	1	
1	Q	How did those ends come to be separated?
2	Α.	Cut them with a pair of scissors.
3	Q	Did you do that yourself?
4	A ·	Probably.
5	Q	So is it your habit then to cut these items
6	off of an indiv	idual rather than to untie the knots?
7	А	Yes, we preserve the knots.
8	Q	All right. And they are preserved now?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And I notice some substance within the knot.
11		Can you describe what that is?
12	A	It looks like hair, brown hair.
13		MR, WOLFSON: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the
14	word he used.	It looks 11ke
15		THE WITNESS: Brown hair.
16		MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.
17	BY MR. SEATON:	
18	Q	That portion of the knot which you are
19	holding in your	hand, did that appear to come from the back
20	side of the hea	d of Denise Lizzi?
21	A	Somewhere in the back side. I I really
22	don't remember,	right, left, or middle.
23	Q	Would hair have been present in that area?
24	A	Yes.
		002085

1	Q All right. Take one more minute and look at
2	that object a little more carefully, and tell us if it,
3	indeed, appears to be a portion or all of a black bra?
4	A Well, I don't think it's all of one, but it
5	looks like it might have been certainly part of one.
6	Q You would identify that as a black bra?
7	A I think so.
8	Q Thank you, Doctor.
9	How would you describe the
10	well, let me ask it differently.
11	You said that there was a black
12	sock placed in the mouth that this black bra held in place;
13	is that correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Describe for us how the black sock was
16	placed in the mouth or how you found it in the mouth, I
17	should say.
18	A Okay. When we removed the piece of
19	brassiere there again, we had the mouth open to pull this
20	thing out we found that what had happened was it had been
21	pushed in so it at least part of it was actually
22	underneath the tangue and pushing the tangue back to the
23	back towards the back of the throat.
24	Q Was that I don't know if we can do this
	agnenn

in degr	ees,	but	MQS	that	done	to	a	mild	degree	or	to	a	great
degree;	that	is,	the	tons	jue b	eing	) p	ushed	l back	into	ı th	ıe	
nouth?													

A Well, when you push it back very for -- and just let me point out what happens. You swallow, your tongue goes back. That closes the epiglattis, the trap door action that guards your airway. (Indicating) The tongue comes back against the back of the throat, and when it's back there, it can't breathe.

Go ahead and try it, 1f you want to, but --

Q You mean, as we swallow we're not capable of breathing at that moment?

A You cannot breathe when that tongue goes back. It's a very brief process in the normal swallowing, so it doesn't interrupt our lives in any way.

But if you push it back in some fashion so it stays there, that airway is blocked and you cannot breathe at all.

Q So in the case of Denise Lizzi it was not there for a brief moment?

A No. This — this was quite sufficient to totally and completely black any respiratory effort.

Q Does the tongue them go back into the throat

1	or up on the roof of the mouth?
2	A Both actually.
3	Q Both? Just up and back?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Completely blocking off the airway?
6	A Right.
7	Q Did you notice any other types of
8	restraints?
9	A Restraints, yes. There were some pieces of
10	cloth tied around the wrist. They weren't when we
11	received the body, at least, they were not tied to anything
12	else. They weren't tied together. We had a piece around
13	the right wrist with a free end, and then the piece around
14	the left wrist with a free end.
15	What their purpose was or what
16	they had been used for, I'm not real sure, but there they
17	жеге.
18	Q Let me show you Exhibits 27 and 28, and ask
19	if you can identify what is shown in both of those exhibits?
20	A All right. We have, in the middle which
21	one is this? This one is 27. Okay. We have a right hand
22	lying beside the body
23	Q If you would show that to the jury as you
24	A Okay.
	. 002088

1	Q Just from this vantage point.
2	A All right. Here's the right hand right
3	here, (indicating); The rest of the body over here,
4	(indicating)
5	The wrist is encircled with
6	this piece of blue cloth. You can see it's I think you
7	can see from oh, where it's tied right around here, but
8	the rest of the blue cloth isn't tied to anything.
9	Q But, it was tied firmly to the wrist?
10	A It was tied around the wrist, yes.
11	Q All right.
12	And how about Exhibit 28,
13	was it?
14	A 28, you are correct.
15	Okay. Here we have the left
16	hand, and we have a different fabric used in tying this
17	wrist it's black, not blue and it goes right on around
18	here. (Indicating)
19	Q And was it similarly tied to the wrist?
20	A Yes, It was tied around the wrist; and
21	again, we have a free end going nowhere.
22	Q Okay. And when you say tied, in regards to
23	both of those restraints, were they tied in knots?
24	A Yes.
	002089

1	Q	Not loosely tied so it could slip off?
2	A	No, they were fairly firm. I don't think we
3	could have pull	led them off over the hand.
4	Q	All right. All right. Thank you.
5		Were there any restraints about
6	the ankles?	
7	А	No, there were not.
8	Q	Any other place on the body?
9	А	No. This is the extent of the apparent
10	restraints.	
11	Q	Once you had removed the clothing and you
12	had removed the	ese restraints, was then the body in a naked
13	condition so th	not you could further examine the body itself?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And did you do so?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What observations did you make upon an
18	exterior exami	nation of the body?
19		And, again, we're still talking
20	about Denise L	izz1.
21	А	Okay. There was an interesting mark that
22	completely enc	ircled the neck; in fact, it goes around
23	twice. And I	think we can see that well, maybe not very
24	well.	
		naacan

			In (	ne of	these	pictu	res, it	. 15
visible, al	though	not as	well as	I'd 11	ke it	to be	here i	.n
Number 26.	This i	s the	one that	shows	the go	g in	the mou	ith,
and the								

Q Doctor, I have other photographs that I will bring to you shortly regarding that particular portion of your examination.

Let me ask you to proceed in this manner: What did you notice about lividity and that sort of thing?

A Livid- -- lividity, which is discoloration of the skin -- as the blood settles under gravity, it always goes to the dependent part of the body. So if a person is lying on the back, it's the back that gets discolored.

What we have here is the front of the body showing the lividity, because she had obviously been lying face down.

Q How do you see lividity?

A It is kind of a violet discoloration of the skin.

The intensity of the color or how dark it is really depends on how long a person has been lying in that position.

Q And the fact that the lividity, in the case

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

5

13 14

16

17

15

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

of Denise Lizzi, was found on the front of her indicates to you what, insofar as her position prior to your seeing her is concerned?

That -- this simply indicates that following A her death, she was in a face down position, like flat.

What did you note, if anything, about rigor mortis?

> Rigar martis is about disappeared. A

Tell us what that is.

Okay. Rigor mortis is the stiffening of the A muscles which occurs following death.

It's a chemical process which affects the muscle fibers so that they stiffen up and they can't contract and move and stretch like we normally do; and this causes the body to become very stiff. That's where the word stiff comes from.

And after about, oh, eight to 12 hours after death it really is rigid. It's almost as rigid as a board.

And this gradually passes off, disappears, again the chemical process is going on in the muscles; and usually, by around 36 to 40 hours, 48 hours, depending on the temperature to which the body has been exposed -- a lot of variables which affect the time frames

1	nere but this gradually passes off, the body toosens up
2	and you can move the joints again without difficulty.
3	Q So it becomes flexible?
4	A It becomes flexible.
5	And that was pretty much the
6	case here with Miss Lizzi.
7	Q You say pretty much the case.
8	Was there some degree of
9	stiffness?
10	A As I recall it, there was a little bit of
11	residual rigor there, yes.
12	Q So if left to its own devices, the body
13	would have eventually become completely flexible?
14	A Oh, yes, yes.
15	Q All right. Did you look into the eyes of
16	Denise Lizzi during this exterior examination?
17	A Yes, we examined them.
18	Q What did you note about that?
19	A I think there were a few now, wait a
20	minute. We're talking about two people and I don't want to
21	get them confused here, so if I may, I'd like to refer back
22	to
23	Q If it would help you.
24	A the autopsy records.
	<b>00</b> 209 <b>3</b>

1	I believe one of these ladies
2	had a few tiny pinpoint hemorrhages and the other one
3	didn't, but I want to be darn sure which is which.
4	Q Doctor, did you make reports during the
5	performance of the autopsy?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And then set them down on paper?
8	A Yes. They're dictated on magnetic tape and
9	then transcribed later.
10	Q And that's what you're reviewing now?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q All right. Thank you.
13	A All right. In the case of Miss Lizzi, she
14	was the one who had a few little tiny pinpoint hemorrhages
15	in the inside of the eyelids and on the white part of the
16	eye.
17	Q And what does that mean to you?
18	A In and of itself, it doesn't really mean a
19	whole lot.
20	In other words, the presence or
21	absence of a few tiny pinpoint hemorrhages neither breaks or
22	makes any case.
23	They are commonly found in
24	situations where there is an acute asphyxial death from any
	002094

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

cause, whether it's something around the neck, whether it's a cave in, vehicular accident, in which the body is compressed and can't breathe. You can find it in a wide variety of different situations.

Generally, we think of it as something that goes with an asphyxial death, but it doesn't really prove that this is the case.

Q In the case of Miss Lizzi, did you notice anything having to do with the decomposition of the body?

A There were very early decomposition changes, yes, some discoloration around the neck, a very, very early tissue gas formation.

Q What is that, tissue gas formation?

A Gas is given off by bacteria, as they metabolize whatever they're consuming.

Q And that had just begun?

A That had just barely begun. It was very, very early.

Actually when we got inside later on, there was very little deterioration of the body organs, so the decomposition process had just barely begun.

Q Is there anything that skin slippage has to do with decomposition?

A This is a common thing. The outer layers of

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

1	skin loosen up and eventually they literally fall off.
2	Q Did you note any of that in Miss Lizzi's
3	case?
4	A I don't recall any.
5	Q Would you refresh your memory again?
6	A Thank you, I will.
7	All the skin slippage is
8	telling us is that this body has been dead for a while. It
9	goes along with the fact that rigor mortis is beginning to
0	disappear or is disappearing.
1	And, yes, we had a few
2	scattered areas around the chest and abdomen, and I think
3	that's about all that we had actually.
4	So we do have a little skin
5	slippage. Again, it's non-specific. It has nothing to do
6	with the cause of death. It's part of the early
7	decomposition change.
.8	Q Did you notice anything about the inner arm
9	area of Denise Lizzi?
:0	A She had some scarring in, I think it was,
21	the left side.
2	Q If you would hold your arm up and show us so
23	the jury may see what we're talking about.
4	A All right. Way down here I think you've
	002096

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

all had some blood drawn by laboratory technicians, for one reason or another. This is usually the place they use because the veins are sitting out here and are easy to get at. (Indicating)

She had some scarring, which is really quite typical of what we see in people who have used intravenous drugs.

Q As you did your exterior examination, did you look about the body of Denise Lizzi to determine if there were any injuries?

A Yes.

Q And tell us about those, if you would start with the abrasions and contusions on the face.

A There were some rather modest abrasions or scraping injuries of the skin, a couple of them. I think there was one -- one in the forehead, I believe, if I remember right, and I think one -- yeah, one under the chin.

Q Let me interrupt you, Doctor --

A Okay,

Q -- and show you what has been marked as 31 and 32, State's Exhibits.

And if you would look at the two of those, and to the extent you need to, show the jury those photographs as you are depicting each of the injuries.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	A All right. Number 31, which is a slightly
2	oblique view of her face, shows several of these little
3	scraping injuries.
4	The largest of the whole bunch
5	is kind of hard to see. It's over here on her right cheek,
6	(indicating), where I'm pointing here, where there is a dark
7	brown, almost black spot here. (Indicating)
8	We have another one on the chin
9	that's over here. (Indicating)
10	One underneath the point of the
11	chin, down here, (Indicating)
12	These are very small, about a
13	quarter inch or so.
14	And there is a little bit of
15	abrasive effect over here on the neck as well. These are
16	very superficial injuries.
17	Q Did you notice any contusions on the inside
18	of the lips?
19	A Yes, there were. Let me finish with this.
20	Number 32 shows a very tiny
21	abrasion or scrape high on the left forehead. It's over
22	here in the corner of the of this ruler, (indicating), a
23	fraction of an inch; very, very minor.
24	Q Are the things that you have just described
	onean

the kinds of injuries that are relatively common with bumping up against things, being hit by various objects?

A Oh, yes, yes.

Q You see these a number of times?

A Many times. These are extremely common. I have a few of them around myself.

Q Let me show you State's Proposed Exhibit 34 and ask if that depicts the neck area of Denise Lizzi?

A Yes, it does,

another picture up there that you had — or two that you had alluded to before — if you would use any of the pictures that would be helpful to you, if you would describe to the Jury the — let's do the cutting injuries first that you may have noted.

A Okay. Then we're going to use photograph labeled Number 34. And I think I'm going to have to come down a little closer to you.

There are two what are minor little cutting wounds of the neck, each no more than a fraction of an inch, over here, a little bit to the left of the mid line. (Indicating). And these are slightly more than a centimeter long. I call them about slightly less than a half inch long each. (Indicating)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q	Let'	s whi	le you are	e down here	e, show and
describe to	o the Jury	the	the other	marks that	t appear on
Denise Liza	zi's neck;	and the	n we'll ho	ove you sit	t down and
we'll talk	about eac	h of the	m.		
A	0kay	. There	is anothe	er photogra	oph over

there --

If you'd like to get that. Q

Actually it's better than this one.

All right. We'll use that.

Using that will be good,

Doctor?

A We'll use them both.

Back to Number 31, and also seen in this last one we had here, 34, we have a mark which goes, in fact, all the way around the neck. See it, kind of brownish to purplish a little bit, with the purple being some bruising that's associated with it.

And over here in this picture, it shows it really quite well going around the neck, a series of fine lines.

THE COURT: All right. You may resume your seat, Doctor. Thank you. BY MR. SEATON:

> Describe, if you would, what you saw with Q

> > 002100

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

regard to the marks that you indicate encircle the neck. 1 Well, as I say, these encircle it 2 A completely, going around in a horizontal fashion, 3 (indicating); and obviously at -- whoever made this has gone 4 around twice, which you can see in some areas, two distinct 5 6 sets of lines. Do you mean that the same object was wrapped 7 Q 8 twice around the neck? 9 А Yes. All right. Can you describe -- do you know 10 what it was or do you have an idea what it was, from your 11 12 experience --13 I know what it was. A 14 0 -- that encircled the neck? 15 Α Uh-huh. 16 You do know what it was? Q 17 Α Yeah. 18 Q Tell us. 19 Α Lamp cord. 20 Q Lamp cord? 21 A Two wire lamp cord. Let me show you what has been marked as 79-A 22 23 and 79-B.

002101

79 -- go ahead -- 79-A, as you

1 can see, is a small hair dryer; and you can see that the 2 cord has been cut off. 3 79-B is an Iron that has the 4 cord similarly cut off. 5 Uh-huh. 6 Q You said a lamp cord? 7 Yean. 8 Is a lamp cord different from those cords at 9 a11? 10 There are lamp cords which are slightly Α 11 smaller, carry Just a little bit less wire, but the 12 structure is exactly the same: Two wires encased in either 13 rubber or plastic with a groove that separates the two 14 sides. 15 Q And before, you had opined that a lamp cord 16 had been the cord encircling the neck of Denise Lizzi. 17 Could it have been either one 18 of these cords? 19 You can see the length -- I 20 mean, the width and nature of these cords. 21 Yes. And I -- these are virtually 22 identical; these are lamp cords too. They are just a little 23 heavier than what you get on a 60 watt lamp. 24 Q So it would be some cord of an electrical

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

21

22

23

24

3

nature similar to what is exhibited on the ends of 79-A and 79-B, which encircled the neck of Denise Lizzi?

> A Yes.

> > MR. SEATON: Thank you.

court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. SEATON:

The two wounds which you noted in the neck Q of Denise Lizzi, characterize those for us, if you will.

These are best characterized as small stab wounds.

Can you tell us the size of them?

I think they were ground probably -probably around three-eighths of an inch, maybe seven-sixteenths of an inch.

I'm going to go back and see if I don't have a better measurement on that, if you don't mind.

Yes, each approximately seven-sixteenths or slightly less than a half inch long.

And how about the depth?

Oh, very shallow. It went through the skin into this band of muscle, which comes from the point behind the ear down to the top of the breastbone, (indicating),

1	penetrated, not much more than a quarter of an inch.
2	Q And you characterize them as stab wounds?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you have an idea what sort of aπ
5	instrument would have caused those stab wounds?
6	A Something with a fairly sharp point. What
7	obviously comes to mind is a small knife.
8	Q Could you tell whether or not those two
9	wounds had any bleeding associated with them when they
10	occurred?
11	A Yes, They do. And I think we can see that
12	quite well in one of these pictures.
13	There is some blood around the
14	wound and they do appear to have bled to some degree.
15	I thought you had one that
16	showed that.
17	Oh, yes, we're back to one of
18	the earlier ones, which shows the gag around the face and
19	the mouth; and down around below the chin, on the front of
20	the neck, there is a little bit of blood here, not very
21	much.
22	THE COURT: State the exhibit number you are
23	referring to.
24	THE WITNESS: Pardon?
	A CLEON

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

8

23

24

THE COURT: State the exhibit.

THE WITNESS: This is Exhibit Number 26.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q And could you point directly to the area of blood which you are referring to?

Right in here below the chin. (Indicating)

And can you tell us what you noted about the Û wrists, insofar as any injuries are concerned?

Well, the wrists, there were some pressure A marks, which normally occur when anything is in contact with the body following death.

One wrist, and I don't recall which one right now, had a little bit of a scraping or abrasion effect underneath that thing that was tied around it; again, very superficial type of injury.

But the -- the -- once they were removed, then the marks were guite clear as to where they had been.

Did it appear that the marks you saw on the wrists were related to the blue and black items which you sow encircling the wrists?

Yes.

Would you call the marks you saw on the wrists ligature marks?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Д	You	could	call	them	that,	sure.

Q And -- let's get a definite here. We've used that word a few times.

## What is a ligature?

A Some object, obviously flexible, which is tied around something else.

Q All right. So in this case, in your mind, an electrical cord as to the neck, and pieces of cloth around the wrists.

A Correct. We tend to think -- among medical examiners at least -- to think of a ligature as something that goes around the neck and don't use the word much in terms of other parts of the body, but it's still, I think, a legitimate thing to do. You can't say it's wrong.

Q What about your examination of the ankles of Denise Lizzi; did you note anything that caused you to think that there were ligatures on her ankles?

A Yes, there were.

There -- first of all, there were no objects tied around the ankles when she came to us, but there were marks that would strongly suggest that something had been tied there following death.

Q Let me show you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 41.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

20

22

23 24 Does that adequately describe what it is you're speaking of now?

A It helps somewhat, yes.

In Exhibit 41 here, we're looking at particularly the lower legs and the feet. She's still lying pretty much face down, has white socks on; and immediately above the white socks, we have these marks that did, in fact, completely encircle the ankles.

I think I'm going to have to get down closer here --

Q Before you do that, Doctor, let me show you two other photographs. I believe they're 42 and 45.

If you would check me so that

4 42 is correct and 45 is correct.

And these are a much better --

Will they assist you in that regard?

A Yes, I won't use 41 then.

the record is correct.

Q All right. If you would go before the jury and explain to them what you say.

A Certainly. These were taken from either sides, so we see the left foot closer to the camera here in 45, and the right ankle closer to the camero in 42.

And I think you can all see

that there is some kind of a mark that goes around those ankles; something has been there.

MR. SEATON: Okay. I ask if everybody in the back row can see okay?

(Affirmative response.)

MR. SEATON: Thank you, Doctor.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q Doctor, after completing your external examination?

A Yes.

Q How is that performed?

A We use what's called a wide incision, W cap

I. The incision starts at the point of the shoulders, come
down to the bottom of the breastbone, and go down to the
pelvis.

With that, it gives you three flaps: One V shaped flap above, you know, the two that are side flaps, reflect those back, and then remove the front of the rib cage, and you can see, well, virtually all of the various vital organs as they lie in their normal relationships. You haven't disturbed the nice relationship or moved anything around.

/

 This gives you a chance to look on the inside of the body, to see if there is any evidence of injury or disease or anything that isn't normal.

And following that, the individual organs are removed, detected (sic), described, weighed, whatever needs to be done.

Q Did you discover anything of an unusual nature when you did the internal examination of Denise Lizzi?

A The primary findings on the internal are -in both, the organs are in the back.

Q All right. Tell us about those.

A I'll dispose of everything else by saying there is no evidence of any natural disease process of any kind in their bodies.

In the neck, we find a lot of hemorrhage in the deeper tissues and the ligaments which control the voice box that goes up and down.

Q And, Doctor, as you use the word hemorrhage, what specifically do you mean?

A Specifically sanguinating.

Q All right. So those neck muscles had bleeding associated with them?

A Yes, they did.

Q ,	Al	l	r.	19	h	t	è
-----	----	---	----	----	---	---	---

A And we -- we found the primary amount of damage in the front of the neck here, nothing worth talking about.

But in the front we have a lot of hemorrhage bleeding in the tissues, some of them between the muscles, and they're sliding back and forth. They go off to here and appeared even in these spaces.

Some bleeding around the thyroid gland. We didn't find any real damage in terms of tearing or bleeding or bruising due to the gag. It seemed not to have really done any deep tissue damage there.

Q What does the hemorrhaging that you saw in the neck of Denise Lizzi suggest to you?

A This is typical of strangulation.

Q All right. Now, there is more than just ligature strangulation, is there not?

A Oh, yes.

Q What other kinds would you suggest?

A Well, ligature, of course, implies putting something around the neck and pulling it tight or tying it tight.

Strangulation could also be accomplished simply by the use of the hands. You can

1	9	2
2		,
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

compress the airway and interrupt the blood flow to the brain; and it takes a fair amount of effort and pressure to do this, and this is pressure, force that's applied, is what it is.

- And you would refer to that kind of strangulation as manual strangulation?
  - A Correct.
- Q But in this case, it was ligature strangulation?
  - A I think we have a combination of both.
  - Q You think there was both?
- A There is -- basically there is too much bleeding going on for a typical ligature only type strangulation.

How much, if any, role the manual strangulation may have played in her death, by the time we see the body, the internal deteriorating, it's really very hard to tell.

- Q I'm sorry.
- A But certainly, it looks as if some effort had been made, and then the ligature probably came later on.
- Q Are you saying that the amount of -- well, let me go back.

When you talk about the

002111

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

exterior examination of the body, and you were referring to the neck, in terms of the ligature marks --

- Yes.
- -- that was all you spoke about?
- No, it was all I spoke about.
  - You didn't tell us anything about it looked like from the outside that there was any manual strangulation?

A It really did not. It did not impress me as marks which we come to think of as at least typical of manual strangulation.

- Fingerprint marks?
- Fingerprint marks, fingernail marks, and that sort of thing.

Now, I could point out also that a blow to the neck can create hemorrhage there too, so that is a possibility.

Then when you went and did the internal Q examination, you found -- do I understand you to say you found an amount of hemorrhaging greater than what you would think would happen with ligoture strangulation?

> A Yes.

And is it that greater amount of hemorrhaging that suggests to you that, at some time, there

· 002112

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

and urine?

A These are packaged in an envelope which has chain of evidence information on the outside, writing or printing, which identifies it as coming from the Clark County Coroner/Medical Examiner Department, a place to fill in the name of the deceased person, case number, other items of information.

This then is placed in a locked refrigerator and later is picked up by a courier from the Associated Pathologists Laboratories.

I would point out that
Associated Pathologists does all of our toxicological work
on a contract basis.

The object is, for the material is, retrieved, refrigerator is unlocked by one of our technicians, or by myself, and the courier signs for it. His log book has a notation. He initials the log book as well.

And then he takes it over to the Associated Pathologists laboratory and enters it into their system, the analyses are done and the report is sent to us.

Q Did you receive such a report?

A Yes.

24

1	Q What	were the results of the toxicological
2	screening of Denise	Lizzi in this case?
3	A Ther	e was no alcohol present. She did have
4	methamphetamine in h	er blood stream and also detected and
5	identified in the ur	ine.
6	Q And	were they able to quantify the amount of
7	methamphetamine?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Tow	hat extent?
10	A Let	ne be sure of the numbers here.
11		The methamphetamine was 5,288
12	nanograms per millili	lter; and the ampthetamine was 519
13	nanograms per millil:	iter.
14		And for those of you who are
15	still have trouble wi	Lth the metric system I'm one of
16	them a nanogram is	s one billionth of a gram, which amounts
17	to about a quarter of	f a teaspoon full of water. A teaspoon
18	holds about four gran	ns of water; and the milliliter is equal
19	to a gram of water.	
20		In other words, one milliliter
21	or one one-thousandth	of a liter is a milliliter, and it
22	weighs one gram. So	the systems really are inter-related

and they do make some sense after a while.

So, anyways, in the blood

002115

stream,	He	have	5.2	288 1	bil	lionths	of	a	gram	of
methamph	neto	mine	in	ever	ГУ	millilii	ter	of	bloc	d.

Then we have 519 billionths of a gram of amphetamine in a milliliter of blood.

Q Is that a lot or a little or --

A The methamphetamine is unusually high. We don't very often see one this high.

Q Can you de- -- can you tell us any meaning to be gained from that?

A Well, this is a level which has been known to kill people. It does not kill everybody who gets this much, but it can.

Q Do people build up tolerances?

A Apparently so.

Q And so -- well, in this case -- and we haven't gotten to that yet -- but was the methamphetamine -- did it have anything to do with the cause of death?

A No.

Q Okay. So in this case, can we assume then that Denise Lizzi had -- had a tolerance to such an extent or such a degree that this would not have been fatal to her?

MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me. I'm going to interpose an objection, in all due respect, to this witness. I'm not sure if he's qualified to answer that.

1.	BY MR. SEATON:
2	Q Are you, Doctor?
3	A I think in general terms.
4	Now, to be absolutely specific
5	about one person is a little hazardous.
6	MR. WOLFSON: I renew my objection.
7	We're speaking about
8	THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
9	BY MR. SEATON:
10	Q Do you have any idea or were you able to
11	tell from your examinations when these substances were
12	ingested?
13	A No. You can't —— there is really no way to
14	do that.
15	Q And is this amphetamine something different
16	from the methamphetamine?
17	A It's slightly different, yes.
18	There is a very minor
19	difference in the chemical structure of the two; and we very
20	commonly see a small amount of amphetamine in street
21	distributed methamphetamine material.
22	Q Are you able to tell whether or not these
23	substances were ingested prior to death?
24	A They were oh, yes, definitely.
	002117

1	Q Because they went through the system?
2	A Yes. They're in the blood stream. They
3	have to be there prior to the death.
4	Q Are you able to tell whether or not they
5	were there for any period of time prior to her death?
6	A I couldn't give I wouldn't even try to
7	give you a time frame.
8	Q Could there be a relatively wide time frame?
9	A Possibly, yes; could be a matter of several
10	hours difference between minimum and maximum time.
11	Q Are you able to know whether or not they
12	were taken valuntarily or if they were inflicted by someone
13	else?
14	A All I can tell you is they're there.
15	Q Were you able, after your internal and
16	external examinations, to determine the cause of death in
17	the case of Denise Lizzi?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And what was it?
20	A Death was due to asphyxia, or lack of
21	oxygen, which could come either from the gag or from the
22	strangulation or both. It's an either/or situation.
23	Q You are not able to tell which which one
24	did it?

