1	Q Mr. Saxon, was there also a condition that	
2	related to the possession of weapons?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q What condition number is that?	
5	A That is Condition 9.	
6	Q What does it require?	
7	A Weapons: You shall not possess, own, carry	
8	or have under your control any type of weapon.	
9	Q Is there any exception to the rule?	
10	A No.	
11	Q It said any weapon?	
12	A Any type of Weapon.	
13	Q Is there also a condition that referred to	
14	obedience to the laws?	
15	A Yes, Rule 12, Laws and Conduct.	
16	Q What does that say?	
17	A You shall comply with all municipal, county,	
18	state and federal laws and ordinances and conduct	
19	yourself as a good citizen.	
20	Q Mr. Saxon, during what time were you in	
21	supervision of Mr. Rippo?	
22	A Mr. Rippo's case was transferred to me in	
23	early February of 1992.	
24	Q How long was it your case?	
	003540	
	·	

1	A Excuse me?	
2	Q You said it started it was trans	ferred to
3	you in early February 1992.	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q How long was Mr. Rippo your paroles	?
6	A Mr. Rippo was my parolee from early	February
7	of '92 until his parale was revaked on April 30th a	if 1992.
8	Q Was there actually a revocation hea	ıring
9	conducted prior to the revocation of the parale?	
10	A Mr. Rippo went before the Board of	Parole
11	Commissioners for parole revocation on April 30th o	f 1992,
12	at which time his parale was revoked.	
13	Q But regarding his conviction for se	xuol
14	assault, and the parole which you've indicated was	October
15	the 24th, 1989, what was the length of his parole?	
16	A His probation expiration was life.	
1.7	Q That is, he was to be on parole for	the rest
18	of his life?	
19	A Yes, sir.	
20	Q Would Mr. Rippo still have been on	
21	probation, and, consequently, under sentence of imp	risonment
22	as of February the 18th, 1992?	
23	A Yes, he would have been.	
24	Q I want to direct your attention to	on or
		003541

about February the 8th, 1992.

On that date, as a result of a report you had from officers of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, did you and others of your department respond to the address of 7205 Gowan Road?

A Oh, that would have been on February the 6th, I believe. Yes, we did.

Q The date was not February the 8th; it was February the 6th, 1992?

A Yes.

Q What persons responded on February the 6th to 7205 Gowan Road?

A Myself, Officer Mark Jacobs and Officer Paul Ellis.

Q Will you spell the last names of the other officers.

A Jacobs would be J-a-c-o-b-s; Ellis would be E-1-1-i-s.

Q What was the purpose for the three of you going to 7205 Gowan Road on that day?

A We had -- I had received radio traffic from a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department officer who had responded to that residence on a burglary. When the officer arrived, he found three individuals in the residence, two of

which he believed to be on probation or parole at that time, and he wanted to find out if the individuals involved were still on probation.

to our building, 215 East Bonanza Road, with the names, and ascertained that two of the individuals were — one was on probation, one was on parole, and one had briefly been on probation; but also that he advised us that this was Mr. Rippa's residence, and we ascertained that Mr. Rippo was a parolee at that time.

- Q Are you saying that your information was that 7205 Gowan Road was the residence of Michael Rippo?
 - A Yes.
- Q Was it before or after this date that his case was transferred to you for supervision?
 - A It was after that date.
- Q What happened when you and the other two responded to the Gowan Road address?
- A When we responded to the Gowan Road address, we were admitted to the residence by Mr. Price.
 - Q Would that be Richard Edward Price --
 - A Yes, it would.
 - Q -- P-r-1-c-e?
 - A Yes, sir.

1	Q Were any other persons there?
2	A Yes. There was also a Christopher Lloyd who
3	was present.
4	Q Is that L-1-o-y-d?
5	A Yes.
6	Q As a result of your records check, did you
7	know whether either Mr. Price or Mr. Lloyd were ex-felons?
8	A Yes. I knew that both were ex-felons and
9	that Mr. Price was currently on probation.
10	Q Did you find Mr. Rippo at the residence on
11	February the 6th, 1992, when you responded there?
12	A No, Mr. Rippo was not in the residence.
13	Q Did you confirm, while at the residence,
14	whether he lived there?
15	A Yes, we were advised by Mr. Price that Mr.
16	Rippo did reside there.
17	Q Did you locate any guns while you were at
18	the Gowan street address?
19	A Yes, we located two guns.
20	Q Describe the guns you found.
21	A One gun was found in the living room on the
22	floor. It was a Mack 11, semi-automatic pistol, Uzi type
23	weapon.
24	Q What do you mean an Uz1 type weapon?
	003544

A An assault pistol. Q What was the second meapon? A The second one was a 12 gauge shotgun. Q You've indicated that it was after this date that you assumed the supervision of the parolee, Mr. Rippo? A That's true. Q How soon after that date was it? A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q So your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Hould you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.		•	
A The second one was a 12 gauge shatgun. Q You've indicated that it was after this date that you assumed the supervision of the parolee, Mr. Rippo? A That's true. Q How soon after that date was it? A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviausly been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q So your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	1	A	An assault pistol.
4 Q You've indicated that it was after this date 5 that you assumed the supervision of the parolee, Mr. Rippo? 6 A That's true. 7 Q How soon after that date was it? 8 A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It 9 was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. 10 That is dated February 6th. 11 However, the summary should 12 have obviausly been done probably on February 7th or 8th; 13 but just immediately after that, we received the case. 14 Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole 15 officer was Mr. Schmelz? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Would you spell the name for the record. 18 A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. 19 Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole 20 Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? 21 A Yes, sir. 22 Q What was the basis for the revocation of his 23 parole? 24 We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	2	Q	What was the second weapon?
that you assumed the supervision of the parolee, Mr. Rippo? A That's true. Q How soon after that date was it? A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q So your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	3	А	The second one was a 12 gauge shotgun.
A That's true. Q How soon after that date was 1t? A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	4	Q	You've indicated that it was after this date
A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-1-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	5	that you assume	d the supervision of the parolee, Mr. Rippo?
A Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It was done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q So your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-1-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	. 6	А	That's true.
mas done by Officer Schmelz, who was the previous officer. That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but Just immediately after that, we received the case. Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	7	Q	How soon after that date was it?
That is dated February 6th. However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	8	А	Ah, there is a transfer for some reason. It
However, the summary should have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but just immediately after that, we received the case. Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-1-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	9	was done by Off	icer Schmelz, who was the previous officer.
have obviously been done probably on February 7th or 8th; but Just immediately after that, we received the case. Q So your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	10	That is dated F	ebruary 6th.
but Just immediately after that, we received the case. Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-1-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	11	·	However, the summary should
Q Sa your predecessor and supervisor parole officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Q Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-1-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	12	have obviously	been done probably on February 7th or 8th;
officer was Mr. Schmelz? A Yes. Would you spell the name for the record. A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	13	but just immedi	ately after that, we received the case.
A Yes. 17 Q Would you spell the name for the record. 18 A S-c-h-m-e-1-z. 19 Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole 20 Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? 21 A Yes, sir. 22 Q What was the basis for the revocation of his 23 parole? 24 We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	14	Q	So your predecessor and supervisor parole
17 Q Would you spell the name for the record. 18 A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. 19 Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole 20 Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? 21 A Yes, sir. 22 Q What was the basis for the revocation of his 23 parole? 24 We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	15	officer was Mr.	Schmelz?
A S-c-h-m-e-l-z. Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	16	A	Yes.
Q You've mentioned that the Board of Parole Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	17	Q	Would you spell the name for the record.
Commissioners ended up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo? A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	18	А	S-c-h-m-e-1-z.
A Yes, sir. Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	19	Q	You've mentioned that the Board of Parole
Q What was the basis for the revocation of his parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	20	Commissioners e	nded up revoking the parole of Mr. Rippo?
parole? A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	21	А	Yes, sir.
A We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.	22	Q	What was the basis for the revocation of his
	23	parole?	
B03545	24	А	We charged Mr. Rippo in a violation report.
			B03545

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

MR1FF0-07058-R0A03551	1	2	

It was dated March 18th, 1992.

- Did you prepare the violation report? Q
- Yes, I did. А
- And what were the alleged violations?
- The violations were really for employment; Rule 5, Reports; Rule 10, Associates; Rule 12, Laws and Conduct: 13, special conditions to maintain steady employment.
- Now, when you were talking about the parale agreement, the numbers of those conditions, what does the rule regarding associates, Rule 10, refer to?
- Rule 10 would refer to Mr. Price, Mr. Lloyd and Kenneth Hamilton being in Mr. Rippo's residence.
- Q For a parolee, is there some type of rule regarding what types of persons he should associate with?
 - Yes.
 - Well, what is the rule?
- 18 Well, it would be -- he had ten associates. A
- 19 Four are inmates from penal institutions, and individuals of
- 20 bad reputation shall be avoided unless permission is granted
- 21 by the Department. You shall not correspond with
- 22 individual -- with persons confined in penal institutions --
 - MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, he's reading from
- 24 a document. Could we identify the document? Could he,

1	please, identify the report?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes. Listed as State's
3	Proposed Exhibit 121.
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Okay. You may continue.
6	THE WITNESS: You shall not correspond with
7	persons confined in penal institutions unless
8	specific written permission has been granted.
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q You've mentioned that Officer Schmelz Was
11	your predecessor parole officer with respect to Mr. Rippo.
12	Do the records you have
13	indicate whether Mr. Schmelz had any type of conversation
14	with Mr. Rippo in connection with his supervision, where Mr.
15	Rippo characterized the sexual assault conviction for which
16	he had been paroled?
17	A I
18	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I'm going to
19	object. That's got to be at least double hearsay. I know
20	there is exceptions in the penalty phase, but I think this
21	is beyond even those.
22	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, there is no
23	exception. 175,552 indicates that hearsay is admissible.
24	THE COURT: Overruled.
	003547

1	MR. DUNLEAVY: Not double and triple.
2	MR. HARMON: No, 1t doesn't put in it any
3	limitation.
4	THE COURT: Overruled.
5	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
6	BY MR. HARMON:
7	Q Do your records indicate whether Mr. Schmelz
8	had contact with the defendant at a time when there was a
9	discussion about his sexual assault conviction?
10	A I would have to review Mr. Schmelz'
11	chronological entries.
12	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,
13	Your Honor?
14	THE COURT: You may.
1.5	MR. DUNLEAVY: Wait a minute. May we see
16	what document he's referring to?
17	MR. HARMON: Yes, momentarily.
18	BY MR. HARMON:
19	Q I'm showing you a single page document.
20	Do you recognize what this is?
21	Just yes or no.
22	A Mr. Schmelz has related this to me. I do
23	not recall having read it in the record.
24	Q So this is something that you wrote down?
	003548

1	A No, no. This is
2	Q Whose handwriting is it?
3	A I have no idea. It's not Mr. Schmelz',
4	Q I'm directing your attention — and then
5	I'll take it back and let counsel look at it to the
6	second paragraph.
7	Do you have a recollection of
8	that statement being related to you by Steve Schmelz, the
9	parole officer who supervised Mr. Rippo before you assumed
10	that responsibility?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q You remember that statement
13	THE COURT: Do you remember that statement,
14	sir?
15	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
16	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may we approach
17	for a moment?
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.)
20	
21	BY MR. HARMON:
22	Q Mr. Saxon, showing you again the document I
23	just showed you and directing your attention to paragraph
24	two only
	003549

1	MR, WOLFSON: Excuse me. I thought we had
2	something at the bench where we're not going to be reading
3	things in the record off this document.
4	THE COURT: I think he's going to refresh
5	his memory from that.
6	Is that where you're going?
7	MR. HARMON: Exactly.
8	MR, WOLFSON: His memory has been refreshed.
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q Did Mr. Schmelz relate to you a statement
11	made to him by Michael Rippo?
12	A Yes, he did.
13	Q What did he say?
14	MR. WOLFSON: He's reading off the document.
15	Judge. He's looking down at the document and reading off of
16	it.
17	THE COURT: Do you remember what he said?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	THE WITNESS: Mr. Schmelz told me
21	essentially that Mr. Rippo wished
22	MR. WOLFSON: Objection. Essentially, that
23	means that he doesn't recall word-for-word. And I don't
24	think that's there under the rules

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	MR. HARMON: Well, then, I think he should
2	read what is in quotations.
3	THE COURT: Well, if you want it word for
4	word, I think you can have him read it.
5	MR. WOLFSON: All I want is the truth out of
6	this witness; and if this witness doesn't recall what he
7	said and he's saying essentially, I think that's what he
8	said
9	THE COURT: He didn't say I think. He said
10	essentially this is what he said. I think there is a
11	difference there.
12	BY MR. HARMON:
13	Q Essentially what did he say?
14	A That Mr. Rippo would have preferred to have
15	been convicted of murder rather than sexual assault because
16	murder held a is an offense of better stature or more
17	impressive
18	Q A better ring to 1t?
19	A Perhaps yes.
20	Q Is it correct to say that, in quotes, the
21	statement is that Mr. Rippo would rather have been convicted
22	of a
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, now Mr. Harmon is
24	reading it into the record.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

problems in prison when you've got a sexual assault conviction that you wouldn't have if you had an attempt murder conviction?

A I have no -- I've never worked in a prison.

I don't really know what the pecking order amongst inmates
says.

a And you don't have any clue whether somebody convicted of sexual assault might have more trouble than someone convicted of attempted murder?

A I have heard probably the same stories you have. I don't know, you know; conjecture on my part.

Q Just conjecture.

Now, Mr. Harmon went in to great detail about the report you wrote, the revocation report. And you went through and talked about Mr. Price, Mr. Hamilton, Mr. Lloyd, and the fact that there was some guns at the house and they were arrested for those weapons; is that correct?

A Yes, two of the individuals were arrested.

Q And is there any way you could know whether or not Mr. Rippo even knew if they were there?

1	A No, I don't.
2	Q And you didn't you didn't allege that in
3	your report, that he knew they were there; is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q You did point out that he had the new
6	charges from February 20th, 1992, the case we're here on
7	today; is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q He was also \$40 behind in paying supervision
10	fees?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And he had a warrant out for unlawful
13	transfer of license plates and failure to use a seat belt?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now, you said that there was references to
16	Rule 13.
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now, you have spelled out Rule 4, 5, 10, 12.
19	Where is Rule 13 spelled out in
20	your repart?
21	A Do you have a copy of the report, Mr.
22	Dunleavy?
23	Q Yes.
24	A If you look at section one, alleged
	003554
•	

1	violation, it you'll see it right there. It is the last
2	entry.
3	Q Just that one sentence: Special condition
4	two, maintain steady employment?
5	A Yes.
6	Q All the others have paragraphs following
7	them up, do they not?
8	A I believe, if you also refer to that, that
9	under Section II, types of violation, you'll notice a new
10	charge, Rule 4, employment, and Rule 13, special condition
11	two, maintain steady employment.
12	Q Do you know if he had been employed at all?
13	A Yes, sir, he had.
14	Q Where?
15	A He worked for Tommy's Maintenance for a
16	brief period; and according to this monthly report, he had
17	worked for various asphalt contractors.
18	Q Now, you indicated that he was barred from
19	having association with ex-felons?
20	A That's true.
21	Q Do you know if the owner of Tommy's
22	Maintenance was an ex-felon?
23	A It's my understanding that he is.
24	Q Did he have approval for this employment?
	003555

1	A Normally, our department does not require	
2	approval to be employed by an ex-felon. We realize you have	
3	to work with whom you have to work with.	
4	Q Now, he was on parole for the rest of his	
5	life; is that correct?	
6	A That's true.	
7	Q And so any violation could, in fact, send	
8	him back to prison for the rest of his life?	
9	A That's correct.	
10	Q And if you go back to prison on revocation	
11	for a life sentence, do you basically start that life	
12	sentence all over again?	
13	A Not absolutely. The parale board can revoke	
14	you for up to three years; and, at that time, you meet the	
15	parole board again, who would decide	
16	Q So you go back to your parole board?	
1.7	A Yes.	
18	Q And your parole board is going to look at	
19	your activities, your so forth in prison to make an	
20	evaluation of whether or not you've earned the right to go	
21	back out on the streets?	
22	A I believe that's correct.	
23	Q How many years have you been doing this?	
24	A Almost eleven.	
	003555	

1	Q And how often have you seen the parole	
2	board, when someone is revoked on a life sentence, for a	
3	murder charge, be reinstated to the street?	
4	A I would have no idea on the numbers.	
5	Q Have you ever seen it?	
6	A Yes. People do come back out on parole.	
7	Q Who, that were violated for murder?	
8	A For being violated for murder, probably not,	
9	no,	
10	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you.	
11	No further questions.	
12	THE COURT: Redirect?	
13	MR. HARMON: No further questions, Your	
14	Honor,	
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.	
16	You are excused.	
17	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)	
18	MUS GXCUSGU.	
19	THE COURT: We'll take a short recess.	
20	Do not converse among	
21	yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with	
22	this triol;	
23	Read, Watch, listen to any	
24	report or commentary on the trial, by any medium of	
	003557	

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	and testified as follows:	
2	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.	
3	Will you state your name and	
4	spell it for the record, please.	
5	THE WITNESS: My name is Eric Karst; last	
6	name K-a-r-s-t.	
7	THE CLERK: Thank you.	
8		
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
10	BY MR. HARMON:	
11	Q Mr. Karst, what is your business or	
12	occupation, sir?	
13	A I work for the Department of Prisons.	
14	Q The Nevada Department of Prisons?	
15	A Yes, sir.	
16	Q How long have you been employed with that	
17	department?	
18	A Since November of 1983.	
19	Q What are the nature of your duties with the	
20	Nevada Department of Prisons?	
21	A I work custody, correctional officer.	
22	Q Officer Karst, were you employed with the	
23	Department of Prisons on March the 10th, 1986?	
24	A Yes, I was.	
	003559	

