard March 25, 1982		JA08610-JA08619
36	326. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Further Proceedings and/or Continued Initial Arraignment heard March 30, 1982		JA08620-JA08626
36	327. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C106784, Instructions to the Jury, filed March 14, 1996		JA08627-JA08652
36	328. Declaration of Elisabeth B. Stanton, dated January 15, 2008		JA08653-JA08664
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	06/09/08	JA11564-JA11574
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	09/16/08	JA11575-JA11585
1	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/06/92	JA00242-JA00245
2	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/20/92	JA00246-JA00251
36	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel	02/11/08	JA08665-JA08668
2	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Proceedings; Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District	02/14/94	JA00378-JA00399

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	Attorney's Office		
19	Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/10/04	JA04347-JA04408
48	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing	09/22/08	JA11586-JA11602
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Attorney General's Motion to Quash and for Protective Order	09/20/93	JA00316-JA00319
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Motion to Continue Jury Trial	09/10/93	JA00304-JA00315
3	Reporter's Transcript of Motions Hearing	03/09/94	JA00565-JA00569
18	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary [sic] Hearing	11/27/02	JA04202-JA04204
19	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Honorable Donald M. Mosely	08/20/04	JA04321-JA04346
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Argument and Decision	05/02/02	JA04048-JA04051
1	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Grand Jury	06/04/92	JA00001-JA00234
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1; 10:00 a.m.	01/30/96	JA00634-JA00641
3 4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 1:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00642-JA00725 JA00726
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. III; 3:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00727-JA00795
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:15 AM	01/31/96	JA00796-JA00888
4 5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	01/31/96	JA00889-JA00975 JA00976-JA01025
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I; 10:20 a.m.	02/01/96	JA01026-JA01219
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. VI; 10:20 a.m.	02/02/96	JA01220-JA01401
5B	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1, 1:30 p.m.	02/05/96	JA01401-001 to JA01401-179
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 2:30 p.m.	02/02/96	JA01402-JA01469 JA01470-JA01506

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
7	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/06/96	JA01507-JA01688
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	02/06/96	JA01689-JA01766
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 1:45 PM	02/07/96	JA01767 JA01872
8 9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/08/96	JA01887-JA01938 JA01939-JA02054
9 10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:45 AM	02/26/96	JA02055-JA02188 JA02189-JA02232
10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00AM	02/27/96	JA02233-JA02404
11	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	02/28/96	JA02405-JA02602
12 13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:35 a.m.	02/29/96	JA02630-JA02879 JA02880-JA02885
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial 9:00 AM	03/01/96	JA02886-JA03064
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	03/04/96	JA03065-JA03120
14	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00 a.m.	03/05/96	JA03121-JA03357
16	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. 1 11:30 a.m.	03/13/96	JA03594-JA03808
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 9:30 AM	03/14/96	JA03841-JA04001
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	03/18/94	JA00575-JA00582
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	04/14/94	JA00591-JA00618
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Penalty Phase 10:00 a.m.	03/12/96	JA03413-JA03593
2 3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office	03/07/94	JA00403-485 JA00486-564

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings re: Oral Request of District Attorney	01/31/94	JA00322-JA00333
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Ruling on Defense Motion	03/11/94	JA00570-JA00574
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	05/17/96	JA04014-JA04036
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Verdict	03/06/96	JA03403-JA03411
2	Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to His Defense	02/07/94	JA00351-JA00357
36 37	State's Motion to Dismiss and Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/23/08	JA08673-JA08746 JA08747-JA08757
2	State's Motion to Expedite Trial Date or in the Alternative Transfer Case to Another Department	02/16/93	JA00268-JA00273
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery and State's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	10/27/92	JA00260-JA00263
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	02/07/94	JA00346-JA00350
18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	10/14/02	JA04154-JA04201
2	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	02/14/94	JA00367-JA00370
18	State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/06/04	JA04259-JA04315
2	State's Response to Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office and State's Motion to Quash Subpoenas	02/14/94	JA00358-JA00366
18	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	02/10/04	JA04206-JA04256

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
17 18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	08/08/02	JA04052-JA04090 JA04091-JA04153
15	Verdicts	03/06/96	JA03399-JA03402
16	Verdicts and Special Verdict	03/14/96	JA03835-JA03840

do you feel about that; do you think you'll -- from that perspective do you think you'll be an okay

- I will certainly do my darnedest to give it a hundred and 10 percent concentration.
- Even if it got down to the point that you were a little concerned about what was going on at work, you weren't sure that your job was getting done, would you be able to just say, I got to put
- -- I've got to do what's here for eight
- -- and however long we're here, and then turn your attention to the work; would you be able
 - Yes.

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

- Because of this problem do you have a Q. feeling of would you rather serve as a juror or not?
 - Truthfully, not. Α.
- You'd love for me to ask you the magic question that just allowed you to float out of here, right?

LAS VEGAS;, NEVADA (702) 382-7530 REPORTING SERVICES

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

72

13

1.4

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yeah.
- Q. It's not going to happen.

How about in other respects; this is a serious case, one dealing with the death penalty, and we'll get to your attitudes on that in a moment, but just while we're here talking about your druthers, job aside, would you want to serve as a juror in this case?

- A. I believe it is my duty to do that at least one time in my lifetime.
- Q. Aside from it being a duty, is it something that you would look forward to, think that it would be, I think in the words of another juror, an interesting experience?
 - A. Oh, definitely,
- Q. You don't shy away from doing this particular duty?
 - A. No.
- Q. How are your attitudes about the death penalty; what can you tell us about that?
- A. I've been toying with this question for the last several days. It's never really come into any type of a presence per se. I was not really a fan of the O.J. club.

- 5

6

7

: 8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

· 15

16

1.7

18

1:9

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. Right.
- Q. Did you then suggest that you're not sure if you yourself personally can be involved in that particular decision making?

potential punishments for first degree murder?

- A. Right.
- Q. So you believe in the death penalty?
- A. Right.
- Q. You're just not sure that you can implement it --
 - A. Correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

. 1:1

12

1.3

14

15

. 16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q. -- as a juror?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Let's explore that a little bit.

Tell us the problems that you have with being the implementer of the death penalty as a jurer?

- A. I just don't know if I could in all good conscience within myself be okay to put somebody to death.
- Q. Let's test that just a little bit.

 I want you to look over at Mr.

 Rippo here. Look at him. Don't look at me. And
 early on in the trial I said this, I think, to

 Mr. Escalante, the very first juror we had.

He's a living, breathing human being, nice looking fellow. Has parents. He's done good things. He's, as we know him right now, he's one of us.

about providing the evidence comes out in a certain way, may be about suggesting to the jury that that particular person should receive the death penalty.

And this is the old philosophical versus reality discussion that we were having early

2

3

5

6

7

8

:9

. 10

1,1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

on in the jury selection, but it's a real thing.

It's not philosophical any more.

Do you go along with that?

A. Right.

Q. You recognize the responsibility that

A. Yes.

you as a juror would have?

Q. Mr. Harmon, I think, asked another juror a little bit ago, could they come back into the courtroom and look at Mr. Rippo and deliver a verdict of death.

I'll put that to you and not --you don't know if he deserves it or not?

A. Right.

Q. We're assuming that in your mind that says that the system should have a death penalty in it that this person is probably deserving of it.

The question is, could you do

that?

A. Probably in all good conscience, no.

Q. No matter what the case?

A. Well, I would have to know the facts first.

Q. You don't get that privilege,

. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

: 9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

1.9

20

21

2,2

23

24

unfortunately. We have got to talk hypothetically, obviously, because we're not going to argue the case before you at this juncture, but can you -- you've got a certain philosophical personal bent against the death penalty, against you being a person who would implement it.

Can you imagine a case that would change you and you'd vote for the death penalty, or are you pretty steadfast in that conviction?

- A. Not steadfast. Convince me otherwise and, you know, possibly my mind could change, but it would take a lot of convincing to change it.
- Q. You've heard that the trial is divided into two parts, the guilt and the penalty phase?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You've also heard people say that during the guilt phase you can't think about punishment, you can't let that affect your judgment.

Now, that's like unringing a bell. You know that punishment is somewhere down the line.

- A. Right,
- Q. But as you decide guilt or innocence or guilty or not guilty, you have got to just not

3

4

5

5

: 7

- 8

. 9

10

† 1

12

13

. 14:

1:5

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

consider the punishment side of 1t, but nothing that in the back of your mind that if you find the defendant guilty of first degree murder you are then going to be faced with this question that you probably don't want to be faced with.

would that make it more difficult to deliver the appropriate verdict in the guilty phase?

- A. Probably not. At that point.
- Q. It would not impair your ability to reach a decision?
 - A. No.
- Q. : You've heard us talking about fairness, equality, and all of that here, and what we're all after are jurors who can equally consider the three penalties.

And now we get down to that guestion. Do you think that you would be able to consider the death penalty equally along with these other penalties?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The question was asked early on in the jury selection, if you were Mr. Rippo, if you were 24 sitting in his chair, would you want 12 jurors of a

2:4

mind similar to yours. 1 2 How would you answer that 3 question? Yes, I would. A. : And if you were sitting in the chairs of 5 6 Mr. Harmon or myself, and ultimately we get to the 7 point of where we're asking for the death penalty, 8 would you want 12 jurors, if you were in these . 9 chairs, to have your mind to be in the panel? A. Yeah. 10 11 MR. SEATON: Pass for cause, Judge. 12 Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy. 1:3 14 15 EXAMINATION 1:5 BY MR. DUNLEAVY! 17 You indicated to the State that you wouldn't discard the death penalty, but that it 1:8 1:9 would take a lot of convincing? 20 A. Yeah. 2,1 Don't you think it should? Q. Absolutely, 2.2 A.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

anything more serious than that?

Have you ever been asked to consider

, 3

- 5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

concept?

A. No.

Q. Now, there is a lot of talk about what happens in the penalty phase, but I want to go back for a minute and talk to you about the trial phase.

about so and so was found innocent or so and so was pled innocent. In reality that doesn't happen.

Journalists say that, but no jury can find a man innocent. The criteria is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt or not guilty.

How do you feel about that

- A. I think that's a fair concept.
- Q. And that the defendant doesn't have to try and prove anything.
 - A. Right.
- Q. You understand that innocence is a difficult concept of to prove.

Now, the question is if the State has enough evidence beyond a reasonable doubt and as a matter of law, he's guilty. And if they don't have enough evidence beyond a reasonable doubt, then as a matter of law he's not guilty.

A. Right.

1 Q. You don't have any problems with that? 2 No. A. 3 When you were asked in question 75 you 4 indicated that you agreed that he should be required 5 to prove his innocence. 6 Α. It was a misunderstanding of --The last page of a long questionnaire. 7 Q. 8 A. -Right. 9 Q, You also indicated that you agreed that 10 if the prosecutor went to the trouble of bringing 11 this charge, that he must be guilty. 1.2 What do you think of that? 13 Well, having sat here for the last 14 couple of days and understanding certain terms which 15 were not familiar to me, or explanations of 16 innocence and guilt and such, I have to rescind my 17 answer on that one. 18 Q. You recognize that attorneys have a 1.9 tendency to speak in a language nobody else speaks? 20 A. Conrect. 21 Q. And some of them know it. You also said that rights of a 2.2 23 person charged with a crime are better protected 2:4 than the rights of the victim.

1	You understand that I've talked
3	to other people
3	A. Right.
4	Q that the rights really come into play
5	here?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. And, unfortunately, a victim doesn't
8	have a judge and a jury standing by on that, but
9	that's why we wind up here in court
10	A. Right.
11	' Q so you can decide that:
12	People accused of serious crimes
13	are treated too lightly by the courts.
14	Now, here you know that the
15	options are life without the possibility of parole,
16	life with the possibility of parole, and death?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. Are any of those too light?
19	A. No.
2:0	Q. Now, 10 years to life, is that a fair
2.1	punishment for somebody convicted of a first degree
22	murder?
23	A. Possibly. Again, I don't know. It
24	depends on the severity of the crime.

