1	Did there come a time when you
2	learned the name of the second victim?
3	A Yeah. I sat there in a daze until the
4	eleven o'clock news came on to hear the broadcast and it was
5	Denise Lizzi and Louri Jacobson.
6	q Mr. Sims, did you know either of those young
7	lodies?
8	A No. I didn't.
9	Q To your knowledge, had you ever seen ar met
10	either of them before?
11	A No.
12	Q Did you make any effort when the I would
13	think the full impact of what you had had come to mind.
14	Did you attempt at that point
15	to call the police?
16	A No. I didn't.
17	Q Why didn't you immediately just pick up the
18	telephone and call the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
19	Department?
20	A I just didn't want to be involved. I would
21	rather not be involved in it at all.
22	Q Was there a time after Friday, February the
23	21st, 1992, when you spoke with Mr. Rippo over the
24	telephone?

1	Ą	Was there any doubt in your mind you were
2	talking to Mike	Rippo?
3	Ă	No.
4	Q	About what time did you receive the call?
5	A	I would say it was about four o'clock in the
6	afternoon.	
7	Q	Did you have a conversation with Mr. Rippo?
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	What was the nature of the conversation
10	which you remem	ber now?
11	Ä	He said that he had left something at my
12	shop and that h	e wanted to come by and pick it up.
13	Q	Did he tell you what it was he had left?
14	Ą	Yes, he did.
15	Q	What did he say?
16	· A	He said it was a bottle of morphine that he
17	had left in the	refrigerator behind my desk.
18	Q	Did you have a refrigerator behind your
19	desk?	<b>\$</b>
-20	. 4	Yes, I did.
21.	Q	Were you aware, at the time of the telephone
22	conversation, t	hat Mr. Rippo had left behind some object
23	which was insid	e your refrigerator?
24	A	No, not at all.

I would say about six o'clock that evening.

24

A

		57
10	1	had choked those two bitches to death.
	2	Q Did you learn at that time whether the Pinto
	3	that Mr. Rippo had been driving was operational?
* •	4	A It didn't look operational to me with the
	5	amount of oil that was underneath it.
7	6	Q Did he indicate to you, in the parking lot,
	7	whether he could drive it?
F-4		A He said it was that was it. It had blown
	9	up.
	10	Q As a result of the condition of his car did
	11	you go somewhere with him?
	12	A Yeah. I drove him to the Stardust Hotel.
	13	Q Why did you drive him to that location?
	14	A That's where he asked to be dropped off.
	15	Q Do you recall what route you took from
	16	Spring Mountain and Rainbow to get to the Stardust?
	17	A Yes. I went straight down Spring Mountain
	18	Road to Industrial, made a left on Industrial, and then took
	19	the back parking lot into the Stardust Hotel and dropped him
11	20	off over by the amployee entrance.
	21	Q Did Mr. Rippo have anyone else with him on
1	22	this evening, February the 26th, 1992?
	23	A No, he didn't.
	24	Q In route from the K-Mart parking lot to the
	,	
	·  -	

24

1	Stardust, did you have further conversation with Mr. Rippo
2	about the murders of two young women?
3	A Yes, I dld.
4	Q How did it happen that you talked
5	additionally with him on that subject?
<b>∉6</b>	A We were Just talking.
7	g — Did he volunteer the information or did you
8.	ask questions of it?
9	A I think I was asking questions.
.10	I had heard something or I
11	had read in the newspaper about one of the girl's faces
12	being traumatized, like she had been punched or beaten, and
13	so I had asked him about that.
14	Q Did Mr. Rippo answer the question?
15	A Yes, he did.
16	Q What did he say?
17	A He told me that when he was I don't know
18	if he said carrying or dragging the girl to the back, that
19	her face hit the coffee table and that's what caused the
20	trauma to her face.
21	g Did you ever ask him if he was alone when he
22	did this?
23	A He he told me that Diana Hunt was with

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

him, that she had participated in the murder with him.

** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **	\$	59
11	1	Did you ask him anything about Diana Hunt?
11	2	A I asked him if he trusted her.
-	3	Q Why did you ask him that?
F	4.	A Because I figured that it he was involved in
	5	something like that and someone was with him in something
	6	like that, that he surely better trust her or he was going
	7	to have some serious problems.
	<u>.</u> 8	Q Did Mr. Rippo respond to your question, did
	: <b>, 9</b>	he trust Diana Hunt?
	10	A Yes, he told me
	11	Q What did he say?
	12	A He told me that Diana Hunt had hit the girl
	1.3	over the head with a beer bottle and that he trusted her
We want to the second	14	fully. His exact words were she's a down bitch.
name of the second	15	. Q A down bitch?
	16	A Yeah.
The second	17	Did you ask him to explain what he meant by
T T	1,8	that?
and the second s	19	A No, I knew what he meant.
	20	Q As a result of the news coverage that you
	21	were, at this point, aware of, whether it was television
	22	news or the newspaper, had you learned anything about the
1 1 1	23	condition of the clothing of the victim?
	24	A Yeah, It seems that I I don't know if
-		

1 I read it or if I saw it on the news, that one of the 2 girls --. 3 MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm soins to 4 object. This would be hearsay of the least reliable source. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. HARMON: Your Honor, it's certainly not 6 7 offered for the truth. It's offered to explain why this witness asked a further question of the defendant. 8 9 MR. DUNLEAVY: Just ask him if he asked 10 another question. 11 MR. HARMON: Yeah. MR. DUNLEAVY: You don't have to ask him 12 13 what he may or may not have heard on the news. THE COURT: Can you ask the other question? 14 MR. HARMON: I certainly can. I Just don't 15 16 think it's improper for a witness to explain, even though it 17 may be hearsay, what his state of mind was. 18 This explains why he asked the 19 question. 20 -THE COURT: All right. I've already ruled, 21 so so ahead, ask the next question. BY MR. HARMON: .22 23 Q Did you ask Mr. Rippo something about the 24 clothing of one of the victims?

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	i i
1	A Yes, I did.
. 2	Q What did you ask him?
3	A I asked him why one of the girls had no
4	pants on when they found her body,
5:	Q What did he say to you?
6	He told me that he had cut his finger during
7	the ar altercation, and dropped blood had his blood,
8	on her pants. So he had to take them with him when he left
. 9	and dispose of them.
10	Q Did you ask him why he did it; that is, why
11	he killed these two women?
12	A No, I don't believe I did ask him that.
13	Q Did he ever tell you how it happened, that
14	they were killed?
15	A No. Just that he had told me that he had
16	chaked both of them. That was we didn't really get into
17	any discussions about why or anything like that.
18	Q When he told you that he choked both of
19	them.
20	Did he exploin whether well,
<b>2</b> 1	did he tell you by what means he had choked them?
22	A No.
23	Q Didn't say whether it was with his hands or
24	some other type of instrument?

İ	
1.	A No.
2	Q Did he ever, in the conversation you had, as
3	you proceeded from the K-Mart grea to the Stardust, mention
4	anything about an accident?
5	A Yeah. He told me this was when we
6	first this was still in the parking lot at K-Mart, that
7	when it first came up, he told me that he had accidentally
8	killed the one girl, so he had to kill the other.
9	Q Did you question him about why he had
10	accidentally killed one?
11	A No. That's about when I started asking him
12	about the girl's the trauma to the one girl's face.
13	Q Do you distinctly remember that he said he
14	had to kill the second one?
15	A Yes, I do.
16	Q Did Mr. Rippo ever say anything about what
17	type of Homen these Here?
18	A I don't really understand the question.
19	Q Did he tell you whather they were attractive
20	women?
21	A He said they were both fine.
22	G Both fine?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q Did he explain anything further in the

1	context of that statement?
2	A He said that he could have he said both
. 3	of them were fine. I could have fucked both of them, but I
4	didn't.
5	And I don't know if how much
<b>6</b> .	further you want me to go with that.
7	Q Well, did he say something else?
8	A He said I'm cured. That means I'm cured.
. 9	Q Do you remember at this time any additional
10	comments that Mr. Rippo made during the ride in your vehicle
11	that evening that you haven't covered?
1.2	A No, not really. I think I've pretty much
13	covered it all, but I I couldn't tell you. Everything is
14.	kind of tunneled right now. I'm just right here trying to
15	answer your direct questions.
16	G About what time would you say you arrived at
,17	the Stordust?
18	A I would guess that it was probably about
19	seven o'clock.
20	Q You did drop Mr. Rippo off?
21	A Yes, I did.
:22	Q Did he take with him the bottle of what he

had told you was morphine?

23

24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

I assume -- I assume he did. I don't --

1	MR. DUNLEAVY: I object to assume, Your
. 2	Honor. If he doesn't know, the answer is I don't know.
3	THE COURT: Did he or didn't he?
4	THE WITNESS: I don't know what he did with
5	the bottle when I gave it to him at Spring Mountain and
: 6:	Rainbow.
7.	BY MR. HARMON:
8	Q Did you ever find it inside your car?
9	A No, I didn't.
10	Q After this contact with Mr. Rippo, did you
11	have any type of change of heart and feel like, well,
12	perhaps you ought to get involved in this case and report
13	your information to the police?
14	A No.
15	Q Why didn't you get ahold of the palice
16	department and tell them what you had Just learned?
17	A I didn't want to be involved. I didn't
18	want you know, it wasn't my business, and I just didn't
19	want to be involved in it.
20-	Q Did there come a time when you were
21	contacted by representatives of the Las Vegas Metropolitan
22	Police Department?
23	A Yes,
24	Q Do you remember, on or about March the 2nd,

24

## investigation? 1 As soon as I received the call from 2 A homicide, I knew that I was getting involved, yes. 3 On that date, at the police department, 4 March the 2nd, 1992, did you tell the police everything that : 5 6: you knew? I just -- no. I just answered his questions 7 that he asked me. 8 Did you mention to Detective Scholl that the Q 9 defendant had brought a suitcase and some tapes to your 10 business? 11 No, I didn't. 12 A Did you tell him anything about the ۵ 13 telephone call regarding a bottle of what purported to be 14 15 morphine? 16 No, I didn't. Did you mention anything about admissions 17 the defendant made to you on his birthday, February the 18 26th, 1992? 19 No. I didn't. 20 Why didn't you share that information with .21 Detective Scholl? 22 23 He didn't ask the questions. Á Q The direct question?

1	A	He didn't specifically ask me any of the
. 2	questions that	ou are referring to.
3		MR. HARMON: May I approach the Witness,
4	Your Honor?	
5 ·		THE COURT: You may.
6	BY MR. HARMON:	
. 7	Q.	Mr. Sims, I'm showing you an eleven-page
8	document that ar	pears to be a copy of a statement given by
·g	you to Detective	Scholl March the 2nd, 1992.
10	A	ՍԻ-ԻսԻ.
11	q	Have you been furnished was that yes?
12	A	Yes.
13	, Q	You've got to keep your voice up. I'll move
14	out here.	•
15	Ą	All right.
16	<b>q</b>	Were you given a copy of this eleven page
. 1.7	statement to rev	iew before testifying in the trial?
18	A	Yes, I Has.
19	Q	Have you had a chance to read over the
20	statement?	· ·
21	A	Yeah.
22.	q	Does this document I've handed you appear to
23	be a correct tre	inscript of the interview you had with
24	Detective Schol	on March the 2nd, 1992?

Yegh. 1 A 2 THE COURT: Is that yes? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 BY MR. HARMON: But you didn't tell him about that 6 information. 7 No, because I've been -- I mean, I've had my share of run ins with the police department, and my attorney 8 9 has always advised me --10 MR. WOLFSON: Objection; hearsay. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 BY MR. HARMON: 13 Q You've indicated you've had your shore of 14 run-ins with law enforcement. 15 Right. When I'm in situations like the 16 situation | I'm --MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, I'm going to . 1.7 object. He's not responding to the question. Mr. Harmon 18 19 asked him for yes or no. 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 THE WITNESS: You are going to have to 22 repeat your question. MR. HARMON: I Will. 23: BY MR. HARMON: 24

13

1	Q My question was in response to the first
2	part of your comment, you indicated you had your share of
3	run-ins with law enforcement.
4	A Yes, I have.
5	Q In fact, are you a convicted felon?
6	A Yes, I am.
7	q For that reason, is it a role that you feel
8	comfortable with, to be cooperating fully with law
9	enforcement?
10	A Not at all.
11	Q Even on March the 2nd, 1992, did you want to
1.2	be involved in this investigation the police were conducting
13	regarding the murder of two young women?
14	A No, I didn't.
15	Q Did you have any desire at some point to be
16	a witness in a court of law to the information you had?
17	A Nat at all,
18	Q Earlier in your testimony, you said that the
19	defendant told you that he was sure he could trust Diana
20	Hunt because she was a down bitch, I think you said was his
21	phrase,
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, was that a
23	question or a statement by the District Attorney's Office?
24	THE COURT: I don't know. I guess it's a

1	statement.
2	MR. HARMON: It's the beginning of a
3	question.
4	THE COURT: It's the beginning of a
5	question.
6	Now let's get the rest of the
7	question.
8	BY MR. HARMON:
9	Q Yeah. You soid he didn't tell you what he
10	meant, but you knew what he meant?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q What did he mean?
13	MR. WOLFSON: Objection. How could be know
14	what he meant? This witness may know what he thinks it
15	means to him, but he doesn't know what Mike Rippo meant by
16	that.
17	MR. HARMON: Well, I'm
18	MR. WOLFSON: Objection, It's speculation,
19	MR. HARMON: I'm willing to use the
20	different wording.
21	BY MR. HARMON:
22	Q What do you think it means to you?
23	A It means: that it's someone that he can
24	trust, someone he has faith in, confidence. Basically

13

1	that's it. That's basically what it means, someone you can
2	trust.
3	MR. HARMON: May I have the Court's
- 4	indulgence one minute?
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	BY MR. HARMON:
7	Q On Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992, When
8	you saw the burgundy Nissan, was it all one color? Was it a
9	salid burgundy color
10	A Yes, it was.
11	Q to your memory?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you recall any type of primer paint being
14	on the car at that time?
15	Á No.
16	Q I'm going to show you two photographs, Mr.
17	Sims. They've been marked as proposed Exhibits 64 and 65.
18	Will you examine the vehicle
19	which is shown in the photographs and explain to us whether,
20	in any way, it looks like similar or dissimilar to the car
21	Mr. Rippo had brought to Tommy's Maintenance the afternoon
22	of February the 18th, 1992.
23	A It looks similar.
24	Q In what way does it look similar?

1	A The fact; that it's a 300ZX	
2	MR, DUNLEAVY: I'm going to object to the	
3	form of the question. There is absolutely no testimony that	
4	he saw Mr. Rippo bring it there. He said Mr. Rippo walked	
5	into his office and said come on, look at it. There is no	
6	testimony as to who brought it there.	
. <b>7</b>	MR. HARMON: I don't think the objection is	
8	timely. He began to answer. But I can rephrase the	
, 9	question.	
10	THE COURT: Okay, Rephrase, Mr. Harman.	
11	BY MR. HARMON:	
12	Q You didn't actually see who drove it to the	
13	location; is that correct?	
14	A No, I didn't.	
15	Q But, the person you talked to regarding	
16	looking at a car that he had was Mike Rippo?	
17	A That's correct,	
18	Q Mr. Rippo wasn't with anyone else at that	
19	time, was he?	
20	A No, he xasn't.	
21	Q Now, I had asked you if the car shown in	
22	proposed Exhibits 64 and 65 was similar or dissimilar to the	
23	car you saw on that date, Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992.	
24	A It looks similar.	

*		
1	Q In what way does it look similar?	
2	A The fact that it's a 300ZX is really all.	
-3	The color looks much it doesn't look like they're the	
4	same color to me. It looks more faded than the car I saw.	
5	Q Is how would you describe the color, the	
6	primary color, of the vehicle shown in proposed Exhibit 64	
. 7	and 65?	
8	A It looks more of a like a burnt orange to	
9	me than the burgundy that I saw.	
10	Q You remember the car as being burgundy.	
. 11	A 'Yes, I do.	
12	Q On proposed 64 it is, I believe, there	
1.3	appears to be some primer paint on the left front fender.	
14	THE COURT: You have to answer aloud.	
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
16	BY MR. HARMON:	
17	Q Would that be a dissimilarity?	
18	A That definitely was not on the car I saw.	
19	Q As you went around the vehicle and looked at	
20	the outside, did you see any damage to the car?	
21	Yes, I did.	
22	Q What did you abserve?	
23	And please tell us where it	
24	HOS.	
	<b>-</b>	

•	,	
1	A On the rear quarter panel I don't know	
2	what it's called there was a dent, almost like a	
3	someone had hit it with a baseball bat, a hammer. It was	
4	like the size of a baseball, a round dent that was in the	
5	back of the car.	
6	Q Do you remember on which side?	
7	A It seems no.	
8	Q But it was on one of the sides towards the	
9	rear of the car?	
10	A Yes, it was.	
11	Q You are talking about the outside of the	
1.2	vehicle?	
13	A Yes, I dm.	
14	Q Was that something distinctive that you	
15	remember noticing?	
16	A Yes, it is.	
17	Q Why did you pay attention to that?	
18.	A It was just something I noticed. I don't	
19	there was no particular reason, just something that stood	
20	out when I was looking at the car.	
21	Q Now, Mr. Sims, you sold that on the	
22	defendant's birthday, he was driving a Pinto.	
23	A That's correct.	
24	Q Had you seen him driving that car before?	

1	A .	Yes, I had.		
2	Q	Did you know whose car it was?		
3	A	No.		
4	Q	I'm showing you proposed Exhibit 71 and 72.		
5	·	Do you recognize the car shown		
6	in those pictur	es?		
7	A	Yes, I do.		
8	Q	What is that car?		
9	Ą	That's the white Pinto that was brake down		
10	at the corner of Spring Mountain and Rainbow.			
<b>11</b>	a	Would you have an image in your mind now of		
12	how the defenda	how the defendant looked when you saw him February the 18th,		
13	1992?	: :		
14	A	Yes.		
15	G	Was his appearance then similar to the		
16	defendant as the	e defendant appears in the courtroom now or		
17	different?	į. ·		
18	A	Different.		
19	Q	In what ways do you remember the appearance		
20	being different	on February the 18th, 19927		
21.	R	His hair was longer and he didn't seem as		
22	pale as he seem	s today.		
23	Q	Do you remember if the defendant had any		
24	facial hair bac	k then?		
	;	•		

A I don't I don't remember.
q What do you remember about his weight? Do
you remember it being
MR. HARMON: Your Honor, perhaps we could
have Mr. Rippo stand so
THE COURT: Stand up, please.
MR, WOLFSON: We don't have an objection to
that. Your Honor.
BY MR. HARMON:
д Do you have a better view of Mr. Rippo лон?
A Un-huh.
THE COURT: Yes?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. HARMON:
Q What do you remember about the weight? Does
it appear similar today or dissimilar?
A He seems about the same weight as he was
then.
What is your estimate thank you.
What is your estimate regarding
the approximate height and weight of the defendant?
I would say Mike is probably about 160
pounds, five five, five six.
NR. HARMON: Your Honor, that concludes

	¥ *	
	direct.	: :
		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
		CROSS-EXAMINATION
	BY MR. DUNLEA	<b>VY</b> :
	Q	Prior to April or February 18th, 1992,
	when was the	last time you saw Michael?
	Ą	About a week prior to that.
į	à	Now, you came down here straight from the
	D.A.'s Office	; 1s that correct?
	Ą	No, it isn't.
	Q	You weren't in the D.A.'s Office?
	Ą	No. I was up in Department IV with my
	attorney.	;
	` Q	Is your attorney here in the court with you
	today?	, 4
	Ą	Yes, he is.
	Q	Did you make any kind of a deal with the
	State in exch	ange for your testimony?
	Ą	No, I didn't.
	· d	Nothing at all?
	<b>A</b>	Nothing at all.
	Q	Now, the District Attorney asked you if you
	had any felon	y convictions.

1	:	And you answered yes; is that
2	correct?	
3	A	That's correct.
. 4	Q	How many?
5	. <b>A</b>	Three.
6.	Q	So if you got in any more trouble, you could
7.	be what's calle	d a three time loser?
8	Ą	I would assume so.
: 9'	Q	Do you have any charges pending against you
10	right now?	
11	A	Yes, I do.
12	q	You said you had two companies. Tommy's.
13	Incorporated and Tommy's Maintenance; is that correct?	
1.4	Ą	I don't think that's that's not what I
15	meant, if that	s what I said.
16.	Q	Do you have two different companies?
. 17	. А	Not really. I have one corporation. I do
18	business I started my business as Tommy's Maintenance and	
19	then I incorpor	ated. It's all one business as far as I'm
20	concerned	; ; ;
21.	a	So does the sign say Tommy's, Incorporated
22	or Tommy's Mair	tenance?
23	Ā	The sign says Tommy's Maintenance.
24	Q	What else do you do for a living?
		· ;

A That's all I do for a living.  Q Don't you manufacture and sell  methamphetamine for a living?  A No, I don't.  Q You don't?  A No.  Q And the State didn't give you any immunity  to admit it if you did?  A No, they didn't.  Q Hhat about dealing in stolen cars?  A Never in my life.  Q Never?  A Never.  A Nope.  Q Hayen't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  A Never.  Q So if other Hitnesses testified that that's  Hhat you did  MR. HARMON: Objection. This is  argumentative.  THE COURT: Overruled.  MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,  it is not proper to confront this mitness with what others	,	:	;
methomphetamine for a living?  A No, I don't.  Q You don't?  A No.  he State didn't give you any immunity  to admit it if you did?  A No, they didn't.  A Never in my life.  A Never?  A Never?  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Never.  A Never.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Neve	1	Ā	That's all I do for a living.
A No. I don't.  Q You don't?  A No.  A No.  And the State didn't give you any immunity  to admit it if you did?  A No. they didn't.  A Never in my life.  A Never?  A Never.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope	2	q	Don't you manufacture and sell
Q You don't? A No.  A No.  A No.  A And the State didn't give you any immunity to admit it if you did?  A No, they didn't.  What about dealing in stalen cars?  A Never in my life.  A Never?  A Never.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Nope.   . 3	methamphetamine	for a living?	
A No.  And the State didn't give you any immunity to admit it if you did?  A No, they didn't.  A Never in my life.  A Never?  A Never.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Nope.   4.	A	No, I don't.	
7 Q And the State didn't give you any immunity 8 to admit it if you did? 9 A No, they didn't. 10 Q What about dealing in stolen cars? 11 A Never in my life. 12 Q Never? 13 A Never. 14 Q Not even a couple of Carvettes? 15 A Nope. 16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all? 17 A Never. 18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's 19 What you did 20 MR; HARMON: Objection. This is 21 argumentative. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	5	· Q	You don't?
to admit it if you did?  A No, they didn't.  What about dealing in stolen cars?  A Never in my life,  Never?  A Never.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Never.  A Nope.  A Never.  A	6	A	No.
9 A No, they didn't. 10 Q What about dealing in stolen cars? 11 A Never in my life, 12 Q Never? 13 A Never. 14 Q Not even a couple of Corvettes? 15 A Nope. 16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all? 17 A Never. 18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's 19 What you did 20 MR; HARMON; Objection. This is 21 argumentative. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 MR, HARMON; Your Honor, with due respect,	7	Q	And the State didn't give you any immunity
10 Q What about dealing in stolen cars?  11 A Never in my life.  12 Q Never?  13 A Never.  14 Q Not even a couple of Corvettes?  15 A Nope.  16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  17 A Never.  18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 What you did  20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	. 8	to odmit it if	rou did?
A Never in my life,  Never?  Never.  Not even a couple of Corvettes?  A Nope.  Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  A Never.  Never.  No if other witnesses testified that that's  What you did  MR; HARMON: Objection. This is  THE COURT: Overruled.  MR, HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	9:	A	No, they didn't.
12 Q Never?  13 A Never.  14 Q Not even a couple of Corvettes?  15 A Nope.  16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  17 A Never.  18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 what you did  20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	10	a	What about dealing in stolen cars?
A Never.  Not even a couple of Corvettes?  A Nope.  Nope.  A Never.  A Never.  So if other witnesses testified that that's  What you did  MR: HARMON: Objection. This is  THE COURT: Overruled.  MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	11	A	Never in my life,
14 Q Not even a couple of Corvettes?  A Nope.  16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  A Never.  18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 What you did  20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	12	q	Never?
15. A Nope.  16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  17 A Never.  18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 What you did  20 MR; HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	13	, А	Never.
16 Q Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?  17 A Never.  18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 what you did  20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  23 MR. HARMON: Your Hanor, with due respect,	14	q	Not even a couple of Corvettes?
A Never.  18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 what you did  20 MR. HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	15.	A	Nope.
18 Q So if other witnesses testified that that's  19 what you did  20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is  21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	1.6	q	Haven't dealt in any stolen cars at all?
19 what you did 20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is 21 argumentative. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	17	A	Never.
20 MR: HARMON: Objection. This is 21 orgumentative. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	18	Q	So if other witnesses testified that that's
21 argumentative.  22 THE COURT: Overruled.  23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	-: <b>1.9</b> .	;what you did	**
THE COURT: Overruled.  MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	20		MR; HARMON: Objection. This is
23 MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,	21	argumentative.	
	- 22	**************************************	THE COURT: Overruled.
it is not proper to confront this witness with what others	23		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect,
	24	it is not proper	rate confront this witness with what others
		:	

1	may have said. That argues with th	e witness.	
2	He car	ask him, and if he has	
3	evidence to impeach the testimony.	that's another thing. He	
. 4	can present		
5	THE COURT: I'11 cr	ange my ruling. I'll	
6	sustain it.	sustain it.	
7.	MR. DUNLEAVY: That	's permissible	
8	cross-examination. His credibility	is an issue before the	
9	Court.		
10	THE COURT: I susto	ined the objection.	
11	. Ga on.		
12	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:		
13	Q Now, you had this o	onversation with Nike on	
14	the 18th. He walked into your offi	the 18th. He walked into your office.	
15	A That's correct.		
<b>16</b> :	Q You didn't see him	drive the car there?	
17	A No, I didn't.		
18	Q You didn't see him	drive the car away?	
19	A No. I didn't.		
- 20	Q You never saw him o	rive the car at all, the	
21	Nisson, the red burgundy Nisson?	•	
22	A Right.		
23	Q Never saw him drive	: 1t?	
24	A Nope.		
	,		

1	q	And you gave statements to the police on the
2	2nd; is that correct, March 2nd, 1992?	
3	A	That's carrect.
4	Q	The one that Mr. Harmon talked to you about.
. 5:	Ä	That's correct.
6	Q	And anywhere in that statement did you
7	mention the su	itcase?
8.	Ä	No, I didn't.
g.	q	The tapes?
1.0	A	No. I didn't.
11	q	Did you give the tapes or the suitcase to
12	the police?	
13	A	No, I didn't.
14	q	Do you still have them?
15	Ą	No, I don't.
16	Q	What happened to them?
17	A	I threw them in the garage.
18	, Q	Before or after you talked to the police?
19	Ą	Before.
20.	ď	When you were contacted by the police, did
21	they come and get you or did they call you on the phone?	
22 <sup>-</sup>	How did that contact come about?	
23:	. A	They beeped me. I was in route to one of my
24	properties, I	called one of my employees, asked him to call

15

24

the number, and then call me on the radio and let me know who and what it was about. He called me back, told me it was homicide, and so I called homicide.

They told me do you want us to came see you or do you want to come see us? I told them I would come see him.

- and went to the police department and you gave a tape recorded statement?
  - A That's correct.
- Q Talked to them first, and then they sat you down and tape recorded the statement or did they just sit you down and tape record it cold?
- A It seemed to me we just tape recorded it cold.
- And this was after you had -- the 26th of February, 1992, when you had had this conversation on Mike's birthday, right?
  - A That's correct.
    - Q And after you had the suitcase?
    - A Yes.
  - Q And after you had the tapes.

But you didn't mention it in

3 that statement at all?

A That's correct.

1	Q	Even when they asked you that catchall
2	question at the	end, right?
. 3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	Did you think they weren't asking you that?
5.	A	I '
6	q	Anything else you might be able to add that
7.	we haven't talk	ed about that might help us in our
8.	investigation?	• •
9	A	I I didn't feel it was specific.
10	Q	Where was the specific question in your
11	statement about	somebody died for the car?
12	Ą	I don't know. You'd have to let me see it
13	to refresh my mo	emory,
14		MR. DUNLEAVY: 1'11 be glad to.
15		THE WITNESS: What pope is it on, do you
16	know?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
17		MR. DUNLEAVY: I believe it's on page five.
18	: +	THE WITNESS: That's Just my luck. These
19	aren't numbered	•
20	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	1
21	Q.	The first question and answer on page five.
22	A	I oh, okay. Now I see where you are at.
23	Q	He specifically asked you: What did he tell
24	you about the co	ar?
		•

. 16

1	And your answer was: Sameone
2	died for it.
3	A That's exactly what he said.
4	Q And it was specific enough for you to give
5	that specific response?
6	A Sure.
7	Q But the question about anything else that
. 8	might help us in our investigation wasn't specific enough to
9	tell them that you had had tapes that had belonged to the
10	victims, that you had had a suitcase belonging to the
11	victims?
12	A No.
13	Q That you had had a confession to murder?
14	A No. Like I said, I didn't want to be
15	involved.
16:	Q Thank you. I think the answer was no:
17.	right?
18	Then you testified before a
19	Grand Jury,
20	A That's correct.
21	a And in the Grand Jury you were questioned
22	about this case; is that correct?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q Where in the Grand Jury did you mention the

1.	· a	It was tape recorded?
. 2	A	It wasn't tape recorded to my knowledge.
3	Q	Both of them were present?
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	Where did this occur?
6	A	In the District Attorney's Office, up on the
, 7	seventh floor.	
8	Q	And you told them about it at that time?
9	À	Yes, I did.
10	Q	When you had all this information with you,
11	you didn't call	anybody; is that correct, 'cause they didn't
12	ask?	
13	A	Hould you ask me that again?
14	Q	When you had this information originally,
15	you saw the new	s, you realized you had this stuff, you
16	didn't call the	police.
17	A	No, I didn't.
1.8	q	You said you were worried about going to see
19	Nichael?	; :
20		MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me, counsel.
21		Your Honor, may we be heard out
22	of the presence	of the Jury?
23		THE COURT: Yes. All right.
24		Eadies and gentlemen, we'll

	·
1	take a short recess.
2	Remember: Do not discuss this
- 3	case among yourselves or with anyone else;
4	Or read, watch, listen to any
5	report or commentary on the case by any medium of
6	information, including, without limitation, newspaper, radio
7	ar television;
8	Don't express any opinion on
9	this case until the matter is finally submitted to you.
10	I'll send the bailiff for you
11	when we're ready.
12	(The following proceedings were had in open court outside the
13	presence of the Jury:)
14	MR. WOLFSON: Counsel approach the bench?
15	THE COURT: Let the record reflect we are
16	now outside the presence of the Jury.
17	MR. WOLFSON: May we approach the bench?
18	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
.19	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.)
20	ે. - 
21	THE COURT: Woit outside.
22	
23	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
24	And the second of the second o

1	THE COURT: You want to do this in chambers;
2	is that right?
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: The Court's convenience, Your
4	Honor,
. 5:	THE COURT: I don't care.
6	MR, WOLFSON: Let's do it in chambers on the
7	record,
8	THE COURT: Let's go in chambers.
9	
10	(The following proceedings were had in chambers, outside the
11	presence of the Jury. >
- 12	
13	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.
14	THE COURT: In chambers; all counsel are
15	present, with the court clerk and the reporter.
16	MR. WOLFSON: Judge, at this time, the
17	defense would specifically move for a mistrial; and, in the
18.	alternative, a request for an evidentiary hearing.
19	It has come to light, through
20	witness Tom Sims, that he told deputy District Attorneys
21	themselves about our client's supposed confession over two
22	years ago. I believe he said October of 1993. I believe he
23	said it was perhaps up in their office.
24	MR. DUNGEAVY: This is the same witness that

1 2

. 12

I objected to after opening statements, and we received assurances that the D.A.'s Office became aware of it about a week before the pretrial.

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, pursuant to the discovery statutes, we are entitled to be provided with discovery concerning our client's statements.

Now, I know that statute says written or recorded, but I think it's incumbent upon the State of Nevada, when they learn from a witness, who first went to the police in March of 1992, was interviewed by the police, and then testified before a Grand Jury two or three months later, who never volunteered at that time anything about statements made by the defendant, who, then, in a pretrial setting about a year later, advises District Attorneys about our client's confessions and repeated statements and physical evidence —

THE COURT: What do you mean a pretrial setting?