1	Q Wha	facility were you employed at on that
2	date?	
3	A I W	is with the Southern Nevada Correctional
4	Center, located at .	Jean.
5	Q Did	you have contact, on March the 10th,
6	1986, at the Jean p	enal facility, with an inmate identified
7	as Michael Rippo?	
8	A Yes	•
9	Q Woul	id you recognize Mr. Rippo 1f you saw him
10	again?	
11	A I be	elieve so, yes.
12	Q Do s	you see him in the courtroom this
13	afternoon?	
14	A The	guy in the middle there looks like
15	Rippo. (Indicating)	•
16	Q Desc	ribe how the person is dressed that you
17	are referring to.	
18	A Wear	ing what appears to be a tan sweater, a
19	white shirt underneath.	
20	MR.	HARMON: Your Honor, may the record show
21	that the witness ha	described the defendant, Michael Rippo?
22	THE	COURT: The record will so reflect.
23	MR.	HARMON: Thank you.
24	BY MR. HARMON:	
		003563

1	Q	Officer Karst, on March the 10th, 1986, were
2	you involved in	routine room searches of a particular hall
3	at the Jean pri	son?
4	A	Yes, I was.
5	Q	Do you recall what hall you were searching?
6	A	Cypress Hall. It's now referred to as
7	Unit 5.	
8	Q	Did you specifically have occasion to search
9	Room Number 524	at Cypress Hall, which was occupied by the
10	defendant, Mr.	Rippo?
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	Why are routine searches conducted of rooms
13	at the prison?	
14	A	It's our intention to find any items that
15	would be consid	ered contraband, to maintain a peaceful
16	environment.	
17	Q	What items would be considered contraband?
18	A	Well, the regulars describe contraband as
19	any item that c	annot be legally purchased through the inmate
20	canteen or rece	ived through the property sergeant.
21	Q	Do you recall now how many inmates shared
22	Room 524 of Cyp	ress Hall?
23	A	We have two inmates assigned to each cell.
24	Q	Who were the inmates assigned, on March the
		003561

1	10th, 1986, to that room?	
2	A There was an inmate Mike Rippo and Kevin	
3	McDermott.	
4	Q Mike Rippo being the defendant in this case?	
5	A Yes, sir.	
6	Q You said Kevin McDermott?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q Is the first name K-e-v-1-n?	
9	A I believe it was, sir, yes,	
10	Q Last name, M-c, cap, D-e-r-m-o-t-t?	
11	A Yes, sir.	
12	Q Before you conducted the room search	
13	occupied by inmates McDermott and Rippo, did you ask them if	
14	there was any contraband inside?	
15	A I don't believe I did, but the officer I was	
16	with did ask if there was any contraband that they wished to	
17	declare. That's normally what we'll do,	
18	Q Were you present when your fellow officer	
19	made that inquiry?	
20	A Yes, I was.	
21	Q Was there a response by the inmates	
22	McDermott and Rippo?	
23	A I believe they both said no.	
24	Q Thereafter, was a search conducted for	
	003562	

1	contraband in their cell, Room 524?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q What were the results of the search?
4	A There were numerous items of contraband
5	which were located and sealed into evidence and placed
6	turned over to the lead officer and sent to admin, the
7	administration building, where the evidence vault is
8	located.
9	Q Were any weapons located?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Describe what was found.
12	A Um, I found about a nine inch buck knife,
13	brand name Buck. It to me, it looked like the kind that
14	would be a hunting knife, had a black handle.
15	And I found a pair of nunchuks
16	that were made out of broomsticks forced down inside the
17	hollow metal handles of the mops that are used out there and
18	tied together with a rope.
19	There was a I believe we
20	found a compass, money, a wrench, 1tems that were are
21	considered contraband.
22	Q What kind of wrench was it you found?
23	A It was an adjustable what's referred to
24	as a monkey wrench, the adjustable crescent wrench.

1	Q Do you remember the approximate size of the	
2	crescent wrench?	
3	A It was large. I believe it was about at	
4	least a 10 or 12 inch it was a large wrench.	
5	Q Metal wrench?	
6	A Yes, sir.	
7	Q Why would a crescent wrench be considered	
8	contraband at a prison facility?	
9	A Um, it can be used not only as a weapon, but	
10	as a method for an attempted escape. It can be used to	
11	remove bolts holding the fence together and other items that	
12	assist us in keeping inmates inside.	
13	Q Now, you referred to the knife which you	
14	said had a brand name Buck.	
15	A Yes, sir.	
16	Q It was a buck knife?	
17	A It was a buck knife, yes, sir.	
18	Q And you thought it was a hunting knife?	
19	A Yes, it was. It was the kind usually it	
20	was not a folding knife; it was a solid knife. And when you	
21	buy them in the store, they usually have the sheath come	
22	with them and the belt loops so that you can carry it on	
23	your hip.	
24	Q As I recall, you said it was about nine	
	002562	

1	inches long.	
2	A If I remember correctly, overall length,	
3	yes.	
4	Q That's what I wanted to clarify.	
5	Overall	
6	A Overall length, from one side of the knife	
7	to the tip, was about nine. I'd say the to break it	
8	down, I'd say maybe the handle was four inches and the blade	
9	itself was probably about five.	
10	Q You also referred to some nunchuks.	
11	A Yes, sir.	
12	Q Did they appear to be homemade?	
13	A Yes, sir.	
14	Q What are nunchuks?	
15	A Nunchuks are a device made popular by Bruce	
16	Lee movies. It's a martial arts fighting weapon. There are	
17	two rods tied together or chained together and used to swing	
18	around and used as a defense and offensive weapon.	
19	Q In addition to the weapons, or at least	
20	potential weapons, that you have described, did you find any	
21	pipe, any type of smoking material?	
22	A Yeah, we found a brass pipe and it had what	
23	I believed to be residue from marijuana in it.	
24	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, I would object to	
	003565	

believed to be. He is clearly not a chemist. 1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 3 BY MR. HARMON: You've indicated you found a brass pipe? 4 5 Yes, sir. About how large was it? 6 It was about hand size. It could be 7 8 concealed in the palm of an individual's hand; maybe three or four inches, I'd say. I don't remember specifically the 9 10 size: it was rather small. Were those items you have now described in 11 Room 524 on March the 10th, 1986 a violation of the Jean 12 13 prison rules? 14 A Yes, sir. After the items had been located, were the 15 16 inmates, Mr. Rippo and Mr. McDermott, asked whose property 17 they were? I believe the question was asked; and, at 18 the time, I believe Mr. Rippo stated that anything in the 19 room was identified as his. He was taking the rap for 20 21 everything. Were you familiar at that time with the 22 Q inmate Michael Rippo? 23 Yes. I will say familiar, yes. 24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

nn3566

1	Q Do you know whether he had any status on the	
2	prison yard?	
3	A Yes. He carried some status with them, yes,	
4	he did.	
5 .	Q What does some status mean?	
6	A It's a little difficult to explain. He was	
7	regarded as what you would refer to as a stand up convict.	
8	He carried his own. He was very seldom challenged because	
9	his reputation was he wouldn't back down from any fights.	
10	He was regarded as a rather	
11	strong individual and well respected among the the white	
12	inmates, and pretty much left alone by the minorities. I	
13	guess you'd call it.	
14	Q You've indicated he had the reputation he	
15	was a strong inmate. That was even though he was small in	
16	stature?	
17	A Yes, sir,	
18	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.)	
19	MR. HARMON: May we have the Court's	
20	indulgence, please?	
21	(Whereupan, a satta vace at this time.)	
22	BY MR. HARMON:	
23	Q Do you know whether inmates at the Jean	
24	prison had access to any exercise areas or weight lifting	
	³ 00356 7	

3

1	equipment?
2	A Oh, yes, they do. We have a gymnasium.
3	Q Do you know whether Mr. Rippo was active in
4	lifting weights in the gymnasium?
5	A Yes, he was.
6	Q To your knowledge, did he build up his
7	strength in that manner?
8	A Yes, He he was he had his own time
9	picked out at the weight pile, like most people do, and
10	people pretty much let you know, when you achieved the
11	status, people the rest of the yard knows when it's
12	somebody else's turn to lift the weights, and they really
13	give up no problems when it's that time.
14	MR. HARMON: Thank you,
15	That concludes direct, Your
16	Honor.
17	THE COURT: Cross.
18	
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
21	Q You indicated contraband was things that
22	didn't come from the commissary and didn't come from the
23	property officer; is that correct?
24	A Yes.
	00356\$

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	Q.	Didn't the compass come from the property
2	officer?	
3	А	I'm unaware of how the compass made it in
4	the yard, sir.	
5	Q	Did you check it out to find out if it came
6	with a watch th	at he got and they forwarded it to him?
7	A	If I'm not mistaken if it was I just
8	recovered the i	tems and turned them over to the
9	Q	So you didn't check into that item?
10	А	No, sir.
11	Q	It was pretty clear the knife didn't come
12	from the proper	ty officer, right?
13	А	I would assume that, yes, sir.
14	Q	And the nunchuks looked like they were
15	something made	on the premises?
16	А	Yes, sir.
17	Q	The knife wasn't?
18	A	No, the knife was not made there.
19	Q	Now, you've worked there since 1983; is that
20	correct?	
21.	А	1983, sir.
22	Q	So you'd been there about three years.
23		And was Michael in the prison
24	at the time you	were there, that full three years?
		003569

1	A Yes, ne was.	
2	I believe there might have	
3	been a moment where he was over at Southern Desert, I think,	
4	and then came back immediately, during minor movements, but	
5	a majority of the time, Mike Rippo was there, yes.	
6	Q Now you indicated that there were routine	
7	searches done at the prison?	
· 8	A Yes, sir.	
9	Q Wasn't there one, in fact, because a	
10	confidential informant had told somebody to go check this	
11	room out?	
12	A In a manner of speaking, yes.	
13	Q So it wasn't just a routine search; you knew	
14	to go look at this room?	
15	A It was one of a few that we were going to	
16	search that night, yes, sir.	
17	Q And the room was occupied by someone else;	
18	is that right?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q How tall was the other person?	
21	A McDermott was probably about the same	
22	size maybe an inch or two shorter than I was. So I'd say	
23	he was probably about five ten.	
24	Q About five ten.	
	003570	

1	And the item
2	overhead lights like this or
3	A No. There is a fluoresce
4	attached to the wall just above the mirro
5	probably six and a half, maybe seven foot
6	from floor level.
7	Q And that's where the knif
8	were found; is that correct?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Was the wrench in the sam
11	A No. I believe the wrench
12	that after during the search. I beli
13	another I think tucked behind the desk
14	desk there is, like, a dead area.
15	Q Could you describe what a
16	Jean, for the jury.
17	A Um, cell is approximately
18	wide and ten feet long; maybe 12 feet lon
19	commode in there, a sink, a mirror.
20	At the time
21	on the yard, we provided a wall cabinet a
22	bunks; and that's about all it was.
23	Q How is the commode and th

s you found were in ... ent light fixture or, which is above the ground 'e and the nunchuks ne location? n was found later eve it was found in ; underneath the cell is like at maybe eight feet g. It's got a that Mr. Rippo was ind a desk and two e sink configured? The commode is -- as you entered the cell --

1	depending on whi	ich side the door is on, as you enter the	
2	cell, the commod	de is immediately to the right or the left;	
3	and then, the st	ink is probably about a foot away. It is	
4	connected to the	e wall.	
5	Q	It's not one of those units where they're	
6	all in one piece?		
7	Α	No, sir.	
8	Q	Do they have any of those at Jean?	
9	A	Yes, we do, sir,	
10	Q	Did this cell he was in have bars or was it	
11	a door with a window or		
12	A	It was a door with a window.	
13	Q	So when they're locked down, they can't	
14	really communicate with people next to them?		
15	А	Well, yeah, they can communicate with people	
16	next to them.		
17	Q	They have to yell through the doors?	
18	A	Through the doors, through the vent.	
19	Q	Now, do you remember Mr. Rippe taking	
20	responsibility	for this?	
21	A	Yes, I do, sir.	
22	Q	Do you remember him giving a reason he had	
23	these items?		
24	A	Not at the time that I was there. I think	
		003572	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

he said something about the yard was changing and a man has got to protect himself, something to that effect; but I don't remember the specific reasons.

Q Something like the population was increasing, fights every day, something is going to happen, and I want to be able to protect myself?

A It was along the lines of protecting, yes.

Q Have you ever had violence at the prison?

A Yes, we do.

Q It appears frequently, does it not?

A More frequent than it should, yes.

Q And you said Mike was a strong guy.

He's still five foot four,

14 | right?

A Yes.

Q You have strong people in there that are bigger than that, don't you?

A Yes, we do.

Q He worked out regularly?

A Say again, sir?

Q He worked out regularly?

A Yes, he did.

Q Probably a good idea when you're five feet four and in prison, don't you think?

iour ond in prison, don't you think?

003573

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	A	It would be a good	idea. My opinion,	it's a
2	good idea to wo	It would be a good ork out all the time.		

Q Are you aware of any other reports, in the history of Mike Rippo being in prison, relating to him having weapons?

- A No, not weapons, but --
- Q Weapons?
- A No.

Q What happens, if you are not a stand up convict, to you when you are in prison?

A There is a possibility you are going to be -- several different things. One could be you're turned out. Another means --

Q Would you explain to the Jury what turned out means?

A Turned out means they turn — the homosexual lingo — you out and you no longer maintain your status as a man. You become what they call a yard bitch. And that's turned out.

Another thing that may happen is if you are weak and they're not interested in that area, they'll force you to contact the people at home to send in property so that they can come get the property and money and other items of that nature. It's all the process of

]		
1	survival.	
2	Q Working out and being stand up is kind of a	
3	survival instinct inside?	
4	A Along the lines of the strong survive, the	
5	weak perish.	
6	Q That's pretty much the code they live by in	
7	the prison, is it not?	
8	A Yes, it is.	
9	MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions.	
10	MR. HARMON: No redirect.	
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: One more.	
12	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:	
13	Q Was there ever any report of Mr. Rippo	
14	having used the knives or the nunchuks on anyone?	
15	A Not that I'm aware of, no.	
16	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thanks. Nothing further.	
17	MR. HARMON: Nothing further, Judge.	
18	THE COURT: Thank you, Officer Karst.	
19	You are excused.	
20	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)	
21		
22	MR. SEATON: Bill Leaver.	
23	THE BAILIFF: Thonk you.	
24	THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise	
	002575	

your right hand. 1 2 3 Whereupon, 4 WILLIAM LEAVER having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and 5 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 5 7 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined 8 and testified as follows: 9 10 THE CLERK: Thank you. 11 Please be seated. 12 Will you state your name and 13 spell it for the record. THE WITNESS: William Leaver; L-e-a-v-e-r. 14 15 THE CLERK: Thank you. 15 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. SEATON: Mr. Leaver, you were previously qualified as 19 Q 20 an expert in this case, were you not? 21 Yes, sir, I was. And I would ask you some questions based on 22 23 that expertise as a questioned documents examiner. Did you, on February the 29th, 24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	1995, examine certain	1 tems?
2	A Febru	ary 29th of 1996, yes, sir, I did.
3	MR. W	(OLFSON: Typo, Mr. Seaton.
4	MR. S	SEATON: Is it d typo?
5		Okdy. I'm sorry.
6	BY MR. SEATON:	
7	Q pid 1	ask you this afternoon to bring two
8	particular letters wi	ith you?
9	A Yes,	sir.
10	Q Do yo	ou have those with you?
11	A Yes,	I do.
12	Q May 1	have them at this time, please.
13	A They	re sealed in these packages. You will
14	have to open them.	
15	MR. S	SEATON: Do you have scissors?
16		Court's indulgence.
17		Before you start to open, Mr.
18	Leaver	
19		
20	(Wher	reupon, a sotto voce at this time.)
21		
22	MR. S	SEATON: With counsel's permission, I'll
23	have Mr. Leaver now a	open the packages which, for the record,
24	are evidence envelope	es that he brought in to court with him.
		003577

1	BY MR. SEATON:	·
2	Q	Have you had these in your possession since
3	you did the exc	mingtion?
4	А	Yes, sir, I have.
5	q	And are they presently sealed now, as you
6	begin to open t	hem?
7	А	Yes, sir.
8		MR. SEATON: All right. If you would open
9	them and withdr	aw the two letters that we spoke of today.
10	BY MR. SEATON:	
11	Q	Would it help to have my copies to
12	A	No, sir, I can
13	Q	All right. Did you extract one of them?
14	A	Yes. I extracted a letter that begins on
15	the text, it so	ys:
16		Dear Alice: Guess where I'm at
17	right now, and	it ends with: I love you; XO. PS: If you
18	have anything,	come down Monday (sic), please.
19	Q	And is it three pages in length?
20	A '	Yes, it is.
21		MR. SEATON: All right. I'll have it
22	marked.	
23		If you would find the other
24	one, please.	•
		002570

1	them, 122, 123, and	124.
2		Do you recognize each of those
3	letters?	
4	A Yes	, I do.
5	Q And	the first letter, we have not talked
6	about it. It is Ex	hibit was that 12
7	A 122	•
8	Q 122	. That's the one that starts: Dear
9	Tina?	
10	A Yes	, sir.
11	Q Tho	se three letters, did you compare each of
12	them to the known h	andwriting of Michael Rippo?
13	A Yes	, sir, I did.
14	Q And	were you able to come to a conclusion as
15	to whether or not h	e was the author of those three
16	documents?	
17	A Yes	, I was.
18	Q And	what was that opinion?
19	A My	opinion was that State's Proposed
20	Exhibits 122, 123 a	nd 124 are the handwriting and signatures
21	of Michael Rippo.	•
22	MR.	SEATON: Thank you.
23		I move for their admission.
24	THE	COURT: Any objection?
		003583

1	MR. WOLFSON: No.
2	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
3	(Whereupon, State's Exhibits 122, 123 and 124 were admitted
4	into evidence.)
5	MR. HARMON: No further questions.
6	MR. WOLFSON: Court's indulgence.
7	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
8	(Whereupon, a satta vace at this time.)
9	MR. WOLFSON: I have no questions.
10	Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Leaver.
12	You are excused.
13	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
14	nac stanced;
15	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
16	MR. HARMON: Gerry Shehan.
17	
18	Whereupon,
19	GERRY LYNNE SHEHAN
20	having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and
21 .	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
23	and testified as follows:
24	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

•

1.	charge of the c	are and custody of them; and, at this point,
2	what we're talk	ing about today, was when we were in booking,
3	so I was what w	e call a booking officer.
4	Q	Were you, specifically, on February the 4th,
5	1993, a booking	officer assigned to the Clark County
6	Detention Cente	r?
7	А	Yes, sir.
8	Q	On that date, did you have contact with an
9	individual iden	tified as Michael Rippo?
10	А	Yes, sir.
11	Q	Do you see Mr. Rippo in court today?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Will you point to him and describe some
14	article of clot	ning he's wearing.
15	A	Well, I it's this gentleman right here.
16	(Indicating)	
17	Q	And how is he dressed in court?
18	A	He's got a sweater on.
19		MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may the record show
20	the witness has	identified the defendant, Mr. Rippo?
21		THE COURT: The record will so reflect.
22		MR. HARMON: Thank you.
23	BY MR. HARMON:	
24	Q	Did something occur at about 8:45 in the
		003582

1.
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

morning on February the 4th, 1993, which involved yourself and the defendant, Mr. Rippo?