1	Q. You recognize that there are different
2	kinds of victims?
3	A. Right.
4	Q. And there are different levels of
, 5	severity?
6	A. Right.
7	Q. : And you'll keep an open mind and reserve
8	your thoughts until after you've heard all of the
9	evidence?
10	A. Yes.
1.1	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you. I have no
12	further questions.
13	I pass the witness.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harmon, you may
15	inquire of Mrs. Carlson-Gould.
16	
17	SABRINA CARLSON-GOULD
18	a prospective juror, was examined as
19	follows:
20	
21	EXAMINATION
21 22	EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON:
21	EXAMINATION

Q. You're an elementary school teacher? 2 Yes, I am. A. 3 Can I save you a little bit of 4 questioning? 5 Perhaps. Q. I don't think I would make a very good 6 7 juror, because my mind would be on my classroom, and also I don't think I could be impartial to the 8 9 defendant, because I have two daughters. I think I 10 would get very emotional about it. And I don't 1.1 think I would want to. I don't think if I were him, 1.2 I would want me on the jury because --13 You're telling us that first that you don't feel you could give your full attention to the 14 proceeding? 15 1.6 Mm-hmm. A. And second, that you feel you'd be 17 Q. 18 partial because of having two daughters? 19 Yes. A, MR. HARMON: We'll submit it, your 20 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you 2:3 very much. 24

1	Q. You're an elementary school teacher?
2	A. Yes, I am.
3	Can I save you a little bit of
4	questioning?
5	Q. Perhaps.
6	A. I don't think I would make a very good
7	juror, because my mind would be on my classroom, and
8	also I don't think I could be impartial to the
9	defendant, because I have two daughters. I think I
10	would get very emotional about it. And I don't
1.1	think I would want to. I don't think if I were him,
13	I would want me on the jury because
13	Q. You're telling us that first that you
14	don't feel you could give your full attention to the
15	proceeding?
16	A. Mm-ham.
1.7	Q. And second, that you feel you'd be
18	partial because of having two daughters?
19	A. Yes.
20	MR. HARMON: We'll submit it, your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: You're excused. Thank you
23	very much.
24	

(Prospective juror excused.) The clerk will now call the next in line to take seat No. 4. THE CLERK: Carter Ruess. 5 MS. KEITHLEY: 136. 6 7 CARTER WARREN RUESS 8 a prospective juror, was examined as follows: 10 1.1 12 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 1:3 Q. Mr. Ruess, you've been listening to all 1.4 15 these questions? 16 Α. Yes, sir. Has anything come to your mind that you 1.7 feel we should know about your qualifications to sit 1-8 as a juror? 19 20 A. No, sir. THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Seaton. 21 22 2.3

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

2

3

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

1:8

1.9

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. You've been able to hear the lawyers' discussions with the various jurors while you were sitting in the back?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Can you tell us how you feel about the death penalty?
 - A. I believe in the death penalty.
 - Q. Have you always?
 - A. Pretty much so, yes.
 - Q. Can you tell us why?
- A. Well, I think as I wrote on the form, an eye for an eye concept.
 - Q. Is that strict eye for an eye; for example, all murderers should be given the death penalty?
 - A, No.
 - Q. Would you have the ability to contemplate the three punishments that have been listed before you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So you can conceive of situations where a person who has murdered could have an opportunity

5

٠6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1:4

1.5

15

1:7

18

19

20

2.1

2:2

2:3

24

- A. Right, in an emotional-type murder, not premeditated, I could envision that.
- Q. But -- and you could also envision very serious heinous-type murders where you think the death penalty would be appropriate?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Were there any other things that you have heard us discuss that you would have answers that you think any of the lawyers would be interested in?
- A. No. Everything's been pretty much straight forward.
 - Q. Are you a pretty good decision maker?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you do that in your business?
- A. No. I work for the post office. There is not much decision there. Just separating zip codes.
- Q. Admitting to that is like admitting you're a lawyer.
- A. But in my personal life I think I'm pretty logical.
 - Q. And pretty fair?

A		Yes.
77		190

MR. HARMON: Thanks very much.

Pass for cause, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

5

7

8

9

10

11

1:2

1.3

14

15

1,6

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. No hesitation that you believe in an eye for an eye?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And when you meet with friends have you ever had the discussion of the death penalty come up?
 - A. In social settings, yes.
 - Q. What's your position?
- A. I believe that death penalty should be an option.
 - Q. Just an option?
- A. Well, as I was telling the other gentleman, and there are certain cases where people are motivated to kill someone else where they didn't think about it prior to, and I think possibly under those circumstances that that would not be a death penalty case, but again, you got to look at all the

- 5

6

8

9

10

1:1

1.2

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

facts, and I don't have all the facts so . . .

Q. Well, at the end of the first phase, first degree murder will be one of the options.

There will be other levels. Only if first degree murder is found as guilty, we even get to the second phase. So you have to have a murder that involved premeditation or a heinous murder to even get to that phase.

So if we get to that phase, you found someone guilty of a first degree murder, knowing that, would you consider life with the possibility of parole as a legitimate option?

- A. Probably not.
- Q. And why is that?
- A. I think premeditated murder is somebody knows that they're going to take the life of someone else, and to me that is so heinous that --
 - Q. Automatically, that option's out.

 What about life without the

possibility of parole?

- A. I would consider that.
- Q. So your options would be life without the possibility of parole and death?
 - A. Pretty much so.

5

6

9

10

1.1

1.2

14

1:5

1 6

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

33

24

1.3

- Q. Between those two which way are the scales leaning?
- Basically, when I hear somebody talk about the death penalty, in a particular case on a TV, I keep everything in abeyance. It's like a little chamber in my mind with a folder on it that says death penalty, and that folder happens to be empty.

Again, I'd have to get some facts here.

I can't be in a courtroom or inside somebody's mind or just listen on a TV and make those kind of decisions. Until I have, it's empty, until I have some input, some data that I can make rational decisions.

Q. On question 56 you were asked in your opinion what is wrong with the criminal justice system, and your answer was, we don't use the death penalty more often.

So you feel the problem is we're not killing enough people?

- A. No, that's not what I'm implying by answer the question the way I did.
 - Q. Okay. What were you implying?
 - A. There are certain people who are in the

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

1.3

14

1.5

1.5

17

18

1:9

20

21

22

23

24

criminal justice system, I believe --

- Q. Other than lawyers, I hope.
- A. Right. My dad's a lawyer so -- but where the case is already over, they have been convicted, and all the evidence or a majority of the evidence or sufficient amount of the evidence has come forward and been relayed to a TV, newspaper article, some kind of media, where I have been able in my mind to make a decision based on the limited information I have that that person should have gotten the death penalty and he's still sitting in prison.

so based on that kind of reasoning, I think that there are not enough people who have eventually gotten the death penalty. There is a -- once you get into prison and you've been given the death penalty, then you can go to higher courts and make appeals and things like that, and I think those kinds of ideas come into play.

- Q. When you're reading these articles did you ever notice the ones where they decide that a mistake was made?
 - A. Yes,
 - Q. And that they have convicted the wrong

person?

1

2

5

б

7

8

9

1.0

1.1

12

13

14

. 151

16

17

18

19

20

21

2,2

23

24

- A. Yes.
- Q. How do you undo the death penalty?
- A. You don't. You make damn sure that you have made the right decision to begin with.
- Q. Now, on question 75: If the prosecutor goes to the trouble of bringing someone to trial, you indicated you strongly agreed that the person must have done it.
- A. Well, again, like I'd like to agree with the lady on my left, now that I know the definition of some of the terms in the past couple days and some of the answers other people have given, I would say that there is sufficient evidence there to indicate that that person is most likely the person that should be tried in this particular case.
- Q. Now, you also indicated people accused of serious crimes are treated too lightly.

Do you think that life with the possibility of parole is just too light a sentence for someone convicted of murder?

A. No. I think this goes back to what I was saying before. When you've got somebody who's already been convicted, who's been in prison for 10

making that call?

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1'9

20

2:1

2.2

23

24

- A. I'd feel real comfortable if I was on the jury if I were him, yes.

 Q. The same with the State?

 A. My job -- this is my job for the next three or four weeks, whatever it takes, and my job
- Q. You indicated you believe in an eye for an eye?

is to do:just as much justice to society as it is to

A. Correct.

this individual sitting here.

- Q. What does that mean to you?
- they should be punished equally in the way that they committed that crime.

\$5.00 out of your wallet, I might have to do \$5.00 worth of service work for the community or for you or something like that. Whatever society determined was equal punishment.

- Q. If I raped somebody in your family, you'd rape somebody in my family?
- A. Not necessarily, but you're looking at me going and doing something to your family as a punishment, but there is another way to get that

punishment accomplished, and that's through putting somebody in prison, and as long as that person is put in prison for a sufficient amount of time for the crime, then I would be satisfied.

- Q. Well, that's kind of a crux is how much is a sufficient amount of time. Say first degree murder.
- A. Well, that's a difficult decision to make.
- Q. Would you say 10 years could be considered sufficient?
- A. Depending on the facts. I could say that would be sufficient.

MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions,
15 your Honor. Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon.

17

18

19

1:6

1

2

3

В

9

10

11

12

13

MADELINE EDITH WORKMAN

a prospective juror, was examined as follows:

20 21

22

· 24

EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. HARMON:

Q. Mrs. Workman, good afternoon.

2

3

:5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

1:6

17

18

19

. 2:0

21

2.2

23

24

- Good afternoon. A. What is your attitude about serving as a Q. juror on this type of case? I don't wish to do it. I don't wish to be put in a position to kill someone or make the decision to kill someone. I don't believe in an eye for an eye.
 - I believe in treating people like I like them to treat me. And, of course, if everybody believed that, then there would be no crime. No, there would be no need for lawyers or judges.
 - Exactly, but everything does --Q.
 - But life's not like that.
 - Are you telling us that your frame of ٥. mind is such that you simply could not make a judgment?
 - Α. No. My frame of mind, I'm a very positive decisive person. I don't believe in things that people tell me per se. I have to see it in black and white as such as evidence and I will make my decision.

If: I make my decision and it's different from the group of people that I'm with,

б

I'm not going to be bullied into changing my mind, because once my mind is made up, it's made up.

But, on the other hand, I don't wish to carry around the burden for the rest of my life if I have to decide someone deserves the death penalty that whether I made the right decision or the wrong decision.

Because, like you said many times, this gentleman over here has parents, has brothers, has sisters, has friends, has enemies. We all do. We all do bad things in our lives. I've done things being older than I am now, because I'm plder than dirt, but when I was younger I would have never done.

- Q. Well, in this case that we're talking about an allegation that Mr. Rippo murdered two young women.
- A. And right now it's just an allegation.

 Nobody knows that.
 - Q. That's exactly correct.
- A. He was accused of that. And he doesn't have to prove it. The burden of proof is on you, and if you prove to me that he has done this and convinced me by physical evidence that he has done

1.3

1.8

2,1

this, the majority of the burden that you have to carry around for the rest of your life is that you convinced me that he did it and that I made the decision to do the death penalty or not.

It can be the death penalty or life without parole, life with parole. All three of them are pretty severe. All three of those kind of situations are terrible, but I think death is worst of all because the majority of time most people live in their own little prisons anyway.

- Q. Well, I don't necessarily disagree with the things that you're saying. We would be surprised if we encountered persons if they had -- who, if they had their choice, wished to be jurors in a murder case.
- A. And if I have to do it, I have to do it, because I'm bound by that by law, and I follow the laws, and because I'm a responsible person in my own conscience makes me follow the laws.
- Q. This is the point that we want to address is whether you have the emotional toughness?
- A. I have the emotional toughness to do anything that I wish to do.
 - Q. The sense of commitment?

. 2

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

2-1

22

23

24

- A. I have the emotion and I have the sense of commitment. Whatever I do I give it 110 percent.
- Q. Do you have the ability to give your full attention --
 - A. I have --
 - Q. -- to these proceedings?
- A. I have the ability to give my full attentions, to disassociate my private life from this, but whether I have the ability not to take this home and burden myself into my private life and destroy my private life, I don't know.
- Q. That's the part that a prosecutor has some concern about in reading your questionnaire, because I noticed in two separate places you indicated you would always wonder about whether the decision you made was right.
- A. I worked in management for many years, and I've had to make decisions that affected people's lives all the time as far as what shifts they work, whether I fire them or whether I keep them, but that's something they can recover from.