		99
<b>≅</b> R		
0 10 MRiepo-07058-ROA02122	1	A I because we really can't tell what the
58-R0	2	last event was, was it the gag or was it the the
A9212	3	electrical cord around the neck?
11	4	So I would have to list them
	5	both as causes of death, and either one produces asphyxia.
	6	Q And what about the manner of death?
	7	A In my opinion, this is homicidal.
	8	Q To the exclusion of accidental?
	9	A Yes,
	10	Q Or self inflicted?
	11	A Yes.
	12	Q What can you tell us about
	13	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, if he's going to
	14	characterize it as homicide, would be define homicide?
	15	MR. HARMON: I think that's a matter for
	16	cross-examination, Judge.
	17	THE COURT: Okay.
	18	MR. SEATON: They will have the opportunity.
	19	BY MR. SEATON:
	20	Q As to the time of death, when she died, are
	21	You able to give us any knowledge about that?
	22	A I think we can. The condition of the body
	23	would indicate an area or time frame of around a day and a
	24	half to two days, possibly longer.
	- *	002119

RENEE SILVAGGIO. CCR 122 391-0379

And this is dependent on the temperature of the environment in which the body lies. The warmer the environment, the more rapidly decomposition sets in after it progresses. The cooler it is, the more it is retarded, which is why the institutions like ours, coroner's office, has refrigerators. We keep everything refrigerated to — we bring a halt to this process or prevent it from developing, if we can.

So given the fact that we have very early decomposition change, we have almost complete disappearance of rigor martis, I'd say a day and a half, 36 to 48 hours, would be a very reasonable figure.

Q Would you hold yourself to those hours or could it be -- could there be a little longer or shorter period of time?

A There certainly could be a little longer.

I'm simply going on best estimate. There is no way you can
pin it down much better than that.

Q Okay. You have a picture up there -- and I don't recall the number. Perhaps you can find it -- the one that shows the stab wounds on the neck and the ligature marks.

If you would retrieve that for

us, please.

ippo-67058-R0A02124	1
---------------------	---

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

When you do, tell	T US	£ue
-------------------	------	-----

number, please.

Okay. The picture which shows both the ligature marks and the stab younds is Number 34.

Would you look at Number 34 with an eye toward telling us about the relative positioning of the stab marks and the ligature marks.

How close to one another are they?

Oh, the two stab wounds are less than an A inch apart, probably about three-quarters, from the looks of the scale here.

And at that point, the ligature marks, the electric cord marks, are about the same distance apart or maybe a little bit less, a half to three-quarters of an inch.

And how about relative to each other, that Q is, the ligature marks to the location of the stab marks?

The uppermost of the two stob wounds (indicating) lies actually in one of the ligature marks; the lowermost lies at the lower margin of one of the ligature marks.

So the jury has an understanding of exactly Q what it is you're referring to now, would you take a moment

and show the Jury the -- is it fair for me to call it an overlapping nature of the ligature mark to the stab wound?

A Okay.

Q If you would point that out for them, I'd appreciate it.

A Okay. The ligature marks, I think, are pretty obvious going around the neck; and the uppermost of these two stab wounds actually goes right through it; and the lower one is just about at the lower edge of the -- of the lowermost mark of the ligature.

I think you can see in the back there, the uppermost stab wound actually is right across the ligature mark. (Indicating)

Q Thank you, Doctor.

Are you able to determine -were you able to determine during your examination which of
those two wounds, that is, the stab wounds or the ligature
wounds, occurred first?

A No. I really con't.

Q Could you tell if one or the other of them bled more?

A Well, the ligature is not going to cause bleeding, externally at least.

Q Does it internally?

1	A Internally, it will cause some minor
2	bleeding, yes.
3	Q Looking at the wounds from that point of
4	view, the bleeding that you think may have been caused by
5	the ligatures and the stab wounds, could you proffer a guess
6	as to one being before the other?
7	MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me. I object. I
8	respect the doctor, but we don't want him guessing.
9	THE WITNESS: I'll take you up on that.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	MR. HARMON: Bad choice of word.
12	THE WITNESS: Even based on the internal
13	exam, I would not try to say that this came first or that
14	came first, no.
15	BY MR. SEATON:
16	Q I want now to turn to the in fact, I'll
17	collect the pictures that you have so that we don't confuse
18	them.
19	I want to turn to the autopsy
20	performed on Lauri Jacobson.
21	And just out of curiosity, do
22	you remember the order in which these autopsies took place?
23	A I believe that the autopsy on Denise Lizzi
24	came first. Let me check it to be sure.
	002123

-	- 74
	.,
	•
-	_

We started her at about eleven o'clock in the morning and Miss Jacobson at about two o'clock in the afternoon.

So Miss Lizzi, whom we have been discussing, was the first of the two autopsies.

Q All right. Now let's discuss the autopsy of Lauri Jacobson, if we might.

Showing you State's Exhibit 51, does that appear to be a photograph of the body of Lauri Jacobson, as she was presented to you?

A Yes, it does.

Q And Just very quickly, if you'd show the jury -- they saw these pictures earlier -- but just to remind them of the clothing and describe the clothing as you are showing them that picture.

A All right.

Q You could just hold it up, Doctor. I don't think --

A Okay.

We have a -- a white pullover shirt, a T shirt with a multi colored pattern on the back here. She's lying face down, of course. (Indicating)

We have blue pants on and white socks. That's about all we can see here. (Indicating)

1	Q	All right.
2		
3	that were asso	ciated with
4	A	No.
5	Q	All right.
6	Lizzi, you rem	oved her clo
7	examination of	the an e
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	What did t
10	you?	
11		
12	and how much d	id she weigh
13	A	She weighe
14	taller, five f	eet nine, I
15	Q	What can yo
16	the lividity,	the decompos
17	have told us a	bout Denise
18	A	Her state
19	than that of M	iss Lizzi,

of decomposition?

Q All right. Thank you.
Did she have any restraints
that were associated with her body?
A No.
Q All right. And as in the case of Denise
Lizzi, you removed her clothing so that you could do an
examination of the an external examination of the body?
A Yes.
Q What did that external examination show to
you?
First of all, how tall was she
and how much did she weigh?
A She weighed 148 pounds. She was a little
taller, five feet nine, I believe.
Q What can you tell us about the rigor mortis,
the lividity, the decomposition of Lauri Jacobson, as you
have told us about Denise Lizzi?
A Her state of decomposition was more advanced
than that of Miss Lizzi.
Again, the rigor mortis, pretty
well gone; the pattern of lividity, the discoloration of the
body, again, indicating that she had been lying face down.
Q Would this 53 help in describing the amount

A Yes, because it's not an easy thing to describe.

We have a lot of discoloration of the skin, particularly the areas that lay downward; and we see that in this picture; and as you look across the shoulders and particularly the left — the area above the left breast here, you see kind of a reticulated pattern. It looks like — almost like a pattern of a giraffe's hide.

This discoloration is due to the activity of bacteria that is driving the blood from the various blood vessels as gases expand and push against it, and we call this marbling. I don't know where that word came from, but I guess it's because sometimes it looks like some of the patterns in a piece of marble.

In the face, we see a lot of discoloration. We see some patchy areas of skin slippage, all of which is substantially more advanced than it was in the case of Miss Lizzi.

Q We'll come back to the comparison of the two states of decomposition in a moment.

Let me have you describe for the jury, if you would -- well, you did rigor.

And was there lividity that you had seen? I can't recall if you had talked about that or

1	not.
2	A Lividity, yes; the same kind of distribution
<b>3</b>	as we had with the other lady. We're simply indicating that
4	she had been face down.
5	Q In the same position that the early
6	photographs show her when she was
7	A Yes, more or less the same.
8	Q All right. What can you tell us about
9	injuries that you noted on the body of Lauri Jacobson?
10	A We had some apparent damage around the neck
11	and behind the right ear. There is a scratch on the neck,
12	which went off from about the midline toward the left, and
13	finally ended in a very superficial little penetrating stab
14	wound.
15	Q Let me show you Exhibit 54 and ask if
16	that would you make sure it's 54?
17	A This is 54, yes.
18	Q And does it help to describe the scratch
19	which you are referring to now?
20	A Well, there was another scratch here. I
21	I believe this is a second scratch.
22	What 54 really does for us is
23	to show us a very small penetrating wound around the right
24	ear.

Q All right.	Tell us	about	that	wound	4
--------------	---------	-------	------	-------	---

A Well, in the picture, the ear is being pulled forward by somebody with a glove on here. We see discoloration of the tissue behind the ear, bruising; and there actually is a little quarter inch V shaped penetrating stab wound, Just into the skin, into the skin. It's not deep.

Q How deep was 1t?

A About a quarter of an inch.

Q Similar to the two neck wounds that we had seen on Denise Lizzi?

A Similar, yes.

Q All right. Go ahead.

A And then down below the ear, about down here, is a scratch. (Indicating) That really is all it is. It just shows up as a straight line.

Q Does the scratch penetrate, to any extent, the skin?

A Just the super -- Just the outer layers, just enough to leave a good mark.

Q And when I brought you that photo, you were speaking, I believe, of another scratch.

Where would that be located?

A I think the one I was thinking of was on the

1	left side of the neck, if I remember correctly. I've got to
2	check here just to be certain I'm right.
3	No, I'm wrong. It was off to
4	the right, so that's the one you are talking about.
5	Q Was there any kind of a penetrating wound
6	affiliated with that scratch?
7	A Yes. At the scratch comes around toward
8	the midline; there is a penetrating wound, similar to what
g	we've seen before, about three-eighths of an inch long.
10	Q What do you mean by midline?
11	A Center line. (Indicating)
12	Q Okay. So where you're pointing, right
13	underneath your chin and the middle of the neck area
14	A Yes.
15	Q that is where the small penetrating type
16	wound was found on Denise I'm sarry, Lauri Jacobson?
17	A Correct.
18	Q Did you notice any other scratches,
19	abrasions, anything of that nature?
20	A At the moment, I don't recall any
21	specifically.
22	The what caught my attention
23	really was the fact that we we did have a penetrating
24	wound in the skin here and that's all that it involved. It

didn't involve any deep structures.

- Q Are there any scratches associated with her right forearm?
  - A Right forearm? May have been.
  - Q If you could refresh your memory.
  - A I'd have to have a look.

Yes. There was a two and a half inch scratch. This had a brownish discoloration; no evidence of reaction to it. I consider this -- probably it happened after death.

Q All right. All of the other wounds which you have described, do I take from your answer, appeared prior to death?

A Yes. I think -- I think that Exhibit 54 marks that very clear. We have this little penetrating wound and quite a little bit of bruising effect around it, dark purplish discoloration.

Q Why does the bruising mean anything in terms of whether it occurred before or after death?

A Well, bruising doesn't occur after death.

You have to have a functioning circulating blood stream.

Bruising occurs because tissue is damaged and blood cells scatter out into the tissue, get out of their vessels, and that's what gives us the color of

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

21.

regard to that?

A Again, the significant findings are confined to the neck area. I did not find any indication of any disease process that would have caused her any problems.

In the neck we have a great deal of hemorrhage in the soft tissues around the muscles, around the thyroid gland; and, in addition, we actually have a fracture of the cartilage which forms the voice box or larynx.

- Q Cartilage, is that like a bone?
- A Well, not really.

Gartilage is the stuff that gives form to partions of the body. For example, the outer ear, this has an inner framework of cartilage, very flexible; but it returns back to its original shape so that when I bend the ear and let go of it, it still looks like it did before.

We have a fair amount of cartilage in the nose. This is what keeps the sides of the nose out and keeps them from collapsing in and blacking the airway.

And major components of all of our joints, provides for slick, smooth surfaces to bend, roll, slide over.

1	Q And did you tell us that the cartilage that
2	formed around the voice box had been fractured?
3	A Yes.
4	Q So it did break this cartilage?
5	A Yes, it did.
6	Q All right. Can you describe that for us as
7	it related to this case.
8	A Okay. The solid structure that we feel in
9	the front of the neck here (indicating) is the voice box.
10	We're all familiar with that. It's made of cortilage. At
11	this age, 20s and 30s, it still is cartilage.
12	Later on in life you may get
13	some bone development in here so it becomes rigid, but not
14	at this age. It's still pretty flexible. And it also
15	retains its shape so it helps to keep the airway open.
16	Now, right up at the top, right
17	in the center line, there is a notch. Okay. Okay. You can
18	all feel it. (Indicating). And right at the bottom of this
19	V shaped notch, we have a fracture line going down a short
20	distance, again, into the substance of the voice box itself.
21	Q Does it take force to create that?
22	A Sorry?
22	A Sorry?  Q Does it take force to create that fracture?

			114
爱			
# MRippo-07058-R0A02137	1		Was that fracture seen in Denise Lizzi?
858-R			
09821	2	A	No.
37	3	Q Q	But it was seen in Lauri Jacobson?
	4	A	Yes.
İ	5	Q	What did you note in the neck regarding
	6	hemorrhage?	
	7	А	There was quite quite a bit. There was
	8	substantially (	more than we saw in Miss Lizzi.
1	9	Q	What does all of that suggest to you in
,	10	regards to Lau	ri Jacobson?
:	11	A	This is certainly consistent with manual
1	12	strangulation.	
!	13	Q	And are you able to tell us the cause of
:	14	death then?	
	15	A	Yes, sir.
	16	Q	And what was it?
	17	А	Death, in my opinion, was as a result of
	18	asphyx1at1on,	again, lack of axygen, which, in turn, is due
	19	to manual stra	ngulation.
	20	Q	And how about the manner of death?
	21	А	Again, in my opinion, this is homicidal.
	22	0	Not accidental nor self inflicted?
	23	А	No.
	24	Q	In the case of Lauri Jacobson, were you able
		_	

18 .

to request a toxicology screen on her as well?

A We did, yes. We had something more of a problem with Miss Jacobson due to the state of decomposition.

One of the things that happens in this process is that blood is pushed out of the vessels into the tissues. It's consumed by bacterial action. And we simply didn't have any blood available to send over to the laboratory.

In a case like this, we do the next best thing and send solid organ samples, which would be involved with the elimination or the metabolism of various and foreign compounds, so we send pieces of liver, pieces of kidney, and they can be ground up and tracked and tested for presence of various drugs and other things.

Q Is that manner of testing as reliable as the blood and urine testing?

A It certainly is reliable, yes. It's more work, but you'd -- I would say it has the same degree of reliability.

Q And what were the test results that you got back on the toxicological screen of Lauri Jacobson?

A No drugs were identified in either the liver or the kidneys. We cannot do a blood alcohol because we

don't have any blood.

Q So there was no opinion as to whether or not there was any alcohol in her system?

A No. We cannot say anything about that,

Q Was there — other than the injury behind the ear — I think you said it was the right ear of Lauri Jacobson — was there any evidence of any injury to her head, any other injury to her head?

A Not that I recall, no; certainly nothing deep inside. No -- no -- no brain injury or anything like that.

Assume a hypothetical for a moment, Doctor, where she was hit over the head with a bottle, a beer bottle, sufficient to make her at least wobbly and not be in full capacity of her functions.

Would an -- would a hit like that necessarily show up in your autopsy?

A If the blow is inflicted in an area which is not too badly involved in decomposition, it ought to be pretty obvious; in decomposed tissue, it can be very difficult.

This is a rather modest to moderate state of decomposition, and such an injury, hard enough to stun her, would show up, if she survived that for

a matter of some minutes.

Now, it takes a few minutes for a bruise to develop so you can see it. You bang your arm on something and say, such, and look at it and it looks perfectly all right, and ten minutes later, it's turned red and began to puff up. So it takes time for these things to develop.

- Q Do you shave the head in order to look at the auter portions of the skin?
  - A Sometimes.
  - Q Did you in this case?
- 12 A I den't think so, no.
  - Q Could the hole behind the -- or underneath the ear be consistent with such a blow?
- A No. This -- this is a very minor injury.

  No.
  - Q What can you tell us in terms of the time of the death of Lauri Jacobson?
  - A Well, to all appearances, it looked like she should have been dead longer than Miss Lizzi; however, that is not an absolute. There are lots of different things which influence the rate of decomposition.

For example, whether or not the person is clothed will make a difference. Those who are

clothed	1 lose	their	pody	temp	perature	e moi	re	LMOTS	L <b>y</b> .	iney
remain	warm :	longer	than	the	person	who	is	not	clot	hed.

- Q And in the case of Lauri Jacobson, she was clothed when she --
  - A She was fully clothed. Miss Lizzi was not.
- Q And just so -- before we leave that point, can that make a difference -- the difference in their two sets of clothing make a difference in the speed of decomposition?

A Possibly a small difference. I — given the fact that they are in the same environment — actually lying pretty much side-by-side, you won't expect it to make a huge difference; a small difference, perhaps, yes.

Q Are there other factors that could enter into the difference in their decomposition?

A Yes, there are. Body weight is a factor.

The heavy person will lose his deep core temperature much more slowly than a thin person would.

- Q And you testified, I believe, Lauri Jacobson was 148 pounds?
  - A She was.
  - Q Denise Lizzi was 118 pounds?
- A Correct.
  - Q I think you described her as being slender,

as a matter of fact.

A Yes.

Q Would that have some significant effect on the rate of decomposition?

A Again, possibly a minor effect. I don't think that difference, with what -- we got 30 pounds difference here. That really shouldn't make a traumatic difference.

Now, a person's activity prior to death can make a difference in how rapidly one loses core temperature.

There are many variables, and sometimes you will find, as I have, two bodies that we are certain died at or about the same time, within minutes of each other, and see different rates of levels of decomposition; and sometimes you wind up scratching your head, saying, I don't know why this is.

Q Hypothetically, if you knew that someone had come into court and indicated that both of these women were strangled at about the same time, but not sure if they died immediately, and that that happened on the 18th of February, 1992, and the bodies were found — and I should add to that around the noon time part of the day — and the bodies were found about 48 hours later, on the 20th of February, 1992,

21.

could that scenario be consistent with what you have seen through these autopsies?

A Yes, it could. I'll have to admit that I don't have a good explanation for this difference, but it's certainly not impossible that they could have died at or about the same time or within half an hour, for example, of each other.

If that is the case, in this case, then I don't know why we have as much difference between the two bodies as we do. It's there. I can tell you about it, but this is one that I'm hard put to explain.

Q Were there any ligature marks on the neck, ankles or wrists of Lauri Jacobson?

A Not that I recall, no. I don't think there were.

Q Anything that would suggest there may have been?

A No.

Q If she had been, as an example, tied up in a fashion similar to that of Denise, could the decomposition process obliterate marks, assuming that they were maybe tied lighter?

A If they are tied lightly, there might not be any residual mark, regardless of whether decomposition is

1	6

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

started or not.

In the decomposing body, yes, it does create problems, the further the procession, the more difficult it becomes to interpret what you see or even to find things that you would have seen in a fresh body.

Yes, we are at a disadvantage working with a decomposed body, but I do not find anything that would say, yes, this person had something tied here. It's just not there.

Hypothetically, if Lauri Jacobson had been tied prior to her death and released prior to her death, might that explain no showing of ligature marks?

> That's possible. A

> > MR. WOLFSON: I'm going to object, Judge.

We don't have that evidence

before this jury and a hypothetical must be based on evidence. He would be asking the doctor to speculate.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. WOLFSON: I ask you to strike the doctor's answer.

THE COURT: The answer is stricken. The

jury will disregard that.

BY MR. SEATON:

You had mentioned earlier in your testimony Q

that when you were -- I think we were talking about Denise and the two kinds of strangulation that can occur, manual and ligature, and as you were describing manual ligature, you began to tell us about --

MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection. I think he misspoke. He just said as you were describing manual ligature.

MR. SEATON: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: He did.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q As you were describing manual strangulation -- well, I did the same thing I did before.

Let me ask you to do it now.

Would you describe for us the type of force necessary to manually strangle samebody.

A Well, this process really requires a fair amount of strength, and if you are going to fracture something in here (indicating), this -- this is a very substantial amount of effort.

I don't know how to describe it. Certainly you can't put this in terms of X number of foot pounds of normal per square inch or anything like the engineers would do, but, yes, it does take some effort.

And the force has to be applied

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

in such a fashion that this part of the neck is compressed, whether he's coming around the front, something like that, or coming from the back and you are getting fingers on it. (Indicating). One way or another, this has to be compressed to the point where it actually cracks.

And for the record, the "this" you are referring to is the area around the voice box in the front of the throat?

A Yes.

Q All right.

Can you give us any idea of what kind of force -- how strong a person has to be to accomplish something like that?

Mell, you certainly don't have to be a muscle man to do this, but it does take a fair amount of energy to accomplish. Again, this is a -- something that's very difficult to put in to words, and I'm not doing a real good job, I know that.

Q How much time does it take to accomplish this act?

A Generally it takes several minutes, although if the airway is damaged, and swelling ensues, then it doesn't really take very long.

As a matter of fact, if you

fracture the larynx and the voice box, as with a karate
blow, which certainly you can, that's all it needs, is that
instantaneous blow, because nature will take over and do
and finish the job for you.

Q But if one person were kneeling over another person, strangling them with their hands, and that was going to be the method of death, how long would that take?

MR. WOLFSON: Objection; assuming facts not in evidence. It's a hypothetical based on no evidence.

MR. SEATON: Well, Judge, there is manual strangulation here.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. WOLFSON: No, no evidence of somebody standing over, kneeling over, anything like that.

MR. SEATON: Let me take that out of my hypothetical.

THE COURT: Go on.

## BY MR. SEATON:

If someone is placing their hands around the throat of another human being and they are applying sufficient pressure against the voice box to eventually crack that cartilage, and they do it for long enough to cause death to occur, how long does that take?

A This probably would require something in the

How much of those organs is

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

	126
.7 1	sent or was sent to APL?
2	A We generally send about six ounces.
3	Q All right. Thank you.
4	Of each?
5	A Each.
6	MR. SEATON: Thank you.
7	I have no further questions.
8	THE COURT: All right, Let's take a short
9	recess. Then we'll begin with cross-examination.
10	Remember: Do not discuss the
11	case among yourselves or with anyone else; or
12	Read, watch, listen to any
13	report or commentary on this case; or
14	Form any opinions on any
15	subject connected with the case until the matter is finally
16	submitted to you.
17	
18	(Whereupon, a recess was had in the proceedings, at the
19	conclusion of which the following was had:)
20	TOTIONING Has Had.
21	
22	THE COURT: counsel stipulate to the
23	presence of the jury?
24	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
	002146

THE COURT: Okay, Dr. Green, will you take the stand.

Cross-examination?

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q Dr. Green, let me ask you to quickly review a few photographs. They are marked as State's Exhibits
51 -- and I would ask you to look at them collectively -State's Exhibit 51, 56, 57, 58, 61 and 62.

Are those photographs of the body of Lauri Jacobson who you performed an autopsy on on, I believe it was, February 21st, 1992?

- A They do appear to be.
- Q Thank you, Doctor.

As I understand your testimony from direct examination, you are able to determine fairly conclusively that the body of Denise Lizzi had certain ligature marks on it.

A Yes.

Q Specifically in the ankle, wrist and neck

area.

A Correct.

0		
Ų		
•		
•		
60	1	**
~1	Ł	7
8	_	-
LJI.		
တ္		
1		
20		
2850		
20		
(3)		
· · · ·		
ū		
_		

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Q It	's my u	ndersta	nding	that	you	cannot	make
that same	finding	from the	e ankle	and v	rist	area	of La	ıri
Jacobson;	isn't th	nat true	?					

А That is correct.

Let me -- if I may, I'd like to comment on the ankle business.

Exhibit 61 shows both lower legs. It's a little bit overexposed in printing. I can see nothing in terms of detail on the ankles in that one.

In Number 62, we have a close up of the left ankle. There is a faint circumferential mark upon it, which corresponds very nicely to the elastic top of her sock.

Okay. Would it be fair to say then that you Q feel quite comfortably that there were no ligatures placed around the ankles and wrists of Lauri Jacobson?

I don't know that to be the case. All I can tell you is I saw no evidence to support it.)

You don't know what happened to these girls; you only know what your examination reveals to you; is that right?

That's all I'm trying to do.

And your examination reveals the existence and the probability of ligatures applied to Denise Lizzi,

but not to Lauri Jacobson; is that a fair characterization?

A Yes, it is.

Q You said that Lauri Jacobson was a 140

pound -- I believe you said -- five foot nine-ish woman, did
you not?

A I think that is correct, yes.

Q Please check your records, Doctor.

A Sixty-nine inches, five feet nine, 148, that's correct.

Q If a 148 pound woman was hog tied behind her back, both wrists and ankles, with a cord type of ligature, and dragged by those bindings a distance of 20 to 50 feet in the air, would you think that you would find ligature marks?

MR. SEATON: I object to the form of the question. I know of no 20 to 50 feet distance that has been

testified to in this courtroom.

MR. WOLFSON: I'll change my range of 10 to 20.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q Ten to 20 feet.

A If that, indeed, was the case, then it would be reasonable to expect to find some kind of marking or abrasion or some indicator.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

002152

We can roll the scalp forward,

and dissect it down in the back. And then when -- when we're through with the examination, it can be returned -- sewed up, no visible marking.

Q And is it not true that regarding your internal examination of the head of Lauri Jacobson, that you concluded in your autopsy report there is no epidural, subdural or subarachnoid hemorrhage?

A Correct.

Q And that's consistent with your testimony that there was no evidence of a significant injury to the rear of the head of Lauri Jacobson?

A I think if you move up about two lines, we're still talking about the scalp, the outside -- outside of the skull rather than inside.

Q I understand that. But that finding in your report is still consistent with your conclusion.

A It is, but I think more significant than that is the statement on the second line where we're still referring to the scalp, no discreet hemorrhages are identified.

Q Thank you, Doctor.

If a person took a beer bottle and struck it against the head of Lauri Jacobson to a force or degree enough to cause the breaking of the beer bottle

18

1

21

22

23

24

2	semi-unconscious, would you expect to find evidence of that
3	kind of striking blow on Lauri Jacobson?
4	A You might or might not. I don't think
5	that's predictable.
6	Head injuries can sometimes be
7	quite traumatic and not leave a mark of any kind. I know.
8	I've been there. (Indicating)
9	Q And, alternatively, they could certainly
10	leave the kind of bruising or mark that could be noticed by
11	an autopsy,
12	A That also is entirely possible.
13	Q We deal with a lot of possibilities in the
14	field of pathology, do we not?
15	A We do.
16	Q You've used the word possibility a lot
17	during your examination today, have you not?
18	A Perhaps.
19	Q Does a wound of a person, more particularly
20	a stab wound, as you've described, open up larger during th

and the person to be knocked, as I'll call 1t,

rticularly during the period of decomposition?