- A Yes,
- Q Tell us what happened.
- A Well, I was told to take some inmate workers and go back to -- he was housed in Z-17. At that point, I didn't even know who he was.
 - Q He, referring to the defendant?
 - A To -- yes.

It was a group of Elko inmates that had come down from Elko, prob- -- I guess the night before, and they were all housed tagether in Z-17. That's a halding cell.

And I was told to just go in, pull all the guys out and have the workers go in and clean the room, which is common practice. It's normally done on a daily basis. So that's what I did.

And I asked all the guys to come out. And one gentleman — I can't remember if he didn't have his pants on or if his pants were down. It's been so many years, I don't remember — but he was inappropriately dressed, whatever it was, and I asked him to put his pants on.

That's all I said, put your

_

pants on, so he could step out of the cell with the other individuals and be appropriately dressed while we cleaned the cell out.

Q Who did you tell to put his pants on?

A Mr. Rippo. Everybody else came out without a problem. He was the only person that was going to give me on argument.

Q What was the reaction of Mr. Rippo when you --

A Well, he — I got a barrage of — excuse me, ladies and gentlemen — of fuck you, and, you know, I — God it's been — you know, just fuck you. And that's common, you know. And then he told me that — that he would have no problem blowing my head off and putting me out of my misery.

So I -- some backup came and then he called me a fat fucking bitch, which is also very common; and then they removed them all and took them to a dress out in four, where -- he was going to be moved to either 2-C or 5-C, which is a disciplinary housing. And that was basically my involvement.

And then when he got into the dress out, he -- when I walked down the hall a few minutes later, he had given another corrections officer a problem, and he was on the floor face down.

Q And because of the F word, he got locked

down; is that correct?

22

23

24

A No. He basically got locked down for

1	telling me that	he could would blow my head off and he
2	and that he was	going to put me out of my misery. That's
3	threatening an	officer.
đ	Q	And he had a gun?
5	А	No, but that's not the issue.
6	Q	So it was talk, but no weapon or anything?
7	A	Yeah, it was talk.
8	Q	But you can get locked down for using talk
9	against an offi	cer?
10	A	Absolutely.
11	Q	And that's all there was to it?
12	A	Well, for being uncooperative you can get
13	locked down for	being uncooperative; you can get locked down
14	for threatening	; you can get locked down for disrupting.
15	Œ	There is a lot of reasons that can you get
16	locked down?	
17	А	Yes, sir.
18	Q	It's a very rigid society over there, isn't
19	it?	
20	А	Well, it has to be.
21	Q	And you said he was there with a bunch of
22	people from Elk	0?
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	Isn't Elko a juvenile facility?
	٠.	003583

nn3589

1	for one second before they do?
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.)
4	discussion and ind./
5	THE COURT: All right. We're going to take
6	a short recess. We have to hear something outside your
7	presence.
8	So don't converse among
9	yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with
10	this trial;
11	Read, watch, listen to any
12	report or commentary on the trial; or
13	Form or express any opinion on
14	this case until the matter is submitted to you.
15	Go ahead. Go on outside for a
16	while.
17	(Whereupon, a recess was had in the proceedings, at the
18	conclusion of which the following was had:)
19	
20	THE COURT: Will counsel stipulate to the
21	presence of the jury?
22	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Mr. Harmon, call your next
	003599

			176
<u>*</u>		•	
MR:sen-07058-R0003597	1	Nevada?	
7858-		_	Man T da
R0903	2	A	Yes, I da.
597	3	Q	In which state do you live?
	4	A	Montana.
	5	Q	Are you the father of Lauri Jacobson?
	6	A	No, I am well, I am her father, but she
•	7	is adopted,	·
	8	Q	When was she adopted by the family?
	9	А	At infancy; two weeks three weeks old.
	10	Q	You are married?
	11	A	Yes,
	12	Q	What is your wife's name?
	13	A	Maureen.
	14	Q	Has your wife Maureen been here in court
	15	throughout the	proceedings?
	16	A	Yes, she has.
	17	Q	Did your daughter Lauri Jacobson have any
	18	brothers or sist	ters?
	19	A	Yes. She has two brothers, Kurt and Mark.
	20	Mark is adopted	too.
	21	Q	Did Lauri Jacobson have any children?
	22	A	Yes. She had one child, Brittany, yes.
	23	Q.	And how old is Brittany new?
	24	A	Brittany now is 12 years old.
			003592
	,		000002

Q Mr. Jacobson, do you have some remarks which you have prepared that you would like to state to the Court and Jury describing what type of person your daughter Lauri Jacobson was and what the impact has been upon the family by having her killed?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Will you do that now, sir?
- A Yes. If you will pardon me for reading this.

We adopted Lauri when she was Just a few weeks old. She Joined her two brothers, ages two and four. She was a Joy to watch as she matured into a -- a beautiful young lady.

At five, she sang in the children's chair at church and also Joined a competitive swimming team with her brother for nine years. And during that time, she grew very close to Mark, who swam with her.

Lauri was very active in sports all through school. She was very active in the Girl Scouts; and one year, she was chosen to be on the cover of the National Girl Scout calendar.

Lauri -- excuse me -- Lauri was also very active in 4-H throughout grade school and high school. She was raising pigs and lambs, and her Junior

year, she won a reserve champion on her market hog.

As a sixth grader, Lauri took
part in a play, Oliver, that was put on by the Children's
Theatre. It was a great experience, along with her dance
classes, which she really loved. She liked to perform. She
was chosen as a member of the high school drill team all
four years.

Lauri had a personality that drew people to her, both young and old. In high school, she was asked by her principal to help new students around in school so that they would get to the classes and they'd know the ropes in school.

This, even, was her trait right up to the end. She trusted people. She wanted to help people. And she would often call. On her weekly calls, she would tell of helping certain people out.

And right -- right after her death, a friend of Lauri's called and -- and talked to me really close to probably a half hour, 45 minutes. And the basic gist of the conversation was really two-fold. And one is he -- he really felt guilty that he made Lauri move out of his apartment because his girlfriend didn't want Lauri there; and as a result, she moved into the Katie Arms apartment.

 And then the second thing was that some of her friends were really taking advantage of her, and so he would take her down to the 7-Eleven store and see that she cashed her check and made out a money order for her rent, because others would try to get loans from her; and as a result, she wouldn't have the money to pay the rent

After high school, Lauri moved to Salt Lake with a friend, and she enrolled in community college as a medical secretary and graduated from there.

in order to stay where she was.

She worked in that field for a few years, and then finally, she said she had to get out of it because she -- she didn't like to see sick kids or abused children, basically it was what it had amounted to.

During this time, she was married and she had a beautiful little daughter, which we've already talked about.

And -- excuse me -- and on the date she was murdered, ah, Brittany called her and, ah, she never answered.

And Brittany basically cried for, well, two days, until she found out what happened. And basically Lauri would call her back as soon as she did call, so that she knew — you know, that she could visit with her.

 Brittany, at the time, really is probably too young to realize the implications of all what was going on. She has been in counseling, and we -- we feel that she'll need more counseling as she gets alder and

Mark was really closest to

Lauri, and he came down and visited her just a few days before her death; and that -- that visit -- excuse me -- I should be able to handle this -- but that visit really haunts Mark quite a bit, and he has been in counseling, and -- not as often now as before.

really realizes the implications of what has taken place.

Kurt, our oldest son, really doesn't talk much about it, about his daught- -- or his sister's death, but there is no question at all in what he thinks or feels about this situation.

Both of Lauri's brothers have children, and they — they, um, basically — I guess the thing that probably bothers them is that their children won't know Lauri, which we have to expect, because they've watched her work with Brittany, showing her a lot of, you know, just being outdoors, just nature, warking with her and teaching, I guess, love and respect for not only people, but everything.

As for my wife and I, it has 003598

•

been difficult at times to sit here and to contain the rage that comes up once in a while. You know, if there is a hell, I think it can't get much worse than what we've been going through, but I — I don't — I don't ask for sympathy or anything. Our daughter is — you know, our daughter's trauma was ended shortly, where others have not.

The extent of the trauma that we feel really is dependent, you know, in a way as to what moment in Lauri's life flashes before us unexpectedly, if it's, you know, the first moment that we saw our daughter or when she had her first dance lesson or when she graduated from school or when she, you know, had her daughter, or if it's, you know, the — the last few seconds of Lauri's life that we think about, no matter what our memories are, we really only know that our lives have been changed and they've been changed forever.

Q Mr. Jacobson, have you and your Wife prepared a short scrapbook that contains photographs of your daughter at various times in her life?

A Yes, we have. And I think some of those pictures show some of the activities that I've mentioned, yes.

Q Does the scrapbook highlight certain activities during Lauri Jacobson's life?

1	A Yes, they do or it does.
2	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, may we approach
3	for a moment?
4	THE COURT: Yes.
5	(Whereupon, an off-the-record
6	discussion was had.)
7	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,
8	Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: You may.
10	
11	(Whereupon, as requested by counsel, Plaintiff's Exhibit
12	125 was marked for identification.)
13	BY MR. HARMON:
14	Q Mr. Jacobson, I'm showing you proposed
15	Exhibit 125.
16	Does this appear to be the
17	scrapbook which contains various photographs taken of your
18	daughter Lauri Jacobson during her life?
19	A Yes, it does.
20	Q Is there certain commentary which has been
21	written in the scrapbook explaining what each picture
22	depicts?
23	A Yes, each each explaining a little bit of
24	what took place at that time, yes.
	003598

1	Q Was your purpose in preparing this for
2	presentation in the event there was a penalty hearing in
3	this case?
4	A Yes, it was, so that they could see what
5	type of a daughter that we we had to raise, yes.
6	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
7	Your Honor, the State offers
8	proposed Exhibit 125.
9	MR. DUNLEAVY: For the record, Your Honor,
10	we object to it and we've never seen it. It's never been
11	provided to us to look at.
12	THE COURT: You may take a look at 1t now if
13	you wish.
14	MR. DUNLEAVY: I would renew my objection
15	and ask to make a record out of the presence of the Jury.
16	THE COURT: All right. You can make your
17	record outside the presence. It will be admitted.
18	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 125 was admitted into
19	evidence.)
20	MR. HARMON: No further questions, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: Cross-examination?
23	MR. DUNLEAVY: No questions, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jacobson.
	003599

	ı	184
8	1	You may step down.
	2	
	3	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
	4	
	5	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
q	6	MR. HARMON: Orell Maxwell.
	7	THE CLERK: Come up here and remain
	8	standing.
	9	
	10	Whereupon,
	11	ORELL MAXWELL
	12	having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and
	13	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
	14	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
	15	and testified as follows:
	16	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
	17	Will you state your name and
	18	spell it for the record.
	19	THE WITNESS: Orell Maxwell; 0-r-e-l-l,
	20	М-d-x-w-e-l-l.
	21	
	22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	23	BY MR. HARMON:
	24	Q It is Mrs. Maxwell?
		003600
	9	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

			18
X D		•	
9	1	A	Right,
<u> </u>	2	Q	We need to make sure that the microphone
; ; ; ;	3	picks up what	you say,
•	4	A	Okay.
	5		Did you know Lauri Jacobson?
	6	A	Yes, I did.
	7	Q	Did she become part of your family?
	8	A	Yes, she was.
	9	Q	Explain how that occurred.
	10	A	She married my second son.
	11	Q	What is the name of your son who was the
	12	husband of Lau	ri Jacobson?
	13	A	David Maxwell.
	14	Q	Where were they married?
	15	A	They were married in Salt Lake City; in East
	16	Canyon actually	y.
	17	Q	Did they live for a period of time during
	18	their married	life in Salt Like City?
	19	А	Yes, they did.
	20	Q	Were there any children of the marriage?
	21	A	Yes, they had one daughter, Brittany.
	22	Q	Is this the same little child referred to by
	23	Mr. Jacobson i	n his testimony?
	24	Д	Yes.
	÷		003601

1
2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mrs. Maxwell, do you have something that you would like to share with the Court and Jury regarding the type of person that you observed Lauri Jacobson to be and the effect it has been on you and Brittany because of her death?

> Yes, I would. A

Would you make your statement now, please.

Thirteen years ago, my second son David married Lauri Jacobson, and they had a beautiful daughter, Brittany. She is now 12 years old.

And on the surface, she is a typical, well mannered, sensitive, intelligent child; but she now has a handicap: She lost her mother when she was only eight, because of a selfish act by someone.

Our family became acquainted with the wonderful, kind and thoughtful caring wife and mother, Lauri Jacobson. We also have some other new friends, Norman and Moureen Jacobson, and their sons Kurt and Mark. And they are fine, upright, honest people of the highest character, and we have come to love them and our lives have been better because of them.

Lauri was a loving mother, who we watched teach her little Brittany to be a loving, kind and caring person.

I saw Lauri lying on the lawn, showing a blade of grass to Brittany, showing her how soft and beautiful it was, and letting a lady bug crawl up her arm.

Lauri helped Brittany to learn how to use her senses, to understand the world around her. She carefully taught her, from her baby days until the day she was killed, to smell and touch, to taste and hear and fully experience all that was around her.

Most children have to learn these things for themselves, but Lauri loved life and she had a deep sense of caring for the earth and for other people and so she taught this to her daughter.

Dave and Brittany not only have to deal with the loneliness of their daily lives, but they must cope with the horror of the brutal and violent manner of Lauri's death.

Brittany is learning to deal with the loss of her mother through the loving care of her single father, her grandparents, aunts, uncles, cousins, and the help of a professional counselor, plus a lot of prayers.

But it is still hard to know —

it is still hard for her not to receive the weekly phone

calls and the frequent visits from her mother, and it's hard

003603

.

to know how to help her cope with all of her tears and her 1 sorrow. Her mother's death has left a terrible, permanent 2 3 void in her life and in ours. We all loved Lauri. 4 MR. HARMON: Thank you, Mrs. Maxwell. 5 Nothing further, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Any questions? MR. DUNLEAVY: No questions, Your Honor, 8 THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Maxwell. 9 You are excused. 10 (Whereupon, the witness 11 was excused.) 1.2 13 THE COURT: Next witness. 14 MR. SEATON: Nicholas Lizzi. 15 16 Whereupon, 17 NICHOLAS LIZZI having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and 18 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 19 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined 20 and testified as follows: 21 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 22 23 Will you state your name and 24 spell it for the record.

1	A Yes, I would like to.		
2	Q and what her life was about and how her		
3	death has impacted you and your family?		
4	A Yes, many.		
5	Q Would you please do that.		
6	A All right. I'll read it from my statement,		
7	Q Thank you.		
8	A Good afternoon.		
9	Just about 30 years ago, I		
10	found out I was going to be a father. On November 18th,		
11	1966, my daughter was born. It was one of the happiest days		
12	of my life.		
13	Denise was a very active baby;		
14	never ceased to amaze me. She walked and she talked early.		
15	She couldn't wait to go to school like her big cousins, who		
16	remind me a lot of her. They were close.		
17	She loved school; hardly missed		
18	a day. She liked to socialize. We always called her our		
19	social butterfly.		
20	When our son was born, Denise		
21	was delighted. She liked to help take care of him. She was		
22	his big sister.		
23	Denise was so happy and full of		
24	life. She had been a Girl Scout, played baseball, took		
	003606		

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ballet lessons, music lessons. In high school, she worked part time so she could save for her first car.

She took gerobic classes and acting lessons. She did some modeling. She graduated high school and realized if she wanted a better paying job, she would have to go back to school, so she did. She graduated from Las Vegas Business College and worked with computers. Denise loved to dance and went to many concerts.

She was always concerned about other people's lives, always willing to help someone in need. One time, she gave her bed to a friend who didn't have one. She would give you the shirt off her back. She was a very generous person. I always thought she should have been a social worker.

When Denise was murdered, I received hundreds of letters and cards and flowers from her friends as far away as France. She touched so many lives in her short life. She was a special person, always trying to live every day to its fullest.

My daughter is dead four years, 24 days and so many hours, and my life has changed dramatically. I am not the same person I was before this tragedy. A piece of my heart is gone forever.

I have seen therapists and

psychiatrists, but nothing helps the pain in my heart. I can never hold her or hug her again. I will never see Denise in a wedding dress and never have her grandchildren from her. All I have left is my memories of a wonderful, loving, beautiful daughter and a horror of how I lost her so brutally. No one should die like that.

People seem to think that time heals. But the reminders are always there, the color purple, Flamingo Road, certain songs on the radio, the TV commercials about fathers dancing with their daughters, holidays that we spent together and happiness, and now it's spent in a cemetery.

The emotions of Joy and happiness are out of my life. We have grief attacks every day, just crying. My heart is broken. I can't stop remembering that once I had a daughter; someone killed her; and that person should be accountable for not only killing her, but all the other lives this murderer has affected.

And now, still in shock, we know she will never come home again or celebrate a birthday of hers and that's sad.

Thank you.

Q Mr. Lizzi, let me show you State's proposed Exhibit 127.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

upon your family?

A Yes, but mine is from a little different perspective. It's -- I'm going to talk about when I found out my daughter was killed.

Q If you would do that, please.

A I'm sorry. I -- I -- I have this memorized, but I'm going to have to read it.