I've been fortunate enough in my life that I have never done anything that someone else has to make the judgment on me whether I live

or whether I die.

1

2

3

.5

5

,7

8

9

. 10

11

12

1.3

1.4

15

1.6

1.7

1,8

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If we select you as a juror on this case, will you decide the case as solely upon the evidence that you hear in the courtroom?
 - A. That's the only way you can decide.
- Q. You wouldn't let any feelings of sympathy for the defendant or the victims or the families of the parties sway you?
- A. No, because I wouldn't be associating with them. I'd be listening to the facts.
- Q. It may be, however, if there is a penalty hearing, it's quite possible you would hear statements by family members on whatever of the victims in this case, and on behalf of Mr. Rippo, the defendant.
- A. I think I would have a certain amount of sympathy for the victims and a certain amount of sympathy for the accused.
- Q. You understand that I'm indicating to you that sympathy is never a proper basis for a verdict?
- A. No, it's not. Sympathy even in workplace is not a basis.
 - Q. Is that a principle that you can abide

pA3

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

. 1.5

16

1.7

18

19

20

2-1

22

23

24

- A. What, having simply?
- Q. Not having sympathy and making the tough decisions required in this case.
 - A. We all have tough decisions to make.
- Q. I'm not talking about outside of the courtroom, but, Mrs. Workman, I'm talking --
 - A. If I had to, I can.
 - Q. -- this case.
- A. If I had to make a decision in this case and it would be what I truly believed in. It would be truly from the physical evidence. Not by the looks of anyone, not by anyone convincing me of anything else.
- Q. But would you describe yourself as being for or against the death penalty?
- A. I have no opinion in that because I've never thought about it. My own personal self, I wouldn't want the death penalty, but I have no opinion on whether I'm for it or against it because I've never really looked into it. I've never read anything on it or really watched anything on it.
 - Q. If you were at either of the counsel

3

5

6

7

8

9

1:0

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

tables in this case, would you want a juror of your frame of mind to sit in judgment?

- A. Well, if I was the defense, I'd probably want a juror of my frame of mind.
 - Q. Why is that?
 - A. Why?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Because I'm not going -- I'm not just going to say give him; the death penalty.

wouldn't, because if the prosecution was looking for the death penalty, I wouldn't be what they would be looking for, because you want to have someone, yes, that has the ability to vote for the death penalty, and you also want the ability or someone that wouldn't consider it. I don't know if I could.

If I knew for certain someone did something and they deserved the death penalty, I den't know if I could say, yes, give him the death penalty. I den't know if I could be that cold and callous towards life.

- Q. Well, I don't suppose anyone knows beforehand.
 - A. I've never been put in that position so

I can't even --

1

2

3

5

5

7.

.8

.9

1:0

11

1.2

13

1.4

15

16

17

TB

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But to wait until the jury is deliberating is obviously too late to discover, and so what is your best judgment now; are you able to equally consider all three of the punishments?
- A. I can equally consider them, but I can't say I could equally -- I can't say I could equally apply all three of them.
- Q. Can you think of any case where you would believe that you could come into this courtroom and vote to impose capital punishment?
 - A. No, no.
- Q. Are you telling us as you sit here this evening that regardless of the circumstances of the case that you would automatically vote for some other punishment than death?
- A. I'm saying I can't say what case you'd have to give the death penalty, no. I can't say I wouldn't vote for, but I can't say I would either. I don't know. I don't know if I could do that.

An example is my husband's always wanted me to learn to use the gun he has at home. I don't know if I learned to use that gun, if somebody someone actually attacked me, if I could shoot my --

2

.3

5

б

.9

1.0

11

12

13

1.4

15

1,6

- 17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

that gun to shoot and to protect. I don't know.

Unless I'm in that position, I'm not going to say one would or not. I have no opinion on it. I can only give you opinions on things that I have done or that I can do.

MR. HARMON: All right. Thank you.

We'll pass for cause, your Honor.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q. Mrs. Workman, we all appreciate your honesty, and it's getting late, so I only have a few questions for you. Thank you.

The lawyers in this case want to know if you are chosen as a juror and if the jury votes guilty on first degree murder, and if the case gets to a penalty hearing, whether you would at least consider the three possible punishments?

- A. You'd have to consider because those are the three punishments you put for us. Whether I could vote to it, I don't know.
- Q. And these are difficult questions. All of us lawyers are asking each of you to tell us what

23

24

of Mrs. Davis.

you'd do if, but we don't give you what the if is, 1 and I can appreciate that, but you would consider all three if we reached that point? 3 Is that a yes? A. Yes. 5 Q. The reporter has to take it down. • б I know, she doesn't understand nods. 7 Α. 8 Q. You're from the country of England; is 9 that right? No, I am an American. My father was 10 American; my mother is English, and when I was born **‡1** 12 I was born an American. 13 Oh, okay. I have on your Q. 14 questionnaire --I was born in England, and I'm an 15 A . . 16 American. I'm a born American. Okay. Do you know that they don't have **1.7** the death penalty in England? 18 No, I was not aware of that. 1.9 Α. ; MR. WOLFSON: I will pass for cause. 20 Thank you, ma'am. 21

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 382-7530

THE COURT: Mr. Seaton, you may inquire

MR. HARMON: I'll be doing this one too,

Judge.

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SEATON: The younger Mr. Seaton.

SADIE JANE DAVIS

a prospective juror, was examined as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. Miss Davis, how do you feel about serving as a juror on this type of case?
- A. Well, I've had a very difficult time in the past two days of dealing with all this. I guess I realized when I started filling out the questionnaire what it was about. And it's been very difficult. I don't know if I can make the decision or not. I don't know if I can sit in judgment of somebody else's life.
- Q. It's always difficult to try to predict what we'll be able to cope with somewhere down the road. Unfortunately, we're in a position of needing to get some type of firm commitment now.
 - A. I don't feel I can give you a firm

3

5

- 5

7

-8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

1.5

16

17

1,8

19

, 20

21

22

23

24

commitment at this time that, yes, I could go for the death penalty. I feel that I just -- I could not give you that commitment.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I object, your Honor. I don't believe that's the law. Ask they fairly consider it, and they're not allowed to make a commitment at the beginning of the trial.

THE COURT: You're right.

Ask some more questions.

MR. HARMON: I will.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q. We don't want to put the cart before the horse, but there are various decisions that have to be made in the case.

The first phase of the case will involve guilt or not guilt. Do you feel that you're of the frame of mind that you could listen to the evidence and apply to the facts the Court's legal instructions and from that reach a decision regarding guilt or innocence?

A. Honestly, at this time, no.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

We'll challenge for cause, your

Honor.

1 MR, WOLFSON: May I have your indulgande? 3

THE COURT: You may.

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, I'd like to ask a

few questions.

THE COURT: You may.

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

1.6

. 17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

. 5

٠6

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Good afternoon, ma'am. Q.

So, Mrs. Davis, it is Mrs. Davis,

is it not? 1.2

> Yes. A. .

Mrs. Davis, what have you heard the last couple of days that causes you to believe that you can't consider the three punishments, if asked; is there anything particular?

A. There isn't any particular thing. just that I have always tried to not sit in judgment of other people. That whatever they do they have to accept that responsibility. If it's wrong, then they have to accept the responsibility.

I don't feel that I can sit in judgment and say, well, you're wrong, and I don't

3

4

5

7

8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16.

1.7

18

20

21

23

feel that that's my place to judge another person.

I feel that that's our maker's place to judge them.

And at that point I have a hard time knowing that a decision that I possibly could make could possibly take this person's life. And that is where I have sat here and listened for the past two days of all that's been said, and I've had a hard time dealing with it for my own emotional standpoint.

- Q. A number of people in this courtroom in the last couple of days have said our system in this country is the fairest and the best.
 - A. I will agree.
 - Q. You would agree with what I just said?
- A. Yes, I would agree. I would also say that there are, and, thank God, there are people that are stronger than other people, and I'm not saying that I'm not a strong person. There are just times that I don't feel that it is my -- that I can fairly sit in judgment of somebody else.
- Q. Mrs. Davis, life would be easy if we -and I don't mean to sound condescending, but life
 would be easy if we didn't have some civic duties.
 - A. I agree.

3

5

б

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

: 21

. 22

23

24

Q. And one of our civic duties, if called upon, is to sit in judgment.

jury, and three weeks from now this jury was in a deliberation room, and that means you and 11 other people, and we are beyond today, if you will, could you deliberate and participate with other 11 in that process — and I'm talking about the first phase of the case — and come to a decision whether somebody is guilty or not guilty of a crime?

- A. I would do my best, but I'm not going to sit here and say, yes, absolutely, I would, but I would do my best.
- Q. And at the same time you're not saying that you can't or wouldn't?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Isn't that fair?
 - A. That's fair.
- Q. I mean I agree the last 48 hours for persons who are not familiar with the system have been pretty hard. We're talking about serious things here, and some of the things --
 - A. Very difficult.
 - Q. -- jurors have come forward and said

```
emotionally, I just can't do it.
1
2
                 And I know where they're coming from
    because I'm there. I have emotions up to here.
                 You don't want to sit on this panel?
          Α.
                 No.
                 THE COURT: I've heard enough.
 7
                        I'm going to excuse you. Thank
8
    you very much, Mrs. Davis.
9
                        (Prospective juror excused.)
10
11
12
                        The clerk will now call the next
13
    in line to take seat No. 6.
14
               THE CLERK: Myrna Keithley.
                 MR. WOLFSON: What number is that?
15
16
                 THE CLERK: 137.
17
16
                      MYRNA SUE KEITHLEY
19
          a prospective juror, was examined as
20
          follows:
21
22
                        EXAMINATION
    BY THE COURT:
23
24
                Good afternoon.
          Q.
```

- A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Do you know of any reason why you couldn't sit and be a fair and impartial juror in this case?
 - A. No.

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon.

MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: You may inquire.

9

10

11

12

13

14

1:5

1.5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q. What is your feeling, Miss Keithley, about sitting as a juror in this case?
- A. Well, I kind of agree with everybody, that they have to do it, but somebody's got to do it, so I would be willing.
- Q. I don't think anyone is implying that it's something which is easy or pleasant. The fact is, as you indicate, someone that needs to perform the civic responsibility.

Do you feel that you have the emotional and mental toughness to do the job?

A. I think so. It would be a hard -- it would be hard to execute the death penalty on

2

ā

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23.

24

somebody, but the way the world is, we have to get tough and show the people that don't obey the law that, you know, things will be done.

- Do you believe that persons who commit serious crimes must be held personally responsible for their actions?
 - Α. Yes.
- Do you believe that the punishment Q. should fit the nature of the crime?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- How would you characterize your views concerning punishment; are you for or against the death penalty?
- Well, I've always thought that I was for it, and I've always thought that if it was enforced more, we wouldn't have so much crime. And that's just always been how I believed. That if you commit a crime and there is reason of evidence, that you have to pay for what you've done.
- You were one in quite a lengthy list of Q. persons who when asked your personal view about what punishment would be worse for a defendant, you indicated life without parole.

THE COURT: Is that a yes?

MS. KEITHLEY: Yes

BY MR. HARMON:

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you indicating that from a personal reference?
 - A. That's how I'd feel.
 - Q. You're telling us --
- A. I think it would be hard to live that way.
- Q. -- that if you had the unfortunate requirement of making a choice, you would choose death over life in the State prison?
 - A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Assuming for a moment for the sake of argument that in this case the jury found beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Rippo was guilty of murder of the first degree, and then you had to consider punishment. And assuming after the conclusion of the penalty hearing that you thought that he should receive the worst punishment; the choices, of course, are death, life without and life with.

how would you vote as a juror?

- A. Well, I dereally hate to say now because I don't know any of the evidence.
 - Q. I'm not asking you to prejudge the

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

1.4

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

evidence. I'm just asking you, assuming for a moment that you thought that he deserved the worst punishment, what would you feel as a juror would be the worst?