MR. WOLFSON: In a pretrial, meaning an interview up in their office, in preparation for trial.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MR. WOLFSON: That it is incumbent upon the State of Nevada, it is obligatory upon the State of Nevada. for them to provide us with that document, or those pieces

1.

move for a mistrial.

1.6

1.7

of information, whether it's written or recorded or not.

We are caught totally by surprise during Mr. Harmon's opening statement about these things -- and now we learn -- that he actually provided to the State of Nevada about two and a half years ago, so we

In the alternative or in conjunction with, we ask for an evidentiary hearing so that John Lukens and Teresa Lowry, under oath, can advise this Court as to whether or not they did receive these — this information from Mr. Sims and what they did with that information, if any —

MR. DUNLEAVY: This is a more -- I mean, he testified before a Grand Jury, under eath; never mentioned this.

The D.A. becomes aware that he's changing his testimony 180, from nothing inculpatory to confessions, and doesn't notify the defense, springs it on us in the middle of triel, that's inappropriate. That's not the way it's supposed to be done. And that's why we have the rules to prevent this kind of thing. We're not supposed to be tried by ambush.

MR. HARMON: Judge, with due respect, the lost characterization by Mr. Dunleavy of Thomas Sims' Grand

1.6

Jury testimony isn't accurate. Mr. Sims is correct. And in the context of this motion, it probably is important for the Court, once again, to look at exactly what Sims was asked before the Grand Jury and what testimony he gave.

He didn't change anything.

He's right. He was not asked about any statements made by the defendant. He wasn't asked about a suitcase or tapes.

He was asked about a very

narrow area; that is, whether the defendant appeared, on February the 18th, whether he saw the car, whether he looked at the car, whether he made the statement that sameone died for this car, and there was conversation about money, \$2,000, and the defendant wanting to leave town. That basically is the long and short of what Mr. Sims was asked at the time of the Grand Jury hearing in June, 1992.

Now, when the earlier motion was made for a mistrial, I don't remember precisely what I said, but I didn't mean to imply, Judge, that Mr. Sims hadn't ever said to someone else, and that includes representatives of the office of the District Attorney, that admissions were made to him. I was relating to the Court what Mr. Seatan and I knew. And I — I think we have to put this case in its proper chronology.

Frankly, I don't know how many

1,6

attorneys Mr. Rippo has had. I haven't gone to the trouble
to examine closely the case history. I don't know how many
other prosecutors, frankly, he's had, but there are some
disadvantages that come from that.

If the defense is going to

argue some type of fellow officer, that is fellow deputy rule, and say that everything Lukens and Lowry found out in October 1993 is somehow imputed to specific deputies assigned to the case, specifically Mr. Seaton and myself, I say that's a legal fiction.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor --

MR. HARMON: I never knew.

MR. DUNLEAVY: And when Lukens --

THE COURT: Let him finish.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I thought he was.

MR. HARMON: And I think I can safely speak for Mr. Seaton, I don't think he ever knew, until Tom Sims came to the office — and it's my recollection it was the Friday before this trial began, and I sat down and spoke with him, because we had divided the witnesses and I was to examine Sims — I never found out until that moment that he had the additional information.

And, Judge, I treated it then like any other information. We argued before we don't own

this witness. He testified before the Grand Jury. Whenever these gentleman came on to the case, they had to have read the Grand Jury transcript. They knew Sims was a witness. They had the option of interviewing him in a pretrial conference Just 11ke we do.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

And I can't tell you how many hundred times you sit down with a witness one-to-one and you acquire additional information. That's Just the nature of this business.

And if we were obligated in every situation, with every single snippet of information we get, to go to the defense them and say, hey, we talked about so and so, and he's got this additional information, that mould be totally unrealistic, totally impractical to do :that.

18

Now, I know this is significant to the case. There is no doubt that Sims has

16 17

information --

18

THE COURT: Significant. It's a confession.

19 20

MR. HARMON: It is a confession.

21

MR. HOLFSON: And that's the distinction.

22

THE REPORTER: Would the --

23

MR. HARMON: The statute requires that we

24

disclose written or recorded statements, not work product,

22

23

24

not information that we acquire from a witness, who the defense is fully aware of at the time of a pretrial

And for those reasons, Judge,

the motion should be denied.

MR. WOLFSON: The distinction, this is not a little snippet of information.

I agree with Mr. Harmon, that in pretrial settings, in interviews with witnesses, additional information comes out.

We're talking about a confession. We're also talking about a confession learned by the State of Nevada by prior prosecutors, who previously withheld discovery until a calendar call date.

And I don't know if Your Honor recalls, but about a year and a half ago one of the continuances was caused because Mr. Lukens dumped on us, at about calendar call, new discovery, new information.

So when you combine that, we're talking about a confession, and we believe under the statute that they are obligated to provide us with that.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I would also point out when you come across information of this caliber and you sit on it for two years, when you have the discretion to reduce it

> 391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

6:

. 8

. :20

 to writing or to a tape recording -- they have investigators, they have the police, all they had to do was say get this statement. They choose not to. There is a reason for that, and it's to sandbag somebody at trial.

When Mr. Lukens and Miss Loury
were disqualified from this case, new District Attorneys,
who took this case over, came up with a lot of information
that had been withheld from us.

And I was under the impression there had been inquiries to make sure we weren't getting sandbagged with anything else. They provided us with close to a thousand pages of documents that had been withheld previous to that. And the whole purpose was so we level the playing field and start getting all the information we were supposed to have.

Now we've just had a hand grenade blow up in our face because nobady told us two years ago that samebody made a decision not to reduce this to writing and not to have a tape recorder, but to wait until trial and dump it on us, and that's just us not the way it was done.

MR. WOLFSON: May I make one more thought along that line?

MR. HARMON: Sure.

MR. WOLFSON: I think I mentioned this to the Court before.

I believe it was January 25th or 26th, four days before trial, that Mr. Dunleavy brought a document over to me that was apparently provided to him by Mr. Harmon or Seaton wherein a criminologist, Alan Cabralis, in writing, says that the integrity of the evidence gathering has been seriously compromised, in Writing, authored Rebruary, '92, and we got it five days before trial.

So it's not just an isolated incident. But if you laok at the -- the number of things where we're being sandbagged --

MR. DUNLEAVY: Significant.

MR. WOLFSON: -- not necessarily by intentional conduct.

I understand the State of
Nevada and the D.A.'s Office has some pretty shabby methods
of providing us with discovery, but if you look at
collectively what's happening, this is just another
incidence of us being deprived of what we're entitled to.

MR, HARMON: Well, Judge, if I can make one additional comment.

I take exception to the

18:

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

shabbiness characterization for this reason: I've been with this office -- in fact, today is my anniversary, for 28 years.

THE COURT: Congratulations.

MR, HARMON: Thank you.

For 28 years, we've had an open

file policy.

Now, there is nothing to prevent defense counsel -- maybe our procedures are not state of the art, but there is nothing to prevent the defense from examining the file to learn what's there. Unfortunately, it doesn't always get there.

And Mr. Seaton and I took the initiative because when we looked at the file, we weren't sure if we had all the crime lab reports. We asked our secretary to contact the lob and have them copy every crime lab report under this event number.

And it was as a result of that that we learned that there were, I think, two reports. One is the Cabralis report that Mr. Wolfson mentions, that we did not have. We immediately provided that. It's written or recorded.

But, Judge, regarding the product of pretrial conferences, there isn't any hand

19' 1

grenade of our making. The defense had the same option Mr. Seaton and I had.

3

2

MR. DUNÉEAVY: Your Honor, that's legal

4

fiction.

5

MR. HARMON: The defense may contact Mr.

Sims. It's one thing if they make an effort to talk to the

7

man and if they are going to tell you now on the record he

8

refused to speak with them.

9

they made no effort to contact him, made no effort to sit

10 11

down with him and ask very direct questions that were asked

12

of him during our pretrial conference, then we're not

13

sandbagging anyone, because I would assume that the defense,

14

with a key witness, and even based upon the Grand Jury

15

testimony, of seeing the car, seeing Mr. Rippo, of hearing

16

Mr. Rippo:say somebody died for this car, and Mr. Rippo saying I want a couple of thousand dollars so I can leave

17 18

town, that translated Sims into a key witness, and the

19

defense had the same option that we exercised of

20

interviewing him to obtain the information.

21

talk to the defense. We didn't tell Mr. Sims to confide

22

only in us about the confession.

24

Your Honor, it's not written or

We didn't tell Mr. Sims not to

But if they are going to say

2

1

3:

4

2

6

· 7

8

9

10

11

12

15

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

recorded. It is work product. It is just not feasible in our system for each — as each additional information surfaces, to spoon feed it to the defense.

MR. WOLFSON: Quickly.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this:

ever indicate to either Mr. Harmon or Mr. Seaton that --

Did Mr. Lukens or Teresa Lowry

about this confession that was testified to?

MR, SEATON: No. And I will back up what Mr. Harmon has said, may be my comments.

In fact, I knew nothing of it until Mr. Harmon came to my office and told me, after his meeting with Tom Sims, what he had to say.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we had Lukens and Lowry removed for some unethical conduct. It's not inconsistent. I'm not saying --

MR. HARMON: What conduct is unethical? It

has to be --

MR. DUNLEAVY: To purticipate in the

investigation.

MR. HARMON: Because they went along when a

search was executed?

MR. DUNLEAVY: To intimidate the defense witnesses and to execute a search warrant and intimidate a

1 W1

1.7.

witness, yes.

MR. HARMON: Well, I think you should choose your words more carefully.

THE COURT: Well, I made a finding that they made themselves witnesses.

MR. HARMON: Exactly.

MR. DUNIEAVY: Now, I would also point out, as to their claim of shabbiness, Judge Loehrer, here more than two years ago, made it clear to them what they should do is establish a procedure similar to the federal system, that whenever a discovery is provided, there is a sign sheet for it so there is a record of what has been provided and what has not been provided. The State deliberately chooses not to do that.

THE COURT: Well, there is nothing to provide you because nothing was ever put in writing.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Because they knew about a confession and chase not to reduce it to writing, it's one thing if samething comes up in a pretrial today, a day or two before, but when they know about it years in advance and choose not to reduce it to writing, because then they can stand up and say we don't have to provide it, that's just trying to backhole the law.

The law is that they are

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

. 11

12

13

14

1.5

1.6

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

If they go to the trouble to

interview a Jailhouse smitch by sending a detective hundreds of miles to take down the interview, they could have very easily, and in the same line, done that with Mr. Sims.

I agree with Mr. Dunleavy.

They purposely chose not to do it so that they could avoid the obligation under Chapter, I believe, 174.

MR. HARMON: So now when we interview these jailhouse snitches, if they provide information that is in addition to what is contained in their written or recorded statements, do we have the obligation of going to the defense each time that occurs, if it's —

NR. DUNEEAVY: If it's a confession.

MR. WOLFSON: If it's a statement by our

client.

MR. HARMON: Well, that's not provided by statute in this state. The statute talks specifically about written or recorded statements. It's just not realistic, in the context of the pretrial conference, for us to provide every bit of additional information we receive.

It's not sandbagging, Judge.

This witness was not a secret.

MR. WOLFSON: The distinction, it was a confession. It wasn't just additional information. It's

1.4

. 22

considered a confession,

MR, HARMON: It wasn't Brady material. It was not gathering new material. This is inculpatory material.

MR, DUNLEAVY: But it completely changed his prior testimony.

mr. SEATON: Judge, I have not said anything or much up to this point. Let me just put an exclamation point behind what I think is dispositive of this whole issue.

If you look at the discovery statute, and whatever section it is, I think it is the first words of that particular sentence -- sentence, and it says any written or recorded statements of the defendant.

If it said any statements of the defendants, we wouldn't be here arguing. We'd be apologizing. It says written or recorded. This is neither.

And so the statute takes consideration of the fact that this very scenario will occur. We will learn things that do not necessarily have to be lent to recordation at that particular time.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I would also remind the Court that we have a discovery order that was very broad; it was not opposed by the State; and I submit that it's covered.

1	MR. WOLFSON: A written discovery order,
2	which I can produce momentarily, if Your Honor wants to
3	review it.
4	THE COURT: Produce it.
<b>5</b>	Do you want to prepare points
	and authorities on this issue for tomorrow?
	MR. DUNLEAVY: I think we need to.
	THE COURT: I think so too.
	MR. DUNLEAVY: I mean, obviously, we didn't
	know this was coming.
	THE COURT: All right. Prepare points and
	authorities.
	I'm going to send the jury
	home.
	We'll reconvene tomorrow at ten
	o'clock. Ma're going to reconvene tomorrow at ten o'clock.
	I want points and authorities on this issue.
	MR. WOLFSON: May I have that back?
	THE COURT: It's easier to make another
	quick copy,
	MR. HARMON: We'd like a copy, too.
	THE COURT: Two copies.
	MR. WOLFSON: Are we breaking for the day
	now?
	;
	, ,

1	THE COURT: Yeah. I ain't going to do
2	nothing until I review that
3 .	MR. WOLFSON: Okay. So are we done?
4	THE COURT: Yeah, we're oft. We're done.
5	(Whereupon, the proceedings
6	were recessed until Thursday, February 8, 1996, at ten a.m.)
7	
8	-00o-
9	ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of proceedings.
10	la de la descripción de la constante de la con
11	RENEE SILVAGGIO, C.C.R. NO. 122 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
12	ALTORAC COMMI WELLING
13	
14	
15	· i
16.	
17	-
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	



1	OPPS
2	STEWART L. BELL  DISTRICT ATTORNEY  —FILED IN OPEN COURT—
3	Nevada Bar #000477  200 S. Third Street  1 OPFITA ROWMAN CLERK
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
4.	(702) 455-4711 Attorney for Plaintiff
5	
6	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
1	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
ġ	Plaintiff,
10	-vs- Case No. C106784 Dept. No. IV
11'	MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO, ) Docket C
12	#0619119 
13	Defendant.
14	<u> </u>
15	ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO
16	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR MISTRIAL BASED ON
17	AN ALLEGED DISCOVERY VIOLATION
18.	DATE OF HEARING: 2-8-96 TIME OF HEARING: 10:00 A.M.
19	TIME OF HEARING: 10:00 A.M.
20	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by STEWART L. BELL, District Attorney, through
21.	MELVYN T. HARMON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and files this Opposition to Defendant's
22	Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation.
23	This Opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached
24	HI
25	1.11
26	HI
27	///
28	/// CE31
	· Rey

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

← 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.

day of February, 1996.

Respectfully submitted,

STEWART L. BELL
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar #000477

MELVYNT. HARMON
Chief Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #000862

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

- 1. Whether the United States Constitution or Nevada statute requires the disclosure of oral inculpatory statements by a secondary witness?
- 2. Whether the timing of Mr. Sims' disclosure to the District Attorney's Office impacts whether or not his oral statement is discoverable?
- 3. Whether mistrial is the appropriate remedy even if there is a discovery violation?

#### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Defendant has, in the midst of jury trial, moved for a mistrial based on an alleged discovery violation regarding testimony from Tom Sims that Defendant made admissions to him. The defense claims nondisclosure of this oral inculpatory statement amounts to a violation of the discovery rules and that such a violation mandates a mistrial. Defendant is incorrect on both counts: there is no duty to disclose unmemorialized inculpatory statements and any violation of the discovery rules does not automatically mandate a mistrial.

In fact, this very issue was addressed out of the presence of the jury following the State's Opening Statement. See Reporter's Transcript of Friday, February 2, 1996, Volume VI, pp. 72-78. At that time, Mr. Harmon represented to this Court that the first time he learned of Defendant's admissions to Tom Sims was the previous week during an oral pre-trial interview of Tom Sims. Id. at p. 76. This Court

-2-

3

5

6

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15,

16

17

18,

19

21

22

23:

24

25

26

27

28

properly ruled that the adversarial process requires that the defense use its own investigators to prepare its case and denied Defendant's mistrial motion. Id. at 77-78.

Now it has come to light on cross-examination of Mr. Sims that, according to his testimony, he informed Mr. John Lukens of the District Attorney's Office that Defendant made admissions to him during a discussion with Mr. Lukens in 1993. It is the State's position that the information was not discoverable regardless of when it may have come to the attention of the District Attorney's office. Further, the State maintains that Mr. Harmon and Mr. Seaton were in fact never informed by Mr. Lukens of Tom Sims' comments regarding Defendant's admissions, and that they at all times have acted in good faith towards their discovery obligations. Finally, the State argues that if a discovery violation is found, the proper remedy is a brief recess or continuance rather than the severe remedy of a mistrial.

#### ARGUMENT

ì.

# DEFENDANT HAS NO CONSTITUTIONAL OR STATUTORY RIGHT TO DISCLOSURE OF ORAL INCULPATORY EVIDENCE.

#### Α.

# There Is No Constitutional Right To Disclosure of Oral Inculpatory Evidence.

It is well established that the State must provide to a criminal defendant any exculpatory evidence which it has in its possession that is material to guilt or punishment. Brady v. United States, 373 U.S. 220, 83 S, Ct. 1194 (1963); see Kyles v. Whitley, \_\_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_\_, 115 S. Ct. 1555 (1995); United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667, 105 S. Ct. 3375 (1985); Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 92 S. Ct. 763 (1972). Thus, there are two prongs that must be met under Brady before the Constitution mandates disclosure: first, the evidence must be exculpatory; and second, the evidence must be material.

# Tom Sims' Testimony Regarding Defendant's Admissions Is Not Exculpatory Evidence.

To require disclosure, evidence must be exculpatory. Here, Tom Sims' testimony is clearly inculpatory, in that it contains admissions by the Defendant. However, in <u>Bayley</u>, the United States Supreme Court indicated that exculpatory evidence also includes impeachment evidence. <u>Bayley</u>, supra,

-3-

at 3385. Here, however, Sims' testimony is not the type of impeachment evidence contemplated in Bagley.

In <u>Bagley</u>, <u>supra</u>, government witnesses had been paid money in exchange for testimony. However, the government attorneys did not disclose this fact to the defense. In reversing the Court of Appeals and remanding for a determination of materiality, the Supreme Court indicated that such impeachment evidence demonstrating that key government witnesses had perjured themselves on the stand did in fact constitute exculpatory evidence as it is "evidence favorable to the accused," particularly when that perjury comes from the only witnesses used by the government to establish guilt.

Similarly, in <u>Giglio</u>, <u>supra</u>, the first prosecutor to handle the case knew of a deal made between the government and its key witness: defendant's alleged co-conspirator who agreed to turn State's evidence if the government agreed not to prosecute him and who happened to be the only witness linking the defendant to the crime. Subsequently, another prosecutor took over the case who did not know about this deal not to prosecute the key witness. When the key witness testified, he stated that he had made no deals with the government. This testimony was, of course, false. The unknowing prosecutor did not correct this testimony. The Supreme Court held that this impeachment evidence was exculpatory evidence under <u>Brady</u> as it, too, was "evidence favorable to the accused."

Thus, the impeachment evidence which has been held to fall within <u>Brady</u> is evidence necessary to reveal perjury which the State has in its possession but which it has not revealed. The evidence here, though arguably impeachment evidence, does not rise to this level. First, there is no allegation that Tom Sims is perjuring himself. The evidence the defense claims was not disclosed is not evidence contradicting Sims' current testimony. The only impeachment is one of omission not contradiction. Whether Sims is now relating the full story or is lying is a question to be tested through cross-examination and left to the jury's determination. The State, unlike in <u>Bagley</u> and <u>Giglio</u>, is not in possession of any evidence which directly contradicts Sims' trial testimony and proves perjury. Nor is the State allowing knowingly perjured testimony. <u>See Kyles, supra.</u>

While the defense might prefer to have known this information earlier, that alone does not create a <u>Brady</u> violation. As the Supreme Court has held:

-4-

3

\$

4

5 6

7

8

10 11

12

•

14

15,

16

17

18,

19,

207

22

23/

24

25

26

27

\_\_\_

The prosecutor is not required to deliver his entire file to defense counsel, but only to disclose evidence favorable to the accused that, if suppressed, would deprive the defendant of a fair trial.

Bagley, supra, at 3380. In a footnote to this very quote, the Court further stated:

An interpretation of <u>Brady</u> to create a broad, constitutionally required right of disclosure "would entirely alter the character and balance of our present system of criminal justice." [citation omitted] Furthermore, a rule that the prosecutor commits error by any failure to disclose evidence favorable to the accused, no matter how insignificant, would impose an impossible burden on the prosecutor and would undermine the interest in finality of judgments.

<u>Id.</u>, at n.7. Here, the evidence the defense argues should have been disclosed, while possibly "favorable" in a broad sense, is exactly the type of evidence contemplated in the above footnote.

Since this evidence is inculpatory rather than exculpatory and since it is not the type of impeachment evidence which has been held to trigger <u>Brady</u> concerns, the first prong of the <u>Brady</u> test has not been met. As such, Defendant has no Constitutional right to its prior disclosure.

2. The Testimony Is Not Material Because Lack Of Disclosure
Of Tom Sims' Testimony Does Not Undermine Our
Confidence In The Result Or Deprive Defendant Of A Fair
Trial

Even if exculpatory, the second prong of <u>Brady</u> requires that any exculpatory or impeachment evidence must be material before it must be disclosed. The test of materiality requires that there be a "reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed to the defense, the result of the proceeding would have been different." <u>Bagley, supra</u>, at 3383. The Supreme Court has held that:

Such suppression of evidence amounts to a constitutional violation only if it deprives the defendant of a fair trial. Consistent with "our overriding concern with the justice of the finding of guilt," [citation omitted] a constitutional error occurs, and the conviction must be reversed, only if that evidence is material in the sense that its suppression undermines confidence in the outcome of the trial.

Id., at 3381. As explained above, in <u>Bagley</u> the evidence withheld was evidence proving perjury. Further, the evidence of perjury related to the only witness who could link the defendant to the crime.

5 6

8 9 10

12 . 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

Thus, evidence that this witness had committed perjury could well have rendered incredible the only witness establishing defendant's guilt. And even in that instance the Court did not hold outright that this evidence was material. Instead the Court remanded the case to the District Court for a determination of materiality. Id., at 3385. Similarly in Giglio the undisclosed evidence of perjury related to the main witness establishing the guilt of the defendant. Giglio, supra, at 766.

Here, these cases might be applicable if the undisclosed evidence revealed perjury by Diana Hunt. That would almost certainly "undermine confidence in the outcome or the trial." However, that is not the case. The evidence the defense alleges should have been disclosed here is simply that Tom Sims, a secondary witness, now is including a fact in his testimony that he previously had not indicated in either of his two recorded statements. Certainly Tom Sims is important to the State as he corroborates some of Diana Hunt's testimony and offers other testimony helping to establish Defendant's possession of the stolen vehicle. However, there is little, if any, evidence for which Tom Sims is the sole source. This falls far short of the materiality standards set by the United States Supreme Court. It could easily be argued that a conviction could be obtained without any of Tom Sims' testimony at all. Thus, it seems impossible for the defense to claim that impeachment by omission of a secondary witness somehow undermines our confidence in the result and deprives Defendant of a fair trial.

Again, the defense may well prefer to have known about Tom Sims' statement that Defendant confessed to him prior to hearing it at trial. However, that preference does not make the evidence material in a constitutional sense and mandate its disclosure. Instead, this testimony does not rise to the level of evidence which undermines our confidence in the trial. Regardless of the timing of the disclosure, the defense is free to cross-examine Tom Sims on this omission. As such, the second prong of Brady has not been met. Defendant, thus, has no constitutional right to prior disclosure of this information.

Thus, since Tom Sims' testimony that Defendant made admissions to him is neither exculpatory nor material, there is no Constitutional mandate that the State disclose this evidence prior to trial. Since there has been no Constitutional violation. Defendant's Motion for Mistrial must be denied as to this issue.

111

///

-6-

902

3

4

б

g

10

11

131

14

16

17

18

19

21

22.

23:

24

25

26

27

28

В.

# Nevada Statute Does Not Create A Right To Discovery Of Unwritten And Unrecorded Inculpatory Statements.

Though the United States Constitution does not mandate disclosure, States may enact more stringent disclosure rules. However, Nevada's discovery statute does not require disclosure of oral statements. NRS 174.235 provides:

Upon a motion of a defendant the court may order the district attorney to permit the defendant to inspect and copy or photograph any relevant:

1. Written or recorded statements or admissionss made by the defendant, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the district attorney...

Here, however, there was no written or recorded version of Tom Sims' testimony regarding Defendant's admissions to him. Thus, under NRS 174.235, there is no duty to disclose it.

Further, there is no duty on behalf of a prosecutor to reduce oral interviews with witnesses to writing, thus making them discoverable to defense counsel. See, e.g., People v. Graham, 678 P.2d 1043 (Colo. App.), cert. denied, 467 U.S. 1216, 104 S. Ct. 2660 (1983); see also People v. Garcia, 627 P.2d 255 (Colo. App. 1980)(prosecution not required to furnish defense with substance of oral statement of witness whose name had been disclosed to defense pursuant to discovery order).

No Nevada case has held that NRS 174.235 requires disclosure of unrecorded, inculpatory oral statements. Instead, directly on point is <u>Thompson v. State</u>, 93 Nev. 342, 343, 565 P.2d 1011 (1977), where the Nevada Supreme Court held:

Appellant next argues he was denied due process because the State failed to, sua sponte, disclose to defense counsel oral inculpatory statements made by appellant. "Pretrial discovery of the accused's statements is not constitutionally compelled by the Fourteenth Amendment," Mears v. State, 83 Nev. 3,7, 422 P.2d 230, 232 (1967). Further, voluntary disclosure is not contemplated by our statutory provisions concerning criminal discovery.

Thus, in Nevada, there is no requirement to disclose oral inculpatory statements. See also Franklin v. District Court, 85 Nev. 401, 402, 455 P.2d 919 (1969)(district court erred in requiring disclosure of all

-7-

. 13

statements of all persons to be called by prosecution, as statute does not authorize such disclosure and defendant has no constitutional right to it); Wallace v. State, 88 Nev. 549, 550-51, 501 P.2d 1036 (1972)(it was error for prosecutor to fail to disclose exculpatory psychiatrist report indicating defendant was mentally ill); Maginnis v. State, 93 Nev. 173, 176, 561 P.2d 922 (1977)(since State's non-compliance with discovery was neither willful nor deliberate and since court took appropriate action to prevent prejudice to the defendant, there was no error); Donovan v. State, 94 Nev. 671, 673, 584 P.2d 708 (1978)(discovery provision only operates where formal request has been made); State v. Havas, 95 Nev. 706, 708, 601 P.2d 1197 (1979)(due process is violated where prosecutor withholds exculpatory evidence regardless of intent); Riddle v. State, 96 Nev. 589, 590, 613 P.2d 1031 (1980)(evidence must be material to be discoverable); Lopez v. State, 105 Nev. 68, 77-79, 769 P.2d 1276 (1989)(where information is questionably exculpatory, where there is no showing information was suppressed or intentionally withheld and where defense was aware of information through other sources, trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying motion for mistrial).

Further, the defense here has long been on notice of Mr. Sims. He was interviewed by investigators. A copy of that interview was provided to the defense. He testified before the grand jury. A transcript of that testimony was provided to the defense. His name was included on both the original long list of witnesses and the revised short list of witnesses in this case. Also, the defense has been on notice of the specific fact that Sims will testify that Defendant made admissions to him, as it was mentioned by Mr. Harmon six days ago on February 2, 1996, in his opening statement. Defendant has had ample time to do his homework.

Though obviously not a witness of the magnitude of Diana Hunt, Tom Sims certainly is important to the State's case-in-chief and is deserving of investigation by the defense. As Mr. Harmon argued and as this Court noted, the defense has access to investigators. It is a fundamental premise of our adversarial system that each party is required to do their own preparation and may not rely on the other side to their homework for them. It is up to the defense to do their own work.

Thus, Nevada law does not require the disclosure of Tom Sims' oral statements. Since there is no Constitutional or statutory requirement compelling disclosure of this evidence, there has been no discovery violation. Defendant's Motion for Mistrial must, as a result, be denied.

5

Ģ

8

10 11

13

12

14 15

16 17

18,

20

22.

23/<sub>2</sub>

25

26

27 28 THE SURPRISING REVELATION TO BOTH PARTIES THAT TOM SIMS INFORMED JOHN LUKENS IN 1993 OF DEFENDANT'S ADMISSIONS DOES NOT CHANGE THE CONCLUSION THAT ORAL INCULPATORY STATEMENTS NEED NOT BE DISCLOSED TO THE DEFENSE, REGARDLESS OF WHEN THE STATE MAY HAVE LEARNED OF THEM.

This Court was surprised, as was both the Defendant and the State, to learn that Tom Sims had informed John Lukens back in 1993 that Defendant had made admissions to him. However, regardless of how surprising, the timing of when the State learned of this fact does not control whether or not that information must be disclosed to the defense. As explained above, the defendant has no constitutional or statutory right to oral inculpatory statements. As such, there is no duty either Constitutional or statutory, to disclose it.

There is no sliding scale of disclosure obligations. Defendant did not have a right to discover these oral statements when it was understood that Mr. Harmon learned of this information late last week. Defendant does not gain the right to discovery simply because it is now understood that Mr. Lukens was orally informed of this information in 1993. As such, though this new revelation is surprising, it does not make discoverable evidence which there is otherwise no duty to disclose.

The state, however, understands this Court's concern and is itself concerned about establishing that no rights of the defendant have been violated. The Clark County District Attorney's Office is interested both in fair and just resolution of criminal matters as well as in obtaining verdicts which do not require the undue expenditure of time and resources on appeal and later on remand. Where it is possible to address potential problems in the District Court, it is wise to do so. It is not in the interest of this Court, the parties, the witnesses, the victims or the State of Nevada to retry this case. With these interests in mind, the State would not object to an evidentiary hearing held out of the presence of the jury to determine that in fact no recorded or written statements were made by John Lukens or Teresa Lowry regarding Tom Sims' testimony that the Defendant made admissions to him. Only then can all parties be satisfied that there is no material of a discoverable nature which the State is required to disclose.

If in fact it is discovered that written or recorded statements exist, then this Court may consider an appropriate remedy. However, the State is confident that an evidentiary hearing will simply reveal that

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28



no written or recorded statements were ever made and thus there is no discoverable material which the State has a duty to disclose.

m.

MISTRIAL IS NOT THE PROPER REMEDY WHERE DEFENDANT HAS SUFFERED NO PREJUDICE, AND WHERE ANY VIOLATION MAY BE CURED BY A BRIEF RECESS OR CONTINUANCE AND CROSS-EXAMINATION AND ARGUMENT.

Defendant asks for a very severe remedy: mistrial. However, mistrial is not mandated in this instance. Assuming arguendo that a violation of the discovery rules is found, NRS 174.295 provides:

If at any time during the course of the proceedings it is brought to the attention of the court that a party has failed to comply with such sections or with an order issued pursuant to such sections, the court may order such party to permit the discovery or inspection of materials not previously disclosed, grant a continuance, or prohibit the party from introducing in evidence the material not disclosed, or it may enter such other order as it deems just under the circumstances.

Thus, this Court has discretion to tailor an appropriate remedy.

As a general rule, however, the District Court should fashion the least severe sanction that will accomplish the desired result. <u>United States v. Euceda-Hernandez</u>, 768 F.2d 1307 (11th Cir. 1985)(court abused its discretion in suppressing statements as sanctions for prosecutor's violation of discovery requirement); see also People v. District Court, 793 P.2d 163 (Colo. 1990); <u>United States v. Schwartz</u>, 857 F.2d 655 (9th Cir. 1988); <u>United States v. Gee</u>, 695 F.2d 1165 (9th Cir. 1983).

In fact, the ABA Standards for Criminal Justice provide:

In selecting an appropriate sanction [for discovery violation], the trial court should assess both the nature and extent of the prejudice flowing from the nondisclosure. The court should then attempt to tailor the sanction to remedy the prejudice and to promote compliance with the discovery rules while affecting the evidence at trial and the merits of the case as little as possible.

II ABA Standards for Criminal Justice 11-4.7 commentary at 11-19S (2d ed. 1986 Supp.)

The ABA Standards strongly disfavor suppression of evidence as a sanction for a violation of discovery, let alone the granting of a mistrial:

-10-

б

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The exclusion sanction is not recommended because its results are capricious. Thus, exclusion of prosecution evidence may produce a disproportionate windfall for the defendant, while exclusion of defense evidence may lead to an unfair conviction. Either result would defeat the objectives of discovery.