A It's not going to get any longer. In other words, it's not going to cause tearing to extend the length of the wound.

19		

Decomposition may make it a little more difficult to evaluate because tissues get a little sloppy, but, no, it's not really going to change the size of it.

Q Have you ever done any work in the area of trying to determine whether the killer in a case like this was right-handed or left-handed?

A I have. And I have come to the conclusion that most of the time, you can't tell.

Q Can you tell sometimes?

A You can -- I would put it in the area of an educated guess.

Q Were you asked to make an educated guess in this case?

A Not that I recall.

Q When you talk about manual strangulation, you are talking about the human body being used to strangle its victim versus the use of an instrumentality; is that right?

A Right. The term manual, of course, comes from the use of the hands; Spanish, mono, hand.

Q But, when we talk about manual strangulation, do you also include using another part of the body other than one's hands, such as wrists or arms, such as

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

a choke hold?

A A choke hold, yes. This -- I -- I do not use choke hold as synonymous with strangulation. The effect — the end result may well be the same, but, personally, I would make a distinction between the two.

Q For the jury's benefit, tell them what a choke hold is.

A A choke hold can be applied from — with the assailant, the attacker, behind his victim in two different ways: With one, you can bring the elbow up so it cames over the larynx and simply press it back. It's not going to do — it's not going to break anything, (indicating), because the larynx, having a V shaped configuration, is going to fit very nicely into the inside of the elbow.

Now, one can cause unconsciousness by compression of the carotid arteries; one can cause unconsciousness by actually compressing the airway back to where breathing is no longer possible.

The alternative to the common choke hold is called the arm bar, and in this case, the forearm is placed against the front of the neck and pressure is applied by the middle of the arm rather than by the inside of the elbow. This has the potential for doing actually more damage than the choke hold type.

Q You have made findings in this case that manual strangulation, in addition to ligature strangulation, was in part a contributing cause of death.

A It could well be -- have been the cause, and it certainly -- if it's not the cause, it is a contributor, yes.

MR. SEATON: Judge, just for the record -- I apologize. I think we know who he's talking about, but could we have a clarification as to which of the two young ladies --

### BY MR. WOLFSON:

- Q Which girl are we talking about, Doctor?
- A We're talking about both of them in terms of manual strangulation.
- Q All right. When you give the opinion that both of these girls were manually strangled, are you opining that the killer used his hands to accomplish that?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Are you providing us with your expert opinion that the killer used his hands and he, either from the front or the rear, caused pressure to be placed against the victim's neck?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Do you know if either of these girls were

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1.4

allow experts, like yourselves, to determine whether the person who committed the crime was grabbing from a like height, where their hands would come around in such a manner, or had to reach up, therefore, leaving a different type of impression?

A I have never seen any such study. I am not aware of any.

Q Dactor, showing you State's Exhibit Number 54, are you able to tell us which girl that is a photograph of?

A Yes, sir. This is a photograph of Miss

Jacobson. It shows the little injury behind her right ear.

Is that injury, and the circular formation just under it, consistent with perhaps an earring being on the body at the time of the struggle and then eventual death?

A No. I think the circular pattern that you are seeing here is skin slippage.

Q Is that the slippage?

A Yes.

Q Doctor, in your autopsy reports, you estimated that the time of death would have occurred two and a half to three and a half days prior to your examinations; isn't that true?

20	

1.6

A `	That	\$ cor	Le	ct	ŧ

- Q Would you please define homicide for us?
- A You mean as I think of it, rather than a law book definition or what?
- Q You have came into this courtroom and you have given your expert opinion that the manner of death in these cases is hamicide.

What do you mean by that?

- A I use the term simply to refer to the act, the actions by which one person takes the life of another. I do not try to get in to reasons or intent.
- Q Could asphyxiation occur over an extended length or period of time?
- A The actual process of a neck injury or neck impression, no. This is a very rapid event.
- If a gag is put in someone's mouth in the fashion that we have hereto testified, is it possible that death could have occurred minutes -- 10, 20, 30 minutes -- after the gag was placed in the mouth?
- A In the situation we have with this particular gag, with it compressing the tangue back to the back of the throat, no, there is no possibility of that length of time of survival.
  - Q Are you able to conclude that the gag was

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

\*•

placed inside the mouth, and when it was placed in the mouth, it caused the tongue to move backwards and upwards; or could the victim have done that herself?

A If the victim is conscious, uninjured and wants to do this, yes, it's possible to do it to yourself.

Q Could a victim have done that in their struggle, not knowing that by moving their tongue in that foshion, it could eventually lead to their death?

A During a struggle? It seems a bit unlikely.

Q Sir, your answer was -- previously was something about if somebody wanted to do that, but nobody would want to move their tangue in that fashion.

But if a person who has a gag placed in their mouth, in their own struggle, with the fact of the gag in their mouth, could they, on their own, move their tongue to that eventual position, not knowing that by doing so it would cause their death?

A No. I think in this case where the bulk of the material, which is pushed into the mouth, in the sock, lies basically under the tongue — in other words, between your lower teeth and the tongue — this is not a logical place to put something, if you put it in your mouth. You generally put it on top of the tongue.

If it's forced in between the 002162

jaw and the tongue, this is clearly going to push the tongue up and back and block the airway. And if the object is placed there, then it would be difficult at least to move the tongue in such a manner as to try to push it out with your tongue.

6

5

1

2

3

I really don't see quite what

If you had that -- if you had

7

you are getting at.

8

9

Q I guess what I'm getting at is if the killer placed the sock in the mouth on top of the tangue,

10

(indicating) --

11

A Uh-huh.

12

13

Q -- and the victim was trying to spit it out or move her tangue so as to not choke, is it possible for the victim to have pulled her tangue in that rear and upward

14 15

motion as you saw it was found?

16

A I suppose it would be possible.

17

18

to have the ligature around the face to hold the thing in

19

there, then there is no possibility of it moving at all.

21

20

Q How often do you put comments at the end of your autopsy reports, under a particular comments section?

22

A Um, occasionally. I don't know exactly how

23

Q It's not uncommon?

often; not every case.

24

1	A Not uncommon.
2	Q Now, in this case, you did put a comment
3	section at the end of Lauri Jacobson's report, did you not?
4	A I think I did. Oh, yes, I was talking about
5	the decomposition problem, yes.
6	Q Yes, you were.
7	A Yeah.
8	Q In fact, you said the degree of
9	decomposition in this case, meaning Lauri Jacobson, is
10	considerably more than in the companion case, and you were
11	talking about Denise Lizzi, were you not?
12	A That's correct, yes.
13	Q Now you said that there are many factors
14	which must be taken into account in determining time of
15	death, and one of them is decomposition; is that right?
16	A That's right.
17	Q And decomposition itself, we must look to
18	many subfactors in trying to determine why one body may have
19	decomposed quick or slower than the other; is that right?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q You knew that both these girls were found
22	side-by-side, did you not, when you wrote this report?
23	A Yes. That was what the information that
24	we had received, yes.

1		

		Q	At	nd	you	knev	v ti	nat	both	these	girl	S Mei	re
found	in	the	same	10	cati	on,	at	the	same	time,	d1d	you	not?

A Yes.

Q And I think it's probably safe to assume that the temperature of the environment was the same at the time these bodies were found, would it not be?

A Certainly is reasonable, yes.

And I believe you said that the difference in the body weight between these girls was a factor, but not a significant or weighty factor in the -- in the varying amount of decomposition, did you not?

A That's correct, I did.

And you did mention the fact of clothing being a factor, but it was one of the girls that was not wearing any pants that is really the only distinguishing factor as far as clothing, isn't it?

A That's correct.

Q And then you mentioned activity prior to death.

And you are not aware of any of the activity of these girls prior to their deaths, are you?

A I have absolutely no information on that score.

Q Is it possible that one of the girls,

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	perhaps Denise or excuse me Lauri Jacobson died 24
2	hours before Denise Lizzi?
3	A The degree of decomposition would certainly
4,	raise that question.
5	Q Is it
6	A But I don't say it would prove it one way or
7	the other.
8	Q Is it possible that it could have even been
9	a longer span of 36 hours?
10	A Anything is possible, yes. I think we'd
11	have a hard time pushing it more than 24.
12	Q But 24 hours is a possibility
13	A You
14	Q based upon just the sale factor of the
15	degrees of decomposition?
16	A I would agree with you.
17	MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
20	this time.)
21	BY MR. WOLFSON:
22	Q Doctor, are you familiar with a person who

Q Doctor, are you familiar with a person who injects controlled substances, methamphetamine or amphetamines, and how long it takes for those substances to

 reach the liver or kidney for the purpose of a toxicology test that was performed?

A The blood would reach the liver, kidney or any other organ up to what is commonly referred to in circulation time, and generally from point A to point B in the body, it is a matter of some seconds.

We used to do something in physiology class called a tongue to lung time and use a stop watch. You put something on your tongue that you couldn't taste, but it got into the lungs and you exhaled it and could measure something else. That was a matter of a few seconds.

Now, in terms of toxicology, this is quite another story. If the thing is injected intravenously, then it's going to get there quite rapidly. How long it's going to take to get measurable quantities, there maybe you have a problem.

If you miss the vein and inject it just into soft tissue, then the absorption time will be much longer,

- Q Would your answer be the same for morphine?
- A For virtually any substance.
- Q When you say much longer, are we talking about minutes or hours?

<u> </u>	1

A Oh, minutes, minutes.

2

g Sp if a person injected a controlled substance into their vein, and they actually hit their vein,

4

is it your testimony that within a matter of seconds to minutes, it would circulate enough to make it into their

5

kidney and liver for the purposes of a probable finding in a

7

toxicology report?

8

A Well, I don't know how long it's going to

9

take before you are going to get measurable quantities in

10

these tissues. You refer to morphine, I don't know if there

1.1

is any good data on that.

12

Circulation time, it's soing to

13

be the same regardless of what the material or substance is;

14

but how long it's going to take to accumulate enough in the

15

tissues of that organ -- in other words, to absorb it from

16

the blood stream, I don't know.

Pathologists laboratory?

17

(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)

18

BY MR. WOLFSON:

19

Q If Lauri Jacobson had injected a controlled

20

substance intravenously within 20 or 30 minutes of her

21

death, would you expect to have found it in the liver and

22

kidney examinations that were performed by the Associated

23

A It certainly would have been in the blood.

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 take for the drug or the metabolism to absorb into the tissues -- because in this case, we're not measuring blood.

Now, how long it's going to

We don't have any. We're measuring solid tissues -- and what would seem reasonable may not be and I'm not going to

(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)

BY MR. WOLFSON:

guess at it.

Q Doctor, nothing from your examination and nothing from your testimony here today can tell us who committed these crimes; isn't that true?

A That is true. That's not my problem.

MR. WOLFSON: That concludes my examination.

THE COURT: Redirect.

MR. SEATON: Thank you, Judge.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Mr. Wolfson gave you a hypothetical early on in your cross-examination and it went something like if a 148 pound woman had been hog tied with a cord and dragged 10 or 20 feet would you find markings? And I believe your answer would be it seems reasonable.

ĥ

1.8

If that person had been bound in such a way that the ligatures were not tight, that is, knotted tight around their wrists, their ankles, their neck, whatever it may have been, but simply tight enough to keep them in control and move them from one area to another, and when they got to that other area, prior to death, those ligatures were left in the same loose condition, would it be just as reasonable that no marks would be found?

A I really don't think so, because the operative term here, to me, is dragged.

Now, if you put something around it and then take it off without any great amount of force being used or any violence, then there may not be any mark at all.

But if the person is dragged by using this object, whatever it is, around the wrist or the ankle, I would certainly expect to see some injury to that skin surface, even though it might be very superficial.

Q You had also been asked about stun gun marks.

And there were none in this

case?

A I did not find any.

Q You indicated, through your

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

cross-examination, that you knew something about stun guns.

- A I have seen them.
- Q Tell us something about stun guns.

and some of them, very small ones, are no bigger than a cigarette lighter, use a little nine volt battery and an electronic circuit, which will cause a current to pass from the two probes which stick out the end of it to get these two little wires — wires or contacts, which come out a very short distance, and the voltage is increased to the point where you activate this thing, punch the button, so to speak, you can actually see the electric arc fully between the two, between the two probes, and it makes quite a little noise, too.

Q What kind of a noise does it make?

A Oh, it's kind of a buzzing, spinning sound of any electric arc.

Q This is going on at the same time that there is a visible arc between the two prongs?

A Yes.

Q All right.

A Anyway, these things range from the, as I say, the digarette lighter size on up to pieces of equipment which are about the size of a medium sized pistol, which

' 5

asked.

have increasing amounts of power.

And I saw an advertisement for one of these big ones here not long ago in something I got in the mail that supposedly --

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, I'm gaing to object. I don't think it's appropriate for the doctor to relate what an advertisement said.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q Tell us your own personal experiences with these, without relating to that --

A Well, I have never used one. As I say, I have seen them. I have seen them demonstrated, not on a living person. That's my extent of my experience with them.

Q When you -- when you've seen those used, do the contact points have to be pressed on to the skin in order to create the electrical arc?

MR. WOLFSON: Judge, I'm going to object. I don't think he's qualified to answer that. He just said he's never seen it used against a human being.

MR. SEATON: But that's not the question I

I asked if, not being used against a human being, could -- let me reask the question.

	3	

That will make it easier.

2

THE COURT: Rephrase.

3

## BY MR. SEATON:

---

5

6

7

8

9

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

that?

21

22

24

Q If you take one of these -- have you seen one of these stun guns used where a person just holds it in their hands and does not press it against the skin? Is there a button or anything that can be pushed to activate it?

A Yes, there is.

Q And when activated is that when the arc appears?

A Yes,

Q And when that are appears, that is when the noise happens?

A Yes.

Q And it doesn't need to be pressed against someone's skin in order to do that?

A Not at all, no.

Q Is it rather frightening to see and hear

A Not to me particularly. It might certainly frighten somebody else.

Q You had mentioned the absorption time of drugs. Let me see if I understand what your testimony was.

Is it true that if someone injects something into their veins, it will course through their blood rather rapidly?

A That's correct.

Q But that it takes a longer period of time for that substance that has now been injected into the blood stream to find its way into the kidney and into the liver?

A It's — obviously, both organs have blood supplies and the material will be in the blood, but to be transferred from the blood to the cells of the particular organ, for whatever it's going to do to this material, that takes a longer time than just simply being present.

Q All right.

A It -- blood is going through the organ and, eventually, the organ will pick up or absorb enough so that you can measure it, say, against this material is here or this -- this much material is here.

Q And that takes an appreciably longer time to be able to detect the existence of a substance than it does if you had the blood itself?

A Oh, yes, that is correct.

Q All right. And in the case of Lauri
Jacobson, you did not have the blood, you only had that
tissue that absorbed the blood somewhere along the line --

I've seen a couple of these.

1.6

They are not -- not nearly as common today as they were 20 years ago, because most people injecting drugs 20 years ago were using dirty needles and the area would be getting infected. You would get abscesses and all kinds of nasty complications.

Today most of the drug users who are injecting things are using use them once, throw them away insulin syringes which are sterile, they're in individual sterile packs, you don't have this problem of infection.

Q Even though not as common today, is skin popping still done?

A I would guess -- I don't like to guess -MR. WOLFSON: Judge, I'm going to object.
I don't think we need guesses
and I don't think he's qualified to answer.

MR. SEATON: I can eliminate that question and go on, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

#### BY MR. SEATON:

Q Assuming hypothetically that a person either tried to inject themselves in the vein and missed, or that they intentionally did what we have referred to here as skip popping, what does that have to do — what effect would that

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1.7

have on how soon measurable amounts of whatever it was they injected got to the liver and to the kidney?

A Well, if it's going into the tissue and not directly into the blood stream, it's going to be a longer period of time before the material is picked up and gotten into the blood stream.

It cannot circulate except in the blood, and certainly it is picked up from the tissues and ultimately make its way into the blood stream, and this would certainly take a longer time to begin circulating through the body than it would if you injected it directly into the blood, in which it's there instantaneously.

MR. SEATON: Thank you, Doctor.

That will conclude direct.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Wolfson?

MR. WOLFSON: Just briefly. Thank you.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q Doctor, in your experience, isn't it true
that most intravenous drug users know when they miss a vein?

MR. SEATON: Well, I'm going to make the
same objection, first of all out of his expertise, because I
doubt that he's around them when it happens; and it calls

	·
1	for speculation.
2	THE COURT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. WOLFSON:
4	Q Well, you were familiar with skin popping,
5	weren't you?
6	A Certainly.
7	Q How did you become familiar with skin
8	popping?
9	A Well, first I have read about it and then I
10	actually saw one or two.
11	Q Have you come, in the many years you've been
12	working, to know about intravenous drug users in your work?
13	A I have.
14	Q Do you have an opinion whether an
15	intravenous drug user, when injecting themselves with drugs,
16	would try to hit a vein or miss a vein?
17	MR. SEATON: Judge, I'm going to object
18	again.
19	That calls for the grossest of
20	speculation.
21	MR. WOLFSON: I don't think it's
22	speculation. The doctor is familiar with it. I'm not
23	asking him to speculate. I asked him
24	THE COURT: I'll overrule it.

002179

MR. HARMON: Could we ask him if he ever met

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 1 what Louri Jacobson did that day.
2 MR. SEATON: Then

MR. SEATON: Then it's not relevant, Judge.

MR. WOLFSON: My question, Doctor --

THE COURT: Ask your question.

MR. WOLFSON: I'm sorry, sir?

THE COURT: Ask your question.

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q My question, Doctor, is based upon your familiarity and knowledge of intravenous -- well, what does intravenous mean?

A In -- in the vein, intra, inside.

Q Inside what?

A The vein.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  WOLFSON: Okay. I have no further questions in that area.

16 BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q My last question is -- another area that we're not sure if you have such familiarity, but the stungun area: Did you say that you have never seen a stungun used against a person?

A That's correct.

Q But you've seen, through -- friends or associates demonstrate its use?

A Correct.

	1
1	Q By holding a stun gun in the air and causing .
2	it to activate; is that right?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q Are there different types of stun guns?
5	A Yes, there are.
6	Q So you're familiar with the type of stun gun
7	that was demanstrated to you, but not necessarily other
8	types of stun guns?
9	A No, I've I've seen pictures. I've seen
10	them advertised and described, but to physically examine
11	one, no, I have not.
12	Q You don't know what sound a stun gun makes
13	if it is applied against a person, do you?
14	A No, I've never heard it.
15	Q You've only heard the sound it makes if held
16	in the open air; isn't that right?
17	A Correct.
18	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Doctor.
19	That concludes my examination.
20	THE COURT: Anything else?
21	MR. SEATON: No, no, Judge.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Green.
23	You are excused.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
	002182

5	1
-	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
•	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24

Wher	eupon,	the	witness
HOS	excused	1, )	

THE COURT: Counsel, approach, please.

(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.)

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, we'd like to call

Tracy Birch.

THE BAILIFF: Say again, sir.

MR. HARMON: Birch.

Whereupon,

# TRACY BIRCH

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly swarn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Thank you.

Please be seated.

Will you state your name and

spell it for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: Tracy Birch.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MR. HARMON:	
2	Q Is 1t Miss or Mrs. Birch?	
3	A Mrs.	
4	Q Mrs. Birch, what is your business or	
5	occupation?	
5	A I'm employed by the Las Vegas Metropolit	an
7	Police Department as a criminologist.	
8	Q Tell us what a criminologist is.	
9	A I examine physical evidence that's submi	tted
10	to the laboratory for analysis, which would include the	
11	analysis of suspected controlled substances.	
12	Q How long have you been employed with the	Las
13	Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?	
14	A Eleven years.	
15	Q Mrs. Birch, will you explain to us brief	'ly
16	the nature of your formal training and experience as a	
17	criminologist.	
18	A I have a Bachelor's degree in chemistry	from
19	the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.	
20	I was trained by senior criminologists i	.n
21	the laboratory on the identification of controlled	
22	substances. I was given approximately a six months trai	.ning
23	period.	
24	At the conclusion of that	

•

ð

 training period,  $I_i$  was given a written and practical examination, which I successfully passed.

I also have -- am -- I am a member of the Southwest Association of Forensic Scientists and the International Association for Chemical Testing.

Q Have you qualified as an expert in courts of law before as a criminologist?

A Yes.

Q Mrs. Birch, I want to direct your attention to various dates.

On or about September the 22nd, 1993, October the 4th, 1993, and October the 18th, 1993, did you have occasion to examine various objects that were recovered by crime scene analysts Sheree Norman and Allen Cabrales from the crime scene in this case, which has been identified as the Katie Arms Apartments, 3890 Cambridge street, Apartment 317?

A Yes.

MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,

Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q I'm showing you an impound report prepared by Sheree Norman, in evidence as Exhibit 106 and identified

١	ı	į	
١	۱	u	ı
	•		١

\_\_\_\_

in this courtroom by Analyst Allen Cabrales.

Would you examine the portions of Exhibit 106 which are identified as packages one and two.

After you have done so, will you tell us if packages one and two of the report refer to certain contents that you have examined on the dates that I referred to in '93 for the presence of controlled substances.

## A (Complies.)

Yes. I did examine submissions from packages one and two that were booked by Sheree Norman.

Q And without perhaps identifying all the objects, did the contents of packages one and two of Sheree Norman's impound report, identified as Exhibit 106, include a number of plastic cylinders, spoons, hypodermic syringes, a Q-Tip and smoking device?

A Yes.

As a result of the examinations you performed upon contents of packages one and two impounded by Sheree Norman from our crime scene in this case, did you form an opinion about the presence of any controlled substances?

A Yes. I did detect and identify controlled substances in some of those submissions.

1	Q Are you able to identify the amount of a
2	controlled substance to the type of a controlled substance
3	and the objects in which or an which you located it?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Will you explain your opinions, please.
6	A Mostly, I found residues, which white
7	powder, off white powder residues, which contain
8	methamphetamine.
9	I also detected a trace amount
10	of marijuana on a smoking device.
11	Q So when you speak of a residue, what are you
12	talking about?
13	A It was an amount of powder that was not
14	weighable. It was less than .01 grams. It was just a
15	residue of a powder on a plastic cylinder or spoon.
16	Q You referred to a trace of marijuana being
17	in a smoking device.
18	A Yes,
19	Q Do you recall, in addition to the plastic
20	cylinders and the spoons, any other item on which you
21	located a residue of either methamphetamine or marijuana?
22	A Could I refer to my notes?
23	Q Will that assist you in refreshing your
24	memory?
	002187

6	1	A Yes.
	2	MR. HARMON: May she do so, Your Honor?
	3	THE COURT: She may.
	4	THE WITNESS: There was also clear plastic
	5	packets that contained a residue that contained
	6	methamphetamine; and also hypodermic devices or syringes,
	7	that also contained methamphetamine.
	8	BY MR. HARMON:
	9	Q Do you recall how many hypodermic syringes
	10	you examined?
	11	A There were three.
	12	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
	13	That concludes direct, Your
	14	Honor,
	15	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
	16	
	17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	18	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
	19	Q Did you find any traces of morphine?
	20	A No.
	21	Q Did you examine all the hypodermic syringes
	22	that were provided to you?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q You did?
		002188

6 1	A Yes.
2	Q Do you have a copy of your report with you?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Specifically the report of September 22nd,
5	1993?
6	A Yes.
7	(Whereupon, as requested by
8	counsel, Defendant's Exhibit I was marked for identification.)
9	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
10	Q Showing you what's been marked for
11	identification as proposed Exhibit I.
12	Is this one of your reports?
13	(Indicating)
14	A Yes.
15	Q Does it have a laundry list of items that
16	you looked at?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And whot is item F?
19	A Hypo syringes that were not examined.
20	• Q So you didn't examine all the hypodermic
21	syringes?
22	A I didn't examine them on September 22nd, but
23	I did
24	Q When did you examine them?
	002189

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

(Discussion off the record.)

MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge. That is all.

THE COURT: Okay, Okay, We will recess for

We'll begin tomorrow at 10:30

a.m.

the evening.

Remember: Do not converse

among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject

connected with this trial;

Read, watch, listen to any

report or commentary by any medium of information,

including, without limitation, newspaper, television and

radio: or

Form or express any opinion on

any subject connected with this trial until the matter is

finally submitted to you.

Have a good evening.

(Proceedings recessed until Wednesday, February 28, 1996, at 10:30 a.m.)

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

\*\*\*\*\*

MICHAEL RIPPO,

Appellant,

No. 53626

FILED

-VS-

E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Respondent.