- A. I would feel death would be the worst.
- Q. Do you think that you have the type of commitment to your conviction, if you honestly felt that way, to come into the courtroom and look at the defendant and share in that type of judgment?
- A. I wouldn't like it, no. I wouldn't like to do it, but it would be very hard, but, like I say, if you're on the jury, that's your commitment.
- Q. Do you understand that a true and just verdict is based solely upon the evidence; you aren't to consider anything that is outside of the courtroom?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And that you could abide by that principle?
 - A. Definitely.
- Q. Can you give your full attention to these proceedings throughout the length of the trial?
 - A. Yes.

```
1
                 MR. HARMON: Thank you.
 2
                        Pass for cause.
                 THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.
 3
                 MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.
 4
 5
                        EXAMINATION
 6
 7
     BY MR. WOLFSON:
                 Good afternoon, Mrs. Keithley.
 8
         Q.
 9
                        Your husband is a security
1:0
     supervisor?
         A. Yes. My son.
11
12
          Q. That's your son. I'm sorry, your son is
     a security supervisor for the Fremont Experience; is
13
14
     that right?
          A.
             Mm-hmm.
15
                Does he share with you any of his work
16
          Q.
1.7
     stories or anything?
18
         Α.
                 No.
19
             The Freemon Street Experience is a new
          Q.
     experience?
20
21
          A. It's new, yes. '
                Of course. Has he had any incidents
22
          Q.
23
     that he's brought home and discussed with you or
24
     shared with you?
```

.7

:

- A. No.
- Q. I want to ask you about one of your answers on the questionnairs, if I could.

The question was: In your opinion what is wrong with the criminal justice system?

And your answer was: Money seems to talk, especially for the rich.

And then, if I can read your writing, you put: This is bizarre.

- A. Yeah. Well, what I think is if you have money to get good lawyers, you have a better chance. And for the ordinary person or the poor person that comes into a court that has done a terrible crime, I don't know whether -- I don't know if he would get a fair trial or not in comparison to somebody that can really pay for it.
- Q. Do you have any preconceived opinions about Mr. Rippo's lawyers? I mean somebody already mentioned that they have seen my TV commercial.
 - A. No, not at all.
- Q. So you would be able to put whatever notions you have about the lawyers that Mr. Rippo has, whether he's a rich person or a poor person,

2

3

5

.6

7

-8

9

1.0

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

1:B

19

. 20

21

22.

23

and has gaid for lawyers or has court-pointed lawyers, would you be able to put that issue aside and base your decisions just on the evidence?

- A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Do you feel comfortable with that theme?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Another question in the questionnaire was: If the prosecutor goes to the trouble of bringing someone to trial, the person is probably guilty.

And you had five options, and you chose the answer: Agree.

Is that how you feel?

- A. No. I didn't understand it. No. I believe you're not guilt until you're proven that you're -- I believe you're innocent until proven guilty. I believe that in anybody.
- Q. The next question was: A defendant in a criminal trial should be required to prove his or her innocence.

And you checkmarked agree.

A. Well, I meant the evidence, the evidence that you show should prove it. Should prove that the defendant is innocent.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA REPORTING SERVICES (702) 362-7530

THE COURT: All right. At this time

we'll break for the evening. We'll reconvene at 10:15 tomorrow.

yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial; read, watch, listen to any report or commentary on the trial by any medium of information; including, without limitation, newspapers television or radio, or form or express any opinion on this trial until the matter is finally submitted to you.

Have a good evening.

(Proceedings adjourned to Thursday, February 1, 1996 at 10:15 alm.)

---000----

.

ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of

proceedings:

20

2

Э

:4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 B

19

21

22

23

24

GERRÍ LAPTHORNE, C.C.R. NO. 82

COPY

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. C106784 Dept. No. IV Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo, #0519119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bongiovanni

Thursday, February 1, 1996, 10:20 o'clock a.m.

Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings
JURY TRIAL

VOLUME I

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

000752

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

	•	
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the State:	MELVYN T. HARMON, ESQ.
3		DANIEL SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney
4	For the Defondant.	STEVEN B. NOLFSON, ESQ.
5	roi the perendunt;	PHILIP H. DUNLEAVY, ESQ. Attorneys at Law
6		MECOFIICAS OF LON
7	Law Clerk:	Delwin Potter
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		•
21		
22		
23		
24		
,		000250

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, February 1, 1996, 10:20 o'clock a.m.
2	
3	带 領 技 署 署
4	
5	THE COURT: This is the continuation of the
6	Rippo trial.
7	MR. WOLFSON: Good morning, Judge.
8	THE COURT: The State of Nevada versus
9	Michael Rippo.
10	Let the record reflect the
11	presence of the defendant, and his attorneys; and both
12	attorneys for the State.
13	I believe we left off
14	yesterday, we were going to begin with let me see.
15	MR. HARMON: Mrs. Garcia, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Where is my list?
17	Mrs. Garcia? Okay.
18	MR. HARMON: I'll do that.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Harmon, you may inquire of
20	Mrs. Garcia.
21	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 129, ISABEL GARCIA, was
22	examined as follows:)
23	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
24	BY MR. HARMON:
	000754

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	Q Good morning.
2	A Good morning.
3	Q As we start the third day of this, what are
4	your feelings, Mrs. Garcia, about being a juror on this type
5	of case?
6	A The past three days have been very stressful
7	and seeing everybody gets very emotional.
8	I feel that serving on a
9	jury I always used to think was a privilege as well as
10	a duty.
11	Now, in this particular case, I
12	think it's more of a very tedious, stressful duty.
13	Q Is it a tedious and stressful duty that you
14	don't think you will be able to handle?
15	A In my nature
16	Q Is it something that you will be able to
17	cope with?
18	A I think I I think I could.
19	Q So you are telling us that despite the
20	emotion involved and the stress involved, you are willing to
21	accept the responsibility?
22	A If I had to, yes.
23	Q I notice in reading the questionnaire that
24	you apparently were the victim of a bank robber.
	000755

1	A Yes, seven months ago.
2	Q You are employed at a bank?
3	A Yes, I am.
4	Q Did it happen at your work place?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Was anyone arrested in connection with that
7	matter?
8	A Yes, he was. I seven minutes after he
9	left the bank, the police picked me up and we went to
10	identify him.
11	Q Has there been a disposition to that case?
12	A Yes. He pled guilty and I think that the
13	sentencing was last Friday. I don't know the outcome yet.
14	I chose not to be there.
15	Q Is there anything about that experience
16	that's going to spill over and affect your attitude towards
17	this case?
18	A No.
19	Q I think, as you can appreciate, we need
20	to the extent that is humanly possible to have jurors
21	involved in this case who can be totally open minded and
22	objective, who are going to base their decisions strictly
23	upon the evidence introduced during this trial.
24	A Right.

	·
1	Q And do you think you can do that?
2	A I think I can, I've served on a jury before
3	and I managed to do that quite well.
4	Q You also mention that in 1993 that your
5	daughter
6	A Yes.
7	Q was the victim and involved a boyfriend.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Was he arrested in connection with that
10	matter?
11	A He was arrested and in jail for six months
12	pending trial because he was pleading self defense.
13	Q You indicated, in the questionnaire, on this
14	particular case, it seemed to you like there were too many
15	postponements.
16	I assume you were talking about
17	that case, the one involving your daughter and her
18	boyfriend.
19	A Yes. Too many postponements on the defense
20	part. He was always sick or wanted another public defender
21	or he didn't want time or always — always an excuse.
22	Q In this case, and I'm talking about the case
23	of the State of Nevada versus Michael Rippo, the evidence is
24	going to indicate that the offenses accurred in February

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1992.

A Uh-huh.

Q You understand that you are not to speculate about why it is almost four years later that we're going to go to trial.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Are you going to be able to resist the temptation of speculating about why we are going to trial on the case at this time?

A Well, I think with the instance with my daughter's boyfriend, of course, because I saw it was my daughter who was beaten and left half dead and, you know, everything was taken from her, I, being in the audience, every time it came to trial, wanted it, you know, to come to a decision, get it over and done with.

But I -- that was on a personal -- that was more personal to me.

I think if I -- if it's another individual, who I have never seen or known or I know nothing of, I would think it would be something totally different.

Q Well, you agree in this case not to hold it against either party that there has been delays in bringing the case to trial.

1 A	Yes.
2 Q	That is not relevant; do you understand
3 that?	
4 A	Right.
5 Q	Do you also understand that during the first
6 phase of the t	rial, when you are trying to decide guilt or
7 innocence, tha	t you are not to speculate about punishment.
8 A	Right.
9 0	That factors such as race or sympathy, or
10 really bias of	any kind, just aren't pertinent to the case?
11 A	Uh-huh, I understand.
12 Q	Is there going to be any type of problem for
13 you in dealing	with this type of case because of what
14 happened to yo	ur daughter, where she was victimized,
15 realizing that	it was two young women who were victims in
16 this case?	
17 A	I honestly I don't think so. I cannot
18 say yes or no.	I don't think it would, but I can't just
19 give a direct	answer, yes, it is, or, no, it's not.
20 Q	Well, do you understand that, in all fairness
21 to both sides,	particularly to the defendant, Mr. Rippo
22 A	Uh-huh.
23 Q	it's really incumbent upon the jury to be
24 able to decide	the case based solely upon the law which
	000759

1	applies and the	facts
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	of this particular criminal
4	А	Yes, I understand.
5	Q	Are you going to try to do that to the very
6	best of your ab	ility?
7	А	Yes, I am.
8	Q	If Mr. Rippo is convicted of first degree
9	murder, and if	the jury should become involved in fixing a
10	punishment, are	you of a frame of mind now that you can
11	consider equall	y the three punishments provided for murder
12	of the first de	gree?
13	· A	Yes, I could.
14	Q	In terms of capital punishment, would you
15	describe yourse	lf as being for or against the death penalty
16	generally?	
17	А	I never really gave it much thought as being
18	for or against.	I've always been Just because I knew
19	that that's the	way it is, that's that's part of the law.
20		But lately, I just feel that
21	if if the sy	s if the criminal sys if the system
22	allowed for cap	ital punishment, then it should be an option
23	for the jury.	I mean, all three should be considered
24	equally.	

1	Q Naturally you haven't heard any of the
2	evidence, and so what I'm asking you is strictly a
3	hypothetical question.
4	But assuming that a penalty
5	hearing is necessary in this case
6	A Uh-huh.
7	Q —— and assuming, after you have heard all
8	the evidence, you concluded that the death sentence was a
9	proper punishment for the murderer of the two young women in
10	this case, do you think you would have the emotional and
11	mental toughness to come back into the courtroom and share
12	the responsibility of that type of decision?
13	A Assuming that the sentence had been death,
14	you mean?
15	Q Assuming that that was your belief concerning
16	what was proper, would you have the sense of commitment to
17	be able to come back into the courtroom and vote for a death
18	sentence for Mr. Rippo?
19	A It would be very hard, but I think I would.
20	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
21	Pass for cause, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.
23	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.
24	

	PVSMITLATIAN
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR, WOLFSON:
3	Q Good morning, Mrs. Garcia.
4	A Good morning.
5	Q Mrs. Garcia, regarding the bank robbery
6	incident, were you yourself on employee who was approached
7	by the actual robber and forced to give over the bank's
8	property?
9	A Yes, I was.
10	Q What is your position at the bank?
11	A I'm the voult teller.
12	Q So as the vault teller, if the bank robber
13	wants to pull off a good robbery, he'll not only try the
14	teller's money, but he may actually try and go to the vault
15	to take money out of the vault, and because you are the
16	vault teller, you were the lucky person on this day; is that
17	right?
18	A I don't necessarily think it was because I
19	was a vault teller. When a customer or anybody comes in,
20	nobody knows who the vault teller is.
21	Q I understand.
22	A I mean, we all have the same amount of
23	limits and to have in hand
24	Q I understand. But that day and that moment,
	000762

1	you were the person at that location that got approached by
2	this bank rabber; is that right?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Okay. Did he have a gun?
5	A He said the note said he had a gun. I
6	never saw a gun,
7	Q Okay. I'm sure that you are quite alarmed,
8	if not terrified, by this event.
9	A When it first happened, I wasn't. I think it
10	took two to three days to realize what had happened.
11	Q Okay.
12	A I, more or less it's part of the Job.
13	It's something we're trained for. So when it happened, I
14	I was, more or less, ready.
15	Q Okay.
16	A I I saw him in line and I knew he was
17	going to rob me. So so I think I prepared myself not to
18	pania, not to to try to remember to do everything that
19	we're supposed to do.
20	Q And then perhaps it set in, the full
21	effect
22	A A few days later.
23	Q a couple days later?
24	A As I heard it in the news and saw it in the
	000763

newspaper, then I realized what had happened.

appreciate that I'm Michael's lawyer, with Mr. Dunleavy, and that I share the same concern as Mr. Harmon, that we try and have 12 people who can bring to this trial objective, uninfluenced attitudes.

