	•	
1	Á	Yeah.
2	Q	Okay. You have spaken to a lot of inmates
3	over the years?	
4	A [.]	Yes, I have.
5	Q	Is the Jail society different from the
6	outside society	?
7	A	Completely different.
8	Q	Is there any sort of trust among people who
9	are from simila	r backgraunds?
10	. А	Yeah.
1.1		THE COURT: You have to say yes or no.
12		THE WITNESS: Yes; yes.
13	,	MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm going to object to
14	similar backgro	und as being vague and ambiguous.
1:5		MR. SEATON: Let me clarify that.
16		THE COURT: Sustained okay.
17	BY MR. SEATON:	
18	Q	People who are in Jail tagether, I take it,
19	will more readi	ly talk to one another?
.20	· A*	Yes, they do, certain individuals.
21	Q:	Because they are both
22		MR. WOLFSON: Judge, counsel is leading the
23	witness. That'	s my objection.
24		THE COURT: Sustained.
*	;	

4.	_
- 67	. 17.0
- 14	
-	×

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. SEATON:

And, in that context, where they have this trust built up, will they talk to one another about their cases?

A It depends on who they're talking to. If it's someone you know and trust, yeah, you will; you got no problem talking to that person.

Q Did you have a reputation in Jail?

A Yes, I did,

MR. WOLFSON: Objection; that calls for speculation. As to what he thinks other people thought of him, that's what reputation is.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q: Did you have an apportunity to talk to many inmates about their particular cases?

A. Yeah, You always ask the guy what's he in for, what did you do; a lot of times that comes up.

Q And then sometimes, does the conversation Just stop there?

A Yeah, sometimes.

Q. And then sometimes, does it so further?

A. It goes -- sometimes the guy sits down and

		· ·
1	tells you every	thing he did.
2	Q	And that's what happened with Mr. Rippo?
3	A	Yes, sir, it is.
4	Q.	What is a snitch Jacket?
5	A	Snitch Jacket?
· 6	Q'	Yes, :
7.	A.	It's when you tell on someone; you go
8	against your ki	nd, and you go and you tell on someone else,
9	tell the author	ities, such as yourself, such as the
10	administration,	and you tell them information that you are
11	not supposed to	be telling them.
12	Q _:	Not supposed to be telling them, according
13	to the	:
14	_ A	According to the code, yeah.
15		The code?
16	A	Yeah.
17	Q	And the code is don't tell on each other?
18	A	Exactly.
19	Q.	Do you now have a snitch jacket?
20	A	Oh, yeah, definitely.
21	Q	Is that because of your participation in
22	this case?	
23	A.	Yeah.
24		THE COURT: Yes?
	;	

.1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	BY MR. SEATON:
3	Q What does that mean when you go back to
4	prison with a smitch Jacket?
5	A It means I'll probably be killed.
6	Q You will be killed or there could be the
7	opportunity for it?
8	A Well, they're going to try it; they'll try.
9	Q Are you getting benefits from your
10	testifying here or is it hurting you?
11	A It's hurting me.
12	MR. NOLFSON: Objection; it's asked and
13	answered.
14	MR. SEATON: Well, that question has never
15	been asked and answered.
16	THE COURT: Overruled.
17	THE WITNESS: It's hurting me. This is
18	this is hurting me.
19	BY MR. SEATON:
20	Q Mr. Dunleavy took you to page ten of your
21	statement that you made to Detective Ray Chandler on August
22	10th, 1993,
23	Do you remember giving that
24	statement?

		•
1	A	Yeah, pretty much.
2	Q	And you've had an opportunity to read this
. 3	particular docu	ment.
4	A	Yes, sir, I have.
5	Q	Did I give it to you some time ago to read?
6	A:	Yeah.
7	Q.	Let me show it to you.
8		He mentioned something about
9	Mr. Rippa sayin	g to you that he had killed somebody and he
10	would kill once	ogain.
11	A	Yeah.
12		MR. WOLFSON: Judge, I think before he shows
13	the witness som	ething in the in the transcript, I think a
14	question has to	begin. I don't think you can just show the
15	witness a docum	ent.
16		MR. SEATON: Can you remember word-for-word
17 ·	the words in th	at statement?
18		MR. WOLFSON: I think he thinks you're
19	asking me.	; :
20		THE WITNESS: No, I can't. No, I can't.
21	BY MR. SEATON:	
22	Q	Would it help refresh your recollection if I
23	showed it to yo	u?
24	A	Yes, sir, it would.

.	1	Q	Let
	2		

3

4

5

. 6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Let's do that.

Let me have you read from the second question — or the last question on the bottom of the page, of page 10, over to, including the first line on page eleven.

Just read that to yourself, if

7 you would.

A (Complies.) Okay.

Q Was the question asked of you:

What do you mean bragging, that

he had to do same shit?

And was your answer:

Well, that he killed samebody,

you know, like, uh, 'cause he had some problems with people -- with some people here. He soid I already killed once, I'll kill again, you know,

stupid -- stupid.

A Yeah.

Q Is that what you told the officers?

A Yeah.

Q Is that what Mr. Rippo told you?

A Yes, he did.

Q: Is that pretty close to the way he said it?

THE COURT: The answer 1s?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2	MR. SEATON: I don't have anything more.
3	MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence.
4	THE COURT: Recross?
5	
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
8	. Q Now you indicated that you thought you were
9	going to be killed because you're a snitch in this case; is
10	that right?
11	A No, that's not true.
12	Q Dkay. What did you say?
13	A I soid I could be.
14	Q. Could be,
15	Didn't you also testify in the
16	other case?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q Back in 1993, was it?
19	A Yes, it Mgs.
20	Q Appear to be alive today.
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q You indicated that Michael told you that
23	this occurred in a house?
24	A No, I didn't say that.
•	

1	Q	You didn't say that,
2	Ā	If he didn't specify it was a house,
3	apartment, what	ever; he didn't say.
4	Q	You don't remember using the term house when
5	you talked to t	he detectives?
6	Д	I could have, but I didn't he didn't
7	specific sp	ecifically say a house, apartment, duplex; he
8	didn't go in to	any detail.
9	Q	The one that owned the house?
10	A	The one that owned the place.
11	q	So to you, house and place is just generic
12	terms?	
13	A	Basically the same thing to me, yeah.
14	Q	Now, the D.A. talked to you some about the
15	jail society,	
16		It's important to know who you
17	con trust; is t	hat right?
18	A.	Yes, sir, it is.
19	Q:	And you talk about how it's very common to
20	get together and	d talk with people: Gee, what are you in
21	here for and so	on and so forth?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	How often is it that you strike up that
24	conversation, t	hen call the police?

1	people that are	in the prison society express their beliefs
2	and so forth wi	th tattoos
3	A	Sometimes, yeah.
4	Q	their attitudes?
5		And so you can read them like a
6	book, can't you'	7
7	Á	Pretty much, yagh.
8	Q	Do you have any prison tattoos?
9	A	Quite a few.
.10	Q·	Would you show them to the jury?
11	A	Yeah, I could show it to them.
12		THE COURT: Go ahead.
13		THE NITNESS: I got to take my shirt off.
14	man.	
15		THE COURT: That's all right.
16	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	:
17	Q	Is it very common people in prison have
18	tattoos?	
19	A	Yeah, most everybody has.
√20	q	Almost everybody?
21	A	Yeah.
22	A ž	(Complies,)
23	Q.	Now, would you describe them, starting with
24 .	your right arm,	forearm.

1	A	This is Just about prison, dead times that
2	I've done.	
3	Q	There is like, on the back of your right
4	forearm, there	is a face?
5	A	That's a demon, like a graveyard, seed of
6	dead years; thi	s is just about prison.
7	Q .	Skulls?
8	A	Yeah. That's all just dead time, just
9	represents bein	g in prison.
10	Q	What about the left arm?
11	A	This is Just art basically.
12	Q	There is skulls on that arm as well?
13	A	Yes, it is.
14	Q.	Are the skulls used to identify any
15	particular o rou	p?
16	A	No, they: ain't.
17	Q	What about the swastika on the wings on the
18	chest?	
19	A *	It's a White Supremacy tattoo.
20	Q.	And is there a name or something above it?
21	A	That's my kids' names.
22	Q.	How old are your kids?
23	A	I got several. I got a 19 year old son, a
24	17 year old son	and I got five stepchildren.

1	Q Five stepchildren, okay.
	:
2	Now you what's the
3	importance of the White Supremacy tattoo?
4	A What do you mean importance? It's no
5	importance to it.
6	Q None at all?
7	A Not really. It just means I represent my
8	white my white race; that I believe in White Supremacy.
9	Q Is that a pretty popular belief where you're
10	staying?
11	MR. SEATON: Now, I've let this go on a
12	little bit. That calls for speculation, and it doesn't
13	really have any relevance to these proceedings.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, the District
16	Attorney specifically asked him about the code in the prison
17	and the society in the prison. I think this is exactly
18	that.
19	THE COURT: 1'11 allow 1t.
20	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
21	Q Is there a code in the prison about wearing
22	a tattoo like this? Does it mean that you stand for certain
23	principles?
24	A Yes, it does.

1	Q 1	loes one of those principles include
2	testifying again:	st your dellow inmates?
3	A 1	That's that's a no no.
4		NR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions,
5		•
· 6		URTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. SEATON:	
8	a n	Ir. Hill, why are you testifying here today
9	against Michael F	Rippg? .
10	A ⁻ Y	Mell, I pot a couple reasons, personal
11	reasons.	
12	Q 7	Cell us what they are.
13	A. 1	Well, one, I feel it's the right thing to
14	do. Okgy?	· ·
15	Q +	Thy do you feel it's the right thing to do?
:16	A. 1	don't know. It just seems like the right
17	thing for me to d	do.
18		And, second, it's a deterrent
19	for me to ever go	back to prison, because it is the wrong
20	thing to do. If	you are going to testify against someone,
21	you don't want to	be in prison around the people that you
22	did it to.	
23	q 1	Is that because of the snitch Jacket?
24	A 1	res, sir, it is.

,		
	1	Q How did you feel how do you feel about
;	2	the acts that he told you he committed against two young
!	3	.Homen?
i	4	A I feel they were very wrong; wrong to go
	5	to take anyone's life, you know.
i	6	MR. SEATON: Nothing further, Judge.
	7	THE COURT: Anything else?
•	. 8	
,	9	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
	10	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
; !	11	Q This would be the same deterrent that you
•	12	got when you talked to the detectives so that you wouldn't
	13	come back, right, when you made your parole board?
ţ	14	A I don't understand what you are saying.
. !	15	Q: Well, earlier, you said that the reason you
	16	did this was because you wanted to make sure you didn't go
	17	back
;	18	A It was an incentive, yes,
Augustus Jan .	19	Q And then you got out in 1994?
;	20	A Yes, I did.
	21	Q But you're back, right?
	22	A People make mistakes.
	23	MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions.
. *	24	MR. SEATON: Nothing further.

23

24

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

first name: is J-a-m-e-s; my last name is I-s-o-n.

2

:	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	2	BY MR. SEATON:
; , ;	3	Q Mr. Ison, do you know a pentleman by the
:	4	name of Michael Rippo?
į	5	A Yes, sir, I do.
i, 	. 6	Q Is he present in court?
. '	7	A Yes, sir, he is.
	8	Q Paint to him and tell us what he's wearing
;	9	and where he's sitting, please.
.	10	A White sweater, blue collar. (Indicating)
	11	Q Between the two gentlemen to my left?
	12	A Yes, sir.
	13	MR. SEATON: May the record reflect
	14	identification of the defendant, Judge?
·	15	THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
	16	BY MR. SEATON:
	1.7	Q How long have you known him?
	18	A Approximately nine years.
!	19	Q In April of 1992, did you find yourself in
. :	20	Jail?
. i . i .	21	A Yes, sir, I did.
	22	Q: And while you were there, did you see Mr.
:	23	Rippo?
	24	A Yes, sir, I did.
:		

	İ	
1	Q	Was he in Jail along with you?
. 2	Á	Yes, sir.
, 3	ą	Did you have a particular job in the Jail?
4	Ą	Yes, sir. I was an orderly.
5	a	What does that mean?
6	A	Due to my conduct, they didn't lock me down
· 7	when they lock	ed the other inmates down; and I was allowed
8	out to clean ar	nd take care and serve meals and dinners,
9	Q	When you saw Mr. Rippo in Jai in April
10	of 1992, in Ja	1, did you recognize him as the person you
11	had known befor	·e?
12	A	Yes, sir,
13	Q.	Did you begin talking with him?
14	A.	Yes, sir, I did.
15	Q	When you knew him before, did you have a
16	good relations	nip with him?
1,7	А	It was real brief, you know; we just
18	basically talk	ed every now and then, not nathing much.
19	Q:	No problems between you?
20	. А	Oh, no, sir; never has been.
21	Q	And when you sow him again in April of 1992,
22	ald that relat:	onship, whatever it was the first time,
23	continue on?	
· 24	· A	Yes, sir: We just we tolked and

1	 - :everything.	
2	q	No problems between you?
3	Ą	Oh, no, sir.
4	Q	Did you talk for several days?
5	, A	More than several.
. 6	Q	More than several? How long did you have
7 ·	conversations	with him?;
8	A	A couple, weeks at least.
. 9	Q	A couple weeks?
10	A:	Yes, sir.
11	Q.	While you spoke to him, did you come to find
12	out from him wi	ny he was in Jail?
15	A	Yes, sir, I did.
14	Q·	And what charges were against him?
15	A	He had a murder charge double murder
16	charge,	•
17	Q	The charges that we're here on today in
18	court?	
19	A:	Yes, sir;
20	Q	Did he speak to you about those charges?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	And did he speak to you about the facts
23	underlying tho:	te charges?
24	A:	A lot of them, yes, sir.
	,	

Q Now you said you spoke to him for over a period of two weeks.

Did you speak on and off during that period of time about the same subject matter?

A Yes, sir.

Q During that period of time, did he tell you what happened?

A: Yes, sir; he gave me a couple stories on it.

Q He gave you two stories?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were they different stories?

A They were close, but they were different.

Q All right. Nould you tell the jury what the first story was that Mr. Rippo told you while you are in Jail with him?

A Yes. We -- we were sitting at the table talking, and Mike was sitting there doing some figuring, paperwork and stuff like that; and we started talking about his case a little bit; and he was with real upset at his girlfriend.

He had — him and his
girlfriend had gone down to get some drugs, to take some
drugs from these two girls; and when they went in the
apartment — they had gotten into the apartment with the

:

3

1

Ž

3

4

5.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

. 21

22

23

girls -- this is the first story he told me -- that they were back in the bathroom and they were shooting up some dope; that the phone rang and the one girl went out and his girlfriend, Michael's girlfriend, went with her; and that in the -- there became a struggle in the bathroom.

Now who was the struggle between?

Michael Rippo and -- I think the girl's name nas Lauri.

Did he tell you what was happening to -with the other girl?

No, it was Denise. Excuse me.

Denise?

Denise.

Q Denise was in the bathroom with -- with Michael Rippo?

With Michael Rippo.

And what: were his girlfriend and the other Q: girl doing?

They want to answer the telephone. His girlfriend went behind her. And Mike said that he had ended up in a struggle with the girl in the bathroom, because he was trying to get her quiet and was shoving something in her mouth and choked her out.

And then his girlfriend

24

strangled the girl in the living room and killed her, because answering the phone and somebody came to the door and things got all out of hand.

Q Did he say what happened to the girl in the bathroom?

A He shoved something in her mouth to make her be quiet and he had choked her out, strangled her basically, with a cord; and then he had just shoved her in a closet. I don't think he meant she was dead.

And when he come back, the other girl was dead, in the living room with his girlfriend. And they put her in the closet, and they -- when they opened the closet up, the other girl was dead. And he had to make sure the other girl was dead as well by choking her again.

As a result of him telling you the first story, did you come to the conclusion that he had killed one girl and the girlfriend had killed the other one?

A Yeah.

Did he go on talking to you at a later time and indicate a different story?

A' Yes, sir,

Q: Did he say anything about them being in the bathroom in that one?

A Yes, sir.

1	was, like, on t	the ground, and
2	Q	Now, when you say she, to whom are you
3	referring?	
4	A	Lauri.
5	Q	Lauri, the one that went out to answer the
,6	telephone and s	ot hit on the head?
7	A	Right.
8	Q	All right,
9	A	And somebody come to the door. Well, they
1.0	were worried at	out her making noise. And the other girl was
11	already in the	closet; he had done put the gas in the girl's
12	mouth and had s	ot her tied up and put her in the closet.
13	Q	Now, let's stop and talk about that for just
14	a second.	
15		When Louri went out into the
16	other room to d	inswer the telephone, the girlfriend was with
17	her?	
18	A	Yes, Michael's girlfriend was.
19	Q	Where did that leave Michael and Denise?
20	A	They were still in the bathroom.
21	Q	And what did he tell you that he and Denise
22	did at that tim	ne?
23	A	He had gotten into a struggle with Denise
24	because he want	ted the drugs; and this was all this was

24

time?

1	supposed to take place and happen right then and there. He
2	went there with the intentions to getting the dope, and that
3	was Just that was their intention.
4	Q And how did he subdue Denise?
5	A He shoved something in her mouth.
6	Q Did he say what it was?
7	A No. sir.
8 .	Q You had mentioned the word gag before.
9	A Yeah, like a gag well, it was like he
10	said he just shoved something in her mouth and gagged her.
11	And then he choked her out and
12	tied her up and put her in the closet.
13	Now, I honestly, from his
14	story, don't believe that at that particular time he thought
15	he killed her.
16	Q: He told you that he didn't think that she
1.7	A Not at that time, that particular time.
18	Q; After he had gagged her and put her into the
19	closet, where did he go next? Did he tell you?
20	A He went into the living room.
21	Q And who was in the living room?
22	A His girlfriend and Lauri.
23	Q: Did he say what he did with Lauri ot that

	•	
1	A	Somebody came to the door and he said he
2	freaked out and	he tried to gag her and pull her back into
3	the closet area	· •
4		And then
5	a	Did he say whether or not he had tied her
6	up: Lauri, that	15?
7	Ä	Not at that particular moment.
8	Q	All right. But he drug her back to the
9	closet?	:
10	A [.]	Because she was, like, in and out of it from
11	what it sounded	like.
12	Q	And when he drug her back to the closet, is
1,3	that the same c	loset where Denise was found?
14	A :	Yes, sir.
15	Qi	What did he tell you he discovered when he
16	took Laurij back	to the closet?
17	A	He said he freaked 'cause when he opened the
18	door, the other	girl was dead.
19	Q _;	Would that be Denise was dead?
20	A	Yes, sir,
21	Q,	Okay. What did he tell you he did when he
22	discovered that	Denise was dead?
23	A	He wanted to he had to make sure this one
24	nas dead,	

,	. :	
1	q	Which one?
2	A	Lauri.
3	· a	What did he do?
4	А	And then he got a cord and choked her out.
5	I mean When	I say choke, I mean, you know, he strangled
6	her.	
7	Q	He strangled Lauri?
8	А	Uh-huh. And then he used his hand, too,
9	because he want	ed to make sure. Once he got them in the
10	closet and was	tied up, he used his hand again.
11	Q	Did he say he used his hand and a cord?
.12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q:	And did he say whether or not he did that
.14	with both victi	ms.or did:it vary?
15	A i	It started in the bothroom like with
16	Denise was a st	ruggle, with the hand first and then he got a
17	cord from some	place in the bathroom and put it around her
18	neck and pulled	tight.
19	Q	And did he say whether or not they both died
20	from these acts	7
21	A	Yes, sir:
22	Q.	Did he what did he say?
23	, A	He said they were you know, he said he
24	had to firm it,	make sure they were dead.

	;
1	Q Did he say anything about doing anything
2	with the house with the house?
3	A They straight they left. They went
4	outside; the girl and him went outside; and then he went
5	back in to make sure that the house was his fingerprints
6	weren't there, straighten it up, make sure he hadn't missed
7	any dope and make sure they hadn't really overlooked
8	anything.
9	Q What happened to the girlfriend?
10	A She the what happened is she booked.
11	He told her to go home,
12	Q She left?
1.3	A Yes, sir,
14	And then what Mike's biggest
15	fear was another puy named Mike, and
1.6	Q Did he tell you the last name of this other
17	Mike?
18	A No, sir, he never said his last name.
19	Q All right. Did he say if Mike had a
20	relationship with anybody?
21	A He was Lauri's girl boyfriend.
. 22	4: Lauri's boyfriend?
23	A Yes, sir. I'm pretty sure that's the way it
24	HGS.
i	

	· ·
1	Q Let me just stop you for just a moment.
2	All right. You can continue
3	on,
4	A And he was scared of Mike,
5	Q Now this is Mike Rippo, right?
. 6	A Right, the other Mike, the Lauri's
7	boyfriend Mike.
8	Q Mike Rippo was afraid of
. 9	A This other guy named Mike.
10	Q All right.
1.1	A And he was scared his girlfriend would get
12	to Mike first and tell him that he did it, and he went to
1,3	tell him that she did it.
14	Let's go back to the house for Just a
15	minute.
15	You said that he had that
17	Mike Rippo had sent his girlfriend away?
18	A Uh-huh.
19	q: And then did he tell you he returned into
20	the apartment?
21	A Yeah, he went back into the apartment.
22	Q And what did he do there?
23	A Straightened it up basically, cleaned up;
24	make sure there wasn't no fingerprints and make sure that

1	the he could	in't tell he was there.
2	Q	Did he say anything to you about an
3	automobile?	
4	A	Yes, sir,
5	Q	What was that?
6	A	They took the automobils her automobils.
7	Q	Took whose automobile?
8	A·	I think it was Lauri's automobile; the best
9	of my recollect	ion, it was Lauri's.
10	Q	And did he say who drove Lauri's automobile?
11	A	I think he took it with him at first, but
12	now I'm guessin	Q.
13	·	MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm going to object to
14		THE WITNESS: I really don't recall.
15		THE COURT: Sustained,
1.6	,	MR. SEATON; Let me reask the question.
17		THE COURT: Okay.
-18	BY MR. SEATON:	
19	Q,	Do you have any recollection?
.20	A	One of them took the car with them, okay,

and I cannot say that he said it was her or they -- they

22 left in separate cars. I do know that.

Q Between the defendant Mike Rippo and his

24 girlfriend, one of them took --

21

23

1	didn't say wher	e at in the apartment.
2	Q	And what about the credit cards, what did he
3	say about those	7
4	Ä	He said that was one of his biggest
5	mistakes, was -	-
6	Q	How sa?
7	A	'Cause he signed one. I mean, he just he
8	used one of the	credit cards.
9	Q	What did he say to you about that, in terms
10	of it being a m	istake?
11	. А	And he said, well, they got me for one
12	thing; they get	my signature they got my signature off of
13	a credit card.	
14	Q.	Did he characterize his actions?
15	Ai	What do you mean?
16	Q:	Did he say if it was smart or stupid?
17	A	It was stupid. He said that was my
18	stupidest mista	ke.
19	Q	Did he tell you onything about the location
20	of the victims'	bodies?
21	A	Yes, sir. They were in the closet.
. 22	Q	And did he say anything about what he should
23	have done?	
24	A.	He should have moved them is what he said,

1	a	Is that what he said?
2	Ą	He said when he went back he said he
3	should have got	rid of the bodies; at least he would have
. 4	had more time to	p, you know, cover all this up.
5	a	Did he say anything about a fight, other
6	than in the apa	rtment there?
7	A	Between him and his girlfriend.
8	Q	What did he tell you about that?
. 9	A	He had gotten together with Mike and he
10	was	
11	q	Now, when you say he got together with Mike,
12	is that Mike	the boyfriend of one of the victims?
13	A	Yes, sir. And they storted
14	Q :	Let me take you through this a little more
15	slowly, Mr., Ison	1.
16	A.	Okay.
17	q	Did he say where he got together with the
18	boyfriend?	
19	A:	No. sir.
20	Q :	How soon: after the killings?
21	A	No, sir.
22	Q.	Did he say what the purpose was of getting
23	together with th	ne boyfriènd?
24	A .	To let him know that his girlfriend had

1	killed his girlfriend.
2	Q Michael Rippo wanted to tell Mike that his
3	sirlfriend Diana had killed Mike's sirlfriend?
4	A Yes, sir.
S	Q The second Mike, not Michael Rippo.
6	A Right.
7	MR. DUNLEAVY: I'm going to object to the
8	State putting words in his mouth. A minute ago, it was
9	Lauri's boyfriend Mike.
10	THE WITNESS: It is Louri and Mike.
11	MR. SEATON: I don't think we said anything
12	different, did we?
13	MR. DUNLEAVY: I thought you said Denise.
14	MR. SEATON: If I did, I apologize.
15	THE COURT: I think you may have said
16	Denise.
17	BY MR. SEATON:
18	Q Whose boyfriend was it?
19	A It's Lauri's boyfriend Mike is who Mike went
20	to talk to. It's confusing, I know, because you're saying
21	two Mikes, and then you go
22	BY MR. SEATON:
23	Q Let's use
24	A. I can go by Mike, little Mike.

24

A:

1 which is liquri's boyfriend, and to tell him that his 2 sirifriend killed his sirifriend. 3 THE COURT: Use names, sir, not his. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know Mike's 5 pirifriend's name. I think it's Dinny --6 THE COURT: All right, as far as the girls 7 go, but if you are referring to him or his, use Mike Rippa 8 or Mike. Okay? 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. SEATON: Start over and use Mike Rippo 11 and Mike. 12 THE WITNESS: Michael Rippo told me that he 13 went to see Lauri's boyfriend, whose name is Mike. BY MR. SEATON: 14 15 And what was the purpose of Mike Rippo --16 To tell him that Michael Rippo's girlfriend 17 killed Lauri, which is Mike's girlfriend. 18 Is that okay? 19 0. Gotcha. 20 Okay. What happened during that, Mike's 21 girlfriend: -- Michael Rippo's girlfriend was there. They 22 got into it because --23 Q Who got into it?