OCT 19 2009

CLERK OF EVENT CLERK

BY

CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

## JOINT APPENDIX Volume 10 of 48

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Affidavit	02/14/94	JA00371-JA00377
2	Affidavit	03/07/94	JA00400-JA00402
18	Affidavit of David M. Schieck Regarding Supplemental Brief in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus	08/17/04	JA04316-JA04320
3	Amended Indictment	01/03/96	JA00629-JA00633
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	03/23/94	JA00583-JA00590
. 8	Answer in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation	02/08/96	JA01873-JA01886
17	Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial	05/01/96	JA04008-JA04013
48	Criminal Court Minutes	10/27/08	JA11603
2	Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	08/20/93	JA00274-JA00281
18	Errata to Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	03/12/04	JA04257-JA04258

09-25384

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
19	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	12/01/04	JA04411-JA0441
48	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	11/17/08	JA11604-JA1161
1	Indictment	06/05/92	JA00235-JA0023
15	Instructions to the Jury	03/06/96	JA03358-JA0339
16	Instructions to the Jury	03/14/96	JA03809-JA0383
17	Judgment of Conviction	05/31/96	JA04037-JA0403
11	Motion for Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence Pertaining to the impact of the Defendant's Execution Upon Victim's Family Members	02/28/96	JA02620-JA0262
2	Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to Rippo's Defense	08/24/93	JA00286-JA0029
3	Motion for a Witness Deposition	06/19/94	JA00621-JA0062
17	Motion for New Trial	04/29/96	JA04002-JA0400
2	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Defendant's Prior Bad Acts	08/23/93	JA282-001 to JA282-005
2	Motion of Defendant for Discovery and to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	10/21/92	JA00254-JA0025
11	Motion to Bar the Admission of Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Clause	02/28/96	JA02603-JA0260
2	Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office	02/07/94	JA00334-JA0034
2	Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	08/23/93	JA00282-JA0028
11	Motion to Preclude the Consideration of Victim Impact Evidence Pursuant to NRS 175.552, 200.033, and 200.035	02/28/96	JA02613-JA0261
11	Motion to Preclude the Introduction of Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim Family Members' Characterizations and Opinions About the Crime, the Defendant, and/or the Appropriate Sentence	02/28/96	JA02625-JA0262
2	Motion to Quash and for a Protective Order	09/09/93	JA00298-JA0030

Vol	Title	Date	Page
	on an Order Shortening Time		
11	Motion to Require a Pretrial Judicial Review of all Victim Impact Evidence the State Intends to Introduce at the Penalty Phase	02/28/96	JA02607-JA02612
2	Notice of Alibi	09/20/93	JA00295-JA00297
19	Notice of Appeal	10/12/04	JA04409-JA04410
48	Notice of Appeal	04/15/09	JA11659-JA11661
19	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	12/15/04	JA04414
48	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	03/16/09	JA11648-JA11658
36	Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Counsel	02/15/08	JA08669-JA08672
1	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	06/30/92	JA00239-JA00241
42	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA09989-JA10014
42	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA10015-JA10025
42	1 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, State v. Bailey, Case No. C129217, Eighth Judicial District Court, July 30, 1996		JA10026-JA10034
42	Answers to Interrogatories p. 7,  Bennett v. McDaniel, et al., Case No.  CV-N-96-429-DWH (RAM),  February 9, 1998		JA10035-JA10037
42	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, partial, State v.  Bennett, Case NO. C083143, September 14, 1998		JA10038-JA10040
42	4 Non-Trial Disposition Memo, Clark County District Attorney's Office regarding Joseph Beeson, in Bennett v. McDaniel, Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of Nevada, October, 1988		JA10041-JA10042
42	5 Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, partial, State v. Bennett,		JA10043-JA10050

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	Case No. C083143, November 18, 1999		JA10051-JA10057
4	42	6 Decision, <u>Bennett v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C83143, Eighth Judicial District		JA10031-JA10037
5	42	Court, November 16, 2001		JA10058-JA10061
6 7		7 Declaration of Michael Pescetta regarding locating exhibits in Parker file, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al. Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of		
8	42	Nevada, January 8, 2003		JA 10062-JA 10066
9	72	8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Memorandum re: <u>State</u> v. <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791,		JA10002-JA10000
10	42	December 30, 1999		JA10067-JA10085
12		9 Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Status Check on Production of		
13		Discovery, State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
14	42	Court, April 18, 2000  10 Letter from Office of the District		JA10086-JA10087
15		Attorney to Joseph S. Sciscento, Esq., re State v. Butler, Case No.		
16		C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, November 16, 2000		
17	42	11 Letter from Law Offices of Sam		JA10088-JA10092
18		Stone to Hon. Michael Douglas, District Court Judge, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
19		Case No. 155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, December 7, 2000		
20	42	12 Motion for New Trial, State v.		JA10093-JA10107
21		<u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 17,		
22	42	2001		JA10108-JA10112
23		13 Affidavit of Carolyn Trotti, <u>State v.</u> Butler, Case No. C155791, January 19, 2001		
24	42	14 Opposition to Motion for New Trial		JA10113-JA10135
25		Based on Allegations of Newly Discovered Evidence, State v.		
26		Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 16,		
27		1,	I	<u> </u>
28		4		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	2001		JA10136-JA10141
4		Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial,		
5	42	State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 27, 2001		JA10142-JA10144
6 7		16 Order, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
8	42	Court, March 8, 2001		JA10145-JA10154
9		17 Fax Transmission from Terri Elliott with the Office of the Special Public Defender, State v. Butler, Case No.		
10 11	42	C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, March 19, 2001		JA10155-JA10161
12	١	18 Order affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
13	42	Case No. 37591, May 14, 2002		JA10162-JA10170
14	42	19 Reporter's transcript of jury trial, <u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 11,  2002		JA10171-JA10177
15		200 Reporter's transcript of jury trial,		JA101/1-JA101//
16 17	42	United States v. Catania, June 13, 2002		JA10178-JA10184
18		21 Transcript of Status Conference/Scheduling Conference		
19		Before the Honorable Howard K. McKibben, United States District		
20	42	Judge, Case No. CV-N-00-101-HDM (RAM), District of Nevada, January 14, 2003 (Doyle)		JA 10185-JA 10200
21		22 Answer in Opposition to Motion for		
22   23		New Trial; or in the Alternative, Motion for New Appeal, <u>State v.</u> D'Agostino, Case No. C95335,		
24	42	Eighth Judicial District Court, September 21, 1993		JA10201-JA10207
25		23 Declaration of Tim Gabrielsen, and		
26		partial FBI production in <u>Echavarria</u> <u>v. McDaniel,</u> et al., CV-N-98-0202, June 2004		
27		June 2004	<u> </u>	
28		5		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	42 43			JA10208-JA10238 JA10239-JA10353
3 4	43	24 Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Emil v. McDaniel, et al., August 24, 2001		JA10354-JA10357
5 6		Criminal Complaint and Minutes of the Court, State v. Kenny, Case No. 85F-3637, Justice Court, Las Vegas		
7	43	Township, 1985 (Emil)		JA10358-JA10362
8 9		26 Notice of Denial of Request, Clark County District Attorney, State v. Emil, Case No. C82176, Eighth		
10	43	Judicial District Court, August 13, 1985		JA10363-JA10383
11		27 Various reports of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
12		Detention Services Division, produced in <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, regarding		
13		investigation into the identity of Clark County Detention Center		
14 15	42	inmate who manufactured a shank, 1987		JA10384-JA10434
16		28 Deposition of Sharon Dean in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
17	42	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, October 15, 1998 and December 7, 1998		JA10435-JA10449
18 19		29 Deposition of Arlene Ralbovsky in		
20		<u>Haberstroh v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28,		
21	43 44	1999		JA10450-JA10488 JA10489-JA10554
22		30 Deposition of Patricia Schmitt in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
23	44	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28, 1999		JA 10555-JA 10563
24		31 Recorder's Transcript Re:		
25 26		Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. <u>C0760</u> 13,		
27		Eighth Judicial District Court, January 28, 2000		
28		6		

1 Vol	l	Title	Date	Page
2 44	32	Order, Hill v. McDaniel, et al., Case		JA10564-JA10568
3	32	No. CV-S-98-914-JBR (LRL), District of Nevada, May 20, 1999		
4 44 5	33	FBI memorandum to SA Newark, Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick		JA10569-JA10570
6 44		Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick 167), August 31, 1977		JA10571-JA10573
7	34	FBI memorandum, New York to Newark <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , (Homick 168), January 31, 1978		
8   44 9   44	35	FBI Teletype, FM Director to Las Vegas (Homick 166), September, 1985		JA10574-JA10576
0 44	36	FBI Teletype San Diego to Las		JA10577-JA10582
1 44	37	Vegas (Homick 165), October, 1985  Chronological record, Homick v.		JA10583-JA10584
3		McDaniel (Homick 10), November 1985		
4 44	38	FBI notes re Homick receiving money from LVMPD employee,		JA10585-JA10589
5		Homick v. McDaniel, December 11, 1985		
6 44	39	FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , December 1985 and January 1986		JA10590-JA10593
8 44	40	FBI notes, Homick v. McDaniel		JA10594-JA10595
9 44	41	(Pennsylvania) January 4, 1986  FBI redacted notes, <u>Homick v.</u>		JA10596-JA10597
0		McDaniel (New Jersey), January 7,		
1 44	42	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10598-JA10599
3 44	43	McDaniel (Homick), January 9, 1986 FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10600-JA10601
4		McDaniel (Pennsylvania), January 13, 1986		
5 44	44	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10602-JA10603
6		McDaniel (Las Vegas), January 14, 1986		
.7				
8		7		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	45	FBI 302 interview of Norma K. Thompson, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , March 18, 1986		JA10604-JA10606
4	44	46	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10607-JA10608
5			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
6			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
7	44	47	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10609-JA10610
8			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
9			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
10 11	44	48	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10611-JA10612
12			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
13			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
14	44	49	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10613-JA10614
15			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
16			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
17	44	50	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10615-JA10616
18			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
19			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
20	44	51	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10617-JA10618
21			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
22	4.4	50	McDaniel, June 10, 1986		14 10/10 14 10/20
23	44	52	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		JA10619-JA10620
24			joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
25			MicDaniel, June 10, 1980		
26					
27		<u> </u>			I
28			8		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	44	53	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.  McDaniel, June 10, 1986		JA10621-JA10622
5 6	44	54	FBI 302 memorandum of interview of Tim Catt, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 164), August 18, 1988		JA10623-JA10625
7 8	44	55	Reporter's transcript of evidentiary hearing, partial, <u>State v. Homick</u> , March 7, 1989		JA10626-JA10637
9 10	44	56	Reporter's transcript of motions, State v. Homick (Homick 48), April 10, 1989		JA10638-JA10640
11 12	44	57	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 6, State v. Homick, April 25, 1989		JA10641-JA10652
13	44	58	Reporter's transcript of jury trial, partial, Vol. 7, <u>State v. Homick,</u> April 26, 1989		JA10653-JA10660
14 15	44	59	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 11, <u>State v. Homick</u> (Homick 52), May 2, 1989		JA10661-JA10664
16 17	44	60	Reporter's transcript of penalty hearing, State v. Homick, Vol. 1 (Homick 108), May 17, 1989		JA10665-JA10668
18 19	44	61	Reporter's transcript of trial, partial, Vol. 83, <u>State v. Homick</u> , November 10, 1992		JA10669-JA10673
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	44	62	Letter from Eric Johnson/Walt Ayers, Assistant United States Attorneys to Mark Kaiserman denying FBI joint investigation with LVMPD, Homick v. McDaniel,		JA1674-JA10676
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	44	63	January 28, 1993  Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, Homick v. McDaniel, May 7, 1993		JA10677-JA60678
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>					
28			9		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	64	Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> , May 11, 1993		JA10679-JA10680
4 5	44	65	Reporter's transcript on appeal, <u>State</u> v. <u>Homick</u> Vol. 140 (Homick 102) June 29, 1994		JA10681-JA10684
6 7	44	66	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - joint activity between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10685-JA10692
8 9 10	44	67	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - information sharing between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10693-JA10696
11 12	44	68	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - admissions, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10697-JA10705
13 14 15	44	69	Declaration of Joseph Wright, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 176), October 9, 2003		JA10706-JA10707
16 17	44 45	70	Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Homick v. McDaniel, October 10, 2003		JA10708-JA10738 JA10739-JA10756
17 18 19	45	71	Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v.</u> <u>Jiminez</u> , Case No. C77955, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 19, 1993		JA10757-JA10786
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	45	72	Transcript of Proceedings Sentence, <u>State v. Bezak</u> , Case No. CR89- 1765, Second Judicial District Court, November 27, 1989 (Jones)		JA10787-JA10796
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	45	73	Response to Motion to Compel Discovery, <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , et al., Case No. CV-N-96-633-ECR, District of Nevada, March 1999		JA10797-JA10802
26 27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2	45	74	Declaration of David J.J. Roger, Chief Deputy District Attorney,		JA10803-JA10805
3 4			concerning <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. CV-N-96-633 ECR, District of Nevada, June 30, 1999		
5	45	75	Transcription of VCR Tape of the		JA10806-JA10809
6			Adam Evans hearing in front of Judge Hardcastle, <u>In The Matter of</u>		
7			Adam Owens Evans, Case No. J52293, Juvenile Court (Lisle)		
8	45	76	Excerpt of trial record, State v. Lisle,		JA10810-JA10812
9			Case No. 129540, Vol. 10 page 15, March 12, 1996		
10		77	Not Used		
11		78	Not Used		
12	45	79	Letter from Inv. Larry A. Schuchman, City of Orlando,		JA10813-JA10816
13			Florida, Police Department, to Inv. Bob Milby, Nevada Division of Inv.		
14			and Narcotics re Terry Carl Bonnette, January 29, 1981		
15			(Milligan)		
16	45	80	Notice of Entry of Decision and		JA10817-JA10838
17			Order and Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
18			Order, State v. Miranda, Case No. C057788, Eighth Judicial District		
19	15	01	Court, February 13, 1996		JA10839-JA10846
20	45	81	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Case No. C106784, Eighth Judicial		JA10839-JA10846
21			District Court, February 8, 1996		
22	45	82	Reporter's Transcript of Calendar		JA10847-JA10859
23			Call, State v. Morelli, Case Nos.C64603 and C64604, Eighth		
24			Judicial District Court, January 12, 1984 (Snow)		
25					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	83	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (Testimony of Richard Morelli), State v. Snow, Case No.C61676,		JA10860-JA10884
4			Eighth Judicial District Court, April 17, 1984		
5	45	84	Letter from Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Deputy, Office of the District		JA10885-JA10886
6			Attorney, To Whom It May Concern re Richard Joseph Morelli, July 20,		
7 8			1984 (Snow)		111007 111001
9	45	85	Deposition of Melvyn T. Harmon, Esq., Snow v. Angelone, Case No. 6- 12-89-WPHC, Seventh Judicial District Court, September 25, 1992		JA10887-JA10921
10					
11	45	86	<u>Las Vegas Review Journal</u> excerpt, May 3, 2004, "Police Say Binion Witness Not Credible" (Tabish)		JA10922-JA10924
12	45	87	` ′		JA10925-JA10929
13	43	87	Letter from Kent R. Robison of Robison, Belaustegui, Robb and Sharp, to E. Leslie Combs, Jr., Esq.		JA10923-JA10929
14 15			Re: Kathryn Cox v. Circus Circus, et al., October 16, 1995, in relation to		
16			Witter v. McDaniel, CV-S-01-1034- RLH (LRL), District of Nevada		
17	45	88	LVMPD Certificate of [Informant] Management Course completion, April 14, 1994		JA10930-JA10931
18	4.5		-		14 10022 14 10024
19	45	89	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Cooperating Individual Agreement and Special Consent and		JA10932-JA10934
20			Waiver of Liability		
21	45	90	David J.J. Roger letter to Nevada State Parole Board Chairman		JA10935-JA10936
22			regarding Robert Bezak (Jones), December 3, 1990		
23	45	91	Declaration of Herbert Duzant dated		JA 10937-JA 10938
24			May 15, 2008		
25	45	92	Records request to Juvenile Justice Division dated May 14, 2008		JA10939-JA10948
26			=y =, <del></del>		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	93	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated May 15, 2008		JA10949-JA10973
4 5	46	94	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated May 15, 2008		JA10974-JA10996
6	46	95	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated November 29, 2007		JA10997-JA11007
7 8 9	46	96	Records request to Office of the Clark County District Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11008-JA11010
10 11	46	97	Records request to Office of the United States Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11011-JA11013
12 13	46	98	Records request to the Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re: Michael Beaudoin, James		JA11014-JA11026
14 15 16			Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
17 18	46	99	Records request to Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re Victim/Witness information)		JA11027-JA11034
19 20	46	100	Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated November 29, 2007		JA11035-JA11050
21	46	101	Records request to Justice Court, Criminal Records dated December 5, 2007		JA11051-JA11055
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	46	102	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated		JA11056-JA11069
24 25	46	103	November 28, 2007  Records request to Nevada  Department of Corrections dated		JA11070-JA11080
26 27			November 29, 2007 (re: Levine)		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	104	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated November 29, 2007 (re Levine)		JA11081-JA11095
5	46	105	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated April 12, 2007 (re: Rippo)		JA11096-JA11103
6 7 8	46	106	Records request to Word of Life Christian Center Pastor David Shears, Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated November 29, 2007		JA11104-JA11110
9 10	46	107	Response to records request from Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated December 3, 2007		JA11111-JA11112
11 12	46	108	Response to records request from Office of the District Attorney dated January 28, 2008 (re Victim Witness)		JA11113-JA11114
13 14	46	109	Response to records request from Word of Life Christian Center Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated		JA11115-JA11116
15 16	46	110	December 11, 2007  Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli)		JA11117-JA11128
17 18	46	111	Records request (FOIA) to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys dated November 27, 2007		JA11129-JA11132
19 20	46	112	Records request (FOIA) to the FBI dated November 27, 2007		JA11133-JA11135
21 22	46	113	Response to records request to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys, undated		JA11136-JA11137
23 24	46	114	Records request to Nevada Division of Child and Family Services dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie)		JA11138-JA11144
25 26	46	115	Records request to Claude I. Howard Children's Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli, Carole		JA11145-JA11156
27			Ann Campanelli (deceased))		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	116	Records request to Clark County School District dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA111457-JA11171
5	46	117	Records request to University Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11172-JA11185
7 8 9	46	118	Records request to Valley Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11186-JA11199
10 11	46	119	Records request to Desert Springs Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11200-JA11213
12 13 14	46	120	Records request to Reno Police Department, Records and ID Section dated May 16, 2008		JA11214-JA11221
15	47	121	Records request to Washoe County Sheriff's Office dated May 16, 2008		JA11222-JA11229
16 17	47	122	Records request to Sparks Police Department dated May 16, 2008		JA11230-JA11237
18	47	123	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Beaudoin		JA11238-JA11239
19 20	47	124	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Thomas Christos		JA11240-JA11241
21 22	47	125	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Thomas Edward Sims		JA11242-JA11244
23	47	126	Response to records request to Justice Court re: request and clerk's notes		JA11245-JA11248
25		127	Omitted.		
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	128	Subpoena to Clark County District Attorney, Criminal Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11249-JA11257
7	47	129	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attoreny		JA11258-JA11267
8 9	47	130	Subpoena to Central Medicaid Office, New York, New York		JA11268-JA11272
10	47	131	Subpoena to Claude I. Howard Children's Center		JA11273-JA11277
11 12	47	132	Subpoena to City of New York, Department of Social Services		JA11278-JA11282
13	47	133	Subpoena to Desert Springs Hospital		JA11283-JA11288
14 15	47	134	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Fingerprint Bureau		JA11289-JA11295
16 17	47	135	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Bureau		JA11296-JA11301
18	47	136	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Confidential Informant Section		JA11302-JA11308
19 20	47	137	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminalistics Bureau		JA11309-JA11316
21 22	47	138	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Evidence Vault		JA11317-JA11323
23 24	47	139	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminal Intelligence Section		JA11324-JA11330
25 26	47	140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Narcotics Sections I, II, and III		JA11331-JA11337
27					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
3		47	141	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Crimes Bureau		JA11338-JA11344
4 5		47	142	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Records Bureau		JA11345-JA11352
6		47	143	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Robbery / Homicide Bureau		JA11353-JA11360
7 8 9 10		47	144	Subpoena to Nevada Parole and Probation (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11361-JA11368
11		47	145	Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)  Proposed Order to the Nevada		JA11369-JA11373
12 13		1,	115	Department of Parole and Probation		
14 15		47	146	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Gang Crimes Bureau		JA11374-JA11379
16		47	147	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department SWAT Division		JA11380-JA11385
17 18		47	148	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Vice Section		JA11386-JA11392
19		47	149	Subpoena to Clark County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11393-JA11399
20   21				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
22				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
23 24		47	150	Subpoena to Henderson Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11400-JA11406
25				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
26				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
27	L					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	47	151	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services		JA11407-JA11411
5 6 7	47	152	Subpoena to Reno Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt		JA11412-JA11418
8 9 10 11	47	153	and Michael Rippo)  Subpoena to Sparks Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11419-JA11427
12 13	47	154	Subpoena to University Medical Center		JA11428-JA11432
14	47	155	Subpoena to Valley Hospital		JA11433-JA11438
15 16 17 18	47	156	Subpoena to Washoe County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11439-JA11445
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	47	157	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Records and ID Section (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11446-JA11453
22 23			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
24 25					
26					
27					

1	Vo	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	47		158	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Forensic Science Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11454-JA11460
4 5				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		
6				Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
7	47		159	Deposition Subpoena to Dominic Campanelli		JA11461-JA11463
8 9	47		160	Deposition Subpoena to Melody Anzini		JA11464-JA11466
10	47		161	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11467-JA11471
11 12	48		162	Subpoena to Nancy Becker		JA11472-JA11476
13	48		163	Subpoena to Clark County Human Resources Department (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11477-JA11481
14 15	48		164	Subpoena to Nassau County Department of Social Services		JA11482-JA11486
16 17	48		165	Subpoena to the Clark County School District		JA11487-JA11490
18	48		166	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11491-JA11495
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	48		167	Subpoena to the Office of the United States Attorney (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11496-JA11499
22	48		168	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11500-JA11505
23 24	48		169	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11506-JA11508
25						
26 27						
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	48	170	Subpoena to the Office of Legal Services, Executive Offices for United States Attorneys FOIA (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11509-JA11513
5	48	171	Subpoena to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (re Bongiovanni)		JA11514-JA11518
6 7 8 9	48	172	Subpoena to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Criminal Intelligence Section, Homeland Security Bureau, Special Operations Division (re Bongiovanni)		JA11519-JA11522
10	48	173	Subpoena to Leo P. Flangas, Esq. (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11523-JA11526
11 12	48	174	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Investigation		JA11527-JA11530
13	48	175	Subpoena to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		JA11531-JA11534
14 15	48	176	Subpoena to Robert Archie (re: Simms)		JA11535-JA11538
16	48	177	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Corrections (re: lethal injection)		JA11539-JA11545
17 18	48	178	Deposition subpoena to Howard Skolnik, NDOC		JA11546-JA11548
19	48	179	Deposition subpoena to Robert Bruce Bannister, D.O., NDOC		JA11549-JA11551
20 21	48	180	Deposition subpoena to Warden Bill Donat		JA11552-JA11554
22	48 1	181	Deposition subpoena to Stacy Giomi, Chief, Carson City Fire Department		JA11555-JA11 557
23 24	37	for W	sition to Motion to Dismiss Petition rit of Habeas Corpus (Post- iction)	05/21/08	JA08758-JA08866
25	37	Exhib	oits to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	05/21/08	JA08867-JA08869
26					
27				1	

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3		37	329.	Leonard v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08870-JA08884
4				C126285, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 11, 2008.		
5		37	330.	Lopez v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA08885-JA08890
6 7				District Court, Case No. C068946, State's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed		
8		38	331.	February 15, 2008.  Sherman v. McDaniel, Eighth		JA08991-JA09002
9		36	331.	Judicial District Court, Case No. C126969, Reply to Opposition to		JA08991-JA09002
10				Motion to Dismiss, filed June 25, 2007.		
11		38	332.	Witter v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C117513,		JA09003-JA09013
12 13				Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed July 5, 2007.		
14		38	333.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09014-JA09020
15				District Court, Case No. C159897, Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
16				Habeas Corpus, filed December 28, 2007.		
17		38	334.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09021-JA09027
18				District Court, Case No. C159897, State's Opposition to Defendant's		
19				Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion to		
20		38	335.	Dismiss, filed August 18, 2007.  State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA09028-JA09073
21		36	333.	District Court, Case No. C106784, Supplemental Brief in Support of		JA07020-JA07073
22				Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction),		
23				filed February 10, 2004.		
24		38	336.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 28865, Appellant's		JA09074-JA09185
25				Opening Brief.		
26						
27	Ι'		l			

1	Vo	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	38	Ĭ.	337.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980, Indictment, filed December 16, 1994.		JA09186-JA09200
4	38 39		338.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980,		JA09201-JA09240 JA09241-JA09280
5 6				Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Thursday, December 15, 1994.		
7 8	39		339.	Declaration of Stacie Campanelli dated April 29, 2008.		JA09281-JA0289
9	39		340.	Declaration of Domiano Campanelli, February 2008, Mastic Beach, N.Y.		JA09290-JA09300
10	39		341.	Declaration of Sari Heslin dated February 25, 2008.		JA09301-JA09305
11 12	39	,	342.	Declaration of Melody Anzini dated February 26, 2008.		JA09306-JA09311
13 14	39	,	343.	Declaration of Catherine Campanelli dated February 29, 2008.		JA09312-JA09317
15	39		344.	Declaration of Jessica Parket-Asaro dated March 9, 2008.		JA09318-JA09323
16 17	39		345.	Declaration of Mark Beeson dated March 26, 2008.		JA09324-JA09328
18	39		346.	State's Trial Exhibit 1: Laurie Jacobson photograph		JA09329-JA09330
19	39		347.	State's Trial Exhibit 2: Denise Lizzi photograph		JA09331-JA09332
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	39		348.	State's Trial Exhibit 99: Michael Rippo		JA09333-JA09334
22	39		349.	State's Trial Exhibit 31: Autopsy photo Denise Lizzi		JA09335-JA09336
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	39		350.	State's Trial Exhibit 53: Autopsy photo Laurie Jacobson		JA09337-JA09338
25	39		351.	State's Trial Exhibit 125: Laurie Jacobson victim-impact scrapbook		JA09339-JA09360
26				photographs		
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	39	352.	State's Trial Exhibit 127: Denise Lizzi victim-impact scrapbook photographs		JA09361-JA09374
4 5	39	353.	Declaration of Jay Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09375-JA09377
6	39	354.	Declaration of Robert Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09378-JA09381
7 8	39	355.	Juvenile Records of Stacie Campanelli		JA09382-JA09444
9	39	356	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C136066, State v. Sims, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09445-JA09450
11 12	39 40	357	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Sims		JA09451-JA09490 JA09491-JA09520
13	40 41	358	Justice Court Printout for Michael Beaudoin		JA09521-JA09740 JA09741-JA09815
14 15 16	41	359	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C102962, <u>State v.</u> <u>Beaudoin</u> , Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09816-JA09829
17 18	41	360	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C95279, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09830-JA09838
19 20	41	361	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C130797, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09839-JA09847
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	41	362	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C134430, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09848-JA09852
24	41	363	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Christos		JA09952-JA09907
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	41	364	Justice Court Printout for James Ison		JA09908-JA09930
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	41	365	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Order dated September 22, 1993		JA09931-JA09933
4 5	41	366	Declaration of Michael Beaudoin dated May 18, 2008		JA09934-JA09935
6 7	41	367	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Amended Indictment, dated January 3, 1996		JA09936-JA09941
8 9	41	368	State's Trial Exhibits 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62		JA09942-JA09965
10	41	369	State's Trial Exhibit 54		JA09966-JA09967
11 12	41	370	Letter from Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections, to Robert Crowley dated August 29 1997		JA09968-JA09969
13 14 15	41	371	Letter from Jennifer Schlotterbeck to Ted D'Amico, M.D., Nevada Department of Corrections dated March 24, 2004		JA09970-JA09971
16 17	41	372	Letter from Michael Pescetta to Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections dated September 23, 2004		JA09972-JA09977
18 19	41	373	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Warrant of Execution dated May 17, 1996		JA09978-JA09981
20 21	41	374	Declaration of William Burkett dated May 12, 2008		JA09982-JA09984
22	41	375	Handwritten Notes of William Hehn		JA09985-JA09986
23	48	Objec	tion to Proposed Order	11/21/08	JA11612-JA11647
24	48	Oppo	sition to Motion for Discovery	06/09/08	JA11558-JA11563
25	2	Order		11/12/92	JA00264-JA00265
26	2	Order		11/18/92	JA00266-JA00267
27	2	Order		09/22/93	JA00320-JA00321