And life has provided you with three things that perhaps could affect your state of mind in this trial.

The first is the bank robbery.

And I don't know if it would influence you or not, but it was fairly recent and it was a crime against a person. So I'm sure that you've admitted it's affected you already to a certain extent.

There was then the incident with your daughter. Apparently she was beaten up by a boyfriend; is that right?

A That's correct.

Q I can tell you that you are going to hear testimony in this case that allegedly Michael assaulted his then girlfriend.

Additionally, you are going to hear evidence, allegations, that Michael committed these crimes as well, which are against women.

1	Finally, you have a 22 year old
2	daughter; is that right?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q The evidence is going to show that the girls
5	that were killed in this case were in their 20s, I believe.
6	So, as Michael's lawyer, I'm
7	trying to evaluate whether you, with these three things in
8	mind, will be able to consider the case fairly, and fairly
9	to Michael.
10	Now, we want fairness to the
11	State of Nevada and we want fairness to Michael Rippo, but
12	you have these three events in your life.
13	So my question then is: Do you
14	really believe that you can give fair, uninfluenced focus in
15	this case with these three events that have occurred in your
16	life?
17	Tough question, I know.
18	A Yeah, 1t 1s.
19	Q I'm only looking for an honest answer.
20	A I believe I could, but maybe in fairness to
21.	Michael there there would always I don't know. I'm
22	sorry.
23	Q We would all hate if a day or a week from
24	now, if you come to that point where you may not be able to
	000765

A

Uh-huh.

.1_	303 to 3001 3011 dild to 42 111 till 5 oval trade 200 out an
2	fair, we would hate for that to happen.
3	And I know it's a difficult
4	thing. I'm asking you to predict not only how you feel
5	today but how you might feel a week into the trial.
6	So let me ask you one more
7	time: Do you think that you should sit on a case like this?
8	A I've always been a fair person and I
9	never I never until I hear all the facts I could
10	come to my own decision.
11	I believe I could, but I don't
12	know Just now that ever you know, you all are asking
13	me about my daughter. I it's too many bad memories.
14	MR. WOLFSON: And Mrs. Garcia, I'm sorry
15	if I upset you.
16	Could I hand her a tissue,
17	Judge?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	BY MR. WOLFSON:
20	Q You know, my questions cause you to be
21	very honest with us and I apologize I upset you.
22	Mrs. Garcia, the prosecutor
23	talks about toughness and commitment

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

And the prosecutor talks about whether you 1 2 think you have the toughness and the commitment to come into 3 this courtroom and look at Michael, if appropriate, and 4 render a death penalty. My question, Mrs. Garcia, is 5 that under the right facts and circumstances, that perhaps 5 it takes just as much toughness and commitment, if you are 7 convinced, to look at Mr. Harmon and say I don't think the 8 death penalty is appropriate in this case and that I think 9 that a life sentence is appropriate. 10 Do you believe that you would 11 have the toughness and commitment, if the facts proved so, 12 to look at Mr. Harmon and Mr. Seaton and give them that kind 13 14 of verdict? 15 Yes. MR. WOLFSON: Thank you very much. 16 We will pass for cause. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Seaton, you may inquire of 18 1.9 Mrs. Drake. (Whereupon, Prospective Juror 20 130, VICKI DRAKE, Was examined as follows:) 21

4

22

23

24

EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

1	Q Good morning.
2	A Good morning.
3	Q Well, as Mr. Harmon indicated, we've been
4	three days at this now. We've had a good deal of time to
5	think about all of the questions that have been asked.
6	Any comments you want to make,
7	haw you feel about being a part of these proceedings?
8	A Well, when I first received the Jury
9	summons, I was excited, because I've never had to do
10	anything like this before.
11	I was excited the first day,
12	sitting in that little room, until we got the questionnaire,
13	and then I realized what type of case it would be.
14	I'm not real excited now. I
15	think it's my duty as an American citizen to be here. The
16	excitement has gone away now, I didn't think it was going
1,7	to be this type of trial.
18	Q But the reality has set in now?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Duty aside, how do you feel about being
21	here?
22	A I'm glad I'm here right now.
23	Q Okay. If given a choice, would you serve or
24	not serve?
	000,763

1.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

A	I	Mould	serve.
A	Ţ	MonTo	serve.

- Q All right. You are one of those individuals who said that life without the possibility was the worst sentence?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Could you comment on that?
- A Death is so final. If you receive the death penalty, in the back of your mind you know you are going to die some day.

If you receive life without possibility of parole, it's -- you're in prison the rest of your life; you have nothing to look forward to; it's just an end to your life.

- Q You then think that most people -- would you yourself choose death over life in prison?
- A If I was in that situation, I would want death.
 - Q You would want death?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. As a juror sitting in judgment of Mr. Rippo, if we get to that particular point, are you going to use that standard in terms of trying to sort out what the worst punishment was, if that's what you were looking for?
 - A No, because I don't know what his thoughts

1	are. That's just my feeling. I don't know what he would
2	want.
3	I would weigh all three options
4	evenly.
5	Q Do you believe in the death penalty?
6	A Yes, certain cases.
7	Q Okay. A little bit of a hesitation there?
8	A Uh-huh.
9	Q Enlighten us a little more, if you would.
10	A I don't believe everybody should get the
11	death sentence for doing a very serious crime. There is
12	people out there that probably deserve it. We don't know
13	because we don't know the facts.
14	Q Do you understand some of the things we were
15	talking about before in terms of aggravation and
16	mitigation
17	A Yes.
18	Q that those things and a great deal of the
19	defendant's character, both good and bad, will come before
20	you; you will know him as the individual he has been
21	throughout his life?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Would you use those things to find one of
24	the particular penalties to give in this particular case?

4	A Probably. I'd look at everything.	
2	Q I would gather that from your earlier	
3	statement about not everyone deserves the death penalty,	
4	that you would take let's just take the good side of it.	
5	You would take all of the good	
6	things about him and weigh that in terms of whether or not	
7	he should be eligible for the death penalty?	
8	A Yes, I would.	
9	Q As well as the bad things?	
10	A Bod things.	
11	Q Of course, that's the weighing process that	
12	we were talking about before.	
13	You understood that, didn't	
14	you?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Do you agree that that's a pretty good	
17	system?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And that is, indeed, what allows Jurors to	
20	distinguish between the three penalties; That you get a	
21	good, hard look at the individual they are sentencing and	
22	know him as best they can, in this situation that they be	
23	in.	
24	A Yes.	
	000771	

1	Q	Do you think you can work within that system
2	all right?	
3	A	Yes, I could.
4	Q	Thank you.
5		Is there anything else that you
6	can think of	that should come before us?
7	A	No.
8		MR. SEATON: Thonk you very much.
9		Pass for cause.
.0		THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.
1		•
2		EXAMINATION
.3	BY MR. DUNLEA	VY:
4	Q	Good morning.
.5	A	Good morning.
.6	Q	I see that you are heavily involved in DARE.
.7	A	Yes.
.8	Q	Tell me about the program,
L 9	A	It's a pregram that we teach to the sixth
20	graders in Cla	ark County for to resist drugs and alcohol.
21	Q	It's sending out a message that drugs are
22	wrong?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And that the whole thrust of that is that 000772

1	stay away from drugs?
2	A Stay away from drugs and alcohol.
3	Q Do you have strong beliefs about the drug
4	problem in America today?
5	A Yes, I do.
6	Q Throughout this trial, evidence is going to
7	come out almost everybody, short of police officers, in
8	this case is involved in drugs at some level. Most of the
9	men remain as drug users.
10	Is that going to affect your
11	ability to look at these people and evaluate their
12	testimony?
13	A Me being in DARE, is that going to
14	Q Yes.
15	A No.
16	Q Do you have opinions that people that are
17	involved in drugs are automatically bad or automatically
18	good or just
19	A No, I don't think everybody involved in drugs
20	is automatically bad, you know.
21	Q You understand a lot of people get in it for
22	different reasons?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And there is some unfortunately, some
	000773

1	very strong pull	l to get people in to drugs?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Talking about considering equally the
4	punishments, as	we know, one of the punishments here is to
5	kill Mr. Rippo.	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Would you accept the general premise that
8	killing is wrong	i?
9	A	I think killing is wrong.
10	Q	And in the DARE program, they say drugs are
11	wrong, so don't	use drugs.
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do you think it sends a consistent message
14	to say killing i	ls wrong, so we're going to kill you for
15	doing it?	
16	А	I think that's wrong what you just said.
17	Q	Isn't that the outcome, that because someone
18	is killed, they	are going to be put to death?
19	A	I don't understand your question.
20	Q	The death penalty
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	what does it mean?
23	А	That you are going to put somebody to death.
24	Q	And on Question Number 56, in your opinion,

1	what's wrong with the criminal justice system, you said more
2	persons should be put to death.
3	A Was that the last question?
4	Q No.
5	A. Oh.
6	Q 56 on page eight.
7	Is this your (indicating)
8	A Okay, What I meant on that question was the
9	criminals that have done something, you know, very, very
10	bad, you know, murder, I believe that if they get the death
11	sentence that it should be carried out. It shouldn't be
12	prolonged 15 or 20 years to have us pay for somebody's
13	support.
14	If the jury votes for the death
15	sentence. I believe it should be carried out swiftly.
16	Q Do you know if it costs more to execute
17	somebody than it does to keep them in prison the rest of
18	their life?
19	A No, I didn't know that.
20	Q Do you know whether or not delays are there
21	to try and avoid the execution of innocent people?
22	A I don't know that.
23	Q There has been several people that have
24	commented that they're upset about the fact there is a lot
	. 000775

Ţ	Di desday sit cases; and sometimes suche desais at a caused of
2	the defense, sometimes by the prosecution, sometimes by the
3	crowded calendars of the courts and sometimes for reasons
4	that nobody has any control over.
5	Are you going to hold it
6	against Mr. Rippo that for this case we're coming in here
7	basically four years after it happened?
8	A No.
9	Q Now, in the first phase, the issue is guilt
10	beyond a reasonable doubt, and many people put down that
11	they believe that the defendant and you strongly
12	indicated that he should be required to prove his
13	innocence
14	We've talked a lot about that
15	in the last couple of days.
16	A Yes,
17	Q And it's a confusing concept.
18	But you understand innocence is
19	not applicable in the courtroom.
20	A Yes.
21	Q The issue is beyond a reasonable doubt or
22	not beyond a reasonable doubt.
23	Could you look at somebody and
24	think in the back of your mind, gee, I think they did it,

1 2 so find them not guilty? 3 Yes. 4 5 crimes are treated too lightly. 6 7 8 convicted of a -- of a first degree murder? 9 10 11 12 degree murder. 13 14 15 convicted of that crime? 16 17 18 murder, so I would keep my options open. 19 20 21 knowing what led up to this. 22 Q 23

but it hasn't been proven to me beyond a reasonable doubt. You also indicated people accused of serious Do you think that life with the possibility of parole is too light a sentence for somebody Not a second degree murder, not a manslaughter, not any other crimes. The only crimes in this state that we even consider the death penalty is first Do you think life with the possibility of parole is too easy for somebody that's been Without knowing all the facts in the case --I don't know the actual involvement, what led up to the I -- I con't say it's a light sentence. I can't say it's a too heavy sentence without Now, Mr. Seaton talked briefly about the aggravating, mitigating factors, but one thing you have to

remember, and they've mentioned, aggravating factors must be

tie your hands

<u>.</u>		
5	1	proven to you beyond a reasonable doubt.
! ? }	2	A Yes.
3	3	Q Mitigating factors don't have to, because
	4	the legislature is telling you you've got to decide whether
	5	or not this person should die, and they don't tie your hand
	6	in that.
	7	If they, in fact, showed you
	8	every aggravating factor known and we showed no mitigating
	9	factors whatsoever, you never have to return a death
	10	penalty.
	11	Do you understand, 1t's never
	12	obligated?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Do you have any problems with that concept?
	15	A No.
	16	Q What do you think of the idea that
	17	punishment should be tempered with mercy?
	18	A I don't know. I've never given it any
6	19	thought.
	20	Q Do you think punishment should always be
	21	harsh?
	22	A No. Depending on what the circumstances
	23	are.
	24	Q If you were Mr. Rippo, would you want 12