II ABA Standards for Criminal Justice 11-4.7 commentary at 11-67 to 11-68 (2d ed. 1980).

Instead, the proper remedy here is a brief recess or continuance. In <u>United States v. Euceda-Hernandez</u>, 768 F.2d 1307 (11th Cir. 1985), the Federal District Court considered a situation where a United States Attorney unknowingly violated a standing discovery order to turn over oral statements. The court there found a violation, but held that the sanction of exclusion was too extreme, noting:

Among the factors the court must weigh [in granting a sanction for a discovery violation] are the reasons for the Government's delay in affording the required discovery, the extent of prejudice, if any, the defendant has suffered because of the delay, and the feasibility of curing such prejudice by granting a continuance or, if the jury has been sworn and the trial has begun; a recess.

# Id at 1312. The court further held that:

By suppressing the Government's evidence rather than granting a continuance or recess, a trial judge may achieve a specdier resolution to a criminal case and reduce his docket, but he does so at the expense of sacrificing the fair administration of justice and the accurate determination of guilt and innocence.

Id. Several factors here mitigate against the granting of a mistrial and in favor of a brief recess of continuance.

#### Α.

# The Prosecutor In This Case Was In Fact Unaware Of Tom Sims' Prior Oral Statement That Defendant Made Admissions To Him.

In <u>People v. District Court</u>, 793 P.2d 163 (Colo. 1990), the Colorado Supreme Court held that though lack of actual knowledge is not a defense to a failure to disclose discoverable information, it is a consideration in fashioning a remedy.

Here, Mr. Harmon truthfully related that he did not know until last week that Defendant had made

-11-

15,

admissions to Sims. Upon learning of this information he in good faith believed it to be not discoverable.

While this is not a defense to failure to disclose the evidence, his good faith does mitigate against granting a severe remedy in this case.

B.

Defendant Suffered No Prejudice Here Because The Substance Of The Admissions Is Nothing New To The Defense. The Only New Aspect Of This Testimony Is That Now Tom Sims Will Be Joining Several Other Witnesses In Testifying That Defendant Made Admissions To Him.

Though Defendant may be surprised that Sims is now saying that Defendant made admissions to him, Defendant is in truth only surprised by the messenger not the message. Defendant is already well advised that other individuals will be testifying that he made admissions to the crime. The content of the admissions Sims has related to the jury is no different in substance from the other admissionss to which Defendant is already on notice. There are no new angles or facts told by Sims which require substantially more investigation than was already required by the defense to prepare a rebuttal to the other admissions. The only truly new fact is that Tom Sims is joining the list of other individuals who are going to testify that Defendant bragged about killing Lauri Jacobsen and Denise Lizzi.

<u>C.</u>

Defendant's Ability To Cross Examine On The Subject And To Argue That This Is The First Time This Witness Is Telling This Story Adequately Protects Defendant's Rights.

Here, regardless of what Sims says, or when the defense learns of it, their response is the same: cross-examine Sims on the fact that he never mentioned these admissions either in his interview or in his grand jury testimony. That cross-examination strategy exists whether the defense learned of the admissions the instant the State did, a year ago, a month ago, or yesterday. The timing of its disclosure has not in any way changed the only logical approach to the situation.

In fact, the State by not disclosing this evidence has in some ways made the defense case better than it would have been had the State disclosed the evidence. Until Sims stated that Defendant had made admissions to him, the defense did not have this rather effective line of cross-examination implying that Sims is making the admissions up. The defense can now include this item in its list of alleged mistakes and argue that the State is out to get the defendant and is willing to overlook the "truth." Certainly, this

-12-

5 б

3

4

7

8

9 10

11 12

, 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24 III

25

26

28

pause in the trial, even if these proceedings have been out of the presence of the jury, have not been to the State's advantage. The defense has not been substantially prejudiced by this testimony, and any prejudice is offset by the ability to cross-examine on the subject and the ability to argue to the jury in closing that Sims is lying on the stand. The traditional adversarial tools more than remedy any nondisclosure.

#### D.

### Conclusion

These factors all indicate that a mistrial is not the appropriate remedy in the event this Court finds a violation of the discovery rules. It is within this Court's discretion to order any remedy it feels is just under the circumstances. Here, the adversarial process of cross-examination and argument coupled with a reasonable continuance or recess to which the State would not object in order for the defense to properly prepare to deal with this new information more than cures any prejudice suffered by Tom Sims' undisclosed testimony.

#### IV.

#### CONCLUSION

Though possibly surprising, because Defendant has neither a Constitutional nor statutory right to discovery of Tom Sims' oral inculpatory statements, Defendant's Motion for Mistrial must be denied. In order to guarantee that Defendant's rights have not been violated, the State would not object to a brief evidentiary hearing so that this Court and the defense can satisfy itself that in fact no written statements of Tom Sims exist which indicate Defendant made admissions to him.

III

III

23

III

111

111

909

1	In the event this Court finds a violation of discovery obligations, the State respectfully requests
2	that this Court grant a reasonable recess or continuance to allow the defense to make the necessary
3	preparations to proceed in light of Tom Sims' testimony rather than grant the severe remedy of mistrial.
4	DATED this day of February, 1996.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6 1	STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #000477
8 9 10	BY MELVYN T. HARMON Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #000862
11	TACARDE NOTES
12	
13	
14	
15,	
16	
17	RECEIPT OF COPY
18.	RECEIPT OF A COPY of the above and foregoing ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO
19,	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR MISTRIAL BASED ON AN ALLEGED DISCOVERY VIOLATION
20	is hereby acknowledged this day of February, 1996.
21	STEVEN WOLFSON, ESQ.
22	
23/	Ву
24	302 E. Carson #400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-14-

# ORIGINAL

FILED IN OPEN COURT—

LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. C106784 Dept. No. IV Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo, #0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bongiovanni
Thursday, February 8, 1996, 10:15 a'clock a.m.
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

VOLUME I

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

CE

1 INDEX 2 PAGE WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT: 3 SIMS, Thomas 17 4 Direct Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 5 LUKENS, John 29 Direct Examination by Mr. Wolfson Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton 46 6 Redirect: Examination by Mr. Wolfson 51 72 Redirect: Examination (Resumed) 8 ARCHIE, Robert 53 Direct Examination by Mr. Walfson 9 Cross-Examination by Mr. Harmon 68 Redirect Examination by Mr. Wolfson 70 10 LOWRY, Teresa 95 11 Direct Examination by Mr. Wolfson 105 Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton 12 HARMON, Melvyn 112 13 Direct Examination by Mr. Dunleavy Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton 124 128 14 Redirect Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 15 EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT: Marked Admitted 16 A DA File History Notes 73 75 1.7 133 В Police Department Memorandum 18 -000-19 20 -21 22 23 24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

391-0379

:3

Las Vegas, Nevada, February 8, 1996, 10:15 o'clock a.m. · 1 2 3 5 (The following proceedings were had in open court outside the presence of the jury:) THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Michael 7 8 Damon Rippo Rippo. Let the record reflect the 9 presence of the defendant, with his attorneys Steve Wolfson 10 and Philip Dunleavy; Dan Sector and Mel Harmon for the 11 State. 12 13 The record will also reflect we're outside the presence of the jury. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson. 15 MR. WOLFSON: Good morning, Judge. 16 Judge, I believe that this is a 17 hearing, if you will, on our motion for a mistrial. We are 18 19 going to be asking that the Court conduct an evidentiary 20 hearing pursuant to that motion. THE COURT: I've asked for points and 21 22 authorities. You didn't supply any. 23 MR. WOLFSON: That's true, Judge. . 24 THE COURT: Okay.

1	MR. WOLFSON: I don't have a staff person
2	who can draft written paints and authorities between when we
3	broke yesterday and when we came back to court today;
4	neither does Mr. Dunleavy, However, we are prepared to
5	present Your Honor with written authorities.
6	THE COURT: Oral authorities?
7	MR, WOLFSON: Well, oral authorities by
8	citing cases and presenting the Court with cases.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the prosecution
11	does have written points and authorities.
12	THE COURT: I know. I've had an apportunity
13	to review yours.
14	MR, HARMON: I furnished a copy to the
15	Court, also copies to defense counsel; at about ten o'clock
16	this morning is when they were completed.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I think it's necessary to
18	have a hearing on this.
19	MR. WOLFSON: You do or do net?
20	THE COURT: I do.
21	MR. HARMON: We agree, Your Honor.
22	And Mr. Lukens and Miss Lawry
23	are present.
24	May we file, in open court, our

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 points and authorities?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, while Mr. Harmon 1s doing that, if I may, we are also going to be asking for the disqualification of the District Attorney's Office, and we respectfully will be asking for recusal of this Court.

Judge, we think that all of the potential witnesses at this evidentiary hearing should be ordered to remain outside the courtroom while others are testifying. So we ask Your Honor to order certain persons outside of the courtroom.

THE COURT: So you are moving for exclusion of witnesses?

MR. WOLFSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOLFSON: Because this is our motion, I

believe we will call -- excuse me.

(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, do you want to take testimony now or may we present our proffer, if you will, in

the form of oral representations as to what we expect -- and

this would be brief --

24

1	here. During a certain interval, he was involved in the
2	case.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Lukens in here?
4	I don't see him.
5:	MR. WOLFSON: He was earlier.
` <b>6</b>	MR. DUNLEAVY: I guess he left.
7	THE COURT: Miss Lowry?
8	MR. HARMON: They are outside the courtroom.
9	THE COURT: All right. They are outside.
10	THE BAILIFF: Let me check, sir.
11	THE COURT: Who else do you want outside?
12	MR. WOLFSON: Well, for purposes of this
13	proffer, if you will, Mr. Sims, if he's in the courtroom.
14	If you will
15	MR. HARMON: He's outside with Mr. Archie.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, briefly, our
18	points and authorities I didn't have a chance to get them
19	typed lost night. I didn't finish them until the wee hours.
20	But I would point out we argued
21	some issues in chambers yesterday, before we had a chance to
22	really sit down and go over what happened yesterday, and a
23	lot happened yesterday,
24	I would paint out that the key

. 6

1.0

· 15

to our argument at this time is that evidence relevant to either the guilt or punishment of samebody, especially if it's potentially exculpatory, is absolutely required under Brady versus Maryland. The Court is aware of that.

saying they must comply with that Brady term. What we have is, first, Mr. Sims' statement on 3/2/92 to the police, when there was no mention of cassettes, there was no mention of a suitcase, there was no mention of this alleged confession.

Then he testified before the Grand Jury on 6/92, June of '92, same thing: No mention of the cassettes, no mention of the suitcase, no mention of this alleged confession.

In Mel's opening statement was the first time the defense became aware that this statement existed, and we had no prior knowledge to it whatsoever.

assured us that they learned of it in January of '96 in a pretrial meeting; perhaps early February, '96. I don't know the exact date, but it was within the last week or so.

And their assertion was that it was privileged, that there was no need to turn it over because it was only inculpatory.

However, I fortunately got the

. 9

. 14

transcript this marning. One of things that was very clearly said by Mr. Sims was that he had accidentally killed one of the girls, so he had to kill the other one.

Now, if that's not potentially exculpatory as to one count and relevant to punishment, I don't know what is. That's as clear a Brady as I can think of.

Our client made a statement that he had accidentally killed and that -- that goes to both exculpatory and punishment. And Brady is not vague on that issue. That's samething the Court must take action on.

It also -- we asked the question, when did you first tell the District Attorney, based on Mr. Harmon's assurances that it was January, 1996.

Now, Mr. Harmon talks about, gosh, how am I supposed to know what was said to other deputies and so forth. Only there is a case -- and I know Mr. Harmon is aware of it; they cite it in their brief.

Mr. Harmon tried the D'Augustino (ph) case, which is on appeal to the Supreme Court right now, which deals with the Kyles issue; and the Kyles issue makes it quite clear that the prosecutor has an obligation, quoting:

"Held to a disclosure standard

1.5

.24

based on what all State officers at the time knew."

It goes on; it talks about

other prosecutors.

Further language is that a prudent prosecutor would resolve doubtful questions in favor of the disclosure. This is as it should be.

"Such disclosure will serve to Justify trust in the prosecutor as the representative of a sovereignty whose interest in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done."

States Supreme Court. It puts the burden on the State to find out if there is these ticking bombs out there, and when they know there is potential exculpatory — that is not even a close issue — but even if that exculpatory wasn't there, they had provided us with a police report that said there was no damaging evidence; they provided us with Grand Jury testimony that said there was no damaging evidence; and then in opening statements, they tell us of a confession of our client.

If you look at the spirit of seeking justice, that is sandbagging, not seeking justice; and we submit that it's clearly a Brady violation. We have

1 copies of that.

2

3

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

.24

There is also the case of

B-r-e-c-h-t versus Abrahamson, which puts the pottern --- if there is a pattern of any kind of prosecutorial misconduct and so forth, then there is a real problem, that that's an automatic grounds for a federal writ of habeas corpus.

In this case, two District
Attorneys have been taken off the case. There has been disclosure of the forensic statement that basically said there was no valuable evidence found at the scene because of a contamination that was offered —

THE COURT: Excuse me. You wanted Mr.

Archie excused?

MR. ARCHIE: May I come in?

MR. WOLFSON: You are supposed to be

outside, Bob.

MR. DUNLEAVY: But -- it was offered february 24th, 1992, but it was given to us one week before trial, and given to us basically three days before trial in this case.

It's indicative of a pattern of abuse in this case. It's indicative of the reason that the District Attorney's Office in this case should be recused.

It's time to bring in a

1.7

prosecutor that doesn't have an agenda in this case. It's time to bring in a prosecutor who is going to talk to all the people involved, find out what's out there that hasn't been given to the defense, and the obligation under Kyles and under Giglio.

We don't even have to ask about it. When the State finds out about potentially exculpatory evidence or evidence relative to the punch book, they are obligated to turn it over. We don't even have to request it. But we're not setting it.

We're getting it when we've asked a question in front of the Judge, after they've heard it all in direct examination. You can't un-ring that bell. That Jury has heard all this stuff without allowing us an apportunity to have done a background on Mr. Sims to find out what might have motivated this statement. We don't have any of that.

As to the issue on the recusal of the Court, we respectfully submit that this Court is under a lot of unusual pressure right now. The newspapers have just —— I believe it was yesterday or the day before, were blasting the Court for what they, quoted as mishandling of a D.U.II. case.

Well, this is a double capital

4.

21 · 

murder case, a high profile case, and we're asking you now to rule against the District Attorney's Office and grant a mistrial. That puts you in an absolutely intenable position. You are stuck.

They are grilling you in the newspapers; you are under investigation; the federal Grand Jury is lacking at you. And you are supposed to sit here and say, I don't -- I'm not worried about what the State is going to do to me?

It's putting you in a position that -- I think it makes it almost impossible for you to make decisions fair to my client without having to weigh issues outside this trial. And it's not done with disrespect to this Court, but it's an unfortunate fact that's going on.

There has to be a burden to make rulings on cases such as this.

THE COURT: I don't know what the relation of the federal probe has to do with this case.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Only that, according to all the newspaper articles and so forth, a federal probe is saying that you have been unduly favorable towards the defense, and now we're the defense in a high profile double murder case saying throw this case out, get in somebody

.

other than the District Attorney's Office and let's do it properly.

And if they are sitting there saying Bongiovanni is averly favorable to the defense, it's very hard for you to do something in a double capital murder case that's getting a lot of media attention.

THE COURT: No. 1t isn't.

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, the article that Mr. Dunleavy is referring to was the article, I believe, by reporter John Smith Just the other day, wherein this D.U.I. matter was discussed, wherein a deputy District Attorney was quoted in the article.

And our position is that now you are being put in a position where the District Attorney's Office is criticizing your handling of a matter. There is scrutiny of whether Judge Bongiovanni acted inappropriately and unfairly to the State of Nevada, the D.A.'s Office in that case.

regretfully, you are in an awkward position because of the attention that you've been getting in these other matters.

Our position is that,

MR. DUNLEAVY: And one thing we would request permission to do is supplement the record with copies of the recent newspaper articles relating to this

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

19

20

21

22

case while this case -- or relating to Your Honor while this case has been going on.

THE COURT: I can only state for the record that I feel no pressure whatever from the State of Nevada to -- and it has no effect on me whatsoever.

I'm here to do my job and I feel no pressures of any kind, that would affect this case, from the State.

Is that your full offer?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Bosicolly, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now you wish to call a witness?

MR. WOLFSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOLFSON: Sims.

THE BAILIFF: Who?

MR. WOLFSON: Sims.

THE BAILIFF: Mr. Archie has asked if he

18 | would be allowed in or not.

MR. WOLFSON: Our position would be no,
Judge, because -- well, in fact, to bring the Court totally
up to date, Mr. Seaton informed Mr. Dunleavy and I of an

additional factor, which brings Mr. Archie potentially into

23 the scenario.

And I think Mr. Secton would

24

agree that Mr. Archie may be called upon as a witness and, therefore, he should be outside.

MR. HARMON: We do agree, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, He will remain

outside.

THE BAILIFF: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Stand up; raise your right hand.

Whereupon,

#### THOMAS SIMS

having been called as a witness by the Defendant and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Thank you.

Please be seated.

18

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Mr. Sims, the reason you are here today is that yesterday you had made a statement that you had talked to Mr. Lukens and Mrs. Lowry in October, 1993.

DIRECT FXANINATION

;	
1	Do you remember that
2	conversation?
3	A The conversation yesterday when I
4	. Q Yesterday to begin with, do you remember
5	stating that yesterday?
6	A Yeah, I'm not sure it was October. I think
7	I said I believe October.
8	Q In well, in 1993
9	A Correct.
10	Q when they were working on the case, did
11	they bring you into the D.A.'s Office or did they come out
12	to your business or how did that come about?
13	A I believe Teresa Low I came I came to
14.	the D.A.'s Office. How I believe Teresa Lawry called me
15	and set up an appointment with me.
16	Q And it was the purpose for preparing for
17	this tricl
18	A I believe
19	Q the Rippo trial?
20	A I believe so, yes.
21	Q Is that what they talked to you about?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you gave them a statement at that time,
24	talked to them about the case?

Well, see, it's getting -- there were --1 2 there was more than one occasion. Well, when was the first time? 3 It was sometime in 1993, but I went in --4. when I went in to meet with John Lukens and Teresa Lawry, my 5 attorney was not present. So they called my attorney and 7 then they let me go; and then later on, they set up the 8 appointment that I spoke of yesterday. 9 So you felt you had to have your attorney present before you could talk to them? 10 No. I went down there to talk to them. Hr. 11 Α 12 Lukens seemed to think that it was necessary to contact my 13 attorney since I had one. Were they threatening you with any kind of 14 prosecution or were you facing charges at that time? 15 16 I'm not sure, I don't believe so, no. You have some charges pending at this time, 17 18 do you not? 19 Yes, I do. Ą Drug case? Q 20 21 That's correct. 22 Q An ex-felon in possession of a firearm? It's all the same case. 23 All the same case, but two counts? 24 Q

i		
1	A	Yes.
2	e.	Or is there more than two counts?
. 3	А	Two counts.
4	a	It's a sales or manufacturing or trafficking
5	or Just a pos	session?
6	· A	Possession with intent.
7	Q	Now but that was after you went for this
8	meeting with	Lukens and Lowry that you were arrested on
<b>9</b> .	this?	<b>\$</b>
10	А	I believe so.
11	q	Do you remember when this case arose?
1.2	A	My case?
13	ą	Yes.
14	Ą	May of '93, I think.
15	a	Roughly May of '937
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Has that case been resolved yet?
18	A	No. it's still pending.
19	Q	Now, you want in with your attorney to talk
20	to Lukens and	Lowry about this case, right?
21	Ą	That's correct.
22	Q.	And did you tell them the same story you
23	told the Gran	d Jury?
24	<b>1</b>	Well, I don't think it was a story. I

enswered the questions the way they were asked of me. 1 Q 2 Did they --THE COURT: Who was your attorney at that 3 : 4. time? THE WITNESS: Robert Archie. 5. THE COURT: Okay. 7 BY MR. DUNLEAVY: Q Did they go through the same material that 8 9 was covered in the Grand Jury testimony? I would say yes. 10 Then did they specifically ask you questions 11 about other information? 12. 13 Yes. They --Such as?. . 14 Oh, they -- we went through all kinds of 15 16 stuff. When Rippo and I were in -- I can talk out of the 17 presence of the Jury now, right? 18 Yes. 19 -- about me and Rippo, when we were in the 20. penitentiary together. I was in there for four hours. I 21 mean, he -- he went through phone numbers with me for probably 30 minutes, whose number is this, whose number is 22 that? I mean, he covered quite a bit of information in that 23 time, a lot of stuff that didn't really have anything to do 24

1	with this case, but a lot of things.				
2	q	Now, was there on investigator from the			
3	D.A.'s Office t	there as Hell?			
Ŋ.	A	I don't no.			
5	a	Just Mr. Lukens, Mrs. Lowry and Mr.			
<b>6</b> ;	Archie				
7	A	That's correct.			
8	Q	and yourself?			
9	:	Do you know if they were tope			
10	recording this	or			
11	A	I don't I don't think so.			
12	. Q	Did you see anyone making notes while you			
13	were talking?				
14	A	Not to - no, not to my recollection.			
15	Q	Did you bring up the subject of Mr. Rippo's			
16.	alleged confess	ion?			
17	: <b>A</b>	Yes, I did.			
18	i Q	What did you tell them at that time?			
19	, А	Basically exactly what I said yesterday.			
20	Q.	Do you remember telling them that Mr. Rippo			
21	said I strangle	d the bitches			
22:	· A	Yes.			
23	. 0	or samething to that effect?			
.24	,	Did you also tell them that Mr.			

	;	
	Rippo said the	first one died accidentally or something to
	that effect, s	o I had to kill the second one?
	<b>A</b>	I believe so, yes.
	·	That's information that you had at that time
	that you would	have disclosed to them?
	Ä	Yes.
	Q	You weren't trying to hold anything back
	from them at t	hat time?
	A	No, not at all.
	Q	Then did you have another meeting with them
	on another dat	e?
	A	No, not that was the last time.
	Q	Until you met with Mr. Harmon
	A	That's —
	Q	a week or so ago?
	A	That's correct,
	. 0	And you told Mr. Harmon the same story you
	told Teresa Lo	wry and John Lukens?
	, <b>A</b>	That's correct.
	;	MR. DUNLEAVY: Court's indulgence for a
	moment.	
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	:	(Whereupon, a sotta voce at
ì	•	this time.)

2

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

## BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

Now, do you remember yesterday you were talking about you were in the parking lot of K-Mart?

A Yies.

Q And you were asked the question: Did he ever in the conversation you had, as you proceeded from the K-Mart area to the Stardust, mention anything about an accident?

And your answer was: Yeah, he told me this was when he first — this was still in the parking lot at K-Mart, that when it first came up, he told me that he had accidentally killed the one girl so he had to kill the other.

## Is that accurate?

A Wow, I pot lost there for a minute.

#### What did I --

Q Would you like to take a look at it for a

## moment ---

A Sure.

Q -- and see if this refreshes your

### 21 | recollection?

A Sure,

Q It's page 62 of the transcript of

yesterday's hearing.

1		
World; and Henry		
8	. <b>1</b>	A Start right here?
	. 2	Q At the top where it says answer there or
	3	an A and then your reply.
# ************************************	4	A Okay,
	, <b>5</b> .	Q Mould that be the same information you gave
The state of the s	. 6	to Mr. Lukens and Mrs. Lowry back in 1993?
**************************************	7	A Yes.
T Tay Miles	8	Q And the same information that you gave to
	9	Mr. Harmon a couple weeks ago?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Did you also tell them something to the
a de la companya de l	12	effect of I strangled the bitches have you used the same
	13	language each time pretty much?
	14	A Yeah; yes.
1	15	Q Do you know if Mr. Harmon tape recorded the
	16	conversation?
	17	A I don't know if he did. I don't think so.
	18	Q You didn't see one; he didn't tell you he
	19	was going to tape it?
A CONTRACTOR AND A CONT	20	A No.
	<b>21</b> :	Q Were there any investigators from the D.A.'s
Miller Hard Street	22	Office there when you gave your statement to Mr. Harmon?
of mile and	23	A No.
## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ##	24	Q Who else was there, anyone?
Name of the state		† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †
Transmission of the second		

.23

24

(Nhereupon, a sotto vace at

				this time.)
	BY MR. D	UNLEAYY	<b>:</b>	
;		Q	Did you	and your attorney discuss whether or
	not your	testim	ony here	Mould have an impact on your pending
•	case?			· ·
i.		A	No ,	
•		Q	It was r	never mentioned to you?
}		A	No.	
) .		Q	This cas	se has been pending since May of
)	1993?			•
L		A	That's	brrect.
<b>2</b> ·		Q	Do you	know who's prosecuting it?
5		A	No, I'm	not sure.
4		Q	Is it t	ne Clark County District Attorney's
5	Office?			
5		A	Yes.	
<b>†</b>		Ø.	It's her	e in Las Vegas?
3		A	Yes.	\$ 
)		<b>Q</b> :	But you	don't recall talking to your
)	attorney	about	your test	timony in relation to that case?
1;		A	It has r	othing to do with this case.
2	1	Q	And you	weren't looking for any favors or
<b>5</b> ·	anything	from y	our testi	mony here; you just came forward?
<b>.</b>		A	No. I -	to be honest with you, I'd rather

	· ·
1.	not be here at all. This has nothing to do with that.
2	Q Well, yesterday, you indicated that you
- 3	didn't put it in your original police statement because they
4	didn't ask the specific question.
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And then you said the same thing at the
7	Grand Jury.
8	A That's correct.
g	Q And the only reason you brought it up was
10	because they asked a specific question about a confession?
11	A That and the fact that my attorney told me
12	to tell the truth.
13	MR. DUNLEAYY: Your attorney. Okay.
14	. Thank you.
15	Nothing further, Your Honor.
16	MR. HARMON: No questions.
17	THE COURT: All right. You may step down.
18	:
19	(Whereupon, the Witness was excused.)
20	MUS GXCUSGU, /
21	
22	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
23	MR. WOLFSON: John Lukens.
24	·
<b></b>	

1 Whereupon, 2 JOHN LUKENS 3 having been called as a witness by the Defendant and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 5 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 7 THE CLERK: Thank you. 8 Please be seated. 9 1.0 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. WOLFSON: 13 Good morning, Mr. Lukens. 14 I'm going to be asking you a 15 few questions. 16 How are you employed, sir? 17 I am employed by the Clark County District Attorney's Office. 18 19 How long have you been employed in that 20 capacity? 21 Since July of 1987. 22 You are a chief deputy District Attorney; is 23 that right? 24 Yes.

1	Q Are you familiar with the case styled State
. 2	of Nevada versus Michael Rippo?
3	A Iom.
4	Q Did you, at some point in time, become the
5	prosecutor on that case?
6	A I did.
7	Q. Do you remember approximately when you
8	became the assigned prosecutor on that case?
9	A I do not.
10	Q You recognize that, previous to your
11	assignment, District Attorneys William Hehn, H-e-h-n, and
12	Teresa Lowry were the assigned prosecutors; isn't that
13	right?
14	A Correct:
15	Q At some point in time thereafter you joined
16	Teresa Lawry in the prosecution of this case, did you not?
17	A I did.
18	Q We've received certain information that you
19	conducted pretrial interviews with at least one witness
20	during 1993.
21	. Does that sound to be a fair
22	approximate time period of when you were assigned this case?
23	A Yes. It would have been the fall of '93,
24	sometime in there, in the fall or winter, in that

24

1	Q Did you have occasion to conduct a pretrial
2	interview with a witness by the name of Tom Sims?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What is a pretrial interview?
5	A I'm going to be it was not, in my mind, a
6	pretrial interview. It was an interview with Tom Sims that
7	Has prior to the trial. It was not what I would have
8	characterized as my formal pretrial interview.
9	THE COURT: What trial date do you recall we
.0	may have had in the fall or winter, or perhaps winter of
.1	'94 that you would be preparing for, do you recall?
.2	THE WITNESS: I do not.
.3	My recollection, as I sit here
4	today, was that there was a trial date in early '94. There
.5	was also there had been a trial date, like, in September
.6	of '93, sometime there, that was that I think was that
.7	I remember as fairly short notice, and then maybe a trial
8	date in spring of '94, spmewhere in there.
9	BY MR. WOLFSON:
0.	Q What was your purpose for interviewing
1	witness Tom Sims?
22	A My purpose for interviewing Tom Sims as

Just to meet Mr. Sims.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

it was a number of other witnesses -- was, first of all,

1	I had not since I got into		
2	the case late, and after it had been presented to the Grand		
3	Jury, I did not know any of the witnesses by sight or		
4	anything about them. So the first round of interviews that		
5	I did was to simply become acquainted with them.		
6	Q Did you interview other witnesses as well		
7	during this time period?		
8	A Yes, I did.		
9	Q How many times did you sit down with witness		
10	Thomas Sims?		
11.	A At least once, not more than twice, but		
12	probably twice.		
13	Q Mr. Sims just testified that perhaps he sat		
14	down with you on a first occasion and the subject of him		
15	having an attorney present was raised; and he, therefore,		
16	followed that suggestion and came back another time after		
17	the advice of counsel.		
18	Does that sound accurate?		
19	A Yes. That sounds like it would be probably		
20	correct.		
21	Q In any event you eventually sat down with		
22	him and conducted your interview; is that right?		
23	A I spoke with him, yes.		
24	Q Why are you quibbling with my word		

1	interview? Why are you not saying yes, that you interviewed
2	him?
3	A Because it was I never interviewed him
4	from the standpoint of this is a pretrial interview now and
5	I need to establish these things, this is what I am going to
6	ask you, and what is your answer going to be with that?
7	It was a hi, I'm John Lukens.
8	I'm going to be prosecuting this case. I understand you
9	know something about this case in general. And it was more
10	of a general type of discussion rather than a specific
11	pretrial interview.
12	Q How long did this discussion take?
13	A My recollection would have been it's not
14	extensive or in depth and probably 15 minutes.
15	Q Who was present during this 15 minute
16	approximate discussion?
17	A My recollection is that there would have
18	been four people present: Mr. Sims, myself, Bob Archie and
19	Teresa Lowry.
20	Q Was Bob Archie present during the entire
21	discussion?
22	A I believe that he was.
23	Q Were you recording this discussion?
24	- A No.
-	
,	

q	Was anybody there with a recording device,	
to your knowledge?		
A	No. It was not it was not that type of	
interview.		
Q	Where did this take place?	
A	It would have taken place in my affice.	
Q	Had you previously read Mr. Sims' valuntary	
statement and/or Grand Jury testimony?		
A	I would have, yes,	
Q.	You were generally familiar, were you not,	
with what he had previously told both the police and the		
Grand Jury?	;	
Ą	Yes	
Q	Do you recall with any specificity what Mr.	
Sims told you about what he knew about this case?		
A	There are some things that I do, yes.	
q	When did you learn that you may be asked to	
give testimony at this hearing?		
. А	Sometime yesterday afternoon.	
Q	All right. Have you had any discussions	
with the prose	cutors regarding the subject of your	
testimony?		
A .	Yes.	
Q	Did that aid you in trying to recall what	
,		
	to your knowle  A interview.  Q A Q statement and/ A Q with what he h Grand Jury? A Q Sims told you A Q with the prose testimony? A	

	·		
1.	Mr. Sims may 1	have told you?	
2.	A	No.	
3.	q	Did you make any notes of your discussions	
4.	with Mr. Sims	<b>?</b> :	
5	A	I did not.	
6.	Q Q	Did you record, in any way, statements made	
7	by Mr. Sims?	,	
8	A	No.	
9	Q	Do you know if District Attorney Teresa	
10	Lowry recorded or took notes of any of the discussions with		
11	Mr. Sims?	•	
12	A	I don't believe she did so contemporaneously	
13	with those discussions.		
14	Q	Do you know whether she made notes	
15	thereafter concerning the discussions with Mr. Sims?		
16	A	I think she might have, yes.	
17	q	Have you ever read them?	
18	A	I have not.	
19	Q	You went out on the search of a Mitness'	
20.	residence that had pertinent information on this case, did		
21	you not?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
22	A	I participated and was present well. I	
23	didn't participate, but I was present during the execution		
24	of a search w	grrant, yes.	
	;	· :	
	•		

1	So you went out on it, didn't you?
2	A I did.
3	Q Do you recall when that action would have
4	taken place, relative to your interview or discussion with
5	Tom Sims, before or after?
6	A I was under the impression I had a vague,
7	general impression that it took place prior to my discussion
8	with Tom Sims,
9	I have since confirmed that it
1.0	did, in fact, take place prior to my discussion with Tom
l1	Sims.
12	Q The search that you went out on of Alice
L3	Starr's residence accurred before your discussions with
<b>L</b> 4	witness Tam Sims; is that what you said?
15	And I don't know, John, I'll
16	have to look it up, but do you remember?
L7	A Well, I don't have a recollection of my
1.8	first discussion with Tom Sims.
L <b>9</b>	I have a recollection of my
20	second discussion with Tom Sims, and my recollection is that
21	the search occurred prior in time to my discussion with Tom
22	Sims, the second one.
23	Q Was it in between the first and the second?
24	A I don't know, because I I don't have a

recollection of when my first talk with Tom Sims took place, 1 2 when it was just he and I, and I said, wait a minute, you 3 may want to have your attorney present. 4 And during that first discussion, was it 5. dust that brief, to suggest that no substance was discussed . 6 at that first face-to-face meeting? Yes. As a matter of fact, I didn't even --7 I didn't even remember that first meeting until you . 8 mentioned it, that I had said you may want to have your 9 attorney. So certainly nothing of substance was said during 10 11 that time. I have the advantage of having a copy of the 12 13 return to the search warrant, which says that the warrant 14 was executed on September 30th of 1993. 15 Does that sound accurate? 16 . Yes. That was the warrant of Alice Starr's 17 residence that we're talking about? 18 19 Yes. . 20 So your discussion with witness Sims occurred -- the 15 minute or so discussion occurred at this 21 second meeting: is that right? ; 22 The -- the in depth discussion in 15 23. 24 minutes -- it may have been a little longer than that 'cause

I know that more substantial information was garnered, but it occurred after the search warrant.