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Michael Rippo and his girlfriend got into

1	1t.
2	Q When you say got into it, the
3	A Into a fight because she wasn't going to
4	take the blame for this killing.
5	Q And was the boyfriend Mike there at the
6	time?
7	A Yes,
8.	Q Okay. What happened in the fight?
9	A Hell, this is it was kind of funny the
10	way he put this do you want me to put it exactly the way
11	he put it?
12	Q Exactly the way he put it.
13	A He said the damn girl about took me, so I
14	had to stun her.
15	The damn girl about took me so I had to stun
16	her, you said?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q What did he mean by or did he tell you
19	what he meant by stun?
20	A It's a I don't know if you've seen the
21	little devices that you hit people with, they knock you out.
22	Q Stun guns?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q And he used that on her, he told you?
	· ·

The second secon			151
E .			
6	4		
0	1	. A	Yes, sir.
35677	2	A.	And then he just said he literally beat the
h 42	3	hell out of	her, and they she booked, or left.
	4	8	What did he say he did?
	5	Ä	I can't remember.
	· 6	Q	Mr. Ison, do you have felony convictions?
	7	A	Yes, sir.
	. 8	Q	How many?
	9	A	Two.
	.10	Q.	What for?
-	11	A	Robbery.
	12	Q:	Do you know a Don Hill?
	13	- 4	Yes, sir, I do.
	14	Q.	Do you know him personally?
and the state of t	15	A	We're acquaintan we've been acquainted
	16	with one and	ther, you know; we're not friends or nothing
[4 9	17	like that.	
A STATE OF THE STA	18	Q	Do you know a David Levine?
Action and	19	A .	Yes, sir.
# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	20	Q	And the same way?
1	21	A	Yes, sir
	22	0	Have you spoken to either one of them about
The second secon	23	this case?	
	24	A	No, sir,
· ·	,		
· · · · ·			

	, •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	children.	
2	Q	Are you presently employed?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	And for how long have you been employed?
5.	A	Since I've been out; since January. I
6	worked for Ion	ics, quite: well.
7	;	MR. SEATON: That concludes direct
8	examination, J	udge.
9		THE COURT: Cross-examination.
10		
11		CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. DUNLEAV	Y:
13	Q	Who is Leon Andrews?
14	A	Who, s1r?
15	a	Leon Andrews.
16	A	(No audible response.)
17	Q	You den't know?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	No idea?
20	A	I probably know I probably know him mare
21	by face than I	do by name,
22	٩٤	Now, you had two robbery convictions?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	And were those both here in Nevada?
		,

	λ.	
1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	And you wound up in the federal system?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And how is that?
5	A	'Cause it was a federal crime, bank robbery.
6	a	It was bank robbery?
7	A	No, the first one was a state crime.
8	Q	State crime. And then the federal crime?
. 9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And how many other times have you offered
11	testimony again	st someone, or information?
12	A	Once.
13	Q .	And who was that again?
14	A	I think it was Lean Andrews; the name comes
15	back to me.	
1,6	· QI	Yet you didn't know?
17	A	Well, names, I don't know the names.
18		MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, I can understand that.
19	:	MR. SEATON: I can't understand his
20	gratuitous comm	ent, even though it is true.
21		THE COURT: Okay.
22	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	;
23	Q	Now you gave a statement to the police; is
24	that correct?	
		÷

1	A	Yes, sir,
2	q	What was the purpose of giving this
3	statement?	• :
4	A	Which time, Leon Andrews or Michael Rippo?
5	Q	Well, which one was first?
6	A	I don't remember.
7	Q	So let's go to Leon Andrews.
8	A _.	Uh-huh.
9	, a	What was the motive for giving this
10	statement?	:
11	A.	At that particular time in my life?
12	Q:	Uh-huh,
13	A	Honest truth, I wanted to get my time cut.
14	. 4	It happens a lot in prison, right?
15	A :	Yes, sir.
16	Q	Same thing with the reason you are giving
17	the statement a	painst Mr. Rippo?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	And what happened?
20	A.	Michael Rippo's statement was was he
21	didn't care abo	ut what he had done at all.
22		I have a daughter and when you
23	can Just take t	wo people's live like that, and not care, I
24	don't think you	deserve to be on the street.
		•

1		If I did it, I wouldn't deserve
2	to be on the st	reet.
3	Q	Did you ever think that maybe he was lying?
4	A	Which time?
5	q	About the crime.
6	A	No, sir.
7	Q	Never downed on you?
8	A	No, sir.
9	q	Now, you said there were two different
10	stories,	
11	A	Uh-huh.
12	a	And you gave the first version and then you
13	gave the second	version?
14	A	Yes, sir,
15	Qi	And you put in a lot of details.
1.6		Struggle for drugs, right?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q:	That it started in the bathroom.
19	A _:	Yes, sir.
20	Q	That his girlfriend or that he cheked one
21	and put a gas is	n her mouth and put her in the closet, right?
22	A	He said he shut her up by placing something
23	in her mouth, ye	es, sir.
24	Q	Then he went into the front room and found
•		

1	out his girlfriend had already killed the other one.
2	A That's the first story, yes.
3	Q That's the first story, right?
4	And then you said something
5	about he went back and opened the door and put the other
6	girl in the closet.
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q You remember all of that?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Do you remember giving any of that in your
11	statement to the police?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q It's there, huh?
14	Would you please review your
15	statement.
16	While you are reviewing it,
17	look for both versions, please.
18	A It isn't both versions in both of them,
19	'cause I thought I was going to spend up to ten years with
20	Michael Rippo and the likes of him.
21	Q Now, you don't give them the story when you
22	talked to the police?
23	A: Not the second one; not the second
24	statement, no.
	1

1	Q Review your statement.
2	A I don't think I really missed anything in
3	the first statement.
4	What is 1t?
5	Q Where do you mention it started in the
6	bathroom?
7	A I probably didn't say it the first time.
8	Q Or the second time.
9	Or you didn't give a second
10	statement?
11	A No. sir.
12	Q Nothing in here about it starting in the
13	bathroom; is that correct?
14	A No. Everything else is in there about the
15	closet, cord.
16	THE COURT: Okay, We'll
17	MR. SEATON: Judge, from now on, when the
18	witness gives an answer, could counsel allow him to finish
19	when he starts talking?
20	MR. DUNLEAVY: He wasn't answering the
21	question, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Well, let's one
23	person at a time talk, :
24	

1	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:	
2	Q	And the way it works, I get to ask questions
3	and you get to	answer the question I ask.
4	A	I fully understand that.
5	Q	What kind of case was Leon Andrews?
6	A	It was a murder case.
7	Q	You get the best deal in prison if you have
8	evidence agains	t someone
9	A	I didn't have a deal for that, none
10	whatsoever.	
11	Q	Just
12	A	I've never asked for a deal.
13	q	Didn't just a few minutes ago, you say the
14	reason	
15	A :	The reason was, but I never asked for one,
1.6	and I was never	offered one.
17	Q	Right. Now, you said the first one came
18	about, you are	sitting at a table with paperwork.
19	A.	A newspaper, if you call that paperwork, and
20	a pencil and	
21	Q	And there was a story in the newspaper about
22	this?	:
23	A;	No, sir. I've never read any article about
24	this, none what	so o yer.

1	ġ	Not a one of them?
2	A	No, sir,
3	Q	Wasn't that the topic of conversation on
4	what was it?	5-B, I believe, was the floor you were on,
5 .	·5-B?	
6	Ą	I think it was 5-B, to my best
7	recollection -	- we were on the fifth floor.
8	q	That's over in the Detention Center, right?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And Mr. Rippo was in there and you were in
11	thers	
1.2	A	ՍԻ–իսի .
13		THE COURT: That yes?
14		THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	BY MR. DUNLEAV	Y:
16	· Q :	And there wasn't any discussion about the
17	newspaper arti	cles and the pictures about this case?
18	A	No. sir.
19	Q	Nothing?
20	A	No. str.
21	÷	At that particular time, I
22	don't even thi	nk 1t was making news because 1t had happened.
23	He was the one	talking about his girlfriend.
24	Q	Did I ask you that question?

1	Á	ûh, no, sir.
2	Q	You said they got in an argument over some
3	drugs.	•
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	q	And that someone had ripped Mr. Rippo off?
6	A	No, sir, I never said that,
7	Q	You didn't say that?
8	A	I have not said that since I've been sitting
9.	up here.	
10	Q	You didn't say anything about an argument
11	over drugs?	
12	А	I said they were trying to get some steal
13	some from the g	1rls.
14	Q.	Page four of your statement, line the
15	first paragraph	there, didn't it begin with an argument over
16	drugs?	
17	A _;	Yeah, they wouldn't give them to him. I
18	mean, уоц know,	if that's what you consider to be an
19	argument.	· :
20	. 0	So when you said an argument over drugs,
21	what you meant:	is that they wouldn't give them to him; you
22	just didn't say	that?
, 23	A:	I guess so.
24	Q	Now, did you say that he told you that he

1	strongled both	girls with a cord?
2	A	Yes, sir,
3	. a	In both stories?
4	A	No, sir.
5		In the first story, it was his
6	girlfriend; the	second story, it was him.
7	Q	Now, wait a minute. In the first story, his
. 8	girlfriend stra	ngled one of them with a cord?
9	Ai	Yes, sir.
10	q	And he strunglad one with a cord?
11	A ^c	Yes, sir.
12	Q	And in the second story, he strangled both
13	of them with th	e cord?
14	A.	Yes, sir; and his hand.
15	Q:	No question about that? Both stories are
16	consistent with	that, that the cord was used?
17	A;	Yes, sir
18	Q	Were both stories consistent with putting
19	them in the fir	st the first one in the closet and then
20	getting the sec	and one and having to open door and put the
21	other one in th	e closet?
22	$\mathbf{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}$	Yes, sir,
23	q	No question about that?
24	A	No question.
•		

	ļ	:
1	q	Talked to you about that too, and having to
2	open it and dr	ag the bodies through it?
3	Ä	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Do you remember him saying that one of them
, 5	was not suppos	sed to have been killed?
-6	. A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	That it happened accidentally?
· : 8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q.	Which one?
10	A	The first one.
11	Q	Now, is this the first story
12	A	The bothroom, the girl in the bothroom.
13	Q;	The one that he was in a struggle with
14	A.	In both stories.
15	Q:	and she wasn't supposed to have died?
16	A:	Right.
17	Q	And that's the one who caused the second
18	A'	And that's the one that caused the second
19	one to get	
20	Q	And then the first version, he didn't kill
21	the first one;	and in the second, he killed both of them?
22	· A	Say that again, sir.
23	Q.	In the first version, the first one to die
24	was killed by	his girlfriend?
	1	

1	Q Why did you only give one version?	
2	A 'Cause I was spending ten years in the	!
3	Nevada prison system, because I thought, here I got	the
4	State was supposed to have dropped the charge against	me on
5	the bank nobbery.	
6	Q That was because of your first offer t	G
7	testify?	
8	A No, sir. This there was no offer t	O
. 9	testify whatspever.	
10	When you have a federal -	– can
11	I explain this?	
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: Well, let me ask you a	fen
13	questions	
14	THE COURT: Just answer the questions.	
15	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
16	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:	
17	Q You've given statements against two	
18	different people?	
19	A Yes, sir.	
20	Q Both of them murderers?	
21	A Yes, air.	
22	Q And neither one of these was an exchar	ge for
23	you to try and get any kind of a deal?	
24	A No, sir. I wasn't offered a deal on e	ither

1	one because my testimony wouldn't have been any good.
2	Q So why are you here today?
3	A Because he he killed two girls and he
4	told me about it.
5	Q But your testimony isn't any good.
6	A In what sense?
7.	Q You just said they wouldn't give you a deal
8.	because your testimony was
9	A If I said if I wanted if I said I want
10	a deal. I want my time reduced, then you are buying my
11	testimony, and I didn't want my testimony to be bought.
12	I'm sorry. I still believe
1,3	murderers should be behind prison, even if I'm there.
14	Q Now, when you robbed this bank, did you have
15	a gun?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q What did you have, a pen?
18	A No. sir.
:19	Q What?
20	A I had a toy gun I shoplifted from K-Mart.
21	Q A toy gun, Because you are not for
22	violence?
23 ·	A I din't never killed nobady, if that's what
24	you mean.

1	q	Now you indicated that and correct me as
2	to which story	this was, okay that after his girlfriend
3	left, Mr. Rippo	told you he went back up and cleaned up?
, 4 .	A	Straightened up; got rid of his prints.
5	Q	Did he describe what he did?
6	A	Not really, except he just covered up his
7	tracks by getti	ng rid of his prints.
8	Qį	And how did he say he got rid of his prints?
9	A	He said he wiped down everything he could
10	find.	
11	. Q	Wiped down everything he could find.
12	• •	You remember that?
13	A	I remember him saying he wiped down
14	everything he co	ould find,
15 .	· Q .	Is that in either one of your statements?
16	A	No, sir.
17	Q (So, basically, this statement is just a
18	teeny little sn	ippet of what you knew, but you didn't really
19	want to tell eve	erything?
20	A	That particular moment, I was supposed to
21	have been moved	te a federal system. I wasn't moved. I had
22	a marshal or	deputy show up on me
23	- Q	During the giving of this statement?
24	A	No. The deputy showed up on me after I had
1		

24

1 been transferred back to the Nevada prison system. I 2 thought I'm in the Nevada prison system. 3 So you went ahead and gave a statement? 4 I went ahead and gave the statement, but I . 5 didn't figure it was good enough to get myself put up on a 6 witness stand. 7 0 So you deliberately tried to give them a bad 8 statement? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 MR. DUNLEAYY: Okay. No further questions, 11 Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Redirect? 13 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. SEATON: 1.6 0! Just stay in that same area. 17 A little earlier, you had tried 18 to give an explanation to Mr. Dunleavy about the difference 19 between the federal and the state system and the problems 20 that it was causing you, and you weren't able to do that. 21 A Okay. 22 Would you do that now for us? 23 A: You got to understand, the State can charge

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

you with a robbery, and the federal system can charge you

Ì

with that same robbery. It's not considered double jeopardy, like, you hear a person can't be tried twice for the same crime.

Well, on that particular -- the State had a charge against me, and the feds had a charge against me.

1.5

I thought I was going to end up in the Nevada system. I did not think Nevada was going to release me because I was on parole when I had done what I had done. I didn't think the Nevada system was going to release me to the federal system.

And when you came before any type of court system — if you have never been in prison, you won't know what I'm talking about — and you testify against somebody, and you get the name — what we all called what I'm sitting here doing, is being a snitch, your life ain't worth two cents inside the prison system.

. 21

I got a wife and I got kids. I wasn't going to risk my life to get killed inside a prison system for him. My wife and kids are more important, me being alive than them being out — than me testifying against him. And that's the way I felt about it at that time.

Q Mr. Dunleavy asked you -- he said -- about

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 -

21

22 23

24

the stories that Mr. Rippo told you, he said didn't you think he was lying, and your answer was no.

Do you remember that?

Yes, sir.

up, they lie to you.

Did he tell the stories in such a way that you believed him?

Yes, sir, I've been told -- you got -- in prison, people brag. Okay? They brag about who they've killed, who they've hurt, what they've done, how many crimes they've committed, who they've raped, what they've -everybody, they -- they brag.

People -- this is prison. Ninety-nine percent of them are full of it; just straight

But when somebody is so cold and so calibous to sit there and Just tell you exactly what they're saying, and they can look you in the eye and it's not bragging, it's a real low whisper tone talk, so nobody else can hear it, that's not lying.

You can pick out who is lying and who is bragging in prison all day long. It's a totally different world and you know who's telling you the truth and Who's not telling you the truth.

MR. SEATON: That's all, Judge.

	· •	
		THE COURT: Recross.
		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
ВҮ	MR. DUNLEA	YY:
	Q	Now, I thought on direct you said you had
two	falony co	nvictions.
	Â	Yes, sir.
	Q	But you sold you were on parole when you
com	mitted this	s robbery.
	A,	Yes, sir,
	Q:	But you got two convictions out of this
rob	bery?	
	A ¹	No, sir.
	Q.	Didn't you get a state conviction out of
th1	s robbery?	
	A·	I have a state conviction from 1986.
	Q	For what?
	A	For rabbery,
	Q;	Bank robbery?
	A:	Yes, sir I'm a pamble-holic. I'm just a
str	aight up g	ambler.
	Q,	Now, them, you've got a federal conviction?
	A :	Yes, sir; while I was out on parole.
	Q	So it's a different robbery?

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Not the same robbery at all?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	You also said that when you get a snitch
5	Jacket, your 1	life is not worth two cents?
6	A.	That's right.
7	Q.	But you gave two statements on two different
8	murderers	
9	A	th-huh.
10	Q	right?
11	-	Haw long were you in the state
12	prison system	after you gave these statements?
13	А	I was thrown into isolation.
14	Q	How long were you in the state prison system
15	after you gave	these statements?
16	A	I think six months.
17	Q	And then you were transferred to the federal
18	system?	•
19	A _.	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Anything hoppen to you?
21	A	I was locked up in isolation because an
22	attempt was ma	nde on my life, yes, sir.
23	a	Anything happen to you?
24	A	No, I was big enough to fend it off.

24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Wos excused.)

10

1

2

3

4

5

6.

7

: 8

9

10

.11

12 13

14

15 16

1:

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon.

have any additional witnesses to call during its case in chief. That will conclude the taking of testimony.

We conversed with the clerk and we do have a number of offers to make regarding exhibits that have been marked.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR, HARMON: There are a number of photographs from the crime scene marked as proposed Exhibits 6 through 17.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I would just suggest we have a number of pictures to sort out, so it might be easier to do it out -- send the Jury home.

MR. HARMON: I thought we sorted these out, Your Honor. We had agreed on these.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I know we've agreed on a certain number of pictures. I didn't know they had been separated out.

MR. HARMON: No, the ones we agreed upon, about which there was some disagreement, have already been admitted.

These are photographs not showing the decedents. They are simply proposed 6 through

1	18, photographs of other areas of Apartment 317. They
2	document the scene as it was when the police arrived.
3	THE COURT: You better get them out or what,
4	so we know what we're talking about. 6 through 187
5	MR. HARMON: Yes, Your Honor.
6 ·	MR. WOLFSON: No objection to 6; no
7	sbjection to 7.
8	THE COURT: 6 and 7 are admitted.
9	MR. WOLFSON: No objection to 6 through 18.
10	THE COURT: 6 through 18 are admitted.
11	MR. WOLFSON: Mr. Harmon said they're
12	pictures of the crime scene. He's right. I don't have an
1.3	objection.
14	THE COURT: Okay,
15	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 6 through 18 were admitted
16	into evidence.)
17	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, we also offer
18	proposed Exhibit 73, which is a picture of the interior of
19	the Ford Pinto, which is not admitted.
: 20	MR. WOLFSON: That wasn't offered yet, was
21	it, Mr. Harmon?
22	MR. HARMON: I Just offered it.
23	THE COURT: The interior of the Ford Pinto?
24	THE CLERK: Uh-huh.

11

1	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 190 was admitted into evidence.)	
2		
3	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, if I might approach	
4	the clerk, I need to examine what proposed Exhibit 108 is.	
5	THE COURT: You may.	
6	THE CLERK: All I have is a memo.	
7	MR. WOLFSON: She doesn't have 1t.	
8	THE COURT: She said she didn't have it.	
9	MR. HARMON: Is that something we didn't	
10	give you?	
.11	MR, WOLFSON: It might have been one of	
12	the	
13		
14	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)	
1,5		
16	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, that completes the	
17	offers.	
18	The State rests.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. I understand the defense	
20	wishes to begin Monday morning.	
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: That's correct, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess	
23	for the weekend.	
24	We'll start Monday morning	

1	at what does Monday look like?
2	MR. POTTER: One bucket, It wouldn't be
3	we'll definitely be ready by 10:30.
4	THE COURT: Let's try and get here a little
S	early, 10:15, if possible.
6	Remember, do not discuss this
7	case among yourselves or with anyone else; or
8	Read, watch, listen to any
9	report or commentary on this case by any medium of
10	information, including, without limitation, newspaper,
11	television or radio; or
12	Form or express any opinion on
13	this case until the matter is finally submitted to you.
14	Have a good weekend.
15	
16	* * * *
17	
18	(Proceedings adjourned until Monday, March 4, 1996, at 10:30 a.m.)
19	Maron 47 7230) BC 70330 G1813
20	ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of proceedings.
21	ATTENTO THE GIRL DECORAGE CLARISTIFIC OF PLOCES OF THE CONTROL OF
22	RENEE SILVAGGIO, CARR. NO. 122
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	•

- FILED IN OPEN COURT -

ORIGINAL

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff.

٧s.

Case No. C106784

Dept. No. IV Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo,

#0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bonglovanni, Monday, March 4, 1996, 10:30 o'clock a.m. Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

JURY TRIAL

VOLUME I

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

CE23

003062

APPEARANCES: For the State: MELVYN T. HARMON, ESQ. DANIEL SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. For the Defendant: PHILIP H. DUNLEAVY, ESQ. Attorneys at Law Law Clerk: Delwin Potter

1	I N D, E, X-	
2		
3	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT:	PAGE
4		
5	CHRISTOS, Thomas Direct Examination by Mr. Wolfson	9
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Harmon	20
7	LUKENS, John	¢
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Wolfson Cross-Examination by Mr. Secton	24 38
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Wolfson Recross-Examination by Mr. Seaton	49 54
LO		•
.1	-000-	
.2		
.3		
.4		
.5	·	
.6		
.7		
8		
.9		
20		
1		
22		
3		-
24		
	;	003064

Las Vegas, Nevada, Monday, March 4, 1996, 9:00 a.m.

Domon Rippo.

(The following proceedings were had in open court outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Michael

Let the record reflect the presence of the defendant, and his attorneys Steve Wolfson, Phillip Dunleavy; Dan Seaton and Mel Harmon for the State.

The record will also reflect we're outside the presence of the jury.

MR. DUNLEAVY: We had two things we want to do quickly, Your Honor, Hopefully, it will help to move things along.

First, we had a list of proposed exhibits and so — that we have submitted during the trial. We didn't want to do it in front of the jury because many of ours were exhibits that were used in hearings outside the presence of the jury.