1	jurors of your state of mind to be sitting there in
2	judgment?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And the fact that you are involved in DARE
5	is not going to affect you when you hear that people in this
6	case are involved in drugs?
7	A No, it wouldn't affect me at all.
8	Q Even if they were involved in sales of
9	drugs?
10	A It wouldn't affect me at all.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: I would pass for cause, Your
12	Honor,
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Seaton, you may
14	inquire of Mr. Cunningham.
15	MR. HARMON: I have Mr. Cunningham,
16	Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Harmon, go ahead.
18	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 131, THOMAS CUNNINGHAM, was
19	examined as follows:)
20	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
21	BY MR. HARMON:
22	Q Good morning, sir.
23	A Good morning.
24	Q How long have you lived in Clark County?
	000773

1	A Since 1980.
2	Q Did your family move here?
7	A Yes.
3 4	Q I gather that you haven't served as a juror
5	before?
6	A No.
7	Q What do you think about the opportunity of
8	serving on this case?
9	A I think that it would be it would be an
10	experience that I would never forget, that's for sure, and
11	something that I have always wanted to do as my obligation.
12	And when I received my Jury
13	summons, I was actually excited to get the opportunity to
14	serve on a jury, and being here for almost a week naw, it
15	hasn't changed that much.
16	I just the only thing that's
17	really changed, I guess, is the excitement of being a juror,
18	and the seriousness of what a juror is and what he does has
19	set in, and I'm taking it a lot more seriously than I did
20	when I came here on Monday.
21	Q Do you believe you are the type of person
22	who will have the strength to pass judgment on another human
23	being?
24	A Yes, I am.
	000783

ኝ

1.2

1.9

	Ų	1 T	re be	comes	necess	sary t	or ti	ue 1	ury t	.0
become	involve	d in	the p	un1sh	nent pr	ocess	, do	you	hove	any
argumen	nt with o	any o	f the	puni	shments	s prov	ided	in	this	state
for mu:	rder of	the f	irst	degre	e?					

A None whatsoever. I think they're all equally as fair depending on the case.

Q Are you willing to reserve any Judgment about the punishment and not even to speculate about punishment until after a penalty hearing might become necessary?

A Absolutely. I don't like to put the cart in front of the horse. I don't like doing that.

Q Well, what are your views about capital punishment? Are you for or against it?

A I've never really been faced with the question.

thinking about it and trying to remember the last time I ever discussed it. And the last time I could remember discussing it was in my government class in 1994, when I was a senior in high school. And at that time, and I believe to this day, I've always believed in capital punishment; but I've -- I've never been faced with a situation of deciding if capital punishment were deserved or not.

. 000781

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	,	
19		
20		I

22

23

24

	Q	That,	οf	course,	is	a	hard	decision	to	b€
required	to me	ake.								

A It is.

In the event it was necessary -- just assume for a moment that the jury should conclude that Mr. Rippo has been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of murder in the first degree. A penalty hearing occurred; there are mitigating and aggrovating circumstances which are presented.

And let's further assume that after all of that, that you have personally concluded that the proper punishment in this case would be the death sentence.

po you feel like you have the intestinal fortitude, the strength of your conviction, to come back into the courtroom in open court, in full view of the defendant, and be involved in that type of verdict?

A Yes, I do.

And I've given that a lot of thought 'cause that question has been asked of quite a few Jurors.

And as I thought about it more, if — if the death penalty were seriously -- a serious consideration and we felt, as a Jurar of -- if 12 people

2

3

4

5

ĥ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

felt that the crime was serious enough to warrant the death penalty, and in my own mind to -- to make that not so much easier, but more compatible to me, would be that I would not be the only person in that room making that decision.

There would be 11 other people in that room that would have to come to that decision; and so, you know, I glone wouldn't feel like I'm sitting here possing judgment by myself. I'm here with 11 other people, and that's the way I feel about it.

MR. HARMON: Thank you, sir.

Pass for cause, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.

Your indulgence for one moment.

(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7

23

24

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Good morning, sir.

Good morning.

I agree with what you just said, that should you be a jurar and should you be asked to return a verdict, that it's the 12 of you that ultimately come into the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

courtroom and the foreman perhaps signs the verdict form, but it's the 12 of you that vote unanimously for whichever verdict you feel is appropriate.

Do you understand though that it is your individual judgment which causes you to vote one way or another, independent of the other 11 people?

A Yes, I understand that.

Q Okay. You will be instructed, should you become a juror, that you, as a deliberation process, should listen to your fellow jurors, have an open mind, consider all the evidence, but it is your independent judgment that makes or breaks whatever vote you choose.

Do you agree with that

principle?

A Yes.

Q From your questionnaire, you like comedy.

A Yes.

Q Your three favorite shows were Home Improvement, Roseanne and Friends.

A Yes.

Q Okay. You didn't list Jerry Seinfeld

though?

A No.

Q Do you watch that show?

1	A Occasionally.
2	Q Did you have occasion to watch any of the
3	O.J. Simpson trial?
4	A The only things that I ever watched on the
5	0.J. Simpson trial was the the slow slow speed chase
6	at the very beginning, because it was on when I got home,
7	and the verdict.
8	In between, basically all I
9	ever heard was from other people. I never watched it. I
10	never paid attention to it.
11	Q Did you watch almost the first day of the
12	case and the last day
13	A The last day.
14	Q of the case? Okay.
15	From what you did hear about
15	the case though, from talking to other people we were all
17	inundated from the media did you form any impressions
18	that would influence you in this case from your
19	understanding of what happened in the O.J. Simpson trial?
20	A No. It's two different cases.
21	Q You work for Universe Corporation?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What does that corporation do?
24	A That's an industrial uniform company. We

make uniforms for industrial companies.
Q Are they a local company here in Nevada?
A We just started in Nevada in April, and our
plant is out of Ontario, California.
Q Okay. And you've just been working for that
company for eight or ten months?
A Yes.
Q Before that, you worked another job with
Professional Carporate Services?
A Yes.
Q And before that though, you spent a number
of years with a company and I can't read your writing. I
apologize.
A That's all right. It's very unusual. I've
listed there Billeting, B-1-1-1-e-t-1-n-g, and Food
Services. That is the military's way of saying lodging and
food services.
I was a manager for six and a
half years at the Indian Springs Air Force Base with a
private contractor for lodging and food service.
Q Now, you are 24 years old; is that right?
A Yes.
Q Did you attend college at all?
A No. I have just taken some continuing

1	education at U.	N.L.V.
2	Q	In management?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	I don't believe you've ever served as a
5	jurer before, h	ave you?
6	А	No.
7	Q	So your first shot is right here in a big
8	case; is that r	ight?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	As you sit here now, are you nervous?
11	A	A little. I'm not used to being questioned.
12	Q	This question has been asked of many other
13	jurors:	
14		If you were Michael Rippo and
15	you were on tri	al, would you want 12 people of your frame of
16	mind making the	decisions on your case?
17	А	Absolutely.
18		MR. WOLFSON: Okay. Thank you.
19		Pass for cause.
20		THE COURT: All right.
21		Mr. Seaton, you may inquire
22	of	
23		MR. SEATON: It's Mr. Woehrle, I believe.
24		(Whereupon, Prospective Juror
		000787

1	132, HARLAN WOEHRLE, WOS
2	examined as follows:)
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. SEATON:
5	Q Is that the right pronunciation?
:	
6	A It sure is.
7	Q They do Woehrle all the time, I guess, don't
8	they?
9	A They really they really mess that last
10	name up.
11	Q Well, I got lucky. That's Mr. Woehrle.
12	I'll try to call you that then.
13	You are one of the few people
14	that said that death was the worst of all punishments.
15	A Uh-huh.
16	Q Tell us why.
17	A Well, I I enjoy living. I have I look
18	forward to each day, getting up and doing my thing. And I
19	think I don't look forward to dying, that's for for
20	sure. And I think that a death penalty would be the worst
21	thing that you could ever give a person.
22	Q You've lived a few years,
23	A Yeah, I guess so.
24	Q Throughout those years, have you found
	000788

yourself	in (well, no	t the t	est o	fcond	11 1 1 0 <i>1</i>	ns; W	nerever
you were	in you	r life a	t that	time	could	have	been	better;
уои жеге	hoping	for an	ирмага	turn?				
	n	Lunnt	theauat	+ hA	Daneas	eian	Vanet	1 1 1

- A I went through the Depression years, 17 that's what you mean.
 - Q You didn't mind living then?
- A $I \longrightarrow as$ a kid, we didn't realize it was badnews.

 $I \ -- \ \text{now I realize it must have}$ been tough on my parents, but as kids, we had -- we just went day for day.

- Q Would you agree with those who say that life is so precious that they just wouldn't give it up for anything?
 - A Would you rephrase that?
- Q Would you agree with those people who think that life is so precious that they wouldn't want to give it up; they'd want to continue living in spite of whatever bad conditions might be set upon them at the moment?
- A Well, depending on those bad conditions, could --
 - Q Well --
 - A That's a tough question.
 - Q All right. Let's see if we can narrow it

1 .	down a little bit.	
2	You have to g	o to prison.
3	A Oh, I see.	
4	Q You have to be there for t	he rest of your
5	life.	
6	A Uh-huh.	
7	Q You have to watch somebody	else's television
8	and listen to somebody else's music, and p	erhaps be around
9	people that you don't want to be around	
10	A Uh-huh.	
11	Q but you are living.	
12	A Yeah.	
13	Q Would that be the better c	hoice than not to
14	live at all, in your estimation?	
15	A Well, now that's a bad cho	ice; but, by the
16	same token, if I had a choice of living, a	s compared to
17	dying at an early age of course, I'm ju	st about around
18	the corner now I'd take the living.	
19	Q Okay, Do you believe in t	he death penalty?
20	A Yes, I do.	
21	Q Do you think it ought to b	e a part of the
22	system?	
23	A Yes, sir.	
24	Q Do you think that there ar	e other viable
	·	- 00079a

1	alternatives to the death penalty?
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q A person commits first degree murder, you
4	need to look at more of the facts and circumstances to
5	determine which penalty is applicable?
6	A That's right.
7	Q So you could give a person who has killed
8	life with the possibility of parole, provided that the
9	circumstances were right?
10	A I would weigh all three factors.
11	Q And you, I would take it, from this brief
12	conversation, would have the strength of character to return
13	a verdict of death against this particular individual, Mr.
14	Rippo, if you thought the circumstances warranted it?
15	A Yes. If they warrant it, yes.
16	Q Do you want to serve?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay,
19	A Well, I'm not that thrilled about it, let's
20	put it that way; but, like the other members of the panel
21	have stated, that when they get their summons to serve, it's
22	a duty of being an American citizen. But as I said before,
23	I don't think any of us are really overjoyed to be here.
24	MR. SEATON: Thank you.

		1
		^

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COUR

Pass for cause, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Q What do you think of the idea of coming somewhere like this and going through a procedure to pick 12 people that say they consider killing somebody?

A What do I think of the idea?

Q Uh-huh. Does it seem barbaric or does it seem like a good idea?

A I -- I guess it's the only way you can do it. You have to pick a jury of your peers and 90 from there.

Q And you have no idea -- no problem with the fact that his peers must, in fact, agree to kill?

A What was that again?

Q Do you have any problem with the fact that these peers that you mentioned, a jury of his peers, have to agree to kill in order to sit on the Jury?