Q Would it be fair to say that you were still investigating this case when you interviewed or discussed the case with Tom Sims?

A Yes.

Q Would it be fair to say that you participated in whatever role when you went out on this search warrant of Alice Starr's residence?

A No.

Q Would it be fair to say that an investigation was still being conducted by perhaps the police department in this search warrant of Alice Starr's residence?

A If I remember, a portion of the search warrant was to obtain handwriting exemplars or samples of Mr. Rippa because he had failed to — he refused to give examples or samples; and so, in the sense that that was, in fact, connected with this case, I think the answer would be yes.

What do you recall Tom Sims saying as to what Michael Rippo allegedly said to him concerning this case?

A I'm sorry. Would you repeat that question

ı		
ļ	۹.	d
ì	ľ	l
ļ	7	
Į	٠:	
i		

1 again --

2

0 Certainly.

3

-- because it limits some of the things that Tom Sims said, and --

5

What do you recall Tom Sims telling you that Mike Rippo said to him concerning this case?

8

· 9 ·

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17: 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

I have a specific recollection of two specific things that Mr. Rippo said to him. One was with reference to the car, that someone died for this car. And the next was an ambiguous statement, something in the nature of I'm cured. I didn't rape and -- and I don't remember whether it was I didn't rape them or I didn't rape the bitches, but I didn't rape someone.

Q Are those the only statements you recall Tom Sims making to you during this discussion?

No.

What else did Tom say concerning what Mike Rippo told him?

> A There --

Did Tom Sims tell you anything else that Mike Rippo sold to him?

Concerning this case after the murders, the answer to that question would be no.

Would be no, you don't recall anything else?

391-0379 RENEE SILYAGGIO, CCR 122

11	į
	ź
	٠

2

3

4

5.

6

7.

. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

21

22

23

24

	A	I do n	ot recall	Tom Sims	telling me	anything
elae t	that Mike	Rippo w	ould have	said to h	im. After	that, I
spent	a fáir a	mount of	țime expl	oring the	relations	nip
betwee	n Tom Si	ns and M	ichael Rip	po prior	to the murc	ters.

We are concerned with -- we are focusing on what Tom Sims did or did not tell you about what Mike Rippo tald him in the form of an admission or confession to the murders.

Do you recall Tom Sims saying anything else to you, with that focus in mind?

Á No.

Q Did Tom Sims tell you that Mike Rippo admitted to him that, quote, he had accidentally killed the one girl, so he had to kill the other?

A I have no recollection of Tom Sims ever telling me that.

Did Tom Sims say that Mike Rippo said words to the effect: Both of them were fine, meaning the girls, both of them were fine; he could have fucked both of them, but I didn't, and that Michael Rippo them said that means I'm cured?

A I don't remember nearly that detail or that extent of that statement -- that statement obviously related to the one I'm cured, I didn't rape them and -- but there

1	was nowhere near that detail.
2	Q Did there come a time when you and Mr. Sims'
3	lawyer, Bob Archie, had discussions about settlement of Mr.
4.	Sims' pending criminal case?
5	A In a very broad sense, the answer to the
6.	question is yes,
7	Q Could you relate to us when those
8	conversations would have taken place and what those
9	conversations were, please?
10	A They would have taken place after my
11	first the first time that I saw Mr. Sims.
12	Q And before the second, more in depth
13	discussion; in between the two meetings, in other words?
14	A I don't have a distinct recollection, but
15	certainly either before or contemporaneously around that
16	Q Within that general time period?
17	A Yes.
18	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
19	
20	BY MR. WOLFSON:
21	Q Why did you feel that Mr. Sims should have
22	his lowyer present during your discussions with him?
23	A Because Mr. Sims was also I learned and
24	discovered Mr. Sims was also being prosecuted by the office,

6.

and simply to avoid that appearance of impropriety, I suggested it probably wouldn't be a bad idea if he had his lawyer present.

Q You became aware of that fact by running Mr.

You became aware of that fact by running Mr.

Sims on your computer and you learned that he had a pending case?

A Either that or it was in the file. I mean, there was something in the file -- there were -- there are some witnesses in this case that have interesting pasts.

Q What discussions did you have with Mr.

Archie concerning settlements, deals, et cetera, concerning
Mr. Sims?

A Specifically that I could not offer Mr. Sims ony type of deal with reference to -- for his testimony in this case.

I was aware that a plea bargain had been made with Diana Hunt, and the testimony had been required and turned for the plea bargain there, that that was a point that the defense would obviously try to bring out, and I acknowledge, and for that very reason, we couldn't be perceived as affering another deal to obtain additional testimony, and so we simply could not offer Mr.

Sims any type of plea bargain agreement.

Q And that's the brunt of the discussions

regarding settlement or lack thereof that you had with Mr. Archie in reference Mr. Sims?

A Yes.

Q Do you know why Mr. Sims' 1993 possession with intent and ex-felon in possession of a firearm case is still pending?

I do not know why it is still pending at the present time, so the answer to your question is no.

Q Do you know why it has been still pending for the last two years?

A I will -- since I was removed from the Rippo case by this Court, I have had no contact with that file or with this case, so I can't say that.

Q From the date that this Court removed you from this case.

What about before that date?

A From before that date, I agreed with Bob Archie to continue that case, so that, yes, I am aware of that prior to the time I was removed from this case.

entitled State of Nevada versus Tom Sims, wherein he was charged with possession with intent and ex-felon in possession of a firearm?

A I don't know that there was an assigned

* F		
11	1	prosecutor.
·	2	Q Were you affiliated with the sex offense
A case of the case	3	unit at the time that you entered into that agreement with
	4	Bob Archie?
# A A	5	A 1 don't know that there was, in fact, an
*	6-	agreement, an actual formal agreement, but in the sense that
12	7	there was an understanding, the answer to your question is
HII/dem VI	8	that was the understanding and, yes, I was the head of the
	9	sexual assault and child abuse unit at that time.
±	10	q Nouldn't you say it was unusual and out of
The Particular of the Particul	11	the ardinary for you at that time to enter into representing
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	12	the State of Nevada's cases that had anything to do with
· .	13	your clients?
^	14	A Nat considering its relationship with this
* 4 \$	15	case.
100 ph 750 v P	16	As a matter of fact, it would
T	1.7	have been unusual had I not followed that case and wanted to
÷	18	know what was happening with that case.
11.0 c a 5 AW710	19	(Nhereupon, a sotto yoce at this time.)
The same of the sa	20	
	21	BY MR. WOLFSON:
	22	Q You do have a unique interest in this case,
2H	23	don't you?
	24	A You mean as I sit here today?
1 .		

1	Q Yes.
2	A Or I was at one time the prosecutor on
3	this case.
4	Q You have not been the prosecutor for
5	approximately one year or one and a half years; isn't that
6	about right?
7	A I if you say that it is, it is.
8	Q Yet you have been manitoring this case
9	somewhat closer than most, haven't you?
10	A I have a hard time with the word when you
11	say monitoring,
12	A Have you checked in every single day since
13	we started the trial?
14	Q Have I been watching the proceedings in this
15	trial?
16	Yes, I have. I was present for
17	opening statements. I have been following the order of
18	witnesses, so the answer to your question is yes.
19	MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence.
20	BY MR. HOLFSON:
21	Q Mr. Lukens, have you discussed or had
22	occasion to have discussions with witness Diana Hunt this
23	week?
24	A Yes.

, 24

MR. WOLESDN: That concludes my examination.

Thank you, Mr. Lukens.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

discussions with Mr. Sims where he told you things other than what had been mentioned in the Grand Jury testimony and his previous statement; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And you indicated that there were two things: One, that someone had died for the car, and I'm cured; I didn't rape -- and the words are -- either them or the bitches?

A That is correct.

Q Did he tell you anything about morphine?

The -- yes. There was something to do with a brown bottle. I don't remember -- I remember the -- I remember something about a brown bottle. Whether it was morphine ar not -- there had been previous indications about morphine in the brown bottle, so I don't know if I'm confusing the two, but that answer -- yes, yes, he did.

Q Did he say anything to you about the

•		
	1	
	-	

19

20

21

22

23

24

k •
defendant, Mr. Rippo, needing to retrieve this particular
brown bottle?
A I don't know about a recol and I beg
your pardan a recollection of that from that
conversation.
He may well have soid that, but
I don't have a recollection of that.
I remember something about a
brown vile and something with reference to the brown bottle
and in a refrigerator at his work.
Q And was your answer to Mr. Wolfson's
question that you are not aware of any admissions made by
the defendant to Mr. Sims, that Mr. Sims related to you in
your talking to him?
A Other than somebody had to die for this; in
other words, there were no there were no admissions.
Q Mr. Sims, never told you that Mr. Rippo told
him that he had actually committed the killings?

No. I have a good distinct recollection that that did not take place.

Did you have any discussions with Mr. Sims, to your recollection, about phone numbers having to do with this case?

At some point in time, I remember the phone

17.

24.

numbers became important because Mike Rippo had requested of Tom Sims -- supposedly samebody had a cellular phone that Mike Rippo had access to, and Mike Rippo had requested of that person -- and if that person was Tom Sims -- copies of the billing records that would show the numbers called.

The investigation was unaware of that and it didn't become important until Mr. Rippo requested that information, and so there was some discussion about the -- if it was Mr. Sims, some discussion about a cellular phone and the phone records for that.

Q If Mr. Sims came in here and testified that you and he discussed — you asked him about certain phone numbers and trying to find out who belonged to them, would he be incorrect in that statement?

A No, that would have been -- that would have been correct.

Q And that would have happened in this second meeting that you had with him with Mr. Archie and Teresa Lowry present?

A Yes, if there were only two meetings.

I mean, there would have been another meeting to discuss the phone information or the records, because at one time, those records weren't available and he had to request them or something, so there

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

.13

.22

may have been an additional meeting, but that would have been a topic of discussion.

And you recall talking about the -- Mr. Sims telling you that the defendant had told him that the defendant had accidentally strangled one of the girls and that he had to kill the other one?

A No.

Q You have never known that information?

A No. And that's -- the reason I can be so sure, because had that been said, that's samething I would have remembered.

Q Sure.

In your discussions at that point, first of all, with Mr. Sims, Just Mr. Sims, did you ever say anything to him regarding any negotiations that might take place under this pending case for possession with intent and ex-felon in possession of a firearm?

A With the exception that I can't affer you any plea -- any plea type of agreements in this case, no.

Q Did he specifically hear those words, that you were not going to offer him anything on his behalf in return for his testimony in this case?

A Whether he heard them or not -- or whether or not that was a discussion I had with Bob Archie in which

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

.14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

he was not present, I don't know -- I don't remember.

Q But at least you never did tell him that there was any possibility of negotiations because of his involvement in this case?

A No.

Q And now let's turn our attention to Mr. Archie.

In your discussions with him, did you ever suggest to him that any negotiations could be made on behalf of his client for his client's participation in this case?

A No.

Q So that understanding never went out to either the client or to Mr. Archie?

A No.

Q And do you know whether Teresa Lowry ever made such an offer?

A I cannot imagine her making such an offer.

Q You don't have any knowledge of it?

A Absolutely,

Q Do you have any knowledge of making such an offer to Mr. Archie or to his client?

A No.

MR. SEATON: I have no further questions.

1 THE COURT: Anything else? 2 MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence. - 3 (Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.) 4 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 . 7 BY MR. WOLFSON: 8 Q Mr. Lukens, concerning your representations 9 about an agreement, if you will, with Mr. Archie to continue 10 the case, how long was it going to be continued for? 11 Until after the conclusion of the Rippo 12 case. That was -- in other words, I don't know that there 13 was any definite agreement, but I will tell you that was my 14 intention to do that. Did you indicate it in that fashion to Mr. 15 16 Archie? 17 I don't know. I don't have any recollection 18 of doing that. I --19 Would 1t sound consistent, recognizing that 20 the case is still pending, two and a half years later, 21 almost three years later? 22 I don't know because I have specifically not 23 interfered or done anything since the case was taken over. .24 I can tell you that were I the prosecutor still on the Rippo

1	case, I would still I would have continued seeing this
2	case out.
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may we approach
4	the bench for a second?
5.	THE COURT: Yes.
. 6	
7	(Discussion had off the record.)
8)	
9	THE COURT: No further questions for Mr.
10	Lukens?
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: At this time, but he'll be
12	subject to coming back when we have the
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. SEATON: None by the State.
15	(Whereupon, the Witness was excused.)
16	nda ongavati
17	MR, DUNLEAVY: And we'd ask him to be
18	admonished not to talk to the other witnesses
19	THE COURT: Mr. Lukens, did you hear that?
20	You are admanished not to talk
21	to other witnesses.
.22	MR. DUNLEAVY; Teresa or anyone else.
23	MR. LUKENS: With reference to what this is
24	about? Teresa 1s
	;

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\*\*\*\*\*

MICHAEL RIPPO,

Appellant,

No. 53626

FILED

-vs-

E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Respondent.

OCT 19 2009

CHEF DEPUTY CLERK

## JOINT APPENDIX Volume 8 of 48

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Affidavit	02/14/94	JA00371-JA00377
2	Affidavit	03/07/94	JA00400-JA00402
18	Affidavit of David M. Schieck Regarding Supplemental Brief in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus	08/17/04	JA04316-JA04320
3	Amended Indictment	01/03/96	JA00629-JA00633
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	03/23/94	JA00583-JA00590
8	Answer in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation	02/08/96	JA01873-JA01886
17	Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial	05/01/96	JA04008-JA04013
48	Criminal Court Minutes	10/27/08	JA11603
2	Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	08/20/93	JA00274-JA00281
18	Errata to Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	03/12/04	JA04257-JA04258

09-25381

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
19	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	12/01/04	JA04411-JA0441
48	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	11/17/08	JA11604-JA1161
1	Indictment	06/05/92	JA00235-JA0023
15	Instructions to the Jury	03/06/96	JA03358-JA0339
16	Instructions to the Jury	03/14/96	JA03809-JA0383
17	Judgment of Conviction	05/31/96	JA04037-JA0403
11	Motion for Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence Pertaining to the impact of the Defendant's Execution Upon Victim's Family Members	02/28/96	JA02620-JA0262
2	Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to Rippo's Defense	08/24/93	JA00286-JA0029
3	Motion for a Witness Deposition	06/19/94	JA00621-JA0062
17	Motion for New Trial	04/29/96	JA04002-JA0400
2	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Defendant's Prior Bad Acts	08/23/93	JA282-001 to JA282-005
2	Motion of Defendant for Discovery and to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	10/21/92	JA00254-JA0025
11	Motion to Bar the Admission of Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Clause	02/28/96	JA02603-JA0260
2	Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office	02/07/94	JA00334-JA0034
2	Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	08/23/93	JA00282-JA0028
11	Motion to Preclude the Consideration of Victim Impact Evidence Pursuant to NRS 175.552, 200.033, and 200.035	02/28/96	JA02613-JA0261
11	Motion to Preclude the Introduction of Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim Family Members' Characterizations and Opinions About the Crime, the Defendant, and/or the Appropriate Sentence	02/28/96	JA02625-JA0262
2	Motion to Quash and for a Protective Order	09/09/93	JA00298-JA0030

Vol	Title	Date	Page
	on an Order Shortening Time		
11	Motion to Require a Pretrial Judicial Review of all Victim Impact Evidence the State Intends to Introduce at the Penalty Phase	02/28/96	JA02607-JA02612
2	Notice of Alibi	09/20/93	JA00295-JA00297
19	Notice of Appeal	10/12/04	JA04409-JA04410
48	Notice of Appeal	04/15/09	JA11659-JA11661
19	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	12/15/04	JA04414
48	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	03/16/09	JA11648-JA11658
36	Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Counsel	02/15/08	JA08669-JA08672
1	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	06/30/92	JA00239-JA00241
42	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA09989-JA10014
42	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA10015-JA10025
42	1 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, State v. Bailey, Case No. C129217, Eighth Judicial District Court, July 30, 1996		JA10026-JA10034
42	Answers to Interrogatories p. 7,  Bennett v. McDaniel, et al., Case No.  CV-N-96-429-DWH (RAM),  February 9, 1998		JA10035-JA10037
42	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, partial, State v.  Bennett, Case NO. C083143, September 14, 1998		JA10038-JA10040
42	4 Non-Trial Disposition Memo, Clark County District Attorney's Office regarding Joseph Beeson, in Bennett v. McDaniel, Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of Nevada, October, 1988		JA10041-JA10042
42	5 Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, partial, State v. Bennett,		JA10043-JA10050

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	Case No. C083143, November 18, 1999		JA10051-JA10057
4	42	6 Decision, <u>Bennett v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C83143, Eighth Judicial District		JA10031-JA10037
5	42	Court, November 16, 2001		JA10058-JA10061
6 7		7 Declaration of Michael Pescetta regarding locating exhibits in Parker file, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al. Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of		
8	42	Nevada, January 8, 2003		JA 10062-JA 10066
9	72	8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Memorandum re: <u>State</u> v. <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791,		JA10002-JA10000
10	42	December 30, 1999		JA10067-JA10085
12		9 Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Status Check on Production of		
13		Discovery, State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
14	42	Court, April 18, 2000  10 Letter from Office of the District		JA10086-JA10087
15		Attorney to Joseph S. Sciscento, Esq., re State v. Butler, Case No.		
16		C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, November 16, 2000		
17	42	11 Letter from Law Offices of Sam		JA10088-JA10092
18		Stone to Hon. Michael Douglas, District Court Judge, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
19		Case No. 155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, December 7, 2000		
20	42	12 Motion for New Trial, State v.		JA10093-JA10107
21		<u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 17,		
22	42	2001		JA10108-JA10112
23		13 Affidavit of Carolyn Trotti, <u>State v.</u> Butler, Case No. C155791, January 19, 2001		
24	42	14 Opposition to Motion for New Trial		JA10113-JA10135
25		Based on Allegations of Newly Discovered Evidence, State v.		
26		Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 16,		
27		1,	I	<u> </u>
28		4		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	2001		JA10136-JA10141
4		Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial,		
5	42	State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 27, 2001		JA10142-JA10144
6 7		16 Order, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
8	42	Court, March 8, 2001		JA10145-JA10154
9		17 Fax Transmission from Terri Elliott with the Office of the Special Public Defender, State v. Butler, Case No.		
10 11	42	C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, March 19, 2001		JA10155-JA10161
12	١	18 Order affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
13	42	Case No. 37591, May 14, 2002		JA10162-JA10170
14	42	19 Reporter's transcript of jury trial, <u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 11,  2002		JA10171-JA10177
15		200 Reporter's transcript of jury trial,		JA101/1-JA101//
16 17	42	United States v. Catania, June 13, 2002		JA10178-JA10184
18		21 Transcript of Status Conference/Scheduling Conference		
19		Before the Honorable Howard K. McKibben, United States District		
20	42	Judge, Case No. CV-N-00-101-HDM (RAM), District of Nevada, January 14, 2003 (Doyle)		JA 10185-JA 10200
21		22 Answer in Opposition to Motion for		
22   23		New Trial; or in the Alternative, Motion for New Appeal, <u>State v.</u> D'Agostino, Case No. C95335,		
24	42	Eighth Judicial District Court, September 21, 1993		JA10201-JA10207
25		23 Declaration of Tim Gabrielsen, and		
26		partial FBI production in <u>Echavarria</u> <u>v. McDaniel,</u> et al., CV-N-98-0202, June 2004		
27		June 2004	<u> </u>	
28		5		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	42 43			JA10208-JA10238 JA10239-JA10353
3 4	43	24 Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Emil v. McDaniel, et al., August 24, 2001		JA10354-JA10357
5 6		Criminal Complaint and Minutes of the Court, State v. Kenny, Case No. 85F-3637, Justice Court, Las Vegas		
7	43	Township, 1985 (Emil)		JA10358-JA10362
8 9		26 Notice of Denial of Request, Clark County District Attorney, State v. Emil, Case No. C82176, Eighth		
10	43	Judicial District Court, August 13, 1985		JA10363-JA10383
11		27 Various reports of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
12		Detention Services Division, produced in <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, regarding		
13		investigation into the identity of Clark County Detention Center		
14 15	42	inmate who manufactured a shank, 1987		JA10384-JA10434
16		28 Deposition of Sharon Dean in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
17	42	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, October 15, 1998 and December 7, 1998		JA10435-JA10449
18 19		29 Deposition of Arlene Ralbovsky in		
20		<u>Haberstroh v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28,		
21	43 44	1999		JA10450-JA10488 JA10489-JA10554
22		30 Deposition of Patricia Schmitt in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
23	44	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28, 1999		JA 10555-JA 10563
24		31 Recorder's Transcript Re:		
25 26		Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. <u>C0760</u> 13,		
27		Eighth Judicial District Court, January 28, 2000		
28		6		

1 Vol	l	Title	Date	Page
2 44	32	Order, Hill v. McDaniel, et al., Case		JA10564-JA10568
3	32	No. CV-S-98-914-JBR (LRL), District of Nevada, May 20, 1999		
4   44 5   44	33	FBI memorandum to SA Newark, Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick		JA10569-JA10570
6 44		Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick 167), August 31, 1977		JA10571-JA10573
7	34	FBI memorandum, New York to Newark <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , (Homick 168), January 31, 1978		
8 44	35	FBI Teletype, FM Director to Las Vegas (Homick 166), September, 1985		JA10574-JA10576
0 44	36	FBI Teletype San Diego to Las		JA10577-JA10582
1 44	37	Vegas (Homick 165), October, 1985  Chronological record, Homick v.		JA10583-JA10584
3		McDaniel (Homick 10), November 1985		
4 44	38	FBI notes re Homick receiving money from LVMPD employee,		JA10585-JA10589
5		Homick v. McDaniel, December 11, 1985		
6 44	39	FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , December 1985 and January 1986		JA10590-JA10593
8 44	40	FBI notes, Homick v. McDaniel		JA10594-JA10595
9 44	41	(Pennsylvania) January 4, 1986  FBI redacted notes, <u>Homick v.</u>		JA10596-JA10597
0		McDaniel (New Jersey), January 7,		
1 44	42	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10598-JA10599
3 44	43	McDaniel (Homick), January 9, 1986 FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10600-JA10601
4		McDaniel (Pennsylvania), January 13, 1986		
5 44	44	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10602-JA10603
6		McDaniel (Las Vegas), January 14, 1986		
.7				
8		7		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	45	FBI 302 interview of Norma K. Thompson, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , March 18, 1986		JA10604-JA10606
4	44	46	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10607-JA10608
5			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
6			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
7	44	47	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10609-JA10610
8			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
9			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
10 11	44	48	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10611-JA10612
12			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
13			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
14	44	49	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10613-JA10614
15			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
16			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
17	44	50	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10615-JA10616
18			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
19			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
20	44	51	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10617-JA10618
21			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
22	4.4	50	McDaniel, June 10, 1986		14 10/10 14 10/20
23	44	52	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		JA10619-JA10620
24			joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
25			MicDaniel, June 10, 1980		
26					
27		<u> </u>			I
28			8		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	44	53	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.  McDaniel, June 10, 1986		JA10621-JA10622
5 6	44	54	FBI 302 memorandum of interview of Tim Catt, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 164), August 18, 1988		JA10623-JA10625
7 8	44	55	Reporter's transcript of evidentiary hearing, partial, <u>State v. Homick</u> , March 7, 1989		JA10626-JA10637
9 10	44	56	Reporter's transcript of motions, State v. Homick (Homick 48), April 10, 1989		JA10638-JA10640
11 12	44	57	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 6, State v. Homick, April 25, 1989		JA10641-JA10652
13	44	58	Reporter's transcript of jury trial, partial, Vol. 7, <u>State v. Homick,</u> April 26, 1989		JA10653-JA10660
14 15	44	59	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 11, <u>State v. Homick</u> (Homick 52), May 2, 1989		JA10661-JA10664
16 17	44	60	Reporter's transcript of penalty hearing, State v. Homick, Vol. 1 (Homick 108), May 17, 1989		JA10665-JA10668
18 19	44	61	Reporter's transcript of trial, partial, Vol. 83, <u>State v. Homick</u> , November 10, 1992		JA10669-JA10673
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	44	62	Letter from Eric Johnson/Walt Ayers, Assistant United States Attorneys to Mark Kaiserman denying FBI joint investigation with LVMPD, Homick v. McDaniel,		JA1674-JA10676
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	44	63	January 28, 1993  Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, Homick v. McDaniel, May 7, 1993		JA10677-JA60678
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>					
28			9		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	64	Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> , May 11, 1993		JA10679-JA10680
4 5	44	65	Reporter's transcript on appeal, <u>State</u> v. <u>Homick</u> Vol. 140 (Homick 102) June 29, 1994		JA10681-JA10684
6 7	44	66	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - joint activity between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10685-JA10692
8 9 10	44	67	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - information sharing between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10693-JA10696
11 12	44	68	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - admissions, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10697-JA10705
13 14 15	44	69	Declaration of Joseph Wright, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 176), October 9, 2003		JA10706-JA10707
16 17	44 45	70	Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Homick v. McDaniel, October 10, 2003		JA10708-JA10738 JA10739-JA10756
17 18 19	45	71	Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v.</u> <u>Jiminez</u> , Case No. C77955, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 19, 1993		JA10757-JA10786
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	45	72	Transcript of Proceedings Sentence, <u>State v. Bezak</u> , Case No. CR89- 1765, Second Judicial District Court, November 27, 1989 (Jones)		JA10787-JA10796
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	45	73	Response to Motion to Compel Discovery, <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , et al., Case No. CV-N-96-633-ECR, District of Nevada, March 1999		JA10797-JA10802
26 27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2	45	74	Declaration of David J.J. Roger, Chief Deputy District Attorney,		JA10803-JA10805
3 4			concerning <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. CV-N-96-633 ECR, District of Nevada, June 30, 1999		
5	45	75	Transcription of VCR Tape of the		JA10806-JA10809
6			Adam Evans hearing in front of Judge Hardcastle, <u>In The Matter of</u>		
7			Adam Owens Evans, Case No. J52293, Juvenile Court (Lisle)		
8	45	76	Excerpt of trial record, State v. Lisle,		JA10810-JA10812
9			Case No. 129540, Vol. 10 page 15, March 12, 1996		
10		77	Not Used		
11		78	Not Used		
12	45	79	Letter from Inv. Larry A. Schuchman, City of Orlando,		JA10813-JA10816
13			Florida, Police Department, to Inv. Bob Milby, Nevada Division of Inv.		
14			and Narcotics re Terry Carl Bonnette, January 29, 1981		
15			(Milligan)		
16	45	80	Notice of Entry of Decision and		JA10817-JA10838
17			Order and Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
18			Order, State v. Miranda, Case No. C057788, Eighth Judicial District		
19	15	01	Court, February 13, 1996		JA10839-JA10846
20	45	81	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Case No. C106784, Eighth Judicial		JA10839-JA10846
21			District Court, February 8, 1996		
22	45	82	Reporter's Transcript of Calendar		JA 10847-JA 10859
23			Call, State v. Morelli, Case Nos.C64603 and C64604, Eighth		
24			Judicial District Court, January 12, 1984 (Snow)		
25					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	83	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (Testimony of Richard Morelli), State v. Snow, Case No.C61676,		JA10860-JA10884
4			Eighth Judicial District Court, April 17, 1984		
5	45	84	Letter from Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Deputy, Office of the District		JA10885-JA10886
6			Attorney, To Whom It May Concern re Richard Joseph Morelli, July 20,		
7 8			1984 (Snow)		111007 111001
9	45	85	Deposition of Melvyn T. Harmon, Esq., Snow v. Angelone, Case No. 6- 12-89-WPHC, Seventh Judicial District Court, September 25, 1992		JA10887-JA10921
10					
11	45	86	<u>Las Vegas Review Journal</u> excerpt, May 3, 2004, "Police Say Binion Witness Not Credible" (Tabish)		JA10922-JA10924
12	45	87	` ′		JA10925-JA10929
13	43	87	Letter from Kent R. Robison of Robison, Belaustegui, Robb and Sharp, to E. Leslie Combs, Jr., Esq.		JA10923-JA10929
14 15			Re: Kathryn Cox v. Circus Circus, et al., October 16, 1995, in relation to		
16			Witter v. McDaniel, CV-S-01-1034- RLH (LRL), District of Nevada		
17	45	88	LVMPD Certificate of [Informant] Management Course completion, April 14, 1994		JA10930-JA10931
18	4.5		-		14 10022 14 10024
19	45	89	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Cooperating Individual Agreement and Special Consent and		JA10932-JA10934
20			Waiver of Liability		
21	45	90	David J.J. Roger letter to Nevada State Parole Board Chairman		JA10935-JA10936
22			regarding Robert Bezak (Jones), December 3, 1990		
23	45	91	Declaration of Herbert Duzant dated		JA 10937-JA 10938
24			May 15, 2008		
25	45	92	Records request to Juvenile Justice Division dated May 14, 2008		JA10939-JA10948
26			=y = -, <del></del>		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	93	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated May 15, 2008		JA10949-JA10973
4 5	46	94	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated May 15, 2008		JA10974-JA10996
6	46	95	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated November 29, 2007		JA10997-JA11007
7 8 9	46	96	Records request to Office of the Clark County District Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11008-JA11010
10 11	46	97	Records request to Office of the United States Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11011-JA11013
12 13	46	98	Records request to the Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re: Michael Beaudoin, James		JA11014-JA11026
14 15 16			Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
17 18	46	99	Records request to Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re Victim/Witness information)		JA11027-JA11034
19 20	46	100	Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated November 29, 2007		JA11035-JA11050
21	46	101	Records request to Justice Court, Criminal Records dated December 5, 2007		JA11051-JA11055
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	46	102	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated		JA11056-JA11069
24 25	46	103	November 28, 2007  Records request to Nevada  Department of Corrections dated		JA11070-JA11080
26 27			November 29, 2007 (re: Levine)		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	104	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated November 29, 2007 (re Levine)		JA11081-JA11095
5	46	105	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated April 12, 2007 (re: Rippo)		JA11096-JA11103
6 7 8	46	106	Records request to Word of Life Christian Center Pastor David Shears, Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated November 29, 2007		JA11104-JA11110
9 10	46	107	Response to records request from Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated December 3, 2007		JA11111-JA11112
11 12	46	108	Response to records request from Office of the District Attorney dated January 28, 2008 (re Victim Witness)		JA11113-JA11114
13 14	46	109	Response to records request from Word of Life Christian Center Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated		JA11115-JA11116
15 16	46	110	December 11, 2007  Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli)		JA11117-JA11128
17 18	46	111	Records request (FOIA) to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys dated November 27, 2007		JA11129-JA11132
19 20	46	112	Records request (FOIA) to the FBI dated November 27, 2007		JA11133-JA11135
21 22	46	113	Response to records request to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys, undated		JA11136-JA11137
23 24	46	114	Records request to Nevada Division of Child and Family Services dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie)		JA11138-JA11144
25 26	46	115	Records request to Claude I. Howard Children's Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli, Carole		JA11145-JA11156
27			Ann Campanelli (deceased))		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	116	Records request to Clark County School District dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA111457-JA11171
5	46	117	Records request to University Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11172-JA11185
7 8 9	46	118	Records request to Valley Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11186-JA11199
10 11	46	119	Records request to Desert Springs Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11200-JA11213
12 13 14	46	120	Records request to Reno Police Department, Records and ID Section dated May 16, 2008		JA11214-JA11221
15	47	121	Records request to Washoe County Sheriff's Office dated May 16, 2008		JA11222-JA11229
16 17	47	122	Records request to Sparks Police Department dated May 16, 2008		JA11230-JA11237
18	47	123	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Beaudoin		JA11238-JA11239
19 20	47	124	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Thomas Christos		JA11240-JA11241
21 22	47	125	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Thomas Edward Sims		JA11242-JA11244
23	47	126	Response to records request to Justice Court re: request and clerk's notes		JA11245-JA11248
25		127	Omitted.		
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	128	Subpoena to Clark County District Attorney, Criminal Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11249-JA11257
7	47	129	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attoreny		JA11258-JA11267
8 9	47	130	Subpoena to Central Medicaid Office, New York, New York		JA11268-JA11272
10	47	131	Subpoena to Claude I. Howard Children's Center		JA11273-JA11277
11 12	47	132	Subpoena to City of New York, Department of Social Services		JA11278-JA11282
13	47	133	Subpoena to Desert Springs Hospital		JA11283-JA11288
14 15	47	134	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Fingerprint Bureau		JA11289-JA11295
16 17	47	135	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Bureau		JA11296-JA11301
18	47	136	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Confidential Informant Section		JA11302-JA11308
19 20	47	137	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminalistics Bureau		JA11309-JA11316
21 22	47	138	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Evidence Vault		JA11317-JA11323
23 24	47	139	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminal Intelligence Section		JA11324-JA11330
25 26	47	140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Narcotics Sections I, II, and III		JA11331-JA11337
27					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3		47	141	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Crimes Bureau		JA11338-JA11344
4 5		47	142	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Records Bureau		JA11345-JA11352
6		47	143	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Robbery / Homicide Bureau		JA11353-JA11360
7 8 9 10		47	144	Subpoena to Nevada Parole and Probation (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11361-JA11368
11		47	145	Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)  Proposed Order to the Nevada		JA11369-JA11373
12 13		1,	115	Department of Parole and Probation		
14 15		47	146	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Gang Crimes Bureau		JA11374-JA11379
16		47	147	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department SWAT Division		JA11380-JA11385
17 18		47	148	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Vice Section		JA11386-JA11392
19		47	149	Subpoena to Clark County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11393-JA11399
20 21				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
22				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
23		47	150	Subpoena to Henderson Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11400-JA11406
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
26				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
27	L					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	47	151	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services		JA11407-JA11411
5 6 7	47	152	Subpoena to Reno Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt		JA11412-JA11418
8 9 10 11	47	153	and Michael Rippo)  Subpoena to Sparks Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11419-JA11427
12 13	47	154	Subpoena to University Medical Center		JA11428-JA11432
14	47	155	Subpoena to Valley Hospital		JA11433-JA11438
15 16 17 18	47	156	Subpoena to Washoe County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11439-JA11445
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	47	157	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Records and ID Section (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11446-JA11453
22   23			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
24 25					
26					
27					

1	Vo	1.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	47	1	158	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Forensic Science Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11454-JA11460
4 5				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		
6				Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
7	47	1	159	Deposition Subpoena to Dominic Campanelli		JA11461-JA11463
8 9	47	1	60	Deposition Subpoena to Melody Anzini		JA11464-JA11466
10	47	1	61	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11467-JA11471
11 12	48	1	162	Subpoena to Nancy Becker		JA11472-JA11476
13	48	1	163	Subpoena to Clark County Human Resources Department (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11477-JA11481
14 15	48	1	64	Subpoena to Nassau County Department of Social Services		JA11482-JA11486
16 17	48	1	165	Subpoena to the Clark County School District		JA11487-JA11490
18	48	1	166	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11491-JA11495
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	48	1	167	Subpoena to the Office of the United States Attorney (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11496-JA11499
22	48		168	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11500-JA11505
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	48	1	169	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11506-JA11508
25						
26						
27					l .	