So we thought it would be best to clear that list up at this time, rather than do it in front of the Jury, and make sure that what we have had

1	marked has also been admitted.
2	Most of ours would be admitted
3	for appellate purposes and wouldn't go to the Jury, so we
4	want to make sure there was some kind of record kept on
5	these.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	(Whereupon, a satto voce at
8	this time.)
9	THE COURT: Did you go over these with the
10	State?
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: We all got a copy of it on
12	Friday, except there was one, I believe, that was not on the
13	list, that was perhaps on another list.
14	THE CLERK: It was on the evidentiary
15	hearing list.
16	MR. DUNLEAVY: Evidentiary hearing list.
17	Okay. So we need to make sure
18	all those are covered as well.
19	THE CLERK: Well, that if you want me to
20	put it on add it to this list? I'll put it next in order
21	on this list.
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: While she's doing that, Your
23	Honor, the other thing is we thought it might speed things
24	up if the Court wanted to advise our client of his right to
	. 003066

1	testify, and so which is required to be done out of the
2	presence of the jury.
3	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Rippo, do you
4	understand you have the right to testify in your own behalf?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And in the event you don't
7	testify, the State cannot make any comment to a Jury of that
8	fact.
9	THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
10	THE COURT: And you've discussed this with
L1	your attorneys?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And do you wish to testify in
14	this case or
15	THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't.
16	THE COURT: or have you decided not to?
17	No?
18	THE DEFENDANT: No, I do not wish to
19	testify.
20	MR. DUNLEAVY: And we would be requesting a
21	jury instruction on no emphasis to be drawn on his lack of
22	testimony.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at
	003067

1	this time.)
2	MR. WOLFSON: Well, Your Honor, a point of
3	procedure: One of the evidentiary exhibits is also going to
4	be used during the guilt phase as well.
5	Da we make it or the
6	evidentlory
7	THE CLERK: Can we get a copy of it?
8	MR. WOLFSON: Okay.
9	MR. DUNLEAVY: Specifically, what we're
10	talking about is the case history notes from the file on
11	those.
12	MR. WOLFSON: She's getting a copy; no need
13	to worry,
14	Can we rest at ease for a
15	moment?
16	THE COURT: Off the record.
17	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.)
18	
19	THE COURT: Could we bring the jury in?
20	THE BAILIFF: Yes.
21	
22	(The following proceedings were had in open court in the
23	presence of the Jury:)
24	
	00306.9

having been called as a witness by the Defendant and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you state your name and THE WITNESS: Thomas Christos; last name THE CLERK: Thank you. 003069

,		
1		
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. WOLFSON:	·
4	Q	Good morning, Mr. Christos.
5	A	Good morning.
6	Q f	You remember testifying in this trial
7	previously, do	you not?
8	A	Yes, I do.
9	Q	Mr. Christos, do you remember the dates that
10	you provided vo	luntary statements to the Las Vegas
11.	Metropolitan Po	lice Department?
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	What was the first date that you provided a
14	voluntary state	ment?
15	А	It was February the 20th.
16	Q	And did you provide a subsequent statement
17	to the police?	
18	Α	Yes, I did.
19	Q	What date was that?
20	А	I'm sorry, I don't recall. It was I
21	believe it was	the 27th.
22	Q	Of what month?
23	А	Of March.
24	Q	Of 1992?
	•	0.09075

1.	A	Yes.
2	Q	How many statements did you actually provide
3	to the police?	
4	А	Two.
5	a	Okay. And Mr. Christos, I know you are
6	looking at a do	cument. I'm going to ask you not to look at
7	the document du	ring your testimony.
8	٠	If I showed you phatocopies of
9	your two valunt	ary statements, could you recognize them?
10	Α	Yes.
11		MR. WOLFSON: May I approach, Judge?
12		THE COURT: You may.
13	BY MR. WOLFSON:	
14	Q	Going to show you two groupings of documents
15	and ask you to	quickly look at those and see if those are
16	the voluntary s	tatements that you gave to homicide
17	detectives in t	this case.
18	• А	Yes. This one 1s correct.
19	Q	Okay. What date did you give that statement
20	to the police o	n?
21	A	This is March the 27th, 1992.
22	· q	Thank you.
23		What about the other one?
24	A	Yes. This is on March the 4th, 1992.
		003071

1	ų	ukay. So just a rew moments ago, when you
2	said Februar	y 20th, '92, were you mistaken?
3	A	Yes, I was.
4	Q	Okay. So for the purposes of complete
5	clarificatio	n, did you give two statements, one on March
6	4th, 1992, a	nd one on March 27th, 1992?
7	A	That is correct.
8	· Q	Did you give both statements to the same
9	police offic	er?
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	Q	Do you remember what that police officer's
12	nome is?	
13	А	Detective Dibble, Don Dibble.
14	Q	Thank you.
15		Did there come a time when you
16	agreed to do	something, with the agreement of Detective Don
17	Dibble?	
18	A	Yes.
19	q	And what did you agree to do?
20	A	I agreed to wear a microphone and tape
21	recorder in	on interview with Mr. Rippo.
22	Q	You're talking about Michael Rippo, the
23	defendant in	this case?
24	А	Yes, sir.
		NN3N72

1	Q And you've identified Michael previously.
2	Where is he sitting?
3	A To your right.
4	MR. WOLFSON: The record will reflect
5	identification of the defendant?
6	THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
7	BY MR. WOLFSON:
8	Q Do you remember whose idea it was to wear
9	this microphone when you went to speak with Mr. Rippo?
10	A It was Detective Dibble.
11	Q Okay. How did you wear this microphone?
12	Tell the jury.
13	A Ah, they stripped me to the waist, taped it
14	to my skin, and secured the recorder, the microphone, to my
15	skin and secured the recorder in the rear of my pants.
16	Q I believe you said they.
17	Who are you referring to?
18	A I was assisted by someone that in the
19	police department. I wasn't aware of his name.
20	Q Could this microphone be seen by the naked
21	eye?
22	A No, \$1r.
23	Q What was the purpose for you agreeing to
24	wear this microphone when you went to see Michael Rippo?
	003073

T		MR. HARMUN: UDJection. Inis coils	ŦŨĹ
2	speculation.		
3		THE COURT: Sustained.	
4	BY MR. WOLFSON:		
5	Q	What was your understanding	
6		MR. HARMON: I object. His understo	nding
7	isn't relevant.	He may describe what happened, but	not
8	speculation abo	ut the law enforcement motive, Your H	lonar.
9		THE COURT: Sustained.	
10	BY MR. WOLFSON:		
11	Q	Did you discuss with Detective Dibbl	e why
12	you were wearin	g this body microphone, yes or no?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	q	Did you eventually do something with	this
15	body microphone	?	
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	What dld you do?	
18	A	I wore it in an interview with Miche	ne l
19	Rippo.		
20	Q	Where did you have this interview w	Lth
21	Michael Rippo?		
22	А	Clark County Detention Center.	
23	Q	How did you get to the Clark County	•
24	Detention Cente	r that day?	
			003074

1	A	I was driven by Mr. Dibble.
2	Q	Detective Dibble of the
3	A	Detective Dibble, yes, sir.
4	Q	Was it your understanding he was one of the
5	homicide detec	tives on this case?
6	A	Yes, sir, 1t was.
7	Q	What date did you go to the detention center
8	with this body	microphone on to speak with Michael Rippo?
9	А	As I recall, it was March 27th, 1992.
10	Q	If you looked at a copy of the transcript of
11	that interview	would that help clarify your memory as to
12	the exact date	?
13	A	Yes, it would.
14	Q	Do you have a copy of that in your
15	possession righ	nt now?
16	A	I do.
17	Q	By looking at it, would it help you in
18	determining the	exact date you went?
19	A	Yes, it would.
20		MR. WOLFSON: With the Court's permission,
21	could you look	at that document?
22		THE COURT: You may do so.
23		THE WITNESS: (Complies.)
24	BY MR. WOLFSON	
		003075

1	Q	Has that helped clarify your memory?
2	А	Yes, it does.
3	Q	What date did you go visit Mr. Rippo at the
4	detention cen	ter?
5	А	On March 27th.
6	Q	1992?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	Which did you do first, Mr. Christos, give
9	your second i	nterview with the police and then go see
10	Michael at th	e jail or vice versa?
11	. А	As I recall, I gave the second interview
12	preceding the	tape at the correctional center.
13	q	Preceding, meaning you gave the second
14	interview bef	ore
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	you went and saw Michael Rippo?
17	Α	Correct.
18	. Q	How did you go about arranging for your
19	visit with Mi	chael Rippo?
20	A	Michael had contacted me in an attempt to
21	set bail for	him or arrange bail for him.
22	Q	Did you or Detective Dibble make any special
23	arrangements	for this visit with Michael Rippo?
24	A	Yes.
		003076

1	l a	Which one?
2	Α -	The special arrangement was in regard to
3	recording a conv	ersation.
4	Q (When you went to the jail, tell us what
5	steps you took to	o cause your eventual interview of Michael.
6	A :	I'm sorry, I'm not too clear on that.
7	Q (Okay. When you went to go see Michael, did
8	you go in to the	lobby of the jail?
9	Α .	Yes; yes.
10	Q 1	What did you do?
11	A	I signed my name in at the desk, which is
12	the general proc	edure that a bondsman uses when arranging
13	bail. That was	the first step.
14	•	Then what did you do?
15	A	I was escorted to the elevator by the
16	marshal.	•
17		At that time the microphone was
18	on and I initiate	ed a contact visit with Mr. Rippo.
19	Q /	Are there two types of visits that a person
20	can have with an	inmate at the county detention center?
21	Α '	Yes, there are.
22	Q I	What are the two types of visits?
23	Α :	One is a telephone interview between a glass
24	panel that secur	es you from the inmate. The other is a
		003077
j	1	

1	contact visit i	n a secured room.
2	Q	And did you say that you eventually had a
3	contact visit w	ith Mr. Rippo?
4	А	That's correct.
5	Q	And I believe you said in a secure room; is
6	that right?	
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	Was anybody else present during this
9	interview?	•
10	A	No, there was not.
11	Q	Just you and Mr. Rippo?
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	How long did the interview last?
14	А	As I recall, approximately a half an hour.
15	Q	To your knowledge, did Mr. Rippo see the
16	microphone you	were wearing?
17	А	Not to my knowledge.
18	Q	Was there any discussion by you with Mr.
19	Rippo regarding	the fact that you were wearing a microphone?
20	A	No, there was not.
21	Q	Did you and Mr. Rippo talk about the murder
22	case?	
23	А	Yes, we did.
24	Q	If I were to ask you approximately what
		003078

1	percentage of the approximate 30 minutes you and Mr. Rippo
2	talked about his case, what would you say?
3	A Twenty-five out of the 30 minutes.
4	Q At the conclusion of the interview, what did
5	you do?
6	A I secured the **conclusion of the end of the
7	tape.
8	Q Where did you do that?
9	A In the elevator on the way down.
10	Q Out of Mr. Rippo's eyesight?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you said you secured the conclusion.
13	What does that mean?
14	A Signed off, I I was a novice at doing
15	this. It was not exactly my cup of tea, you might say.
16	Q Then what did you do?
17	A I exited the secured area at the battom of
18	the elevator, where I was greeted by Detective Dibble, and
19	the marshal of the day.
20	Q Then what did you do?
21	A Ah, I was taken back up to an office at the
22	police department and they removed the microphone and the
23	tape recording.
24	Q When you say they, are you referring to
	003079

1	Detective Dibble and a helper?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Okay. Did you ever listen to the tape?
4	A No, never.
5	Q Have you ever read a transcript of the
6	conversation that took approximately 30 minutes?
7	A Briefly.
8	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.) BY MR. WOLFSON:
	Q Mr. Christos, why did you agree to wear a
L1	microphone into your interview with Michael Rippo?
L2	MR. HARMON: Objection; irrelevant.
L 3	MR. WOLFSON: Well, I think his state of
L 4	mind is important, Judge. He testified twice in this case.
L 5	MR. HARMON: Why is it important, Your
L 6	Honor?
L 7	All he can testify to is what
18	he saw and heard. He's not here because he's charged with
L 9	anything. He's not here because his state of mind is
20	pertinent at all.
21	MR. WOLFSON: A lot of witnesses, during
22	this trial, have been asked why they did things, and
23	THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.
24	You may answer.
	00308 ₀

1	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, sir.
2	BY MR. WOLFSON:
3	Q Mr. Christos, why did you agree to wear this
4	microphone into your visit with Michael Rippo?
5	A I was afraid that Diana was intentionally
6	trying to implicate or frome me in in this case.
7	MR. WOLFSON: That concludes direct
8	examination.
9	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
LO	
L1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. HARMON:
13	Q Mr. Christos, did you have anything whatever
14	to do with the murders of Denise Lizzi and Lauri Jacobson?
15	A Absolutely not.
16	Q Did you harbor any ill feelings towards
17	either of those individuals?
18	A None whatsoever.
19	Q Did you even know them?
20	A No, sir, I did not.
21	Q You've mentioned, in connection with this
22	interview you had with Mr. Rippo, on March the 27th, 1992,
23	that you were acting as a novice in this sort of thing.
24	A I beg your pardon?
	003081

1	Q You used the word novice when you were
2	referring to what happened on March the 27th, 1992.
3	A Ah, that was in reference to being attached
4	to a tape recorder and and having an interview that was,
5	in all regards, supposed to be a confidential interview that
6	was no longer a confidential interview. It was it was
7	being taped, and I was a little bit nervous and and
8	and not skilled at that.
9	Q Words mean different things to different
10	people.
11	What did you mean when you said
12	novice? Are you saying this was your first time to have
13	done this sort of thing?
<u>1</u> 4	A Yes, it was.
15	Q Now, I couldn't help but notice, when Mr.
16	Walfson approached you and showed you your second statement,
1 7	the one on March the 27th, 1992, that in bold, red letters
18	on the back were the words: Police Agent - Concealed Tape.
19	Was that your handwriting?
20	A No, sir, it was not
21	Q In working with Detective Dibble that day,
22	March the 27th, 1992, were you cooperating with him?
23	A Totally,
24	Q Were you, in a sense, acting on behalf of
	กกรกรว

1	the police department?		
2	. A	Yes.	
3	Q	Acting as an agent of Detective Dibble?	
4	A	You might say that.	
5	Q	Now, you've also explained to us that you	
6	were wired when	you went into the Clark County Detention	
7	Center.		
8	A	Correct.	
9	Q	Did you have concealed on your person a	
10	microphone?		
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	Did you also have a tape recorder?	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	And did you also have a tape concealed on	
15	your person?		
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	And this was all done by prearrangement?	
18	A	Yes, it was.	
19		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, that concludes	
20	cross-examination	on.	
21		THE COURT: Redirect?	
22		(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)	
23		filtà (Time)	
24	** .	MR. WOLFSON: No questions.	
,		003083	

]
1	THE COURT: You are excused, Mr. Christos.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
4	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
5	MR. WOLFSON: John Lukens.
6	THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise
· 7	your right hand,
8	
9	Whereupon,
10	JOHN LUKENS
11	having been called as a witness by the Defendant and
1.2	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
13	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
14	and testified as follows:
15	THE CLERK: Thank you.
16	Please be seated.
17	Would you state your name and
1.8	spell it for the record.
19	THE NITNESS: My name is John Lukens;
20	L-u-k-e-n-s.
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. WOLFSON:
24	Q Good morning, Mr. Lukens.
	003084

1	A	Good morning.
2	Q	How are you employed, sir?
3	A	I am a prosecutor with the Clark County.
4	District Attorne	ey's Office.
5	Q	You are a prosecutor with the same office as
6	Mr. Harmon and M	ir. Seaton; is that correct?
7	A	Yes, I am.
8	Q	How lang have you been employed at the Clark
9	County District	Attorney's Office?
10	A	I have been there since approximately 1987.
11	Q	Before your employment with that office in
12	1987, Would you	please tell the jury how you were employed.
13	A	I was originally a law clerk for the
14	Honorable Paul 6	ioldman here. I was in the Clark County
15	Public Defender	s Office for two years, two and a half years
16	about, and was i	n private practice.
17	q	How long were you in private practice
18	approximately be	fore joining the Clark County D.A.'s Office?
19	A-	Probably 12 years, 12, 14 somewhere in
20	there. I don't	know exactly, but
21	Q	When were you licensed to practice law in
22	the state of Nev	rada?
23	A	1975.
24	Q	Did there come a time when you were assigned
		003085

1.	the responsibility of the prosecution of Michael Rippo?			
2	A	Yes.		
3	Q	Do you remember approximately when you took		
<i>{</i> 4	over the prosec	ution of Michael Rippo?		
5	A	I do not,		
6	Q	Were you the first prosecutor on the case?		
7	A	I was not.		
8	Q	Were you assisted by any other prosecutors		
9	in your prosecution of this case?			
10	A	Yes.		
11	Q	If I were to ask you approximately how long		
12	you were prosecuting the case of State versus Michael Rippo,			
13	what would your answer be?			
14	A	Several months.		
15	Q	During the course of your work on this case,		
16	did you interview witnesses?			
17	A	Yes.		
18	Q	Is that a normal part of a prosecutor's job,		
19	to interview witnesses?			
20	A	I think so.		
21	Q	Why do prosecutors interview witnesses?		
22	A	We interview witnesses so that you will know		
23	what it is that	they will testify to.		
24	Q	Do prosecutors have other means of learning		
		003086		

1	what witnesses	will say, other than their conversations with	
2	witnesses?		
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	What other methods of information does a	
5	prosecutor have	?	
6	A	You read reports and transcripts of their	
7	prior statement	s.,	
8	Q	Referencing this case, were you familiar	
9	with a witness by the name of Tom Sims?		
10	A	Yes, I was.	
11	Q	In your work on this case, did you have	
12	occasion to read his voluntary statements and Grand Jury		
13	testimony?		
14	A	Yes.	
15		(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)	
16	BY MR. WOLFSON:	Citto C'mots	
17	Q	Did there come a time when you recall having	
18	an interview with Tom Sims?		
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	Do you remember what year that was?	
21	, А	It would have been 1993.	
22	Q	We have received testimony in this case from	
23	Mr. Sims himseli	f that he sat down and had an interview with	
24	you and another	prosecutor, Teresa Lowry, in the fall and	
		003087	

1	winter period of 1993.		
2	Does that sound consistent with		
3	your recollection?		
4	A It does,		
5	Q What was your purpose for sitting down with		
6	witness Tom Sims?		
7	A To speak with Mr. Sims about his knowledge		
8	with reference to this particular case.		
9	Q Was that a normal part of your preparation		
LO	in the prosecution of a case?		
L1	A Yes Mr. Sims was one of many witnesses in		
L2	this case that I spake with.		
L 3	Q Normally speaking, when will a prosecutor		
<u>1</u> 4	sit down with a witness regarding the trial date that's		
L 5	coming up?		
L 6	A It's difficult for me to answer that when		
17	using the word normally speaking.		
L8	A homicide trial will, by		
L9	necessity, be treated differently than a possession of		
20	stolen property trial.		
21	The more severe the charges in		
22	the case, the more time a prosecutor will develop to		
23	preparing for that trial.		
24	So when you say normally,		
	. 003088		

1	this this case is not a normal case.
2	Q Do you recall the interview that you had
3	with witness Tom Sims?
4	A In general terms, I do.
5	Q Did Tom Sims ever tell you that Michael
6	Rippo told him that he accidentally killed one of the girls,
7	so he had to go ahead and kill the other girl?
8	A No, he did not.
9	Q If Tom Sims had told you that Michael Rippo
10	had made such a statement, would that have been important to
11	you?
12	A Of course.
13	Q When you were prosecuting this case, were
14	you aware of Tem Sims having charges pending against him?
15	A Yes, I was,
16	Q As you sit here today, do you remember what
17	those charges were?
18	A They were narcotics charge. I think it was
19	possession or it was narcotics and the drug involved was
20.	marijuana, I believe.
21	a And you recall the specificity of what the
22	charges were against Tom Sims?
23	A No; other than the information that I've
24	Just given you, I cannot.
	003089

. 1	Q If I showed you a copy of a criminal		
2	complaint, would that aid you in recalling what the specific		
3	charges were?		
4	A It would refresh my recollection, yes.		
5	MR. WOLFSON: Mr. Harmon?		
6	BY MR. WOLFSON:		
7	Q Showing you what's been marked for		
8	identification as Defendant's proposed Exhibit H.		
9	Do you recognize that document?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q What is that document?		
12	A This is a copy of a criminal complaint at		
13	the Justice Court level.		
14	Q Do you believe that, as you look at that		
15	document, to be the criminal complaint carrying charges that		
16	were pending against Tom Sims when you were prosecuting this		
17	case?		
18	A Yes. I would have no reason to to think		
19	otherwise.		
20	Q All right. And what charges do or does that		
21	document reflect that Tom Sims had pending against him back		
22	in 1993 when you were interviewing him?		
23	A Possession of controlled substance with		
24	intent to sell, the drug being marijuana; possession of		
	003090		

1	controlled substance; that drug is phencyclidine, otherwise		
2	known as PCP; and possession of a firearm by an ex-felon.		
3	Q Thank you.		
4	Are all three of these charges		
5	felonies in the state of Nevada?		
6	A They are.		
7	Q When you were prosecuting the case of State		
8	versus Michael Rippo, were you aware that Tom Sims had a		
9	pending felony case against him being prosecuted by your		
10	office?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q Did you have any direct contact with the		
13	prosecution of Tom Sims in the case that is reflected in		
14	Defendant's proposed Exhibit H?		
15	A Well, when you say direct contact, what do		
16	you mean by that?		
17	Q To your knowledge, did you ever appear in		
18	court as a representative of the State of Nevada		
19	A Yes.		
20	Q against Tom Sims?		
21	A Yes, I would have done that; at least, I		
22	think that I did, on one or two occasions.		
23	Q Did you ever make any bargains or		
24	concessions or provide any consideration to witness Tom Sims		
	103091		

1	for his testimony in the case of State versus Michael Rippo?		
2	A No, I did not.		
3	Q Is it common in the prosecution of an		
4	individual, who is a witness in a murder case, to cause that		
5	prosecution of that witness' case to drag on?		
6	A I don't know how to answer that question,		
7	since you put it in the context of being a witness in a		
8	murder case. I I wouldn't know whether it would be		
9	common or not.		
ro	Q Generally speaking, is it beneficial to a		
L1	defendant to have his case prolonged?		
12	A That, as a general statement, is correct,		
13	yes.		
L 4	Q Do you know how long the case of State of		
l.5	Nevada versus Tom Sims, Case Number 93F04256X, has been		
L 6	pending?		
L7	A I do not know precisely. I assume that it		
L 8	is still pending today. It was my intention, with that		
L9	case, that that case will remain pending until the		
20	conclusion of the case against Mr. Rippo.		
21	Q It was your intention that it remain		
22	pending; is that what you said?		
23	A Yes, yes.		
24	Q Did you cause it to remain pending?		
	003032		

,	1
1.	
2	
1. 2 3 4	
4	
5 6 7 8	
7	
	l
8	
9	
10	ı
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20.	
21	

23

24

	A	.As r	nuct) Q\$	I	could	inf:	luence	it	and	keep	iţ
pending,	the	onswer	to	the	qι	uestion	is	yes.				

- Q Do you know what case history notes are that are commonly used by the Clark County District Attorney's Office?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What are case history notes?

A In Dis- -- in the District Attorney's file, in the office, when you open those manila files, generally as you look at it, on the right-hand side are police reports, witness statements, things of that nature.

On the left-hand side is, like, a diary, what we refer to as case notes. Every time the case is in court, generally, there is the date, the court that the case appears in, initials for the attorney who happens to be there, and then what happened on that day, an arraignment date was set, a preliminary hearing date was set, or it was continued until whatever the next court date is; and that's how we keep track of the history of a case.

Q Are case history notes a regular set of documents that is maintained by a deputy District Attorney concerning individual cases?

A I'm going to answer the question in that they are not maintained by a single deputy District

1 Attorney. They're notes that are maintained in the regular 2 course of our doing business, and whoever happens to be 3 there, that District Attorney, on that day, will put a note 4 in the file. 5 It -- it may be one of 15 different deputies and it may be a different deputy each 6 7 time it appears in court. Do you know when Mr. Sims was accused of 8 9 committing the crimes that are the subject of his pending 10 criminal complaint? I -- I do not know when he was accused. 11 12 And when he was accused, I mean the date Q 13 that he's alleged to have committed these crimes. 14 I do not know. 15 If I showed you the criminal complaint, would that help ald you in your memory? 15 17 I don't know that I eyer knew. I would 18 certainly accept the date in the complaint as being correct. What date is Mr. Sims accused of committing 19 the three felonies that are the subject of that criminal 20 21 complaint? 22 A May of 1993 --23 Okay. Q 24 A -- the 13th day.

1	
	Q So if his case is still pending, which there
	has been testimony in this case that it is, his case has
	been pending for almost three years.
	A That would be correct.
	Q To your knowledge, was Tom Sims ever being
	considered by the federal government in a prosecution
	involving a gun charge?
	A I den't I den't know. It may have been
	possible. I I don't know what the mechanisms of the
	federal government with the gun charge are.
l	But I know that there was some
	interest by the federal government in that area. But beyond
	that, what that interest was and the extent of it, I don't
	know.
1	Q How do you know that there was some interest
	by the federal government in proceeding with a federal gun
	cose?
	MR. SEATON: Judge, I'm going to object to
	this. That would call for hearsay, and even if the hearsay
	came out, it would all be speculation. Mr. Lukens has
l	indicated he just doesn't know.
	MR. WOLFSON: Well, I'm not sure if it's
	hearsay. It's not being affered for the truth of the matter
	aasserted. This is impeachment evidence as against Mr.

3.	STMS' MIN 2012 CHAT HO CONSTAGLACTORS MELS MANGE ON MES BALL.
2	MR. SEATON: If there are federal people who
3	can testify to that, they may suppoend them and bring them
4	in to court and then we will have people who I can
5	cross-examine.
6	MR. WOLFSON: But if the District Attorney
7	made certain concessions on his behalf, we don't need the
8	federal government.
9	MR. SEATON: Then ask him if he made
10	concessions.
11	THE COURT: Why don't you ask that question?
12	BY MR. WOLFSON:
13	Q Did you ever have any conversations with
14	Agent Terry Clark, in charge of the ATF, the Bureau of
15	Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms department?
16	MR. SEATON: Judge, he is getting into
17	hearsay. The question to Mr. Lukens should be: Did he make
18	any concessions to the witness?
19	MR. WOLFSON: I know how to ask questions.
20	MR. SEATON: Well, I'm objecting to the form
21	of this one.
22	MR, WOLFSON: My question was: Did he ever
23	have any conversations with Terry Clark? That was my
24	question.
	003096
4 4	

1.	THE COURT: Overruled.
2	You may answer the question.
3	BY MR. WOLFSON;
4	Q Do you remember the question, Mr. Lukens?
5	A The question was: Did I ever have a
6	conversation with Mr. Terry Clark of the ATF?
7	Q Yes.
8	A I don't remember whether I had a conver
9	I don't remember if it was Mr. Clark or who it was.
10	Q Do you remember having any conversations with
11	anybody from the Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms division of
12	the federal government concerning Tom Sims?
13	A Yes.
14	Q So you did have, as you recall.
15	conversations with somebody from ATF concerning a possible
16	prosecution of Tom Sims.
17	A It I don't remember whether it was with
18	ATF or the U.S. Attorney's Office. I I don't have a
19	recallection of that. I remember speaking with somebody
20	involved in the federal criminal justice system.
21	Q To your knowledge, were any charges brought
22	against Tom Sims by the federal government?
23	MR. SEATON: Objection; that calls for
24	hearsay.
	003097

1	He just brought a criminal
2	complaint in showing some charges. If he's got other
3	charges, he can bring in proof of that.
4	MR. WOLFSON: Well, Mr. Sims says that he
5	has not been prosecuted by the federal government. I
6	believe that came out of Mr. Sims' mouth himself.
7	My question is whether this
8	witness has knowledge
9	THE COURT: Overrule the objection.
10	BY MR. WOLFSON:
11	Q To your knowledge, was Mr. Sims ever
12	prosecuted by the federal government or for any gun related
13	type charge, that you recall perhaps having a conversation
14	with someone about?
15	A I don't know.
16	MR. WOLFSON: Okay.
17	(Whereupon, a satta vace at this time.)
18	CHIS CIME,
19	BY MR. SEATON:
20	Q In your conversations with witness Sims, did
21	he ever tell you of any conversations that he had with
22	Michael Rippo concerning any luggage that Mr. Rippo may have
23	had anything to do with?
24	A That's possible, but I don't have a distinct
	990800

1	A Robert Archie.
2	Q And were you aware of that at the time you
3	were having your conversations with Mr. Sims; is that
4	A The very first conversation I had with Mr.
5	Sims, I asked him I had found out by then that there were
6	charges active in the office. I asked him if he had a
7	lawyer. He said yes. And I specifically requested that Mr.
8	Archie be present when we talked.
9	Q And when you talked with Mr. Sims, was Mr.
1.0	Archie, his lawyer, present?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did you make any sort of negotiations or
13	anything with Mr. Archie in regard to Mr. Sims' case?
14	A Absolutely not.
15	Q To the best of your knowledge, then, Mr.
16	Sims had no deals with the District Attorney's Office
17	regarding his case and the testifying that he was going to
18	eventually do in this particular case against Mr. Rippo; is
19	that correct?
20	A Unless postponing his case until after the
21	conclusion of the Rippo case is considered that type of
22	arrangement or understanding, the answer is correct, there
23	were no deals, no offers.
24	Q In other words, if what Mr. Wolfson
	003100

suggested to you, that samehow, in general terms, it's beneficial to a defendant to have his case continued, that would be the only benefit that Mr. Sims got out of all of his dealings with the District Attorney's Office.