A Well, there again, it warrants -- it's up to the facts of the case.

You are asking us questions that we don't know how this case is being presented.

000792

1		None of us want to kill
2	anybody, that's	for sure.
3	Q	Now you got a couple of weeks under you.
4	Did you ever do	things when you were younger that you
5	wouldn't do tod	ay?
6	A	Certainly.
7	Q	Do you think almost everybody has?
8	Д	Absolutely.
9	Q	People change as they get older?
10	A	Sure they do.
11	Q	Do you know anybody who didn't change as
12	they got older?	
13	А	No.
14	Q	Kind of a natural phenomenon?
15	Α	I agree,
16	Q	There has been some talk about the O.J. case
17	here.	
18		Did you watch the O.J. case?
19	Α	Well, I was kind of couldn't help it. My
20	wife watched it	all the time, and I just passed through the
21	room. It got a	afully boring after a while, I thought.
22	Q	Well, you have been here for three days.
23	You can see thi:	s is right up there with watching paint dry.
24	A	Yeah, it's getting there; it's getting
		000793_

|--|

there.

1.

Q Unfortunately, it's an arduous process we have to go through.

A I understand.

Q Do you understand it's an important process?

A Absolutely.

Q Now, you are not going to hold it against Mr. Rippo that he doesn't have the Dream Team in here, right?

A No.

MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me. I take exception to that.

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Q You indicated that you believe in an eye for an eye.

A Yes, I do, but I -- when that question appeared on the questionnaire, it took me back to the days when I was in the service, and in those days, an eye for an eye was -- was the number one rule of thumb.

In other words, you ask any
Marine at Guadalcanal or Saipan or Iwo Jima, if ten of their
buddies were wiped out, they'd go back and get ten of
theirs.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Now, an eye for an eye in this

1	courtroom today	, no, I don't agree with what you said, if
2	you rape my dau	ghter, I'd rape your daughter.
3	Q	You are
4	А	I would have you arrested and prosecuted.
5	That's not an e	ye for an eye.
6	Q	That's the way to do it.
7	A	Right.
8	Q	And you were on the New Jersey during
9	World War II?	
10	A	That's right.
11	Q	So you saw some serious action and you've
12	seen people kil	led?
13	А	Uh-huh.
14	Q	Have you had occasion to see that since the
15	end of the war?	•
16	А	No.
17	Q	You were asked: The rights of persons
18	charged with cr	imes are better protected than the rights of
19	the victim.	
20		Now, abviously, in the abstract
21	on this form, i	t means one thing. We've talked about it a
22	lot here in cou	rt since them.
23		Does your view on that change
24	at all?	अरक्षकार इ.स.च्या १००० व्याप्त १००० व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त व्याप्त
		000795

1	A Well, there is those questions remind me
2	of the questionnaire when you get your driver's license.
3	They they're misleading, and possibly I put down the
4	wrong answers.
5	Q Do you understand everybody has the same
6	rights?
7	A Absolutely, yes.
8	Q And if those rights come in to play here
9	in this courtroom?
10	A Uh-huh.
11	Q So, do you have any doubt that you could
12	fairly consider all three punishments in this case?
13	A I could consider all three. I have no
14	doubts.
15	Q That could be a misleading question. There
16	is lot of semantics.
17	Some people could say, Well, I
18	can consider it, but I know what I am going to come up with;
19	and other people will say, yeah, I'll weigh all three and
20	try and decide based on this individual case.
21	Which way are you leading?
22	A I weigh my decision based on the facts of
23	the case.
24	Q So you have no problem being fair in this
	000796

1	case?
2	A No, not at all.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you.
4	I have no further questions,
5	Your Hanor. I pass for cause.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Harmon, you may inquire of
7	Mr. Caldwell.
8	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror
9	133, ALVIN CALDWELL, was examined as follows:)
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. HARMON:
12	Q Good morning. Sir, do you still work with
13	Albertson's?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What do you do there?
16	A I'm a meat cutter.
17	Q How long have you lived in Clark County?
18	A Nine years.
19	Q Mr. Caldwell, what is your attitude about
20	serving as a juror on this type of case?
21	A I feel comfortable.
22	Q Is there anything concerning your employment
23	or your personal life that would prevent you from giving
24	your full to these proceedings when the court is in session?
	000797

1	A No.
2	Q Do you understand the importance of this
3	matter?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you feel like you have the inner
6	toughness to deal with the important decisions required of
7	the jury?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Concerning punishment, if that becomes a
10	decision the jury must make, would you describe yourself as
11	being for or against capital punishment?
12	A For.
13	Q Is this a position you've just acquired or
14	have you held that view for some time?
15	A For a while.
16	Q Why would you describe yourself as being far
17	the death sentence?
18	A I believe what goes around comes around.
19	Q I know that's a phrase that we hear quite
20	often. Would you explain to us what that means to you?
21	A I feel like if like I feel if you do
22	something that's wrong, that's punishable or something, like
23	you'll get you'll get yours in the end.
24	Do you know what I'm saying?
	000798

Whether it be death or anything like -- you'll get punished somehow or some way down the line or something like that.

Q Do you believe that those who commit serious violent crimes should be held personally responsible for what they do?

A Yes, I do.

Q Do you feel like any punishment imposed should fit the nature of the crime committed?

A Yes.

In this case, if, after you had heard all the evidence, the defendant was convicted of first degree murder, and after a penalty hearing, if you personally believe that the nature of these crimes and the type of person Mr. Rippo was that made him someone who should be given capital punishment, would you have the strength to do your conviction, to come into the courtroom and share in that type of vote?

A Yes.

MR. HARMON: Thank you. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.

MR, WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

MRipp			
MRippo-07058-ROA00987	9	1	Q Good morning, sir.
758-RO		2	A Good morning.
86909	4.0	3	Q Do you believe that every person who commits
~	10	4	a serious murder we've talked before about some murders
		5	being less serious than others, and I think you know what I
		6	mean by that that a person who commits a serious murder
		7	should automatically receive the death penalty?
		8	A I didn't say that. I believe he should be
		9	punished in some way.
		10	Q Do you believe that a person, over time, can
		11	reform?
		12	A Yeah, they they can.
***************************************		1.3	Q I think we'd all probably agree that there
		14	is exceptions to everything, and that perhaps some people
		15	can't.
***************************************		16	But, generally, do you think
		17	that human beings, over time, reform?
		18	A In most cases.
William Control		19	Q You are another individual that likes comedy
		20	shows.
		21	A Yeah.
		22	Q You watch Jerry Seinfeld, I see.
O with the second		23	A Yes.
anne desirente de de		24	Q If I were to ask you to identify with one of
			. 000800
Ţ			

1	the cho
2	Jerry S
3	
4	recal1
5	
6	seven -
7	:
8	
9	So
10	
11	
12	time or
1.3	
14	
15	think t
16	for thr
17	thought
18	change
19	
20	
21	your op
22	was: T
23	to prov

the	characters,	Who	do	you	think	you	are	most	like	on	the
Jeri	y Seinfeld	show	}								

A I -- I -- I don't really know. I never ecall thought about it that way.

Q You've been employed at Albertson's for about seven -- six, seven years now; is that right?

A Nine years.

Q Nine years. My sheet says March of '88,

A Okay.

Q And have you been a meat cutter the whole time or have you graduated up --

A I've been a meat cutter the whole time.

The last question on the questionnaire, I think that -- now that we've been discussing this question for three days, I think a lot of us would agree that if we thought about our answers a little better, perhaps we'd change them --

A Yeah.

q —— and in 75(b), you were asked to indicate your opinion to the following statement, and the statement was: The defendant in a criminal trial should be required to proves his or her innocence.

And you -- you marked strongly

agree.

1.7

A Yeah.

Q Do you feel that way as you sit here now?

A No. Just as these guys are here to — for the guilty, like you hear not guilty, if I was in his case, I would feel like I would have to get some evidence together to try to prove myself innocent, not guilty. And that's the way I thought the court system worked. But sitting here now after all this time, I see it's not that way.

Q Okay, Good. Then you've been educated a little?

A Yes.

Q Let's make sure you are clear.

The State of Nevada has the burden of proof; they have to prove that the defendant in a criminal case is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

A Okay.

A defendant in a criminal case doesn't have the burden to do anything. As has been discussed, Mr. Rippo, through his lawyers, can sit here and virtually do nothing, and if you are not convinced, as a jurar, that they have met their burden in proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, even though we haven't done anything, you can still vote not guilty.

i	
1	A Right.
2	Q Do you understand that principle of law?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you accept it now as a potential juror?
5	A Yes.
6	Q The law in this country says that a person
7	is entitled to a jury of his peers, of persons like him or
8	similar to him. And perhaps you and this other gentleman
9	are very much like Michael, in the sense of age. You are 28
10	years old. The evidence will come in that May 4, Michael, I
11	think, is going to be 31.
12	How do you feel about sitting
13	in judgment with somebody that is so close in age to you,
14	when you may have to decide whether he's guilty or not
15	guilty of murder and perhaps what punishment should be
16	imposed?
1.7	A I feel if I was sitting in his chair and he
18	was on the panel up here, he'd treat me fairly. So I feel
19	I I have to treat him fairly.
20	Q So you would want people in your frame of
21	mind sitting in judgment of you should that ever occur?
22	A Yes.
23	MR. WOLFSON: Okay. Thank you, sir.
24	Pass for cause.
	<u>;</u>

1		THE COURT: Mr. Harmon, you may inquire of
2	Mr. Muller.	
3		Is it pronounced Muller, sir?
4		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 135, MR. MULLER: Muller.
5		THE COURT: I'm sorry.
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR 135, MR. MULLER: That's
7	all right.	
8		(Whereupon, Prospective Juror 135, KENNETH MULLER, was
9		examined as follows:)
10		EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. HARMON:	
12	Q	Mr. Muller, in the questionnoire, you
13	indicated you h	nad a sister-in-law who had some brush with
14	the criminal ju	stice system.
15	А	Yes, sir, in Kentucky.
16	Q	How long ago was that?
17	А	About five years ago.
18	Q	Do you know many of the details of that
19	event?	
20	A	Not really. She was arrested for allegedly
21	taking contrabo	und into a prison system.
22	Q	Is there anything about that situation that
23	would carry ove	r and influence your attitude towards this
24	case?	
		000884

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A No, sir.

Q You appreciate the importance of basing any verdicts in this courtroom strictly upon the evidence introduced during the trial?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do you understand that a proper verdict is not something based upon race or bias or prematurely speculating about punishment or sympathy or factors like that?

A Yes, sir.

Q But can you abide by that concept?

A Definitely.

Q If it became necessary, as a Juror in this case, to consider punishment, would you be able to do that?

A I believe I could, yes.

Q How do you feel about serving as a juror on this type of case?

A I don't think anybody is ever ready, but it's part of your duty. That's part of living in this country.