I'll never forget the day,

Friday, February 21st, 1992 when three girls knocked on my door and said Denise was dead.

11 No. no, it was an accident,

they said. She was murdered. I thought they were crazy. I

13 thought they were wrong. I got angry with them.

14 I couldn't understand or

comprehend. I was so desperate. Surely they were wrong.

They had to be; there had to be a mistake.

17 My husband called the coroner.

18 | He said, yes, your daughter is dead.

19 Dead. How could this be?

20 Denise was 25, healthy and beautiful. This couldn't be

21 true.

22 We wanted to make sure. We

23 | wanted to see for ourselves. But the law said, no, we

24 couldn't see her until she was released.

2 She

Released? What do you mean?

She was my daughter.

But we weren't allowed to see her — until she was cleaned up from the autopsy. I hear the word autopsy and still I don't believe. I must see her; I must make sure; but I'm not allowed. Before I could see her to make sure, it is on the news. They say her name, Denise Lizzi. They say she's found in a closet with another girl, Lauri Jacobson.

People started calling. What should I do? My son was in school; doesn't know. My elderly father doesn't know. My brother and his wife and children don't know.

Will someone tell them, or will they hear it on the news before I know for sure it's true?

You see, I still don't believe. I just kept saying it can't be true. Why would anyone want to kill my daughter?

People started coming over. My son came home and my husband told him. My brother tells my father. We are all in shock, but still hoped that there had been a mistake.

Denise's grandmother and uncles and cousins in New York had to be told. I don't know how to do this. My poor husband has the gruesome task of telling

E.

them. They come to Las Vegas to help us with our grief.

The next day, we're finally allowed to see Denise. The shock of seeing your child lying there, so lifeless, so fake, is something you never forget. No one is ever prepared for this. It's such a shock to your system.

I kept remembering the day she was born. We had been blessed by God. She was such a gift that we always cherished. And I'm now looking at her in a mortuary.

I examine her and I still see -- I still can't see it to believe. We decided to have a closed casket. We can't have anyone see her like this, so cold, so lifeless, so dead.

In a matter of hours, my family has changed. We are suspicious of everyone. We want to know who did this. The police find my daughter's car.

Still we don't know who did this. We become paranoid.

Every time we go out, we think this person could be standing next to us. Your mind runs away and you just keep praying the police will find the person responsible for this tragedy.

I don't remember eating or sleeping or -- all I remember doing is crying. I felt like

someone ripped my heart out, and I have never lost that pain. I think of the grandchildren I will never have and my heart breaks. And Denise loved children so much.

I will never be the same person
I was before this tragedy. I go through the motions, but my
heart is just not in it. I try to act and look normal, but
the hurt is so bad that I feel like the living dead.

I can't tell you how many times
I've picked up the phone to tell Denise something and then
remembered she's gone. She's Just not there anymore.

I wake up in the mornings and think: Is this nightmare over? But, soon, I realize it will never be over. I'll never be able to hug, kiss or comfort her again. I'll never be able to hear her voice. It is more than traumatic. It's my lifetime sentence.

I can't forget the horror of her death. I'm tired -- I'm tired of saying this can't be true. I finally know it is true.

I have waited four years for this day, four years of heartache and stress, four years of countless court appearances and parole hearings and involvement with this criminal justice system.

What is justice?
These four years, it's (

These four years, it's a long 003614

time to wait for justice. There is no happiness here, only a little sense of relief. All I have left now are my memories, memories of a daughter that would never hesitate to help someone in need, memories of a sweet, kind daughter who I will mourn for the rest of my life.

MR. SEATON: Thank you, Mrs. Lizzi.

THE COURT: Any questions?

MR. DUNLEAVY: No questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Lizzi.

You are excused.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. SEATON: I call Nick Lizzi.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Whereupon,

NICHOLAS LIZZI. JR.

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

22

21

23

24

l.

BY MR. SEATON:

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

DIRECT EXAMINATION

				200
11 11 11 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1				
	. 1	Q	You are the brother of	
8-R0A(2	A	Yes.	
33621	3	Q	Well, would you spell your name, please?	
	4	Α	Nicholas Lizzi; L-i-z-z-i.	
4	5	Q	And you are the brother of Denise?	
	6	A	Yes,	
1	7	Q	What is your age?	
:	8	A	I'm 22. I'll be 23 next week.	
	9	Q	How old would she have been today?	,
•	10	A	Denise?	
:	11	Q	Yes.	
1	12	A	She would be going on 30, 29.	
a under transiterit s	13	Q	She was your older sister?	
6. ett 111 + 111 - 111	14	A	Yes.	
sudmonochiett, all t	15	Q	Do you have things you wish to tell the	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH	16	jury?		
***************************************	17	A	Yes, I do.	
	18	q	Would you, please.	
	19	А	Yes. Thank you.	
	20	,	I want to let you know I'm	
	21	reading from ti	his paper only because limitations have bee	n
	22	set on what car	n be said. Otherwise, I would have spoken	to
	23	you directly.		
**************************************	24		It's been a lengthy and	
Accommodate and the Accomm			00	361\$

difficult and strenuous period of time for me and my family to finally reach this point, over four years, four years of speculation on what actually occurred on or about February 18th, 1992.

Granted, this trial has not answered all of our questions, but it does bring some closure to this traumatic and devastating part of our life.

My relationship with my sister

was not the strongest of brother-sister relationships. We did have a seven year difference in our ages. However, we were at a point in which we were getting closer.

We had, over the year or two prior to her death, broken the simple brother-sister relationship barrier and were building a friendship.

For example, in May of 1991, my sister came over on the day of my senior prom. She came over to make sure I looked good for my date and helped me get ready. Not only that, but we washed each other's cars, and she let me borrow her 300ZX for the evening and the following week.

My father came home from work that evening, just before I left to pick up my date. He took some pictures of me and my sister standing in front of the lawn of the house we lived in since 1980. Little did I

know that on May 18th, 1991, exactly nine months before her murder, it would be the last picture we ever took together.

I remember in October of 1991,

Denise called me about midnight one Friday night. I had just gotten home after being out with some friends. She wanted me to come over and spend the night at Denny's house with her. She said Denny was out of town and didn't want -- and she didn't want to be alone. So I went over.

It was things like this that were improving our relationship, a relationship that would be coming to a halt just a few months from this time.

I didn't see much of Denise after this point. For one reason or another, we kept missing each other.

For instance, about two weeks prior to her death, it was raining one evening and my mother was working and my dad and I had gone out. When we came home, there was a towel wadded up in front of our garage door. We always entered through the garage, so we noticed it immediately when we arrived home.

Under the towel was a note from Denise. She wrate she forgot her keys to the house, but wanted us to know she had stopped by.

On Thursday, February 20th,

1992, I was getting my car serviced and the 12 o'clock news came on in the waiting room. I remember the news report clearly, the body bags being carried out of the apartment; two unidentified women found dead in a closet; the black Datsun with the key still in the ignition.

I thought to myself, how strange. I had no clue what was in store for me come the next day. Friday, February 21st, 1992, started out a normal day. I was attending U.N.L.V. full-time and had classes all marning.

I arrive home about 12 in the afternoon. I opened the garage and left my car in the driveway because I was going to work on some projects.

As I was walking into the garage, the door opened. My dad pushed the button to close the garage. I started to get angry, wondering why he was closing the garage, and asked him what he was doing.

But before the garage was fully closed, as I'm walking towards him, I saw the most detrimental, hurtful look on his face I had ever seen. I instantly knew there must have been some large tragedy, perhaps a death in the family, but never in a billion years did I think my father would grab me and cry out Denise was murdered as he burst out into tears.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2

I was overwhelmed with shock and disbelief. I went in my room and sat on the bed, thinking this can't be real. I must be dreaming.

But when I went in the family room and saw my mother, I knew it was undeniably real. She couldn't even talk. She sat there crying and staring into the air. It seemed for days.

I didn't know what to say. I don't even think she was comprehending what was going on anymore at this point; Just felt like life had Just stopped for all of us.

Throughout the day, the phone rang continuously, since the names were released on the 11:30 news, before even I knew. Friends and family came from all over, some people we hadn't even seen in years.

The pictures on the news were horrible. They could only keep showing the body bags being carried out of the apartment, and each channel had their own version of what happened.

The days to follow were just as bad. We had to go to the mortuary, pick a coffin and a plot and what clothes to bury Denise in; had to view her in the coffin and decide to keep the casket closed because she looked so fake, covered with makeup to hide the trauma she

00362n

1.2

had been through. We had to hold ourselves together like we never have before, and we still do today.

until the day of the funeral, I had not realized how many hearts Denise had touched and how many friends she had. The church was packed. There was standing room only; and the procession that led to the cemetery was as far as the eye could see.

In April of 1992, Denise's car was finally released from the police. I picked up the car from the repair shop where it was being repainted. The car was a mess. Black fingerprint dust was all over the place, a lot of parts missing and broken, and it took me several months to get the car back in to its original condition.

Every time I look at the car in the driveway, I picture Denise getting out of it; and sometimes I still do that. Or when I see the car drive away, when someone else drives it, I picture Denise in it; and if the horn beeps, I remember she always used to beep the horn as she was driving away. And her spirit will always be there; it will always be her car, no matter who is driving it.

The months and years leading up to today have not been easy. We moved into a new house in 1992. We do not put up Christmas trees or Christmas lights,

which is something I always enjoyed, but the house was too empty and quiet. I always expect Denise to be calling or coming over to visit. Instead, I was visiting her grave.

I have been in depression, to some extent, every day since her death. Had she died of natural causes, I could handle it better. But knowing she was murdered in the horrible way she was makes it ever so difficult to trust any human being. It overwhelms me that anyone is capable of committing such helmous crimes and lives on this planet.

The extensive delays in this case have made a bad situation even worse. I can't count how many times this case was set for trial and delayed for one reason or another. It is very painful to have to come and see the defendant trying to move on with his life while my sister is insensibly dead.

I will wind up alone as my parents die, as I have no other brothers and sisters. I will never be called Uncle Nick by my sister's children she never had.

I could go an about my feelings for days; however, I think I've said enough to make you realize what a devastating effect this senseless murder of my sister had on me and my family.

1	Thank you.
2	MR. SEATON: Thank you, Nick.
3	THE COURT: Any questions?
4	MR. DUNLEAVY: No questions.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.
6	(Whereupon, the witness
7	was excused.)
8	MR. SEATON: Could we approach the bench,
9	Jud ge ?
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had.)
12	discussion and hour
13	MR. SEATON: Judge, the State would move for
14	introduction into evidence of State's proposed Exhibit 126,
15	which are certified judgments of conviction for burglary and
16	sexual assault against the defendant, Michael Rippo.
17	(Whereupon, as requested by counsel, Plaintiff's Exhibit
18	126 was marked for identification.)
19	THE COURT: Any objection?
20	MR. DUNLEAVY: No objection, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: State's 126 will be admitted.
22 23	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 126 was admitted into evidence.)
24	MR. SEATON: And, Judge, if I might inquire
	00362 3

1	of the clerk if all of the evidence that has been proffered					
2	during the penalty hearing has been admitted?					
3	THE CLERK: Yes, it has.					
4	MR. SEATON: The State would rest.					
5	THE COURT: Okay. The defense will begin					
6	tomorrow morning. Okay. I'm going to ask the jury to					
7	come we'll get started at 9:30 tomorrow morning I have					
8	a very light calendar and, hopefully, we'll complete this					
9	case tomorrow.					
10	Remember: Do not converse					
11	among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject					
12	connected with this trial;					
13	Read, watch, listen to any					
14	report or commentary on the trial by any medium of					
15	information, including, without limitation, newspaper,					
16	television or rodio; or					
17	Form or express any opinion on					
18	this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.					
19	Have a good evening.					
20						
21	(The following proceedings were had in open court outside the					
22	presence of the jury:)					
23	THE COURT: The record will reflect we're					
24	outside the presence of the Jury.					
	003624					

THE COURT: Mr. Dunleavy?

MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, first, when Officer Saxon was on the stand, Mr. Harmon handed him a document that he wanted the information from one paragraph in particular to be basically read into the record.

We had never seen that document. It was supposedly a statement attributable to our client. It was against his interest. We had never seen it. It had never been provided to us in any discovery.

We've had repeated Brady violations throughout this case. I want to put on the record, once again, in the penalty phase, they're producing documents they have never disclosed to the defense. We've never had a chance to prepare cross-examination or to evaluate it in any way.

And there is no sanctions we can get against the State. They can get away with anything. Nothing ever happens to them. It's like the rules don't apply. As long as we violate them, who cares? There should be some meaningful sanctions imposed.

We also had motions about the testimony of the family members; and there was limitations set: That it would not be cumulative; that they would not make comments about the defendant; that they would not make

comments about the facts of the case; and almost every one of them violated that, in one respect or another.

The State knows we can't jump up in the middle of these people and keep objecting. That could kill our client. They know that. So they just sit back, confident that they can get away with it.

They also brought in two photo books that they have never shown to us and that we submit went well beyond the narrow confines of Payne. Payne didn't say absolutely anything the State can think of to do. Payne said a snapshot of the victim's life and that the Eighth Amendment and the United States Constitution guarantees of due process had to be Jealously guarded. That's not what's carrying here.

The State has a reputation of trying to sandbag people in the penalty phase and kill people. It's not proper. And I would submit the only appropriate remedy available to the Court would be to strike the motion to seek the death penalty.

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, I don't know what reputation counsel is talking about. Perhaps that is his perception.

I'm telling him, and I'm telling the Court, we don't try to sandbag anyone. We have $\label{eq:court} \text{$n$.3625}$

1.7

been very cooperative throughout these proceedings, in going beyond what we're required to do, by sharing with the defense witnesses we had intended to call. We've provided a list from A to Z in this case.

Your Honor, the fact is there are copious reports regarding the Rippo case, which have accumulated through the years; and as we prepared, both for the guilt and penalty phase, we have procured additional reports; and with all good conscience, I can assure the Court that we haven't tried to withhold anything.

I would concede, if we accept at face value what counsel has said, that occasionally, inadvertently, they have not received things in the timeliness which I'm sure they prefer; but, Judge, until they come over and actually inspect our file, we have no way of knowing for sure what they have or don't have.

In this particular instance, my partner, Mr. Seaton, prepared much of the penalty hearing's material while we were at trial on the Warehouse matter, because he had the time to do it.

And regarding the statement alluded to by counsel, which encompasses the statement by Mr. Rippo's former parole officer, Steve Schmelz, that is not Brady material, Judge.

As the Court knows. Brady material is exculpatory evidence. And this was a short statement; it was certainly relevant. Both sides have striven to show either that Mr. Rippo was remorseful or was not so, for his offenses, and it was simply one short sentence; and, frankly, when I was examining Mr. Saxon, I

thought the defense had this single document.

If it turns out they didn't, I apologize to them and to the Court. But it was not deliberate; it was not intentional; it's Just one of those things that happened.

In fact, we would have called Steve Schmelz to be a witness, but he's unavailable. He's out of the jurisdiction, so that was impossible to do.

Your Honor, regarding the victim impact statements, as the Court knows, until Payne versus Tennessee, things were very one sided at a penalty hearing.

Our United States Supreme

Court, and I might say, with due respect to them, belatedly, decided to make the penalty hearing an even playing field; and they decided that Jurors were entitled to know what the specific harm was which occurred as a result of a homicide; and the only persons in a position to share with specificity

q

the horm are family members.

Payne didn't place any
limitations beyond a general due process limitation; but
what Payne made clear is that such evidence was now relevant
and admissible.

And our legislature, in Nevada, when our statutory scheme was enacted in 1977, specifically N.R.S. 175.552, made relevant and admissible at a penalty hearing evidence that related to the offense, evidence regarding the character of the defendant, and evidence regarding the victim.

Now, the defense filed motions in limine in this case and we had a hearing; and, Judge, we instructed each of our victim impact witnesses regarding the parameters of appropriate statements to the jury.

Judge, Payne doesn't place any limitations on the number of witnesses. It doesn't say what their specific relationship must be to the decedents. In fact, on three separate occasions, our state Supreme Court, in the Smith case, S-m-i-t-h, in the Guy case, G-u-y, and also in the Lane case, L-a-n-e, stated that there is no appropriate limitation, as the defense has argued, from Chapter 176 and from N.R.S. 213.005.

Those relationships, as each of 0.03629

ц

the three cases I've referenced stand for, do not apply to a penalty hearing.

Judge, there are many, many things that these witnesses could have said. They did their best, conscientiously, to abide by the Court's ruling.

What they said was appropriate.

It obviously came from their hearts.

And although we're going to be the first to confess that, like every other penalty hearing, this hasn't been perfect perhaps, the defendant has not been deprived of a fair hearing.

We presented witnesses; hearsay is admissible; evidence of the defendant's character are admissible; and certainly insight into the types of people Lauri Jacobson and Denise Lizzi were is admissible.

They are not just names. They are not just names. They are not just statistics. And we are entitled, as we have done, to attempt to personalize them in the eyes of the jury.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. The record is made. My ruling stands.

We will be in recess.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	INST						
. 2	—FILED IN OPEN COURT—						
3	MAR 1 4 1996 19						
4	LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK						
5	DISTRICT COURT Depu						
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA						
4							
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)						
9	Plaintiff, }						
10	-vs- Case No. C106784						
11(MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO) Dept. No. IV Docket C						
12							
13	Defendant.						
14							
15	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY						
16	(INSTRUCTION NO. 1)						
17,	MEMBERS OF THE JURY:						
18	It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this penalty hearing. It is your						
19	duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as you find them from						
20	the evidence.						
21'	You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these instructions.						
22	Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it would be a violation of your						
23,	oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given in the instructions of the Court.						
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	CE31 1014						

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

,5

· 13

15/

17

23

.15

The jury shall fix the punishment at:

- (1) Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole,
- (2) Life imprisonment with the possibility of parole, or
- (3) Death.

ر

Life imprisonment with the possibility of parole is a sentence of life imprisonment which provides that a defendant would be eligible for parole after a period of ten years. This does not mean that he would be paroled after ten years, but only that he would be eligible after that period of time.

Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole means exactly what it says, that a defendant shall not be eligible for parole.

If you sentence a defendant to death, you must assume that the sentence will be carried out.

Although under certain circumstances and conditions the State Board of Pardons Commissioners has the power to modify sentences, you are instructed that you may not speculate as to whether the sentence you impose may be changed at a later date.

In the penalty hearing, evidence may be presented concerning aggravating and mitigating circumstances relative to the offense, and any other evidence that bears on the defendant's character.

Hearsay is admissible in a penalty hearing.

,5 |

11

12

13 14

1.5/

16

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

The State has alleged that aggravating circumstances are present in this case.

The defendants have alleged that certain mitigating circumstances are present in this case.

It shall be your duty to determine:

- (a) Whether an aggravating circumstance or circumstances are found to exist; and
- (b) Whether a mitigating circumstance or circumstances are found to exist; and
- (c) Based upon these findings, whether a defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment or death.

The jury may impose a sentence of death only if (1) the jurors unanimously find at least one aggravating circumstance has been established beyond a reasonable doubt and (2) the jurors unanimously find that there are no mitigating circumstances sufficient to outweigh the aggravating circumstance or circumstances found.

Otherwise, the punishment imposed shall be imprisonment in the State Prison for life with or without the possibility of parole.

A mitigating circumstance itself need not be agreed to unanimously; that is, any one juror can find a mitigating circumstance without the agreement of any other juror or jurors. The entire jury must agree unanimously, however, as to whether the aggravating circumstances outweigh the mitigating circumstances or whether the mitigating circumstances outweigh the aggravating circumstances.

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

The law does not require the jury to impose the death penalty under any circumstances, even when the aggravating circumstances outweigh the mitigating circumstances. Nor is the defendant required to establish any mitigating circumstances in order to be sentenced to less than death.

, 5

Ŕ

26

A person who is on parole at the time he commits murder is under a sentence of imprisonment.

The offense of Sexual Assault is a Felony.

21.

Any person who by day or night, enters any building or apartment with intent to commit Larceny and/or Robbery and/or Kidnapping, is guilty of Burglary.

Larceny is the stealing of property and/or money.

INSTRUCTION NO. 12

Every person who wilfully seizes, confines, restrains, conceals, kidnaps or carries away any person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain, or who holds or detains, the person:

- 1) for the purpose of committing robbery from the person; or
- for the purpose of killing the person or inflicting substantial bodily harm upon her;is guilty of Kidnapping.

Forcible movement of a victim is simply one of the ways kidnapping may be accomplished. The crime of kidnapping is complete whenever it is shown that a defendant willfully and without lawful authority seizes another human being with the intent to detain her against her will for the purpose of committing robbery.

When forcible movement of a victim does occur there is no requirement of a minimum distance of asportation. It is the fact not the distance of forcible movement that constitutes kidnapping.

1.

11'

آخ

Robbery is the unlawful taking of personal property from the person of another, or in her presence, against her will, by means of force or violence or fear of injury, immediate or future, to her person or property, or the person or property of a member of her family, or of anyone in her company at the time of the robbery. A taking is by means of force or fear if force or fear is used to:

- (a) Obtain or retain possession of the property;
- (b) Prevent or overcome resistance to the taking; or
- (c) Facilitate escape.

The degree of force used is immaterial if it is used to compel acquiescence to the taking of or escaping with the property. A taking constitutes robbery whenever it appears that, although the taking was fully completed without the knowledge of the person from whom taken, such knowledge was prevented by the use of force or fear.

The value of property or money taken is not an element of the crime of Robbery, and it is only necessary that the State prove the taking of some property or money.

· 2

Ą

11₁₂

15/

The essential elements of murder by means of torture are (1) the act or acts which caused the death must involve a high degree of probability of death, and (2) the defendant must commit such act or acts with the intent to cause cruel pain and suffering for the purpose of revenge, persuasion or for any other sadistic purpose.

The crime of murder by torture does not necessarily require any proof that the defendant intended to kill the deceased nor does it necessarily require any proof that the deceased suffered pain.

, 5

Mitigating circumstances are those factors which, while they do not constitute a legal justification or excuse for the commission of the offense in question, may be considered, in the estimation of the jury, in fairness and mercy, as extenuating or reducing the degree of the defendant's moral culpability.

You may consider any aspect of the defendant's character or record and any of the circumstances of the offense as a basis for a sentence less than death.

15/

21' 22'

24[,]

Murder of the first degree may be mitigated by any of the following circumstances, even though the mitigating circumstance is not sufficient to constitute a defense or reduce the degree of the crime:

- (1) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.
- (2) The murder was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.
 - (3) The victim was a participant in the defendant's criminal conduct or consented to the act.
- (4) The defendant was an accomplice in a murder committed by another person and his participation in the defendant's criminal conduct or consented to the act.
 - (5) The defendant acted under duress or under the domination of another person.
 - (6) The youth of the defendant at the time of the crime.
 - (7) Any other mitigating circumstances.

, 5

The burden rests upon the prosecution to establish any aggravating circumstance beyond a reasonable doubt and you must be unanimous in your finding as to each aggravating circumstance.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt, but is such a doubt as

. 2

must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

15/

23²

would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable

,5

б

· 13

The jury is instructed that in determining the appropriate penalty to be imposed in this case that it may consider all evidence introduced and instructions given at both the penalty hearing phase of these proceedings and at the trial of this matter.

17

In your deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of guilt or innocence of a defendant, as that issue has already been decided. Your duty is confined to a determination of the punishment to be imposed.

, 5

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

9'

11,

19,

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

б

. 13

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these

Your verdict must be unanimous. When you have agreed upon your verdicts, they should be signed and dated by your foreman.

written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

. 2

15/

23

The Court has submitted two sets of verdicts to you. One set of verdicts reflects the three possible punishments which may be imposed. The other verdict is a special verdict. They are to reflect your findings with respect to the presence or absence and weight to be given any aggravating circumstance and any mitigating circumstances.

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law was given you in these instructions, and return a verdict which, according to your reason and candid judgment, is just and proper.

. 2

15₁

,

19,

 Given March 14, 1996 Geral J.

Į	
1	VER
2	—FILED IN OPEN COURT—
3	MAR 1 4 1996 19
4	LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK
5	DISTRICT COURT By Jeeplaney De out
6	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
7	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
9	Plaintiff
10	-vs- Case No. C106784
11	MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO) Docket C
12	
13	Defendant.
14	
15	VERDICT
16	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, MICHAEL DAMON
17	RIPPO, Guilty of COUNT I - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Lauri M. Jacobson) and having
18	found that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances outweigh any mitigating circumstance or
19	circumstances impose a sentence of,
20	Life in Nevada State Prison With the Possibility of Parole.
21	Life in Nevada State Prison Without the Possibility of Parole.
22	X Death.
23	
24	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this 14 day of March, 1996
25	\mathcal{A}
26	FOREPERSON FOREPERSON
27	FUNCFERSUN
28	
:	₩ 1040 CE31

1	VER
2	
3	-FILED IN OPEN COURT-
4	MAR 1 4 1996 10
5	LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERI
6	DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA De
1	10:12-
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
9	Plaintiff,
10	-vs- Case No. C106784
11) Dept. No. IV MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO) Docket C
12	}
13	Defendant.
14	<u> </u>
15	SPECIAL
16	VERDICT
17	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, MICHAEL DAMON
18.	RIPPO, Guilty of COUNT II - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Denise M. Lizzi), designate that
19	the aggravating circumstance or circumstances which have been checked below have been established
20 ;	beyond a reasonable doubt.
21	
22	Defendant was on parole for a Nevada conviction for the crime of Sexual Assault in 1982.
23	The murder was committed by a person who was previously convicted of a felony
24	involving the use or threat of violence to the person of another. Defendant was convicted
25	of Sexual Assault, a felony, in the State of Nevada, in 1982.
26	111
27	
28	///
	L CE31

"	
1	The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of or a
2	attempt to commit any Burglary and the person charged:
3	(a) Killed the person murdered; or
4	(b) Knew that life would be taken or lethal force used; or
,5	(c) Acted with reckless indifference for human life.
6	The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of or a
7	attempt to commit any Kidnapping and the person charged:
8	(a) Killed the person murdered; or
9	(b) Knew that life would be taken or lethal force used; or
10	(c) Acted with reckless indifference for human life.
11	The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of or a
12	attempt to commit any Robbery and the person charged:
13	(a) Killed the person murdered; or
14	(b) Knew that life would be taken or lethal force used; or
15	(c) Acted with reckless indifference for human life.
16	The murder involved torture.
17	
18	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this 14 day of March, 1996.
19	
20	Albert A. Carles
21	FUKEPERSON
22	
23	·
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	VER	
, 2		
3		רון כח וא מסרא פמעמי
4		-FILED IN OPEN COURT- MAR 1 4 1996 10
5	DISTRICT COURT	LORETTA BOWMAN, CLER
6.	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	DA By Jayahue Bre D
ጎ ,		10:187
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	,
9	Plaintiff,	•
10	-vs-	Case No. C106784 Dept. No. IV
11	MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO	Dept. No. IV Docket C
12		
13	Defendant.	•
14	<u> </u>	
15,	SPECIAL	vi .
16	VERDICT	**
17	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having foun	d the Defendant, MICHAEL DAMON
18	RIPPO, Guilty of COUNT I - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGI	REE (Lauri M. Jacobson), designate that
19.	the aggravating circumstance or circumstances which have be	en checked below have been established
20	rbeyond a reasonable doubt.	i .
21	The murder was committed by a person un	der sentence of imprisonment, to-wit:
22	Defendant was on parole for a Nevada conviction	for the crime of Sexual Assault in 1982.
23	The murder was committed by a person who	was previously convicted of a felony
24	involving the use or threat of violence to the pers	on of another. Defendant was convicted
25	of Sexual Assault, a felony, in the State of Nev	ada, in 1982.
26	111	
27		
28	///	
1		CE31 1041

The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of or an
attempt to commit any Burglary and the person charged:
(a) Killed the person murdered; or
(b) Knew that life would be taken or lethal force used; or
(c) Acted with reckless indifference for human life.
The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of or an
attempt to commit any Kidnapping and the person charged:
(a) Killed the person murdered; or
(b) Knew that life would be taken or lethal force used; or
(c) Acted with reckless indifference for human life.
The murder was committed while the person was engaged in the commission of or an
attempt to commit any Robbery and the person charged:
(a) Killed the person murdered; or
(b) Knew that life would be taken or lethal force used; or
(c) Acted with reckless indifference for human life.
The murder involved torture.
DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this 14 day of March, 1996.

,

1	VER	
2		
3		
4	-FILED IN OPEN COURT-	
5	19	<u></u>
6.	CLARK COUNTY NEVADA BY)
7	10:12.0	,,
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA.	
9	Plaintiff,	•
10	-vs- Case No. C106784	
11	MICHAEL DAMON RIPPO) Dept. No. IV Docket C	_
12	}	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	VERDICT	
16	We, the Jury in the above entitled case, having found the Defendant, MICHAEL DAMON	
17	RIPPO, Guilty of COUNT II - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE (Denise M. Lizzi) and having found	
18	that the aggravating circumstance or circumstances outweigh any mitigating circumstance or	
19	circumstances impose a sentence of,	
20	Life in Nevada State Prison With the Possibility of Parole.	
21	Life in Nevada State Prison Without the Possibility of Parole.	
22	Death.	
23		
24	DATED at Las Vegas, Nevada, this 14 day of March, 1996	
25	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$	
26	Sklerdo A. Fresh	
27	FOREPERSON	
28		
	[CE31]	
	1043	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MICHAEL RIPPO,

-vs-

Appellant,

No. 53626

FILED

110, 55626

OCT 19 2009

CLERK OF SUPPLEME TO URT

E.K. McDANIEL, et al.,

Respondent.

JOINT APPENDIX Volume 16 of 48

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Affidavit	02/14/94	JA00371-JA00377
2	Affidavit	03/07/94	JA00400-JA00402
18	Affidavit of David M. Schieck Regarding Supplemental Brief in Support of Writ of Habeas Corpus	08/17/04	JA04316-JA04320
3	Amended Indictment	01/03/96	JA00629-JA00633
3	Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	03/23/94	JA00583-JA00590
8	Answer in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Mistrial Based on an Alleged Discovery Violation	02/08/96	JA01873-JA01886
17	Answer in Opposition to Motion for New Trial	05/01/96	JA04008-JA04013
48	Criminal Court Minutes	10/27/08	JA11603
2	Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	08/20/93	JA00274-JA00281
18	Errata to Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	03/12/04	JA04257-JA04258

1

09-25391

2

1

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

111213

14 15 16

17181920

212223

242526

27

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
19	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	12/01/04	JA04411-JA0441
48	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order	11/17/08	JA11604-JA1161
1	Indictment	06/05/92	JA00235-JA0023
15	Instructions to the Jury	03/06/96	JA03358-JA0339
16	Instructions to the Jury	03/14/96	JA03809-JA0383
17	Judgment of Conviction	05/31/96	JA04037-JA0403
11	Motion for Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence Pertaining to the impact of the Defendant's Execution Upon Victim's Family Members	02/28/96	JA02620-JA0262
2	Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to Rippo's Defense	08/24/93	JA00286-JA0029
3	Motion for a Witness Deposition	06/19/94	JA00621-JA0062
17	Motion for New Trial	04/29/96	JA04002-JA0400
2	Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Defendant's Prior Bad Acts	08/23/93	JA282-001 to JA282-005
2	Motion of Defendant for Discovery and to Inspect All Evidence Favorable to Him	10/21/92	JA00254-JA0025
11	Motion to Bar the Admission of Cumulative Victim Impact Evidence in Violation of the Due Process Clause	02/28/96	JA02603-JA0260
2	Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office	02/07/94	JA00334-JA0034
2	Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	08/23/93	JA00282-JA0028
11	Motion to Preclude the Consideration of Victim Impact Evidence Pursuant to NRS 175.552, 200.033, and 200.035	02/28/96	JA02613-JA0261
11	Motion to Preclude the Introduction of Victim Impact Evidence Pertaining to Victim Family Members' Characterizations and Opinions About the Crime, the Defendant, and/or the Appropriate Sentence	02/28/96	JA02625-JA0262
2	Motion to Quash and for a Protective Order	09/09/93	JA00298-JA0030

Vol	Title	Date	Page
	on an Order Shortening Time		
11	Motion to Require a Pretrial Judicial Review of all Victim Impact Evidence the State Intends to Introduce at the Penalty Phase	02/28/96	JA02607-JA02612
2	Notice of Alibi	09/20/93	JA00295-JA00297
19	Notice of Appeal	10/12/04	JA04409-JA04410
48	Notice of Appeal	04/15/09	JA11659-JA11661
19	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	12/15/04	JA04414
48	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	03/16/09	JA11648-JA11658
36	Notice of Entry of Order Appointing Counsel	02/15/08	JA08669-JA08672
1	Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	06/30/92	JA00239-JA00241
42	Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA09989-JA10014
42	Exhibits to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	05/21/08	JA10015-JA10025
42	1 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, State v. Bailey, Case No. C129217, Eighth Judicial District Court, July 30, 1996		JA10026-JA10034
42	Answers to Interrogatories p. 7, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al., Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH (RAM), February 9, 1998		JA10035-JA10037
42	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, partial, State v. Bennett, Case NO. C083143, September 14, 1998		JA10038-JA10040
42	4 Non-Trial Disposition Memo, Clark County District Attorney's Office regarding Joseph Beeson, in Bennett v. McDaniel, Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of Nevada, October, 1988		JA10041-JA10042
42	5 Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing, partial, State v. Bennett,		JA10043-JA10050

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	Case No. C083143, November 18, 1999		JA10051-JA10057
4	42	6 Decision, <u>Bennett v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C83143, Eighth Judicial District		JA10031-JA10037
5	42	Court, November 16, 2001		JA10058-JA10061
6 7		7 Declaration of Michael Pescetta regarding locating exhibits in Parker file, Bennett v. McDaniel, et al. Case No. CV-N-96-429-DWH, District of		
8	42	Nevada, January 8, 2003		JA 10062-JA 10066
9	72	8 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Memorandum re: <u>State</u> v. <u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791,		JA10002-JA10000
10	42	December 30, 1999		JA10067-JA10085
12		9 Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Status Check on Production of		
13		Discovery, State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
14	42	Court, April 18, 2000 10 Letter from Office of the District		JA10086-JA10087
15		Attorney to Joseph S. Sciscento, Esq., re State v. Butler, Case No.		
16		C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, November 16, 2000		
17	42	11 Letter from Law Offices of Sam		JA10088-JA10092
18		Stone to Hon. Michael Douglas, District Court Judge, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
19		Case No. 155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, December 7, 2000		
20	42	12 Motion for New Trial, State v.		JA10093-JA10107
21		<u>Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, January 17,		
22	42	2001		JA10108-JA10112
23		13 Affidavit of Carolyn Trotti, <u>State v.</u> Butler, Case No. C155791, January 19, 2001		
24	42	14 Opposition to Motion for New Trial		JA10113-JA10135
25		Based on Allegations of Newly Discovered Evidence, State v.		
26		Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 16,		
27		1,	I	<u> </u>
28		4		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	42	2001		JA10136-JA10141
4		Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for New Trial,		
5	42	State v. Butler, Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, February 27, 2001		JA10142-JA10144
6 7		16 Order, <u>State v. Butler</u> , Case No. C155791, Eighth Judicial District		
8	42	Court, March 8, 2001		JA10145-JA10154
9		17 Fax Transmission from Terri Elliott with the Office of the Special Public Defender, State v. Butler, Case No.		
10 11	42	C155791, Eighth Judicial District Court, March 19, 2001		JA10155-JA10161
12	١	18 Order affirming in part, reversing in part and remanding, <u>State v. Butler</u> ,		
13	42	Case No. 37591, May 14, 2002		JA10162-JA10170
14	42	19 Reporter's transcript of jury trial, <u>United States v. Catania</u> , June 11, 2002		JA10171-JA10177
15		200 Reporter's transcript of jury trial,		JA101/1-JA101//
16 17	42	United States v. Catania, June 13, 2002		JA10178-JA10184
18		21 Transcript of Status Conference/Scheduling Conference		
19		Before the Honorable Howard K. McKibben, United States District		
20	42	Judge, Case No. CV-N-00-101-HDM (RAM), District of Nevada, January 14, 2003 (Doyle)		JA 10185-JA 10200
21		22 Answer in Opposition to Motion for		
22 23		New Trial; or in the Alternative, Motion for New Appeal, <u>State v.</u> D'Agostino, Case No. C95335,		
24	42	Eighth Judicial District Court, September 21, 1993		JA10201-JA10207
25		23 Declaration of Tim Gabrielsen, and		
26		partial FBI production in <u>Echavarria</u> <u>v. McDaniel,</u> et al., CV-N-98-0202, June 2004		
27		June 2004	<u> </u>	
28		5		