That would be correct.

All right. And I'm going to get back to

Just having to do with this discussion about the feds and ATF or the U.S. Attorney's Office, are you aware, not -- 'cause I know you are not aware of any charges that were pending against him with those folks -- but were there any deals, any megatiations, that you were aware of or you had anything to do with, regarding any federal involvement at all on Mr. Sims'

I -- and I don't think I could bind the federal government to anything at all.

And as you tell us here, you don't even know if anything was going on with the federal government?

You said you had -- to the extent you could, you had something to do with the continuing of Mr. Sims' own personal charges?

> Ä Yes.

24

1

Q What?

A It would insure -- or it would do the best that it could to insure that Mr. Sims would be present for this trial.

If a criminal case -- there are only two things that can result from the conclusion of a criminal case:

One of those things is that the defendant's — the case goes forward and the defendant is eventually acquitted, or the charges are resolved or dismissed, and as a result, that individual then need have no further contact with the system.

In Mr. Sims' case, I knew that Mr. Sims was a very important witness in this case and wanted him to be available for the time of trial.

The other alternative to going forward with Mr. Sims' case would be equally bad, if not worse, and that would be that if Mr. Sims were, in fact, tried and convicted of the charges, then there would be a substantial likelihood that he would be incorcerated, in prison; and being incarcerated in prison and having given testimony in a criminal case against a defendant, it is not a good place to be. It puts his life in jeopardy.

And, therefore, that is also a

1	bad result for the purposes of just achieving regular,
2	straight testimony at this trial. So to proceed with Mr.
3	Sims' case, there are two alternatives, both of which were
4	undesirable.
5	Q And so not to proceed with his case allows
6	things Just to remain in the status quo, and he would then
7	ultimately be available to testify for the State?
8	A Absolutely,
9	And there is no then there
10	is no further once this trial is over, regardless of the
11	outcome, Mr. Sims' case can be decided on its own merits,
12	without because there have been no negotiations with
13	reference to testimony in this trial.
14	Q So now you had something to do with Mr.
15	Sims' case throughout its pendency, at least as it relates
16	to this case. And this case is about over.
17	What's going to happen to Mr.
18	Sims' own personal case?
19	MR. WOLFSON: Objection. I think that calls
20	for speculation on the part of this witness. He doesn't
21	know.
22	MR. SEATON: Well, he certainly does know.
23	He is the person who has been, to the extent he can, causing
24	it to be continued.

003103

Jh '	MON I MONE IN THE CASE IT AND INTIN
2	if that's going to continue, if he's going dismiss the
3	charges, if he's going to try the case, what Mr. Lukens'
4	intent is on this.
5	MR. WOLFSON: I'm not even sure if Mr.
6	Lukens is the assigned prosecutor who can make that
7	decision. I think you need a better foundation on that one.
8	THE COURT: Lay more foundation.
9	BY MR. SEATON:
10	Q Mr. Lukens, you have testified here that Mr.
11	Sims had a case that was going on while the Rippo case was
12	going on; is that correct?
13	A Yes, that's correct.
14	Q You became aware of that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q You decided to continue the the Sims case
17	so that Mr. Sims would be available for testimony in the
18	Rippo case,
19	A Yes.
20	Q So that he wouldn't if but you didn't
21	want to have what you didn't want to have happen is for
22	his case to be concluded in such a way that he would leave
23	the system and be lost and we would not be able to find him;
24	or he would get what we call a snitch jacket, for having

1	testified in c	ourt on Mr. Rippo, put on him and get in
2	trouble in pri	son?
3	А	That's correct.
4	Q	And then perhaps choose not to testify as a
5	result of that	•
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	That the position you've taken up to this
8	point?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And you were you were aware that his case
11	is still pendi	ng in the system?
12	A	I believe it to be.
13	Q	And in the same light as what you had to
14	the extent you	had involved yourself before, do you plan on
15	trying to have	his case dismissed or otherwise treated in a
16	favorable mann	er after the conclusion of the Rippe case?
17	А	I do not.
18	Q	And you are an administrator in the office
19	of the Distric	t Attorney's Office, are you not?
20	A	I am a chief deputy.
21	Q	And you are in charge of a particular unit?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And you have people working underneath of
24	you?	
		003105

4	
1	A Yes.
2	Q And you have control over cases.
3	A Yes.
4	Q Is the Sims' case going to go forward as it
5	originally had?
6	MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, Your Honor.
7	There is no foundation that the
8	unit he's in handles this case.
9	THE COURT: Sustained.
10	MR. SEATON: It doesn't matter. They
11	brought him on. This is cross-examination. This isn't
12	direct examination anymore. They brought him on. They
13	asked him what his past history with the case is. I have
14	the right to ask him what his future intentions are with the
15	case.
16	THE COURT: Well, why don't you ask him, in
17	his position, could be still have any control over the Sims'
18	case.
19	BY MR. SEATON:
20	Q Just as you had control over the Sims case,
21	to some extent before, in terms of its continuance, could
22	you still maintain some control over it as it
23	A Could I
24	Q as
	003106

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	A The answer
2	Q Let me finish.
3	A Yes.
4	MR. SEATON: You've always tolked over me,
5	John. It's my turn now,
6	THE COURT: And will continue to do so.
7	BY MR. SEATON:
8	Q Are you in a position where, if you chose,
9	and you have indicated before that you still maintain an
10	interest in this case, could you have some bearing on the
11	Sims case in terms of how it was to be treated within the
12	office of the District Attorney?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And how is it going to be treated by the
15	District Attorney from your point of view?
16	A It will go back to being a regular case that
17	will stand and fall on its own merits.
18	Q All right. You were asked something about
19	whether or not Tom Sims had said to you something about an
20	accidental killing; and you said that he had not made that
21	kind of statement to you; is that correct?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q What kind of statements did he make to you?
24	A He talked about the statement that the
	nn3107

1	with reference
2	Q Na. Just let me interrupt for just a
3	second.
4	These are statements that Mike
5	Rippo, here in court with us today, made to Tom Sims, that
6	Tom \$1ms related to you; is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me. I'm going to
9	object as to the form of that question.
10	These are statements that Mr.
11	Lukens is going to relate that Tom Sims said Michael said.
12	Mr. Seaton put kind of a twist on it, that he knew that
13	Michael soid that.
14	MR. SEATON: For be it from me to put o
15	twist on anything.
16	BY MR. SEATON:
17	Q Mr. Lukens, would you tell us exactly what
18	it was that Tom Sims told you that Mike Rippo told him.
19	A With reference to this case, he mentioned
20	he said that Mike Rippo, in talking about the car, said that
21	somebody had to die for this car.
22	Q Mr. Rippo had said that to Mr. Sims?
23	A Mr. Rippo had said that.
24	There was discussion about some
	003108

1	tapes, and that's why, in response to Mr. Wolfson's question
2	about the some luggage, I gave pause to that because I
3	don't know if there was discussion about the tapes and the
4	luggage at the same time.
5	Q And then there was some discussion at one
6	time, um, about a brown vial?
7	A I remember statements concerning a brown
8	vial.
9	Q Is that brown vial supposed to contain
10	anything?
11	A It was supposed to have contained morphine.
12	Q Okay,
13	A Then there was a statement that I was
14	particularly interested in, with reference to his statement
15	that he was cured.
16	Q Whose statement that he was cured?
17	A Mr. Rippo's statement to Tom Sims: I'm
18	cured. I didn't rape the bitches.
19	That was the extent of the
20	statements concerning this case. There was probably more
21	detail, but that's the extent that I remember now.
22	Q When you met with Mr. Sims, did you take
23	notes?
24	A I did not.
	D03109

Q	Why	not?
	Q	Q Why

A Because I wanted to look at Mr. Sims, evaluate Mr. Sims, his response, his emotions, how he was looking. I wanted all of my attention focused on him.

And also, Miss Lowry was with me and she generally takes excellent notes, either contemporaneously, as they are being said, or shortly thereafter, she will go back and take notes, so I did not need to take notes at that time.

MR. SEATON: Thonk you, Mr. Lukens.

I have no more questions.

1.7

1.1

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Q Mr. Lukens, do you know how many prior felony convictions Tom Sims had or has at the present time?

A I do not know. I know that there are several, multiple. That's one of the reasons why I believed that if he were convicted of anything, the likelihood of him going to prison was great.

Q Possessian of controlled substance with intent to sell, which is the first felony Mr. Sims has pending, carries what possible sentence, as if he were convicted for an offense in May of 1993?

1	A Probably 1t's a one to fifteen.
2	Q Possession of controlled substance carries a
3	possible present sentence of how many years?
4	A It simple possession, I think, is one to
5	six.
6	Q And possession of a firearm by ex-felon, do
7	you know what possible sentence that carries?
8	A It's either one to ten or one to five or one
9	to six, somewhere in those ranges.
10	MR. WOLFSON: I think the Court can take
11	Judicial notice that it's a one to six year possible prison
12	sentence.
13	Mr. Prosecutor, do you have any
14	objection to the Court taking judicial notice of that fact?
15	MR. SEATON: No, I don't.
16	THE COURT: The Court will so take.
17	BY MR. WOLFSON:
18	Q So Mr. Sims was then facing 27 years in
19	prison, and is still facing 27 years in prison for the
20	criminal complaint he's being proceeded against by your
21	office?
22	A Probably, yes, if those numbers are correct.
23	Q And do you know what the habitual criminal
24	statute is?
	003111
1	OOTII

1	A Yes. I do.
2	Q What is that?
3	A Habitual criminal statute is a statute that
4	says ofter a person has committed so many felonies, we may
5	treat him as an habitual criminal.
6	And it falls into two
7	categories: One, it is known as the little bitch; and then
8	the other, what's known as the big bitch.
9	The little bitch can carry I
10	think it's I think it's a 20 year sentence; and a big
11	bitch can carry a life sentence.
12	Q There has been testimony in this case from
13	Mr. Sims himself that he has three prior felony convictions.
14	Would that qualify him for the
15	small habitual criminal prosecution or the big bitch, as you
16	call it?
17	A I think the big bitch is five and I think
18	the little one is three.
19	Q Could you be wrong?
20	A Yes.
21	Q In any event, that would be a potential
22	odditional number of years on top of the 27 that he's
23	currently facing, if the District Attorney chose to file
24	habitual criminal
	003112

1	A I don't think so. I think it's sentencing	
2	in lieu of. In other words, you don't do the regular	
3	charges; you sentence him in lieu of those.	
4	Q And is that a choice that's within the	
5	discretion of the prosecutor's office?	
6	A No. It's a discretion in other words, we	
7	would file it. We would ask the judge to sentence him as	
8	that, but I think it's up the judge has discretion.	
9	Q Okay.	
10	A So the discretion is with the Judge.	
11	Q But the District Attorney has the discretion	
12	whether to file the habitual criminal allegation, do they	
13	not?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And to your knowledge, was that filed	
16	against witness Tom Sims?	
17	A It would not have been at that time. It	
18	would have been not the appropriate time to do it.	
19	MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence.	
20	Your Honor, we'd I'm sorry.	
21.	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time,)	
22		
23	MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, would you take	
24	Judicial notice that three prior felony convictions	
	003113	3

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

qualifies a person for potential habitual criminal status?

THE COURT: The Court will take judicial notice of that fact.

BY MR. WOLFSON:

As you sit here today, Mr. Lukens, after absorbing the discussion, in your opinion, do you believe that Tom Sims received any consideration for his testimony in this case?

A No.

Q So a person who has his prosecution delayed for almost three years, no habitual criminal filing and a delayed prosecution is receiving no benefit?

A If you say that the -- the answer to the question with reference to the habitual criminal filing, doesn't apply because those charges are not filed until -- you don't seek that until after the conviction of the underlying charges. So that's premature,

The delay, I assume you could probably consider to be an advantage to him; if he is going to be incarcerated, it delays that incarceration. And if it allows him to remain out and free and with his family, and if that's an advantage, then you could consider it as an advantage that he is receiving.

MR. WOLFSON: That concludes my examination.

L RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. SEATON: 3 But his kind of charges require the Q 4 testimony only of police officers and lab personnel, do they 5 not? 6 MR. WOLFSON: Objection; that calls for 7 speculation on the part of Mr. Lukens. 8 9 THE COURT: Sustained. 10 MR. WOLFSON: He doesn't know what the 11 capabilities --MR. SEATON: I don't have any more 12 13 questions. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lukens. 14 15 You are excused. (Whereupon, the witness 16 was excused.) 17 MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence. 18 19 (Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.) 20 21 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, am I excused? 22 23 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 24 003115

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
2	
3	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may we approach
4	the bench for a moment?
5	THE COURT: Of course.
6	
7	(Discussion off the record.)
8	
9	THE COURT: Do you want to put your
10	stipulation on the record?
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor,
12	At this time, we want to advise
13	the jury that there is a stipulation between the State and
14	the defense that, in fact, Mr. Rippo has no tattoos.
15	MR. HARMON: That's correct, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Okay, What we're going to do
17	there may be some more testimony. We won't know that until
18	tomorrow.
19	So but at any rate, this
20	case is going to end tomorrow. So be prepared to begin your
21	deliberations late afternoon tomorrow.
22	We'll reconvene tomorrow
23	morning at 10:15.
24	So until then: Do not converse
	002116

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * * * * * * *

MICHAEL RIPPO,

Appellant,

No. 53626

FILED

-vs-

E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Respondent.

OCT 19 2009

CLERK OF SUPPLEMENT OF RT

JOINT APPENDIX Volume 13 of 48

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Affidavit	02/14/94	JA00371-JA00377
2	Affidavit	03/07/94	JA00400-JA00402
18	Affidavit of David M. Schieck Regarding Supplemental Brief in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus	08/17/04	JA04316-JA04320
3	Amended Indictment	01/03/96	JA00629-JA00633
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	03/23/94	JA00583-JA00590
8	Answer in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation	02/08/96	JA01873-JA01886
17	Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial	05/01/96	JA04008-JA04013
48	Criminal Court Minutes	10/27/08	JA11603
2	Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	08/20/93	JA00274-JA00281
18	Errata to Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	03/12/04	JA04257-JA04258

09-25388

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
19	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	12/01/04	JA04411-JA0441
48	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	11/17/08	JA11604-JA1161
1	Indictment	06/05/92	JA00235-JA0023
15	Instructions to the Jury	03/06/96	JA03358-JA0339
16	Instructions to the Jury	03/14/96	JA03809-JA0383
17	Judgment of Conviction	05/31/96	JA04037-JA0403
11	Motion for Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence Pertaining to the impact of the Defendant's Execution Upon Victim's Family Members	02/28/96	JA02620-JA0262
2	Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to Rippo's Defense	08/24/93	JA00286-JA0029
3	Motion for a Witness Deposition	06/19/94	JA00621-JA0062
17	Motion for New Trial	04/29/96	JA04002-JA0400
2	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Defendant's Prior Bad Acts	08/23/93	JA282-001 to JA282-005
2	Motion of Defendant for Discovery and to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	10/21/92	JA00254-JA0025
11	Motion to Bar the Admission of Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Clause	02/28/96	JA02603-JA0260
2	Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office	02/07/94	JA00334-JA0034
2	Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	08/23/93	JA00282-JA0028
11	Motion to Preclude the Consideration of Victim Impact Evidence Pursuant to NRS 175.552, 200.033, and 200.035	02/28/96	JA02613-JA0261
11	Motion to Preclude the Introduction of Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim Family Members' Characterizations and Opinions About the Crime, the Defendant, and/or the Appropriate Sentence	02/28/96	JA02625-JA0262
2	Motion to Quash and for a Protective Order	09/09/93	JA00298-JA0030

Vol	Title	Date	Page
	on an Order Shortening Time		
11	Motion to Require a Pretrial Judicial Review of all Victim Impact Evidence the State Intends to Introduce at the Penalty Phase	02/28/96	JA02607-JA02612
2	Notice of Alibi	09/20/93	JA00295-JA00297
19	Notice of Appeal	10/12/04	JA04409-JA04410
48	Notice of Appeal	04/15/09	JA11659-JA11661
19	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	12/15/04	JA04414
48	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	03/16/09	JA11648-JA11658
36	Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Counsel	02/15/08	JA08669-JA08672
1	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	06/30/92	JA00239-JA00241
42	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA09989-JA10014
42	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA10015-JA10025
42	1 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, State v. Bailey, Case No. C129217, Eighth Judicial District Court, July 30, 1996		JA10026-JA10034
42	Answers to Interrogatories p. 7, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al., Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH (RAM), February 9, 1998		JA10035-JA10037
42	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, partial, State v. Bennett, Case NO. C083143, September 14, 1998		JA10038-JA10040
42	4 Non-Trial Disposition Memo, Clark County District Attorney's Office regarding Joseph Beeson, in Bennett v. McDaniel, Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of Nevada, October, 1988		JA10041-JA10042
42	5 Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, partial, State v. Bennett,		JA10043-JA10050

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	Case No. C083143, November 18, 1999		JA10051-JA10057
4	42	6 Decision, <u>Bennett v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C83143, Eighth Judicial District		JA10031-JA10037
5	42	Court, November 16, 2001		JA10058-JA10061
6 7		7 Declaration of Michael Pescetta regarding locating exhibits in Parker file, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al. Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of		
8	42	Nevada, January 8, 2003		JA 10062-JA 10066
9	72	8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Memorandum re: <u>State</u> v. <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791,		JA10002-JA10000
10	42	December 30, 1999		JA10067-JA10085
12		9 Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Status Check on Production of		
13		Discovery, State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
14	42	Court, April 18, 2000 10 Letter from Office of the District		JA10086-JA10087
15		Attorney to Joseph S. Sciscento, Esq., re State v. Butler, Case No.		
16		C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, November 16, 2000		
17	42	11 Letter from Law Offices of Sam		JA10088-JA10092
18		Stone to Hon. Michael Douglas, District Court Judge, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
19		Case No. 155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, December 7, 2000		
20	42	12 Motion for New Trial, State v.		JA10093-JA10107
21		Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 17,		
22	42	2001		JA10108-JA10112
23		13 Affidavit of Carolyn Trotti, <u>State v.</u> Butler, Case No. C155791, January 19, 2001		
24	42	14 Opposition to Motion for New Trial		JA10113-JA10135
25		Based on Allegations of Newly Discovered Evidence, State v.		
26		Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 16,		
27		1,	I	<u> </u>
28		4		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	2001		JA10136-JA10141
4		Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial,		
5	42	State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 27, 2001		JA10142-JA10144
6 7		16 Order, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
8	42	Court, March 8, 2001		JA10145-JA10154
9		17 Fax Transmission from Terri Elliott with the Office of the Special Public Defender, State v. Butler, Case No.		
10 11	42	C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, March 19, 2001		JA10155-JA10161
12	١	18 Order affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
13	42	Case No. 37591, May 14, 2002		JA10162-JA10170
14	42	19 Reporter's transcript of jury trial, <u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 11, 2002		JA10171-JA10177
15		200 Reporter's transcript of jury trial,		JA101/1-JA101//
16 17	42	United States v. Catania, June 13, 2002		JA10178-JA10184
18		21 Transcript of Status Conference/Scheduling Conference		
19		Before the Honorable Howard K. McKibben, United States District		
20	42	Judge, Case No. CV-N-00-101-HDM (RAM), District of Nevada, January 14, 2003 (Doyle)		JA 10185-JA 10200
21		22 Answer in Opposition to Motion for		
22 23		New Trial; or in the Alternative, Motion for New Appeal, <u>State v.</u> D'Agostino, Case No. C95335,		
24	42	Eighth Judicial District Court, September 21, 1993		JA10201-JA10207
25		23 Declaration of Tim Gabrielsen, and		
26		partial FBI production in <u>Echavarria</u> <u>v. McDaniel,</u> et al., CV-N-98-0202, June 2004		
27		June 2004	<u> </u>	
28		5		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	42 43			JA10208-JA10238 JA10239-JA10353
3 4	43	24 Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Emil v. McDaniel, et al., August 24, 2001		JA10354-JA10357
5 6		Criminal Complaint and Minutes of the Court, State v. Kenny, Case No. 85F-3637, Justice Court, Las Vegas		
7	43	Township, 1985 (Emil)		JA10358-JA10362
8 9		26 Notice of Denial of Request, Clark County District Attorney, State v. Emil, Case No. C82176, Eighth		
10	43	Judicial District Court, August 13, 1985		JA10363-JA10383
11		27 Various reports of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
12		Detention Services Division, produced in <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, regarding		
13		investigation into the identity of Clark County Detention Center		
14 15	42	inmate who manufactured a shank, 1987		JA10384-JA10434
16		28 Deposition of Sharon Dean in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
17	42	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, October 15, 1998 and December 7, 1998		JA10435-JA10449
18 19		29 Deposition of Arlene Ralbovsky in		
20		<u>Haberstroh v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28,		
21	43 44	1999		JA10450-JA10488 JA10489-JA10554
22		30 Deposition of Patricia Schmitt in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
23	44	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28, 1999		JA 10555-JA 10563
24		31 Recorder's Transcript Re:		
25 26		Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. <u>C0760</u> 13,		
27		Eighth Judicial District Court, January 28, 2000		
28		6		