Q You have accepted the responsibility of being a jurar on two other accasions?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is there anything about those experiences

1	that would impact your role in this case in a negative way?
2	A No. sir.
3	Q How do you feel about the punishments
4	provided in this state for murder of the first degree?
5	A Looking at all three of them, I'd say it's
6	very fair. Without knowing exactly all the details, if it
7	goes to a decision, the three, I think, are very fair. It's
8	like the beginning, the middle of the rood and an end.
9	Q Are you saying that you are certainly in a
10	frame of mind now where you can consider equally the three
11	penalties?
12	A If I have all the details, yes.
13	Q Of course, you don't have any details at
14	this point.
15	A That's true.
16	Q So you are not leaning in any direction in
17	terms of
18	A Correct.
19	Q Can you think of circumstances and of cases,
20	crimes, where you believed capital punishment was proper?
21,	A Yes, sir.
22	Q If, after you have heard all the evidence in
23	this case, you thought it was proper in this situation.
24	would you have the strength in your conviction to vote for
	000806

1	it?
2	A If all the details were given and everything
3	left no doubt, I would have no problem with it.
4	Q As has been explained recently, the
5	prosecution, at each stage of the trial, has the burden of
6	proving its case beyond a reasonable doubt.
7	A Yes.
8	Q Would you hold the prosecutors to that
9	burden?
10	A Yes.
11	g Is there anything about your work or your
12	personal life at this time that would prevent you from
13	giving your full attention to this trial?
14	A No, sir, there is no problem.
15	MR. HARMON: Thank you. Pass for cause.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.
17	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.
18	·
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. WOLFSON:
21	Q Sir, is your last name pronounced Muller or
22	Muller?
23	A Muller.
24	Q Muller. Thank you.
	00080 7

3		
1		Mr. Muller, as was stated, you've served
2	on a jury twice	before; is that right?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And both times they were criminal cases?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	But you failed to list the year of the
7	cases.	
8		Do you recall when you served?
9	A	One was 10 or 12 years ago. In fact, I
10	found out today	that you were the prosecuting attorney on
11	that one.	
12	Q	How did I do?
13	A	They plea bargained.
14	Q	Oh, akay. Is that the case that did not go
1.5	to the Jury?	
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Now you said that you found out that I was
18	the prosecutor.	
19		How did you find out that I was
20	the prosecutor?	
21	A	Um, you looked familiar, and I couldn't
22	place it, excep	t for maybe the T.V. ads. When you were
23	questioning one	of the previous jurors about does anybody
24	look familiar,	that's when it finally clicked in. And I was
		จกลุกถึก

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1.	asking Leo this morning if you know, you were when you
2	said yes, that's what brought it to mind.
3	Q Were you actually impaneled on the jury and
4	evidence began in that case
5	A Yes.
6	Q and then and then, before you began
7	the deliberation process, you were informed that the case
8	had settled?
9	A Yes.
10	Q I was the prosecutor, before becoming a
11	private lawyer, in the same office that is prosecuting this
12	case, and I believe that's what you are talking about.
13	Is there anything about that
14	fact that causes you to form an opinion of either me
15	personally or lawyers who go from prosecution to defense?

А No.

You understand this is more of a question that it's very common for lawyers to begin their careers as prosecutors and then finish their careers -- like I'm so old, I'm finishing my career -- moving on in their careers as a private lawyer.

> A Yes, sir,

The fact that that case was plea bargained, and for whatever reason was taken away from you before you

could actively participate, anything about that that would influence you in this case?

A Being my first time as a juror, I -- there were a lot of us that were very nerved at it and the people that were involved.

We were kind of glad it went that way.

- Q Did I speak with you after the case?
- A I think you did briefly. I'm not positive.
- Q It's very common for lawyers at the ends of cases to speak to Jurors. Lawyers learn from Jurors what they liked and what they didn't like and Jurors learned from lawyers as well.

So nothing about that interaction leaves you an impression of me that would affect this case, does it?

- A No, sir.
- Q And then you sat on another case, a land fraud criminal case; is that right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q And was that more recently than the first case?
- A Yes. That was five or six years ago.
 - Q State court or federal court?

1	A	I believe it was state court.
2	Q	In this building?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And that case went to a verdict; is that
5	right?	
6	A	Yeah.
7	Q	Were you the foreman of that Jury, by any
8	chance?	
9	A	No, I was not.
10	Q	Now, by coincidence, I wasn't the lawyer on
11	that case, wa	s I?
12	A	No.
13	a	Now, that would be a coincidence, wouldn't
14	it?	
15		Are you in the Air Force now?
16	A	As a civil servant, yes.
17	Q	You've been in the Air Force for a number of
18	years, have y	ou haven't you?
19	Д	I've been connected with them since roughly
20	1972.	
21	a	Were you actually in the service and now you
22	are	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	you are a private citizen, but you still,
,		000811

1	as a civil servant, have them as your employer?	
2	A Correct.	
3	Q And you are an airplane mechanic; is that	
4	right?	
5	A Yes, sir.	
6	Q You have a son and a daughter; is that	
7	right?	
8	A Yes, sir.	
9	Q You've heard me ask this question before:	
10	You are certainly, if chosen as	
11	a juror, going to hear evidence about the deaths of two	
12	young women about the same age range as your son and	
13	daughter.	
14	Will you be able to separate	
15	that potential emotion that may hit you because you have	
16	young children yourself from your role as a juror in	
17	deciding the case?	
18	A Yes, sir.	
19	Q If you were Mr. Rippo, would you want people	
20	of your frame of mind to sit in Judgment of you?	
21	A Yes, I think I would.	
22	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.	
23	We will pass for cause.	
24	THE COURT: Okay. With permission of	
	000812	

1	counsel, I'm going to excuse the 12 members seated to my
2	left in the jury box until tomorrow morning at ten a.m.
3	MR. SEATON: No. Judge.
4	THE COURT: Remember, you are admonished not
5	to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any
6	subject connected with this trial;
7	Read, watch, listen to any
8	report or commentary of the trial by any medium of
9	information, including without limitation, newspapers,
10	television and radio;
11	Or form or express any opinion
1.2	on this case until this matter is finally submitted to you.
13	Have a good day. We'll see you
14	tomorrow morning, ten a.m.
15	(Whereupon, the prospective Jurors were excused for the
16	day and left the courtroom.)
17	THE COURT: All right. The clerk will now
1.8	call the next 12 names to take the injury box.
19	THE CLERK: Number 139, Steven Flood;
20	Number 140, Sandra Pacheca;
21	Number 141, Christopher Miller;
22	Number 142, Thomas Ceglarsky;
23	Number 147, Marcel Sicotte;
24	Number 149, Lora Rogers;
T MINISTER	000813

1	Number 481, Daniel Foley;
2	Number 482, Robbin Bonnin;
3	Number 484, Brian Reinert;
4	Number 486, Jennifer Durante;
5	Number 489, Jack Hooper;
6	Number 490, Deanna Brown.
7	THE COURT: All right. Why don't we take a
8	five-minute recess, then we'll get started again.
9	Remember: Don't discuss the
10	case among yourselves or with anyone else or form any
11	opinion on this case until the matter is submitted to you.
12	
13	(Recess taken.)
14	
15	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the
16	presence of the remainder of the Jury panel?
17	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor.
18	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I guess we're going to
20	begin with Mr. Flood.
21	MR. HARMON: I will be doing that, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Harmon.
24	(Whereupon, Prospective Juror
	****** -
	000814

1	the law of the case and the facts?
2	A No.
3	Q What are your feelings about the punishments
4	provided for first degree murder?
5	A I think there is a place for all three.
6	Q Is your frame of mind at this time such that
7	you can consider equally the three punishments provided?
8	A Yes,
9	Q Are you for or against the death penalty
10	generally?
11	A Generally I'm for it.
12	Q Why are you for it?
13	A Because in certain circumstances, I think it
14	warrants it.
15	Q Are you also willing, however, to consider
16	whatever aggravating and mitigating circumstances may be
17	presented at a penalty hearing?
18	A Yes, I do.
19	Q So you are not ruling out any of the
20	punishments of this time?
21	A Pardon me?
22	Q You are not ruling out any of the
23	punishments at this time?
24	A No, I'm not.
	000818

1	q	Is there anything in your life that would
2	pravent you from	m giving your full attention to the trial
3	when the court	is in session?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Did you know anything at all about this case
6	before you came	to the courthouse?
7	A	No. I didn't live here then.
8	Q	How long have you lived in Clark County?
9	A	Two and a half years.
10	Q	Where did you move from?
11	А	Colifornia.
12	Q	What prompted your move to Clark County,
13	Nevada?	
14	A	Jobs.
15	Q	If, ofter all the evidence has been
16	presented, and :	If you were involved in the task of fixing
17	punishment, and	if you felt that because of the nature of
18	these crimes, th	ne worst punishment was called for, would you
19	have the intesti	inal fortitude to come in the courtroom and
20	vote for a death	sentence?
21	A	I think so. I think it would be the hardest
22	thing I'd ever h	nave to do.
23	Q	But do you think that you would have the
24	strength of you	r conviction to do it if you conscientiously

1	believed that that was the proper thing to do?		
2	A	Yes, sir,	
3		MR. HARMON: Thank you.	
4		Pass for cause.	
5		THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson.	
6		MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.	
7			
8		<u>EXAMINATION</u>	
9	BY MR. WOLFSON:	•	
10	Q	Good morning, sir.	
11	. А	Good morning.	
12	Q	Mr. Flood, you have an uncle who is either a	
13	judge or a laws	yer?	
14	A	He's an attorney, yes, in California.	
15	Q	And is this your wife's brother?	
16	A	No, he's my uncle. He's my uncle. My	
17	my he's my o	gunt's husband.	
18	a	And what kind of law does he practice in	
19	California?		
20	A	Civil; I think civil.	
21	Q	Civil?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	How often do you talk to him or see him?	
24	Α	I haven't seen him in 20 years.	
		000818	

1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q

A

You haven't talked to him either?

No.

It's fair to say that nothing about --

I really don't even know him that well.

Okay. It's fair to say nothing about him or 0 what he does would affect you in this case?

No.

Have you, yourself, ever been involved in the criminal justice system before?

A One time I was cited for trespossing on Indian land. I was hiking in Palm Springs. It's called Tachwitz Canyon. It's a place where people hike and it's illegal because it's Indian land and we didn't have permission.

So I was cited and got a ticket for it and I had to go to a trial where the JP gave the -he said what the maximum sentence was; and then we left and we came back that same day and he told us what he would give us, and we got a year -- or a year's probation, I think, and d \$50 fine.

> Q Were you actually on probation for a year?

Yes, yes. And -- when he told us that the maximum penalty was five years in jail, I think, and a \$1500 fine, we almost died. There was six of us that got tickets.

1	Q	Were you in federal court?	
2	А	I'm not sure what it was. It was in court	
3	in Palm Springs	. I'm not sure.	
4	Q	Okay. Was it a tribal court?	
5	А	No. It was no, I think it was a	
6	misdemeanor cou	rt because there was other people in there	
7	for misdemeanor	violations.	
8	Q	Okay.	
9	А	I'm not sure. It happened so many years	
10	ago.		
11	Q	And after hearing what you heard about the	
12	potential penal	ties, you decided it was in your best	
13	interest to acc	ept a settlement?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	And you did?	
16	А	Yes.	
1.7	Q	And were you actually on probation with a	
18	probation officer?		
19	А	No, we didn't have a probation officer,	
20	Q	It's more of an informal probation?	
21	A	Yeah; I think so, yeah. We didn't even live	
22	in the county,	So I I don't even remember how well, I	
23	guess we lived	in the same county, but we didn't live in	
24	that town, so I	didn't know	

24

1	0.J. Simpson case	, that caused you to form opinions about	
2	the criminal just	ice system that would influence you in this	
3	case?		
4	A N	o.	
5	Q H	ave you ever been asked before this week	
6	what your thoughts on the death penalty were?		
7	A 1	don't know if I was ever asked. But I	
8	I have talked about it in the past.		
9	Q I	n social settings	
10	A R	ight.	
11	Q -	- with friends?	
12	A R	ight.	
13	Q W	ould you say that you are an advocate of	
14	the death penalty?		
15	A N	at I don't know if I'm an advocate of	
16	the death penalty, but I think certain circumstances warrant		
17	it, depending on the severity of the crime.		
18	Q Y	ou've never served on a jury before, have	
19	you?		
20	A N	g.	
21	Ø 1	f you are selected as a juror in this case	
22	and you sit throu	gh a two week trial, and at the end of the	
23	trial, you are in	structed by the Court on the law, and you	

000822

go back into the deliberation room to begin your discussions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of the case, and you felt strongly one way or another, but the majority of the people in the room felt another way, how would you handle that situation?

A I would want them to convince me otherwise, that I -- and I would keep an open mind though.

Q Do you consider yourself to be a leader or a follower?

A I'm probably a leader.

If you were given the choice, once again, should you be selected as a Juror, of being the foreman and somebody said, Mr. Flood, we think you are the guy that should lead this Jury, we're going to give you the choice of being the foreman, would you accept that or would you say no. I'd rather not be the foreman?

A I don't know if I would be the one to be that person, but I think I would accept it if it was handed to me.

Q I can tell you, as has already been mentioned by a number of the lawyers, that there is going to be a lot of discussion about drug use in this case.

Do you have any particular opinions or will that adversely affect you if the subject of drug use enters into this case?

A I don't think so.