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	3	Order	04/22/94	JA00619-JA00320
3	15	Order	03/08/96	JA03412
4	41	Order Appointing Counsel	02/13/08	JA09987-JA09988
5	5B	Order Sealing Affidavit	09/30/93	JA 1401-180 to JA 1401-185
6 7	2	Order to Produce Handwriting / Handprinting Exemplar	09/14/92	JA00252-JA00253
8	17	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Appointment of Counsel	12/04/98	JA04040-JA04047
9	19 20	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	01/15/08	JA04415-JA04570 JA04571-JA04609
11	20	Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	01/15/08	JA04610-JA04619
12 13	20	101. Bennett v. State, No. 38934 Respondent's Answering Brief (November 26, 2002)		JA04620-JA04647
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	20	102. State v. Colwell, No. C123476, Findings, Determinations and Imposition of Sentence (August 10, 1995)		JA04648-JA04650
17	20	103. <u>Doleman v. State</u> , No. 33424 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 17, 2000)		JA04651-JA04653
18 19	20	104. Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of Prisons, No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 31, 1988)		JA04654-JA04660
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	20	105. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562, Order Dismissing Appeal (February 20, 1992)		JA04661-JA04663
22 23	20	106. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 29120, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		JA04664-JA04670
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	20	107. <u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789, Order Affirming in Part and Vacating in Part (November 14, 2002)		JA04671-JA04679
27	20	108. Hankins v. State, No. 20780, Order		JA04680-JA04683

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2	20		of Remand (April 24, 1990)		14.04694 14.04690
3	20	109			JA04684-JA04689
4	20		of Remand (May 24, 1994)		JA04690-JA04692
5		110	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1987)		T. 04/02 T. 04/04
6	20	111			JA04693-JA04696
7			Dismissing Appeal (August 28, 1996)		
8	20	112	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No.		JA04697-JA04712
9			39091, Order of Affirmance (December 19, 2002)		
10	20	113			JA04713-JA04715
11	20		Dismissing Appeal (June 17, 1991)		JA04716-JA04735
12		114	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845, Order of Affirmance (July 24, 2002)		
13	20	115			JA04736-JA04753
14	20		Dismissing Appeal (March 21, 1996)		JA04754-JA04764
15		116	Order Dismissing Appeal (August		
16	20		19, 1987)		JA04765-JA04769
17			17059, 17060, Order Dismissing		
18			Appeal and Denying Petition (February 19, 1986)		
19	20	118	. <u>Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II)</u> , Nos.		JA04770-JA04783
20			29027, 29028, Order Dismissing Appeal and Denying Petition for		
21			Writ of Habeas Corpus (October 9, 1996)		
22	20	119			JA04784-JA04788
23			29027, 29028, Order Denying Rehearing (July 17, 1998)		
24	20				JA04789-JA04796
25		120	$\overline{\text{CV-N-96-785-HDM}}$ -(RAM),		
26			Response to Nevius' Supplemental Memo at 3 (October 18, 1999)		
27					

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	20	101 ONLTH Co. N. 20142 O. L. C.		JA04797-JA04803
3		121. O'Neill v. State, No. 39143, Order of Reversal and Remand (December 18, 2002)		
5	20	122. <u>Rider v. State</u> , No. 20925, Order (April 30, 1990)		JA04804-JA04807
6	20	123. Riley v. State, No. 33750, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 19,		JA04808-JA04812
7 8	20	1999) 124. Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858, Order		JA04813-JA04817
9		Dismissing Appeal (May 28, 1993), Amended Order Dismissing Appeal (June 4, 1993)		
10	21	125. Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137, Order of Affirmance (May 13, 2002)		JA04818-JA04825
12	21	126. Sechrest v. State, No 29170, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20,		JA04826-JA04830
13 14	21	1997) 127. Smith v. State, No. 20959, Order of		JA04831-JA04834
15	21	Remand (September 14, 1990)  128. Stevens v. State, No. 24138, Order		JA04835-JA04842
16 17	21	of Remand (July 8, 1994)  129. Wade v. State, No. 37467, Order of		JA04843-JA04848
18	21	Affirmance (October 11, 2001)  130. Williams v. State, No. 20732, Order		JA04849-JA04852
19 20	21	Dismissing Appeal (July 18, 1990)  131. Williams v. Warden, No. 29084,		JA04853-JA04857
21		Order Dismissing Appeal (August 29, 1997)		1101050 1101061
22	21	132. Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Prison, No. 19705, Order		JA04858-JA04861
23   24	21	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1989)  133. Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order		JA04862-JA04873
25		Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (November 28,		
26		2005)		
27				

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	21	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order Denying Rehearing (February 2, 2006)		JA04874-JA04879
4	21	135.	Rippo v. State; Bejarano v. State,		JA04880-JA04883
5			No. 44094, No. 44297, Order Directing Oral Argument (March 16, 2006)		
6	21	   136.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784,		JA04884-JA04931
7			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
8			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), February 10, 2004		
9	0.1	127	•		14.04022 14.04025
10	21	137.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of		JA04932-JA04935
11			Law and Order, December 1, 2004		
12	21	138.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, May 19, 2005		JA04936-JA04986
13	21	   139.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No.		JA04987-JA05048
14 15			44094, Respondent's Answering Brief, June 17, 2005		
16	22	140.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief, September 28, 2005		JA05049-JA05079
17		1 4 1	•		TA 05000 TA 05100
18	22	141.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental		JA05080-JA05100
19			Brief As Ordered By This Court, December 12, 2005		
20					
21	22	201.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Opinion filed		JA05101-JA05123
22			October 1, 1997		
23	22	202.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Affirmance filed November 16, 2006		JA05124-JA05143
24	22	203.	Confidential Execution Manual,		JA05144-JA05186
25		203.	Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison		JA05177-JA05160
26			1 charry, 110 tada o anto 1 113011		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	22	204.	Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner, United States Supreme Court Case No. 03-6821, David Larry Nelson v. Donal Campbell and Grantt Culliver, October Term, 2003		JA05187-JA05211
5	22	205.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A. Zimmers, David A. Lubarsky, and		JA05212-JA05214
6 7			Jonathan P. Sheldon, <u>Inadequate</u> Anaesthesia in Lethal <u>Injection for</u> Execution, Vol. 365, April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com		
9	22 23	206.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M.D., dated May 16, 2006, including attached exhibits		JA05215-JA05298 JA05299-JA05340
10 11	23	207.	"Lethal Injection: Chemical		JA05341-JA05348
12			Asphyxiation?" Teresa A. Zimmers, Jonathan Sheldon, David A. Lubarsky, Francisco Lopez-Munoz,		
13			Linda Waterman, Richard Weisman, Leonida G. Kniaris, <u>PloS Medicine</u> ,		
14			April 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 4		
15 16	23	208.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief		JA05349-JA05452
17 18	23	209.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Reply Brief		JA05453-JA05488
19	23	210.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05489-JA05538
20			Opening Brief, filed May 19, 2005		
21	24	211.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05539-JA05568
22			Reply Brief, filed September 28, 2005		
23	24	212.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05569-JA05588
24 25			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental Brief as Ordered by		
26			this Court filed December 22, 2005		
27					
28			29		
20			۷۶		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	24	213.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Order Directing Oral Argument filed		JA05589-JA05591
4			March 16, 2006		
5	24	214.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Transcript of Oral Argument on June 13, 2006		JA05592-JA05627
6	24	215.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05628-JA05635
7 8			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Petition for Rehearing filed December 11, 2006		
9	24	216.	Supplemental Points and Authorities		JA05636-JA05737
10			in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and attached exhibits filed August 8,		
11			2002		
12 13	24	217.	Letter dated August 20, 2004 from Rippo to Judge Mosley		JA05738
14	24	218.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, filed March 24, 1994		JA05739-JA05741
15	2.4	210			14.05742 14.05792
16 17	24	219.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Jury Instructions, filed March 6, 1996		JA05742-JA05782
18	25	220.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Notice of Alibi, filed September 2,		JA05783-JA05785
19			1993		
20	25	221.	Affidavit of Alice May Starr dated January 26, 1994		JA05786-JA05791
21	25	222.	Letter dated October 12, 1993 from Starr to President Clinton		JA05792-JA05795
22   23	25	223.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Order Sealing Affidavit (and		JA05796-JA05801
24			exhibits), dated September 30, 1993		
25	25	224.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Report dated		JA05802-JA05803
26			September 30, 1993		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	25	225.	Letter dated November ??, 1993 from Starr to Rex Bell, District Attorney		JA05804-JA05807
4 5	25	226.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C57388, Draft Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea		JA05808-JA05812
6 7	25	227.	Justice Court Record, Thomas Edward Sims		JA05813-JA05881
8	25 26 27	228.	Justice Court Record, Michael Angelo Beaudoin		JA05882-JA06032 JA06033-JA06282 JA06283-JA06334
9 10 11	27	229.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Voluntary Statement of Michael Angelo Beaudoin dated March 1, 1992		JA06335-JA06349
12 13	27	230.	Justice Court Record, Michael Thomas Christos		JA06350-JA06403
14	27	231.	Justice Court Record, David Jeffrey Levine		JA06404-JA06417
15 16	27	232.	Justice Court Record, James Robert Ison		JA06418-JA06427
17	27	233.	MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) Scoring for Diana Hunt dated September 2, 1992		JA06428-JA06434
18 19	27	234.	Handwritten Declaration of James Ison dated November 30, 2007		JA06435-JA06436
20 21	27	235.	Handwritten Declaration of David Levine dated November 20, 2007		JA06437-JA06438
22 23	27	236.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed August		JA06439-JA06483
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	27	237.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Motion to Dismiss for Outrageous Government Misconduct, filed September 13, 1996		JA06484-JA06511
27		<u> </u>			

1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	2	8	238.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2, December 3, 1997		JA06512-JA06689
4 5		.8 .9	239.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, December 4, 1997		JA06690-JA06761 JA06762-JA06933
6 7		9	240.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4, December 8, 1997		JA06734-JA07011 JA07012-JA07133
8 9		0	241.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6, December 10, 1997		JA07134-JA07261 JA07262-JA06332
10 11	3	1	242.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, December 15, 1997		JA07333-JA07382
12 13		1 2	243.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9, December 16, 1997		JA07383-JA07511 JA07512-JA07525
14 15	3	2	244.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Respondent's Answering Brief, filed February 14, 1997		JA07526-JA07641
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	3	2	245.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed December 2, 1997		JA07642-JA07709
19 20	3	2	246.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 124980, Criminal Court Minutes		JA07710-JA07713
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	3	2	247.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Motion for New Trial, filed April 29, 1996		JA07714-JA07719
24 25 26	3	2	248.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Superseding Criminal Indictment, filed May 6, 1997		JA07720-JA07751
26						

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	249.	In the Matter of the Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing the Interception of Wire Communications dated October 11, 1995		JA07752-JA07756
5 6	33	250.	Clark County School District Records for Michael D. Rippo		JA07757-JA07762
7	33	251.	Neuropsychological Assessment, Thomas F. Kinsora, Ph.D., dated February 1, 1996		JA07763-JA07772
8 9 10	33	252.	Addendum to Neurological Assessment Report, Thomas F. Kinsors, Ph.D., dated March 12, 1996		JA07773-JA07775
11 12	33	253.	Pre-Sentence Report, State v. Rippo, Case No. 97388, dated April 23, 1982		JA07776-JA07782
13 14	33	254.	Psychiatric Evaluation, Norton A. Roitman, M.D., dated February 17, 1996		JA07783-JA07789
15 16	33	255.	SCOPE printout for Carole Ann Rippo		JA07790
17	33	256.	Progress Reports dated October 15, 1981		JA07791-JA07792
18 19	33	257.	Supplemental Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA07793-JA07801
20 21	33	258.	County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981  Order, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 9, 1981		JA07802-JA07803
22 23	33	259.	Terms of Probation, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 1, 1981		JA07804-JA07805
24 25	33	260.	Transcript of Proceedings, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 14, 1981		JA07806-JA07811
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	261.	Petition No. 1, Recommendation for Adjudication and Order of Approval, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 19, 1981		JA07812
5 6	33	262.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 8, 1981		JA07813
7 8	33	263.	Certification, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed October 19, 1981		JA07814
9 10	33	264.	Probation Officer's Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981		JA07815-JA07823
11 12	33	265.	Baseline Psychiatric Evaluation, Southern Desert Correctional Center, by Franklin D. Master, M.D., dated April 9, 1982		JA07824
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	33	266.	Confidential Psychological Evaluation by Eric S. Smith, Ph.D., Timothy L, Boyles, M.A., James F. Triggs, Ed.D., dated February 11, 1982		JA07825-JA07827
17 18	33	267.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07828-JA07829
19 20	33	268.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07830-JA07831
21 22	33	269.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07832-JA07833
23 24	33	270.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07834-JA07835
25 26	33	271.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
27					

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	272.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
4 5	33	273.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Arrest Report dated January 27, 1982		JA07838
6 7	33	274.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 29, 1982		JA07839-JA07840
8 9 10	33	275.	Certification Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 23, 1982		JA07841-JA07853
11 12	33	276.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 2, 1982		JA07854
13 14	33	277.	Judgment of Conviction, Case No. C57388, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Clark County, Nevada, filed May 28, 1982		JA07855
15	33	278.	Psychological Report: Corrections Master, dated June 2, 1982		JA07856-JA07859
16 17	33	279.	Test of Educational Development dated March 9, 1983		JA07860-JA07862
18	33	280.	Psychological Evaluation dated December 2, 1983		JA07863
19 20	33	281.	Parole Progress Report, March 1985 Agenda		JA07864-JA07865
21	33	282.	Institutional Progress Report, March 1987 Agenda		JA07866-JA07868
22   23	33	283.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated January 29, 1987		JA07869
24	33	284.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated August 12, 1988		JA07870
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	33	285.	Parole Progress Report, September 1988 Agenda		JA07871-JA07872
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	286.	Psychological Evaluation dated August 23, 1989		JA07873
4	33	287.	Parole Progress Report, September 1989 Agenda		JA07874-JA07875
5	33	288.	Parole Officers' Notes beginning December 4, 1989		JA07876-JA07884
6 7	33	289.	Institutional Progress Report dated May 1993		JA07885-JA07886
8	33	290.	Health Services, Psychology Referral Form dated April 28, 1993		JA07887
9	33	291.	Handwritten notes dated February 17, 1994		JA07888
11	33	292.	Handwritten notes dated March 9, 1994		JA07889
12 13	33	293.	Handwritten exam notes (Roitman) dated January 13, 1996		JA07890-JA07894
14	33	294.	Psychological Panel Results Notification dated January 10, 1996		JA07895
15 16	33	295.	Norton A. Roitman, Addendum, dated March 11, 1996		JA07896-JA07897
17	33	296.	Bongiovanni Off the Bench, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07898-JA07899
18 19	33	297.	Fraud probe led to judge, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07900
20	33	298.	Charge opens judge's race, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07901-JA07902
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	33	299.	Judge Bongiovanni Indicted, <i>Las</i> Vegas Sun, April 18, 1986		JA07903
23	33	300.	Judge's actions examined, Las Vegas Review-Journal, April 19, 1996		JA07904-JA07906
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	33	301.	Mental Health Progress Notes dated June 20, 1993		JA07907
26 27	33	302.	Affidavit of David M. Schieck dated March 16, 1998		JA07908

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	303.	Declaration of Carole A. Duncan dated January 19, 2000		JA07909-JA07910
4	33	304.	Union Free School #24, Pupil History Record, Michael Campanelli		JA07911-JA07912
5 6	33 34	305.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7, October 27, 1998		JA07913-JA08006 JA08007-JA08039
7 8	34	306.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, October 28, 1998		JA08040-JA08155
9 .0 .1	34	307.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Emergency Motion to Disqualify John Fadgen, Esq. From Representing Defendant Bongiovanni at Trial, July 24, 1997		JA08156-JA08225
.2		308.	OMITTED		
.3	34	309.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-		JA08226-JA08246
.4			96-98-LDG(RJJ), Notice of Tape Recordings Intended for Use in Government's Case in Chief, filed August 2, 1996		
.6	35	310.	Letter from Donald J. Green requesting additional discovery dated July 9, 1996		JA08247-JA08253
.8	35	311.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5, December 9, 1997		JA08254-JA08399
0	35	312.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA08400-JA08405
1			District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Answer		
2			in Opposition to Motion for New Trial, filed May 1, 1996		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2		35	313.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08406-JA08413
3 4				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances		
5				Numbered I and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
6				Circumstance Number 4, filed August 20, 1993		
7		35	314.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08414-JA08417
8				Nevada, Case No. 106784, State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance		
10				Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
11				Circumstance Number 4, filed February 11, 1994		
12 13		35	315.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08418-JA08419
14				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
15		35	316.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special		JA08420-JA08421
16				Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
17 18		35 36	317.	Social History		JA08422-JA08496 JA08497-8538
19		36	318.	Parental Agreement, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA08539
20				County, Nevada, dated April 29, 1981		
21		36	319.	Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., and Thomas J. Reidy, Ph.D., <u>Integrating</u>		JA08540-JA08564
22				Base Rate Data in Violence Risk Assessments at Capital Sentencing,		
23 24				16 Behavioral Sciences and the Law 71, 88-89 (1998)		
25		36	320.	Letter from Michael Rippo to Steve Wolfson dated April 17, 1996		JA08565
26		36	321.	Report of Jonathan Mack, Ph.D.		JA08566-JA08596
27			<u> </u>			

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
36	322. Trial Exhibit: Photograph of Michael Rippo		JA08597
36	323. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Application and Order for Fee in Excess of Statutory Amount for Investigator, filed December 3, 1996		JA08598-JA08605
36	324. Wiretap Transcript, Tommy Simms [sic], dated June 8, 1992		JA08606-JA08609
36	325. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Continued Initial Arraignment, heard March 25, 1982		JA08610-JA08619
36	326. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Further Proceedings and/or Continued Initial Arraignment heard March 30, 1982		JA08620-JA08626
36	327. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C106784, Instructions to the Jury, filed March 14, 1996		JA08627-JA08652
36	328. Declaration of Elisabeth B. Stanton, dated January 15, 2008		JA08653-JA08664
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	06/09/08	JA11564-JA11574
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	09/16/08	JA11575-JA11585
1	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/06/92	JA00242-JA00245
2	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/20/92	JA00246-JA00251
36	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel	02/11/08	JA08665-JA08668
2	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Proceedings; Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District	02/14/94	JA00378-JA00399

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	Attorney's Office		
19	Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/10/04	JA04347-JA04408
48	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing	09/22/08	JA11586-JA11602
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Attorney General's Motion to Quash and for Protective Order	09/20/93	JA00316-JA00319
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Motion to Continue Jury Trial	09/10/93	JA00304-JA00315
3	Reporter's Transcript of Motions Hearing	03/09/94	JA00565-JA00569
18	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary [sic] Hearing	11/27/02	JA04202-JA04204
19	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Honorable Donald M. Mosely	08/20/04	JA04321-JA04346
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Argument and Decision	05/02/02	JA04048-JA04051
1	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Grand Jury	06/04/92	JA00001-JA00234
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1; 10:00 a.m.	01/30/96	JA00634-JA00641
3 4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 1:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00642-JA00725 JA00726
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. III; 3:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00727-JA00795
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:15 AM	01/31/96	JA00796-JA00888
4 5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	01/31/96	JA00889-JA00975 JA00976-JA01025
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I; 10:20 a.m.	02/01/96	JA01026-JA01219
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. VI; 10:20 a.m.	02/02/96	JA01220-JA01401
5B	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1, 1:30 p.m.	02/05/96	JA01401-001 to JA01401-179
5 6	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 2:30 p.m.	02/02/96	JA01402-JA01469 JA01470-JA01506

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
7	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/06/96	JA01507-JA01688
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	02/06/96	JA01689-JA01766
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 1:45 PM	02/07/96	JA01767 JA01872
8 9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/08/96	JA01887-JA01938 JA01939-JA02054
9 10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:45 AM	02/26/96	JA02055-JA02188 JA02189-JA02232
10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00AM	02/27/96	JA02233-JA02404
11	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	02/28/96	JA02405-JA02602
12 13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:35 a.m.	02/29/96	JA02630-JA02879 JA02880-JA02885
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial 9:00 AM	03/01/96	JA02886-JA03064
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	03/04/96	JA03065-JA03120
14	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00 a.m.	03/05/96	JA03121-JA03357
16	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. 1 11:30 a.m.	03/13/96	JA03594-JA03808
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 9:30 AM	03/14/96	JA03841-JA04001
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	03/18/94	JA00575-JA00582
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	04/14/94	JA00591-JA00618
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Penalty Phase 10:00 a.m.	03/12/96	JA03413-JA03593
2 3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office	03/07/94	JA00403-485 JA00486-564

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings re: Oral Request of District Attorney	01/31/94	JA00322-JA00333
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Ruling on Defense Motion	03/11/94	JA00570-JA00574
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	05/17/96	JA04014-JA04036
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Verdict	03/06/96	JA03403-JA03411
2	Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to His Defense	02/07/94	JA00351-JA00357
36 37	State's Motion to Dismiss and Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/23/08	JA08673-JA08746 JA08747-JA08757
2	State's Motion to Expedite Trial Date or in the Alternative Transfer Case to Another Department	02/16/93	JA00268-JA00273
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery and State's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	10/27/92	JA00260-JA00263
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	02/07/94	JA00346-JA00350
18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	10/14/02	JA04154-JA04201
2	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	02/14/94	JA00367-JA00370
18	State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/06/04	JA04259-JA04315
2	State's Response to Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office and State's Motion to Quash Subpoenas	02/14/94	JA00358-JA00366
18	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	02/10/04	JA04206-JA04256

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
17 18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	08/08/02	JA04052-JA04090 JA04091-JA04153
15	Verdicts	03/06/96	JA03399-JA03402
16	Verdicts and Special Verdict	03/14/96	JA03835-JA03840

1	Remember: Don't discuss the
2	case among yourselves or with anyone else;
3	Read, watch, listen to any
4	report or commentaries on this case; or
5	Form any opinions on the case
6	until this matter is finally submitted to you.
7	
8	(Whereupon, a recess was had in the proceedings, at the
9	conclusion of which the following was had:)
10	
11	
12	THE COURT; Okay. Do you stipulate to the
13	presence of the Jury?
14	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
15	MR. WOLFSON: Yes, Your Handr.
16	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Wolfson.
17	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, Judge.
18	
19	<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>
20	BY MR. WOLFSON:
21	Q Good afternoon, Analyst Cabrales.
22	A Good afternoon.
23	Q Mr. Cabrales, are you a police officer?
24	A No, I'm not.
	001977

1	Q Would it be fair to say that your primary
2	purpose, as a crime scene analyst, is to preserve, gather
3	and analyze evidence from a crime scene?
4	A Yes.
5	Q What time did you arrive at this crime
6	scene?
7	A I arrived approximately 11:20.
8	Q In the morning?
9	A Excuse me. I think it was approximately
10	11:40 in the morning that I arrived.
11	Q Do you have a vivid memory of who was inside
12	this apartment when you arrived?
13	A When I arrived, it was Sheree Norman,
14	Detective Steve Scholl crime scene analyst Sheree Norman.
15	Q To your knowledge, were those the anly two
16	people inside the apartment when you stepped inside?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, you have since learned that there were
19	a number of other police officers that had entered and
20	viewed the crime scene; isn't that right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What transpired before you arrived, you have
23	no control over; isn't that right?
24	A Yes.
	001978

1		Q	So if a crime scene was contaminated before
2	you arri	ved, yo	u would have no control over that; isn't that
3	an accur	ate sta	tement?
4		A	Yes.
5		Q	Was the crime scene contaminated before you
6	arrived?		
7		A	Later evidence was developed that indicated
8	that.		
9		Q	Who is Captain Barbara Connett,
10	С-о-п-п-	e-t-t?	
11		A	At the time, she was my captain.
12		Q	At the time, meaning back in February of
13	1992?		
14		A	Correct.
15		Q	She was your supervisor?
16		A	Yes.
17		Q	Isn't it true that you authored a Las Yegas
18	Metropoli	Ltan Po	lice Department memorandum to her dated
19	February	24th,	1992?
20		A	Yes,
21		Q	Isn't it true that you stated, quote:
22			"Obviously, the crime scene was
23		not pr	otected and the integrity of all evidence
24		recove	red from the scene has been compromised."
			001979

1	the size of the	surface, the manner in which it was handled,		
2	and, of course,	the portion of the hand which touched the		
3	surface.			
4	Q	But it's the same transfer, is it not, of		
5	moisture from o	human's hand that would leave either a		
6	fingerprint or	a palm print; isn't that accurate?		
7	A	Yes.		
8	Q	Were you and Sheree Norman the only		
9	fingerprint lat	ent lifters that worked this crime scene?		
10	А	Yes.		
11	Q	Now, you've testified that you have been a		
12	crime scene analyst for 16 years; is that correct?			
13	A	Yes.		
14	Q	So back in February of '92, you would have		
15	been one for 12	years?		
16	A	Correct.		
17	Q	Back in February of '92, how long had Sheree		
18	Norman been a c	rime scene analyst?		
19	A	Say, approximately eight years.		
20	Q	From what I gather from direct examination,		
21	you and she div	ided up areas from which to process this		
22	crime scene; is	that right?		
23	А	Yes.		
24	Q	Whose decision was it to process one thing		
		. 001981		

1	versus the other person processing the other?
2	A I would say it would be my decision on the
3	surfaces. Since she was involved in the evidence
4	collection, it would be best for her to process those areas,
5	while I would pick up the other areas.
6	Q If I were to ask you how many latent prints
7	you lifted, would you be able to tell us how many?
8	A I could give you an approximate number.
9	Q What would you base your approximation on?
10	A From reviewing the latent prints prior to
11	court.
12	Q And did you review the latent prints prior
13	to court?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Are we talking about the latent print tape
16	that you mentioned earlier in your examination?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And do you have a separate piece of tape for
19	every fingerprint that you lifted from this crime scene?
20	A Some of the lifts would have had multiple
21	latent prints on them. If there was several fingerprints
22	together, it would be lifted as one lift.
23	Q I want you to try and be as accurate as you
24	can.
	001982

So with that backdrop, are you able to give a good estimate of how many total latent prints you lifted from this crime scene?

And I'm excluding the vehicles.

I'm Just talking about the apartment.

A Combined with Sheree Norman's lifts, there are approximately 50 lifts from the scene.