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	48	170	Subpoena to the Office of Legal Services, Executive Offices for United States Attorneys FOIA (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11509-JA11513
5	48	171	Subpoena to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (re Bongiovanni)		JA11514-JA11518
6 7 8 9	48	172	Subpoena to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Criminal Intelligence Section, Homeland Security Bureau, Special Operations Division (re Bongiovanni)		JA11519-JA11522
10	48	173	Subpoena to Leo P. Flangas, Esq. (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11523-JA11526
11 12	48	174	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Investigation		JA11527-JA11530
13	48	175	Subpoena to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		JA11531-JA11534
14 15	48	176	Subpoena to Robert Archie (re: Simms)		JA11535-JA11538
16	48	177	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Corrections (re: lethal injection)		JA11539-JA11545
17 18	48	178	Deposition subpoena to Howard Skolnik, NDOC		JA11546-JA11548
19	48	179	Deposition subpoena to Robert Bruce Bannister, D.O., NDOC		JA11549-JA11551
20 21	48	180	Deposition subpoena to Warden Bill Donat		JA11552-JA11554
22	48 1	181	Deposition subpoena to Stacy Giomi, Chief, Carson City Fire Department		JA11555-JA11 557
23 24	37	for W	sition to Motion to Dismiss Petition rit of Habeas Corpus (Post- iction)	05/21/08	JA08758-JA08866
25	37	Exhib	oits to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	05/21/08	JA08867-JA08869
26					
27				1	

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3		37	329.	Leonard v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08870-JA08884
4				C126285, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 11, 2008.		
5		37	330.	Lopez v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA08885-JA08890
6 7				District Court, Case No. C068946, State's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed		
8		38	331.	February 15, 2008.  Sherman v. McDaniel, Eighth		JA08991-JA09002
9		36	331.	Judicial District Court, Case No. C126969, Reply to Opposition to		JA08991-JA09002
10				Motion to Dismiss, filed June 25, 2007.		
11		38	332.	Witter v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C117513,		JA09003-JA09013
12 13				Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed July 5, 2007.		
14		38	333.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09014-JA09020
15				District Court, Case No. C159897, Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
16				Habeas Corpus, filed December 28, 2007.		
17		38	334.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09021-JA09027
18				District Court, Case No. C159897, State's Opposition to Defendant's		
19				Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion to		
20		38	335.	Dismiss, filed August 18, 2007.  State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA09028-JA09073
21		36	333.	District Court, Case No. C106784, Supplemental Brief in Support of		JA07020-JA07073
22				Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction),		
23				filed February 10, 2004.		
24		38	336.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 28865, Appellant's		JA09074-JA09185
25				Opening Brief.		
26						
27	Ι'		l			

1	Vo	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	38	Ĭ.	337.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980, Indictment, filed December 16, 1994.		JA09186-JA09200
4	38 39		338.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980,		JA09201-JA09240 JA09241-JA09280
5 6				Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Thursday, December 15, 1994.		
7 8	39		339.	Declaration of Stacie Campanelli dated April 29, 2008.		JA09281-JA0289
9	39		340.	Declaration of Domiano Campanelli, February 2008, Mastic Beach, N.Y.		JA09290-JA09300
10	39		341.	Declaration of Sari Heslin dated February 25, 2008.		JA09301-JA09305
11 12	39	,	342.	Declaration of Melody Anzini dated February 26, 2008.		JA09306-JA09311
13 14	39	,	343.	Declaration of Catherine Campanelli dated February 29, 2008.		JA09312-JA09317
15	39		344.	Declaration of Jessica Parket-Asaro dated March 9, 2008.		JA09318-JA09323
16 17	39		345.	Declaration of Mark Beeson dated March 26, 2008.		JA09324-JA09328
18	39		346.	State's Trial Exhibit 1: Laurie Jacobson photograph		JA09329-JA09330
19	39		347.	State's Trial Exhibit 2: Denise Lizzi photograph		JA09331-JA09332
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	39		348.	State's Trial Exhibit 99: Michael Rippo		JA09333-JA09334
22	39		349.	State's Trial Exhibit 31: Autopsy photo Denise Lizzi		JA09335-JA09336
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	39		350.	State's Trial Exhibit 53: Autopsy photo Laurie Jacobson		JA09337-JA09338
25	39		351.	State's Trial Exhibit 125: Laurie Jacobson victim-impact scrapbook		JA09339-JA09360
26				photographs		
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	39	352.	State's Trial Exhibit 127: Denise Lizzi victim-impact scrapbook photographs		JA09361-JA09374
4 5	39	353.	Declaration of Jay Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09375-JA09377
6	39	354.	Declaration of Robert Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09378-JA09381
7 8	39	355.	Juvenile Records of Stacie Campanelli		JA09382-JA09444
9	39	356	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C136066, State v. Sims, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09445-JA09450
11 12	39 40	357	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Sims		JA09451-JA09490 JA09491-JA09520
13	40 41	358	Justice Court Printout for Michael Beaudoin		JA09521-JA09740 JA09741-JA09815
14 15 16	41	359	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C102962, <u>State v.</u> <u>Beaudoin</u> , Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09816-JA09829
17 18	41	360	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C95279, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09830-JA09838
19 20	41	361	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C130797, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09839-JA09847
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	41	362	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C134430, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09848-JA09852
24	41	363	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Christos		JA09952-JA09907
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	41	364	Justice Court Printout for James Ison		JA09908-JA09930
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	41	365	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Order dated September 22, 1993		JA09931-JA09933
4 5	41	366	Declaration of Michael Beaudoin dated May 18, 2008		JA09934-JA09935
6 7	41	367	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Amended Indictment, dated January 3, 1996		JA09936-JA09941
8 9	41	368	State's Trial Exhibits 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62		JA09942-JA09965
10	41	369	State's Trial Exhibit 54		JA09966-JA09967
11 12	41	370	Letter from Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections, to Robert Crowley dated August 29 1997		JA09968-JA09969
13 14 15	41	371	Letter from Jennifer Schlotterbeck to Ted D'Amico, M.D., Nevada Department of Corrections dated March 24, 2004		JA09970-JA09971
16 17	41	372	Letter from Michael Pescetta to Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections dated September 23, 2004		JA09972-JA09977
18 19	41	373	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Warrant of Execution dated May 17, 1996		JA09978-JA09981
20 21	41	374	Declaration of William Burkett dated May 12, 2008		JA09982-JA09984
22	41	375	Handwritten Notes of William Hehn		JA09985-JA09986
23	48	Objec	tion to Proposed Order	11/21/08	JA11612-JA11647
24	48	Oppo	sition to Motion for Discovery	06/09/08	JA11558-JA11563
25	2	Order		11/12/92	JA00264-JA00265
26	2	Order		11/18/92	JA00266-JA00267
27	2	Order		09/22/93	JA00320-JA00321

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	3	Order	04/22/94	JA00619-JA00320
3	15	Order	03/08/96	JA03412
4	41	Order Appointing Counsel	02/13/08	JA09987-JA09988
5	5B	Order Sealing Affidavit	09/30/93	JA 1401-180 to JA 1401-185
6 7	2	Order to Produce Handwriting / Handprinting Exemplar	09/14/92	JA00252-JA00253
8	17	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Appointment of Counsel	12/04/98	JA04040-JA04047
9	19 20	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	01/15/08	JA04415-JA04570 JA04571-JA04609
11	20	Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	01/15/08	JA04610-JA04619
12 13	20	101. Bennett v. State, No. 38934 Respondent's Answering Brief (November 26, 2002)		JA04620-JA04647
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	20	102. State v. Colwell, No. C123476, Findings, Determinations and Imposition of Sentence (August 10, 1995)		JA04648-JA04650
17	20	103. <u>Doleman v. State</u> , No. 33424 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 17, 2000)		JA04651-JA04653
18 19	20	104. Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of Prisons, No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 31, 1988)		JA04654-JA04660
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	20	105. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562, Order Dismissing Appeal (February 20, 1992)		JA04661-JA04663
22 23	20	106. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 29120, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		JA04664-JA04670
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	20	107. <u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789, Order Affirming in Part and Vacating in Part (November 14, 2002)		JA04671-JA04679
27	20	108. Hankins v. State, No. 20780, Order		JA04680-JA04683

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2	20		of Remand (April 24, 1990)		14.04694 14.04690
3	20	109			JA04684-JA04689
4	20		of Remand (May 24, 1994)		JA04690-JA04692
5		110	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1987)		T. 04/02 T. 04/04
6	20	111			JA04693-JA04696
7			Dismissing Appeal (August 28, 1996)		
8	20	112	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No.		JA04697-JA04712
9			39091, Order of Affirmance (December 19, 2002)		
10	20	113			JA04713-JA04715
11	20		Dismissing Appeal (June 17, 1991)		JA04716-JA04735
12		114	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845, Order of Affirmance (July 24, 2002)		
13	20	115			JA04736-JA04753
14	20		Dismissing Appeal (March 21, 1996)		JA04754-JA04764
15		116	Order Dismissing Appeal (August		
16	20		19, 1987)		JA04765-JA04769
17			17059, 17060, Order Dismissing		
18			Appeal and Denying Petition (February 19, 1986)		
19	20	118	. <u>Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II)</u> , Nos.		JA04770-JA04783
20			29027, 29028, Order Dismissing Appeal and Denying Petition for		
21			Writ of Habeas Corpus (October 9, 1996)		
22	20	119			JA04784-JA04788
23			29027, 29028, Order Denying Rehearing (July 17, 1998)		
24	20				JA04789-JA04796
25		120	$\overline{\text{CV-N-96-785-HDM}}$ -(RAM),		
26			Response to Nevius' Supplemental Memo at 3 (October 18, 1999)		
27					

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	20	101 ONLTH CO. N. 20142 O. L. C.		JA04797-JA04803
3		121. O'Neill v. State, No. 39143, Order of Reversal and Remand (December 18, 2002)		
5	20	122. <u>Rider v. State</u> , No. 20925, Order (April 30, 1990)		JA04804-JA04807
6	20	123. Riley v. State, No. 33750, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 19,		JA04808-JA04812
7 8	20	1999) 124. Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858, Order		JA04813-JA04817
9		Dismissing Appeal (May 28, 1993), Amended Order Dismissing Appeal (June 4, 1993)		
10	21	125. Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137, Order of Affirmance (May 13, 2002)		JA04818-JA04825
12	21	126. Sechrest v. State, No 29170, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20,		JA04826-JA04830
13 14	21	1997) 127. Smith v. State, No. 20959, Order of		JA04831-JA04834
15	21	Remand (September 14, 1990)  128. Stevens v. State, No. 24138, Order		JA04835-JA04842
16 17	21	of Remand (July 8, 1994)  129. Wade v. State, No. 37467, Order of		JA04843-JA04848
18	21	Affirmance (October 11, 2001)  130. Williams v. State, No. 20732, Order		JA04849-JA04852
19 20	21	Dismissing Appeal (July 18, 1990)  131. Williams v. Warden, No. 29084,		JA04853-JA04857
21		Order Dismissing Appeal (August 29, 1997)		1101050 1101071
22	21	132. Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Prison, No. 19705, Order		JA04858-JA04861
23   24	21	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1989)  133. Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order		JA04862-JA04873
25		Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (November 28,		
26		2005)		
27				

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	21	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order Denying Rehearing (February 2, 2006)		JA04874-JA04879
4	21	135.	Rippo v. State; Bejarano v. State,		JA04880-JA04883
5			No. 44094, No. 44297, Order Directing Oral Argument (March 16, 2006)		
6	21	   136.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784,		JA04884-JA04931
7			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
8			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), February 10, 2004		
9	0.1	127	•		14.04022 14.04025
10	21	137.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of		JA04932-JA04935
11			Law and Order, December 1, 2004		
12	21	138.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, May 19, 2005		JA04936-JA04986
13	21	   139.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No.		JA04987-JA05048
14 15			44094, Respondent's Answering Brief, June 17, 2005		
16	22	140.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief, September 28, 2005		JA05049-JA05079
17		1 4 1	•		TA 05000 TA 05100
18	22	141.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental		JA05080-JA05100
19			Brief As Ordered By This Court, December 12, 2005		
20					
21	22	201.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Opinion filed		JA05101-JA05123
22			October 1, 1997		
23	22	202.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Affirmance filed November 16, 2006		JA05124-JA05143
24	22	203.	Confidential Execution Manual,		JA05144-JA05186
25		203.	Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison		JA05177-JA05160
26			1 charry, 110 tada o anto 1 113011		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	22	204.	Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner, United States Supreme Court Case No. 03-6821, David Larry Nelson v. Donal Campbell and Grantt Culliver, October Term, 2003		JA05187-JA05211
5	22	205.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A. Zimmers, David A. Lubarsky, and		JA05212-JA05214
6 7			Jonathan P. Sheldon, <u>Inadequate</u> Anaesthesia in Lethal <u>Injection for</u> Execution, Vol. 365, April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com		
9	22 23	206.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M.D., dated May 16, 2006, including attached exhibits		JA05215-JA05298 JA05299-JA05340
10 11	23	207.	"Lethal Injection: Chemical		JA05341-JA05348
12			Asphyxiation?" Teresa A. Zimmers, Jonathan Sheldon, David A. Lubarsky, Francisco Lopez-Munoz,		
13			Linda Waterman, Richard Weisman, Leonida G. Kniaris, <u>PloS Medicine</u> ,		
14			April 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 4		
15 16	23	208.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief		JA05349-JA05452
17 18	23	209.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Reply Brief		JA05453-JA05488
19	23	210.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05489-JA05538
20			Opening Brief, filed May 19, 2005		
21	24	211.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05539-JA05568
22			Reply Brief, filed September 28, 2005		
23	24	212.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05569-JA05588
24 25			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental Brief as Ordered by		
26			this Court filed December 22, 2005		
27					
28			29		
20			۷۶		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	24	213.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Order Directing Oral Argument filed		JA05589-JA05591
4			March 16, 2006		
5	24	214.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Transcript of Oral Argument on June 13, 2006		JA05592-JA05627
6	24	215.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05628-JA05635
7 8			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Petition for Rehearing filed December 11, 2006		
9	24	216.	Supplemental Points and Authorities		JA05636-JA05737
10			in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and attached exhibits filed August 8,		
11			2002		
12 13	24	217.	Letter dated August 20, 2004 from Rippo to Judge Mosley		JA05738
14	24	218.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, filed March 24, 1994		JA05739-JA05741
15	2.4	210			14.05742 14.05792
16 17	24	219.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Jury Instructions, filed March 6, 1996		JA05742-JA05782
18	25	220.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Notice of Alibi, filed September 2,		JA05783-JA05785
19			1993		
20	25	221.	Affidavit of Alice May Starr dated January 26, 1994		JA05786-JA05791
21	25	222.	Letter dated October 12, 1993 from Starr to President Clinton		JA05792-JA05795
22   23	25	223.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Order Sealing Affidavit (and		JA05796-JA05801
24			exhibits), dated September 30, 1993		
25	25	224.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Report dated		JA05802-JA05803
26			September 30, 1993		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	25	225.	Letter dated November ??, 1993 from Starr to Rex Bell, District Attorney		JA05804-JA05807
4 5	25	226.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C57388, Draft Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea		JA05808-JA05812
6 7	25	227.	Justice Court Record, Thomas Edward Sims		JA05813-JA05881
8	25 26 27	228.	Justice Court Record, Michael Angelo Beaudoin		JA05882-JA06032 JA06033-JA06282 JA06283-JA06334
9 10 11	27	229.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Voluntary Statement of Michael Angelo Beaudoin dated March 1, 1992		JA06335-JA06349
12 13	27	230.	Justice Court Record, Michael Thomas Christos		JA06350-JA06403
14	27	231.	Justice Court Record, David Jeffrey Levine		JA06404-JA06417
15 16	27	232.	Justice Court Record, James Robert Ison		JA06418-JA06427
17	27	233.	MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) Scoring for Diana Hunt dated September 2, 1992		JA06428-JA06434
18 19	27	234.	Handwritten Declaration of James Ison dated November 30, 2007		JA06435-JA06436
20 21	27	235.	Handwritten Declaration of David Levine dated November 20, 2007		JA06437-JA06438
22 23	27	236.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed August		JA06439-JA06483
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	27	237.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Motion to Dismiss for Outrageous Government Misconduct, filed September 13, 1996		JA06484-JA06511
27		<u> </u>			

1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	2	8	238.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2, December 3, 1997		JA06512-JA06689
4 5		.8 .9	239.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, December 4, 1997		JA06690-JA06761 JA06762-JA06933
6 7		9	240.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4, December 8, 1997		JA06734-JA07011 JA07012-JA07133
8 9		0	241.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6, December 10, 1997		JA07134-JA07261 JA07262-JA06332
10 11	3	1	242.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, December 15, 1997		JA07333-JA07382
12 13		1 2	243.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9, December 16, 1997		JA07383-JA07511 JA07512-JA07525
14 15	3	2	244.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Respondent's Answering Brief, filed February 14, 1997		JA07526-JA07641
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	3	2	245.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed December 2, 1997		JA07642-JA07709
19 20	3	2	246.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 124980, Criminal Court Minutes		JA07710-JA07713
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	3	2	247.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Motion for New Trial, filed April 29, 1996		JA07714-JA07719
24 25 26	3	2	248.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Superseding Criminal Indictment, filed May 6, 1997		JA07720-JA07751
26						

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	249.	In the Matter of the Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing the Interception of Wire Communications dated October 11, 1995		JA07752-JA07756
5 6	33	250.	Clark County School District Records for Michael D. Rippo		JA07757-JA07762
7	33	251.	Neuropsychological Assessment, Thomas F. Kinsora, Ph.D., dated February 1, 1996		JA07763-JA07772
8 9 10	33	252.	Addendum to Neurological Assessment Report, Thomas F. Kinsors, Ph.D., dated March 12, 1996		JA07773-JA07775
11 12	33	253.	Pre-Sentence Report, State v. Rippo, Case No. 97388, dated April 23, 1982		JA07776-JA07782
13 14	33	254.	Psychiatric Evaluation, Norton A. Roitman, M.D., dated February 17, 1996		JA07783-JA07789
15 16	33	255.	SCOPE printout for Carole Ann Rippo		JA07790
17	33	256.	Progress Reports dated October 15, 1981		JA07791-JA07792
18 19	33	257.	Supplemental Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA07793-JA07801
20 21	33	258.	County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981  Order, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 9, 1981		JA07802-JA07803
22 23	33	259.	Terms of Probation, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 1, 1981		JA07804-JA07805
24 25	33	260.	Transcript of Proceedings, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 14, 1981		JA07806-JA07811
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	261.	Petition No. 1, Recommendation for Adjudication and Order of Approval, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 19, 1981		JA07812
5 6	33	262.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 8, 1981		JA07813
7 8	33	263.	Certification, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed October 19, 1981		JA07814
9 10	33	264.	Probation Officer's Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981		JA07815-JA07823
11 12	33	265.	Baseline Psychiatric Evaluation, Southern Desert Correctional Center, by Franklin D. Master, M.D., dated April 9, 1982		JA07824
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	33	266.	Confidential Psychological Evaluation by Eric S. Smith, Ph.D., Timothy L, Boyles, M.A., James F. Triggs, Ed.D., dated February 11, 1982		JA07825-JA07827
17 18	33	267.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07828-JA07829
19 20	33	268.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07830-JA07831
21 22	33	269.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07832-JA07833
23 24	33	270.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07834-JA07835
25 26	33	271.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
27					

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	272.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
4 5	33	273.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Arrest Report dated January 27, 1982		JA07838
6 7	33	274.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 29, 1982		JA07839-JA07840
8 9 10	33	275.	Certification Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 23, 1982		JA07841-JA07853
11 12	33	276.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 2, 1982		JA07854
13 14	33	277.	Judgment of Conviction, Case No. C57388, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Clark County, Nevada, filed May 28, 1982		JA07855
15	33	278.	Psychological Report: Corrections Master, dated June 2, 1982		JA07856-JA07859
16 17	33	279.	Test of Educational Development dated March 9, 1983		JA07860-JA07862
18	33	280.	Psychological Evaluation dated December 2, 1983		JA07863
19 20	33	281.	Parole Progress Report, March 1985 Agenda		JA07864-JA07865
21	33	282.	Institutional Progress Report, March 1987 Agenda		JA07866-JA07868
22   23	33	283.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated January 29, 1987		JA07869
24	33	284.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated August 12, 1988		JA07870
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	33	285.	Parole Progress Report, September 1988 Agenda		JA07871-JA07872
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	286.	Psychological Evaluation dated August 23, 1989		JA07873
4	33	287.	Parole Progress Report, September 1989 Agenda		JA07874-JA07875
5	33	288.	Parole Officers' Notes beginning December 4, 1989		JA07876-JA07884
6 7	33	289.	Institutional Progress Report dated May 1993		JA07885-JA07886
8	33	290.	Health Services, Psychology Referral Form dated April 28, 1993		JA07887
9	33	291.	Handwritten notes dated February 17, 1994		JA07888
11	33	292.	Handwritten notes dated March 9, 1994		JA07889
12 13	33	293.	Handwritten exam notes (Roitman) dated January 13, 1996		JA07890-JA07894
14	33	294.	Psychological Panel Results Notification dated January 10, 1996		JA07895
15 16	33	295.	Norton A. Roitman, Addendum, dated March 11, 1996		JA07896-JA07897
17	33	296.	Bongiovanni Off the Bench, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07898-JA07899
18 19	33	297.	Fraud probe led to judge, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07900
20	33	298.	Charge opens judge's race, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07901-JA07902
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	33	299.	Judge Bongiovanni Indicted, <i>Las</i> Vegas Sun, April 18, 1986		JA07903
23	33	300.	Judge's actions examined, Las Vegas Review-Journal, April 19, 1996		JA07904-JA07906
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	33	301.	Mental Health Progress Notes dated June 20, 1993		JA07907
26 27	33	302.	Affidavit of David M. Schieck dated March 16, 1998		JA07908

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	303.	Declaration of Carole A. Duncan dated January 19, 2000		JA07909-JA07910
4	33	304.	Union Free School #24, Pupil History Record, Michael Campanelli		JA07911-JA07912
5 6	33 34	305.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7, October 27, 1998		JA07913-JA08006 JA08007-JA08039
7 8	34	306.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, October 28, 1998		JA08040-JA08155
9 .0 .1	34	307.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Emergency Motion to Disqualify John Fadgen, Esq. From Representing Defendant Bongiovanni at Trial, July 24, 1997		JA08156-JA08225
.2		308.	OMITTED		
.3	34	309.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-		JA08226-JA08246
.4			96-98-LDG(RJJ), Notice of Tape Recordings Intended for Use in Government's Case in Chief, filed August 2, 1996		
.6	35	310.	Letter from Donald J. Green requesting additional discovery dated July 9, 1996		JA08247-JA08253
.8	35	311.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5, December 9, 1997		JA08254-JA08399
0	35	312.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA08400-JA08405
1			District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Answer		
2			in Opposition to Motion for New Trial, filed May 1, 1996		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2		35	313.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08406-JA08413
3 4				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances		
5				Numbered I and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
6				Circumstance Number 4, filed August 20, 1993		
7		35	314.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08414-JA08417
8				Nevada, Case No. 106784, State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance		
10				Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
11				Circumstance Number 4, filed February 11, 1994		
12 13		35	315.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08418-JA08419
14				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
15		35	316.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special		JA08420-JA08421
16				Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
17 18		35 36	317.	Social History		JA08422-JA08496 JA08497-8538
19		36	318.	Parental Agreement, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA08539
20				County, Nevada, dated April 29, 1981		
21		36	319.	Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., and Thomas J. Reidy, Ph.D., <u>Integrating</u>		JA08540-JA08564
22				Base Rate Data in Violence Risk Assessments at Capital Sentencing,		
23 24				16 Behavioral Sciences and the Law 71, 88-89 (1998)		
25		36	320.	Letter from Michael Rippo to Steve Wolfson dated April 17, 1996		JA08565
26		36	321.	Report of Jonathan Mack, Ph.D.		JA08566-JA08596
27			<u> </u>			

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
36	322. Trial Exhibit: Photograph of Michael Rippo		JA08597
36	323. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Application and Order for Fee in Excess of Statutory Amount for Investigator, filed December 3, 1996		JA08598-JA08605
36	324. Wiretap Transcript, Tommy Simms [sic], dated June 8, 1992		JA08606-JA08609
36	325. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Continued Initial Arraignment, heard March 25, 1982		JA08610-JA08619
36	326. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Further Proceedings and/or Continued Initial Arraignment heard March 30, 1982		JA08620-JA08626
36	327. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C106784, Instructions to the Jury, filed March 14, 1996		JA08627-JA08652
36	328. Declaration of Elisabeth B. Stanton, dated January 15, 2008		JA08653-JA08664
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	06/09/08	JA11564-JA11574
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	09/16/08	JA11575-JA11585
1	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/06/92	JA00242-JA00245
2	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/20/92	JA00246-JA00251
36	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel	02/11/08	JA08665-JA08668
2	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Proceedings; Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District	02/14/94	JA00378-JA00399

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	Attorney's Office		
19	Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/10/04	JA04347-JA04408
48	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing	09/22/08	JA11586-JA11602
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Attorney General's Motion to Quash and for Protective Order	09/20/93	JA00316-JA00319
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Motion to Continue Jury Trial	09/10/93	JA00304-JA00315
3	Reporter's Transcript of Motions Hearing	03/09/94	JA00565-JA00569
18	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary [sic] Hearing	11/27/02	JA04202-JA04204
19	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Honorable Donald M. Mosely	08/20/04	JA04321-JA04346
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Argument and Decision	05/02/02	JA04048-JA04051
1	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Grand Jury	06/04/92	JA00001-JA00234
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1; 10:00 a.m.	01/30/96	JA00634-JA00641
3 4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 1:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00642-JA00725 JA00726
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. III; 3:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00727-JA00795
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:15 AM	01/31/96	JA00796-JA00888
4 5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	01/31/96	JA00889-JA00975 JA00976-JA01025
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I; 10:20 a.m.	02/01/96	JA01026-JA01219
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. VI; 10:20 a.m.	02/02/96	JA01220-JA01401
5B	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1, 1:30 p.m.	02/05/96	JA01401-001 to JA01401-179
5 6	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 2:30 p.m.	02/02/96	JA01402-JA01469 JA01470-JA01506

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
7	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/06/96	JA01507-JA01688
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	02/06/96	JA01689-JA01766
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 1:45 PM	02/07/96	JA01767 JA01872
8 9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/08/96	JA01887-JA01938 JA01939-JA02054
9 10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:45 AM	02/26/96	JA02055-JA02188 JA02189-JA02232
10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00AM	02/27/96	JA02233-JA02404
11	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	02/28/96	JA02405-JA02602
12 13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:35 a.m.	02/29/96	JA02630-JA02879 JA02880-JA02885
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial 9:00 AM	03/01/96	JA02886-JA03064
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	03/04/96	JA03065-JA03120
14	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00 a.m.	03/05/96	JA03121-JA03357
16	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. 1 11:30 a.m.	03/13/96	JA03594-JA03808
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 9:30 AM	03/14/96	JA03841-JA04001
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	03/18/94	JA00575-JA00582
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	04/14/94	JA00591-JA00618
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Penalty Phase 10:00 a.m.	03/12/96	JA03413-JA03593
2 3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office	03/07/94	JA00403-485 JA00486-564

	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings re: Oral Request of District Attorney	01/31/94	JA00322-JA00333
	3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Ruling on Defense Motion	03/11/94	JA00570-JA00574
	17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	05/17/96	JA04014-JA04036
	15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Verdict	03/06/96	JA03403-JA03411
	2	Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to His Defense	02/07/94	JA00351-JA00357
	36 37	State's Motion to Dismiss and Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/23/08	JA08673-JA08746 JA08747-JA08757
	2	State's Motion to Expedite Trial Date or in the Alternative Transfer Case to Another Department	02/16/93	JA00268-JA00273
	2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery and State's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	10/27/92	JA00260-JA00263
	2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	02/07/94	JA00346-JA00350
	18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	10/14/02	JA04154-JA04201
	2	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	02/14/94	JA00367-JA00370
-	18	State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/06/04	JA04259-JA04315
	2	State's Response to Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office and State's Motion to Quash Subpoenas	02/14/94	JA00358-JA00366
	18	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	02/10/04	JA04206-JA04256

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
17 18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	08/08/02	JA04052-JA04090 JA04091-JA04153
15	Verdicts	03/06/96	JA03399-JA03402
16	Verdicts and Special Verdict	03/14/96	JA03835-JA03840

Por the State:

Baniel Seaton, Esq.
Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant:

Steven Wolfson, Esq.
Philip Dunleavy, Esq.
Attorneys at Law

Reported by: Danette L. Antonacci, CCR #222
Official Court Reporter

. 1	IN	DEX	
2		, .	PAGE
3	WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:	•	
<b>`4</b>	Angela Sposito Cross Examination (c)	by Mr. Wolfson:	4
- <b>5</b>		•	
6	Direct Examination by 1 Cross Examination by M	Mr. Harmon: r. Dunleavv:	6 27
. 7	Redirect Examination by	y Mr. Harmon:	37
	Wendy Liston		
9	Direct Examination by	Mr. Seaton:	43
10			
11	man and a second se		
12			•
13			
14			
. 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			•
24			
25			
•	1 2		<del>,</del>
	DANETTE L. ANTONACCI,	CCR #222 (702) 455-	4672
•			

1		EXHIBITS
2		PAGE ADMITTED
3	State's Exhibits	! :
: 4	Exhibit 1 photo	44
. 5	Exhibit 2 photo	50
6	Exhibit 4 calendar	<b>59</b>
; <b>7</b>	Exhibit 66 photo	57
.8	Exhibit 67 photo	57
9		
10		•
11		
12	•	•
13	· ·	}
. 15		: 
16		
17		:
18	:	:
19		
20		i i
21		
22		
23		1
24		•
25	:	

	1	LAS V	EGAS, CLARK COUNTY: TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 1996
* .	<b>, 2</b>		00000
	<b>.</b>	•	
	4		THE COURT: Cross examination of Angela Sposito.
	<u>S</u>		MR. WOLFSON: s-p-o-s-1-t-o.
	<b>: 6</b>	;	THE COURT: All right.
r 4	7		
	8		CROSS EXAMINATION (continued)
	9		
	10	BY MR. WO	LPSON:
	11	. 0	Eventually you gave a statement to the police.
	12	·-	
	;	;	ay it was at the police department or there at the
•	13	store?	
	14	A	At my store.
. '	15	Q	Did they take you to a private area where you
	16	sat down	so that you could be in private?
•	17	. A	Yea.
	18	. <b>Q</b>	And how long did this statement take for you to
ı	19	give?	
•	20	A	I'd say approximately twenty minutes.
, ,	ģ1	Q	If I were to ask you to estimate the number of
. ;	22	days afte	r the transaction which we know cocurred on
·	23	February	19th that you sat down with the detective and gave
	24	your volu	ntary statement, how many days later would be your
•	25	best esti	
:		The second secon	
•	,	14 %	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

² <b>1</b>	A Within fourteen days.
2	Q Within. That means you think it was about
; <b>3</b>	fourteen days later?
<b>, 4</b>	A Yes.
. 5	g So if the detective's report says that it was on
6	February 20th, that would be an error?
. <b>7</b>	A I'm not sure. All I know is that when I saw it
. 8	on the news, it was not within a very long period of time
9	after that then, maybe just a couple of weeks that I heard
10	from them. I didn't remember it as datewise, just time
11	span.
12	Q Okay. And you are estimating as you said within
13	two weeks?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q But February 20th is the next day. Could you
16	have given the statement the next day or is it more like
17	ten days to two weeks later?
18	A I would say it's more like ten days, in my
19	recollection more like ten days.
50	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you. No further questions.
21	MR. SEATON: No redirect, Judge.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused.
23	Teresa Perillo.
24	THE CLERK: Remain standing and raise your right
25	hand.