1 Vol	l	Title	Date	Page
2 44	32	Order, Hill v. McDaniel, et al., Case		JA10564-JA10568
3	32	No. CV-S-98-914-JBR (LRL), District of Nevada, May 20, 1999		
4 44 5	33	FBI memorandum to SA Newark, Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick		JA10569-JA10570
6 44		Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick 167), August 31, 1977		JA10571-JA10573
7	34	FBI memorandum, New York to Newark <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , (Homick 168), January 31, 1978		
8 44	35	FBI Teletype, FM Director to Las Vegas (Homick 166), September, 1985		JA10574-JA10576
0 44	36	FBI Teletype San Diego to Las		JA10577-JA10582
1 44	37	Vegas (Homick 165), October, 1985 Chronological record, Homick v.		JA10583-JA10584
3		McDaniel (Homick 10), November 1985		
4 44	38	FBI notes re Homick receiving money from LVMPD employee,		JA10585-JA10589
5		Homick v. McDaniel, December 11, 1985		
6 44	39	FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , December 1985 and January 1986		JA10590-JA10593
8 44	40	FBI notes, Homick v. McDaniel		JA10594-JA10595
9 44	41	(Pennsylvania) January 4, 1986 FBI redacted notes, <u>Homick v.</u>		JA10596-JA10597
0		McDaniel (New Jersey), January 7,		
1 44	42	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10598-JA10599
3 44	43	McDaniel (Homick), January 9, 1986 FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10600-JA10601
4		McDaniel (Pennsylvania), January 13, 1986		
5 44	44	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10602-JA10603
6		McDaniel (Las Vegas), January 14, 1986		
.7				
8		7		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	45	FBI 302 interview of Norma K. Thompson, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , March 18, 1986		JA10604-JA10606
4	44	46	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10607-JA10608
5			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
6			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
7	44	47	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10609-JA10610
8			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
9			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
10 11	44	48	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10611-JA10612
12			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
13			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
14	44	49	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10613-JA10614
15			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
16			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
17	44	50	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10615-JA10616
18			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
19			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
20	44	51	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10617-JA10618
21			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
22	4.4	50	McDaniel, June 10, 1986		14 10/10 14 10/20
23	44	52	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		JA10619-JA10620
24			joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
25			MicDaniel, June 10, 1980		
26					
27		<u> </u>			I
28			8		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	44	53	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		JA10621-JA10622
5 6	44	54	FBI 302 memorandum of interview of Tim Catt, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 164), August 18, 1988		JA10623-JA10625
7 8	44	55	Reporter's transcript of evidentiary hearing, partial, <u>State v. Homick</u> , March 7, 1989		JA10626-JA10637
9 10	44	56	Reporter's transcript of motions, State v. Homick (Homick 48), April 10, 1989		JA10638-JA10640
11 12	44	57	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 6, State v. Homick, April 25, 1989		JA10641-JA10652
13	44	58	Reporter's transcript of jury trial, partial, Vol. 7, <u>State v. Homick,</u> April 26, 1989		JA10653-JA10660
14 15	44	59	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 11, <u>State v. Homick</u> (Homick 52), May 2, 1989		JA10661-JA10664
16 17	44	60	Reporter's transcript of penalty hearing, State v. Homick, Vol. 1 (Homick 108), May 17, 1989		JA10665-JA10668
18 19	44	61	Reporter's transcript of trial, partial, Vol. 83, <u>State v. Homick</u> , November 10, 1992		JA10669-JA10673
20212223	44	62	Letter from Eric Johnson/Walt Ayers, Assistant United States Attorneys to Mark Kaiserman denying FBI joint investigation with LVMPD, Homick v. McDaniel,		JA1674-JA10676
232425	44	63	January 28, 1993 Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, Homick v. McDaniel, May 7, 1993		JA10677-JA60678
2627					
28			9		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	64	Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> , May 11, 1993		JA10679-JA10680
4 5	44	65	Reporter's transcript on appeal, <u>State</u> v. <u>Homick</u> Vol. 140 (Homick 102) June 29, 1994		JA10681-JA10684
6 7	44	66	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - joint activity between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10685-JA10692
8 9 10	44	67	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - information sharing between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10693-JA10696
11 12	44	68	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - admissions, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10697-JA10705
13 14 15	44	69	Declaration of Joseph Wright, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 176), October 9, 2003		JA10706-JA10707
16 17	44 45	70	Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Homick v. McDaniel, October 10, 2003		JA10708-JA10738 JA10739-JA10756
17 18 19	45	71	Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v.</u> <u>Jiminez</u> , Case No. C77955, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 19, 1993		JA10757-JA10786
202122	45	72	Transcript of Proceedings Sentence, <u>State v. Bezak</u> , Case No. CR89- 1765, Second Judicial District Court, November 27, 1989 (Jones)		JA10787-JA10796
232425	45	73	Response to Motion to Compel Discovery, <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , et al., Case No. CV-N-96-633-ECR, District of Nevada, March 1999		JA10797-JA10802
26 27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2	45	74	Declaration of David J.J. Roger, Chief Deputy District Attorney,		JA10803-JA10805
3 4			concerning <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. CV-N-96-633 ECR, District of Nevada, June 30, 1999		
5	45	75	Transcription of VCR Tape of the		JA10806-JA10809
6			Adam Evans hearing in front of Judge Hardcastle, <u>In The Matter of</u>		
7			Adam Owens Evans, Case No. J52293, Juvenile Court (Lisle)		
8	45	76	Excerpt of trial record, State v. Lisle,		JA10810-JA10812
9			Case No. 129540, Vol. 10 page 15, March 12, 1996		
10		77	Not Used		
11		78	Not Used		
12	45	79	Letter from Inv. Larry A. Schuchman, City of Orlando,		JA10813-JA10816
13			Florida, Police Department, to Inv. Bob Milby, Nevada Division of Inv.		
14			and Narcotics re Terry Carl Bonnette, January 29, 1981		
15			(Milligan)		
16	45	80	Notice of Entry of Decision and		JA10817-JA10838
17			Order and Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
18			Order, State v. Miranda, Case No. C057788, Eighth Judicial District		
19	15	01	Court, February 13, 1996		JA10839-JA10846
20	45	81	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Case No. C106784, Eighth Judicial		JA10839-JA10846
21			District Court, February 8, 1996		
22	45	82	Reporter's Transcript of Calendar		JA 10847-JA 10859
23			Call, State v. Morelli, Case Nos.C64603 and C64604, Eighth		
24			Judicial District Court, January 12, 1984 (Snow)		
25					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	83	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (Testimony of Richard Morelli), State v. Snow, Case No.C61676,		JA10860-JA10884
4			Eighth Judicial District Court, April 17, 1984		
5	45	84	Letter from Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Deputy, Office of the District		JA10885-JA10886
6			Attorney, To Whom It May Concern re Richard Joseph Morelli, July 20,		
7 8			1984 (Snow)		111007 111001
9	45	85	Deposition of Melvyn T. Harmon, Esq., Snow v. Angelone, Case No. 6- 12-89-WPHC, Seventh Judicial District Court, September 25, 1992		JA10887-JA10921
10					
11	45	86	<u>Las Vegas Review Journal</u> excerpt, May 3, 2004, "Police Say Binion Witness Not Credible" (Tabish)		JA10922-JA10924
12	45	87	` ′		JA10925-JA10929
13	43	87	Letter from Kent R. Robison of Robison, Belaustegui, Robb and Sharp, to E. Leslie Combs, Jr., Esq.		JA10923-JA10929
14 15			Re: Kathryn Cox v. Circus Circus, et al., October 16, 1995, in relation to		
16			Witter v. McDaniel, CV-S-01-1034- RLH (LRL), District of Nevada		
17	45	88	LVMPD Certificate of [Informant] Management Course completion, April 14, 1994		JA10930-JA10931
18	4.5		-		14 10022 14 10024
19	45	89	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Cooperating Individual Agreement and Special Consent and		JA10932-JA10934
20			Waiver of Liability		
21	45	90	David J.J. Roger letter to Nevada State Parole Board Chairman		JA10935-JA10936
22			regarding Robert Bezak (Jones), December 3, 1990		
23	45	91	Declaration of Herbert Duzant dated		JA 10937-JA 10938
24			May 15, 2008		
25	45	92	Records request to Juvenile Justice Division dated May 14, 2008		JA10939-JA10948
26			=y =, 		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	93	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated May 15, 2008		JA10949-JA10973
4 5	46	94	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated May 15, 2008		JA10974-JA10996
6	46	95	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated November 29, 2007		JA10997-JA11007
7 8 9	46	96	Records request to Office of the Clark County District Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11008-JA11010
10 11	46	97	Records request to Office of the United States Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11011-JA11013
12 13	46	98	Records request to the Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re: Michael Beaudoin, James		JA11014-JA11026
14 15 16			Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
17 18	46	99	Records request to Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re Victim/Witness information)		JA11027-JA11034
19 20	46	100	Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated November 29, 2007		JA11035-JA11050
21	46	101	Records request to Justice Court, Criminal Records dated December 5, 2007		JA11051-JA11055
2223	46	102	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated		JA11056-JA11069
24 25	46	103	November 28, 2007 Records request to Nevada Department of Corrections dated		JA11070-JA11080
26 27			November 29, 2007 (re: Levine)		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	104	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated November 29, 2007 (re Levine)		JA11081-JA11095
5	46	105	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated April 12, 2007 (re: Rippo)		JA11096-JA11103
6 7 8	46	106	Records request to Word of Life Christian Center Pastor David Shears, Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated November 29, 2007		JA11104-JA11110
9 10	46	107	Response to records request from Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated December 3, 2007		JA11111-JA11112
11 12	46	108	Response to records request from Office of the District Attorney dated January 28, 2008 (re Victim Witness)		JA11113-JA11114
13 14	46	109	Response to records request from Word of Life Christian Center Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated		JA11115-JA11116
15 16	46	110	December 11, 2007 Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli)		JA11117-JA11128
17 18	46	111	Records request (FOIA) to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys dated November 27, 2007		JA11129-JA11132
19 20	46	112	Records request (FOIA) to the FBI dated November 27, 2007		JA11133-JA11135
21 22	46	113	Response to records request to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys, undated		JA11136-JA11137
23 24	46	114	Records request to Nevada Division of Child and Family Services dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie)		JA11138-JA11144
25 26	46	115	Records request to Claude I. Howard Children's Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli, Carole		JA11145-JA11156
27			Ann Campanelli (deceased))		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	116	Records request to Clark County School District dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA111457-JA11171
5	46	117	Records request to University Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11172-JA11185
7 8 9	46	118	Records request to Valley Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11186-JA11199
10 11	46	119	Records request to Desert Springs Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11200-JA11213
12 13 14	46	120	Records request to Reno Police Department, Records and ID Section dated May 16, 2008		JA11214-JA11221
15	47	121	Records request to Washoe County Sheriff's Office dated May 16, 2008		JA11222-JA11229
16 17	47	122	Records request to Sparks Police Department dated May 16, 2008		JA11230-JA11237
18	47	123	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Beaudoin		JA11238-JA11239
19 20	47	124	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Thomas Christos		JA11240-JA11241
21 22	47	125	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Thomas Edward Sims		JA11242-JA11244
23	47	126	Response to records request to Justice Court re: request and clerk's notes		JA11245-JA11248
25		127	Omitted.		
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	128	Subpoena to Clark County District Attorney, Criminal Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11249-JA11257
7	47	129	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attoreny		JA11258-JA11267
8 9	47	130	Subpoena to Central Medicaid Office, New York, New York		JA11268-JA11272
10	47	131	Subpoena to Claude I. Howard Children's Center		JA11273-JA11277
11 12	47	132	Subpoena to City of New York, Department of Social Services		JA11278-JA11282
13	47	133	Subpoena to Desert Springs Hospital		JA11283-JA11288
14 15	47	134	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Fingerprint Bureau		JA11289-JA11295
16 17	47	135	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Bureau		JA11296-JA11301
18	47	136	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Confidential Informant Section		JA11302-JA11308
19 20	47	137	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminalistics Bureau		JA11309-JA11316
21 22	47	138	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Evidence Vault		JA11317-JA11323
23 24	47	139	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminal Intelligence Section		JA11324-JA11330
25 26	47	140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Narcotics Sections I, II, and III		JA11331-JA11337
27					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3		47	141	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Crimes Bureau		JA11338-JA11344
4 5		47	142	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Records Bureau		JA11345-JA11352
6		47	143	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Robbery / Homicide Bureau		JA11353-JA11360
7 8 9 10		47	144	Subpoena to Nevada Parole and Probation (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11361-JA11368
11		47	145	Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo) Proposed Order to the Nevada		JA11369-JA11373
12 13		1,	115	Department of Parole and Probation		
14 15		47	146	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Gang Crimes Bureau		JA11374-JA11379
16		47	147	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department SWAT Division		JA11380-JA11385
17 18		47	148	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Vice Section		JA11386-JA11392
19		47	149	Subpoena to Clark County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11393-JA11399
20 21				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
22				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
23		47	150	Subpoena to Henderson Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11400-JA11406
2425				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
26				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
27	L					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	47	151	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services		JA11407-JA11411
5 6 7	47	152	Subpoena to Reno Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt		JA11412-JA11418
8 9 10 11	47	153	and Michael Rippo) Subpoena to Sparks Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11419-JA11427
12 13	47	154	Subpoena to University Medical Center		JA11428-JA11432
14	47	155	Subpoena to Valley Hospital		JA11433-JA11438
15 16 17 18	47	156	Subpoena to Washoe County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11439-JA11445
19202122	47	157	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Records and ID Section (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11446-JA11453
23			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
2425					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	47	158	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Forensic Science Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11454-JA11460
4 5			Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		
6			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
7	47	159	Deposition Subpoena to Dominic Campanelli		JA11461-JA11463
8 9	47	160	Deposition Subpoena to Melody Anzini		JA11464-JA11466
10	47	161	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11467-JA11471
11 12	48	162	Subpoena to Nancy Becker		JA11472-JA11476
13	48	163	Subpoena to Clark County Human Resources Department (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11477-JA11481
14 15	48	164	Subpoena to Nassau County Department of Social Services		JA11482-JA11486
16 17	48	165	Subpoena to the Clark County School District		JA11487-JA11490
18	48	166	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11491-JA11495
192021	48	167	Subpoena to the Office of the United States Attorney (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11496-JA11499
22	48	168	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11500-JA11505
23 24	48	169	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11506-JA11508
25			··		
26					
27				ı	

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	48	170	Subpoena to the Office of Legal Services, Executive Offices for United States Attorneys FOIA (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11509-JA11513
5	48	171	Subpoena to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (re Bongiovanni)		JA11514-JA11518
6 7 8 9	48	172	Subpoena to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Criminal Intelligence Section, Homeland Security Bureau, Special Operations Division (re Bongiovanni)		JA11519-JA11522
10	48	173	Subpoena to Leo P. Flangas, Esq. (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11523-JA11526
11 12	48	174	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Investigation		JA11527-JA11530
13	48	175	Subpoena to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		JA11531-JA11534
14 15	48	176	Subpoena to Robert Archie (re: Simms)		JA11535-JA11538
16	48	177	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Corrections (re: lethal injection)		JA11539-JA11545
17 18	48	178	Deposition subpoena to Howard Skolnik, NDOC		JA11546-JA11548
19	48	179	Deposition subpoena to Robert Bruce Bannister, D.O., NDOC		JA11549-JA11551
20 21	48	180	Deposition subpoena to Warden Bill Donat		JA11552-JA11554
22	48	181	Deposition subpoena to Stacy Giomi, Chief, Carson City Fire Department		JA11555-JA11 557
23 24	37	for W	sition to Motion to Dismiss Petition rit of Habeas Corpus (Post- iction)	05/21/08	JA08758-JA08866
25	37	Exhib	oits to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	05/21/08	JA08867-JA08869
26					
27	-				-

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	37	329.	Leonard v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C126285, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 11,		JA08870-JA08884
4			2008.		
5	37	330.	Lopez v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C068946,		JA08885-JA08890
6 7			State's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed February 15, 2008.		
8	38	331.	Sherman v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08991-JA09002
9			C126969, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed June 25, 2007.		
11	38	332.	Witter v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09003-JA09013
12			District Court, Case No. C117513, Reply to Opposition to Motion to		
13			Dismiss, filed July 5, 2007.		
14	38	333.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C159897,		JA09014-JA09020
15			Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed December 28,		
16			2007.		
17	38	334.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C159897,		JA09021-JA09027
18 19			State's Opposition to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus		
20			(Post-Conviction) and Motion to Dismiss, filed August 18, 2007.		
21	38	335.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784,		JA09028-JA09073
22			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
23			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed February 10, 2004.		
24	38	336.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA09074-JA09185
25			Court, Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief.		
26					
27					

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	38	337.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980, Indictment, filed December 16, 1994.		JA09186-JA09200
4	38 39	338.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980,		JA09201-JA09240 JA09241-JA09280
5 6			Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Thursday, December 15, 1994.		31107211 31107200
7 8	39	339.	Declaration of Stacie Campanelli dated April 29, 2008.		JA09281-JA0289
9	39	340.	Declaration of Domiano Campanelli, February 2008, Mastic Beach, N.Y.		JA09290-JA09300
10 11	39	341.	Declaration of Sari Heslin dated February 25, 2008.		JA09301-JA09305
12	39	342.	Declaration of Melody Anzini dated February 26, 2008.		JA09306-JA09311
13	39	343.	Declaration of Catherine Campanelli dated February 29, 2008.		JA09312-JA09317
14 15	39	344.	Declaration of Jessica Parket-Asaro dated March 9, 2008.		JA09318-JA09323
16 17	39	345.	Declaration of Mark Beeson dated March 26, 2008.		JA09324-JA09328
18	39	346.	State's Trial Exhibit 1: Laurie Jacobson photograph		JA09329-JA09330
19	39	347.	State's Trial Exhibit 2: Denise Lizzi photograph		JA09331-JA09332
20 21	39	348.	State's Trial Exhibit 99: Michael Rippo		JA09333-JA09334
22	39	349.	State's Trial Exhibit 31: Autopsy photo Denise Lizzi		JA09335-JA09336
2324	39	350.	State's Trial Exhibit 53: Autopsy photo Laurie Jacobson		JA09337-JA09338
25	39	351.	State's Trial Exhibit 125: Laurie		JA09339-JA09360
26			Jacobson victim-impact scrapbook photographs		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	39	352.	State's Trial Exhibit 127: Denise Lizzi victim-impact scrapbook photographs		JA09361-JA09374
4 5	39	353.	Declaration of Jay Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09375-JA09377
6	39	354.	Declaration of Robert Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09378-JA09381
7 8	39	355.	Juvenile Records of Stacie Campanelli		JA09382-JA09444
9	39	356	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C136066, State v. Sims, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09445-JA09450
11 12	39 40	357	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Sims		JA09451-JA09490 JA09491-JA09520
13	40 41	358	Justice Court Printout for Michael Beaudoin		JA09521-JA09740 JA09741-JA09815
14 15 16	41	359	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C102962, <u>State v.</u> <u>Beaudoin</u> , Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09816-JA09829
17 18	41	360	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C95279, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09830-JA09838
19 20	41	361	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C130797, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09839-JA09847
212223	41	362	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C134430, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09848-JA09852
24	41	363	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Christos		JA09952-JA09907
2526	41	364	Justice Court Printout for James Ison		JA09908-JA09930
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	41	365	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Order dated September 22, 1993		JA09931-JA09933
4 5	41	366	Declaration of Michael Beaudoin dated May 18, 2008		JA09934-JA09935
6 7	41	367	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Amended Indictment, dated January 3, 1996		JA09936-JA09941
8 9	41	368	State's Trial Exhibits 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62		JA09942-JA09965
10	41	369	State's Trial Exhibit 54		JA09966-JA09967
11 12	41	370	Letter from Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections, to Robert Crowley dated August 29 1997		JA09968-JA09969
131415	41	371	Letter from Jennifer Schlotterbeck to Ted D'Amico, M.D., Nevada Department of Corrections dated March 24, 2004		JA09970-JA09971
16 17	41	372	Letter from Michael Pescetta to Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections dated September 23, 2004		JA09972-JA09977
18 19	41	373	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Warrant of Execution dated May 17, 1996		JA09978-JA09981
20 21	41	374	Declaration of William Burkett dated May 12, 2008		JA09982-JA09984
22	41	375	Handwritten Notes of William Hehn		JA09985-JA09986
23	48	Objec	tion to Proposed Order	11/21/08	JA11612-JA11647
24	48	Oppo	sition to Motion for Discovery	06/09/08	JA11558-JA11563
25	2	Order		11/12/92	JA00264-JA00265
26	2	Order		11/18/92	JA00266-JA00267
27	2	Order		09/22/93	JA00320-JA00321

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	3	Order	04/22/94	JA00619-JA00320
3	15	Order	03/08/96	JA03412
4	41	Order Appointing Counsel	02/13/08	JA09987-JA09988
5	5B	Order Sealing Affidavit	09/30/93	JA 1401-180 to JA 1401-185
6 7	2	Order to Produce Handwriting / Handprinting Exemplar	09/14/92	JA00252-JA00253
8	17	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Appointment of Counsel	12/04/98	JA04040-JA04047
9	19 20	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	01/15/08	JA04415-JA04570 JA04571-JA04609
11	20	Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	01/15/08	JA04610-JA04619
12 13	20	101. Bennett v. State, No. 38934 Respondent's Answering Brief (November 26, 2002)		JA04620-JA04647
141516	20	102. State v. Colwell, No. C123476, Findings, Determinations and Imposition of Sentence (August 10, 1995)		JA04648-JA04650
17	20	103. <u>Doleman v. State</u> , No. 33424 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 17, 2000)		JA04651-JA04653
18 19	20	104. Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of Prisons, No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 31, 1988)		JA04654-JA04660
2021	20	105. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562, Order Dismissing Appeal (February 20, 1992)		JA04661-JA04663
22 23	20	106. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 29120, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		JA04664-JA04670
242526	20	107. <u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789, Order Affirming in Part and Vacating in Part (November 14, 2002)		JA04671-JA04679
27	20	108. Hankins v. State, No. 20780, Order		JA04680-JA04683

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2	20		of Remand (April 24, 1990)		14.04694 14.04690
3	20	109			JA04684-JA04689
4	20		of Remand (May 24, 1994)		JA04690-JA04692
5		110	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1987)		T. 04/02 T. 04/04
6	20	111			JA04693-JA04696
7			Dismissing Appeal (August 28, 1996)		
8	20	112	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No.		JA04697-JA04712
9			39091, Order of Affirmance (December 19, 2002)		
10	20	113			JA04713-JA04715
11	20		Dismissing Appeal (June 17, 1991)		JA04716-JA04735
12		114	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845, Order of Affirmance (July 24, 2002)		
13	20	115			JA04736-JA04753
14	20		Dismissing Appeal (March 21, 1996)		JA04754-JA04764
15		116	Order Dismissing Appeal (August		
16	20		19, 1987)		JA04765-JA04769
17			17059, 17060, Order Dismissing		
18			Appeal and Denying Petition (February 19, 1986)		
19	20	118	. <u>Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II)</u> , Nos.		JA04770-JA04783
20			29027, 29028, Order Dismissing Appeal and Denying Petition for		
21			Writ of Habeas Corpus (October 9, 1996)		
22	20	119			JA04784-JA04788
23			29027, 29028, Order Denying Rehearing (July 17, 1998)		
24	20				JA04789-JA04796
25		120	$\overline{\text{CV-N-96-785-HDM}}$ -(RAM),		
26			Response to Nevius' Supplemental Memo at 3 (October 18, 1999)		
27					

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	20	101 ONLTH Co. N. 20142 O. L. C.		JA04797-JA04803
3		121. O'Neill v. State, No. 39143, Order of Reversal and Remand (December 18, 2002)		
5	20	122. <u>Rider v. State</u> , No. 20925, Order (April 30, 1990)		JA04804-JA04807
6	20	123. Riley v. State, No. 33750, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 19,		JA04808-JA04812
7 8	20	1999) 124. Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858, Order		JA04813-JA04817
9		Dismissing Appeal (May 28, 1993), Amended Order Dismissing Appeal (June 4, 1993)		
10	21	125. Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137, Order of Affirmance (May 13, 2002)		JA04818-JA04825
12	21	126. Sechrest v. State, No 29170, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20,		JA04826-JA04830
13 14	21	1997) 127. Smith v. State, No. 20959, Order of		JA04831-JA04834
15	21	Remand (September 14, 1990) 128. Stevens v. State, No. 24138, Order		JA04835-JA04842
16 17	21	of Remand (July 8, 1994) 129. Wade v. State, No. 37467, Order of		JA04843-JA04848
18	21	Affirmance (October 11, 2001) 130. Williams v. State, No. 20732, Order		JA04849-JA04852
19 20	21	Dismissing Appeal (July 18, 1990) 131. Williams v. Warden, No. 29084,		JA04853-JA04857
21		Order Dismissing Appeal (August 29, 1997)		1101050 1101071
22	21	132. Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Prison, No. 19705, Order		JA04858-JA04861
23 24	21	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1989) 133. Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order		JA04862-JA04873
25		Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (November 28,		
26		2005)		
27				

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	21	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order Denying Rehearing (February 2, 2006)		JA04874-JA04879
4	21	135.	Rippo v. State; Bejarano v. State,		JA04880-JA04883
5			No. 44094, No. 44297, Order Directing Oral Argument (March 16, 2006)		
6	21	 136.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784,		JA04884-JA04931
7			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
8			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), February 10, 2004		
9	0.1	127	•		14.04022 14.04025
10	21	137.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of		JA04932-JA04935
11			Law and Order, December 1, 2004		
12	21	138.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, May 19, 2005		JA04936-JA04986
13	21	 139.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No.		JA04987-JA05048
14 15			44094, Respondent's Answering Brief, June 17, 2005		
16	22	140.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief,		JA05049-JA05079
17			September 28, 2005		T. 05000 T. 05100
18	22	141.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental		JA05080-JA05100
19			Brief As Ordered By This Court, December 12, 2005		
20					
21	22	201.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Opinion filed		JA05101-JA05123
22			October 1, 1997		
23	22	202.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Affirmance filed November 16, 2006		JA05124-JA05143
24	1	202	·		1405144 1405194
25	22	203.	Confidential Execution Manual, Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison		JA05144-JA05186
26			J,		
27	L	<u> </u>			

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	22	204.	Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner, United States Supreme Court Case No. 03-6821, David Larry Nelson v. Donal Campbell and Grantt Culliver, October Term, 2003		JA05187-JA05211
5	22	205.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A. Zimmers, David A. Lubarsky, and		JA05212-JA05214
6 7			Jonathan P. Sheldon, <u>Inadequate</u> Anaesthesia in Lethal <u>Injection for</u> Execution, Vol. 365, April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com		
9	22 23	206.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M.D., dated May 16, 2006, including attached exhibits		JA05215-JA05298 JA05299-JA05340
10 11	23	207.	"Lethal Injection: Chemical		JA05341-JA05348
12			Asphyxiation?" Teresa A. Zimmers, Jonathan Sheldon, David A. Lubarsky, Francisco Lopez-Munoz,		
13			Linda Waterman, Richard Weisman, Leonida G. Kniaris, <u>PloS Medicine</u> ,		
14			April 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 4		
15 16	23	208.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief		JA05349-JA05452
17 18	23	209.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Reply Brief		JA05453-JA05488
19	23	210.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05489-JA05538
20			Opening Brief, filed May 19, 2005		
21	24	211.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05539-JA05568
22			Reply Brief, filed September 28, 2005		
23	24	212.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05569-JA05588
24 25			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental Brief as Ordered by		
26			this Court filed December 22, 2005		
27					
28			29		
20			۷۶		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	24	213.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Order Directing Oral Argument filed		JA05589-JA05591
4			March 16, 2006		
5	24	214.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Transcript of Oral Argument on June 13, 2006		JA05592-JA05627
6 7 8	24	215.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Petition for Rehearing filed December 11, 2006		JA05628-JA05635
9	24	216.	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of		JA05636-JA05737
10 11			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and attached exhibits filed August 8, 2002		
12 13	24	217.	Letter dated August 20, 2004 from Rippo to Judge Mosley		JA05738
14	24	218.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, filed March 24, 1994		JA05739-JA05741
15 16	24	219.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Jury Instructions, filed March 6, 1996		JA05742-JA05782
17 18	25	220.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Notice of Alibi, filed September 2, 1993		JA05783-JA05785
19 20	25	221.	Affidavit of Alice May Starr dated January 26, 1994		JA05786-JA05791
21 22	25	222.	Letter dated October 12, 1993 from Starr to President Clinton		JA05792-JA05795
23	25	223.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Order Sealing Affidavit (and exhibits), dated September 30, 1993		JA05796-JA05801
2425	25	224.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Report dated September 30, 1993		JA05802-JA05803
26			Septemoor 50, 1775		
27	L				

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	25	225.	Letter dated November ??, 1993 from Starr to Rex Bell, District Attorney		JA05804-JA05807
4 5	25	226.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C57388, Draft Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea		JA05808-JA05812
6 7	25	227.	Justice Court Record, Thomas Edward Sims		JA05813-JA05881
8	25 26 27	228.	Justice Court Record, Michael Angelo Beaudoin		JA05882-JA06032 JA06033-JA06282 JA06283-JA06334
9 10 11	27	229.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Voluntary Statement of Michael Angelo Beaudoin dated March 1, 1992		JA06335-JA06349
12 13	27	230.	Justice Court Record, Michael Thomas Christos		JA06350-JA06403
14	27	231.	Justice Court Record, David Jeffrey Levine		JA06404-JA06417
15 16	27	232.	Justice Court Record, James Robert Ison		JA06418-JA06427
17	27	233.	MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) Scoring for Diana Hunt dated September 2, 1992		JA06428-JA06434
18 19	27	234.	Handwritten Declaration of James Ison dated November 30, 2007		JA06435-JA06436
2021	27	235.	Handwritten Declaration of David Levine dated November 20, 2007		JA06437-JA06438
22 23	27	236.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed August		JA06439-JA06483
242526	27	237.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Motion to Dismiss for Outrageous Government Misconduct, filed September 13, 1996		JA06484-JA06511
27		<u> </u>			