Q Fifty, 5-0?

A Correct.

Q And are we talking about both fingerprints and palm prints?

A Yes.

Q If I were to ask you how many different locations you and Ms. Norman recovered latent fingerprints from this apartment, how many separate locations would that have been from?

A There were areas — as I recall, the latent prints were developed from the items found in the trash can, from the refrigerator, from the stove, from items on the counter, including glasses, cups, things like that, in the bathroom area from a bottle, which was on the sink, from a door jamb in the bathroom, from the west wall of the closet, from items found on the dressing table, from the south Wall of the living room, from the west wall of the kitchen, and

1 from several items found in the living room. 2 I think there was a mirror, on 3 item or two that was an the floor, a piece of broken mirror from the TV entertainment center, and there may have been 5 one or two other areas. I have your crime scene report dated 6 Q 7 February 20th, 1992, where, on page five, you list latent 8 prints were recovered from the following areas. 9 Are you familiar with this 10 report? 11 Yes. 12 Q Do you have it in front of you? 13 Yes. 14 If I were to ask you to count how many 15 different locations you've listed in your report that you 16 recovered latent fingerprints from, could you do that for 17 me? 18 Α Yes. 19 Please do 1t. 20 There were 17 areas from which latent prints 21 were --22 Q That's the same number I counted. 23 Is that 17 separate areas where 24 latent fingerprints were recovered from?

1	Q You did go to the trouble to note, in your
2	report, as was brought out by the prosecutor, that you
3	thought that the door window to the 1987 Nissan appeared to
4	have been wiped down.
5	You put that in your report,
6	didn't you?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q But you didn't make any statement like that
9	in your crime scene report regarding the apartment; isn't
10	that accurate?
11	A Yes.
12	Q The latent prints we're talking about that
13	were recovered from these 17 locations, does that include
14	palm prints as well?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So all of the prints from a human hand are
17	from these 17 locations; is that right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q You also observed some partial footwear
20	patterns, did you not?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Why is a footwear pattern important in a
23	crime scene investigation?
24	A Well, footwear evidence, at times, can be
	001986

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

Just as good as fingerprint evidence, in the sense that a shoe, when it leaves a mark behind, can be classified into two different types of comparisons.

In other words, an examiner could look at that footwear pattern and determine the type of shoe, or they could even go further, if there is enough specific information in that shoe pattern left behind, to identify it to a specific shoe.

Q Did you recover footwear impressions from this crime scene?

- A There were some developed and photographed.
- Q How many?
  - A There were two located in the kitchen area.
  - Q Two separate footwear impressions?
- 15 A Yes.
  - Q Did you look for footwear impressions in other places?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Is it possible to leave a footwear
  20 impression on something like a carpet surface?
- 21 A No.
- Q Would it be fair to say that it needs to be a flat surface, such as a linoleum or tile type of surface?
- 24 A Yes.

1	Q A surface such as we might find in a kitchen
2	or a bathroom?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Do you remember what kind of surface was in
5	the bathroom of this apartment?
6	A I believe it was linoleum.
7	Q Did you look for any footwear impressions on
8	this linoleum?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you didn't find any?
11	A Na.
12	Q Were you responsible for the finding and
13	retrieving of hair or fiber evidence?
14	A No.
15	Q Do you know who was?
16	A No. The only time when we would refer to
17	something like that, if it was specifically separate and we
18	recovered articles of clothing that had potential hairs and
19	fibers, but we would recover the article intact, at which
20	at a later time, it would be examined for those.
21	Q Do you know if any hair and fiber evidence
22	was recovered in this case?
23	A At the original crime scene?
24	Q Yes,
	001988

1	A No.
2	Q You don't know or there wasn't any?
3	A Nothing that's reflected from the items that
4	we recovered.
5	Q Do you know if any hair and fiber evidence
6	was recovered from any other location relative to this
7	investigation?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Isn't it true that there was hair and fiber
10	evidence recovered pursuant to the autopsy that was formed
11	on these two women?
12	A Yes, there should be.
13	Q What is the importance of hair evidence?
14	A The whole idea in a crime scene, when you
15	are dealing with trace evidence, is when something comes in
16	contact with something else, there is always a trace left
17	behind.
18	When we're looking at hairs and
19	fibers, we're looking at trace evidence that may have fallen
20	off of one individual on to another individual, say, from a
21	suspect to victim.
22	Then we have to look at it from
23	the other end also: Any time the victim comes in contact
24	with a suspect, there could be a transfer of evidence, hairs

2

3

4

5

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and fibers.

We're looking at evidence that's transferred from one individual to another individual or to another item.

Forgive me. I'm not sure if I understand. Q But is it your testimony that there was no hair or fiber evidence recovered from the scene or you didn't recover it?

So that's the significance.

I did not recover it.

Do you know if any other crime scene analysts recovered hair and fiber evidence from the crime scene?

> Α No.

You don't know or there wasn't any recovered?

There wasn't any recovered, unless, as I stated earlier, it was adhering to the clothing and things that were recovered from the scene.

In other words, if clothing or other things were taken from the crime scene to another location, and hair and fiber were taken from those items at that time, you're excluding that from your answer; is that what you are saying?

> A Yes.

> > 001991

16

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CSR 122

1	Q How do you look for hair and fiber evidence
2	at a crime scene?
3	A What we would do well, there is a couple
4	of methods that can be utilized, but usually visual, using
5	high intensity light at low angles to see if there is any
6	hairs or fibers present in the carpeting or on an object.
7	. Or we can approach it from a
8	different perspective, in that if we think that hairs or
9	fibers are on an object or on a piece of carpet or on
10	clothing or something like that, we can recover the whole
11	item of evidence and have it later examined for those
12	traces.
13	Q Have you ever conducted a hair or fiber
14	comparison?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q That is not within your field of expertise?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q It is within your field to gather that
19	evidence though?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you attend the autopsy?
22	A No, sir.
23	Q Isn't it true that blood-like substances
24	were recovered from this crime scene?
	00199

	Yali	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Did you do that recovery?
3	A	That recovery was done by crime scene
4	analyst Shere	e Norman.
5	Q	Are you familiar with where this blood-like
6	substance was	located from?
7	A	Yes,
8	Q	Did you see it at the crime scene?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Tell us what you saw.
11	A	There were two areas for where blood-like
12	substance was	recovered:
13		First of all, in the bathroom,
14	on the sink co	ounter, there was a small spot of blood-like
15	substance.	
16		In the living room area, on the
17	south day bed,	there was a pillow, and on this pillow there
18	was a stain of	a blood-like substance.
19	G	So two separate areas?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Did you remove that blood-like substance
22	yourself?	
23	A	No.
24	Q	Sheree Norman did?
		001992

1	A Yes
2	Q Did you observe her do it?
3	A Yes.
4	Q How did she retrieve this blood-like
5	substance?
6	A The sample that was recovered from the
7	bathroom was recovered by means of a cotton swab or cotton
8	gauze.
9	In other words, the small drop
10	of the blood-like substance was touched with a wet cotton
11	swab so that the blood-like substance would be soaked up or
12	transferred onto the swab from the surface of the of the
13	bathroom counter.
14	Now, the other item, the
15	pillowcase that was on the living room on the south day
16	bed, that piece of fabric was cut out of that pillow and
17	impounded as is.
18	Q What is the purpose for gathering those
19	pieces of evidence?
20	A To determine the source; to see look at
21	the blood typing and do other analyses on it, to see if they
22	can identify an individual or a group of individuals where
23	this blood may have come from.
24	Q Were you able to determine yourself or
	001993

1	let me rephrase, please.
2	Were you able to form an
3	opinion whether these blood-like substances that we're
4	talking about were freshly left?
5	A No.
6	Q Can you, at times, determine from the naked
7	eye whether a blood-like substance has been freshly left, if
8	you will, versus been at this location for weeks or months?
9	A The only way to determine that would be if
10	the blood was still liquid, had not dried yet.
11	Q The dryer, I believe you said, had been
12	examined for latent fingerprints, did you not?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And did you perform that process or did
15	Sheree Norman?
16	A Sheree Norman did.
17	Q Same question about the iron: Had it been
18	processed and was it processed by Sheree Norman?
19	A It was processed; and it was processed by
20	Sheree Norman.
21	Q You had occasion to remove four pieces of
22	drywall from the west wall of the closet, did you not?
23	A Yes, I did.
24	Q Now, you did that because these pieces of
	001994

	1
1	drywall contained fingerprint evidence; isn't that right?
2	A Correct.
3	Q And these pieces of drywall are among the 17
4	locations that we were talking about earlier, where latent
5	fingerprints will be lifted; is that right?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And you testified earlier that no
8	fingerprint or palm print had been lifted from either of
9	these items, the iron or the hair dryer?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Did you see the hair dryer under the day
12	bed
13	A Yes.
14	Q before Sheree Norman removed it from that
15	location; or did you remove it?
16	A She removed it.
17	Q So she removed it and she dusted it for
18	prints.
19	A Correct.
20	Q But before that, you saw it; is that right?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. WOLFSON: With the Court's permission.
23	could I have Mr. Cabrales step down?
24	THE COURT: Will you step down, please.
	901993

1	MR. WOLFSON: Please, sir, could you show
2	the jury and if I may slide this a little closer?
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	BY MR. WOLFSON:
5	Q Could you please show the jury the location
6	that you recovered this iron from?
7	A The iron?
8	Q I mean the hair dryer. Excuse me.
9	A Okay. As I recall, it was in this area
10	right in here, underneath the day bed. (Indicating)
11	Q Now, this is the day bed; is that right,
12	this rectangle as I am drawing?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you remember where, under that day bed,
15	you recovered the hair dryer? Is it where you put 79-A?
16	A As I recall, in this general area, yes.
17	Q Would it be fair to say then and please,
18	Just slide a little I want all the jurors to see would
19	it be fair to say then that you recovered it from
20	approximately the middle of the bed on the floor the
21	middle of the floor under the bed, I guess I should say?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did you take any measurements of this day
24	bed?

1	A Of the day bed?
2	Yes, there should have been
3	measurements taken.
4	Q Okay. Would it be fair to say that this is
5	a single size bed?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Thank you.
8	A (Witness resumed the witness stand.)
9	Q I want to ask you about State's Proposed
10	Exhibit 80-A, which I think has been described as a black
11	leather strip, which was taken from the trash can in the
12	bathroom,
13	A Yes,
14	Q Do you know which item of evidence I'm
15	talking about?
16	A Yes, sir, I do.
17	MR. WOLFSON: Miss Clerk, could you please
18	produce Exhibit proposed 80-A? Is it within your control?
19	BY MR. WOLFSON:
20	Q Showing you what's been marked as proposed
21	80-A, did you recover that piece of evidence or did Sheree
22	Norman?
23	A Sheree Norman did.
24	Q Have you ever examined it yourself? Did you 001997

1	ever take it out, pick it up and look at it in your hand?
2	A No.
3	Q Never looked at it at all or you looked at
4	it in another manner?
5	A I looked at it at the scene when it was
6	recovered from the trash can, but I did not pick it up and
7	stretch it out.
8	Q Is it leather?
9	A It appears to be, yes, or leather-like
10	substance.
11	Q Does it appear to be a porous type surface
12	that could receive a latent fingerprint?
13	A No. Due to its texture, it would not be
14	conducive for processing.
15	Q Do you know if it was processed at all?
16	A I do not believe it was.
17	
18	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
19	BY MR. WOLFSON:
20	Q Were you present when any electrical cords
21	were recovered and taken into evidence?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Did you do that or did Analyst Sheree
24	Norman?
	00199

1	A	Sheree Norman.				
2	Q	Did you have a chance to view the electrical				
3	cords?					
4	А	Yes.				
5	Q	What type of a surface did the electrical				
6	cords have?					
7	A	It was a telephone type cord. It was very				
8	small, thin; very small surface to work with.					
9	Q	From your experience, could a person have				
10	left a latent p	rint on this electrical cord?				
11	А	Probably not, just due to the diameter of				
12	the wire itself	; very small.				
13	Q	But it is possible that a print could have				
14	been transferred from a human being on to that cord?					
15	A	It's possible, yes.				
16	Q	Was that cord processed for the presence of				
17	latent fingerprints?					
18	Α	No, it does not appear to be.				
19	Q	So the killer's fingerprint could be on that				
20	cord.					
21	A	There is a possibility of latent prints				
22	being on that o	cord.				
23	Q	I believe you said that a pair of white				
24	briefs and a st	rip of knotted black leather were found in				
		001998				
	L					

1	the small trash	basket on the bathroom floor; is that right?						
2	A	Yes.						
3	Q	And that this small trash basket was full						
4	when you observed it?							
5	A	Correct.						
6	Q	Do you recall where the knotted black						
7	leather was located amongst the other pieces of trash in the							
8	trash basket?							
9	A	No.						
10	Q	So you don't know whether it was on the top						
11	or the bottom,	if I can describe items, how they might sit						
12	on one another?							
13	А	That's correct.						
14	Q	Did you take a photograph of this trash						
15	basket?							
16	A	Sheree Norman should have.						
17	Q	How long did you spend in the apartment on						
18	February 18th,	19 or excuse me, February 20th, 1992?						
19	A	Approximately eight hours.						
20	Q	And was that a consistent eight hours? Did						
21	you ever leave	and come back?						
22	A	No, we did not.						
23	Q	When you said, in your memorandum to your						
24	superior office	r, four days after you examined this crime						
		002000						

scene, that the crime scene was not protected and the integrity of all evidence recovered had been compromised, what did you mean by using the word integrity?

A What I meant was any time that an individual enters a crime scene, you always have the risk of contamination, as we talked earlier, about hairs, fibers, things like that.

In any given situation, we expect a certain amount of items being compromised by individuals that have to discover the scene, by paramedics, things like that. But when it comes to the evidence integrity, we must be able to preserve that or say it existed as best as we can when the incident occurred.

And that's what I was referring to as integrity. It's got to be -- try to keep it in the same condition as it was when the act or the incident occurred. We must preserve that to show how it really was.

Q Why must we do that?

A Because if evidence is altered at a later time, it could affect the outcome of the case or affect the direction of the investigation. That's why it's so critical that we preserve things as best as can be done.

Q Is it critical to a man who's on trial for double murder?

1	А	Sure.				
2	Q	Do you know how many police officers'				
3	fingerprints we	re found within this crime scene?				
4	Α	There were two uniform officers, which were				
5	identified from	fingerprints.				
6	Q	Anybody else besides two uniform officers?				
7	А	There was one homicide detective.				
8	Q	What's his name?				
9	A	Steve Scholl.				
10	Q	So the lead homicide detective's				
11	fingerprints were found at the crime scene?					
12	A	Yes.				
13	Q	Would it be fair to say that you didn't see				
14	any evidence of	wiping down of fingerprints, because if you				
15	had, you would have put it in your report, like you did in					
16	your report regarding the 1987 Nissan?					
17	. A	Yes, I would have.				
18		MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.				
19		That concludes my examination.				
20		THE COURT: Redirect?				
21		MR, HARMON: Thonk you, Judge.				
22		REDIRECT EXAMINATION				
23	BY MR. HARMON:					
24	Q	In your memorandum directed to Captain				
		00200				
	<u> </u>					

. 4

Barbara Connett, which is dated February the 24th, 1992,
Analyst Cabrales, when you said the crime scene was not
protected and the integrity of all evidence recovered from
the scene has been compromised, what were you referring to,
and in what sense, in your opinion, had the integrity of the
scene been compromised?

officers that entered in the scene who knew better. We realized that certain officers have to go into a scene to document — see what's taking place to make the proper notification, but with additional officers entering into the scene and some of them depositing fingerprints in various locations at the scene, they know better. They're trained to preserve that scene and to protect that integrity of the evidence.

Q Are you saying that, in your opinion, too many officers entered at the time prior to the arrival of crime scene analysts?

A Yes, sir.

Q Did you learn how many officers had preceded you into Apartment 317?

A Homicide detectives later advised me of six officers which entered the scene.

Q Now, you've mentioned that on -- as a result

1	of questions asked on cross-examination, that the latent					
2	prints identified to three police officers were found inside					
3	Apartment 317?					
4	A Yes.					
5	Q Do you recall where those prints were found?					
6	A Two officers' prints were found on the west					
7	wall of the closet; and one officer's prints was found on a					
8	glass mirror, which was on the east day bed.					
9	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have the					
10	witness, again, come down to the board?					
11	THE COURT: Would you do so, please.					
12						
13	(Witness complies.)					
14						
15	BY MR. HARMON:					
16	Q Analyst Cabrales, direct your attention to					
17	Exhibit Number 3. You've referred to the prints of two of					
18	the officers coming from a west wall.					
19	Did you say the closet?					
20	A Correct.					
21	Q Would you identify what area you are					
22	referring to?					
23	A Here is where the officers' prints were					
24	found, (indicating), anywhere from about ten inches on this					

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MOTT	up	to about	three	and	Q	halt,	four	feet	into	the
closet.		(Indicat	ting)			k.				

- Q That was in a wall which was in quite close proximity to the bodies of the victims?
  - A Correct.
- Q Do you have any way of knowing what the precise circumstances were or what these afficers were attempting to do at the time their prints were left on the west wall of the closet?
  - A No, sir.
- Q It is, as closets go, a fairly large walk-in closet?
  - A Yes.
- Q Do you know how much space there would be from the body of the victim closest to that wall, whom you have identified as Denise Lizzi, to the wall?
- A I would have to refer to a photograph, but she was very close to this wall. (Indicating)
- Q Do you have any way of knowing what the concern was of initial officers at the scene regarding the condition of these victims; that is, whether they were alive or dead?
  - A No.
    - Q Now, you also mentioned there was a second

- 002005

1	area where and I think you referred was it to a
2	mirror?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Will you identify with the pointer where
5	that was in the apartment.
6	A There was a plate glass mirror that was
7	resting on the bed against the wall, and it was on this
8	mirror here where another officer's fingerprints were
9	identified. (Indicating)
10	Q You're referring now to the the day bed
11	which is identified on the Exhibit 3 as brown tweed day bed?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you know whose prints were found at that
14	location?
15	A Specifically, the officer, no.
16	Q You don't remember whether that was the
17	homicide detective or
18	A It was not the homicide detective.
19	Q It was one of the other officers?
20	A Uniform officers, yes.
21	Q Since you're focused on the day bed, there
22	is reference on the diagram to a knife and a wood sheath.
23	I don't know that we've asked
24	you yet to explain, if you what that has reference to.
	002006

7	A Found on this day bed was a machete type
2	knife and a wood sheath that went to the knife.
3	Q So it was your opinion that the machete
4	would have fit inside the wood sheath?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When you refer to a machete, can you give us
7	an estimate on the width and length of the instrument?
8	A As I recall the blode, I think it was
9	approximately 29 inches in length; and in width, I don't
10	recall,
11	Q Thank you. You may return again to the
12	witness chair.
13	A (Complies.)
14	Q Now you've mentioned that it's always
15	necessary for a certain number of persons to go inside.
16	A Correct.
17	Q But, in your judgment, six officers was too
18	many in this instance?
19	A In my opinion, yes.
20	Q Whenever persons enter the scene of a crime,
21	such as Apartment 317, on February the 20th, 1992, is there
22	always the potential that those persons, to some extent,
23	whether it's a fingerprint or perhaps a hair or fiber
24	falling from their bodies or footwear impressions or

1	anything that might be left, is there always the potential							
2	that to some extent the scene may be compromised?							
3	A Yes.							
4	Q Is that why it's so important to minimize							
5	the number of persons who enter?							
6	A Correct.							
7	Q When you and Analyst Norman went inside did							
8	you do your best to avoid changing the condition of the							
9	scene?							
10	A Yes.							
11	Q Other than the three prints of officers who							
12	had responded to the scene after the discovery of the							
13	crimes, are you personally aware of any other way in which							
14	the crime scene depicted in the diagram, Exhibit 3, was							
15	compromised?							
16	A No.							
17	Q You've mentioned that a number of items were							
18	observed by you at the scene and personally impounded by							
19	Analyst Norman.							
20	You identified Exhibit 79-A and							
21	79-B, the hair dryer and the iron.							
22	Do you have any evidence, any							
23	opinion, that somehow the location of those items was							

002008

altered as a result of the investigation?

1	A No.							
2	Q What about the other items?							
3	You've identified Exhibits							
4	proposed Exhibits 80-A, -B and -C; and I think that was the							
5	leather strap that was found in, I believe that you							
6	mentioned, the bathroom trash can?							
7	A Yes.							
8	Q You mentioned the electrical cord in the							
9	living room area?							
10	A Yes.							
11	Q And also proposed 80-C, the pieces of							
2.2								
12	shoelace.							
13	Do you have any reason to think							
13	Do you have any reason to think							
13 14	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was somehow altered by the							
13 14 15	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was samehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?							
13 14 15 16	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was somehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?  A No.							
13 14 15 16 17	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was samehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?  A No.  Q What about proposed Exhibits 81-A, -B, -C							
13 14 15 16 17	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was somehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?  A No.  Q What about proposed Exhibits 81-A, -B, -C and -D; any reason to think that the investigation changed							
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was somehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?  A No.  Q What about proposed Exhibits 81-A, -B, -C and -D; any reason to think that the investigation changed the location and condition of those items?							
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was somehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?  A No.  Q What about proposed Exhibits 81-A, -B, -C and -D; any reason to think that the investigation changed the location and condition of those items?  A No.							
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Do you have any reason to think that their location and condition was somehow altered by the manner in which this case was investigated?  A No.  Q What about proposed Exhibits 81-A, -B, -C and -D; any reason to think that the investigation changed the location and condition of those items?  A No.  Q So I take it this memorandum to Captain							

002010

20

1	deposited on the west wall of the closet and also on a
2	mirror which was on the brown tweed day bed?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q You've been asked on cross-examination about
5	some footwear impressions that were observed on the floor, I
6	think you said, in the kitchen?
7	A Correct.
8	Q . Did you know either of the victims, Denise
9	Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson, personally?
10	A No.
11	Q Would it be accurate to say you first
12	encountered them in this particular apartment on February
13	the 20th, 1992?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You don't have any knowledge about what type
16	of housekeeper Miss Jacobson was?
17	A No.
18	Q I asked you a number of questions about
19	whether you could tell how long palm or fingerprints had
20	been on a surface; and I think you indicated, that, no, that
21	you couldn't.
22	A That's correct.
23	Q What about footwear impressions; was there
24	any way you could tell by looking at them how long they had

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CSR 122

1	been there?	
2	A	No.
3	Q	Was there any way to tell, Just by looking
4	at them, whether	they were connected to the murder of these
5	two young women	in any way?
6	А	No.
7	Q	But as I understand what you are telling us,
8	if they are ther	e and if they might have some relevance,
9	then you attempt	to preserve them?
10	A	That's correct.
11	Q	You've been asked about hairs that may have
12	been adhering to	clothing, the victims, for example.
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	Were you involved in analyzing any hair that
15	may have been on	clothing worn by the victims in this case?
16	А	No.
17	Q	Is hair also something that can remain,
18	whether it's on	the floor or clothing or some other
19	location, for si	gnificant periods of time?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	You also have been asked about some
22	blood-like subst	ances that were located at Apartment 317.
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	The phrase I think was blood-like.
		002011

1	S.	Do you know that they, in fact,						
2	were blood?							
3	A	No.						
4	Q	Did you ever subject these substances to any						
5	type of examina	tion to confirm that they were, in fact,						
6	blood?							
7	A	No.						
8	Q	Do you have an expertise in examining						
9	serology?							
10	A	No.						
11	Q	You've mentioned that you came back on the						
12	24th with Anoly	st Norman and it was at that time that the						
13	glass fragments	were recovered.						
14	A	Yes.						
15	Q	Had you observed the glass fragments on the						
16	first day you w	ere there, February the 20th?						
17	A	Yes.						
18		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, that concludes						
19	redirect.							
20		THE COURT: Recross.						
21		MR. WOLFSON: May I have Exhibit Number 106,						
22	please,							
23								
24		RECROSS-EXAMINATION						
		002012						

24

1	BY MR. WOLFSON: "
2	Q Mr. Cabrales, let me hand you Exhibit Number
3	106, which I believe is the evidence impound report authored
4	by Analyst Sheree Norman, is it not?
5	A Yes, it is.
6	Q If I were to ask you to review that document
7	and estimate how many pieces of evidence were recovered that
8	are listed on that report, could you do that for me, please?
9	A There are 37 items of evidence which were
10	recovered,
11	Q So 37 separate pieces of evidence or 37
12	separate things; is that right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Thank you.
15	And all 37 of these things were
16	recovered from inside the apartment; is that right?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q We had, I believe you said, six police
19	officers that you learned had entered and contaminated this
20	crime scene before your arrival?
21	A Correct.
22	Q But you have the opinion that they could
23	not none of these six officers could not have

002013

contaminated any of the things within this apartment?

1	MR. HARMON: Objection. That wasn't his
2	testimony.
3	I asked he said that it was
4	contaminated in the sense of three sets of prints.
5	I asked him if he had any
6	opinion or any evidence that other items had been altered.
7	THE COURT: Objection sustained.
8	MR. WOLFSON: And he said no; and that's the
9	purpose of my question.
10	THE COURT: Ask
11	MR. HARMON: Well, I'm objecting to the form
12	of the question.
13	THE COURT: Rephrase the question.
14	MR. WOLFSON: Well, I'll rephrase.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	BY MR. WOLFSON:
17	Q You can say with certainty that six officers
18	who go inside an apartment, a small apartment, would not
19	have contaminated any of the items of evidence that were
20	recovered?
21	A No, I could not say that.
22	Q What were the names of the three police
23	officers whose prints were identified within this apartment?
24	A I'd have
	002014

		_
1	Q	Do. you know?
2	A	I'd have to refer to some other reports.
3		As I mentioned, one was Steve
4	Scholl	
5	Q	If I mention their names, would you remember
6	them?	at a new transfer arrows a summary transfer a summary
7	A	I believe so.
8	Q.	Were they officers Richard Gosler and Darrel
9	Flenner?	Hoto Citos of France Haritata assume
10	A	Yes.
11		(Whereupon, a sotto voce at
12		this time.)
13	BY MR. WOLFSON:	
14	Q	Those 37 items that we've mentioned from
15		106, that list didn't include the brown vial
16		ack and recovered days later, does it?
17	A	The brown glass fragments?
18	Q	Yes.
19	A	No, it does not.
20	, o	When you authored this memorandum,
21	•	f February 24th, 1992 to Captain Barbara
22		oncern was more than Just fingerprint
23	contamination,	
24	A	No. sir.
47	n	
		002015

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
1.0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Q	Mid	vall	not	епу	in	thic	report:
<b>U</b>	nra	you	HUL	SUF	3.41	f#17.2	I Chair

"Obviously, the crime scene was not protected and the integrity of all evidence recovered from the scene had been compromised.