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	42 43			JA10208-JA10238 JA10239-JA10353
3 4	43	24 Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Emil v. McDaniel, et al., August 24, 2001		JA10354-JA10357
5 6		Criminal Complaint and Minutes of the Court, State v. Kenny, Case No. 85F-3637, Justice Court, Las Vegas		
7	43	Township, 1985 (Emil)		JA10358-JA10362
8 9		26 Notice of Denial of Request, Clark County District Attorney, State v. Emil, Case No. C82176, Eighth		
10	43	Judicial District Court, August 13, 1985		JA10363-JA10383
11		27 Various reports of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department,		
12		Detention Services Division, produced in <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. C076013, regarding		
13		investigation into the identity of Clark County Detention Center		
14 15	42	inmate who manufactured a shank, 1987		JA10384-JA10434
16		28 Deposition of Sharon Dean in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
17	42	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, October 15, 1998 and December 7, 1998		JA10435-JA10449
18 19		29 Deposition of Arlene Ralbovsky in		
20		<u>Haberstroh v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28,		
21	43 44	1999		JA10450-JA10488 JA10489-JA10554
22		30 Deposition of Patricia Schmitt in Haberstroh v. McDaniel, Case No.		
23	44	C076013, Eighth Judicial District, December 7, 1998 and January 28, 1999		JA 10555-JA 10563
24		31 Recorder's Transcript Re:		
25 26		Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v. Haberstroh</u> , Case No. <u>C0760</u> 13,		
27		Eighth Judicial District Court, January 28, 2000		
28		6		

1 Vol	l	Title	Date	Page
2 44	32	Order, Hill v. McDaniel, et al., Case		JA10564-JA10568
3	32	No. CV-S-98-914-JBR (LRL), District of Nevada, May 20, 1999		
4 44 5	33	FBI memorandum to SA Newark, Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick		JA10569-JA10570
6 44		Homick v. McDaniel, (Homick 167), August 31, 1977		JA10571-JA10573
7	34	FBI memorandum, New York to Newark <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , (Homick 168), January 31, 1978		
8 44 9 44	35	FBI Teletype, FM Director to Las Vegas (Homick 166), September, 1985		JA10574-JA10576
0 44	36	FBI Teletype San Diego to Las		JA10577-JA10582
1 44	37	Vegas (Homick 165), October, 1985 Chronological record, Homick v.		JA10583-JA10584
3		McDaniel (Homick 10), November 1985		
4 44	38	FBI notes re Homick receiving money from LVMPD employee,		JA10585-JA10589
5		Homick v. McDaniel, December 11, 1985		
6 44	39	FBI notes, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , December 1985 and January 1986		JA10590-JA10593
8 44	40	FBI notes, Homick v. McDaniel		JA10594-JA10595
9 44	41	(Pennsylvania) January 4, 1986 FBI redacted notes, <u>Homick v.</u>		JA10596-JA10597
0		McDaniel (New Jersey), January 7,		
1 44	42	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10598-JA10599
3 44	43	McDaniel (Homick), January 9, 1986 FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10600-JA10601
4		McDaniel (Pennsylvania), January 13, 1986		
5 44	44	FBI redacted notes, Homick v.		JA10602-JA10603
6		McDaniel (Las Vegas), January 14, 1986		
.7				
8		7		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	45	FBI 302 interview of Norma K. Thompson, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> , March 18, 1986		JA10604-JA10606
4	44	46	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10607-JA10608
5			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
6			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
7	44	47	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10609-JA10610
8			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
9			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
10 11	44	48	FBI Director Webster letter to		JA10611-JA10612
12			redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		
13			joint investigation, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel,</u> June 10, 1986		
14	44	49	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10613-JA10614
15			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
16			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
17	44	50	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10615-JA10616
18			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
19			McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
20	44	51	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking		JA10617-JA10618
21			him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v.		
22	4.4	50	McDaniel, June 10, 1986		14 10/10 14 10/20
23	44	52	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with		JA10619-JA10620
24			joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		
25			MicDaniel, June 10, 1980		
26					
27		<u> </u>			I
28			8		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	44	53	FBI Director Webster letter to redacted LVMPD officer thanking him/her for work in connection with joint investigation, Homick v. McDaniel, June 10, 1986		JA10621-JA10622
5 6	44	54	FBI 302 memorandum of interview of Tim Catt, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 164), August 18, 1988		JA10623-JA10625
7 8	44	55	Reporter's transcript of evidentiary hearing, partial, <u>State v. Homick</u> , March 7, 1989		JA10626-JA10637
9 10	44	56	Reporter's transcript of motions, State v. Homick (Homick 48), April 10, 1989		JA10638-JA10640
11 12	44	57	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 6, State v. Homick, April 25, 1989		JA10641-JA10652
13	44	58	Reporter's transcript of jury trial, partial, Vol. 7, <u>State v. Homick,</u> April 26, 1989		JA10653-JA10660
14 15	44	59	Reporter's transcript of jury trial Vol. 11, <u>State v. Homick</u> (Homick 52), May 2, 1989		JA10661-JA10664
16 17	44	60	Reporter's transcript of penalty hearing, State v. Homick, Vol. 1 (Homick 108), May 17, 1989		JA10665-JA10668
18 19	44	61	Reporter's transcript of trial, partial, Vol. 83, <u>State v. Homick</u> , November 10, 1992		JA10669-JA10673
20212223	44	62	Letter from Eric Johnson/Walt Ayers, Assistant United States Attorneys to Mark Kaiserman denying FBI joint investigation with LVMPD, Homick v. McDaniel,		JA1674-JA10676
232425	44	63	January 28, 1993 Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, Homick v. McDaniel, May 7, 1993		JA10677-JA60678
2627					
28			9		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	44	64	Letter from AUSA Warrington Parker to Judge Cooper, <u>Homick v.</u> <u>McDaniel</u> , May 11, 1993		JA10679-JA10680
4 5	44	65	Reporter's transcript on appeal, <u>State</u> v. <u>Homick</u> Vol. 140 (Homick 102) June 29, 1994		JA10681-JA10684
6 7	44	66	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - joint activity between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10685-JA10692
8 9 10	44	67	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - information sharing between LVMPD and FBI, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10693-JA10696
11 12	44	68	Chart detailing evidence of joint investigation - admissions, Homick v. McDaniel, October 9, 2003		JA10697-JA10705
13 14 15	44	69	Declaration of Joseph Wright, <u>Homick v. McDaniel</u> (Homick 176), October 9, 2003		JA10706-JA10707
16 17	44 45	70	Petitioner's Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery, Homick v. McDaniel, October 10, 2003		JA10708-JA10738 JA10739-JA10756
17 18 19	45	71	Recorder's Transcript Re: Evidentiary Hearing, <u>State v.</u> <u>Jiminez</u> , Case No. C77955, Eighth Judicial District Court, April 19, 1993		JA10757-JA10786
202122	45	72	Transcript of Proceedings Sentence, <u>State v. Bezak</u> , Case No. CR89- 1765, Second Judicial District Court, November 27, 1989 (Jones)		JA10787-JA10796
232425	45	73	Response to Motion to Compel Discovery, <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , et al., Case No. CV-N-96-633-ECR, District of Nevada, March 1999		JA10797-JA10802
26 27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2	45	74	Declaration of David J.J. Roger, Chief Deputy District Attorney,		JA10803-JA10805
3 4			concerning <u>Jones v. McDaniel</u> , Case No. CV-N-96-633 ECR, District of Nevada, June 30, 1999		
5	45	75	Transcription of VCR Tape of the		JA10806-JA10809
6			Adam Evans hearing in front of Judge Hardcastle, <u>In The Matter of</u>		
7			Adam Owens Evans, Case No. J52293, Juvenile Court (Lisle)		
8	45	76	Excerpt of trial record, State v. Lisle,		JA10810-JA10812
9			Case No. 129540, Vol. 10 page 15, March 12, 1996		
10		77	Not Used		
11		78	Not Used		
12	45	79	Letter from Inv. Larry A. Schuchman, City of Orlando,		JA10813-JA10816
13			Florida, Police Department, to Inv. Bob Milby, Nevada Division of Inv.		
14			and Narcotics re Terry Carl Bonnette, January 29, 1981		
15			(Milligan)		
16	45	80	Notice of Entry of Decision and		JA10817-JA10838
17			Order and Amended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and		
18			Order, State v. Miranda, Case No. C057788, Eighth Judicial District		
19	15	01	Court, February 13, 1996		JA10839-JA10846
20	45	81	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Case No. C106784, Eighth Judicial		JA10839-JA10846
21			District Court, February 8, 1996		
22	45	82	Reporter's Transcript of Calendar		JA 10847-JA 10859
23			Call, State v. Morelli, Case Nos.C64603 and C64604, Eighth		
24			Judicial District Court, January 12, 1984 (Snow)		
25					
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	83	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings (Testimony of Richard Morelli), State v. Snow, Case No.C61676,		JA10860-JA10884
4			Eighth Judicial District Court, April 17, 1984		
5	45	84	Letter from Melvyn T. Harmon, Chief Deputy, Office of the District		JA10885-JA10886
6			Attorney, To Whom It May Concern re Richard Joseph Morelli, July 20,		
7 8			1984 (Snow)		111007 111001
9	45	85	Deposition of Melvyn T. Harmon, Esq., Snow v. Angelone, Case No. 6- 12-89-WPHC, Seventh Judicial District Court, September 25, 1992		JA10887-JA10921
10					
11	45	86	<u>Las Vegas Review Journal</u> excerpt, May 3, 2004, "Police Say Binion Witness Not Credible" (Tabish)		JA10922-JA10924
12	45	87	` ′		JA10925-JA10929
13	43	87	Letter from Kent R. Robison of Robison, Belaustegui, Robb and Sharp, to E. Leslie Combs, Jr., Esq.		JA10923-JA10929
14 15			Re: Kathryn Cox v. Circus Circus, et al., October 16, 1995, in relation to		
16			Witter v. McDaniel, CV-S-01-1034- RLH (LRL), District of Nevada		
17	45	88	LVMPD Certificate of [Informant] Management Course completion, April 14, 1994		JA10930-JA10931
18	4.5		-		14 10022 14 10024
19	45	89	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Cooperating Individual Agreement and Special Consent and		JA10932-JA10934
20			Waiver of Liability		
21	45	90	David J.J. Roger letter to Nevada State Parole Board Chairman		JA10935-JA10936
22			regarding Robert Bezak (Jones), December 3, 1990		
23	45	91	Declaration of Herbert Duzant dated		JA 10937-JA 10938
24			May 15, 2008		
25	45	92	Records request to Juvenile Justice Division dated May 14, 2008		JA10939-JA10948
26			=y = -, 		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	45	93	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated May 15, 2008		JA10949-JA10973
4 5	46	94	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated May 15, 2008		JA10974-JA10996
6	46	95	Records request to Central Medicaid Office dated November 29, 2007		JA10997-JA11007
7 8 9	46	96	Records request to Office of the Clark County District Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11008-JA11010
10 11	46	97	Records request to Office of the United States Attorney dated November 27, 2007 (re Bongiovanni)		JA11011-JA11013
12 13	46	98	Records request to the Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re: Michael Beaudoin, James		JA11014-JA11026
14 15 16			Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
17 18	46	99	Records request to Clark County District Attorney dated December 5, 2007 (re Victim/Witness information)		JA11027-JA11034
19 20	46	100	Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated November 29, 2007		JA11035-JA11050
21	46	101	Records request to Justice Court, Criminal Records dated December 5, 2007		JA11051-JA11055
2223	46	102	Records request to Nassau County Department of Social Services dated		JA11056-JA11069
24 25	46	103	November 28, 2007 Records request to Nevada Department of Corrections dated		JA11070-JA11080
26 27			November 29, 2007 (re: Levine)		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	104	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated November 29, 2007 (re Levine)		JA11081-JA11095
5	46	105	Records request to Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated April 12, 2007 (re: Rippo)		JA11096-JA11103
6 7 8	46	106	Records request to Word of Life Christian Center Pastor David Shears, Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated November 29, 2007		JA11104-JA11110
9 10	46	107	Response to records request from Nevada Department of Parole and Probation dated December 3, 2007		JA11111-JA11112
11 12	46	108	Response to records request from Office of the District Attorney dated January 28, 2008 (re Victim Witness)		JA11113-JA11114
13 14	46	109	Response to records request from Word of Life Christian Center Assistant Pastor Andy Visser dated		JA11115-JA11116
15 16	46	110	December 11, 2007 Records request to Franklin General Hospital dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli)		JA11117-JA11128
17 18	46	111	Records request (FOIA) to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys dated November 27, 2007		JA11129-JA11132
19 20	46	112	Records request (FOIA) to the FBI dated November 27, 2007		JA11133-JA11135
21 22	46	113	Response to records request to Executive Offices for the United States Attorneys, undated		JA11136-JA11137
23 24	46	114	Records request to Nevada Division of Child and Family Services dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie)		JA11138-JA11144
25 26	46	115	Records request to Claude I. Howard Children's Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli, Carole		JA11145-JA11156
27			Ann Campanelli (deceased))		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	46	116	Records request to Clark County School District dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA111457-JA11171
5	46	117	Records request to University Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11172-JA11185
7 8 9	46	118	Records request to Valley Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11186-JA11199
10 11	46	119	Records request to Desert Springs Hospital Medical Center dated May 16, 2008 (re: Stacie Campanelli and Carole Ann Campanelli (deceased))		JA11200-JA11213
12 13 14	46	120	Records request to Reno Police Department, Records and ID Section dated May 16, 2008		JA11214-JA11221
15	47	121	Records request to Washoe County Sheriff's Office dated May 16, 2008		JA11222-JA11229
16 17	47	122	Records request to Sparks Police Department dated May 16, 2008		JA11230-JA11237
18	47	123	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Beaudoin		JA11238-JA11239
19 20	47	124	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Michael Thomas Christos		JA11240-JA11241
21 22	47	125	Response to records request to Justice Court re: Thomas Edward Sims		JA11242-JA11244
23	47	126	Response to records request to Justice Court re: request and clerk's notes		JA11245-JA11248
25		127	Omitted.		
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4 5 6	47	128	Subpoena to Clark County District Attorney, Criminal Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11249-JA11257
7	47	129	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attoreny		JA11258-JA11267
8 9	47	130	Subpoena to Central Medicaid Office, New York, New York		JA11268-JA11272
10	47	131	Subpoena to Claude I. Howard Children's Center		JA11273-JA11277
11 12	47	132	Subpoena to City of New York, Department of Social Services		JA11278-JA11282
13	47	133	Subpoena to Desert Springs Hospital		JA11283-JA11288
14 15	47	134	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Fingerprint Bureau		JA11289-JA11295
16 17	47	135	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Communications Bureau		JA11296-JA11301
18	47	136	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Confidential Informant Section		JA11302-JA11308
19 20	47	137	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminalistics Bureau		JA11309-JA11316
21 22	47	138	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Evidence Vault		JA11317-JA11323
23 24	47	139	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Criminal Intelligence Section		JA11324-JA11330
25 26	47	140	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Narcotics Sections I, II, and III		JA11331-JA11337
27					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
3		47	141	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Crimes Bureau		JA11338-JA11344
4 5		47	142	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Records Bureau		JA11345-JA11352
6		47	143	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Robbery / Homicide Bureau		JA11353-JA11360
7 8 9 10		47	144	Subpoena to Nevada Parole and Probation (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11361-JA11368
11		47	145	Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo) Proposed Order to the Nevada		JA11369-JA11373
12 13		1,	115	Department of Parole and Probation		
14 15		47	146	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Gang Crimes Bureau		JA11374-JA11379
16		47	147	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department SWAT Division		JA11380-JA11385
17 18		47	148	Subpoena to Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Vice Section		JA11386-JA11392
19		47	149	Subpoena to Clark County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11393-JA11399
20 21				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
22				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
23 24		47	150	Subpoena to Henderson Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11400-JA11406
25				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill),		
26				Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
27	L					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	47	151	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child and Family Services		JA11407-JA11411
5 6 7	47	152	Subpoena to Reno Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt		JA11412-JA11418
8 9 10 11	47	153	and Michael Rippo) Subpoena to Sparks Police Department (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11419-JA11427
12 13	47	154	Subpoena to University Medical Center		JA11428-JA11432
14	47	155	Subpoena to Valley Hospital		JA11433-JA11438
15 16 17 18	47	156	Subpoena to Washoe County Public Defender (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		JA11439-JA11445
192021	47	157	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Records and ID Section (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine, Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		JA11446-JA11453
22 23			Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
24 25					
26					
27					