٠.	1	•	· •	IERESA PERILLO,
	2	called as	a witness by	the State, having been first duly
	<b>,</b> 3	sworn to	tell the trut	h, the whole truth, and nothing but
	4	the truth	, testified a	s follows:
	5		* ·	
٠.	6	•	THE CLERK:	State your name and spell your last
	· <b>7</b>	name for	the record.	
	· В		THE WITNESS	: Teresa Perillo.
	9		THE COURT:	Spell it please.
	10	•	THE WITNESS	: T-e-r-e-s-a, P-e-r-i-l-l-o.
	11		THE CLERK:	Thank you.
•	12		•	
	13		DI	RECT EXAMINATION
	14			
	15	BY MR. HA	rmon:	
	1.6	. Q	Is it Miss	or Mrs. Perillo?
	17	A	Miss.	
	18	Q	Do you curr	ently live outside of the State of
	19	Nevada?	1	:
	20	A	Yes, I do n	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	21	Q	Did you res	ide for a period of time in Las
	22	Vegas?		
:	23	A	Yes, I did.	f !
	24	. Q	How long di	d you live here?
	25	A	Approximate	ly ten years.
				₹

	Q	Were you living in Las Vegas in February 1992?
2		Yes, I was.
: :3	9	During that time frame did you know a person
. 4	identified	as Thomas Christos?
5	A	Yes, I did.
6	ି . ହ	How is it that you knew Mr. Christos?
7	<b>A</b>	I lived with him at his residence.
. 8	Q	Do you recall either the specific address or the
9	street on	which you lived with Mr. Christos?
10	A	Yes, 2801 Autumn Haze.
11	; <b>Q</b>	That is in Las Vegas?
12	<b>A</b>	Yes, it is.
13	<b>Q</b>	Do you recall what part of the city it was in?
14	<b>A</b>	It's on the outskirts.
15	Q	How long did you live at that address with Tom
<b>†</b> e	Christos?	· • •
17	A ·	About a year.
18	ं <b>२</b>	Were you boyfriend and girl friend?
19	<b>A</b>	Yes, we were.
50	, <b>'Q</b>	Did anyone alse live with you at least for part
21	of the tim	e at the address on Autumn Haze?
52	A	Yes, Carrie Burns.
23	, ; Q	How long did Carrie Burns live there?
24	A	Off and on for six months.
25	Q	Did you in February 1992 know a lady identified

	1	as Diana Hun	49
			÷
	2	. :	es, I did.
	· 3 ·	Q H	ow did you know Miss Hunt?
	. 4	AI	met her through Carrie. She came to the
	.5	house.	
	· <b>6</b>	Q D	id you learn whether Carrie Burns and Diana
	· <b>7</b>	Hunt had a r	elationship?
	; <b>8</b>	A Y	es, they were cousins.
	9	Q D	id you know the defendant in this action, Miks
	10	Rippo?	1
٠.	11	, A I	met him. I did not know him.
	12	Q L	et us say as of February the 19th, 1992, how
	13	many times h	ad you seen Mike Rippo?
	14	A A	s of that time none. I talked to him on the
	15	phone.	;
	16	Q B	Aut up to that date you had never seen him in
	i7	person?	
	18	A Y	es, before the 19th is when I met him.
	19		didn't hear your answer.
	20	, ,	efore the 19th is when I met him.
	21	*****	h. So that was my question. As of February
	22	* *	92, how many times had you seen him?
	÷~ 23	÷	nce or twice.
	:	*	;
	24		ers you a friend of Mike Rippo?
	25	A sy N	o.
		•	

1	Ω	Simply an acquaintance?
. 2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What about Diana Hunt, in February of 1992 were
· 4	you a fri	end of hers?
. 5	A	Still an acquaintance.
· 6	Q	Do you have a birthday in February?
. <b>7</b>	A	Yes, on the 19th.
.8	Q	Did you see Diana Hunt on February the 19th,
9	1992?	
10	Α	Yes, I did.
11	Q	Where did you see her?
12	A	At my residence.
1.3	Q	2861 Autumn Haze?
14	A	2801.
15	Q	Oh, I'm sorry, 2801 Autumn Haze.
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Had you been expecting her to come by on your
18	birthday,	February the 19th?
19	A	No.
20	Q	About what time did you see her?
. : 21	, A	Approximately 6:00 or 6:30 in the evening.
22	, <b>Q</b>	Did she come to the residence by herself or with
23	someone e	lse?
24	A	By herself.
25	Q	Did you notice whether she was driving a
		·

- 1 particular vehicle? 2 A Yes, she was. She was driving a Dodge Colt. 3 Q Had you seen the Dodge Colt before? A Yes, I had : 5 Did you associate that vehicle with any Q particular person? - 6 7 A. Yes, I assumed it was her car. . 8 Why did you make that assumption? ∶ 9 A Because I had seen her drive it a couple of 10 times. 11 Had you ever seen her drive any other type of 12 car up to that point when she arrived on your birthday between 6:00 and 6:30 p.m.? 13 14 A No, I had not. 15 Q Did you have occasion after the arrival of Diana 1,6 Hunt in the Dodge Colt to go anywhere with her? 17 Yes, I did, She was going to take me shopping. Do you remember how it happened that she was 18 19 going to take you shopping?
- 20 Yes. She said we'd go to the mall and we left the house. We left in the Colt. And then she drove out to 21 Cheyenne area on 95 and she said that since it was my 22 birthday and I was dressed so nice that I should be riding 23 24 in a nicer car and she drove up alongside of this car with 25 a cover on, parked beside it, took the cover off the car

J	and then we left from there in that car.
2	Q Will you describe the car that Diana Hunt took a
3	cover off of?
- 4	A Yes, it was a marcon colored, I believe 3002X
· <b>5</b>	Nissan.
6	Q Had you ever seen that vehicle prior to that
7	date?
. 8	A No.
9	Q Did you know Denise Lizzi?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q What about Laurie Jacobson?
12	A No.
13	Q To your knowledge had you ever met or seen those
14	persons prior to February the 19th, 1992?
15	A No, I had not.
16	Q What about Denny Mason, did you know him?
17	A No.
18	Q To the best of your memory, what was the
19	location of this Nissan vehicle when you saw the cover
20	taken off of it by Diana Hunt?
21	A Somewhere off of Cheyenne and 95.
<b>22</b>	Q Did she tell you either as you were driving to
23	that location or at the location how it was that she had

After we had got in the car she told me that she

access to the car?

<b>1</b> .	reposd it from somebody, that it was a bad drug deal.
2	Q She repoed it; repossessed it?
<b>3</b>	A That's what she said.
- 4	Q Did you question her any further about how she
· <b>5</b>	happened to have it?
đ	A Not really because I really didn't want to know.
. 7	Q Did she have a key to the car?
8	A Yes, she did.
<sup>2</sup> 9	Q Do you remember now if the key was in the car or
10	if she had the key on her person?
11	A I don't know.
1.2	Q What happened to Diana Hunt's Dodge Colt?
13	A She left it at the spot where we picked up the
1.4	Nissan.
15	Q In some type of parking space?
16	A Yes, at an apartment complex.
17	Q Where did you go after you changed into the
<b>†8</b>	Nisaan?
19	A Then we went to the mail.
20	Q To which mail?
31	A To the Meadows Mall.
22	Q Is this the Meadows Mall in Las Vegas?
: <b>23</b>	A Yes, it is.
24	Q Do you have a best estimate of what time the two
25	of you arrived there?

1	<b>A</b>	Approximately 8:00 p.m.
, <b>2</b>	· Q	What happened after you arrived at the Meadows
. 3	Mal17	
. 4	A	We went to Dillards which is a department store
÷ 5	and she p	urchased me some perfume for my birthday.
6	· Q	Do you remember the brand of the perfume?
7	λ	Yes, it was Obsession.
: . <b>8</b>	Q	Was it one bottle or several bottles of perfume?
. 9	A	Two, one bottle for me and a bottle of cologne
10	for my bo	yfriend.
11	. <b>Q</b>	What was the brand of the cologne?
12	A	Obsession.
13	. Q	They were both Obsession?
14	Ą	Uh-huh.
15	Q	That's yes?
16	. <b>A</b>	Yes.
17	Q	Do you know whether Miss Hunt paid cash or used
18	some type	of credit card for the purchase of the perfume?
19	<b>A</b>	She did use a credit card.
20	. <b>Q</b>	Do you know what type of credit card it was?
21	· A	Not positively, no.
22	, Q	You say not positively.
23	. <b>A</b>	I thought it was a Dillards card.
24	. Q	You mentioned you were at the Dillards store.
25	. А	Yes.
	•	i i

	1.	Q So your frame of mind is you thought it was a
4	2	Dillards card, but you re not sure what type of credit
:	3	card?
: 2	4	A Correct.
. 9	5	MR. DUNLEAVY: It's been asked and answered,
	6	your Honor.
Ĵ	7	MR. HARMON: Asked and answered again
. 1	8	apparently.
 • •	9	THE COURT: She may answer.
. 1	0	THE WITNESS: Yes, I was
1	1	THE COURT: Go ahead, you may answer.
1:	2	You forgot what you wanted to say.
1:	3	Ask another question.
1	4	BY MR. HARMON:
1	5	Q I was asking you what your frame of mind was
1	6	regarding the card. Do you know it was a credit card?
1	7	A Yes, I do.
1	8	Q Do you know for sure what kind of credit card it
1	9	Was?
2	0	A No.
2	3	Q Are you certain it was a credit card
. 2	2	transaction?
2	3	A Yes, I am.
\$	4	Q While you were at the Meadows Mall do you know
3	5	whether Diana Hunt telephoned or tried to call someone?
4		
.'		DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

	and the state of t
1	A Yes, she did. After we left the store, it was
. 2	almost nine o'clock, the stores were closing and she tried
3	to phone a Mike. She didn't give a last name.
· <b>4</b>	Q You say after you left the store. Are you
5	referring to Dillards?
6	A Uh-huh. We were inside the mall.
. <b>7</b>	Q Did she tell you beforehand that she was going
. 8	to try to call someone, named Mike?
9	A Yea.
10	Q Did she tell you why she wanted to call Mike?
11	A He was supposed to bring her some money.
12	Q Did you see her attempt to telephone someone?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q Do you know whether she spoke with anyone?
15	A No.
16	Q She didn't or you don't know if she did?
<b>1</b> 7	A She didn't.
18	Q What happened them?
19	A Then we left the mall and she said she was going
20	to check by where he worked.
21	Q Check by where who worked?
22	A Where Mike worked. The one that was supposed to
23	meat her. And we drove by there and she didn't see his
24	car.
25	Q Where was it that you drove by?
¢.	
	DANESTER T. ANTHONACCT. CCR #222 (702) 455+4672

	1	A	It was off of Spring Mountain and Valley View I
	2 de	o believe.	
,	<b>3</b> .	Q :	You think somewhere in that neighborhood?
21	4	A	Yes.
	5	Q	Was this a business that she drove by?
	6	A	Yes, it was.
•	7	Q ·	Do you remember the name of the business?
	:	Α ,	No, I den't
	9	<b>Q</b> i	Did you know a person named Tom Sims?
i	Q	A	No, I don't.
1	1	Q	You don't know and for that reason I take it you
. 1	2 d:	idn't bac	on February the 19th?
1	3	A	Correct, I did not.
1	4	Q	Did she tell you she didn't see the car of Mike?
. 1	5	A	Yes, that was her comment when we drove by.
1	6	Q	Where did you go then?
i	7	A	We went to the Marker Down which is a bar. I
1	od <sub>:</sub> 8	eliove it	's in the same area. And we went in and she
1	9 it:	ried to ca	all him again from inside the bar.
2	<b>o</b> :	Q	She tried to call who?
2		λ	Mike.
2	2	Q	Do you know whether she was successful based
. Ż	3 u	pon what	she said to you?
. 3	4	<b>A</b> ,	No. As far as I know she never contacted him
2	5 t)	hat night.	

	<b>; 1</b>	Q How long did you remain with Diana Hunt at the
	2	Marker Down, a bar?
	3	A I'm not sure the time we left, I know wa went
,	4	from there to Club Rock and we were there until 5:00 in the
	5	morning.
	. 6	Q Were both of you drinking during this period of
	7	time?
	. 8	A Yes, heavily.
:	9	Q Let's just focus on yourself for the time being.
	10	Did you become intoxicated?
	11	A Yes, I did.
	12	Q Do you know whether there was any effort to
	Ĺ3	communicate at some point that night with your boyfriend,
• •	14	Tom Christos?
	15	A She had called him earlier from the Marker Down.
٠.	16	Q She meaning Diana Hunt?
	17	A Yes, I did not talk to him.
:	18	Q How do you
	19	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I ask him to lay a
:	20	foundation.
	21	MR. HARMON: I'm just starting to.
	22	THE COURT: As to time.
1 ( 1 ( 1 (	23	MR. HARMON: Yes.
· ·	24	BY MR. HARMON:
	25	Q How do you know that Diana Hunt called Tom
•		
		DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672
	;	

. 1	Christos earlier that evening?
2	A Well, I saw her make the phone call and I heard
3	her talking to him and then she told me that he said don't
; <b>4</b>	bother to bring her there if she's intoxicated.
· 5	Q You said this call was made from the Marker Down
, 6	bar?
7	A Yes, it was.
8	Are you able to tell us about what time of the
9	evening it was?
10	A No, I could not.
11	Q You said you stayed at the Club Rock till about
12	five o'clock in the morning?
13	A Yes, we did-
14	Q That would now be February the 20th, 1992?
15	A Correct.
16	Q What happened at about five o'clock in the
17	morning?
18	A She said that she had a motel room and we could
19	go spend the night there and get cleaned up.
20	Q Did you go spend the night at a motel or hotel
21.	room?
52	A Yes, we did.
23	Q Where did you go?
24	A The Gold Coast.

Do you have a best estimate of about what time

1.	it was th	at you arrived at the Gold Coast?
2	Q	I would say about 5:30 or 6:00 in the morning.
. 3	Q	Do you remember what room you went to?
4	A	It was ten thirty something. I'm not sure of
5	the exact	number.
6	Q	Sometime after your arrival at the Gold Coast,
7	room ten	thirty something, did Diana Hunt leave to go
	somewhere	ें इ
.9	A	Yes, she left and she said she needed to go to
10	my reside	nce to pick up a phone book that had some
11	paperwork	for the car in it.
12	· Q	If you were to give us a best estimate, about
13	when woul	d you say it was that she left?
14	A	I would say afternoon, about three o'clock.
15	Q	Is the date still February the 20th?
16	A	Yes, it is.
17	<u>Q</u>	Did you expect her to be gone for a long time?
18	<b>A</b>	No, it's approximately twenty minutes to my
19	house and	twenty minutes back, so I expected about an hour.
20	Q	How long was she gone?
21	A	Till about 8:30 or nine o'clock in the evening.
22	· Q	so you're estimating from perhaps three o'clock
23	in the a	ternoon to 8:00 or 9:00 p.m. Diana Hunt was gone?
24	, <b>A</b>	Yes.
25	· Q	What basically did you do during that time

.*		•	20
1	frame?		
. 2	A Sat in the room, kind	of paced around.	I
. 3	flipped the TV on and that's when	I decided to ch	ack the TV
. 4	because it has a billing on the T	/s. I saw that	that came
, <b>Š</b>	up and I flipped that on and that	's when I saw De	nny
6	Mason's name on the billing on the	TV.	
- 7	Q Did you discover at the	at time that the	room was
8	rented in the name Denny Mason?		
9	A Yes.	·	
10	Q That was based upon who	at you read conc	erning the
11	bill from the TV monitor?		
j2	A Correct.		
13	Q Up to that point did yo	ou have any idea	in whose
14	name the room had been rented?		٠
15	A No, I did not.		
ļ6	Q Did you have access to	or in your poss	ession any
17	type of credit card in the name D	enny Mason?	
18	λ Me, no.		
19	Q Had you actually seen	whether Diana Hu	int had a
20	oredit card in that name?	·	

A Well, that's the name she signed at the mall

when we went to the mall.

23 Q You actually saw what name she signed?

4 A Yes.

25 Q And by the mall you're talking about the Meadows

1 Mall? 2 A Yes. And are you referring to the transaction 3 regarding the perfume at Dillards? A Yes, I am. 6 What happened when Diana Hunt arrived back at the Gold Coast? 7 By that time it was nine o'clock in the evening and the gentleman that was with us from the Club Rock had : 9 10 to go back. So we took him to his motel room and then back 11 to the club and we proceeded to go to a friend's house. 12 His name was Rick Guthrie, a friend of mine. 13 Q The last name was Guthrie? . 14 A Yes, 15 Will you spell Guthrie please? Q 16 A G-u-t-h-i-e -- I'm sorry, h-r-i-e. 17 Q G-u-t-h-r-i-e? A Yes. 18 Had there been any conversation between you and 19 20 Diana Hunt about the reason for going to see your friend Rick Guthrie? 21 22 A Yes, she told me she wanted to purchase a gun. 23 Q A gun? Yes. 24 A 25 Q. Did she tell you why she wanted to purchase a

gun? A No, she didh't. Were you still riding with Diana Hunt in this : 3 Q Rissan vehicle that she had uncovered off of Cheyenne road? - 4 5 A Yes, we were. What happened when you got to Rick Guthrie's 6 .7 place? We went inside. He was by himself. And she . 9 proceeded to talk to him about business. I kind of sat on 10 the other side of the room and as far as I know there was 11 no transaction for a gun, but she had asked him for spray paint, if he had any primer paint. 12 13 Q Do you know whether Miss Hunt got some primer 14 paint from Rick Guthrie? 15 Yes, he gave her a can of paint and before we A 16 left that residence she had painted the fender of the car, said she wanted to change the appearance. 17 18 Did you see Rick Guthrie give a can of primer 19 paint to Diana Hunt? 20 A Yes, I did. Do you know if she paid for it or if he just 21 Q 22 gave her the paint? 23 A He gave it to her. 24 Q You said before you left the residence she had

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455~4672

painted?

25

: 1	A Yes, right out on the street. She took the can
2	and spray painted the bumper or the fender.
3	Q Were you outside on the street when she did that
4	or were you still inside the residence?
5	A No, I was outside.
, 6	Q So you saw her do it?
7	A Yes, I did.
· 8	Q Did she tell you why she wanted to change the
9	appearance of the car?
10	A No.
11	Q Do you remember what part of the vehicle she
12	spray painted?
13	A Yes, the driver's fender. Front fender.
14	Q would you recognize a picture of the car if you
15	saw it?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. HARMON: May we have the Court's indulgence,
18	your Honor?
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	BY MR. HARMON:
21	Q I'm showing you Proposed Exhibits 64 and 65.
22	Miss Perillo, will you examine the photographs and tell us
23	if you recognize the car shown in them.

When you say that's the car, you're referring to

Yes, that's the car.

1	the car s	pray painted by Miss Hunt?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Outside the residence of Rick Guthrie?
. 4	A	Correct.
. 5	Q :	Is this the same car that you rode in with her
. 6	on Februa	ry the 19th and February the 20th, 1992?
7	<b>A</b>	Yes, it is.
. 8	Q	Is this the same car she took the cover off of?
9	A .	Yes, it is.
10	Q	Thank you.
11	:	Do you think you would recognize the
12	photograp	h also of Miss Hunt's Dodge Colt?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14		MR. HARMON: And may we again have the Court's
15	indulgeno	e, your Honor?
16		THE COURT: Yes.
17		MR. HARMON: May I again approach the witness,
18	your Hono	r?.
19		THE COURT; Yes.
30	!	MR. DUNLEAVY: Mel, what's the number?
21		MR. HARMON: 74.
22	BY MR. HA	RMON:
23	Q	I'm showing you Proposed Exhibit 74. Do you
24	recognize	the car shown in that picture?
25	, <b>A</b>	Yes, that looks like her car.
	1	
	DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

:

1	. Q	When you say that looks like her car, are you
2	talking a	bout the car of Diana Hunt?
3	. A	Yes, I am.
- 54	· Q	This would be the car you rode from Autumn Haze
5	to the lo	cation out off of Cheyenne?
6	A	Yes.
· <b>7</b>	Q.	February the 19th, 1992; is that correct?
,8	A	That's correct.
.9	. Q	What happened efter Miss Hunt spray painted the
10	Nissan?	
11	. Α	Then she took me to my residence and I got out
12	and staye	d at the house. She tried to talk me into leaving
13	with her.	
14	Q	What do you mean leaving with her?
1,5	A	She wanted me to just go with her, take off and
16	leave tow	n.
. 17	Q	She indicated she wanted to get out of Las
18	Vegas?	
j'a	<b>A</b>	Yes, she did.
20	Q	Did she indicate any place specifically that she
21	wanted to	go
22	<b>X</b>	No.
23	Q	to with you?
24	Å A	No.
25	Q	Did you want to leave town at that time?
٠.	DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

:	1	A	I if I did it wasn't with her.
:	. 2	Q	So you didn't agree to leave town with her?
	3	. A	No.
	} : <b>4</b>	Q	About what time was it that you got back to your
1	<u> 5</u>	residence	on Autumn Haze?
	6	A A	I would say close to 10:00 or 11:00 in the
	7	evening.	
x x	· 8	Q.	The date is still February the 20th?
	÷9	A	Yes.
<u>,</u> ,	io		Now to digress for just a moment.
	11	•	When she came back to the Gold Cost about
:	12	nine olo1	ook in the evening, did she have some clothing of
	13	yours?	env to res eablited, str bus neas some crocuting or
;	£		
	14	A ·	Yes. She brought back a bag of stuff. When she
	<b>15</b>		to the house she put a bunch of my stuff into a
:	16 :	suitcase	and brought it with her.
	17	Q.	She brought that with her to the hotel room?
	18	A	Yes, she did.
	19	Q	Did you have further contact with Diana Hunt
:	20	after app	roximately 10:00 or 11:00 p.m. on February the
· ·	21	20th?	
	22	A	No, I did npt.
•	23	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	MR. HARMON: That concludes direct, your Honor.
	24	•	THE COURT: Cross examination,
	25		
:			
		DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

## CROSS EXAMINATION

: 2

3	BY	MR.	DUNL	eavy:

- 4 Q You were living with Tom Christos at the time?
- 5 A Yes, I was.
- 6 Q And what did he do for a living?
- 7 A At that time he was not working.
- 8 Q Was he dealing in stolen vehicles?
- 9 A Not that I know of.
- 10 Q You never heard of that?
- 11 A No.
  - 12 Q Did he help anybody that you knew of get
  - 13 paperwork for dars?
  - 14 A That I did know, yes.
  - 15 Q But they were all legitimate care?
  - 16 A I don't know.
  - 17 Q Now you went to the area of Cheyenne and 95 to
  - 18 pick up this car; is that right?
  - 19 A That's correct.
  - 20 Q Did you know a Deidre D'Amore?
  - 21 A | No.
  - 22 Q Do you know where she lived?
  - 23 A No.
  - 24 Q This car was under a tarp when you saw it or a
  - 25 car cover of some kind?

	•	and the state of t
1	A	Yes, car cover.
2	Q	And Diana had the keys?
- 3	, A .	Yes, she did.
- 4	Q (	What did she do with the car cover when she took
. 5	it off?	
6	A	I don't remember.
7	Q.	she told you that she had gotten this car in a
:8	repo in a	drug deal?
9	<b>A</b> .	That's what she said, yes.
10	Q	You didn't ask her any further questions about
11	that?	· :
1.2	A	No.
. 13	Q	Did you believe her?
14	A	Uhm, I don't didn't really know.
15	8	Did you know if she was involved in drugs?
16	<b>A</b>	Not for certain, no.
17	Q	There came a time when you and she went to the
18	Meadows M	all, right?
19	<b>A</b>	Yes.
30	Q	Went shopping?
31	A	Yea.
22	<b>Q</b> :	And you said at one time you thought she used a
23	Dillards	card.
24	A	Yes.
: 25	Q	You were with her when the purchases were made?
; · .		

1	A Yes, I was.
2	Q I'd like to show you what has been marked as
3	Defense Proposed Exhibit D. This is supposed to be the
<b>.</b> 4	credit card bill of Denny Mason's credit card. Do you see
· <b>5</b>	any purchases on there for this cologne and perfume?
, <b>, 6</b>	A No, I don't.
7	Q Did you in fact see her with a Dillards credit
8	card?
<b>' . 9</b>	A No. I know she used a credit card.
10	Q Do you remember stating that she tried to use a
11	Dillards card and they had a problem with the account?
12	A Yes, I do.
13	Q Did you see the card when they had the problem?
14	A I don't remember.
15	Q How did you know it was a Dillards card?
16	A I'm not sure.
17	Q She did buy the stuff with the oredit card,
18	right?
19	A I think so.
20	Q Do you remember seeing the card at all? Was it
21	gold or blue or any distinctive color that you can
22	remember?
23	A I don't remember.
24	Q It's been a long time?
25	A It has been a long time.

2 contact her friend Mike.	
g granation	
3 A Uh-huh.	
4 Q And how did she do	that?
5 A On a pay phone in the	he mall.
6 Q She went to the pay	phone in the mall?
7 A Yes.	
8 Q Did you go with her	
9 A Yes, I did.	
10 Q Did she make more to	han one phone call?
11 A I do believe so, ye	98,
12 Q Do you know who els	se she called?
13 A No.	
14 Q Do you know if she	called the police?
15 A No.	
16 Q She could have if s	the had wanted to, right?
17 A Yes.	
18 Q You wouldn't have p	prevented her?
19 A Oh no.	
20 Q Then you went to th	ne Marker Down?
21 A Yes.	
22 Q Did some partying t	here, did some drinking, she
23 went to the pay phone, made a	couple phone calls.
24 A Yes.	
25 Q You know that at le	east once she talked to your

. <b>1</b>	boyfriend	Tom?
. 2	A	Yes.
3	Q :	And she also apparently called to try and find
4	this Mike	person. Do you know if she tried to call the
5	police?	; ;
. 6	A	No, I don't.
: <b>7</b>	Q į	She could have if she had wanted to?
<b>`8</b>	<b>A</b>	She could have.
;	<b>Q</b> .	Then you went to the Club Rock?
10	A	Uh-huh.
11	. 9	And you did some partying at the Club Rock,
12	right?	
13	A	Correct.
. 14	, <b>Q</b>	You said you got drunk?
15	<b>A</b>	Yes.
16	Ç	Birthday.
17	:	Now there came a time, I think you said
18	it was ab	out five o'clock in the morning, when it was time
19	to leave	there.
20	À	Yes.
21	, Q	And she said I have a motel room?
22	A	Yes.
	Q	Did she already have this motel?
23 24	<b>. . .</b>	Yes.
25	Q Q	She already had the key?
	•	
	ĎΑ	 NETTE L. ANTONACCI. CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

	•	
1	Q	Did you later find some of your items missing?
2	λ	Yes, I did.
: :3	Q	What kind of things turned up missing?
4	<b>, A</b> ;	A phone book. It belonged to me. And I'm not
· 5	sure what	else. It's been so long.
: 6	. Q	Was there any clothing missing?
7	A	I don't even know what all the clothing that she
.8	took from	the house so apparently there could be.
9	Q	Do you remember saying there was also a massager
10	that was	missing?
11	, <b>A</b>	Yes.
12	Q	Do you know who took those items?
13	λ	Diana had them with her at the motel.
14	Ď	Did she have your permission to go get all these
15	things?	
16	. A	No, she did not.
1.7	Q	Did you ever get all these things back?
18	A	No, I did not.
19	. Q	When she came to your house did she drop some
20	things of	<b>£?</b>
21	<b>A</b>	The day of my birthday you mean?
22	Q	Uh-huh.
23	A	No. The things were already at the house I do
24	beliave.	
25	<b>Q</b> 	Do you remember talking about a book and some
\$ }		
;	DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

1	cellular	phones?
2	<b>A</b> .	Yes.
3	Q	She had more than one cellular phone?
4	A	Yes.
. 5	Q	Do you know if any of those worked?
. 6	λ	No, I don'ti.
. 7	Q ;	Now when she picked you up, the car hadn't been
8	painted y	et, right?
9	A	No.
10	Ö	As a matter of fact you took her to your
. <b>11</b>	friend's,	Rick Guthrie's house?
12	Α	Yes.
13	, <b>Q</b>	Did she know Rick?
14	A	No, she didn't.
<b>15</b>	, Q	He wasn't her friend, he was your friend?
16	<b>A</b> ,	He was my friend, correct.
17	Q	She talked to him about maybe buying a gun?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And borrowed some paint?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And painted the car. That would be on the 20th?
22	A	Yes, that would.
23	Q	So she didn't check into the hotel with you with
24	the paint	already painted on the car?
25	A	Correct.
	DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

: :	L	Q	And you were outside watching when the thing was
	2 .	painted?	
•	3	<b>A</b> .	Yes, I was.
Á	•	Q ·	Anybody else out there?
- 1	5	A į	I don't remember. I think Rick probably walked
. (		out with p	is. I'm not sure.
;	7	Q	Do you remember indicating that Diana had some
	3	identifica	tion of some other people with her?
	€ :	<b>.</b> A	Yes, I do.
10	ρ.	Q :	What did she have?
1.	l.	<b>A</b> .	Different driver's licenses. As far as I
1:	2	remember s	couple different driver's licenses.
1	3	Q	Women's driver's licenses?
: 1	4	<b>A</b>	Yes.
1	5	Q	Do you remember who they were?
1	6	A	I do remember seeing the name Denise Lizzi on
1'	7	one of the	identifications.
1:	8	Q	She had a driver's license or some kind of
1	9	I.D	· ' !
2(	0	<b></b> .	Yes.
<b>, 2</b> :	<b>1</b> .	Q	with the name Denise Lizzi on it and she had
2	2	more than	one?
2	3	A	Yes, she had a couple.
2	4	· Q	Was she in fact trying to alter those?
· <b>2</b> !	5	A	Yes. That's why I remember the name is because
		•	
		DAI	WETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

;	1	she wanted to change that she told me to Denny Mason on the
, 1	2	one driver's license.
ž i	3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you. I have no further
. •	4	questions of this witness, your Honor.
	5	THE COURT: Redirect.
, (	6	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
	7	·
1	8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	٥	BY MR. HARMON:
1	1	Q What property of yours was it that you didn't
1	2	get back?
ļ	3	A The thing I remember most is the telephone book,
1	4	address book. With names and addresses in it.
1	5	As I remember your testimony you indicated that
1	6	between 8:00 or 9:00 in the evening she came back to the
1	7	Gold Coast Hotel room.
1	8	A Correct.
1	9	Q And was it in the suitcase that she put your
2	0	belongings?
2	1	A I don't think that the address book was in the
2	2	suitcase, no.
	3	Q But she came with a suitcase?
	4	A Yes, she did.
2	5	Q What happened to the items that were in the

1	sui	tca	86?
---	-----	-----	-----

- 2 A I don't know if I left them in the car when she
- 3 dropped me off or --
- 4 Q That's what I wanted to clarify, how you became
- 5 separated from the items she brought to the hotel room.
- 6 A Well, she had them in the car and she was still
- 7 trying to get me to leave with her and she got in a fight
- '8 with Thomas at the house.
- 9 Q With Thomas Christos?
- 10 A Yes. And I stayed there and she left. So I
  - 11 wouldn't come back outside. So that's how I got separated.
  - 12 Q So I as far as you know the suitcase and your
  - 13 clothes were still in the Nissan?
  - 14 A Yes.
  - 15 Q Now tell us when it was that you saw that Diana
  - 16 Hunt had different pieces of identification in her
  - 17 possession,
  - 18 A That was back at the Gold Coast.
  - 19 Q While you were inside the room?
  - 20 A Yes, in the room.
  - 21 Q Ten thirty something?
  - 22 A Yes.
  - 23 Q And you indicated it was different driver's
  - 24 licenses?
  - 25 A Yes.