"As a result, it is requested that major case fingerprint exemplars, head hair standards, facial hair standards and footwear pattern exemplars be obtained from the below listed officers for elimination purposes."

Yes.

MR. WOLFSON: That concludes my questions.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. HARMON: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Cabrales.

You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the Court had reserved ruling on a number of items.

The physical evidence had been marked as proposed Exhibit 79, and 79-A and -B.

The State offers -- reoffers those pieces of evidence at this time.

1	MR. WOLFSON: Submit for the Court's
2	discretion.
3	THE COURT: 79 and 79-A will be admitted.
4	MR. HARMON: It's also 79-B, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: And -B.
6	MR. HARMON: Also, Your Honor, the evidence
7	bag, proposed 80, and proposed Exhibits -A, -B and -C.
8	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
9	MR. HARMON: Proposed Exhibits 81 and
10	81-A, -B, -C and <b>-</b> D.
11	THE COURT: 81, -A, -B, -C and -D will also
12	be admitted.
13	MR. HARMON: And proposed 82, 82-A.
14	THE COURT: That will be admitted.
15	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 79, 79-A, 79-B, 80, 80-A,
16	80-B, 80-C, 81, 81-A, 81-B, 81-C, 81-D, 82 and 82-A
1.7	were admitted into evidence.)
18	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, also the witness
19	identified the photographs at the scene. They're proposed
20	Exhibits 5 through 22, and we offer those.
21	MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, at this time, we'd
22	ask Your Honor to reserve until you've had a chance to meet
23	with counsel.
24	THE COURT: I'll reserve until I hear from
	002017

1	counsel.
2	MR. HARMON: That's fine, Your Honor.
3	Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Wasn't there a
5	stipulation as to 5 through 22, some of the photographs?
6	Those are the photographs,
7	right?
8	MR. HARMON: Yes.
9	MR. DUNLEAVY: We have no objection to some
10	of them, Your Honor.
11	May we approach?
12	THE COURT: Well, why don't you let me know
13	which ones you don't object to?
14	MR. WOLFSON: It shou <b>ld be done ou</b> tsi <b>de the</b>
15	presence.
16	THE COURT: This way, I'll go over the
17	MR. WOLFSON: It should be done out of the
18	presence,
19	THE COURT: All right. At this time, we'll
20	recess for the evening.
21	Remember: Don't converse among
22	yourselves are with anyone else on any subject connected
23	with the trial;
24	Read, watch, listen to any
	00201

1	report or commentary on the trial; or
2	Form an opinion on this trial
3	until the matter is finally submitted to you.
4	Have a good evening. We'll
5	restart tomorrow at approximately 10:15.
6	
7	(The following proceedings were had in chambers outside
8	the presence of the jury:)
9	THE COURT: Where are the photographs?
10	All right. On the record in
11	the Rippo case, outside the presence of the Jury.
12	We're in chambers. Counsel for
13	both sides are here, for the defense and the State,
14	We've reached a stipulation as
15	to Exhibits 19, 20, 21 and 22; is that right?
16	MR. DUNLEAVY: We have, Your Honor.
17	19 and 21 will be admitted and
18	20 and 22 will be excluded.
19	MR. HARMON: That's correct, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Is that correct?
21	THE CLERK: 19 and 22 admitted and
22	THE COURT: 19 and 21 are admitted.
23	THE CLERK: Okay.
24	THE COURT: 20 and 22 are excluded.
	902010

1	THE CLERK: Okay.
2	THE COURT: Upon stipulation of counsel.
3	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
4	THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: That concludes it.
6	
7	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits
8	19 and 21 were admitted into evidence.)
9	
10	(The proceedings were recessed until Tuesday, February 27, 1996, at 10:15 p.m.)
11	* * * *
12	
13	ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of proceedings.
14	
15	
16	RENEE SILVAGGIO, C.C. NO. 122
17	RENEE SILVAGGIO, C.C/M. NO. 122 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	00202

# COPY

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

Case No. C106784

vs.

Dept. No. IV

Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo,

#0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bongiovanni
Tuesday, February 27, 1996, 11:00 o'clock a.m.
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

JURY TRIAL

VOLUME I

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

002021

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

## **APPEARANCES:** For the State: MELVYN T. HARMON, ESQ. DANIEL SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney For the Defendant: STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. PHILIP H. DUNLEAVY, ESQ. Attorneys at Law Law Clerk: Delwin Potter

1		INDEX	•
2	WITNESSE	S ON BEHALF OF THE STATE:	PAGE
3		CONNELL, Dan Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon	8
4		Yoir Dire Examination by Mr. Wolfson Direct Examination (Resumed)	) 29 30
5		Cross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson Redirect Examination by Mr. Harmon	34 49
6		Recross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson	52
7		GREEN, Giles Sheldon Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	55
8		Cross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson Redirect Examination by Mr. Seaton	127 149
9		Recross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson	157
10		Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Harmon Recross-Examination (Resumed)	160 161
11		BIRCH, Tracy Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon	164
12		Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy	168
13	FXHIBITS	ON BEHALF OF THE STATE: Marked	Admitted
14			14
15	24 26	Photograph Photograph	14
	27	Photograph	14
16	28	Photograph	14
	31	Photograph	14
17	32	Photograph	14
	34	Photograph	14
18	38 70	Photograph	14 14
1 0	39	Photograph	14
19	40 41	Photograph Photograph	14
20	42	Photograph	14
20	45	Photograph	14
21	46	Photograph	14
<b> -</b>	47	Photograph	14
22	48	Photograph	14
	40   51	Photograph	17
23	53	Photograph	7
	54	Photograph	7
24	56	Photograph	17
			002023

1		INDEX (Con	tinued)	•
2	EXHIBITS	ON BEHALF OF THE STATE:	Marked	Admitted
3	57 58	Photograph Photograph		17 17
4	60 61	Photograph Photograph		17 17
5	62 86	Photograph Evidence Bag		17 22
6	86-A 87	Black Scarf with Twine Evidence Bag	21	22 25
7	87-A 88	Blue Sweat Pants Evidence Bag	23	25 27
8	88-A 89	Black Sock Evidence Bag	26	27 29
9	89-A 90	Black Underwear Evidence Bag	29	29 32
10	90-A	Vial with Glass Shards	31	32
11	EXHIBITS	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT:		
12	1	Criminology Report	169	
13	-			
14		-000-		
15				
16				•
17				
18				
19				
20				
21.				
22				
23				
24				
,				002024

Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, February 27, 1996, 11:00 a.m.

(The following proceedings were had in chambers:)

THE COURT: Let the record reflect we're in chambers.

Counsel for the defense and the State are all present. We are discussing certain photographs, that defense has abjections to, from being entered into evidence.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, we had objections to State's Proposed Exhibits 53 and 54. It's my position that they are highly prejudicial and really not probative of anything, other than the fact of the body decomposed substantially prior to it being discovered.

I think the prejudicial nature of these pictures for outweighs any probative value they could have. This does not show anything that the doctor cannot testify to clearly. And the pictures do not reflect what the bodies looked like when the crime occurred. They reflect what the body looked like several days later in a highly decomposed state. And I think that's highly

5 1 2

prejudicial to the jury and really of no relevant probative value.

MR. SEATON: Judge, they both have probative value.

To set the record straight, 53
is a head and shoulders photograph of the victim, Lauri
Jacobson; and 54 is a view of behind her head, under her
right ear, where there appears to be a hole.

Both of them show decomposition to some extent, 53 particularly shows it.

54 is utilized to describe the wound that is immediately under the ear of a woman who both got hit over the head with a bottle and got drug through a living room, perhaps hitting her head on objects as she went through.

important because it needs to be compared and contrasted with a similar photograph of Denise Lizzi. And the doctor is going to testify as to the -- what appears to be a large visible difference in the stage of decomposition; and yet he will be able to say it may be that they died both at approximately the same time.

And time of death becomes very important because the one co-defendant, Diana Hunt, has come

1.	in and testified and indicated that these crimes happened
2	two days before the bodies were discovered, on the 18th of
3	February, and the and the crimes were done on the
4	18th. They were discovered on the 20th of February.
5	Therefore, both of them should
6	come in for jury viewing so that they can see what precisely
7	these the bodies looked like.
8	THE COURT: All right. They will be
9	admitted.
10	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 53 and 54 were admitted
11	into evidence.)
12	(The dellers - managedians man
13	(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the Jury:)
14	ht 6261100 Ot file 1913.)
15	THE COURT: Case Number C106784, State of
16	Nevada versus Michael Rippo.
17	Do counsel stipulate to the
18	presence of the jury?
19	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
20	MR. WOLFSON: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Good morning,
22	Call your next witness.
23	MR. HARMON: Dan Connell.
24	THE BAILIFF: Thank you, sir.
	002027

Whereupon,

## DAN CONNELL

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly swarn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as fallows:

THE CLERK: Would you state your name and spell it for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. My name is Don Connell: C-o-n-n-e-l-1.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q Mr. Connell, what is your business or occupation?

A Currently, I'm employed with the Las Vegas

Metropolitan Police Department, in the latent print section.

Q How long have you worked with the Las Vegas
Metropolitan Police Department?

A Ah, this past time, probably 14 months; prior to that, for 28 years. I retired from the police department in April of 1994. I was assigned to the criminalistics bureau for 22 of that 28 years.

10 ppo-			
9795 9595	5		1
PPO-07058-R090263			2
2032			3
			4
			5
			6
			7
			8
			9
			10
			11
			12
			13
			14
			15
			16
	_		17
	6		

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q	Would 1t be	correct to	say that in	a11,
accounting the	last 14 mont	hs, that you	ı have been e	mployed
in excess of 2	9 years with	the Las Vego	ıs Metropolit	an Police
Department?				

That is correct. Α

You have mentioned that you are involved in latent print examination now.

That is correct.

But you also said that for 22 of the over 29 years, you were assigned to the crime lab?

> That is correct, sir. A

What were your duties during those 22 years?

To respond to crime scenes when requested, Α for the purposes of documenting the scene with photography, the investigation of the scene for evidence, the collection of evidence, the preservation of evidence, the examination of some item of evidence, the processing for fingerprints; also to respond to the Clark County medical examiner offices during post-mortem examinations.

Were you a crime scene analyst during that Q 22 year period of time?

Yes, I was.

I want to direct your attention to February the 21st, 1992.

1	On that date, were you a crime
2	scene analyst?
3	A I was.
4	Q Did you respond to the Clark County morgue
5	here in Las Vegas?
6	A Yes, I did.
7	Q What was your reason on February the 21st,
8	1995 for going to the Clark County morgue?
9	A That was for the purposes of documenting a
10	post-mortem examination with photography and a collection of
11	evidence. I was involved over a two-day period, the 20th as
12	well as the 21st.
13	Q During that time frame, were you present
14	when autopsy examinations were performed upon two young
15	women identified as Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?
16	A Yes, I was.
17	Q Did you take photographs documenting the
18	appearance and condition of those victims?
19	A I did.
20	Q Did you also recover certain evidence?
21	A I did.
22	Q In connection with evidence you recovered,
23	did you prepare an impound report?
24	A Yes, sir.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	Q Did that report list all of the evidence
2	that you recovered during the autopsies of Miss Lizzi and
3	Miss Jacobson?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,
5	Your Honor?
7	THE COURT: You may,
8	BY MR. HARMON:
9	Q Analyst Connell, I want to show you a number
10	of photographs. They've been marked as proposed Exhibits
11	perhaps I can just hand them to you 24, 26 we'll try
12	to keep them in this order 27, 28, 31, 32, 34, 38, 39 and
13	40, 41 and 42, and 45, 46, 47 and 48.
14	Analyst Connell, have you had
15	an apportunity to view the photographs which have Just been
16	1dent1fied for the record?
17	A Yes, I have.
18	Q Do you recognize what they are?
19	A Yes. These are photographs that I took
20	during the post-mortem examination.
21	Q Are these pictures taken of the victim
22	identified to you as Denise Lizzi?
23	A That is correct.
24	Q Are all of the photographs identified, for
	002031

1	the record, true and accurate representations of the
2	appearance and condition of Miss Lizzi as you observed her,
3	at the time of the autopsy examinations, February the 20th
4	and 21st, 1992?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q Were you present throughout the autopsy
7	examination performed upon Denise Lizzi?
8	A Yes, I was.
9	Q Who performed the autopsy examination?
10	A Dr. Sheldon Green.
11	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, at this time, for
12	the record, the State offers the proposed exhibits
13	identified for the record.
14	MR. WOLFSON: Your indulgence for a moment.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	
17	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
18	
19	MR. WOLFSON: May counsel approach?
20	THE COURT: Yes,
21	
22	(Discussion off the record.)
23	
24	(Proceedings recessed.)
	002032

3 4

(The following proceedings were had in chambers:)

THE COURT: All right, Go ahead.

MR. WOLFSON: For purposes of the record I want to voice an objection to the admission of all of these photographs. I believe that they are very prejudicial and have no probative value and should not be admitted.

I understand that the Court has already made its ruling in camera for their motion, but I'm objecting out of the presence of the jury based upon their prejudicial effect.

MR. SEATON: Your Honor, I Just want the record to reflect we went over each picture individually and collectively, and we all made our arguments as to the admissibility. The judge has made his rulings on these pictures.

MR. WOLFSON: That is true.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WOLFSON: Thanks.

MR. SEATON: And we should probably say the same thing about the -- the Lauri Jacobson pictures that will be coming up.

There is a number of them that Mr. Harmon will present to this witness, and, they, just

like these Lizzi pictures, have been contemplated in the Judge's chambers and many have been removed; and those that are remaining, we have argued about and the judge has ruled in our favor; and I think they have a continuing objection to that.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfson has the same objection, as stated, as to these pictures as to Lauri Jacobson -- the photos of Lauri Jacobson.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.

(The following proceedings were had in open court in the presence of the jury:)

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, are the photographs in the series identified by Analyst Connell of Denise Lizzi admitted?

THE COURT: They are admitted.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 24, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47 and 48 were admitted into evidence.)

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we publish the photographs of Miss Lizzi to the Jurars?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. HARMON: Will the Court instruct the

RFNFE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

5

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

jurors to view one picture and then pass it along.

THE COURT: Would you do so?

Then make it -- bring it to the attention of Mr. O'Leary, the balliff, and he'll pick up the pictures.

> (Whereupon, the foregoing admitted exhibits were published to the jury.)

MR. HARMON: As that is happening, Your Honor, may I continue the examination of Analyst Connell? THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. HARMON:

Mr. Connell, I'm showing you now another Q series of photographs, which I will identify for the record once more -- if we can keep them in the order I'm passing them to you -- proposed Exhibit 51, proposed Exhibit 53, proposed Exhibit 54, proposed Exhibits 56, 57 and 58, and proposed Exhibits 60, 61 and 62.

Are you able to identify the photographs in that series?

Yes. These were the photographs that I took А of Lauri Jacobson during the post-mortem examination.

Do the photographs in this series truly and accurately portray the appearance and condition of the victim identified as Lauri Jacobson?

	,		
1	A Yes, they do.		
2	Q Were you present throughout the autopsy		
3	examination performed upon this victim?		
4	A I was.		
5	Q Did the chief medical examiner, Dr. Green,		
6	also conduct this autopsy examination?		
7	A Yes, he did.		
8	MR. HARMON: Thank you.		
9	Your Honor, the State offers		
10	the proposed exhibits, identified for the record by the		
11	witness, of Lauri Jacobson.		
12	MR. WOLFSON: Based upon previous		
1.3	discussions, I'll submit it.		
14	THE COURT: Subject to the objection placed		
15	on the record outside the presence of the jury, they will be		
16	admitted.		
17	MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge.		
18	May the photographs in this		
19	series of Lauri Jacobson also be published to the jury?		
20	THE COURT: They may be, but I wish you		
21	would do them separately, after those the others are		
22	callected.		
23	MR. HARMON: Very well.		
24			

#### BY MR. HARMON:

Q Analyst Connell, I'm showing you a three-page document, which is marked as proposed Exhibit 107.

Are you able to tell us, for

the record, what that 1s?

A Yes. This is an evidence impound report that I prepared of the evidence that I collected during the post-mortem examination.

Q Does proposed Exhibit 107 include a complete list of all evidence you recovered during the autopsy examinations performed upon both Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?

A That is correct.

Q Is the list of evidence recovered by you true and correct?

A Yes.

Q In addition to identifying items recovered, does your impound report also explain the location and, in fact, the victim from which the evidence was recovered?

A That is correct.

, 002037

1	Q Is that information also true and correct?		
2	A Yes, it is.		
3	MR, HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers		
4	proposed Exhibit 107.		
5	MR. WOLFSON: I don't have an objection to		
6	the admission of this exhibit.		
7	THE COURT: It will be admitted.		
8	MR. HARMON: Thank you.		
9	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 107 was admitted into evidence.)		
10	Man amilia a dam milia a dam milia		
11	BY MR. HARMON:		
12	Q Analyst Connell, I'm showing you now a		
13	number of evidence containers. You will find that the clerk		
14	has marked them as proposed Exhibits 86 through 90.		
15	Will you examine each of the		
16	evidence containers and tell us if you recognize what they		
17	are.		
18	A Yes, these are evidence envelopes and		
19	evidence bags that are utilized by the Metropolitan Police		
20	Department for the purposes of containing evidence that is		
21	recovered at a scene.		
22	Q Are you able to recognize each of the		
23	envelopes and bags as containers utilized by you to place in		
24	to them evidence recovered during the autopsy examinations		
	002038		

1	of Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?			
2	A Yes, I am. They contain the my			
3	handwriting, the report number, the location, my signature,			
4	and personnel number at the time.			
5	Q Are each of the containers, marked as			
6	proposed Exhibits 86 through 90, in a sealed condition at			
7	this time?			
8	A Yes, they are.			
9	Q Let's begin then with proposed Exhibit 86.			
10	What was placed into this			
11	evidence bag?			
12	A A black scarf.			
13	Q I am handing you a pair of scissors the			
14	clerk has furnished us.			
15	Leaving the seals intact, will			
16	you cut this bag open at the bottom and then remove the			
17	contents.			
18	A (Complies.)			
19	I have removed the or cut			
20	open the bottom and I am removing a black scarf with			
21	additional white twine added to it.			
22	Q You've mentioned that the black scarf has			
23	additional white twine added to it.			
24	What do you have reference			
	002039			

		·
1	to	
2	A	This will be twine that is on the scarf
3	itself, which wo	is added by Dr. Green.
4	Q	Do you know why the twine was added?
5	A	This was removed from I believe this was
6	removed from Mis	s Lizzi, Denise Lizzi.
7	Q	My question is: Why was the string or twine
8	added by the med	ical examiner?
9	A	Oh. When he removed the scarf, he did not
10	undo the knot and he tied the ends together to indicate how	
11	they were in place.	
12	Q	So you are saying, to remove the scarf, it
13	was cut?	
14	A	That is correct.
15	Q	But the knat was left intact?
16	A	That is correct.
17	Q	So the string was used to show how it was
18	originally fastened together?	
19	A	Yes.
20		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have the
21	black scarf marked as proposed Exhibit 86-A?	
22		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
22		

(Whereupon, as requested by 1 counsel, State's Exhibit 86-A was marked for 2 identification.) 3 BY MR. HARMON: Analyst Connell, are you able to identify 4 Q the black scarf, which is now going to be marked as proposed 5 Exhibit 86-A? 6 7 Yes, I am, by the scorf itself and also by a section of tape that is stapled to the scarf containing my 8 9 initials and personnel number. Is this an item recovered by you at the time 10 11 of the autopsy examination performed upon Denise Lizzi? 12 That is correct. 13 Where was the black scarf when it was 14 recovered? Let me change the question. 15 16 Where did it come from? 17 It came -- I believe this is either, I А 18 think, on her arms -- could I refer to my report just to --19 Yes. I'll show you 107. Q 20 Α Okay. 21 Analyst Connell, please refer to Exhibit 107 22 for the purpose of refreshing your memory. 23 Α I have examined the report and refreshed my 24 memory. 002041

1.	Q Where did the black scarf, which will be
2	marked as proposed Exhibit 86-A, come from?
3	A The left wrist of Denise Lizzi.
4	Q Is it in substantially the same condition
5	now as it was when it was on the left wrist of Miss Lizzi,
6	except for the white twine that you've already identified
7	and the tape that you put on it for identification purposes?
8	A Yes, it is.
9	MR, HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
10	proposed Exhibits 86 and 86-A.
11	MR. WOLFSON: I have no objection.
12	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
13 14	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 86 and 86—A were admitted into evidence.)
15	BY MR. HARMON:
16	Q Analyst Cannell, I'm showing you now the bag
17	marked as proposed 87.
18	Using the scissors, will you
19	cut that bag open.
20	Strike that.
21	Before you do that, what did
22	you place inside this bag?
23	A A pair of blue sweat pants with the leg cut
24	off.
	002042

1	Q Use the scissors now and cut this bag open,
2	leaving the seals intact.
3	A (Complies.)
4	Q For the record, what have you removed?
5	A I have removed the tap portion of a pair of
6	blue sweat pants.
7	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may the top portion
8	of the blue sweat pants be marked as proposed 87-A?
9	THE COURT: It may.
10	(Whereupon, as requested by counsel, State's Exhibit
11	87-A was marked for identification.)
12	BY MR. HARMON:
13	Q I notice that this item also has some white
14	twine or string on it.
15	A That is correct.
15	Q Was that also placed there by the medical
17	examiner?
18	A Yes, it was.
19	Q Is this another item which was cut in order
20	to facilitate its removal from the body of one of the
21	victims?
22	A That is correct.
23	Q Where was proposed Exhibit 87-A when you
24	recovered it?
	002043

1	A May I refer to my report for the exact					
2	location?					
3	Q Yes.					
4	A Thank you.					
5	Q Are you now looking at Exhibit 107, your					
6	1mpound report?					
7	A That is correct.					
8	I have reviewed the report.					
9	Q Now, where was the exhibit, which will be					
10	marked as proposed Exhibit 87-A, the top portion of the blue					
11	sweat pants?					
12	A That was removed from the right wrist of					
13	Denise Lizzi.					
14	Q Except that it is cut and that there is					
15	white string on it, is it in substantially the same					
16	condition now as it was when it was removed from the right					
17	wrist of Denise Lizzi?					
18	A That is correct.					
19	Q Kave you placed identifying marks upon the					
20	blue sweat pants?					
21	A I have I have oh, yes. That's I					
22	don't notice the mark right oh, that's Linda Archetto.					
23	Q You referred to someone else.					
24	Who is Linda Archetto?					
	002044					

1	A Linda Archetto is the director of the
2	forensics lab for the criminalistics bureau of the Las Vegas
3	Metropolitan Police Department.
4	Q Oh, I'm sorry.
5	Is she a criminalist?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Does it appear that Miss Archetto has
8	examined proposed Exhibit 87-A subsequently to your
9	impounding the item?
10	A That is correct.
11	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
12	proposed Exhibit 87 and 87-A.
13	MR. WOLFSON: No objection.
14	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
15	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
16 17	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 87 and 87—A were admitted into evidence.)
18	BY MR. HARMON:
19	Q I'm showing you, Analyst Connell, proposed
20	88.
21	Did you place something inside
22	that evidence container?
23	A That is correct.
24	Q What did you put inside, sir?
	002045

May I again refer to the report for the

Yes, I am,

21

22

24

This particular item was

23 removed from the mouth of Denise Lizzi.

Is the black sock, which was removed from

1	the mouth of Denise Lizzi, in substantially the same
2	condition now as it was at the time it was recovered by you?
3	A Yes, it is.
4	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
5	proposed Exhibits 88 and 88-A.
6	MR. WOLFSON: I don't have an objection.
7	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
8	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
9	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 88 and 88-A were admitted
10	into eyidence.)
11	BY MR. HARMON:
12	Q I'm showing you now, sir, proposed
13	Exhibit 89.
14	What was placed into the
15	envelope marked proposed Exhibit 89?
16	A This would have been a pair of black
17	panties.
18	Q Will you cut the envelope open of proposed
19	89, leaving any seals intact.
20	A (Complies.)
21	THE COURT: Excuse me. Do you have all the
22	photographs? The Jury saw the first series.
23	A VOICE: He has them.
24	THE COURT: Okay, Did the jury see the
	002047

1	second series?
2	
3	(Affirmative response.)
4	
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	BY MR. HARMON:
7	Q For the record, Analyst Connell, what have
8	you removed from proposed Exhibit 89?
9	A I have removed a pair of black panties that
10	are knotted and contain some strands of hair.
11	Q Is it possible that this is a bra, not
12	panties?
13	A Possibility.
14	Q Is it some type of black underwear?
15	A That is correct.
16	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may this item be
17	marked as proposed Exhibit 89-A?
18	MR. WOLFSON: May I have the Court's
19	indulgence?
20	Mr. Harmon, may I see the
21	evidence bag that that came out of, please?
22	MR. HARMON: Of course.
23	MR. WOLFSON: May I ask the witness a quick
24	question on voir dire?
	กกวกส

THE COURT: You may.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4 BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q Mr. Connell, how do you refer to this item, which is State's Proposed Exhibit 89, in Exhibit 107 of the State — or do you refer to it as package number, item number, in your report?

**VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION** 

A Yes, sir, I do.

Q And how do you refer to it in your report, please?

A As package 10, item number 25, one pair of probable panties, Fredericks logo, black.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you very much.

I have no objection to the

16 | admission.

THE COURT: It will be admitted.

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may that be marked and admitted as Exhibit 89 and 89-A? That would be the black underwear.

THE COURT: Right.

(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 89-A was marked for identification and State's Exhibits 89 and 89-A were admitted into evidence.)