1	Z	7ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	4	17	158	Subpoena to Washoe County Sheriff's Office, Forensic Science Division (re: Michael Beaudoin, James Ison, David Jeffrey Levine,		JA11454-JA11460
4 5				Michael Thomas Christos, Thomas Edward Sims (deceased), William		
6				Burkett (aka Donald Allen Hill), Diana Hunt and Michael Rippo)		
7	4	∤7	159	Deposition Subpoena to Dominic Campanelli		JA11461-JA11463
8 9	4	∤7	160	Deposition Subpoena to Melody Anzini		JA11464-JA11466
10	4	17	161	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11467-JA11471
11 12	4	8	162	Subpoena to Nancy Becker		JA11472-JA11476
13	4	8	163	Subpoena to Clark County Human Resources Department (re: Nancy Becker)		JA11477-JA11481
14 15	4	8	164	Subpoena to Nassau County Department of Social Services		JA11482-JA11486
16 17	4	8	165	Subpoena to the Clark County School District		JA11487-JA11490
18	4	8	166	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney's Office (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11491-JA11495
192021	4	8	167	Subpoena to the Office of the United States Attorney (re: Gerard Bongiovanni)		JA11496-JA11499
22	4	8	168	Subpoena to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11500-JA11505
23 24	4	8	169	Proposed Order to the Clark County District Attorney, Victim-Witness Assistance Center		JA11506-JA11508
25						
26						
27	_					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	48	170	Subpoena to the Office of Legal Services, Executive Offices for United States Attorneys FOIA (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11509-JA11513
5	48	171	Subpoena to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (re Bongiovanni)		JA11514-JA11518
6 7 8 9	48	172	Subpoena to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Criminal Intelligence Section, Homeland Security Bureau, Special Operations Division (re Bongiovanni)		JA11519-JA11522
10	48	173	Subpoena to Leo P. Flangas, Esq. (re: Bongiovanni)		JA11523-JA11526
11 12	48	174	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Investigation		JA11527-JA11530
13	48	175	Subpoena to Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms		JA11531-JA11534
14 15	48	176	Subpoena to Robert Archie (re: Simms)		JA11535-JA11538
16	48	177	Subpoena to Nevada Department of Corrections (re: lethal injection)		JA11539-JA11545
17 18	48	178	Deposition subpoena to Howard Skolnik, NDOC		JA11546-JA11548
19	48	179	Deposition subpoena to Robert Bruce Bannister, D.O., NDOC		JA11549-JA11551
20 21	48	180	Deposition subpoena to Warden Bill Donat		JA11552-JA11554
22	48 1	181	Deposition subpoena to Stacy Giomi, Chief, Carson City Fire Department		JA11555-JA11 557
23 24	37	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)		05/21/08	JA08758-JA08866
25	37	Exhib	oits to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	05/21/08	JA08867-JA08869
26					
27				1	

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3		37	329.	Leonard v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No.		JA08870-JA08884
4				C126285, Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 11, 2008.		
5		37	330.	Lopez v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA08885-JA08890
6 7				District Court, Case No. C068946, State's Motion to Dismiss Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed		
8		38	331.	February 15, 2008. Sherman v. McDaniel, Eighth		JA08991-JA09002
9		36	331.	Judicial District Court, Case No. C126969, Reply to Opposition to		JA08991-JA09002
10				Motion to Dismiss, filed June 25, 2007.		
11		38	332.	Witter v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C117513,		JA09003-JA09013
12 13				Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed July 5, 2007.		
14		38	333.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09014-JA09020
15				District Court, Case No. C159897, Recorder's Transcript of Hearing Re: Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
16				Habeas Corpus, filed December 28, 2007.		
17		38	334.	Floyd v. McDaniel, Eighth Judicial		JA09021-JA09027
18				District Court, Case No. C159897, State's Opposition to Defendant's		
19				Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Motion to		
20		38	335.	Dismiss, filed August 18, 2007. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA09028-JA09073
21		36	333.	District Court, Case No. C106784, Supplemental Brief in Support of		JA07020-JA07073
22				Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction),		
23				filed February 10, 2004.		
24		38	336.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court, Case No. 28865, Appellant's		JA09074-JA09185
25				Opening Brief.		
26						
27	Ι'		l			

1	Vo	ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	38	Ĭ.	337.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980, Indictment, filed December 16, 1994.		JA09186-JA09200
4	38 39		338.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C124980,		JA09201-JA09240 JA09241-JA09280
5 6				Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings, Thursday, December 15, 1994.		
7 8	39		339.	Declaration of Stacie Campanelli dated April 29, 2008.		JA09281-JA0289
9	39		340.	Declaration of Domiano Campanelli, February 2008, Mastic Beach, N.Y.		JA09290-JA09300
10	39		341.	Declaration of Sari Heslin dated February 25, 2008.		JA09301-JA09305
11 12	39	,	342.	Declaration of Melody Anzini dated February 26, 2008.		JA09306-JA09311
13 14	39	,	343.	Declaration of Catherine Campanelli dated February 29, 2008.		JA09312-JA09317
15	39		344.	Declaration of Jessica Parket-Asaro dated March 9, 2008.		JA09318-JA09323
16 17	39		345.	Declaration of Mark Beeson dated March 26, 2008.		JA09324-JA09328
18	39		346.	State's Trial Exhibit 1: Laurie Jacobson photograph		JA09329-JA09330
19	39		347.	State's Trial Exhibit 2: Denise Lizzi photograph		JA09331-JA09332
2021	39		348.	State's Trial Exhibit 99: Michael Rippo		JA09333-JA09334
22	39		349.	State's Trial Exhibit 31: Autopsy photo Denise Lizzi		JA09335-JA09336
2324	39		350.	State's Trial Exhibit 53: Autopsy photo Laurie Jacobson		JA09337-JA09338
25	39		351.	State's Trial Exhibit 125: Laurie Jacobson victim-impact scrapbook		JA09339-JA09360
26				photographs		
27						

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	39	352.	State's Trial Exhibit 127: Denise Lizzi victim-impact scrapbook photographs		JA09361-JA09374
4 5	39	353.	Declaration of Jay Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09375-JA09377
6	39	354.	Declaration of Robert Anzini dated May 10, 2008		JA09378-JA09381
7 8	39	355.	Juvenile Records of Stacie Campanelli		JA09382-JA09444
9	39	356	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C136066, State v. Sims, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09445-JA09450
11 12	39 40	357	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Sims		JA09451-JA09490 JA09491-JA09520
13	40 41	358	Justice Court Printout for Michael Beaudoin		JA09521-JA09740 JA09741-JA09815
14 15 16	41	359	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C102962, <u>State v.</u> <u>Beaudoin</u> , Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09816-JA09829
17 18	41	360	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C95279, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09830-JA09838
19 20	41	361	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C130797, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09839-JA09847
212223	41	362	Blackstone District Court Case Inquiry: Case No. C134430, State v. Beaudoin, Case Activity, Calendar, Minutes		JA09848-JA09852
24	41	363	Justice Court Printout for Thomas Christos		JA09952-JA09907
2526	41	364	Justice Court Printout for James Ison		JA09908-JA09930
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	41	365	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Order dated September 22, 1993		JA09931-JA09933
4 5	41	366	Declaration of Michael Beaudoin dated May 18, 2008		JA09934-JA09935
6 7	41	367	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Amended Indictment, dated January 3, 1996		JA09936-JA09941
8 9	41	368	State's Trial Exhibits 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 56, 57, 58, 60, 61, 62		JA09942-JA09965
10	41	369	State's Trial Exhibit 54		JA09966-JA09967
11 12	41	370	Letter from Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections, to Robert Crowley dated August 29 1997		JA09968-JA09969
13 14 15	41	371	Letter from Jennifer Schlotterbeck to Ted D'Amico, M.D., Nevada Department of Corrections dated March 24, 2004		JA09970-JA09971
16 17	41	372	Letter from Michael Pescetta to Glen Whorton, Nevada Department of Corrections dated September 23, 2004		JA09972-JA09977
18 19	41	373	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Case No. C106784, Warrant of Execution dated May 17, 1996		JA09978-JA09981
20 21	41	374	Declaration of William Burkett dated May 12, 2008		JA09982-JA09984
22	41	375	Handwritten Notes of William Hehn		JA09985-JA09986
23	48	Objec	tion to Proposed Order	11/21/08	JA11612-JA11647
24	48	Oppo	sition to Motion for Discovery	06/09/08	JA11558-JA11563
25	2	Order		11/12/92	JA00264-JA00265
26	2	Order		11/18/92	JA00266-JA00267
27	2	Order		09/22/93	JA00320-JA00321

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	3	Order	04/22/94	JA00619-JA00320
3	15	Order	03/08/96	JA03412
4	41	Order Appointing Counsel	02/13/08	JA09987-JA09988
5	5B	Order Sealing Affidavit	09/30/93	JA 1401-180 to JA 1401-185
6 7	2	Order to Produce Handwriting / Handprinting Exemplar	09/14/92	JA00252-JA00253
8	17	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and Appointment of Counsel	12/04/98	JA04040-JA04047
9	19 20	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	01/15/08	JA04415-JA04570 JA04571-JA04609
11	20	Exhibits to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	01/15/08	JA04610-JA04619
12 13	20	101. Bennett v. State, No. 38934 Respondent's Answering Brief (November 26, 2002)		JA04620-JA04647
141516	20	102. State v. Colwell, No. C123476, Findings, Determinations and Imposition of Sentence (August 10, 1995)		JA04648-JA04650
17	20	103. <u>Doleman v. State</u> , No. 33424 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 17, 2000)		JA04651-JA04653
18 19	20	104. Farmer v. Director, Nevada Dept. of Prisons, No. 18052 Order Dismissing Appeal (March 31, 1988)		JA04654-JA04660
2021	20	105. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 22562, Order Dismissing Appeal (February 20, 1992)		JA04661-JA04663
22 23	20	106. <u>Farmer v. State</u> , No. 29120, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20, 1997)		JA04664-JA04670
242526	20	107. <u>Feazell v. State</u> , No. 37789, Order Affirming in Part and Vacating in Part (November 14, 2002)		JA04671-JA04679
27	20	108. Hankins v. State, No. 20780, Order		JA04680-JA04683

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2	20		of Remand (April 24, 1990)		14.04694 14.04690
3	20	109			JA04684-JA04689
4	20		of Remand (May 24, 1994)		JA04690-JA04692
5		110	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1987)		T. 04/02 T. 04/04
6	20	111			JA04693-JA04696
7			Dismissing Appeal (August 28, 1996)		
8	20	112	Jones v. McDaniel, et al., No.		JA04697-JA04712
9			39091, Order of Affirmance (December 19, 2002)		
10	20	113			JA04713-JA04715
11	20		Dismissing Appeal (June 17, 1991)		JA04716-JA04735
12		114	Milligan v. Warden, No. 37845, Order of Affirmance (July 24, 2002)		
13	20	115			JA04736-JA04753
14	20		Dismissing Appeal (March 21, 1996)		JA04754-JA04764
15		116	Order Dismissing Appeal (August		
16	20		19, 1987)		JA04765-JA04769
17			17059, 17060, Order Dismissing		
18			Appeal and Denying Petition (February 19, 1986)		
19	20	118	. <u>Nevius v. Warden (Nevius II)</u> , Nos.		JA04770-JA04783
20			29027, 29028, Order Dismissing Appeal and Denying Petition for		
21			Writ of Habeas Corpus (October 9, 1996)		
22	20	119			JA04784-JA04788
23			29027, 29028, Order Denying Rehearing (July 17, 1998)		
24	20				JA04789-JA04796
25		120	$\overline{\text{CV-N-96-785-HDM}}$ -(RAM),		
26			Response to Nevius' Supplemental Memo at 3 (October 18, 1999)		
27					

1	Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	20	101 ONLTH Co. N. 20142 O. L. C.		JA04797-JA04803
3		121. O'Neill v. State, No. 39143, Order of Reversal and Remand (December 18, 2002)		
5	20	122. <u>Rider v. State</u> , No. 20925, Order (April 30, 1990)		JA04804-JA04807
6	20	123. Riley v. State, No. 33750, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 19,		JA04808-JA04812
7 8	20	1999) 124. Rogers v. Warden, No. 22858, Order		JA04813-JA04817
9		Dismissing Appeal (May 28, 1993), Amended Order Dismissing Appeal (June 4, 1993)		
10	21	125. Rogers v. Warden, No. 36137, Order of Affirmance (May 13, 2002)		JA04818-JA04825
12	21	126. Sechrest v. State, No 29170, Order Dismissing Appeal (November 20,		JA04826-JA04830
13 14	21	1997) 127. Smith v. State, No. 20959, Order of		JA04831-JA04834
15	21	Remand (September 14, 1990) 128. Stevens v. State, No. 24138, Order		JA04835-JA04842
16 17	21	of Remand (July 8, 1994) 129. Wade v. State, No. 37467, Order of		JA04843-JA04848
18	21	Affirmance (October 11, 2001) 130. Williams v. State, No. 20732, Order		JA04849-JA04852
19 20	21	Dismissing Appeal (July 18, 1990) 131. Williams v. Warden, No. 29084,		JA04853-JA04857
21		Order Dismissing Appeal (August 29, 1997)		1101050 1101061
22	21	132. Ybarra v. Director, Nevada State Prison, No. 19705, Order		JA04858-JA04861
23 24	21	Dismissing Appeal (June 29, 1989) 133. Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order		JA04862-JA04873
25		Affirming in Part, Reversing in Part, and Remanding (November 28,		
26		2005)		
27				

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	21	134.	Ybarra v. Warden, No. 43981, Order Denying Rehearing (February 2, 2006)		JA04874-JA04879
4	21	135.	Rippo v. State; Bejarano v. State,		JA04880-JA04883
5			No. 44094, No. 44297, Order Directing Oral Argument (March 16, 2006)		
6	21	 136.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784,		JA04884-JA04931
7			Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of		
8			Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), February 10, 2004		
9	0.1	127	•		14.04022 14.04025
10	21	137.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C106784, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of		JA04932-JA04935
11			Law and Order, December 1, 2004		
12	21	138.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Opening Brief, May 19, 2005		JA04936-JA04986
13	21	 139.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No.		JA04987-JA05048
14 15			44094, Respondent's Answering Brief, June 17, 2005		
16	22	140.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Reply Brief, September 28, 2005		JA05049-JA05079
17		1 4 1	•		TA 05000 TA 05100
18	22	141.	Rippo v. State, S. C. Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental		JA05080-JA05100
19			Brief As Ordered By This Court, December 12, 2005		
20					
21	22	201.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Opinion filed		JA05101-JA05123
22			October 1, 1997		
23	22	202.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Affirmance filed November 16, 2006		JA05124-JA05143
24	22	203.	Confidential Execution Manual,		JA05144-JA05186
25		203.	Procedures for Executing the Death Penalty, Nevada State Prison		JA05177-JA05160
26			1 charry, 110 tada o anto 1 113011		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	22	204.	Brief of Amici Curiae in Support of Petitioner, United States Supreme Court Case No. 03-6821, David Larry Nelson v. Donal Campbell and Grantt Culliver, October Term, 2003		JA05187-JA05211
5	22	205.	Leonidas G. Koniaris, Teresa A. Zimmers, David A. Lubarsky, and		JA05212-JA05214
6 7			Jonathan P. Sheldon, <u>Inadequate</u> Anaesthesia in Lethal <u>Injection for</u> Execution, Vol. 365, April 6, 2005, at http://www.thelancet.com		
9	22 23	206.	Declaration of Mark J.S. Heath, M.D., dated May 16, 2006, including attached exhibits		JA05215-JA05298 JA05299-JA05340
10 11	23	207.	"Lethal Injection: Chemical		JA05341-JA05348
12			Asphyxiation?" Teresa A. Zimmers, Jonathan Sheldon, David A. Lubarsky, Francisco Lopez-Munoz,		
13			Linda Waterman, Richard Weisman, Leonida G. Kniaris, <u>PloS Medicine</u> ,		
14			April 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 4		
15 16	23	208.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Opening Brief		JA05349-JA05452
17 18	23	209.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Appellant's Reply Brief		JA05453-JA05488
19	23	210.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05489-JA05538
20			Opening Brief, filed May 19, 2005		
21	24	211.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's		JA05539-JA05568
22			Reply Brief, filed September 28, 2005		
23	24	212.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05569-JA05588
24 25			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Supplemental Brief as Ordered by		
26			this Court filed December 22, 2005		
27					
28			29		
20			۷۶		

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	24	213.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Order Directing Oral Argument filed		JA05589-JA05591
4			March 16, 2006		
5	24	214.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 44094, Transcript of Oral Argument on June 13, 2006		JA05592-JA05627
6	24	215.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme		JA05628-JA05635
7 8			Court Case No. 44094, Appellant's Petition for Rehearing filed December 11, 2006		
9	24	216.	Supplemental Points and Authorities		JA05636-JA05737
10			in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) and attached exhibits filed August 8,		
11			2002		
12 13	24	217.	Letter dated August 20, 2004 from Rippo to Judge Mosley		JA05738
14	24	218.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty, filed March 24, 1994		JA05739-JA05741
15	2.4	210			14.05742 14.05792
16 17	24	219.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Jury Instructions, filed March 6, 1996		JA05742-JA05782
18	25	220.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Notice of Alibi, filed September 2,		JA05783-JA05785
19			1993		
20	25	221.	Affidavit of Alice May Starr dated January 26, 1994		JA05786-JA05791
21	25	222.	Letter dated October 12, 1993 from Starr to President Clinton		JA05792-JA05795
22 23	25	223.	State v. Rippo, Case No. 106784, Order Sealing Affidavit (and		JA05796-JA05801
24			exhibits), dated September 30, 1993		
25	25	224.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Property Report dated		JA05802-JA05803
26			September 30, 1993		
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	25	225.	Letter dated November ??, 1993 from Starr to Rex Bell, District Attorney		JA05804-JA05807
4 5	25	226.	State v. Rippo, Case No. C57388, Draft Affidavit in Support of Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea		JA05808-JA05812
6 7	25	227.	Justice Court Record, Thomas Edward Sims		JA05813-JA05881
8	25 26 27	228.	Justice Court Record, Michael Angelo Beaudoin		JA05882-JA06032 JA06033-JA06282 JA06283-JA06334
9 10 11	27	229.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Voluntary Statement of Michael Angelo Beaudoin dated March 1, 1992		JA06335-JA06349
12 13	27	230.	Justice Court Record, Michael Thomas Christos		JA06350-JA06403
14	27	231.	Justice Court Record, David Jeffrey Levine		JA06404-JA06417
15 16	27	232.	Justice Court Record, James Robert Ison		JA06418-JA06427
17	27	233.	MMPI (Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) Scoring for Diana Hunt dated September 2, 1992		JA06428-JA06434
18 19	27	234.	Handwritten Declaration of James Ison dated November 30, 2007		JA06435-JA06436
2021	27	235.	Handwritten Declaration of David Levine dated November 20, 2007		JA06437-JA06438
22 23	27	236.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed August		JA06439-JA06483
242526	27	237.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Motion to Dismiss for Outrageous Government Misconduct, filed September 13, 1996		JA06484-JA06511
27		<u> </u>			