1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	2	8	238.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2, December 3, 1997		JA06512-JA06689
4 5		.8 .9	239.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, December 4, 1997		JA06690-JA06761 JA06762-JA06933
6 7		9	240.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4, December 8, 1997		JA06734-JA07011 JA07012-JA07133
8 9		0	241.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6, December 10, 1997		JA07134-JA07261 JA07262-JA06332
10 11	3	1	242.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, December 15, 1997		JA07333-JA07382
12 13		1 2	243.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9, December 16, 1997		JA07383-JA07511 JA07512-JA07525
14 15	3	2	244.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Respondent's Answering Brief, filed February 14, 1997		JA07526-JA07641
161718	3	2	245.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed December 2, 1997		JA07642-JA07709
19 20	3	2	246.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 124980, Criminal Court Minutes		JA07710-JA07713
212223	3	2	247.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Motion for New Trial, filed April 29, 1996		JA07714-JA07719
24 25 26	3	2	248.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Superseding Criminal Indictment, filed May 6, 1997		JA07720-JA07751
26						

1	Vol		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	249.	In the Matter of the Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing the Interception of Wire Communications dated October 11, 1995		JA07752-JA07756
5 6	33	250.	Clark County School District Records for Michael D. Rippo		JA07757-JA07762
7	33	251.	Neuropsychological Assessment, Thomas F. Kinsora, Ph.D., dated February 1, 1996		JA07763-JA07772
8 9 10	33	252.	Addendum to Neurological Assessment Report, Thomas F. Kinsors, Ph.D., dated March 12, 1996		JA07773-JA07775
11 12	33	253.	Pre-Sentence Report, State v. Rippo, Case No. 97388, dated April 23, 1982		JA07776-JA07782
13 14	33	254.	Psychiatric Evaluation, Norton A. Roitman, M.D., dated February 17, 1996		JA07783-JA07789
15 16	33	255.	SCOPE printout for Carole Ann Rippo		JA07790
17	33	256.	Progress Reports dated October 15, 1981		JA07791-JA07792
18 19	33	257.	Supplemental Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA07793-JA07801
20 21	33	258.	County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981 Order, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 9, 1981		JA07802-JA07803
22 23	33	259.	Terms of Probation, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 1, 1981		JA07804-JA07805
24 25	33	260.	Transcript of Proceedings, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 14, 1981		JA07806-JA07811
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	261.	Petition No. 1, Recommendation for Adjudication and Order of Approval, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 19, 1981		JA07812
5 6	33	262.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 8, 1981		JA07813
7 8	33	263.	Certification, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed October 19, 1981		JA07814
9 10	33	264.	Probation Officer's Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981		JA07815-JA07823
11 12	33	265.	Baseline Psychiatric Evaluation, Southern Desert Correctional Center, by Franklin D. Master, M.D., dated April 9, 1982		JA07824
13141516	33	266.	Confidential Psychological Evaluation by Eric S. Smith, Ph.D., Timothy L, Boyles, M.A., James F. Triggs, Ed.D., dated February 11, 1982		JA07825-JA07827
17 18	33	267.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07828-JA07829
19 20	33	268.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07830-JA07831
21 22	33	269.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07832-JA07833
23 24	33	270.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07834-JA07835
25 26	33	271.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
27					

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	272.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
4 5	33	273.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Arrest Report dated January 27, 1982		JA07838
6 7	33	274.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 29, 1982		JA07839-JA07840
8 9 10	33	275.	Certification Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 23, 1982		JA07841-JA07853
11 12	33	276.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 2, 1982		JA07854
13 14	33	277.	Judgment of Conviction, Case No. C57388, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Clark County, Nevada, filed May 28, 1982		JA07855
15	33	278.	Psychological Report: Corrections Master, dated June 2, 1982		JA07856-JA07859
16 17	33	279.	Test of Educational Development dated March 9, 1983		JA07860-JA07862
18	33	280.	Psychological Evaluation dated December 2, 1983		JA07863
19 20	33	281.	Parole Progress Report, March 1985 Agenda		JA07864-JA07865
21	33	282.	Institutional Progress Report, March 1987 Agenda		JA07866-JA07868
22 23	33	283.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated January 29, 1987		JA07869
24	33	284.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated August 12, 1988		JA07870
2526	33	285.	Parole Progress Report, September 1988 Agenda		JA07871-JA07872
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33 286. Psychological Evaluation dated August 23, 1989		JA07873		
4	33	287.	Parole Progress Report, September 1989 Agenda		JA07874-JA07875
5	33	288.	Parole Officers' Notes beginning December 4, 1989		JA07876-JA07884
6 7	33	289.	Institutional Progress Report dated May 1993		JA07885-JA07886
8	33	290.	Health Services, Psychology Referral Form dated April 28, 1993		JA07887
9	33	291.	Handwritten notes dated February 17, 1994		JA07888
11	33	292.	Handwritten notes dated March 9, 1994		JA07889
12 13	33	293.	Handwritten exam notes (Roitman) dated January 13, 1996		JA07890-JA07894
14	33	294.	Psychological Panel Results Notification dated January 10, 1996		JA07895
15 16	33	295.	Norton A. Roitman, Addendum, dated March 11, 1996		JA07896-JA07897
17	33	296.	Bongiovanni Off the Bench, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07898-JA07899
18 19	33	297.	Fraud probe led to judge, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07900
20	33	298.	Charge opens judge's race, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07901-JA07902
2122	33	299.	Judge Bongiovanni Indicted, <i>Las</i> Vegas Sun, April 18, 1986		JA07903
23	33	300.	Judge's actions examined, Las Vegas Review-Journal, April 19, 1996		JA07904-JA07906
2425	33	301.	Mental Health Progress Notes dated June 20, 1993		JA07907
26 27	33	302.	Affidavit of David M. Schieck dated March 16, 1998		JA07908

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	303.	Declaration of Carole A. Duncan dated January 19, 2000		JA07909-JA07910
4	33	304.	Union Free School #24, Pupil History Record, Michael Campanelli		JA07911-JA07912
5 6	33 34	305.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7, October 27, 1998		JA07913-JA08006 JA08007-JA08039
7 8	34	306.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, October 28, 1998		JA08040-JA08155
9 10 1	34	307.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Emergency Motion to Disqualify John Fadgen, Esq. From Representing Defendant Bongiovanni at Trial, July 24, 1997		JA08156-JA08225
2		308.	OMITTED		
13	34	309.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-		JA08226-JA08246
14			96-98-LDG(RJJ), Notice of Tape Recordings Intended for Use in Government's Case in Chief, filed August 2, 1996		
.6	35	310.	Letter from Donald J. Green requesting additional discovery dated July 9, 1996		JA08247-JA08253
8 9	35	311.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5, December 9, 1997		JA08254-JA08399
0	35	312.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA08400-JA08405
1			District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Answer		
2			in Opposition to Motion for New Trial, filed May 1, 1996		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8			37		

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2		35	313.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08406-JA08413
3 4				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances		
5				Numbered I and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
6				Circumstance Number 4, filed August 20, 1993		
7		35	314.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08414-JA08417
8				Nevada, Case No. 106784, State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance		
10				Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
11				Circumstance Number 4, filed February 11, 1994		
12 13		35	315.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08418-JA08419
14				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
15		35	316.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special		JA08420-JA08421
16				Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
17 18		35 36	317.	Social History		JA08422-JA08496 JA08497-8538
19		36	318.	Parental Agreement, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA08539
20				County, Nevada, dated April 29, 1981		
21		36	319.	Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., and Thomas J. Reidy, Ph.D., <u>Integrating</u>		JA08540-JA08564
22				Base Rate Data in Violence Risk Assessments at Capital Sentencing,		
23 24				16 Behavioral Sciences and the Law 71, 88-89 (1998)		
25		36	320.	Letter from Michael Rippo to Steve Wolfson dated April 17, 1996		JA08565
26		36	321.	Report of Jonathan Mack, Ph.D.		JA08566-JA08596
27			<u> </u>			

Vol.			Page
36	322. Trial Exhibit: Photograph of Michael Rippo		JA08597
36	323. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Application and Order for Fee in Excess of Statutory Amount for Investigator, filed December 3, 1996		JA08598-JA08605
36	324. Wiretap Transcript, Tommy Simms [sic], dated June 8, 1992		JA08606-JA08609
36	325. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Continued Initial Arraignment, heard March 25, 1982		JA08610-JA08619
36	326. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Further Proceedings and/or Continued Initial Arraignment heard March 30, 1982		JA08620-JA08626
36	327. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C106784, Instructions to the Jury, filed March 14, 1996		JA08627-JA08652
36	328. Declaration of Elisabeth B. Stanton, dated January 15, 2008		JA08653-JA08664
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	06/09/08	JA11564-JA11574
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	09/16/08	JA11575-JA11585
1	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/06/92	JA00242-JA00245
2	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/20/92	JA00246-JA00251
36	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel	02/11/08	JA08665-JA08668
2	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Proceedings; Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District	02/14/94	JA00378-JA00399

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	Attorney's Office		
19	Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/10/04	JA04347-JA04408
48	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing	09/22/08	JA11586-JA11602
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Attorney General's Motion to Quash and for Protective Order	09/20/93	JA00316-JA00319
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Motion to Continue Jury Trial	09/10/93	JA00304-JA00315
3	Reporter's Transcript of Motions Hearing	03/09/94	JA00565-JA00569
18	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary [sic] Hearing	11/27/02	JA04202-JA04204
19	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Honorable Donald M. Mosely	08/20/04	JA04321-JA04346
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Argument and Decision	05/02/02	JA04048-JA04051
1	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Grand Jury	06/04/92	JA00001-JA00234
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1; 10:00 a.m.	01/30/96	JA00634-JA00641
3 4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 1:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00642-JA00725 JA00726
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. III; 3:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00727-JA00795
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:15 AM	01/31/96	JA00796-JA00888
4 5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	01/31/96	JA00889-JA00975 JA00976-JA01025
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I; 10:20 a.m.	02/01/96	JA01026-JA01219
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. VI; 10:20 a.m.	02/02/96	JA01220-JA01401
5B	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1, 1:30 p.m.	02/05/96	JA01401-001 to JA01401-179
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 2:30 p.m.	02/02/96	JA01402-JA01469 JA01470-JA01506

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
7 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM		02/06/96	JA01507-JA01688
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	02/06/96	JA01689-JA01766
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 1:45 PM	02/07/96	JA01767 JA01872
8 9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/08/96	JA01887-JA01938 JA01939-JA02054
9 10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:45 AM	02/26/96	JA02055-JA02188 JA02189-JA02232
10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00AM	02/27/96	JA02233-JA02404
11	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	02/28/96	JA02405-JA02602
12 13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:35 a.m.	02/29/96	JA02630-JA02879 JA02880-JA02885
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial 9:00 AM	03/01/96	JA02886-JA03064
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	03/04/96	JA03065-JA03120
14	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00 a.m.	03/05/96	JA03121-JA03357
16	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. 1 11:30 a.m.	03/13/96	JA03594-JA03808
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 9:30 AM	03/14/96	JA03841-JA04001
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	03/18/94	JA00575-JA00582
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	04/14/94	JA00591-JA00618
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Penalty Phase 10:00 a.m.	03/12/96	JA03413-JA03593
2 3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office	03/07/94	JA00403-485 JA00486-564

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings re: Oral Request of District Attorney	01/31/94	JA00322-JA00333
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Ruling on Defense Motion	03/11/94	JA00570-JA00574
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	05/17/96	JA04014-JA04036
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Verdict	03/06/96	JA03403-JA03411
2	Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to His Defense	02/07/94	JA00351-JA00357
36 37	State's Motion to Dismiss and Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/23/08	JA08673-JA08746 JA08747-JA08757
2	State's Motion to Expedite Trial Date or in the Alternative Transfer Case to Another Department	02/16/93	JA00268-JA00273
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery and State's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	10/27/92	JA00260-JA00263
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	02/07/94	JA00346-JA00350
18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	10/14/02	JA04154-JA04201
2	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	02/14/94	JA00367-JA00370
18	State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/06/04	JA04259-JA04315
2	State's Response to Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office and State's Motion to Quash Subpoenas	02/14/94	JA00358-JA00366
18	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	02/10/04	JA04206-JA04256

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
17 18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	08/08/02	JA04052-JA04090 JA04091-JA04153
15	Verdicts	03/06/96	JA03399-JA03402
16	Verdicts and Special Verdict	03/14/96	JA03835-JA03840

5

6

7

8

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1		Q		Anc	l in	refe	rence	to	the	driveway	in	the
2	street,	is	there	a	side	ewalk	there	97	,			

A Yes, there is, but it's got a chain link fence around it that's got a rolled gate for the driveway.

- Q Were you inside that fence and gate?
- A When Dan grabbed him, yeah.
- Q And how did Mr. Rippo get away?
- A Um, it was -- then they were struggling like they were in the street then.
 - Q Oh, the struggle moved out post the gate 1nto the street?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And then when Mr. Rippo broke away and ran away, did he run down the street, the sidewalk --
 - A The street.
 - Q Was he running slow or fast?
- 17 A He was moving.
- 18 Q Fast?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Did anyone catch him?
- 21. A No.
- 22 Q Did anyone go after him?
- 23 A We started to and then he was gone.
- 24 Q So you discontinued your effort?

	,			
ž Ö			•	
	8 1	A Right.	A (
0 1 0 1	2	Q You said that he had driven up to the Nelson		
97050_DC000745	3	Street address in the brown Isuzu; is that correct?		
<u>,</u> ,,	4			
	5			
		Q The truck?	•	
	6	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I object. That's		
	7	been asked and answered.		
	8	THE COURT: Overruled.		
	9	BY MR. SEATON:		
	10	Q Did he take that with him when he left?	Q I	
	11	A No, no.	A i	
	12	Q Did it stay?	G 1	
	13	A Yep.	A	
	14	Q Did the police officers come?	Ø 1	
	15	A Yes.	Α `	
	16	Q How soon after he left did they come?	Q I	
	17	A Twenty, 25 minutes later.	A	
	18	Q Do you know, of your own knowledge, if	Q 1	
	19	someone within your group called the police?	omeone within y	
	20	A Yes.	Α '	
	21	Q When they came, did they ask all of you	Q	
	22	questions?	uestions?	
	23	A Yes, they did.	A	
	24	Q Did they ask specifically you questions?	Q 1	
		002641		

₩₽;≈≈o070582000/646	1	А	Yes.
75 8-20 100	2	Q	Did you answer them?
1992 1992 1992 1992 1992 1992 1992 1992	3	А	Yes.
	4	Q	Did they were they able to recover the
	, 5	brown bag at yo	ur in your brother's car?
9	; 6	А	Yes, they were.
	7	Q	How did they get there to do that?
	8	А	They went to my father's house and
	9	Q	Did you go with them?
	10	А	No, I didn't,
	11.	Q	Did you end up going to did you tell
	12	them, the polic	e, that is, where the brown bag was?
	13	· A	Yes.
	14	Q	Did you do that voluntarily?
	15	A	Yes.
	16	Q	Did you go to the Metropolitan Police
	17	Department?	
	18	A	Yes.
	19	Q	And while there, did you give a formal
	20	statement?	
	21	A	Yes, I did.
	22	Q	After that was over, where did you go?
	23	A	After the formal statement was over?
	24	q	Yes.
			002642

	•	
9 1	A	I believe I went home.
2	Q	Did you ever go to a K-Mart parking lot?
3	А	Yes, I did.
Lj.	Q	When did that occur?
5	А	That was a couple days later.
6	a	What was the purpose in going to the K-Mart?
7	А	We were looking for a car that Diana was
8	driving.	
9	Q	And what kind of a car was that?
10	A	A white Pinto.
11	Q	Had you ever seen that car before?
12	A	No.
13	a	But you had learned that she had a white
14	Pinto?	•
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	What was your purpose in going to locate a
17	white Pinto?	
18	А	Maybe find same more of Denise's belongings.
19	Q	And when you went to the K-Mart, where is
20	that located?	
21	А	It's on Rainbow and Spring Mountain.
22	Q	When you got to Rainbow and Spring Mountain
23	in the K-Mart	parking lot, did you find a Pinto automobile
24	there?	
		002643

_
70
Ľ
7
mo:
0
ŀ
33
376
 ∐[
85
00
T.
70
9
9
(2)
100
OT:
CO.

9	1	A	Yes, we did.
	2	Q	Did you look into it?
	3	А	Yes, we did.
	4	Q	How did you get into the car?
	5	A	Broke the window.
	6	Q	And did you gain entry that way?
	7	A	Yes.
	8	Q	When you looked in it, did you find anything
	9	that belonged to	Denise?
	10	. А	Nothing.
	11	Q	Showing you 72 71 and 72, does that
	12	appear to be the	e Pinto?
	13	А	Yes, it does.
	14	Q	Okay. When you found the Pinto in the
	15	K-Mart, did you	call the police?
	16	A	No.
	17	Q	Mr. Beaudoin, have you been convicted of a
	18	felony?	
	19	А	Yes, I have.
	20	Q	How many?
	21	А	Тио.
	22	Q	What were they for?
	23	. А	Drugs.
	24		MR. SEATON: That concludes direct, Judge.
			002644
		-	

ORIGINAL

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

٧\$.

Case No. C106784 Dept. No. IV Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo, #0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bongiovanni Friday, March 1, 1996, 9:00 o'clock a.m. Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

JURY TRIAL

BY: Renee'Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

CE12

Las Vegas, Nevado, Friday, March 1, 1996, 9:00 a.m.

* * * * *

(The following proceedings were had in open court outside the presence of the Jury:)

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Michael

Damon Rippo.

Let the record reflect the presence of the defendant, with his attorney Philip

11 Dunleavy; Mel Harmon for the State.

The record will reflect we're

3 outside the presence of the Jury.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Which order would you like to

take these:in, Your Honor?

THE COURT: What?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Which order would you like to

3 take these in?

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: It doesn't motter to me.

MR. DUNLEAVY: May I suggest we take the one

to preclude consideration of the victim impact.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNLEAVY: What I've set forth -- and

I'll try and be real brief because it's set forth in the

points — is that in Payne versus Tennessee, they didn't mandate this kind of testimony come in; they only said if the State felt it was necessary, they could enact laws authorizing it to come in, as long as it didn't violate due process.

Our statutory scheme is three statutes: 175.552, which is very vague and ambiguous, and Just says defendant or victim in any other matter; that's a wide open statute:

N.R.S. 200.033, which is the

aggravating circumstances, which says circumstances aggravating first degree murder, the only circumstances by which murder of the first degree may be aggravated are, and it lists them. Victim impact is not on that lists

And N.R.S. 200.035, which, in essence, says any other, but it has to be mitigating and it's to be presented in a mitigating fashion. This is clearly not mitigating evidence.

We submit that there is abundant case law that an inappropriate aggravating circumstance is invalid. It would invalidate the verdict and it should not be allowed.

Supreme Court has indicated that this kind of testimony is

. 1

. 3 4

5

6

•

7

8

. 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

1.6

1.7

18 19

20

21

22

24

23

aggravating -- what other purpose does it have? It pulls at the Jury's heart strings. It's what the State relies upon to try and get their death penalty. It's the club the State is using to get people killed.

And we submit that it's improper when they are using it basically as an aggravating circumstance; and we have a statutory scheme that limits aggravating circumstances to only 12 possible options, and this is not one of them.

Since our state did not choose to create a specific statute defining aggravating circumstances and including the victim impact statement, then I don't believe our state has properly enacted a statute authorizing it.

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon?

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, our state has done that. The very point in time that the statutory scheme was created, which applies to penalty hearings, our state enacted 175.552, and that language makes it very clear that a relevant factor will be evidence regarding the victim.

I'm talking about subheading

(3) of 175,552:

In the hearing -- and it's talking about the penalty hearing -- evidence may

Z

.17

the presented concerning aggravating and mitigating circumstances relevant to the offense, defendant or victim and on any other matter which the Court deems relevant to sentence, whether or not the evidence is ordinarily admissible.

Your Honor, what Payne v.

Tennessee did was remove a striking imbalance, which, up to that point, was occurring in penalty hearings, and it actually was a travesty, that the defense was left free, without any type of evidentiary restraint, to parade witnesses before the jury extolling the background and virtues of the killer, while the prosecution was left with no opportunity to personalize the type of victim who had been murdered and to bring out the devastating impact the killing had on family and friends of the victim.

Mr. Dunleavy says what other reason could there be than to inflame the jury and assist in securing a death penalty?

Honor, to establish that, Just as the defendant is a unique human being, so the victim also has his or her uniqueness; and the only way the Jury can actually determine what specific harm has occurred in a particular case is for them also to hear the so-called victim impact evidence.

Your Honor, since Payne v.

Tennessee, which made it possible, under the strict language of that case, for the prosecution to present evidence regarding the type of individual the victim was, and also evidence regarding the impact the death has caused upon the family, our state Supreme Court, here in Nevada, on at least four separate occasions, has approved the use of victim impact evidence at penalty hearings.

And they pointed out that the applicable statute is 175.552. They pointed out that the trial court has broad discretion in permitting victim impact evidence under that language.

And I cite, for the Court's consideration, Guy v. State — that's G-u-y — reported at 108 Nevada, 770. It is a 1992 decision;

Lane v. State, L-a-n-e, at 110

Nevada, 1156, a 1994 decision;

Smith v. State, reported also

at 110 Nevada, 1094; another 1994 decision;

And these cases also reference

Homick v. State, H-o-m-i+c-k.

Your Honor, it's abundantly clear that in order to keep the playing field even, in order for the jury to be able to assess not only the background

5 .

1:0

. 8

and the personality of the defendant, but to keep it even, to keep it fair, and for them to be able to assess the gravity of a particular crime, so they can determine the precise moral culpability, they have a right, as Payne informs this Court and all other courts, to hear from the family of the victim.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Very briefly, Your Honor.

I would just point out, those cases emphasize the presiding judge in a capital sentence proceeding must exercise great caution in determining the admissibility of victim impact. There is a lot of limits on it.

Payne never said it has to come in. Payne didn't even say it should come in. Payne Just said it's not a violation of the Eighth Amendment to allow it to come in and put the burden on the states to create a statutory scheme.

Now, our Supreme Court has looked at this 175.552, but nobody has pointed out to them that there is absolute bars to expanding the aggravating circumstances, and the aggravating circumstances are statutorily defined in this state.

If we have a general statute that authorizes something, and a specific statute that

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

one?

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

narrows it, the specific statute is supposed to control; and we submit the specific statute is 200.033, which says it's not there.

I would submit it.

THE COURT: All right, All right.

The motion to preclude consideration of victim impact evidence, pursuant to N.R.S.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Any preference on the next

THE COURT: You can make your choice.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Pretrial Judicial review.

THE COURT: Okay.

175.552, 200.033 and 200.035, is denied.

MR. DUNLEAVY: What we're asking the Court to do is require the State, through calling the witnesses and demanstrating to the Court, what testimony they are intending to solicit, because the Supreme Court in Payne made it quite clear that this testimony can be so prejudicial as to taint or poison the entire proceedings.

We don't want to see this case mistried in this phase of the hearing, if we should ever get to it. This is the one specifically where I cite the language about the obligation of the trial judge to exercise great care in trying to limit this.

: 3

- 20

Payne only authorizes a snapshot. Now we're talking about an entire movie of the victim's life, and that is not what happened in Payne.

In Payne, there was basically a ane sentence victim impact statement. Now the states have expanded that to have witness after witness after witness come in and testify.

In Payne all that it said is that the child missed its mother and baby sister and wondered when they were going to come home.

there since then, and I think the Court has to look at how highly prejudicial this evidence can be, how emotionally compelling it can be to the Jury, because the Supreme Court has made it quite clear, if a Jury is emotionally compelled to a verdict, it is an unreliable verdict and not one that can be trusted, and they overturned the cases.

And we have to have a narrowing limitation, and I submit that the appropriate way to do it, as we're asking for, is to have the State put these witnesses on the stand so we can see what the nature and scope of the testimony is going to be before we taint the jury.

MR, HARMON: And are we going to do the same

: 3

 thing, then, Judge, and try the penalty hearing twice for every defense witness who is summoned to present evidence of the character of Mr. Rippo?

Well, I think that would be inappropriate, Just as I think this is inappropriate.

Now, Nr. Dunleavy talks about the Payne decision. With the Court's indulgence, I'd like to refer to specific language from the decision on two

pages. Payne is reported -- it's P-a-y-n-e, versus

Tennessee -- at 111 Supreme Court, 2597, a 1991 decision.

And at page 2608, the Court

says, quote:

State may properly conclude that for the Jury to assess meaningfully the defendant's moral culpability and blameworthiness, it should have before it — it should have before it, at the sentencing phase, evidence of the specific harm caused by the defendant.

And then there is reference a little later to the importance of not turning the victim into a faceless stranger at the penalty hearing of a capital trial.

The main court then cites

language used by the Tennessee Supreme Court as follows—and I quote from page 2609:

.

1.3

The Supreme Court of Tennessee in this case obviously felt the unfairness of the rule pronounced by Booth when it said, subquote:

It is an affront to the civilized members of the human race to say that at a sentencing in a capital case, a parade of witnesses may praise the background, character and good deeds of the defendant, without limitation as to relevancy, but nothing may be said that bears upon the character of, or the harm imposed upon, the victims.

And then the court, in Payne, presents its holding, which is also at 2609:

we thus hold that if the State chooses to permit the admission of victim impact evidence and prosecutorial argument on that subject, the Eighth Amendment erects no per so bar. A State may legitimately conclude that evidence about the victim and about the impact of the murder on the victim's family is relevant to the jury's decision as to whether or not the death penalty should be imposed. There is no reason to treat

such evidence differently than any other relevant evidence is treated.

Your Honor, we oppose this motion because we understand very well what the guidelines are of the Payne holding.

We've already instructed those who intend to give victim impact statements, in the event there is a penalty hearing in this case, that they are not to take the mitness stand and offer interpretations about how heinous these crimes are; that they are not to valunteer information about their wishes regarding punishment.

We have instructed them already, and will continue to give them guidance, that the victim impact statement is limited to the following parameters:

It is important that the victim be personalized to those who will impose the punishment, and so impact witnesses are permitted to explain what type of person the victim was and to share with the Jury things about the personality and life of that individual; and,

Number two, the impact statement will include a recitation of what type of impact the murders have had upon the victims.