Q Now did you mention one identification or two in **.** 1 2 the name Denise Lizzi? A I just remember the one. She showed it to me 3 and she was trying to see if I could help her change the name on it and I did not do that. What was the nature of that piece of 6 7 identification? A That was a driver's license, 8 9 Now you also mentioned something about identification in the name Denny Mason. 10 Yes. She said the credit card was in Denny 11 12 Mason, that's why she wanted an I.D. that also said Denny 13 Mason. 14 Did you ever actually see the credit card that had Denny Mason on it? 15 16 A I don't remember. 17 When was it; that you were contacted by the police and gave a statement to them setting out the 18 19 information you were aware of? : That would be the following week. 20 : Have you had a copy of your statement to read 21 Q 22 over to assist you in refreshing your memory? Yes, I have: 23 A Do you have a copy of it with you? 24 A No, I don't; 25

- 1 Q Without referring to the statement, do you
- 2 remember the exact date of the interview?
- A No, I don't.
- 4 Q But you think it would have been the following
- 5 week?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 MR. HARMON: May we have the Court's indulgence,
- 8 your Honor?
- 9 THE COURT: Certainly.
- MR. HARMON: Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. HARMON:
- 12 Q If you looked at the statement would that help
- 13 you refresh your memory regarding the exact date?
- 14 A I'm sure it would.
- 15 MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness, your
- 16 Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. HARMON:
- 19 Q I'm showing you a document that has your name
- 20 typed in. It appears to be a statement given by you to the
- 21 police.
- 22 A Yes. It was approximately two weeks after my
- 23 birthday.
- 24 Q Would you read to yourself the paragraph
- 25 describing the location and date of the interview?

1	A This is the
2	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, this is interesting,
3	but it goes beyond the area of redirect. I never went into
4	what date she made this statement.
, <b>5</b>	THE COURT: Go ahead.
, <b>6</b>	MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge.
7	BY MR. HARMON;
8	Q I don't want you to read it out loud, I want you
. 9	to read it to yourself and tell us if you're able to
10	refresh your memory regarding the exact date of the
11	interview.
1.2	A Yes. It was March 5th.
13	Q 1992?
14	A 1992.
15	Q Did you attempt at that time to fully cooperate
16	with the police?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q You didn't really know well, you weren't a
19	friend of any of the principals in this case?
20	A Correct.
21	MR. HARMON: Thank you. That's all, your Honor.
<b>22</b>	THE COURT: Recross?
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: No questions.
24	THE COURT: Thank you very much. You're
25	excused.

	1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	2	THE COURT: Let's take about a five minute
	3	recess.
	4	Don't discuss this case among yourselves
,	5	or with anyone else; or read, watch or listen to any report
	6	or commentary on the case by any medium of information; or
	· <b>7</b>	form or express any opinion on this matter until it is
	8	finally submitted to you.
	9	
	io	(Recess.)
	11	
	12	THE COURT: Who is your next witness?
	13	MR. HARMON: Wendy Liston.
	14	THE COURT: Wendy Liston.
	15	Counsel stipulate to the presence of the
	16	jury?
	17	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, your Honor.
	18	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
	19	THE COURT: Okay.
	20	THE CLERK: Remain standing and raise your right
	<b>21</b>	hand.
	22	
:	23	
	24	
	25	
		$\cdot$

1		WENDY LISTON,
2	called as	a witness by the State, having been first duly
3	sworn to	tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
4	the truth	, testified as follows:
· 5		· :
6		THE CLERK: State your name and spell your last
7	name for	the record.
. 8	¢	THE WITNESS: Wendy Liston, L-i-s-t-o-n.
9		THE CLERK: Thank you.
10		
. 11	:	DIRECT EXAMINATION
1.2	•	
13	BY MR. SE	FATON:
14	<b>Q</b>	Do you live in Las Vegas?
15	A	Yes, I do.
16	Q	And have you for sometime?
17	A	Yes.
1.8	Q	Did you know a Laurie Jacobson?
7.9	<b>A</b>	Yes.
20	i ' Q	How long had you known Laurie Jacobson?
. 21	А	About three years.
22	Q	And this was before 1992?
23	<b>A</b>	Yes.
24	Q	How had you met her?
25	A	We worked together at a bar called Tramps.

<b>1</b>	Q How were you employed at the bar called Tramps?
2	A I was bartender.
3	Q And how was she employed?
4	A Cocktail waitress.
5	Q Showing you what's been marked as State's
6	Proposed Exhibit 1, do you recognize the individual
7	depicted in that photograph?
8	A Laurie Jacobson.
9	Q And you had known her for about three years?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Is that a fair and accurate representation of
12	what Lauris Jacobson looked like?
13	
	A Yes.
14	MR. SEATON: I move for its admission, Judge.
15	MR. WOLFSON: No objection.
16	THE COURT: It will be admitted.
17	
18	(State's Exhibit No. 1 admitted
19	in evidence.)
20	
21	BY MR. SEATON:
22	Q And when was it that you the two of you worked
23	at Tramps?
24	A Oh, 1991, 1990.
25	Q Did you ever live together?
	DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

			41
8	, <b>1</b>	<b>А</b> :	Yes.
	. 2	Q	And when did that occur?
	3	A.	We lived together for two years, 1990 and 1991.
	4		
	.4	Q	Was there anyone else who lived with the two of
		you?	
	<u>.</u>	À	Yes.
	· · <b>7</b>	Q	Who?
	8	A	My girl friend Michelle.
1	9	Q	And anyone else?
	; 10	A	Му мфл.
	11	Q	How old is your son now?
	12	. А	My son is ten.
	: 13	. Q	Where did all of you live?
	14	<b>A</b>	In Green Valley, 2001 Ramrod.
	15	Q	And that was, did you say that was in '89 and
	16	: -'90 or '9	0 and 1917 I can't recall.
	17	λ.	; :
	18	Q	In those days when you first started living
	19	;	with Laurie Jacobson, what kind of a person was
		she?	with realist offormul, and ville or a betach ass
	20	1	
	21	w	A happy person. Very witty. Friendly, Kind
	22	÷	ean. Just a good, wholesome person. Farm girl,
7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8	23	that's wh	at I used to call her.
	24	q	Where was she from?
	25	A	Montana,
		; ;	

1	_		46
1.	<b>Q</b> ,	Did you kno	w her parents?
. 2	<b>A</b> ;	Yes.	
3	Q ;	They're fro	m Montana as well?
4	<b>A</b>	Yes.	· ·
5	Q	In those da	ys did she have anything to do with
: 6	drugs the	t you were aw	are of?
7	<b>A</b> :	No.	
8		MR. DUNLEAV	Y: Is that no she didn't know or no
9	she didn'	t do drugs?	
10	; ;	THE WITNESS	: Not to my knowledge.
11	BY MR. SE	ATON:	
12	Q.	You don't h	ave any awareness of any involvement
13	that Laur	ie Jacobson h	ad in the early days of your living
14	together	with her with	drugs?
15	A	I have no k	nowledge, yes, that's correct.
16	•		ome a time when Laurie Jacobson
<b>17</b>	started p	artying a lit	tle bit?
<b>18</b>	<b>A</b>	Yes.	i : :
<b>19</b>	Q	How long in	to your relationship with her, how
20	long had	you lived wit	h her when that started to occur?
21	·	1	gether for probably about a year and
<b>32</b>	1		ted to indulge.
23		Indulge in?	
<b>24</b>	A,	A lot of dr	inking and some drugs.

And you became aware of that?

	: '	
1	<b>. A</b>	Yes.
2	Q = 1	Did you approve?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Did you talk to her about it?
5	A	Yes.
, 6	Q	Did you try to help her with that particular
<b>. 7</b>	problem?	
	A	Yes.
9	Q	Was she willing to accept your help?
10	Α	No.
iı	· Q	Did that problem end up causing a rift between
12	the two o	f you?
. 13	A	Yes.
14	<u>Q</u>	Tell us about that.
15	A	Well, it became a problem. My concern for my
16	son being	in the household and the kind of people she was
17	hanging o	ut with and the drug use ended up in my asking her
1.8	to move.	
19	Q	Did she indulge in druge at your house?
20	<b>, A</b>	Yes.
21	<b>Q</b>	In a place where you son might become aware of
22	it?	
23	, <b>A</b>	In her room basically, but still in my home.
24	Q	And did she have friends come over of whom you
25	did not a	pprove?
*	•	
±	D2	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

		1				,	<u>:</u>
   <del>-</del>						, :	45
MRippo-07030-01414	1.00	9	+		1	A	Yes.
				:	. 2	<b>Q</b> :	And you asked her to leave?
					: 3	A	Yes.
<u>=</u>		, i. '			: 4	Q ;	Did she?
	,	; :			5	, <b>A</b>	Yes.
					, <b>6</b>	Q	When she left and after she left, what was your
				; :	: : <b>7</b>	relations	hip, how would you characterize it?
				t	: 8	, A	We remained friends and I continued to try to
			•		; • 9	help her.	And on several occasions we would discuss her
					10	moving ba	ck to Salt Lake to be with her husband and her
			•	•	11	child and	basically I just wanted her to leave Las Vegas.
	460	r.		*	12	It Wasn't	doing good for her.
					13	Q	She had a child?
					14	, <b>A</b>	Yes. She has a daughter. Had a daughter.
					15	Q	What was that child's name?
	the state of		: :		16	<b>A</b> :	Brittany.
					17	Q	And Brittany you said lived in Salt Lake City?
					18	<b>A</b>	Salt Lake City.
	1	ا بندي ا ا		, ;	19	ે ે દ્વ	With:whom?
	A TAPE			; ;	20	λ	With her father Dave.
	THE CONTRACTOR				21	: <b>Q</b>	
	100			٠.	22	divorce?	
		 	: •		23		I believe they had gotten a divorce.
	And Shirt Cont.		•		24		Did she resist your efforts to talk her into
	The control of the co		•		25		ck to Salt Lake with Brittany and Dave?
	William Cale				** **	an a t was A ' war	
ı	1.4	<b>2</b> ': 1					;

1	A She pondered, pondered the thought in her mind,
2	and then it would just kind of float away and she would
· 3	continue to be the Laurie that she turned into, partying
, 4	and hanging out with her friends.
5	Q And how about an individual by the name of
б	Deniss Lizzi, did you know that person?
: <b>7</b>	A I knew yeah, I knew her.
8	Q Had you met her?
9	A Yes.
10	Q You had seen her a number of times?
11	A On a few occasions.
12	Q Showing you what has been marked as State's
13	Proposed Exhibit 2, do you recognize the individual
14	depicted in that photograph?
15	A Yeah, with blond hair.
16	Q And that would be
<b>i</b> 7	A She had blonde hair.
18	Q This is
19	A Denise.
20	Q But this picture shows her with dark hair?
21	A Dark hair.
22	Q You remember her?
23	A It resembles her. She looked different. She
24	looked different.

Because of the hair?

. 1	A Skinnier. Blonde hair. A little faster.
<u>;</u> 2	Q How long before her death had you gotten to know
3	her?
4	A Not very long. Approximately six months.
·	Q Other than those changes, can you recognize the
. 6	facial features as those of Denise Lizzi?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. SEATON: I move for the introduction of 2.
· 9	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I object. It looks
ÌΟ	similar to her, I can recognize some of the facial
11	features, but the hair is different, the weight is
12	different. It's not an accurate representation and that's
13	what it's supposed to be is an accurate representation
14	contemporaneous in time.
15	MR. SEATON: It's supposed to be a picture that
16	she recognizes as the individual named.
17	THE COURT: State's 2 will be admitted.
18	
19	(State's Exhibit No. 2 admitted
20	in evidence.)
21	
22	MR. SEATON: Thank you. May I publish it,
23	Judge.
24	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
25	

21

22

23

25

Q

A

Q

51 BY MR. SEATON: . 1 And what sort of a relationship did you maintain 2 Q 3 with Denise Lizzi? Just someone that I knew through Laurie. Just an acquaintance. Laurie introduced her to you? Yes. A Q And do you recall how and when she introduced 9 уоц? 10 Yes. A Tell us about that, 11 12 Laurie and I had been out drinking one night and A 'I was really intoxicated and I didn't want to drive home. 13 14 :Laurie took me somewhere, I don't even really remember 15 where, but when I woke up Denise was there. And that's how 16 I met her. What was Denise --17 Q 18 A The next day. 19 What was Denise doing the next day when you awoke? 20

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

Yeah, she was sweet. She was really sweet.

drink. I was a little hung over.

Did you get along with her?

I believe she was trying to give me something to

Do you know whether or not she did drugs at all?

1	A	At that time?
2	Q	Yes.
3	<b>A</b>	When I met her, no, I had no idea.
4	Ω ;	Did you later learn?
5	A	Oh, yeah.
6	Q	What did you learn?
7	<b>A</b>	I learned that Laurie would obtain drugs through
. 8	Denise or	through a friend associated with Denise.
9	Q	Do you know that friend?
ijφ	Å	And that they partied together. That's about
11	1t.	; ;
12	Q	Do you know that friend?
13	<b>A</b>	One of them.
. 14	Q	Tell us the name please.
15	<b>A</b> .	Michael Rippo.
16	Q .	Do you know whether or not Denise Lizzi dld
17	druge int	ravenously?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q :	How do you know that?
20	A	We would be in the same apartment together
21	. basically	•
22	! Q	We meaning you and Denise?
23	A .	Myself, Laurie and Denise, among other
24	individua	<b>1.5 .</b>
25	,	Denise and Laurie
		· ·

		ţ.	
	1	Q.	Take your time. Would you like some water?
	ž	A	They would go into the bathroom so as not to
	3	intraveno	usly do drugs in front of me because it was
	4	something	that I completely didn't want anything to do
	5	with.	
	6	Q	They would do them in the bathroom?
,	* <b>7</b>	A	Yes, together.
	8	Q	You mentioned other people. Would other people
:	v <b>ģ</b>	do the sa	me thing?
	<b>10</b>	A	Yes.
:	11	Q	You had mentioned before that Mr. Rippo was
	12	involved	with the two of them insofar as drugs were
	13	concerned	·
	14	A	Yes.
	15	Ç	Was he involved in these incidents of taking
	16	drugs int	ravenously in the bathroom?
	17	A	Yes.
•	18	Q	I want to take you to January and February of
	19	1992 just	before her death. Do you know where Laurie
•	20	Jacobson	lived?
: .	21	A	Yes.
	22	<b>Q</b> .	Where?
	23	A	Katle Arms Apartments.
	24	Q	Had you been there before?
:	25	* A	Yes.
•		•	

	. 1	Q Had you been there often?
	2	A Yes.
	. 3	Q What was generally your purpose in going to the
	4	apartment at the Katie Arms to visit Laurie Jacobson?
	5 .	A To take care of her.
	6	Q And What do you mean to take care of her?
	7	A I would go by each day as often as I could
	8	during my lunch hour and I would take her food, anything
	9	that she needed, give her some money to get groceries, gas,
	10	that kind of stuff. Trying to convince her to move from
	11	there. It wasn't a very cool place to be.
	12	Q Court's indulgence for just a moment.
	13	You say you went by to bring food. When
	14	would you do that? What part of the day?
,	15	MR. DUNLEAVY: It's been asked and answered,
	16	your Honor.
	17	THE COURT: Sustained.
	18	BY MR. SEATON:
	19	Q Where were you coming from?
	20	A Paradise and Swenson area.
	21	Q What were you doing there?
	22	A I was a secretary for American International
	23	Services.
	34	Q What does that company do?
	25	A Fire safety. Sales.
	25	A . Fire safety. Sales.

	;		
	7	<b>Q</b> :	And you were employed there as a secretary?
٠.	12	. A	Yes.
.; ,	3	Q	And you would leave on your lunch hour?
1	4	: <b>A</b>	Yes.
		. Q	How often would you leave in that fashion and go
•	· 6	over and	visit Laurie Jacobson?
	; <b>7</b>	<b>A</b>	As often as I could.
	8	Q .	Showing you what's been admitted as State's
:	9	Exhibit 5	, do you recognise the front of this building?
	10	A	Yes.
	11	Q	And what is it?
::	12	A	Laurie's apartments.
i	13	. 0	Do you see Laurie's door on that particular
,	14	photograp	n?·
	1.5	A :	Third floor.
•	15	Q	There is a red marking circle around a door.
•	17	Where is	it in relationship to that circle?
	18	A	I believe the number on the apartment was 312 or
•	19	317.	
• • •	20	Q	312 or 317?
	<b>2</b> 1	<b>A</b>	Yeah.
	22	: Q	All right. Thank you.
	23	A ·	It's been a while.
<u>.</u>	24	Q	Do you know if Lauris Jacobson had a car?
	25	A	A car?
,		•	
		DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

	•	1		οφ
1	, Q	Yes.		
. 2	A	Yes, she did.		
3	: <b>Q</b>	What kind of	a car was it?	
4	Ā	A 2802.		
5	· Q	Was it in ver	y good working order?	
· •	A	No.	• •	
7	. Q	Let me show y	ou 66 and 67 and ask if you c	an
. 8	identify	the automobile	shown in those photographs.	
. 9	A	That's Laurie	s's car.	
10	Q.	Look at both	photographs if you would.	e .
11	Å	Uh-huh.		
12	· Q	Do you recogn	nize the automobile in 66 and	67 as
13	being the	automobile own	ned and driven by Laurie Jacob	son?
14	A	¥es.		
15	<u> </u>	Can you reco	mize the area in which those	
16	photograp	ha were taken?		
17	A	This is in I:	cont of Katie Arms Apartments.	And
18	I don't r	ecognize this	rea.	
19	Q	The one in fi	cont of Katie Arms is the pict	ure
20	66?	i i		
21	A	Yes.		
22	Q	And the other	you don't recognize?	
23	A	I don't reco	nize that.	
24	Q	Is this what	her car looked like at the ti	me you
. 25	last gav	it?		

		*		_	
	. ,	1	A,	Yes.	
		2		MR. SEATON:	I move for the admission of 66 and
		<u>*</u> 3	67, Judge	•	; , ,
	, ,	· · 4	•	MR. DUNLEAV	Y: No objection, your Honor.
	*	5		THE COURT:	They'll be admitted.
		6	:	•	
,	· ·	7	:	(St	ete's Exhibit Nos. 66 and 67 admitted
	•	8		ln	evidence.)
	• •	· 9	,	•	<b>!</b>
		i io	BY MR. SE	ATON;	
		11	Q	And Denise	Lizzi let me get I'll come back
		12	to that o	ne later.	
		13	•	I'm g	oing to publish these if I might,
		14	Judge.		!
		15	,	THE COURT:	All right.
		į6	BY MR. SE	ATON:	· ·
		1,7	Ď	During the	time that Laurie Jacobson lived with
	٠.	18	you in Gr	een Vallay, d	ld you see how she handled her car
	4	19	and apart	ment keys, wh	at her habits were regarding those
		20	things?		
	• •	21	A	Yes.	
,	*	22	, Q	What were h	er habits in terms of her keys
		23	relative	to the car?	Would she leave her keys in the car
į	1 2	24	or always	take them or	what did you notice?
	.,	25	A	There would	be occasions where she would leave
				• •	
			DA	NETTE L. ANTO	NACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672
-					•

....

•	58
1	the keys in the car on the floorboard or under the seat.
2	Most of the time she would have her keys with her in the
3	house.
4	Q Did she have a house key for your place when she
: <b>5</b>	lived there?
6	A Oh, yes.
7	Q And would the house key be kept on the same key
, 8	ring as the car keys?
: 9	A Oh, yes.
10	Q Would she ever to your knowledge leave the car
11	keys in the ignition of the car?
12	A Not to my knowledge.
13	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, could we have it put
14	in perspective what year this habit was.
15	MR. SEATON: I think I had asked her but I'll
16	ask again.
.17	BY MR. SEATON:
18	Q Your familiarity with these habits, did that
19	come about from the time that she lived with you in Green
50	Valley?
. 21	A Yes.
22	Q When was the last time that you saw Laurie?
23	A The Monday before she died.

prepared to help you remember these days and events?

Have you looked at a calendar that has been

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE

3.	her on th	e 16th?
. 2	A	Yes.
	Q	Approximately what time of the day or night was
. 4	that?	
. 5	A	It was in the evening. Between 8:00 and 10:00.
6	Š	What was your purpose in going to her apartment
: <b>7</b>	on that e	vening?
8	<b>A</b>	She had asked me to come by. She called and
: 9	asked me	to come and sit with her. She was a little
10	nervous.	Something was going on with her.
11		MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object on
1.2	the groun	ds of hearsay.
13		THE WITNESS: She wouldn't say
14		THE COURT: Stop talking when there is an
15	objection	
16		MR. WOLFSON: Hearsay, your Honor.
17		MR. SEATON: I'll reword the question, Judge.
18		THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MR. SE	ATON:
20	` <b>Q</b>	What was your purpose in going over there?
21	. A	To check on her and make sure she was okay and
22	make sure	everything was all right, if she needed anything.
23	:	When you got there was she in her apartment?
24	A	Yes.
35	Q	Was anyone in there with her?

. 1	Ä	Yes.
2	Q	And who was that?
. 3	A	Mike Rippo.
, 4	Q	Had you seen Mike Rippo before?
\$	A	Yes.
6	Q	On a number of occasions?
7	A	A couple of occasions.
8	Q	Is he present in court today?
9	A	Yes, he is.
10	: Q	Would you point to him and tell us what he's
11	wearing r	ight now?
12	A	Blue sweater, pink shirt, slacks.
13		MR. SEATON: May the record reflect
14	identific	nation of the defendant, Judge?
15		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
<b>1</b> 6	BY MR. SE	MATON:
17	Q	Was there anyone else in the apartment besides,
18	once you	got in there, yourself, Laurie Jacobson and
19	Michael R	Soggill
20	A A	No one.
<b>. 41</b>	Q	When you got there what were Michael Rippo and
22	Laurie Ja	cobson doing?
23	<b>A</b>	They were discussing some morphine that Laurie
24	had.	
25	Q	Did you see that morphine?

ı	A Yes, I did.	
2	Q What did it look like?	
· 3	A It was in a bottle probably this big.	
4	Q You're showing the distance between you	E
5	fingers. What would you estimate that distance to	ba?
6	A Three inches.	
· 7	Q Three inches.	
8	A Laurie had	
9	MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, I'm going to	object.
10	Anything that Laurie says, with all due respect, i	蓝
11	hearsay.	
12	MR. SEATON: Well, Judge, the rule is t	hat the
13	discussions held by the defendant, including those	people
14	who were in his presence, are not hearsay and are	
15	admissible.	
16	MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me. I don't know	of any
17	rule that says what other people say in the presen	ce of
18	Michael Rippo are an exception to the hearsay rule	. What
19	Michael Rippo said is not hearsay. What other peo	ple said
20	is.	
<b>31</b>	THE COURT: Sustain the objection.	
22	BY MR. SEATON:	
23	Q Tell us what Michael Rippo was saying.	
24	A That he would take the morphine from La	urie to
25	get rid of it for her so that she could have money	to pay

	1	her rent.	
•	, <b>2</b>	Q	Was, she having difficulty paying her rent at
	3	that time	
	4	A	Yes, she was.
	5	Q	Do you know whether or not she was in arrears,
	- 6	late in h	er rent payment?
•	7	* A	Yes, she was.
	. 8	•	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, your Honor. It would
٠.	9	call for	hearsay. How else would she know.
	10		THE COURT: : Sustained.
	11		MR. DUNLEAVY: I ask that it be striken.
	12		THE COURT: It will be striken.
	13	BY MR. SE	ATON:
	14	Q	While you were in the apartment that evening on
•	15	Sunday, F	ebruary the 16th, were any drugs used by anyone?
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	Who used drugs?
•	18	A	Mike and Laurie.
	19	Q	How did they do it?
•	20	· <b>A</b>	Intravenously.
	21	Q	Did they use that particular morphine?
	22	A	Yes,
	23	. Q	Did you see them do it or did they go to the
,	24	bathroom	with the things that they use?
	25	, <b>A</b>	They went into the bathroom with the morphine,
			•

これは神経のでははなるとればないからないないのでは、神経など、これは、これでは、これはないはないはないないというできます。 これにはいい かいしょうしゅう かいしょうしゅう

. 1	needles, ar	nd came out bussed.
2	Q	You saw all of those things?
: 3	A	Yes.
<b>. 4</b>	Q	Now you had mentioned that the last time you saw
5	Laurie was	the next day on the 17th.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q .	And that again is what day?
: 8	<b>A</b>	Monday.
` <b>9</b>	Q .	Monday the 17th, where did you see her at that
10	time?	
11	Α.	At her apartment.
12	Q ·	When?
13	<b>A</b>	During my lunch hour.
14	Q	When you got there to her apartment during your
15	lunch hour	, was anyone else present?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Who?
18	A	Mike Rippo.
19	Q .	The same individual you've just identified here
20	in court a	few minutes ago?
<b>21</b>	* ·	Yes.
22	<b>Q</b>	Anyone else besides the three of you?
<b>23</b>	. <b>A</b> :	No one,
24	Q	What was going on at that time?
25	A :	Laurie needed her tire fixed on her car.

1	Q	Had you seen her tire?
.2	A	Yeah, it was flat.
3		MR. WOLFSON: I'm going to object. It calls for
<b>4</b>	a hearsay	response,
÷ <b>5</b>	BY MR. SE	: NOTA
6	. <b>Q</b>	Was there a discussion regarding the flat tire?
7	<b>A</b>	I was asked to follow her to
8		MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, your Honor. It's a
9	yes or no	answer.
10	BY MR. SE	ATON:
11	. Q	Just was there a discussion about the tire?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did that occur while Mr. Rippo was there?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Did there come a time when he left?
16	<b>A</b>	Yes.
17	Q	That day was there any ingestion of drugs?
18	A	Not to my knowledge.
19	Q	After Mr. Rippo left did you go someplace?
20	. <b>A</b>	Yea.
21	, Q	Did you go with someone else?
22	A	Yes, I did.
23	. <b>Q</b>	Who did did you go with?
24	· A	Laurie.
25	Q	Now did the two of you go?

1	A We first took my car, drove to Discount Tires,
2	the guy said for us to bring her car back and that he would
3	take the tire off and fix it and put it back on. I then
4	went back to the apartment and followed her with my car to
5	drop the car off at Discount Tires.
6	Q So you left the Katie Arms on Tuesday I'm
. <b>7</b>	sorry, Monday
8	A Monday.
. 9	Q the 17th, went to Discount Tire, came back
10	and then had both cars and left?
11	A Yes.
. 12	Q Who drove which car?
13	A I drove my car, Laurie drove her car.
14	Q Did you follow her?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did she drive the black Z that you had just
17	identified a little while ago?
18	A Yes.
19	Q What kind of a car did you have?
20	A I had a red Dodge Daytona.
21	Q Is that a small sports car?
22	A Medium, small, yeah. Medium I would say.
23	Q Look anything like a Camaro?
24	A Similar.
25	Q In terms of size?

23

24

Q

Α

Bagels.

25 Q Where do you have --

Did you ever have lunch that day?

. 1	A I would bring them. I would stop at the bagel
2	place, grab a couple bagels and take them to her house when
3	I go over there.
: 4	Q Was that the typical noon thing you did?
· <b>5</b>	A Yes.
. 6	Q Did you do it on noon on Monday the 17th?
7	A Yes.
· . 8	Q Do you know when you are lunch, was it before
. 9	you went to Dispount Tire or after?
1.0	A Before.
11	Q Before.
. <b>12</b>	So then you dropped her off and you just
13	headed back to work?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And do you know how you do you know whether
16	or not she got her car back?
17	A That day, no, I had no idea.
18	Q Did you have anything to do with the getting
19	back her of her car?
20	A No.
21	Q Where was the particular Discount Tire company
, 32	that you want to?
23	A On Maryland Parkway.
24	Q Where on Maryland Parkway?
25	A Right behind the Katie Arms Apartments.

	12	1	Q	Oh, it's close?
		2	A	Oh, yeah, it was very close.
		3	Q	In terms of blocks, how many blocks?
	-	4	A	A block.
	. ·	5	Q	One block?
		<b>: 6</b>	Ā	Yeah.
	1.3	· <b>7</b>	Q	So that's where you drove to?
		.8	, A	Yeah.
*	1 4	9	•	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to object
	<u>;</u> 	10	to releva	nce. How in the world is a flat tire relevant to
		. 11	this case	
	·   ·	12	,	MR. SEATON: Well, I seem to remember a manager
		13	of the ap	partment, Mr. Hooper, saying that he saw a red car
		14	following	Laurie Jacobson's black Z out on this particular
		15	:date,	
		16		MR. DUNLEAVY: So?
		17		MR. SEATON: I think that just brings that out.
	d rate	18	•	THE COURT: I'll allow it.
		19	BY MR. SI	Aton:
		20	. 0	I'm going to show you what has been marked for
		21	identific	ation as 84B, what appears to be a piece of paper
	) 	22	folded in	half. So I'll ask you just to turn it over to
175.55		23	see both	sides of it, but I don't believe you need to take
		24	it out of	there.
は、「		25		Just look at that document and tell us if
				;

1	it relates at all, to the best of your knowledge, to the
2	Discount Tire store that you took Laurie Jacobson to.
į <b>3</b>	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I object to
• 4	foundation. It's a receipt from a tire store. She doesn't
· 5	work in a tire store. She doesn't know if it's one of how
. 6	many thousands.
7	MR. SEATON: She can tell us by looking at it
8	whether or not she recognizes that it relates to that
9	particular store. That's all I asked her, Judge.
10	THE COURT: I'll allow it.
11	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12	BY MR. SEATON:
13	Q It does? Same store?
<b>14</b>	A Yes.
15	Q Does it appear to be the same transaction that
16	you took Lauris Jacobson to the store for?
17	A Aused tire.
18	Q Is that what she was going there for?
19	Å Yes.
20	Q Thank you.
21	Did you see the date?
22	MR. WOLFSON: Judge, the document is not in
, 23 ·	evidence. I object.
24	MR. SEATON: I'm not showing it to the jury. I
25	just asked her if she saw the date.