002049

23 24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)
2		
3	BY MR. HARMON:	
4	Q	Analyst Connell, where was Exhibit 89-A
5	recovered from,	the black material? (Indicating)
6	А	That would have been from the head of Denise
7	Lizzi.	
8	Q	Do you remember where in the head area, sir?
9	A	No. I'd have to refer to the photograph for
10	the exact locat	ion.
11	Q	May we presume that the white twine or
12	string was also	added to 89-A?
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	It wasn't originally there when this object
15	was fastened to	the head of Miss Lizzi?
16	А	No, it was not.
17	Q <sub>.</sub>	Finally, I'm showing you proposed
18	Exhibit 90.	
19		What was placed inside this
20	evidence envelo	p <del>e</del> ?
21	А	A vial containing glass shards.
22	Q.	Will you cut it open, leaving the seals
23	intact.	
24	Α	(Complies.)
	,	002050
	L	

1	I have removed a clear vial
2	containing a couple of glass shards.
3	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may the clear vial
4	and its contents be marked as proposed Exhibit 90-A?
5	THE COURT: They may be.
6	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
7	(Whereupon, as requested by counsel, State's Exhibit
8	90-A was marked for 1dentification.)
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q Are you able to identify what will be marked
11	as proposed Exhibit 90-A?
12	A Yes, I am, again by my personnel number at
13	the time and initials.
14	Q The fragments of glass were recovered by
15	you?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q Where did you find them?
18	A These came from the torso of Lauri Jacobson.
19	Q When you say torso, will you indicate what
20	part of the body you have reference to?
21	A This would be in the upper part of the body,
22	from about the waist to the neck. (Indicating)
23	Q Do the glass fragments appear to be in
24	substantially the same condition now as they were at the
	00205

1	time they were	recovered by you?
2	A	Yes, they do.
3		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
4	proposed Exhibi	ts 90 and 90-A.
5		MR. WOLFSON: No objection.
6		THE COURT: They will be admitted.
7		MR. HARMON: Thank you.
8		(Whereupon, State's Exhibits
9		90 and 90-A were admitted into evidence.)
10	BY MR. HARMON:	
11	a	Do you recall, Analyst Connell, if there was
12	an effort to sc	rape the fingernails of the two victims?
13	Α	Yes.
14	q	What is the purpose of that?
15	Α	To ascertain if there is any foreign
15	material under	the nails.
17	Q	Did you scrape under the nails of each
18	finger of each	victim?
19	Α	Yes.
20	a	When you do that, do you know if there is
21	anything of ser	elogical value under the nails?
22	A	No. I do not.
23	Q	Is this part of the standard procedure that
24	occurs in homic	ide cases?
		002052

1	BY MR. WOLFSON:
2	Q Good morning, Mr. Connell.
3	How important is crime scene
4	preservation to a crime scene analyst?
5	A I would say it would be very important.
6	Q Why?
7	A Because if you compromise the evidence, then
8	the evidence may not be admissible in court.
9	Q Could it affect a person's rights who is on
10	trial in a murder case?
11	A I would say definite a possibility may
12	exist.
13	Q Can the lack of crime scene preservation
14	affect the reliability of evidence?
15	A There exists that possibility.
16	Q In State's Proposed Exhibit Number 107
17	I'm sorry State's Exhibit Number 107, which is your
18	evidence impound report, you list every single item that you
19	retrieved from your connection with this case at the Clark
20	County morgue; is that right?
21	A That is correct, sir.
22	Q In addition to what Mr. Harmon asked you
23	about what you retrieved, there were other things that you
24	retrieved as well; isn't that right?

	ş i							
1	A That is correct.							
2	Q You retrieved certain hair and fiber							
3	evidence, did you not?							
4	A That is correct.							
5	Q Isn't it true that hair and fiber evidence							
6	can be very important in a criminal investigation?							
7	A Yes, sir, that is a possibility.							
8	Q Isn't it true that hair and fiber evidence							
9	can be used in comparisons in a criminal investigation?							
10	A Yes.							
11	Q Isn't it true that that's the reason why you							
12	would retrieve certain hair and fiber evidence from a crime							
13	scene or an object that is being examined as part of a crime							
14	scene investigation?							
15	A Yes, sir.							
16	Q Isn't it true that you retrieved six							
17	different vials excuse me that you retrieved six hair							
18	and fiber samples that you placed in six different vials on							
19	this case?							
20	A Um, would that what person would that be							
21	referring to, sir?							
22	Q Let me rephrase. That was a misleading							
23	question.							
24	From your evidence impound							
	. 002055							

1.7

report, yo	ou have	listed the	retrievo.	l of s	six ·	the		
retrieval	of hair	and fiber	evidence	that	you	placed	in	six
different	vials;	isn't that	true?					

A Yes, referring to the report on Denise Lizzi, there were six.

Q Okay, Now, Just focusing on Denise Lizzi, isn't it true that you retrieved hair and fiber evidence from different locations pertaining to Denise Lizzi?

A That is correct.

Q Could you please tell the ladies and gentlemen of the Jury, starting with package two, item two, what you retrieved, as far as hair and fiber evidence, concerning Denise Lizzi and from where you retrieved this hair and fiber evidence?

A May I use the report, sir?

Q Please.

A Package two, item number two, was a vial containing hairs and fibers. This was from the back of the sweat shirt of Denise Lizzi.

Q Let me stop you there.

How did you come to a point where you determined that you should retrieve hair and fiber from the sweat shirt of Denise Lizzi?

A Ah, it was a foreign item on the shirt.

1	Q Was this shirt were the hairs and fibers
2	taken off the shirt after the shirt had been removed from
3	Denise's body?
4	A I believe these were still on her when he
5	removed them or the shirt was still on her.
6	Q Does your examination, and your crime scene
7	work, accur before the actual autopsies?
8	A In this instance, the examination of the
9	clothing did occur before the post.
10	Q And why is your examination, your crime
11	scene gathering process, why does that occur before the
12	autopsies?
13	A To eliminate destruction or the loss of any
14	evidence that might be potentially valuable to the
15	investigation.
16	Q What information did you have, as a crime
17	scene analyst, regarding the crime itself when you performed
18	your task at the Clark County morgue?
19	A In this particular instance, I was only
20	apprised that there were two female victims and they were

Who told you that information? Q

found in the closet of a residence.

I believe it was Detective Chandler.

Was there not another detective that

1	attended this -	- these autopsies?
2	A	Ah, yes, there was.
3	Q	Wasn't that Detective Scholl?
4	А	That is correct, sir.
5	q	Was it Scholl that told you this information
6	or Chandler?	
7	А	Possibly could have been either one. It may
8	have been Detec	tive Scholl.
9	Q	And this conversation that you had with the
10	detective would	have occurred before you began your work;
11	isn't that righ	t?
12	A	That is correct.
13	Q	Regarding package number two, item number
14	two, which you	list as a vial containing hairs and fibers
15	you now have to	ld us you retrieved from the back of the
16	sweat shirt of	Denise Lizzi
17	А	Yes, sir.
18	Q	— how many hairs and fibers did you
19	retrieve?	
20	А	I did not count them, sir.
21	Q	Did you note that anywhere in
22	A	No.
23	Q	in any of your notes?
24	А	No, sir,
		00205

2	
Ŧ	

4

5 6

> 7 8

g 10

11

12

13

14 1.5

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

Now, isn't it true that you list, on some of these vials, that you retrieved hairs and fibers compared to another vial, which says hair strands?

Yes, sir. In the last three vials, which would have been items number five, six and seven, they were hair strands only, what I perceive to be hair. Vials that contain items as listed as number two, three and four contained some fibers as well as the hair strands.

So in your report, when you list hairs, that 0 means hair strands and fibers; or are we drawing a distinction between hairs and hair strands?

No, I would say they probably would be synonymous.

Did you make any notation of the size of Q these hair strands?

No, I did not, sir.

What if the murderer had a crew cut and it could be readily determined that the person who committed the crime, that the longest hair he had on his body was two inches, but your hair strands were six inches long, would that be important?

Ah, I couldn't say, sir, because I was unaware of who any possible suspect was that was involved at the time.

1	Q	Under my hypothetical, might it be
2	important?	
3	А	It might be.
4	Q	But you didn't note the lengths of the hairs
5	that you retrie	ved from the locations that are noted in your
6	report?	
7	А	No, sir, I did not.
8	Q	Nor did you note the number of hairs that
9	you retrieved f	rom each particular location.
10	A	No, sir, I did not.
11		MR. WOLFSON: Would you your indulgence,
12	please.	
13		(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
14	BY MR. WOLFSON:	
15	Q	Did you note the color of the hairs that you
16	retr1eved?	
17	A	No, sir, I did not.
18	Q	Moving on to the next vial as listed in your
19	report, what di	d you retrieve and from where?
20	A	This again would have been a vial containing
21	hairs and fiber	s and this would have been removed from the
22	black scarf on	the left hand of Denise Lizzi.
23	Q	Did you use your naked eye to look for these
24	hairs and fiber	s or did you use some instrument?
		002060

1	A I used my naked eye, plus a light source.
2	Q Plus a what?
3	A Light source.
4	Q Whot kind of light source?
5	A In this particular instance, it's a light
6	source that we call an alt an alternate light source.
7	It contains a high intensity white light. There are also
8	filters that will give other light bands within the light
9	spectrum.
10	Q How do you use that instrument? Do you
11	literally hold it in your hand over the location you are
12	viewing?
13	A That is correct, sir.
14	Q Please describe the next vial.
15	What did you retrieve and where
16	from?
17	A Again, it would have been one vial
18	containing hairs and fibers; and this was from the front of
19	the sweat shirt of Denise Lizzi.
20	Q Were you able to determine, with your naked
21	eye, the difference between a hair and a fiber?
22	A There was some instances, yes, sir; in other
23	instances, if it was maybe a short fiber or samething or
2 ti	hair um mayhe not

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

ਤ ਹ	
MR: PPO- 87858-RCD87865	1
	2
92965	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21

Q	Going back	to item two,	package two, the
vial with hairs	and fibers	retrieved fro	m the back of the
sweat shirt of I	Denise Lizzi	., would it be	fair to say that
when you mentior	ned fibers y	ou retrieved	from this sweat
shirt, we're tal	lking about	fibers other	than the sweat shirt
fibers?			

Yes, that's -- that would be the normal A indication.

There would be no evidentiary value for you Q to retrieve a fiber from the sweat shirt itself; isn't that accurate?

In some --

We're talking about foreign objects on this Q piece of clothing, are we not?

Ah, I would say yes, with the exception that if there are loose fibers from the article of clothing itself that are just resting on that clothing --

You might retrieve that as well?

That -- that's a -- a possibility does exist there, yes.

Did the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department have a hair and fiber comparison expert on their staff in February of '92?

To the best of my knowledge, yes.

002062

22

23

1	Q Please move on to the next vial.
2	What did you retrieve and from
3	where?
4	A Referring to number five, sir?
5	Q Please.
6	A Okay. That would be one vial containing
7	hair strands; and this one would have been from the black
8	sweat shirt under the sweat shirt of Denise Lizzi.
9	Q You said the black sweat shirt?
10	A Excuse me. Black shirt.
11	Q Under the sweat shirt of Denise Lizzi; is
12	that right?
13	A That is correct.
14	Q And move on to the next vial, please.
15	A One vial containing hair strands. That
16	would have been item number six. This would have been from
17	the right sock of Denise Lizzi.
18	Q And the last one, please, Mr. Connell.
19	A Again, item number seven, one vial
20	containing hair strands. This would have been removed from
21	the left sock of Denise Lizzi.
22	Q State's Exhibit Number 107 is your work
23	product, is it not?
24	A Yes, sir.
	002063

1	Q How do you make this report up? Do you
2	literally sit at a typewriter or a computer and produce
3	this; do you dictate it to a staff person who produces this?
4	A No. I type this, sir, myself.
5	Q When you conduct your investigation though
6	at the morgue, are you making notes along the way?
7	A I would have made the notes on exactly the
8	same type of form and ${f I}$ then just transferred those notes to
9	this to this form itself.
10	Q You didn't feel the need, however, to note
11	how many hairs and how many hair strands you retrieved from
12	the particular locations?
13	A No, sir, I did not note that.
14	Q Why are fibers retrieved as part of a crime
15	scene investigation?
16	A Because there are instances that fibers may
17	be able to be matched to a $-\!\!-\!\!$ a standard or a sample of
18	material that would shed that particular type of fiber.
19	Q Now, you obtained fingernall scrapings from
20	both the fingers of Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson, did you
21	not?
22	A That is correct.
23	Q How many autopsies have you attended in your
24	career, Mr. Connell?

	•
1	And, of course, I'm not looking
2	for the exact number.
3	A I would say in excess of a hundred.
4	Q Okay. Is it more common than not for you to
5	obtain fingernail scrapings?
6	A I would say fingernail scrapings would
7	probably be maybe 20 to 25 percent of the cases.
8	Q And what percentage of those cases, in your
9	experience, do you obtain fingernail scrapings from a woman
10	victim versus a male?
11	A That I couldn't indicate to you, sir, as far
12	as percentage.
13	Q Do you have an opinion as to whether it's
14	more common for something of value to be found under a
15	woman's fingernails versus a man's?
16	A In this instance not in this instance;
17	but I would say no, because I have done both.
18	Q Did somebody direct you to obtain the
19	fingernail scrapings or was that your decision?
20	A I think it was a combined decision between
21	me and it was either Detective Scholl or Detective
22	Chandler.
23	Q How did you obtain the scrapings?
24	A We have Q tips that have a wooden handle.
	คดวกผ

a short

They're,	generall	y, maybe	four	to	five	inche	es 1	ong.
(Indicat	ing)							
			W	hat	I wo	ıld do	15	cut
1_		. <b>-</b>			<b>T</b> L 4			

section of that Q-Tip handle off. This will be done on an angle.

Then I would take that end of

the Q tip and scrape under the fingernail. As I removed that, that would immediately go into a vial; the small Q tip handle as well.

Q Do you scrape under each of the nails of each of the hands of each of the girls?

A Individually, yes.

Q One finger scrapings go in one vial; another finger scrapings go in another vial?

A That is correct, sir.

Q And that is why on Exhibit Number 107 -- and this is a question -- you've noted package number four, items nine through 18.

Does that mean that each of those items are separate vials for the separate fingers?

A Yes, sir.

Q Same question for the fingernail scrapings of Lauri, items 31 through 40 of package 14 pertain to separate finger scrapings.

1	A That is correct, sir.
2	Q Did you note anywhere in your findings the
3	color of the substance that was removed from the fingernails
4	of either of these girls?
5	A No, sir, because I did not microscopically
6	examine each sample.
7	Q You also obtained a number of earrings from
8	Lauri Jacobson, did you not?
9	A Okay, May I refer to the report, sir?
10	Q Please. And I'll direct you to page three,
11	at the bottom.
12	A Thank you.
13	Yes, I did, şir.
14	Q Would you please tell the jury what you
15	retrieved; and just go down package number 21, 50, 51 and
16	52.
17	A Okay.
18	Q Please describe what you retrieved and
19	where.
20	A Package 21, as you indicated, item 49 is a
21	large circular gold earring, pierced style. That would have
22	been from the right ear of Lauri Jacobson.
23	Q Now, before you move on, Mr. Connell, are
24	you telling us that this earring was intact on her ear in
	002067

e
[t
en
t
n1s
on.
ce
lfy
02068

22

23

24

1	A That is correct, sir.
2	Q As a crime scene analyst and investigator,
3	would it be fair to say that you can only do so much based
4	upon the condition of something that is presented to you at
5	the time, and what effect or what has happened to that
6	object prior to your examination, you have no control over?
7	A As to the prior condition or effects of
8	handling or whatever, no, no, I do not.
9	MR. WOLFSON: Okay.
10	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time,)
11	CHIO CAMOTY
12	MR. WOLFSON: That concludes my examination.
13	Thank you, sir.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Redirect.
16	MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. HARMON:
20	Q Analyst Connell, regarding Just to use an
21	example of this, you've mentioned recovering hair and fiber

e an ber from various places on the clothing and body of Denise Lizzi.

> Yes, sir. A

1	Q Wh	en you recover some items, is it accurate
2	to say you have no	idea when or under what circumstances the
3	hair or fiber was	deposited at the location where you found
4	1t?	
5	A Th	at is correct, sir.
6	Q Wh	en you recover it, do you know whether it
7	relates in any way	to the cause and manner of the death of a
8	particular victim?	
9	A No	, sir, I do not.
10	Q Is	it correct to say, it's there, so you
11	recover it?	
12	A Co	rrect.
13	Q We	re you involved at any time in comparing
14	hair or fiber or f	ingernail scrapings or any other type of
15	evidence impounded	by you at the time of the autopsies of
16	Denise Lizzi and L	auri Jacobson with any other evidence?
17	A No	. I was not.
18	Q Ar	e you a criminologist?
19	A No	, I am not.
20	Q Do	you have the expertise to perform hair
21	examinations and c	omparisons?
22	A I	do not.
23	Q co	unsel has asked you if you counted the
24	hairs you recovere	d, if you counted the fibers, if you noted
		002079
	L	

1	the length of the hairs or the color of the hairs.
2	Is it correct to say that you
3	did not?
4	A That is correct.
5	Q Did you feel it was pertinent to you to go
6	beyond to your examination to go beyond the actual
7	recovery of the physical evidence?
8	A No.
9	Q Once you placed the hair and fiber in to
10	various plastic vials, is the color and do the number and do
11	the length of the items of evidence speak for themselves,
12	from inside the container in to which you have placed it?
13	A That is correct.
14	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, that concludes
15	redirect.
16	MR. WOLFSON: Your indulgence for a moment.
17	THE COURT: Recross?
18	MR. WOLFSON: May I approach?
19	THE COURT: You may.
20	
21	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. WOLFSON:
23	Q Mr. Connell, showing you what's been marked
24	and admitted as State's Exhibit Number 99.
	002071

1	Will you take a moment to look				
2	at that photograph.				
3	A (Complies.) Yes, sir.				
4	Q I believe that it has previously been				
5	represented that this is a picture of the defendant Michael				
6	Rippo, who is seated over there in the sweater.				
7	My question, sir, is: Would				
8	you describe him as having long hair in that picture?				
9	A Yes, sir.				
10	Q Thank you very much.				
11	Would it be fair to say that				
12	perhaps some of these strands of hair might be as long as				
13	two, four, six or eight inches?				
14	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, this is calling for				
15	speculation.				
16	Counselor is asking the witness				
17	to guess from the photograph how long the hair is.				
18	MR. WOLFSON: It's not a guess. He can look				
19	at the photograph. He can say what he thinks he sees from				
20	his naked eye. That's not a guess.				
21	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, furthermore, it is				
22	not relevant.				
23	THE COURT: Objection sustained.				
24	MR. WOLFSON: I have no further questions.				
	002072				

Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, February 27, 1996, 2:00 p.m. 1 2 3 4 5 THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the 6 presence of the jury? 7 MR. SEATON: Yes, Your Honor, 8 MR. WOLFSON: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: Call your next witness. MR. HARMON: Call Dr. Green. 10 THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise 11 12 your right hand. 13 14 Whereupon. 15 GILES SHELDON GREEN having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and 16 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 17 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined 18 19 and testified as follows: 20 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 21 Would you state your full name 22 and spell it for the record. 23 THE WITNESS: Giles Sheldon Green; 24

RENEE STIVAGGTO, CCR 122 391-0379

G-r-e-e-n.

2

1

3

4

BY MR. SEATON:

5

What is your profession or accupation? Q

DIRECT EXAMINATION

6

Α I am a physician; my specialty is forensic pothology.

7

8

Can you tell us what forensic pathology is?

Q

9

Forensic pathology, very briefly, is the A

10

medical part of the investigation of sudden, unexpected or

11

violent death.

12

The job of the forensic

13

pathologist is to examine the deceased human body to determine what caused the death, and if it is a violent

14 15

death, what is the manner of death: Is this on accident; is

16

it a suicide or what is it?

17

18

And to that end, we use a lot of technical material, techniques and, in many cases, a full

And to that end, you do autopsies?

19

autopsy.

matters.

20 21

Α Many.

Q

22

Doctor, could you tell us briefly your

23

qualifications that allow you to speak as an expert in these

24

A Okay. I received my degree of Doctor of Medicine from the University of Oregon School of Medicine in Portland in 1959.

I served one year of internship at St. Mary's Hospital in San Francisco; and then one year of post-graduate training in the field of obstetrics and gynecology. That also was at St. Mary's.

At the end of that time, I switched my field of interest to pathology and spent the next two years in post-graduate training in pathology at St. Joseph's Haspital, also in San Francisco, which brings us up to mid 1963.

I moved to Houston, Texas and spent the next three years in post-graduate training in pathology at the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute. That's one of the largest cancer research centers in the United States.

Following the training, I was appointed to the staff of Anderson Hospital as assistant pathologist and assistant professor of pathology in the University of Texas system.

I remained on the staff there for approximately two years, until the fall of 1968, when I had the opportunity to join the Harris County Medical

Examiners Department, which also is in Houston. I served with that department for approximately seven years, first as assistant medical examiner, later as deputy chief medical examiner.

And finally, in 1975, I moved here to Las Vegas to join the Coroner/Medical Examiners

Department of Clark County.

I am certified by the American Board of Pathology in the fields of anatomic pathology, clinical pathology and forensic pathology.

I'm licensed to practice medicine here in Nevada. I still have an active license in California. I no longer pay for one over in Texas.

And I'm a member of most of the major professional organizations in North America which deal with the problems of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences generally.

Q And over the years how many autopsies would you estimate that you have performed?

A I think we're pushing pretty close to 11,000 by this time. I don't think about it. I think better than that.

Q During that period of time, have you testified in various courts of law?

2

24

1	A Yes, many times,
2	Q Could you tell us some of them.
3	A Well, in Texas, we have, of course, the
4	district courts, same level of activity as we have here
5	today.
6	I've testified in the district
7	courts or we used to call them Arizona Superior Court,
8	in Utah, Arizona, and Nevada, of course. I was thinking
9	there was another state there, but there is not.
10	I have also testified in the
11	federal courts of Nevada, Arizona and Texas.
12	Q And have you qualified as an expert in the
13	field of forensic pathology
14	A Yes.
15	Q in all of those situations?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And have you testified, as a matter of fact,
18	in this very courtroom before Judge Bongiovanni on other
19	occasions?
20	A I have, indeed.
21	Q And qualified as an expert on those
22	occasions?
23	A Yes.

002078

MR. SEATON: Judge, I would offer Dr. Green

	1	
1	as an expert in	the field of forensic pathology at this
2	time.	·
3		MR. WOLFSON: He's qualified.
4		THE COURT: Got my vote.
5		MR. SEATON: I could ask him some more
6	questions, if y	ou'd like.
7		THE COURT: Not necessary.
8	BY MR. SEATON:	
9	Q	On February the 21st, 1992, did you have an
10	occasion, Docto	r, to perform two autopsies on the
11	individuals ide	ntified to you as Denise Lizzi and Lauri
12	Jacobson?	
13	A	Yes, I d1d.
14	Q	And did those were both of those
15	conducted on the	e same day?
16	. А	Yes, they were.
17	Q	And here in Clark County, Nevada?
18	· A	Correct.
19	Q	Who was present at both of those autopsies?
20	Ą	Well, aside from my own staff people, we had
21	Officer Dan Con	nell from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
22	Department crim	e 1ab
23	Q	That the man who was testifying in here
24	earlier today?	
		002079

1	А	I heard that he was, yes. I didn't see him,
2		MR. DUNLEAVY: I object. He wasn't here.
3	There is no way	he would know Dan Connell if he was here,
4	as a matter of	fact. He just may not know.
5	BY MR. SEATON:	
6	Q	And who else was present?
7	А	Detective Steve Scholl from homicide detail.
8	Q	When you do autopsies, do you do external
9	and internal ex	aminations of the people having autopsies
10	performed?	*
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And did you do that with Denise Lizzi?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	We are now going to focus our attention on
15	the autopsy of	Denise Lizzi, if we might.
16		Were certain photographs taken
17	of her while	prior to and during the autopsy?
18	А	Yes. Mr. Connell, I believe, took quite a
19	large number of	photographs.
20	Q	All right.
21	A	I don't know how many.
22	Q	When the when the body of Denise Lizzi
23	came before you	, was it clothed or not?
24	А	Yes, she was at least partially clothed.
		002089

24

pounds.

1	Q Let me show you well, first of all,
2	State's Exhibit 24.
3	Do you recognize that as a
4	photograph of the person whom you have indicated was Denise
5	Lizzi?
6	A Yes, it certainly looks like her.
7	Q All right. And just briefly for the
8	Jury's edification, very briefly describe the clothing.
9	A Okay. We have a white looks like a
10	pullover type shirt. From this photograph, I can't tell you
11	the sleeve length. But there is a multi colored emblem on
12	the back of this white shirt. It has some writing on it,
13	which, from the particular point of view of the camera, you
14	really can't read here.
15	Other than that, about all we
16	can see is some light colored panties with a lace trim.
17	Q She had no pants on when she was brought to
18	you?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Can you tell us her approximate height and
21	weight?
22	A We measured her height as five feet six and

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

a half inches, quite slender lady. She only weighed 118

. 002081

1	Q When you examine someone from an external				
2	examination point of view, do you look at all parts of the				
3	exterior of their body?				
4	A Yes.				
5	Q And when you did that with Denise Lizzi,				
6	were there things that you noticed about her that were in				
7	addition to the clothing that she was wearing?				
8	A Yes. There were several rather unusual				
9	things.				
10	Q Starting with the head, would you describe				
1.1	what you observed.				
12	A At the time, she had a gag, which had been				
13	placed in her mouth; that was a sock which was pushed into				
14	the mouth cavity and then secured by the gag, which				
15	encircled her head. That was a black, kind of a loosely				
16	woven thing. It looks like it might have been part of a				
17	black brassiere.				
18	She had some markings on her				
19	neck				
20	Q Before we go to the markings, let's just				
21	talk about the items that were present on her body.				
22	And prior to going any further,				
23	I'm going to show you State's Proposed Exhibit 26.				
24	Are you able to identify what - 002082				

2	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
	16

15	shown	in	that	phot	ogr	aph1
----	-------	----	------	------	-----	------

Yes. This is a left side profile view of A her head, the gag still in place. It looks like it's made out of some kind of real dark colored, almost black, woven -- loosely woven material.

Would you show that to the Jury while you are explaining it so they know the exhibit we're talking about.

Okay. We got a left profile one of the head, and the gag is in the mouth, of course, and this black thing is tied around the head. (Indicating).

Can you all see in the back raw back there? Okay.

(Affirmative response.)

## 5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

## BY MR. SEATON:

And you indicated, Doctor, I believe, that Q the black sock in her mouth was held in place by what you thought was a portion of a black bra?

It looked like it might have been at least.

And did you remove that item and give it to 0 Dan Connell to impound into evidence?

I don't recall who removed it. I may very well have done it myself.

1	Q I'm showing you the envelope marked as
2	State's Exhibit 89, and the item that I've just taken from
3	1t, 89-A.
4	Would you look at that, please,
5	and tell us if you recognize that item 89-A?
6	A Yes. This is what was around her mouth,
7	tied obviously with a knot. And I probably took this off
8	myself, because that's the way I typically secure the cut
9	ends of something that has to be cut.
10	We use a string the length
11	doesn't really matter but we at least maintain the
12	continuity of what was there to begin With.
13	Q So the string that you are holding up, that
14	seems to be attached to either end of the black item, is a
15	string which you put there yourself?
16	A Yes, I think I think I probably did. It
17	looks like my work.
18	Q And tell us the purpose of that.
19	A Just to keep the ends identified.
20	We've got a variety of other
21	things here. We've got a loop over here. We've got a free
22	end down here. So we use the string to identify the ends
23	which actually go around the person, whether it's the mouth,
24	the neck, whatever it is,