Your Honor, that is clearly the

23

.24

holding of Payne. The Court undoubtedly, pursuant to 175.552, should properly exercise its discretion in providing those types of limitations on the victim impact evidence.

I'm simply saying, Judge, we understand what the guidelines are. We don't intend to step beyond the boundary established by the Payne decision.

Therefore, this motion, which calls for some type of pre-hearing judicial review of the evidence is unnecessary.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, very

briefly.

Your Honor, Mr. Harmon started off saying that they want the same kind of hearing on the defendant, but the court has repeatedly held: Any relevating — relevant mitigating evidence that the defendant proffers in support of a sentence less than death; virtually no limits are placed on the relevant mitigating evidence.

Mitigating is wide open. The statutes say so; the Supreme Court says so; everybody says so.

There is a lot of difference between that and this new area we're getting into, the

12 ·

1.8

 victim impact statements.

And that — in the Payne case, they pointed out the jury should see a quick glimpse of the life which a defendant chose to extinguish. A quick glimpse; it's not a wide open, call everybody you can think of who wants to say something, and they have been repeatedly cautioned that this testimony can violate the constitutional provision.

Mr. Harmon has already addressed some of the issues I raised in another motion about the limited areas of this, but we submit there should be some kind of a pretrial screening, a written proffer or something, saying that they are going to limit the areas of this and that the judge can preview it before the jury does.

I submit that.

THE COURT: As long as they stay within the parameters set forth by Mr. Harmon and do not -- these impact witnesses do not state what they believe the penalty should be or make any statements regarding how heinous the crime was, stay within those parameters, as to just the effects and -- character of the victim and the effects on the family. I think it should be allowed.

I see no reason to preview all these mitnesses. I consider it a waste of court time.

- 6

.11

because I can't be assured that what they state outside the presence of the jury is going to be the same testimony they're going to make when they're asked a question in front of the jury.

So the motion is denied.

MR. DUNLEAVY: I think the next one, which should be very brief now, is the motion to limit characterizations and opinions about the crime, the defendant and/or the appropriate sentence, which is -- I have as a separate motion.

I would submit it on the argument we just made in the other motion. I believe what we're talking about is making sure they do limit themselves to what Payne has authorized and do not make comments regarding the characterization of the crime, the defendant or the appropriate sentence. And I believe Mr. Harmon has already indicated that they've been advised on that.

MR, HARMON: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. DUNLEAVY: That was the purpose of this motion, to make sure that happens.

THE COURT: That takes care of that motion.

MR. DUNLEAVY: The next motion I think logically would be the cumulative motion, the motion to bar admission of cumulative victim impact evidence. This is

1.8

22 -

 motion number three.

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, a very brief response. I have checked with the family in anticipation of the hearing this morning. Regarding Mr. and Mrs. Jacobson, they've decided that if the Court permits it, Mr. Jacobson will address the Jury on behalf of Lauri Jacobson.

So in terms of that victim, if there is to be a penalty hearing, there would be one victim impact witness. So there certainly is going to be no --- nothing cumulative at all regarding that victim.

Regarding Denise Lizzi, there are three members of the family who wish to address the Jury: The parents and a brother. They have assured me that they have a different perspective. The impact of her death has affected them differently. There isn't going to be any cumulative testimony affered to the Jury, Your Honor.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I would submit the reason I filed this motion is I was made aware there are several jurisdictions that have barred certain areas and it's working its way up through the courts at this time.

And what the bars have been is, for instance, calling the mother to come in and testify about what the impact has been to her; then calling the

8 -

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1:

has been to the wife; and then you call the son, who comes
in and says this is how it affected my mother; and it's Just
stacking up one particular person.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNLEAVY: We want to make sure they

MR. DUNLEAVY: We want to make sure they know they can't do that.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Harmon said it's going to be limited as to how each victim impact witness --- the effect it had on them personally.

Is that correct?

MR. HARMON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. It will be limited in that way.

MR. DUNLEAVY: And the final one, Your Honor, is the motion to disclose exculpatory evidence in this area.

It's one of those things where the defense really has no access; and we're asking the State to inquire. Are there members of this family that are apposed to the death penalty; or are there members of this family that are going to feel bad if the death penalty should be imposed; are there family members that — one or more members — don't believe in the death penalty; or one

or more members believe that it's wrong or that they're going to feel guilty about it or something like that.

If that's out there, we should have that information ar it should be provided to the Jury, to know that not everybody in the family agrees on the death penalty.

Some families, there is a lot of dissension on this issue; some there is not. Statistics show that most families -- there is a diversity on this issue.

THE COURT: You just argued before that you don't think it's right for them to say what should happen to the defendant.

MR. DUNLEAVY: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And now you are contradicting yourself.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Because courts have held that beliefs that they're quoting established that the victim's family members would experience anxiety, guilt, depression, blame, trepidation, doubt or moral indignation in the event the defendant was executed for the murder of his or her loved one is exculpatory,

That comes under the open mitigating, if they're opposed to the death penalty, and

1 · 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

1;8

19 20

-21

22:

23

24

that's why it's couched in that specific language. If they have anxiety, depression, blame, trepidation, doubt or moral indignation in the event, then we're entitled to know that, and we could make a decision whether or not we feel the Jury should be advised of that as a mitigating factor.

Mr. HARMON: Your Honor, with due respect to Mr. Dunleavy, this motion is a Joke, and it also is a contradiction.

Even assuming, for the sake of argument, fitting his very loose definition of exculpatory evidence, that there was that type of sentiment somewhere hidden within the Lizzi and Jacobson families, it wouldn't be admissible because he's already argued that they're not permitted to talk about punishment.

I would suggest that any statements by family members, whether it's from Mr. Rippo's family or friends or from the victims, which pertains to their opinions about punishment is not pertinent, is not relevant, and should not be permitted to come before the jury.

I will tell the Court, just for the benefit, I suppose, of Mr. Dunleavy, that there is no such exculpatory evidence available for us to make discoverable to him. There is no such sentiment in the

1	Mike Beaudoin.
2	Do you understand you are still
3	under ooth?
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir,
5	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
6	
7	MICHAEL BEAUDOIN
8	the witness on the stand at time of adjournment,
9	having been previously duly sworn, resumed the
0	stand, and testified further as fallows:
1	
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
4	Q Good morning, sir.
5	Now, I need to clarify a couple
6	things. Yesterday, I got confused.
7	You and Denise Lizzi had been
8	friends or was it you and Lauri Jacobson?
.9	A Denise.
0	Q Okay and for about ten years?
1	A: Yes, sir.
22	Q She had been your live-in girlfriend for
23	about the last three
<u>}</u> 4	A Yes.

MR1PPO-07038-02548

1	A	She was a friend. She is a friend.
2	Q.	Is she the mother of your child?
3	A	Yes, she is,
4	Q	And how long have you known Brenda?
5	A	About five years.
6	Qi	So he had somehow contacted Brenda.
7		Do you know how he knew Brenda
8	would know you o	or
9	A	Probably through Diana.
10	Q	You don't have any firsthand knowledge?
11	A	No, I don't.
12	Q	Okay. When he got in touch with you, he
13	asked for a meet	ting; is that correct?
14	A ;	Yes. He ask he said I have some things
15	you might be in	terested in.
16	Q.	And you wound up at the Showboat on the
17	Tourth floor or	third floor of the parking garage to meet
18	with him; is the	at correct?
19	A ^r	Yes.
20	q	And he gave you a bag?
21	A	Yes. It wosn't just here.
22	Q:	Well, the meeting was for the purposes of
23	him giving some	things to you?
24	A	Yes.
	1	

	*	1	3:
S	•	•	
			· ·
7	1	A	Yes.
7	2	Q	I'm not sure what his name was.
	3	A	Bob.
1	4	Q	Okay. That's a name I can get.
1	. 5	ì	When you got there, was Diana
AHHAMAAAAAAA	6	Hunt there?	;
	7	A,	I don't believe so. It's been so long.
	8	Q	Do you remember seeing Diana Hunt arrive?
8	9	A ⁱ	Yes, I think yes.
Administration of the second	10	Q:	Did she arrive in a limosine?
	11	A:	No, she didn't.
	12	Q	How did she get there?
	13	A	I didn't actually see her pull up.
- HT - HILLIAN -	14	Q:	So you don't know what car she arrived in?
	15	A,	No ,
	16	Q;	When did you first see Diana Hunt that night
	17	or early morni	ing?
A STATE OF THE STA	18	,	When she arrived there.
	19	Q	Did she walk into the house or did you see
	20	her out in the	yard or in the street?
• III III II	21	A	I believe she knocked on the door.
, , , ,	22	Q	Were you inside?
The state of the s	23	A ;	Yes,
¥	24	Q.	Okay. So you saw her coming into the house?
in the state of th	· :		••

	, •	
1	A.	I believe so.
2	Q	Did you confront her about things you had
3	heard?	:
4	A	Right away.
5	i e	And was there any physical confrontation or
6	Mos this just	d discussion?
7	A	It was Just a discussion.
8	q.	Then somehow, you got in touch with Mr.
9	Rippo, is that	correct, or had somebody get in touch with
10	him?	
11	A ₂	Yes,
12	Q	Do you know if you called him or Brenda
13	dialed the pha	ne or do you remember who actually made the
14	phone call?	•
15	A	I think Diana was the one that got him
16	there.	
17	Q	How long; was it before he showed up?
18	. A	It was actually pretty fast, about 20
19	Q	Twenty minutes?
20	A	Yeah, 20, 25 minutes.
21	. 0	It wasn't just seconds or
22	A	No.
23	Q.	Now, when he got there, did he come into the
24	house?	
,		
	1	•

1	A ⁱ	No, he didn't,
2	Q	Bid everybody go outside to meet him?
3	A.	I think no and Dan went out.
4	Q	That would be Dan
5	A:	Barton,
6 :	Q	Barton?
. 7	A·	And I think Diana was right behind us.
8.	Q:	Is that the same Dan Barton you had had a
9	fight with tow	ards the end of the month?
1.0	A	(No response.)
1,1	Q:	So you, pan Mr. Scott was there as well?
12	A :	Yes.
13	Q	Did he go out with you?
14	A	I believe so. It's been so long.
15	Q:	And they went out and there was a fist
16	fight; is that	right?
17	A:	Between
1.8	Q.	Diana Hunt and Mr. Rippo?
19	, A;	It wasn't actually a fist fight. He was
20	more or less b	eating on her.
21	a	Isn't she taller than he is?
22	A.	Yeah.
23	Q.	How long: did this fight last?
	A A	Probably five minutes; over five minutes.

	,	•
1	Q	And you just stood there and watched it?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Did you have a club or anything with you?
4	A	Yeah.
5	Q	Did you use this club?
6	A	When it was done.
7	Q.	After it: was done?
8	A	After they yeah.
9 '	Q	Okay. What did you do with it?
10	A	Went after Rippo with it.
11	Q.	So there was Mr. Scott was there and Diana
12	and Bowman?	•
13		MR. SEATON: Diana and Bowmon?
14	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	F Commence of the Commence of
15	Q;	I'm sorry. What's his name, the guy you had
1.6	the fight with?	•
1.7	A	Barton.
18	Q.	Borton.
19		Dan Barton and yourself and
20	Diana Hunt and	Brenda Brümmett was there.
21		And what about Bob, the guy who
22	owns the house	or lives there?
23	A	I didn't see him the entire night.
24	Q.	So there were the five of you and Mr. Rippo;
	;	

1	Q	And then I'm not sure how long after this
2	confrontation,	you somebody told you there was a car in a
3	K-Mart parking	lot or you found out there was a car in a
4	K-Mart parking	lot?
5		How did you find out about it?
6	A:	That morning when the cops took us up to
7	City Hall to -	. :
8	Q	That would have been the day this fight
9	occurred?	
10	A	Yeah.
11	Q	Okgy,
12	A	Brenda overheard Diana Hunt's statement.
13	That was how I	knew it was there.
14	Q.	And you believe that car was Diana Hunt's
1:5	car, so you we	nt to the parking lat; is that correct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you just come from the police station
18	after giving t	he statement about all this, right?
19	A í	Went directly up there after the statement.
20	a	Same day?
21	A	Right have been later that night or even the
22	following day.	
23	Q ;	You had met the police officers that were
24	involved and y	ou had given a statement?

1	MR. DUNLEAVY: Would it refresh your
.2	recollection to take a look at your statement?
· 3	Showing him page three of his
4	statement.
5	MR. WOLFSON: Philip, have him answer out
6	loud.
7	THE COURT: Would it refresh your memory?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
10	Q Direct your attention to page three.
11	Does that refresh your
12	recollection as to what you said?
13	You were being asked basically
14	what her reputation was among the people you knew, and your
15	opinion of her based on that; is that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And what was your answer?
18	A She she hordly ever tells the truth.
19	MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your
20	Honor, Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Redirect?
22	
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. SEATON:

1	Q Weren't your words actually I know she has a
2	hard I know she has a hard telling the truth about
3	anything?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you mean a hard time telling the truth
6	about anything?
7	A Yes.
. 8	Q Did you go on to that same answer to
· 9 -	say a little bit more about Diana Hunt?
10	Would it help if I showed you
11	that statement again?
12	THE COURT: Speak up, sir.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
14	BY MR. SEATON;
15	q The very top of the page I Just read to you,
16	I know she has a hard telling the truth about anything, and
17	then the two sentences after that.
18	A: (Complies.)
19	Q Did you say in this that you really didn't
20	know very much about her?
21	A Yes, I did.
22	There has been some discussion about the
23	date that you went to Jail in February and the date that you
24	got out of Jail in February.
	!

1		·
1	· Q,	And you mentioned in that testimony that
. 2	they were small	threats; is that correct?
3	A	Yes, they were.
4	Q	Is that how you thought of them?
: 5	A -	That's how I thought of them.
6 •	Q:	You were there having the conversation with
7	Denise Lizzi.	
8		Did you find it was just that?
9	A	Diana? `
: 10		MR. SEATON: I'm sorry. Diana Hunt, I'm
11	following along	with Mr. Dunleavy here.
12		MR. DUNLEAVY: It's contogious.
13		MR. SEATON: It is.
14	,	MR. HOLFSON: You and I are okay, right,
15	Mel?	:
16		MR. SEATON: So for.
17	BY MR. SEATON:	
18	. a	You were there having the conversation with
19	Diana Hunt, Yo	u alone know what that conversation was like.
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Did it have to do merely with
21	small threats,	small talk, about cutting someone's tires or
22	getting after t	hem, beating them up or something like that?
23	. A	It was small talk.
24	q	Did it have anything at all to do with
		; ;

	· •	
, 1	murder?	:
2	. A No	; •
3 :	Q. An	ything serious like that at all, in your
4 .	mind?	
5 .	A No	, sir.
6	Q In	your testimony, didn't you say you didn't
7	think nothing of i	t?
8 .	A Ye	3 , :
9	a Mr	. Dunleavy asked you about hiding the
10	evidence in your b	rother's car, the evidence of the brown
11	bay that we showed	you yesterday.
12		And I brought out the fact that
13	you didn't call th	e police then. And if I remember
14	correctly, you tol	d him that when you got to the Nelson
15	Street address, yo	u did call the police and had them come
16	and you told them	where the bag was.
17		Why did you wait?
18	A: Be	cause I wanted to keep the bag, until I
19 .	started to think a	bout it.
20	Q Yo	u wanted to keep the bag for what purpose?
21	A Be	cause it belonged to Denise.
22	Q We	re you thinking of it in terms of evidence
23	in a murder case o	t that time?
24	A N¢	, I waşn't.

E		
•	q :	Did you, at a later time?
	. A	Yes.
	Q	You had a fight with Denise around Just
.	before the	on the 1st of February, according to the Grand
,	Jury testimony	that we heard today.
		Were the two of you angry with
,	each other?	*
3	Α.	Yes, we were.
ı	Q.	Had she moved out of your apartment?
)	A ⁻	Yes.
.	Q:	Over the month that you spent in Jail, what
	were your fee:	lings for her?
Ī	A	I still layed her; still wanted to be with
,	her.	
i .	Q.	Would you have tried for some sort of a
•	reconciliatio	n had she been alive?
•	A .	Yes.
3	Q;	Because of your feelings for her, were you
)	concerned abo	ut who had committed these killings?
)	A	Yes, I was.
L	Q	Was there talk about it among the people who
2	you associate	with?
3	, A	Yes, there was.
4	Q i	Barton and Brenda Brummett, people probably

10

1	you said you met her maybe five times; is that right?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q But Diang Hunt goes and gets your car out of
4	impound, not Denise Lizzi; and then Diana Hunt comes to
5	visit you in the Joil and offers at one point to do Denise's
6	tires; is that right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q At another point, she said she was going to
9	do Denise; is that right?
10	A I don't think she said do Denise, I think
11	she said beat Denise's ass.
1.2	Q The same page Mr. Seaton Just showed you, I
1.3	believe you stopped at: I didn't think nothing of it.
14	The next question:
15	Question: Okay, Okay,
16	And what did you say wasn't
17	the question: No. I didn't think nothing of it.
18	Answer: Okay, go ahead.
19	Answer: She had seen me twice,
20	the 20 days I was in there; and one of these times,
21	she said she is going to do Denise.
22	Is that your answer?
23	A: Yes.
24	Q Now, you said she visited you thice while

MR:PPO-07030-0258

11

1	could find Denis	: 6?
2	A	No, I don't think so.
3	Q.	You don't remember that?
4	Α	No. I don't even think I know the address
5	where Denise was	3 ,
6	Q	Now, after this Nelson Street incident, did
7	Diana Hunt stay	in touch with you?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Did Michael Rippo stay in touch with you?
10	A '	No.
11	Q ·	He didn't? Didn't he contact you several
12	times in the nex	kt few days?
13	A	He may have.
14	Q:	But Diana Hunt didn't?
1.5	A	Not not that I recall. It's been so
16	long.	•
17	Q	And you thought that the threats Diana Hunt
18	Has making were	small threats; is that correct?
19	. A '	Yeah
20	Q ·	Didn't turn out that way, did 117
21		MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions.
22		
23		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
. 24	BY MR. SEATON:	

Whereupon,

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

. 24

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly swarn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

ROBERT ARNOT

THE CLERK: Thank you.

Please be seated.

Will you state your name and

spell it for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: Robert Arndt; A-r-n-d-t.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q. Mr. Arndt, how are you employed?

A I'm a correction officer of the Las Vegas

18 Metropolitan Police Department.

Q: What are your duties?

A Custodian responsibility for those people who have been incarcerated; receiving people who have been freshly arrested.

When someone is arrested and brought to you, Just very briefly, tell us what the procedure is.

. 9

21 22

The patrol officer has an arrestee; he brings them to Jail; he fills out a Temporary Custody Report on him. After he's filled out his paperwork, the arrestee is turned over to a correctional officer, and then he is searched by me. All his property is taken off of him, any controband or whatever.

Q When the -- the -- I'm sorry, Go ahead.

A Then he's handcuffed; his picture is taken; he's booked into the Jail.

Q With regard to the property, which is taken, what happens with the property?

A There is a property inventory sheet that has his name; his identification number are given to him. Everything is logged, his clothes, his personal property, whether it was digarettes, lighter, glasses, his clothing, the color of clothing, shirts, pants, belt; everything that he has with him when he arrives is logged inventory. And then the records technician signs it, puts her personnel number on there and I sign it, put my personnel number on there.

MR. SEATON: Let me show you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibit 95.

MR. WOLFŞON: Thank you, sir.

:		
1	BY MR. SEATON:	
2	Q.	Do you recognize what exhibit proposed
3	Exh1b1t 95 187	
4	· A	Yes, sir.
- 5	Q	What is it?
. 6	A,	It's a copy of our standard permanent
7	Property Invent	ory sheet.
8	· Q:	Is that the same inventory sheet that you
9	were just telli	ng us about, that you fill out when a
, 10	prisoner is bro	ought in?
11 ,	A -	Yes, sir. It's a copy.
1.2	Q.	All right. Do you recognize this particular
13	one?	
14	A	Yes, I do.
15	· Q	And for whom was the inventory made, what
16	prisoner?	
17	; A	Michael Rippo.
18	Q.	Aside from the sheet, the Exhibit 95 that
19	you are looking	at, do you have any independent recollection
20	of this particu	ular booking process that went on with Mr.
21	Rippo?	
22	A.	Yes, sir, I do.
23	į Q	Would you recognize Mr. Rippo if you were to
24	see him again?	; :
,		
	T	

1	BY MR. SEATON:	:
2	Q	When you booked Mr. Rippo in, what date was
3	it?	<u> </u>
4	A	I don't recall the exact date, but it's time
5	stamped March :	1992 March 15th, 1992, at 12:14 p.m.
6	Q	Did he have certain personal property which
7	you impounded?	
8	A.	Yes, sir, he did.
9	Q.	Without looking at the sheet now, is there
10	any of that pro	operty that you can tell us about from memory?
11	A,	Yes, sir.
12	Q	What was it?
13	A	Basically it was the black stun gun.
14	Q	Why does that stand out in your mind?
15	A	I've been an officer for 17 years and he's
16	the only indiv	idual that I've ever taken a stun gun off
17	that's been ar	rested.
18	Q.	When you book them?
19	A;	Yes, sir
20	Q	This was a black stun gun?
21	A:	Yes, sir, I sometimes we put the makes
22	and models day	n. I believe it was called a Nova.
23	Q.	I'm sorry?
24	A	Nova; N-o-v-a.
	,	

1	Q :	Okay. Do you remember what it looked like?
2	A	Yes, sir.
3	Q .	Tell us.
4	A	It was black, standard. I believe it was a
5	50,000 volt cap	ability.
· 6	a	50,000 volt?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q.	How big was it?
9	A	Approximately seven inches. (Indicating)
10	Q.,	Seven inches long?
-11	A :	Seven inches long, four inches wide; it's
12	black. It has	four connector prongs. It has two prongs
13	that make conta	act to the skin; two are prongs that are
14	angled to carry	the current. It has a nine volt battery in
15	it. This certo	in one had black tape around the battery
16	holder.	•
1,7	, Q	And once impounded into evidence, where was
18	it kept?	
19	A	It's kept back in a secure property bag,
20	It's a secured	area that the only people that have access to
21	it are the pro	perty clerks.
22		MR. SEATON: Thank you.
23		I have nothing further.
24		THE COURT: Cross.
		· .

MR1FF0-07030-02593

13

MR1990-07030-02594

13

While the person is still handcuffed, I search him. I take the keys, the wallets, any other items I find, off of him until I'm sure that there is

Then when I feel that I am safe, I take the handcuffs off the individual, and if there is anything else he has on him, he can take it off, his belt, or if he has any thing hidden -- he usually takes his

So you take the personal property off of the

And in this case, it was the other technician, if you will, who noted the property that was

His picture was taken at -- at the comera; he was taken up to a booking counter, and items were removed from him one at a time, placed on the cabinet and then put

And it's the other person --

It's the other person that wrote those things down, yes, sir.

13

The second secon

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 .

15

17

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q Do you have an approximation of how many people you've booked over the years?

- A: Hundreds; 500.
- Q: How many: years was it?
- A Seventeen years.
 - 4 How many a night would you book?
 - A: It varies. If it's a Friday night, a lot.
- Q' Twenty, 30?

A Thirty, 40, 50. It depends on what's going on, if there is a fight in town, if there is a -- a raid on the Strip, if there is a prostitute raid. It varies; there is no set things; and some nights, it's real quiet.

Mr. Rippo was booked during the

16 | daytime.

MR. SEATON: Nothing further.

18 THE COURT: Anything else?

19 HR. WOLFSON: No.

20 THE COURT: Thank you.

21 THE NITNESS: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Thank you, Officer Arndt.

(Whereupon, the witness

Mas excused.)

24

23

MR1PPO-87838-8259

14

1	A	Yes, I am.
2	Q	What is your handwriting?
3	A	The items listed that I released.
4	Q:	What items were released on March the 22nd,
5	1992?	•
6	A	I show that I have written down a black
7	belt, a stun gu	n, a black wallet, a pager, a Nevada driver's
8	license, a batt	ery, black gloves, six keys, and a brush.
g	Q.	And all that is in your handwriting?
10	A	Yes, it is.
11	Q	Who is the inmate whose property was
12	releasêd on Mar	ch the 22nd, 1992?
13	A [‡]	Inmate's name, Michael Rippo.
14	Q	Did you ever have any personal contact with
15	Mr. Rippo?	
16	· Ai	No, I didn't.
17	Q:	Is there a place on the form, Exhibit 96,
18	for the signatu	re of the inmate?
19	А	Yes, there is.
20	Q i .	On that line, is there a signature which
21	reads Michael R	ippo?
22	* A .	Yes, it does.
23	· Q.	To whom, on March the 22nd, 1992, did you
24	release the var	lous items of property you have referred to,

1	including a stun gun?
2	A Carol Anne Campanelli.
3	Q Will you spell the names for the record,
4	please.
5	A The full name?
6	Q Yes.
. 7	A C-a-r-a-1, A-n-n-e, C-a-m-p-a-n-e-1-1-i.
8	Q Is there also a place on Exhibit 96 where
9	the form was signed by the person to wham the property was
10	released?
11	A Yes, there is.
12	Q Do you know what the relationship was of
13	Carol Anne Campanelli to the inmate, Michael Rippo?
14	A No. I don't.
15	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
16	Your Honor, that concludes
17	direct.
18	THE COURT: Cross?
19	
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. WOLFSON:
22	Q Were you the actual person who physically
23	took the property out of Mr. Rippo's property and handed
24	them to the person Carol Campanelli?
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1	· A	Yes, I was.
2	Q	So in a face-to-face meeting, if you will,
3	with Carol Camp	anelli, you handed her the property?
4	. А	Yes.
5	Q	Was this a normal part of your every day
6	Job?	
7	A	Yes, it was.
8	Q	Is that all you do, eight hours a day
9	А	No. We're assigned to different posts.
1,0	That happened t	o be where I was that day.
11	Q.	Was this an uncommon event to happen at the
12	Clark County Detention Center?	
13	A	To release property?
14	Q	Yeah.
15	A	No.
16	Q	Was it uncommon to release property at the
17	request of either an inmate or a friend or family member of	
18	the inmate?	
19	, A i	No.
20		MR. WOLFSON: Nothing further.
-21		Thank you.
22		MR. HARMON: No redirect, Your Honor.
23	,	THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused,
24		THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the witness 1 was excused.) 2 3 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our noon 4 recess. 5 Remember: Do not discuss this 6 case among yourselves or with anyone else; 7 Read, watch, or listen to any 8 report or commentary on this case by any medium of 9 information; or 10 Form or express any opinion on 11 the case until the matter is finally submitted to you. 12 We'll reconvene at 1:45. 1.5 14 (Nhereupon, a recess was had in the proceedings, at the 15 conclusion of which the following was had:) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Las Vegas, Nevada, Friday, March 1, 1996, 1:40 p.m.