	1	:	MR. WOLFSON: She's reading off a document not
	2	yet in evi	denos. Now a little foundation is fine.
,	3		THE COURT: Objection sustained.
•	4	BY MR. SEA	Ton:
Ì	5	. •	When was the next time that you went back to the
	6	apartment	of Laurie Jacobson?
	; 2	A	Tuesday the 18th.
*		Q	Tuesday the 18th of February, 1992?
	9	<b>A</b> :	Yes.
•	10	Q	And what was your purpose in going back that
	11	day?	: : :
4	12	A	Lunch.
	13	<b>Q</b> :	It was noontime again?
:.	14	A	It was lunch time.
	15	. Q	You had bagels with you?
:	16	<b>A</b> ;	Yes.
•	<u>1</u> 7	Q	What happened when you got there?
	18	A	I arrived, pulled up in the parking lot. I saw 🔩
*	19	Denise's c	ear parked there. I had loaned her some boots
,	20	Q	Denise Lizzi?
,	21	A	Yas.
* .	2.2	Q	Before you go on let me show you what has been
	ş3	marked as	State B Exhibit 64 and 65 and ask if you can
	24	identify t	the automobile which is shown in those
	25	photograph	os.
	9'		*

	,	•		72
13		1	. <b>A</b> .	Denise's car.
i d		. 2	•	Does that appear to be her car?
	, 1 <sub>1</sub> ,		·	Yes.
	,	4		One of them, 64, appears to have white on the
	,	.5		, the left front fender quarter panel. Were you
:     Jan		5	•	with that?
		7	<b>A</b> :	No.
\$ 1		8	Q ·	Other than that, does the car appear to be the
	:	9	same?	
,	, .	10	<b>A</b>	Yas.
	,	11	;	Same type?
	': ·	12		Yes,
r i	٠.	13	<b></b> Q	Style, color?
		14	A i	Yes.
		‡* 15	;	You saw this automobile in the parking lot did
	•	16	you say?	The new pitto precompatte the cue beeviled the state
		17	, ,	Von
	•		<b>A</b> ;	Yes.
	• ;	18	Q	Did you also see the automobile of Laurie
	;	19	ļ	the black Z that you had identified earlier?
	, ·	20	<b>A</b> :	Yes.
		21	<b>Q</b> .	This was the day after the Discount Tire trip?
	•	22 :	<b>A</b>	Yes.
		23	Q	Where you left the black Z at the Discount Tire?
		24	A	The day before we left it there, yes.
 7 14 14 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16		25	Q ;	On the 18th?
		! :	•	
	•	:	, DA	NETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672

	*
1	A Yes.
2	Q So today, this day that we're talking about now
3	on the 20th, that same car was back at the apartment
`4	complex?
.5	A I'm talking about Tuesday. Are we talking about
់ទ	Tuesday the 18th?
: 7	Q I'm sorry, I meant the 18th.
8	On the 18th was the black Z of Laurie
9	Jacobson's back at the apartment complex?
io	A Yes.
11	Q And did you notice whether or not the tire was
12	fixed?
13	A Yes, it was.
14	Q It was no longer flat?
15	A No.
16	Q Were the cars parked next to each other?
17	A No, Laurie's car was parked facing the street,
18	Cambridge, and Denise's car was parked in front of the
19	elevators facing the building.
20	Q Did you go upstairs?
21	A Yeah, After I looked in Denise's car, in the
22	back window I saw my boots, I wanted them back. I then
23	went apstairs.
24	Q You had loaned boots to Denise Lizzi?
25	A To Denise, yes. I then went upstairs and
	DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455~4672

	;1	started to knock on the door. Usually I would knock and
:	2	walk in, just a habit of mine.
	3	Q Would
	4	A With her
: .	5	Q I'm sorry, let me interrupt you for a moment.
	6	Would her door typically be open when you
` , <b>!</b>	7	would come and knock?
	8	A Yes.
,	9	Q Was she in the habit of leaving the door of her
1	,0	residence open?
1	.1	A While she was home, yes.
1	.2	Q Was that a pretty regular thing?
1	. 3	A Yes.
1	4	Q What happened when you knocked and tried the
: 1	L <b>5</b>	door?
3	.6	A The door was locked and there was no answer. I
1	.7	continued to knock. I tried the window.
1	.8	Q Did you notice anything unusual about the
. 1	1.9	window?
	0	A Yes, there was a stick in the window.
2	11	Q What do you mean there was a stick in the
*	32	window?
2	23	A Like a broom stick or a mop stick, something
,	24	like that. It was unusual to me because that's not a
7	\$ 5	regular habit of Laurie's.

	a r	
;1	Q Could you tell	
.2	A to put the stick in the window.	
. :3	Q Could you tell the purpose of the stick, what it	
.4	accomplished?	
5	A To not be able to open the window.	
. 6	MR. DUNLEAVY: That calls for a conclusion.	
7	MR. SEATON: I think that's within her	
8	THE COURT:   I'll allow it.	
g	BY MR. SEATON:	
10	Q And did you continue to knock on the door and	
21	the window?	
12	A Yes, I did.	
13	Q And were you successful? Did anyone answer the	
14	door?	
<b>15</b>	A No.	
16	Q Could you hear any voices from within at all?	
17	A No. There were neighbors out, you know, walking	ľ
18	about and, no, I didn't hear anything.	ť
19	Q You could hear nothing from the interior of the	
<b>20</b>	apartment?	
21	A No.	
22	Q Could you hear any music, stereo or anything	
23	like that?	
24	A No.	
25	Q How long were you there?	

Ţ	A A	pproximately ten minutes.
2	Q Di	id you give up and go, leave?
: 3	A Ye	eah, after I said a few things.
4	Q 7 D	id you go back to work them?
5	A Ye	ea.
6	Q WI	hen was the next time you went by the apartment
.7	of Laurie Jac	cobson?
	A I	'm not sure. I'm not sure.
, ₽	Q E	at me ask it to you this way: When was the
io	next time the	at you went by there and there was some
11	activity?	· ·
12	A T	hursday. Thursday.
13	Q I	e that Thursday the 20th?
14	A TI	hursday the 20th.
15	Q WI	hen you drove into the apartment complex what
16	did you see	in the nature of activity?
17	A : A.	lot. I saw news craws and a lot of suits, men
1.8	in suits wal!	king around.
19	Q P	cople dressed like we are dressed?
20	A Y	es. I didn't see Laurie's car and I looked up
21	towards her	apartment and didn't see anything wrong there
22	and I just d	rove, I just kept driving. I didn't want to
23	stop. I thou	ught there was some kind of drug bust or
24	something.	It was no business of mine. I just kept on
25	going.	

1.	Q Did you see Denise's car?
. 2	A No.
, <b>3</b>	Q so neither the red car nor the black car were
4	there in the parking 15t at that time?
· 5	A Correct.
6	Q When did you learn of the deaths of Lauris
7	Jacobson and Denise Lizzi?
8	A Thursday evening.
/ <b>9</b> 2	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may we approach for a
10	second?
11	
12	(Discussion off the record.)
13	
14	THE COURT: All right, we're going to recess for
15	the evening, ladies and gentlemen. We'll begin again
15	tomorrow morning at approximately 10:30. I have a very
1.7	large criminal calendar in the morning but I'll hopefully
18	be done by them. Please bear with us if we aren't.
19	So remember don't converse among
20	yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected
21	with the trial; read, watch or listen to any commentary on
22	the trial by any medium of information including without
23	limitation newspapers, television and radio; and don't form
24	or express any opinion on any subject connected with the
25	trial until the matter is finally submitted to you.
	,

1	So we'll see you tomorrow morning at
2	10:30 a.m. Have a good evening.
3	(Proceedings adjourned.)
.4	* * * * *
5	
, 6	
. <b>7</b>	
. 8	
9	ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of
10	proceedings.
11	
12	
13	
14	Dutte & Cutoracci
15 16	Denette L. Antonacci, CCR #222
17	
18	
19	
: 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
:	
•	DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, CCR #222 (702) 455-4672
:	

ORIGINAL

-FILED IN OPEN COURT-

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

Case No. C106784 Dept. No. IV Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo,

#0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bongiovanni

Wednesday, February 7, 1996, 1:45 o'clock p.m.

Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

JURY TRIAL

VOLUME I

REPORTED BY: Rense Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

CE.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1. Las Vegas, Nevada, February 7, 1996, 1:45 o'clock p.m. 2 3 4 5 (The following proceedings were had in open court in 6 the presence of the Jury:) 7 THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Michael 8 Domon Rippo. 9 Let the record reflect the 10 presence of the defendant, with his attorneys Steven Wolfson 11 and Philip Dunleavy; Dan Seaton, Mel Harmon for the State. 12 Counsel stipulate to the 13 presence of the Jury? 14 MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge. 15 MR, DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I would 17 like to apologize to you. I had a morning calendar that had 18 to be the longest calendar I've had yet. I didn't get done 19 with that until a quarter to one. 20 Call your next witness. 21 MR. SEATON: It's Wendy Liston, Judge. 22 was on the stand when we finished yesterday, 23 THE COURT: Do you understand you are still 24 under oath?

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Γ	
	<u>ì</u>
	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	MR. SEATON: This is the finish of direct
өх	amination.
	THE COURT: We are still on direct?
	THE REPORTER: Yes.
	; :
:Wh	ereupon,
	WENDY LISTON
	the witness on the stand at time of recess,
	having been previously duly sworn, resumed
	the stand and testified further as follows:
	<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u> (Resumed)
	4
BY	MR. SEATON:
	9 Good afternoon.
	A Good afternoon.
•	Q I'll show you a few pieces of evidence.
Th	18 19 92-A, a brown bag.
	Do you recognize that bag at
ql	1?
	A No.
. i	Q Never seen it before or one like it?

1	A No.
2	Q I want to show you the bag full of things.
3	What I'd like you to do is Just
4	get into the bag, without looking real specifically at
5	everything, but look at it well enough to see if there is
6	anything in there that you recognize as having belonged
7	to
8	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Henor, I'd object to not
9	looking specifically. You either look at everything or
10	don't. There is not much sense in having her look not
11	specifically. That doesn't make any sense.
12	THE COURT: She's got to go through the bug.
13	I mean, there is I don't know how many things in there.
14	Move things around and take things out and see if she can
15	find any of these.
16	MR. SEATON: He can ask her item for item on
17	cross-examination if he wishes to, Judge.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Your objection is
19	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, for the record,
20	what did we mark this bag?
21	THE CLERK: It's
.22	THE COURT: Is that bag marked?
23	MR. HARMON: I thought it was marked
24	MR. WOLFSON: It's either 92-A or 92-B.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	MR. HARMON: 92-B.
2	MR. SEATON: It is 92-B.
3	THE COURT: 92-B.
4	BY MR. SEATON:
5	Q And so that the record is clear, I've handed
6	you a bag which is marked 92-B. It has a lot of items in
7	it.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Have you had an opportunity to go through
10	it?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did you see anything in there that was
13	familiar to you at all?
14	A No.
15	Q All right. Now, I want to show you what has
16	been marked as 92-A, I believe. Let me find it
17	THE COURT: No, that can't be A.
18	MR. SEATON: Oh, no. These were all
19	individually marked.
20	THE CLERK: Yeah.
21	BY MR. SEATON:
22	q: I'm going to take these things out or I'll
23	Just put this down. And, one at a time, I want you to go
24	through all of these items.

1.	the hundwriting of Lauri Jacobson?
2	A Yes.
; <b>3</b> .	Q Does this appear to be similar to the
4	handwriting that you had seen of hers before?
5	A Yes
6	Q And so you recognize it from the
<b>7</b> ·	hondwriting?
8	A Yes. And the addresses and the
9	addresses, the names in the book,
10	Q Why the addresses and the names in the book?
11	A Norman, Marie Jacobson, her parents, her
12	brother, her other brother.
13	Q Are you familiar are you familiar with
14	these people?
15	A Yes
16	Q Okay. Sp you are convinced that this is the
17.	address book of Lauri Jacobson?
18	A Yes.
19	Dave Maxwell.
20	Q All right. If you would put 92-A-10 off to
21	the side there, over there you go, and then look through
22	the other items.
23	A Guns and Roses tape.
24	Q What is the number?
, .	

	2		12
<b>3</b>	1		
MRi-Po-07030-01456	: 2	2 1	92-A-17; 92-A-8; 92-A-16; 92-A-5; 92-A-15 and 92-A-9.
<u>رة</u> -		2	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.
456		· 3	BY MR. SEATON:
		4	Q If you would replace those back into the
<b>.</b>	į	5	bag, please.
		6	And tell us, again, once again,
T /m tree about	:	7	the numbers on the two Buns and Roses tapes and on the
**	·   :   :	8	address book
	-i	9	A 92-A-3.
		10	Q Does that
		: · i	
	} :-	11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Could we have her identify
	=	1,2	which is which?
		13	MR. SEATON: Yeoh, let's do that.
		14	BY MR. SEATON:
4 71		15	Q What is that?
ľ	1	1.6	A Guns and Roses.
		17	Q Is that the tape with the handwriting on it
1		18	or the tage without the handwriting on it?
	1	19	A The tape without the handwriting on it.
		20	Q Okay. The one with the handwriting on it?
		21	A The tope with the handwriting on it,
		22	92-A-13.
		23	Q And the address book?
		24	A The address book, 92-A-10.
; •	į	• 1	
		**	

_	;	
1	showed you the	area of your statement?
. 2	. A	Yes, sir.
3.	Q	Referring you to page 13 of your statement,
4	the first full	answer on that page, is that discussing
5	Lauri's habits	with her keys?
6	A	I don't recall saying that she left her keys
7	in the ignition	n
8	Q	Is that what the
9	Ą	in the car.
10	Q	Is that what the statement says?
11	A	Yes, it does.
12	Q	Did you review this statement when you gave
13	it to the poli	ce?
14	A	Yes, I did.
15	Q	Did you make a note that this was incorrect
16	when you revie	wed the statement?
17	A	Can't recall if I did or not. It's been
18	quite some tim	€.
19	Q	You also testified to the Grand Jury, did
20	you not?	
21	A	Yes, I did.
22	a a	And do you remember what you told the Grand
23	Jury about?	
24	A	About
,		
. '	•	<b>;</b>

· <b>1</b>	A Nicely dressed gentleman that was crossing
2	the parking lot headed towards McDonald's.
. 3	Q And then when you went over there the next
4	day and knocked, you thought something might be going on
5	because the cars were there and the door was locked?
5	A Right; correct.
7	Q Did you, in fact, make a comment through the
8	door as you were getting ready to leave?
9	A Yes, I did.
10	Q Do you remember what that comment was?
11	A Something to the effect that you better be
12	having some good sex to not apen the door for me.
13	Q Showing you page 104 and 105 of your Grand
14	Jury testimony.
.15	Does this refresh your
16	recollection as to what you said at that time?
·17	A Yesi
1.8	Q And what did you say at that time?
19	A You better be getting a good piece of ass
20	because you are not answering the door for me.
21	Q So if she didn't answer the door, that's
22	what you would expect to be going on?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And you also thought her friend Denise Lizzi

1	was there; is that correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And did you make a comment about that on
4	page 1057
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q What was that comment?
7	A Denise's car was there; Lauri's car was
8	there. I figured that they had to have been there and I
9	figured they were not answering the door because they were
10	probably doing the wild thing.
11	Q So you wouldn't have been surprised to find
12	out that she was engaged in activities with her friend there
13	as well?
14	MR. SEATON: I'm going to object as to the
15	form
16	THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
1.7	question.
18	THE COURT: When there is an objection you
19	have to stap talking.
20	MR. SEATON: I just want to object to the
21	form of the question. It was so open ended that I and
22	she said it best. She doesn't understand.
23	THE COURT: Lay more foundation.
24	MR. DUNLEAVY: I will be glad to.

24

BY MR. DUNLEAVY: You indicate that you thought they both were 2 3 in the apartment, both vehicles were there? A Yes. And in that statement you indicated you 5 thought they were both in there. 7 Yes. And your -- I believe you testified if the 8 9 door was locked you expected a certain type of activity to 10 be going on. Yes. 11 Α And you wouldn't have been surprised for 12 13 that to have been going on with the bath of them there? 14 I would not have been surprised. A MR. DUNLEAVY: May we approach for Just a 15 16 minute, Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 19 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 20 discussion was had.) 21 MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, with the 22 23 understanding that she will be available at a later date if

we need her, I have no further questions.

		24
,	. 1	THE COURT: Okay, Redirect?
		MR. SEATON: No redirect.
	2	
	3	THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.
	4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	5.	THE COURT: But you will make yourself
	. 6	available if needed.
7.1	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
3	. 8	(Whereupon, the Witness was excused.)
	, 9	
	10	THE COURT: The State may call their next
	. 11	witness.
= 4	12	And collect these items for the
• 1.	13	clerk, please.
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	14	MR. HARMON: The next witness will be Thomas
44 24	15	Sims.
	16	THE BAILIFF: Sims is upstairs. The D.A.
	17	investigator went after him.
	18	THE COURT: Okay.
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	19	MR. HARMON: May we have the Court's
	20	indulgence, Your Honor?
	21	THE COURT: Yes.
	22	JUROR ESCALANTE: Your Honor, we have two
The statement of the st	23	ladies who would like to address you.
To the second se	.24	THE COURT: How do you know?

Mr. Sims, I want to direct your attention to

On that date were you in

a Tuesday, February the 18th, 1992.

business at 3830 Vanessa?

22

23

24

5

: 1

2

\*

3

4

5.

5

7

. 9

10

11

12

· 13

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

1 4

22

23 24 A No.

Tell us what happened after he arrived. You are saying perhaps between two and three o'clock in the afternoon.

remember he came in and I couldn't talk to him right away.

You know, I had employees coming and going; people were moving around the shop quite a bit; and it finally came to a point to where I got done doing what I was doing and I was able to talk to Mr. Rippo.

He said he had a car that he wanted me to look at. He wanted to know if I wanted to buy it or if I knew someone that would want to buy the car.

Q Did you have any interest at that time in buying a car from him?

A Ah -- I mean, I -- I possibly would have bought a car from him if -- if the deal would have been right.

Q Where were you, inside or outside of the shop, when Mr. Rippo indicated he had a car he wanted you to look ot?

A We were sitting inside, in my office,

Do you recall if he had brought in to the shop any items which he had in his possession?

1

2

A

Not at that particular time.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

When I finally act to deal with him, I medn, I -- I would guess that maybe 10 or 15 minutes had elapsed since he had been in the shop. There was a suitcase, and I -- and I believe a box, but I'm not positive about the box. I know there was a suitcase for certain.

When did you first notice the suitcase --and you're saying perhaps a box also?

I would say I noticed it almost immediately because I -- you know, I saw him going through it on a couch behind my desk.

When you say that you noticed it almost immediately, are you talking about in terms of when he arrived?

No. I think that maybe he might have came in and saw I was busy and went back out. He was with my employees. I don't know if he was interacting with them or not.

But at some point in time, before I got -- before I was able to start decling with Mr. Rippo, he had come in with a suitcase.

> Q. Were you able to describe the suitcase?

It was -- in color, it was like a being -brownish being suitcase.

24

I would say it was maybe 36 inches by maybe 24 inches. That's just a guess. It was a good sized suitcase.

Q Did you say a few moments ago that, at some point, you saw him going through the contents of the sultcase?

A Yes.

Q Where was he when he was doing that?

A Directly behind me on a couch.

Q After you finished whatever you were daing and you were able to devote some attention specifically to Michael Rippo, did you have any conversation about the suitage and its contents?

A I don't think we did right away because he wanted to go outside and look at the car.

Q Did you go outside to look at the car he had referred to?

A Yes, I did.

Q Tell us what happened.

A Well, I remember we were walking through the shop and that's when I asked him where the car had come from, and he told me that someone had died for the car.

This was prior -- this was while we were still in the shop.

And then we exited the shop and

1	the car was sitting directly in from	t of my roll up door.
2	Q Will you describe th	e car that you saw
3	parked at that location.	
4	A It was a 300ZX, I wo	uld say, burgundy in
5	color.	
6	Q When you say a 300ZX	, will you elaborate
7	further regarding the make of the ve	hicle.
8	A Nissan, 300ZX.	
9	Q Was it a vehicle you	remembered having seen
10	before?	•
11	A No.	
12	Q Did you examine the	car at that time?
13	A I walked around the	cqr, yes.
14	Q Did you have any fur	ther conversation with
15	Mr. Rippo after you had walked aroun	d the car and looked at
16	1t?	
17	A Um, yeah, I'm sure w	e had more of a
18	discussion. I don't really recall w	hat we you know, I
19	mean, I told him that I didn't Want	the car there anymore,
20	to get it away from my shop.	
21	Q Why would you	
22	A What exactly we disc	ussed, I don't really
23	recall.	
24	Q Why would you tell h	im that?

I thought you had mentioned a little bit ago that when he first brought up that he had a car he'd like you to look at, he felt perhaps you would be interested.

A Hell, I mean, the simple fact that he said someone died for the car, which, you know, could have meant basically anything,  $I \rightarrow$  the car was not legal.

Had it been a legal deal that I could have done with Mr. Rippo on the car, then I would have been interested in it, but I realized at this point that it wasn't and it was something I wasn't interested in.

Q When he said someone had died for the car, did you question him further about what that meant?

A No. I didn't really take him literally when he said it.

Q However, you apparently told him you didn't want the car on the premises.

A Yes.

Q Did you tell him why you didn't?

A 'Cause I didn't want any involvement in any kind of problems.

Rippo, that afternaon, about what the price would be for the vehicle should you want to purchase it?

1	A Yeah. He was he had told me
2	earlier, when we when he was discussing the car before we
3	actually went out and looked at 1t, there was a really good
4	deal, that he wanted \$2,000.
5	Did he tell you why he wanted \$2,000?
6	A He needed to leave town.
7	Q He told you that?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Well, what was his reaction, if any, when
10	you advised him that you weren't interested in the car?
. 11	A He didn't really push the issue. It we
12	kind of just went on, you know, to I wasn't interested in
13	it and he would get rid he would get it away from my shop
14	as soon as he had an opportunity.
15	Q Did he tell you how he happened to be in
16	possession of this burgundy Nissan?
17	A No. Just all that was ever said was that
18	someone had died for the car.
19	Q Was there a time, after you had viewed the

car, that you did have a conversation with Mr. Rippo about

the suitcase and its contents?

20

21

22

23

24

Yes.

Where was it that that occurred?

It was back in the shop on the same couch

	:
1	A Rap music, R and B music, I couldn't give
2	you any exact artist really of the it was a little more
3	rap-type music than I'm than what I really enjoy.
4.	Q Were these cassette tapes?
5.	A Yes, they were.
6	Q About how many were there that he gave you
7	that afternoon?
8	A I would guess maybe 50.
9	Q Maybe 50?
10	A Yeah, about 50.
11 *	Q What happened to the sultcase?
12	A When I left the shop that evening, I took it
13	with me.
14	Q So he left that behind as well?
15	Well, after he gave me the tapes, he asked
16	me if I wanted the suitcase and I said yeah. And so I threw
17	all the tapes in the suitcase and Just waited until I closed
18	the shop that day to take them home with me.
19	Q Did Mr. Rippo leave immediately or did he
20	stay there at Tommy's Maintenance for a period of time?
21	A He stayed there. He actual actually both
22	of us left together,
.23 :	Q Tell us what happened.
24	A: I would say, you know, we were maybe there

. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22:

23

24

maybe 15, 20, maybe 30 minutes. And there were things

around the center that I had to tend to.

So I told Mike that I had to -
you know, there was things that I had to do and that he

you know, there was things that I had to do and that he couldn't stay at the shop, but he was welcome to come with me if he wanted to.

I remember we went to one of the job sites where I had a tenant improvement going on at the time, and I distinctly remember Mike climbing up a ladder and helping one of my employees install an AC grill in an acoustic ceiling.

And then I remember him and I both going into the leasing office, which is the people that I work for on the property, and then I remember returning to the shop.

You know, we just basically ran around the center there, tending to what needed to be — what I needed to look at and take care of at the time, and then I returned back to the shop with Mike.

leave your business?

- A Yes.
- Q Will you estimate about what time that was?
- A I would say quarter to five, five c'clock,

•	
1	somewhere in that time frame.
2	When he left, did he toke the vehicle with
. <b>3</b>	him; that is, the burgundy Nissan 300ZX?
4	A No, he didn't.
. 5	Q Did he leave it behind, parked directly in
- 6	front of your business, Tommy's Mointenance?
7	A Yes. It remained exactly where it had been.
8	Q How long would you estimate that you spent
9	in the presence of Mr. Rippo that Tuesday afternoom.
10	February the 18th?
11	A I would say two, three hours.
12	Q You mentioned already that you had known him
13.	since 1985.
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Did you notice anything unusual about the
16	way he was acting during the two to three hours you were
17	with him that afternoon?
18	A Nope.
19	Q You mentioned at one point he even got up
20	and helped out in the work that was being performed.
21	A That's correct,
22	This was in connection with, did you say, an
23	gir conditioning unit?
24	A That's correct.
:	

1	Q Did you notice that he had any problem with
2	his balance?
. 3.	A No. I didn't really you know, pay close
. 4	attention to exactly what he was daing.
· 5	I was more or less in there
6	checking out the the Job. But I Just distinctly remember
7	Thim climbing up that ladder. It just I don't know,
8	He he was always helpful, you know. When he did work for
9	me, he was good. It's just something that I really
10	remembered him doing that, getting up on the ladder, because
11	it wasn't something I expected him to do.
1.2	Q When you spoke with him did you notice
13	anything unusual about his manner of speech?
14	A No.
15	Q Did you form any opinion that ofternoon
16	regarding whether Mike Rippo would have been under the
17	influence of any type of controlled substance?
18	A I thought there was a possibility he could
19	have been using crank. He seemed a little like that, but I
20	couldn't say for sure, no.
21	Q Well, what is crank, sir?
22	A Methamphetamine.
23	Q You said he seemed a little like that.
24	A Yeah, he seemed a little fast, like he was

ij			•	41
a maden				
1				
	7		1	moving a little fast.
X.	į.		2	When you talked with him, could you
., •	:		3	understand what he was saying?
-1	•		4	A Oh, yeah.
			5	Q Was he coherent in his conversation?
;			: . <b>6</b> *	A Oh, yeah.
. }	•		7	Q What, if you remember, were your business
. !			.8	hours back on February the 18th, 1992?
	•		9	A I was getting in the shop at I would open
Ĭ,	1		10	up for business at eight a.m. and I would shut down six,
[] [	:	:	11	seven. It just depended. I was working late them.
			12	Q After Mr. Rippo left by himself, did you
Š		=	1.3	have occasion to see him again
1			14	A: Yes.
	: ; ;	. •	15	Q later that evening?
,			16	A Yes, I did.
.			1.7	About how long after he had left was it that
e matern a	•	  -	18	you saw him again?
1			1.9	A I would agy an hour, hour and 30 minutes.
**		: :	20	Q When would that have been, in relation to
	8		21	the time you were going to close down for the day?
A second man		,	22	A! It was right as I was closing.
*		4444H P465M-444	23	When he reappeared was he alone or with
	:	;  -	24	someone else?
		i . :		
:		ł		

		hald should you the burgundy bideoms?
1		he'd showed you, the burgundy Nissan?
.; 2	A	That's correct.
3	9	What is the Marker Down?
4	A	it's a bar. I guess you would call it a bar
5	with a it'	s got a restaurant. It serves food and liquar
6	and Just a	bar right there in the center where I work.
· [7	Q	So it is right in the neighborhood of your
8	business?	1
9	A	Yes, it is.
10	Q	Within easy walking distance?
11	A	Oh, yeah.
12	Q	About what time was the business actually
13	closed down t	hat evening?
14	A	I would say 6:15, 6:30, right in that area
15	somewhere.	;
16	: Q	At about that time, did you leave the
17	business?	\ 1
1,8	<b>A</b>	Yes, I did.
19	Q	Did you leave the area of Valley View and
20	A	Yes, I did.
21	Q	Spring Mountain Road?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Qi	When you left were the defendant and Diana
24	Hunt still the	!
a a		
•		·

; <b>1</b>	A No, they weren't.
2	Q They had left?
3	A We all kind of left at the same time.
4	q What about the car, was it still there?
5	A Yes, 1t was.
6	Q As a result of the car still being there,
. 7	did you have any type of discussion with one of your
8	employees about it?
9	A Yes, I did.
10	Q Who did you talk to?
11	A Ron Harmon.
12	Q What was your purpose in talking to your
13	employee Ron Harmon?
14	A He was living at the shop at the time. I
15	had a one bedroom apartment upstairs in my shop. And I told
16	Ron that Rippo was supposed to be coming to pick up that car
17	that evening, and that I wanted him to call me the minute
18	that car was removed from my shop. I wanted to know about
19	lit.
20	Q Did you come to your business the following
21	day, Nednesday, February the 19th, 1992?
.22	A Yes, I did.
23	About what time did you arrive the following
24	day?

•	,	
1	A I would say probably 7:30, quarter to eight.	,
2	Q Was the burgundy Nissan still there or was	
3	it gane at that time?	
4	A It was gone.	
5	Q Did you have any personal knowledge at that	
6	point regarding the whereabouts of the car?	
7	A No, I didn't.	
8	Q Mr. Sims, did there come a time when you,	
. 9	either through talking with other persons or by some	
10	newscast or information, learn about the killing in Las	
11	Vegas of two young women?	
12	A Yes, I did.	
13	Q Do you remember about how long after the day	t
14	that you had seen Mr. Rippo with the burgundy Nissan it was	
15	that you heard about the killings?	
16	A It was Friday following that Tuesday.	
17	Q Would that be on or about February the 21st,	
18	sir?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q How did you learn about the killings of two	
21	women?	
22	A I saw it on the news. I just had caught the	)
23	very tail end of the newscast when I got home from work on	
24	Friday.	

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q Are you talking about a television newscast?
2.	A That's correct.
3	Q At your residence?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q What newscast was it? Are you talking about
6	late news, early evening news or when?
7	A I couldn't be sure.
8	I watch Channel 8 all the time.
9	So I would say it was Channel 8, but it could have been
10	another newscast.
11	Q Do you recall when in the evening it was?
12	A On, it was the six o'clock news. I Just
. 13	caught the end of it, so maybe 6:30, quarter to seven.
14	something like that.
15	Q As a result of the newscast, did you have
16	accasion to re-examine any of the property that you had
17	acquired back on Tuesday. February the 18th from the
:18	defendant?
19	A Yes.
20	What did you re-examine and why did you do
21	1t?
22	A Well, the on the end of the newscast, I
23	had I mean, all I caught was the name Denise Lizzi. And
24	all the tapes or not all the tapes, but a majority of the

tapes had DL in -- in black magic marker written on the tapes.

I went and looked at the tapes again to see if, in fact, that they did say DL, but, you know, I had looked at them enough that I knew it. That's what got me looking. That's what got my attention was the fact that the one girl's name was Denise Lizzi, which matched the DL on the tapes.

As a result of the matching letters, which I think you indicated were not on all, but were on a majority of the cassette tapes ---

A That's correct.

Q -- did you also have a concern about the suitcase?

A Yeah. The suitcase had a name top on it that I had remembered. I never really looked at the name tag, but, at this time, that's when I did go look at the name tag on the suitcase.

Q: What name was on the name tag?

A Lauri Jacobson.

Q Did you, at that paint, know the name of the second victim --

A No. I didn't.

Q -- in the case?