* * * * *

(The following proceedings were had in open court outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Let the record reflect we're outside the presence.

Mr. Dunleavy, I believe you had something to put on the record.

MR. DUNLEAYY: A couple things very briefly.

The District Attorney has

allowed us to see two different files involving Mr.

Beaudoin. There was some mention that he was going to be a witness, but there was also mention, before they even knew, that they were offering him a misdemeanor. It doesn't appear that it's really relevant.

We did object to the late notice, since we found out about it on re-redirect of the witness, but it doesn't appear that there is anything in the file that Justifies recalling him or going further.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DUNLEAVY: The other thing is that they are calling two mitnesses this afternoon, James Ison and

24

Donald Hill, both of whom are basically snitches. 1 2 We have asked and been advised 3 that there is no deals pending and no charges pending against these individuals; that one of them had requested a 4 5 letter be sent to the parale board by the detective and that 6 that was done; but that there is no other potential 7 exculpatory evidence hanging out there, according to the 8 District Attorney's Office. We want to make a record of 9 that before they testify. 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SEATON: That's a correct 11 12 representation. 13 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? 14 MR. DUNLEAVY: No. 15 THE COURT: Bring in the Jury, Mr. O'Leary. 1.6 THE BAILIFF: Yes. 17 (The following proceedings were had in open court in the 18 presence of the Jury:) 19 THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the 20 presence of the Jury? 21 MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge. MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Call your next witness.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

THE BAILIFF: No response, Your Honor.

MR. SEATON: Well, we still call him, Judge.
THE COURT: Call him again. He's supposed
to be down here.
MR. HARMON: He's in custody. Your Honor, so
he isn't far away.
THE CLERK: Step forward, please; remain
standing and raise your right hand.
Whereupon,
DONALD HILL
having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and
having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
and testified as follows:
THE CLERK: Thank you.
Please be seated.
Will you state your name and
spell it for the record, please.
THE WITNESS: Donald Hill; D-o-n-q-l-d,
H-1-1-1.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SEATON:
Q Mr. Hill, are you known by another name as
·

1	well?	<u>:</u>
2	A	Yeah; William Burkett.
, 3	q	William Burkett?
4	. A	Yes. W-1-1-1-i-q-m, B-u-r-k-e-t-t.
5	Q Q	All right. Would you pull the microphone
.6	down Just a li	ttle bit, · There you go. Thanks.
7		Where do you live today?
.8	A	I'm incarcerated.
9	q	Where are you incarcerated?
10	A .	California.
11	Q	In July of in July and August of 1993,
12	were you in Ja	il at that time?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q ;	What were you in Jail for?
15	A.	Robbery
16	Q	Do you have other convictions besides that
17	robbery?	
18	A ;	Yes, sir,
19	Q.	Tell us what they are.
20	A	Attempted escape, false imprisonment, and
21	possession of	a prison made weapon.
22	Q.	Do you know the defendant in this case,
23	Mr. Michael Ri	ppo?
24	A	Yes, sir, I do.

;	ď	Is he present in court?
	Æ	Yes, he is.
	Q	Can you point to him for us; tell us what
⊦ he′	s wearing a	nd where he's sitting,
5 .	Ą	He's sitting right there, between the two
ger	stlemen; a w	hits sweater too.
7		MR. SEATON: May the record reflect
1 106	entification	of the defendant, Judge?
) [:]		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
Э ВУ	MR. SEATON:	
L	Q	In that July and August of 1993, when you
2 ' He r	e in joil,	was he in jail with you?
5	A ŧ	Yes, he was.
4	q	Did you get to see him at that time?
5	A,	Yes, I did.
6 .	Q ;	How long have you known Mr. Rippo?
7	A	Since about 1986, about ten years.
8	Q	When you knew him back then, were you on a
9 fri	lendly basis	with him?
0	A	Yes, I dir.
1 .	Q.	Did you have a chance to speak with him and
2 cai	rry on a	•
3		THE COURT: You have to speak up.
4	*	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I have.

1	BY MR. SEATON:	
2.	Q	and carry on about different things?
.3	A	Yes, sir, I did.
4	Q:	Would you consider your relationship with
5	him ten years a	go a good relationship?
6	A	Yes, I do.
7 ·	Q.	And when you saw him again in 1993, in July
8	and August, was	the relationship the same?
9	A	Yeah. I consider him a friend of mine.
10	Q	All right. When you met him, in July and
11	August of 1993,	did you learn from him that he was in Jail
12	for a double ha	nicide?
13	Ą.	Yes, I did.
14	Q.	And did you have an occasion, while you were
15	with him, to ta	lk to him about that particular case?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q ¹	And do you recognize that that is the case
18	that we're here	about today?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Where did your conversations take place
21	within the Jail	? Where were you when you would talk?
22	A.	On the rec yard.
23	Q:	Is that an outdoor area
24	A.	Yeah.

1	Q	where you get together and have
2	recreation?	
3	Ą	Yes.
4	q	Are inmates allowed to gather together with
5	whomever they	wish at that time?
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	And he was a person who you spake with?
8	Α,	Yes, sir.
9	Q	When you would have conversations with him,
10	during that pe	riod of time, were other people present or was
11	it usually Jus	t the two of you?
12	A	It was just when we talked about his
13	case?	
14	G ;	Yes.
15:	, Ai	Just me and him.
16	Q	For how long a period of time, from the
1.7	beginning of t	hose talks until the end of the talks, did it
18	last?	
19	A	Oh, it all depends.
20		MR. DUNLEAYY: I'm going to object, Your
21	Honor. I'm no	t sure what that question is about.
22	;	Are we talking a series of
23	conversations	or one conversation?
24		THE COURT: Be more specific.

1		MR. SEATON: I will, Judge.
2	BY MR. SEATON:	;
·3	Q	Did you have a number of conversations with
. 4	Mr. Rippo?	
· 5	A	Yes, I did, on different occasions.
6	Q ²	Did it last over a period of time?
7	A	Yeah, over over hours.
. 8	Q i	Did it last did you have conversations
9	over a pariod o	f days and weeks?
10	A	Yes, sir, I did.
11	Q	For how long a period of time did those
12	talks go on?	
13	. А	Well, we talked about a lot of things, but,
14	specifically, y	ou're talking about just the case?
15	Q j	Yes.
1,6	· Ai	For sometimes 30 minutes, sometimes two
17	hours, you know	, just whatever; we was kicking back, talking
18	to each other,	that's all.
19	· Q,	And did all of those conversations last for
20	a week's period	of time or a month or two months or what
21.	how long were y	ou and he together speaking about this case?
22	Ā	We were together four months.
23	Q	Four months?
24	A	Yeah.

1	Q	During the course of these conversations,
2	did he ever te	ll you who: was killed?
3	A	Yes, he did.
4	Q	Did he name them?
5	A	Yes, he did.
6	Q	What names did he give to the two people who
7	were killed?	
8 ,	A	One girl was named Lauri; another one was
9	Denise.	: :
10	· a	Did he tell you who killed Lauri and Denise?
11	A.	Yes, sir, he did.
12	Q:	Who did he say did the killing?
13	A	He said he killed them.
14	Q	He himself killed them?
15	A	He himself killed them.
16	Q	I'm sorry. Say that again.
1,7	A	Yes, sir, he himself killed them.
18	Q	Did he tell you how he killed them?
19	A	Yes, he did.
20	Q:	What did he say about that?
21	A	He said he tied their hunds behind their
22	back and he st	rangled them to death.
23	Q	Each of them?
24	A ;	Each one of them.
21 22 23	back and he st	He said he tied their hands behind their rangled them to death. Each of them?
	I .	

1	Q	Each girl had her hands tied behind her
2	back?	
3.	A	Yes, sir.
· 4	Q	And each girl was strangled to death?
5	A	Yes, siri.
6	Q:	Did he say why he killed them?
7	A	Yes, he did.
8	Q	What was the reason?
9	A	One girl I'm not sure which one it was.
10	I believe it w	as Lauri burned him on a previous dope deal
11	and that's why	he killed her.
12	Q	What does it mean to burn someone on a dope
13	deal?	
14	A	She was supposed to get him same drugs and
15	she: didn't; get	him none; she burned him, took his money and
16	didn't get!him	What she was supposed to.
17	Q	Did he tell you then this was a pay back for
18	that	
19	A	Yeah.
20	Q [‡]	the killing of them?
21	. A.	Yeah.
22	Q.	The killing of her?
23		THE COURT: You have to say yes.
24	:	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, a retaliation.

1	BY MR. SEATON:
2	Q All right. Did he tell you, during these
3	conversations that you had with him, whether or not these
4	crimes were planned?
5	A Yes, sir, he did.
6	Q What did he say about that?
.7	A: He said he planned to kill the girl that
8	that robbed him far his money, that tack his money and
9	burned him.
10	Q He had planned to kill her?
11	A He had planned to kill her.
12	Q Did he have any plan to kill the other girl?
13	A No, he didn't.
14	Q That one Denise?
15	A I den't know which one it was.
16	Q: All right. The other one
17	A The other girl Just happened to be there
18	when he killed this one, so he had to get rid of both of
19	them.
20	Q Well, yeah, explain to us what he sold to
21	you about the fact that while he wanted to kill one of them,
22	he ended up killing two.
23	How did that come about?
24	A He killed the other one because he didn't

1	want no one to t	estify against him.
2	Q ,	Was she there at the scene?
3	A :	She was there at the scene, yes.
4	Q _.	Did he tell you what he did with the two
5	bodies after he	had killed them?
6	A	Yes, he did.
7	Q	What did he say?
8	A ⁻	He said he put them in a closet.
9	q	In a closet?
10	A	Yes, he did, inside the apartment.
.11	Q:	Did he mention whether or not he had anyone
12	else there in th	e apartment with him?
13	A.	Yes, he did.
14	Q.	What did he say about that?
15	A	He had a girl for a co-defendant.
16	Q.	Did he say her name?
17	A	Denise.
18	Q	Denise?
19	A:	I believe Digne or Denise Digne; excuse
20	me, Diane,	
· 21	Q.	Diana?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q.	Diane or: Diana?
. 24	A	I'm not sure. It was Diana, I think, Diana;

1	something like that. I can't remember. It's a long time.
2	Q Did he ever say whether or not Diana played
3	a role in these killings?
4	A Yes, she did.
5	Q What did he say about that?
·6	A After they gained entry to the to the
7	residence, he he had a gun, some kind of a gun; he handed
8	it to the girl; the girl got the gun on them while he tied
9	them up and he strangled them.
10	Q Some kind of a gun?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q Do you know did he say whether or not
13	that gun was a pistol?
14	A No, he didn't.
15	Q: Did he say if it was a stun gun?
16	A: No, he didn't. He didn't make no reference
17	what kind of gun it was; just the gun.
18	Q Did he tell you where Diana was located, at
19	the time you were having your conversations with him?
20	A. Well, where she was located when I was
21	talking to him?
22	Q Yes.
23	A Yes, he did.
24	Q Where was she located?

1	A She was in the women's prison.
2	Q Where is the women's prison?
3	A Carson City.
4	Q Did he say whether or not he wanted
5	anything
6	MR. WOLFSON: Your Honor, I'm going to
,7	object.
8	This is quite leading at this
9	point. The foundation has been laid, counsel.
10	MR. SEATON: Well, Judge, I need to go into
11	specific areas to get him to talk about it.
12	None of what I'm asking him is
1:3	leading. It's all capable of being answered with a yes or
14	no answer.
15	MR. WOLFSON: That's the definition of a
16	leading question, counsel.
17	MR. SEATON: Not necessorily; but I'll
18	reword the questions if it will make counsel happy.
19	MR. WOLFSON: Even Mr. Harmon laughed at
20	that.
21	BY MR. SEATON:
22	Q: When he was even the jurors laughed at
23	it.
24	When Mr. Rippo was talking to

1	you in Jail and	he had told you that Diana was in the
2	Homen's prison,	what did he say about her?
, 3	A	He approached me, if I still had my
4	fiances was sti	ll in the women's prison
5	Q	Was she?
6	A	No. she wasn't. But he approached me and
7	wanted to knew :	if she was and he told me why.
8	a	Why did he want to know
9	A	He wanted to try to have someone kill Diana
10	to keep her from	n testifying against him.
11	Q·	How would they do that?
12	A	He wanted to know if some way he could send
13	some drugs in t	here, would my old lody give to it to her,
14	overdose.	
15	Q	An overdose of drugs?
1:6	A	An overdose, yes.
17	Q.	Mr. Rippo told you he wanted an overdose of
18	drugs sent into	the women's prison
19	A.	He wanted to send some drugs in to overdose
20	Diana.	
21	Q,	Why did he want to do that?
22	Ą	'Cause she was testifying against him in
23	this case.	:
24		MR. DUNLEAVY: Objection, Your Honor.

ļ			
1		That would obviously call for	
2	speculation, wh	ny does somebody want to do something.	
3		MR. SEATON: Let me ask the question a	
4	11ttle differently.		
5	,	THE COURT: Okay.	
6	BY MR. SEATON:		
7	Q Q	Did Mr. Rippo tell you why he wanted that	
8	done?		
9	A	He said because she was testifying against	
10	him.		
11	Q	In this case?	
12	A	In this case.	
13	a _.	Did he tell you if this had to be done in a	
14	certain period of time?		
15	A	Before he went to trial.	
16	Q.	Did he have a did he tell you if he had a	
17	trial date sch	eduled, when you were talking to him in 1993?	
18	A.	At that time, he wanted it done before July,	
19	I believe it wo	J\$,	
20	Q	And when was his trial date?	
21	A:	I think July or August. He wanted to do it	
22	before then.	;	
23	Q q	Do you know a person by the name of David	
24	Levine?		

1 A No, I don't.	
•	
2 Q Do you know a person by the name of Ja	mes
3 Ison?	
4 A No, I don't.	
5 Q; Mr. Hill, any promises been made to yo	u for
6 your testimony here today?	
7 A Not at all.	
8 Q Has anything been done for you, up unt	11
9 this point in time, regarding your testimony in this c	ase?
10 A No.	
11 Q Has a letter been written for you?	
12 A From a detective, there was a letter	
13 written.	
Q: Do you know the name of the detective?	1
15 A Detective Chandler.	
16 Q: And did he tell you at some time that	he
17 would write the letter?	
18 A Yes. That that was what I requeste	d at
19 the time I seen him, yes.	
20 Q When you saw him, was that when you sa	ive the
21 statement?	
22 A Yes, sir	
Q Did he write such a letter, to your	
24 knowledge?	

	ļ	
1	A:	Yeah, he did.
2	a :	Have you seen it?
. 3	A	I got a quick glimpse of it, yeah.
Ħ	a	And to who was that letter to be written?
5 -	Д.	To the parole board, just explaining all
6	he did was ex	plain what I did, give my interview to the
7	datective.	
8	Q.	Have any other promises been made to you
9	other than th	e writing of the letter?
10	A	No, sir.
11	Q	The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
12	Department	
13	· A!	Nope.
14	Q	has made no promises?
15	A.	Nope.
1.6	Q.	How about Mr. Harmon or myself, have we made
17	you any promi	ses?
18	Α,	Nope.
1'9	Q.	Has any member of the District Attorney's
20	Office made y	ou a promise in return for your testimony?
21	A	Not at all.
22	El ver	MR. SEATON: I have no further questions.
23	÷	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
24		
	÷ .	

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. DUNLEAVY: 3 Your Honor -- Mr. Hill, you said you are in prison in California now? 4 5. Yes, sir, I om. 6 Is this on a new case? Yes, it is. What happened to your time on this case, the 8 9 one you were in on when you talked to the defendant? 10 A. I was paraled, '94. 11 After the detective wrote the letter to the 12 parole board --13 THE COURT: He said after you talked to the 14 detective, not the defendant. 15 THE WITNESS: Yegh. 16 BY MR. DUNLEAVY: 17 And what is your real name; is it Burkett or 18 H1117 Burkett is my real name. 20 Q And what's your Social Security number? 21 . A: Off the top of my head, I don't -- I couldn't tell you. 22 23 You don't remember which one you used? 24 Not off the top of my head, no. I'd have to

1.	Q.	And I suppose you didn't get any kind of
2	A	Gpt nothing.
· 3 ·	. Q	Now, how is it that the police knew to come
4	to the prison a	nd, talk to you?
5	A	I contacted him, the detective.
6	q	And asked him to come up and talk to you?
7	A	Told him that I had information concerning
8	this case.:	
·g	Q	And at that time, you were in on robbery
10	with the use?	
11	A	Yeah, and two other charges,
,12	Q,	What were the other charges?
13	A	Attempted escape and possession of a prison
.14	made Heapon.	
15	Q :	What are you in on in California now?
16	, Ai	False imprisonment.
17	Q į	And your friend, Michael, supposedly talked
18	to you in the yo	ard, right?
19	A	Yes, he did.
20	e Q	And did you see his discovery?
21	A	No. I didn't.
22	Q	You didn't look at some paperwork while you
23	were discussing	things?
24	A	Never he never showed me no paperwork
	•	

1	whatsoever.	;
.2	Q,	Do you remember talking to him about
3	newspaper artic	21es [
4	A į	No.
5	Q	pictures in the paper?
б	A	Nope.
7	Q	You said you talked to him off and on,
8	sometimes 30 mi	inutes to two hours, for a month or so?
g	A	Yeah.
10	Q	Well, how much time would you say you
11	actually spent	talking about this case?
12	, A ;	Excuse me. Can you
13	Q :	How much time did you actually spend talking
1,4	about this case	37
1.5	A	Within that whole period?
16	G.	նի–իսի, ՛
17	, A	About eight hours.
18	· Q	Eight hoùrs total?
19	A :	Maybe; about.
20	Q.	And you told us everything today that he
21	\$G1d?	:
22	A.	Pretty much, yeah.
23	Q.	In what, fifteen minutes or so?
24	A:	(No audible response.)

1	Q Now, you indicated that he had told you that
2	she had burned him on a dope deal?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q: Who was she?
5	A The person that owned the house.
6	Q Owned the house?
7	A The one that owned the residence that got
8	murdered is the one that burned him.
9	Q So in his story, this occurred in a house?
10	A No, it wasn't specific whether it was a
11	house, apartment. I'm not sure.
12	Q Did he describe the closet he put them in?
13	A No, he didn't.
14	Q: You indicated that he said he himself killed
15	them both.
16	Aj Yeah.
17	Q I mean, you are walking around the yard
18	talking inmate to inmate, right, and he says I myself killed
19	bath?
20	A No. It didn't go down like that, no.
21	: Q. I didn't think so,
22	Why don't you tell us how it
23	did go down.
24	A Well, when I seen him there, some people
	· ·

.1	said that he ki	lled two little kids. So I asked him: Did
2	you kill two li	ttle kids? He sold: No, I didn't kill them.
3	He goes: It wo	s two women I killed.
4	•	I said what happened and he
5	told me.	
, 6	Q	Now, when you asked him this, was this after
7	you had already	agreed to testify in the other murder case?
8	A	No.
9	Q.	How long after you got him to talk to you
1:0	before you call	ed the police?
11	A	I don't know; can't remember.
12	· Q	Did you talk to him about anything that was
13	taken during th	is robbery
14	A '	Yeah.
15	Qı	or this murder?
16	A	Yeah.
17	. Q	And what was the answer to that?
18 .	A	He I didn't I just asked him a couple
19	things that he	took and he said a garage door opener and a
20	gate opener.	
21	Q	And you remember being asked that by the
22	detectives?	•
23	A .	No, no, I wasn't asked that by the
. 24	detectives	
'		

· 1	I think he asked me he told
. 2	me this at a different time. I talked to the detectives and
3	then he tald me this later on.
4	Q So this was after you talked to the
5	detectives?
6	A Yeah, See, we talked several different
. 7 ·	times.
8	Q As a matter of fact, you specifically asked
9	the detectives if there was anything you wanted him to ask,
10	right
11	A: No.
12	4 — or anything they wanted you to ask him?
13	A No.
14	Q Do you remember saying: I could get Mr.
15	Rippo to speak to me, you know, whatever you did,
16	you know. It's no problem.
17	A I can't recall.
18	Q Does that sound like you are talking to
19	them?
20	A It could have been. I can't recall really.
21	Q Do you remember what you said after that?
22	A Tald them that three years ago.
23	Q Would it refresh your recollection to take a
24	look at a transcript of what you said?

1	A	Yeah, most definitely.
2	Q.	I'd like you to take a look at pages ten and
3	eleven of your	statement
4		And this statement was taken on
5	August 10th, 19	93, by Detective Chandler, I believe.
6	A :	Yeah, I guess I did.
7	Q:	And what happened right after you said that?
8		MR. HARMON: Right after he said what?
9	BY MR. DUNLEAVY	;
10	Q.	About you can get him to talk to you?
11	A	The detective told me, no, that if he talks
12	to me, he talks	to me. He goes: You can't ask him no
13	guestions, beca	use then you would be, like, representing me
14	and you can't d	o that.
1.5	Qi	And that's on this transcript?
16	A +	No. This is you asked me what happened
17	and that's what	happened.
18	a _	But isn't this transcript the tape recording
19	of what was sai	d?
20	A;	Yeah. He didn't say it right ofter that,
21	no. He might h	ave said
22	Q.	Wasn't it immediately after that you said:
23		I could get Mr. Rippo to speak
24	to me,	you know; whatever you did, you know. It's

1	no problem.
2	Question: Okay.
3	Answer: 'Cause it kinda brags,
4	уси know, kinda brags him a little bit about.
5	What you were saying?
6	A Saying he kind of brags, yeah.
7	Q And you talk about him bragging a lot?
8	A Yeah, he brags a lot; yeah, he was bragging
9	about 1t.
10	Q: And then: you said:
11	Because he had some problems
12	with people here.
1.3	A Yeah, because they thought he killed two
14	little girls.
15	Q And he said: I already killed once. I can
16	kill again?
17	A Uh-huh.
1,8	THE COURT: Is that a yes or no?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
20	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
21	Q Would it be fair to characterize Jail as
22	kind of a yiolent place?
23	A Yeah, it is.
24	Q And if you are five feet four and about

		:		
		٠		
	19			
•		:		
	1. A state of management was	:		
	l			
	,			
	1		*	
	ALL BYOMETY			
	V-V-am Vi-V-V-VI			
	·			
	! ;			
		;		
	20		•	
	÷	!		
	and a second management of the second manageme			
	and the history	:		

1	130, -40 pounds	, is it important to make people think you're
2	taugh?	
3	A	Yeah.
4	a	For your own safety?
5	A	Pretty much, yedh.
6	Q:	And you knew that he was charged with the
7	murder?	
8	А	Not until he told me, no.
9	Q	I thought you said that you other people
10	had been talkin	g to you about him being charged with murders
11	and that's why	you approached him.
1.2	A	Yeah. But I'm talking about the two little
13	girls. I heard	he Killed two little girls and that's when I
14	approached him.	
15	Q	Is that a different kind of murder?
1.6	A	No.
17	Q	So you knew he was charged with murder?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q.	And if you are on the yord and people are
20	threatening you	, is it a good idea to say, hey, I've been
21	violent before;	I'll be violent again; stay away from me
22	kind of thing?	Didn't that happen a lot?
23	A	No.
24	. Q	It doesn't?

×	
.1	A No.
2 ;	Q Didn't you describe Mr. Rippo as somebody
3	who bragged a lot?
4	A Yeah, he bragged, but that isn't what you
5	say to people. If someone is out to get you, that ain't no
6	way to speak to nobody. That ain't going to do you no good,
, 7 ;	Nobody is intimidated by that statement.
8	Q Nobody is intimidated by that statement?
9	A No.
1.0	Q: Immediately after you said that, the
11	question was:
12	Okay. The only thing that you
1.3	are asking me to do in reference to your
14	cooperation was testify in reference to this
1.5	upcoming trial and the statement made by Mr.
16	Rippo the only thing you are asking me to do is
17	write a letter to the parale board; is that right?
18	A That's right.
19	Q: And you got that letter, right?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q And you got paroled?
22	A: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: Yes?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

·	}
1	MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions, Your
2	Honor.
3	THE COURT: Redirect?
.#	
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. SEATON:
7	Q You mentioned that you were paraled in 1994,
-8	and then you went back to prison because of another case.
9 -	A Yes, sir.
-10	Q What was that case?
11	A False imprisonment.
12	Q Who did that have to do with?
13	A Domestic violence, me and my wife.
14	Q: And what happened with that?
15	MR. WOLFSON: Objection; relevance.
16	MR. SEATON: Well, they brought it up,
17	Judge, not me. They asked about the other case. I
18	intentionally had not gone into that on direct. They have
19	opened the door that I'm able to explore just a little bit.
20	MR. WOLFSON: Not as to the facts of the
21	case.
22	MR. SEATON: It has to do with why he's back
23	in.
24	MR. WOLFŞON: I don't think the door was