1	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	7ol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	2	8	238.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2, December 3, 1997		JA06512-JA06689
4 5		.8 .9	239.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3, December 4, 1997		JA06690-JA06761 JA06762-JA06933
6 7		9	240.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4, December 8, 1997		JA06734-JA07011 JA07012-JA07133
8 9		0	241.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6, December 10, 1997		JA07134-JA07261 JA07262-JA06332
10 11	3	1	242.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, December 15, 1997		JA07333-JA07382
12 13		1 2	243.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 9, December 16, 1997		JA07383-JA07511 JA07512-JA07525
14 15	3	2	244.	Rippo v. State, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 28865, Respondent's Answering Brief, filed February 14, 1997		JA07526-JA07641
161718	3	2	245.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Government's Trial Memorandum, filed December 2, 1997		JA07642-JA07709
19 20	3	2	246.	State v. Salem, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 124980, Criminal Court Minutes		JA07710-JA07713
212223	3	2	247.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Motion for New Trial, filed April 29, 1996		JA07714-JA07719
24 25 26	3	2	248.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Superseding Criminal Indictment, filed May 6, 1997		JA07720-JA07751
26						

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	249.	In the Matter of the Application of the United States for an Order Authorizing the Interception of Wire Communications dated October 11, 1995		JA07752-JA07756
5 6	33	250.	Clark County School District Records for Michael D. Rippo		JA07757-JA07762
7	33	251.	Neuropsychological Assessment, Thomas F. Kinsora, Ph.D., dated February 1, 1996		JA07763-JA07772
8 9 10	33	252.	Addendum to Neurological Assessment Report, Thomas F. Kinsors, Ph.D., dated March 12, 1996		JA07773-JA07775
11 12	33	253.	Pre-Sentence Report, State v. Rippo, Case No. 97388, dated April 23, 1982		JA07776-JA07782
13 14	33	254.	Psychiatric Evaluation, Norton A. Roitman, M.D., dated February 17, 1996		JA07783-JA07789
15 16	33	255.	SCOPE printout for Carole Ann Rippo		JA07790
17	33	256.	Progress Reports dated October 15, 1981		JA07791-JA07792
18 19	33	257.	Supplemental Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA07793-JA07801
20 21	33	258.	County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981 Order, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 9, 1981		JA07802-JA07803
22 23	33	259.	Terms of Probation, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 1, 1981		JA07804-JA07805
24 25	33	260.	Transcript of Proceedings, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed May 14, 1981		JA07806-JA07811
26					
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3 4	33	261.	Petition No. 1, Recommendation for Adjudication and Order of Approval, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 19, 1981		JA07812
5 6	33	262.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 8, 1981		JA07813
7 8	33	263.	Certification, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed October 19, 1981		JA07814
9 10	33	264.	Probation Officer's Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed April 29, 1981		JA07815-JA07823
11 12	33	265.	Baseline Psychiatric Evaluation, Southern Desert Correctional Center, by Franklin D. Master, M.D., dated April 9, 1982		JA07824
13141516	33	266.	Confidential Psychological Evaluation by Eric S. Smith, Ph.D., Timothy L, Boyles, M.A., James F. Triggs, Ed.D., dated February 11, 1982		JA07825-JA07827
17 18	33	267.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07828-JA07829
19 20	33	268.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07830-JA07831
21 22	33	269.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07832-JA07833
23 24	33	270.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07834-JA07835
25 26	33	271.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
27					

1	Vo	1.	Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	272.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 27, 1982		JA07836-JA07837
4 5	33	273.	Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Arrest Report dated January 27, 1982		JA07838
6 7	33	274.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed January 29, 1982		JA07839-JA07840
8 9 10	33	275.	Certification Report, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 23, 1982		JA07841-JA07853
11 12	33	276.	Petition, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark County, Nevada, filed February 2, 1982		JA07854
13 14	33	277.	Judgment of Conviction, Case No. C57388, <u>State v. Rippo</u> , Clark County, Nevada, filed May 28, 1982		JA07855
15	33	278.	Psychological Report: Corrections Master, dated June 2, 1982		JA07856-JA07859
16 17	33	279.	Test of Educational Development dated March 9, 1983		JA07860-JA07862
18	33	280.	Psychological Evaluation dated December 2, 1983		JA07863
19 20	33	281.	Parole Progress Report, March 1985 Agenda		JA07864-JA07865
21	33	282.	Institutional Progress Report, March 1987 Agenda		JA07866-JA07868
22 23	33	283.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated January 29, 1987		JA07869
24	33	284.	Psychological Evaluation for Parole dated August 12, 1988		JA07870
2526	33	285.	Parole Progress Report, September 1988 Agenda		JA07871-JA07872
27					

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	286.	Psychological Evaluation dated August 23, 1989		JA07873
4	33	287.	Parole Progress Report, September 1989 Agenda		JA07874-JA07875
5	33	288.	Parole Officers' Notes beginning December 4, 1989		JA07876-JA07884
6 7	33	289.	Institutional Progress Report dated May 1993		JA07885-JA07886
8	33	290.	Health Services, Psychology Referral Form dated April 28, 1993		JA07887
9	33	291.	Handwritten notes dated February 17, 1994		JA07888
11	33	292.	Handwritten notes dated March 9, 1994		JA07889
12 13	33	293.	Handwritten exam notes (Roitman) dated January 13, 1996		JA07890-JA07894
14	33	294.	Psychological Panel Results Notification dated January 10, 1996		JA07895
15 16	33	295.	Norton A. Roitman, Addendum, dated March 11, 1996		JA07896-JA07897
17	33	296.	Bongiovanni Off the Bench, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07898-JA07899
18 19	33	297.	Fraud probe led to judge, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07900
20	33	298.	Charge opens judge's race, Las Vegas Sun, April 18, 1996		JA07901-JA07902
2122	33	299.	Judge Bongiovanni Indicted, <i>Las</i> Vegas Sun, April 18, 1986		JA07903
23	33	300.	Judge's actions examined, Las Vegas Review-Journal, April 19, 1996		JA07904-JA07906
2425	33	301.	Mental Health Progress Notes dated June 20, 1993		JA07907
26 27	33	302.	Affidavit of David M. Schieck dated March 16, 1998		JA07908

1	Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2 3	33	303.	Declaration of Carole A. Duncan dated January 19, 2000		JA07909-JA07910
4	33	304.	Union Free School #24, Pupil History Record, Michael Campanelli		JA07911-JA07912
5 6	33 34	305.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7, October 27, 1998		JA07913-JA08006 JA08007-JA08039
7 8	34	306.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 8, October 28, 1998		JA08040-JA08155
9 .0 .1	34	307.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-96-98-LDG(RJJ), Emergency Motion to Disqualify John Fadgen, Esq. From Representing Defendant Bongiovanni at Trial, July 24, 1997		JA08156-JA08225
.2		308.	OMITTED		
.3	34	309.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S-		JA08226-JA08246
.4			96-98-LDG(RJJ), Notice of Tape Recordings Intended for Use in Government's Case in Chief, filed August 2, 1996		
.6	35	310.	Letter from Donald J. Green requesting additional discovery dated July 9, 1996		JA08247-JA08253
.8	35	311.	United States v. Bongiovanni, CR-S- 96-98-LDG(RJJ), Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5, December 9, 1997		JA08254-JA08399
0	35	312.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial		JA08400-JA08405
1			District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Answer		
2			in Opposition to Motion for New Trial, filed May 1, 1996		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					

1		Vol.		Title	Date	Page
2		35	313.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08406-JA08413
3 4				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstances		
5				Numbered I and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
6				Circumstance Number 4, filed August 20, 1993		
7		35	314.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08414-JA08417
8				Nevada, Case No. 106784, State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance		
10				Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating		
11				Circumstance Number 4, filed February 11, 1994		
12 13		35	315.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County,		JA08418-JA08419
14				Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
15		35	316.	State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Special		JA08420-JA08421
16				Verdict filed March 14, 1996		
17 18		35 36	317.	Social History		JA08422-JA08496 JA08497-8538
19		36	318.	Parental Agreement, Case No. 23042, Juvenile Division, Clark		JA08539
20				County, Nevada, dated April 29, 1981		
21		36	319.	Mark D. Cunningham, Ph.D., and Thomas J. Reidy, Ph.D., <u>Integrating</u>		JA08540-JA08564
22				Base Rate Data in Violence Risk Assessments at Capital Sentencing,		
23 24				16 Behavioral Sciences and the Law 71, 88-89 (1998)		
25		36	320.	Letter from Michael Rippo to Steve Wolfson dated April 17, 1996		JA08565
26		36	321.	Report of Jonathan Mack, Ph.D.		JA08566-JA08596
27			<u> </u>			

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
36	322. Trial Exhibit: Photograph of Michael Rippo		JA08597
36	323. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. 106784, Application and Order for Fee in Excess of Statutory Amount for Investigator, filed December 3, 1996		JA08598-JA08605
36	324. Wiretap Transcript, Tommy Simms [sic], dated June 8, 1992		JA08606-JA08609
36	325. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Continued Initial Arraignment, heard March 25, 1982		JA08610-JA08619
36	326. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case Nos. 57388, 57399, Reporter's Transcript of Further Proceedings and/or Continued Initial Arraignment heard March 30, 1982		JA08620-JA08626
36	327. State v. Rippo, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. C106784, Instructions to the Jury, filed March 14, 1996		JA08627-JA08652
36	328. Declaration of Elisabeth B. Stanton, dated January 15, 2008		JA08653-JA08664
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	06/09/08	JA11564-JA11574
48	Reply to Opposition to Motion for Leave to Conduct Discovery	09/16/08	JA11575-JA11585
1	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/06/92	JA00242-JA00245
2	Reporter's Transcript of Arraignment	07/20/92	JA00246-JA00251
36	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion for Appointment of Counsel	02/11/08	JA08665-JA08668
2	Reporter's Transcript of Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Proceedings; Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District	02/14/94	JA00378-JA00399

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
	Attorney's Office		
19	Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing	09/10/04	JA04347-JA04408
48	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing	09/22/08	JA11586-JA11602
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Attorney General's Motion to Quash and for Protective Order	09/20/93	JA00316-JA00319
2	Reporter's Transcript of Hearing in re Motion to Continue Jury Trial	09/10/93	JA00304-JA00315
3	Reporter's Transcript of Motions Hearing	03/09/94	JA00565-JA00569
18	Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary [sic] Hearing	11/27/02	JA04202-JA04204
19	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings before the Honorable Donald M. Mosely	08/20/04	JA04321-JA04346
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Argument and Decision	05/02/02	JA04048-JA04051
1	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Grand Jury	06/04/92	JA00001-JA00234
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1; 10:00 a.m.	01/30/96	JA00634-JA00641
3 4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 1:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00642-JA00725 JA00726
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. III; 3:30 p.m.	01/30/96	JA00727-JA00795
4	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:15 AM	01/31/96	JA00796-JA00888
4 5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	01/31/96	JA00889-JA00975 JA00976-JA01025
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I; 10:20 a.m.	02/01/96	JA01026-JA01219
5	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. VI; 10:20 a.m.	02/02/96	JA01220-JA01401
5B	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. 1, 1:30 p.m.	02/05/96	JA01401-001 to JA01401-179
5 6	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. II; 2:30 p.m.	02/02/96	JA01402-JA01469 JA01470-JA01506

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
7	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/06/96	JA01507-JA01688
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 2:30 PM	02/06/96	JA01689-JA01766
8	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 1:45 PM	02/07/96	JA01767 JA01872
8 9	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:15 AM	02/08/96	JA01887-JA01938 JA01939-JA02054
9 10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 10:45 AM	02/26/96	JA02055-JA02188 JA02189-JA02232
10	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00AM	02/27/96	JA02233-JA02404
11	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	02/28/96	JA02405-JA02602
12 13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, Vol. I, 10:35 a.m.	02/29/96	JA02630-JA02879 JA02880-JA02885
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial 9:00 AM	03/01/96	JA02886-JA03064
13	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. I, 10:30 a.m.	03/04/96	JA03065-JA03120
14	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 11:00 a.m.	03/05/96	JA03121-JA03357
16	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial Vol. 1 11:30 a.m.	03/13/96	JA03594-JA03808
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Jury Trial, 9:30 AM	03/14/96	JA03841-JA04001
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	03/18/94	JA00575-JA00582
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Motions Hearing	04/14/94	JA00591-JA00618
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Penalty Phase 10:00 a.m.	03/12/96	JA03413-JA03593
2 3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Re: Defendant's Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office	03/07/94	JA00403-485 JA00486-564

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
2	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings re: Oral Request of District Attorney	01/31/94	JA00322-JA00333
3	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Ruling on Defense Motion	03/11/94	JA00570-JA00574
17	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Sentencing	05/17/96	JA04014-JA04036
15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings: Verdict	03/06/96	JA03403-JA03411
2	Response to Defendant's Motion for Discovery of Institutional Records and Files Necessary to His Defense	02/07/94	JA00351-JA00357
36 37	State's Motion to Dismiss and Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/23/08	JA08673-JA08746 JA08747-JA08757
2	State's Motion to Expedite Trial Date or in the Alternative Transfer Case to Another Department	02/16/93	JA00268-JA00273
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery and State's Motion for Reciprocal Discovery	10/27/92	JA00260-JA00263
2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Exclude Autopsy and Crime Scene Photographs	02/07/94	JA00346-JA00350
18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	10/14/02	JA04154-JA04201
2	State's Response to Defendant's Motion to Strike Aggravating Circumstance Numbered 1 and 2 and for Specificity as to Aggravating Circumstance Number 4	02/14/94	JA00367-JA00370
18	State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	04/06/04	JA04259-JA04315
2	State's Response to Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office and State's Motion to Quash Subpoenas	02/14/94	JA00358-JA00366
18	Supplemental Brief in Support of Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	02/10/04	JA04206-JA04256

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Vol.	Title	Date	Page
17 18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)	08/08/02	JA04052-JA04090 JA04091-JA04153
15	Verdicts	03/06/96	JA03399-JA03402
16	Verdicts and Special Verdict	03/14/96	JA03835-JA03840

COPY

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The State of Nevada,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Dept. No. IV

Docket No. "C"

Michael Damon Rippo,

#0619119

Defendant.

Before the Honorable Gerard J. Bongiovanni Wednesday, March 13, 1996, 11:30 o'clock a.m. Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings

JURY TRIAL

VOLUME I

REPORTED BY: Renee Silvaggio, C.C.R. No. 122

003417

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

APPEARANCES: For the State: MELVYN T. HARMON, ESQ. DANIEL SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney For the Defendant: STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ. PHILIP H. DUNLEAVY, ESQ. Attorneys at Law Law Clerk: Delwin Potter

INDEX 1 2 WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE STATE: PAGE 3 4 5 HUNT, John Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton 6 16 6 Cross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson 17 Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy Redirect Examination by Mr. Seaton 19 7 Recross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 20 8 DUNN, David 21 9 Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 25 1.0 CURTIS, George Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon 26 11 Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 34 Redirect Examination by Mr. Harmon 36 12 Recross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 13 MARONEY, Tom 38 14 Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon 44 Cross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson 59 Redirect Examination by Mr. Harmon 15 64 Recross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson 16 TRIGGS, Johna 67 17 Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 79 18 KEOUGH, James 90 19 Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon Cross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson 104 115 20 Redirect Examination by Mr. Harmon 117 Recross-Examination by Mr. Wolfson 21 SAXON, Howard Lee 22 119 Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy 137 23 24 003419

	INDEX (Continued)	
	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE STATE:	PAGE
	KARST, Eric Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon	143
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy	
	LEAVER, William Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	161
	SHEHAN, Gerry Lynne	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon Cross-Examination by Mr. Dunleavy	167 171
	JACOBSON, Norman	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon	176
١	MAXWELL, Orell Direct Examination by Mr. Harmon	185
	LIZZI, Nicholas	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	1.90
	LIZZI, Louise Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	195
	LIZZI, Nicholas Jr. Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	201
	EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE STATE: Marked	
	120 Defendant's Statement 93	97
	121 Porole Agreement 121 122 Letter 163	122 165
	123 Letter 164 124 Letter 164	165 165 184
	125 Scrapbook 183 126 Judgment of Convictions 208	209
	127 Photo Album 190	194
	-9 0 0 -	
	,	
		003430

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Wednesday, March 13, 1996, 11:30 a.m.
2	
3	* * * *
4	
5	THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Michael
6	Damon Rippo.
7	Let the record reflect the
8	presence of the defendant, with his attorneys Steve Wolfson,
9	Philip Dunleavy; Dan Seaton and Mel Harmon for the State.
10	Counsel stipulate to the
11	presence of the Jury?
12	MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge, we will.
13	MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Judge.
14	THE COURT: You may call your next witness.
15	MR. SEATON: John Hunt.
16	THE COURT: Right over here, sir.
17	THE CLERK: Remain standing and raise your
18	right hond.
19	Whereupon,
20	JOHN HUNT
21	having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and
22	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the
23	whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined
24	and testified as follows:

1		THE CLERK: Thank you, Please be seated.	
2		Will you state your name and	
3	spell it for the record.		
		THE WITNESS: My name is John Hunt; H-u-n-t.	
5			
6		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
7	BY MR. SEATON:		
8	a	Mr. Hunt, how are you employed?	
9	А	I'm retired now from Metro.	
10	Q	What are you retired from?	
11	A	I had a heart attack	
12	Q.	No, no. What job did you do before?	
13	· A	Oh. I worked the detective bureau for Metro	
14	for many years.		
15	Q	How many years?	
16	А	Ten years with the detective bureau and ten	
17	years in patro]	•	
18	Q	So 20 years in total you were with Metro?	
19	А	Right, right.	
20	Q ·	And for how long have you been retired?	
21	А	Ah, since '91.	
22	Q	In December of 1981, were you a police	
23	officer with th	ne Metropolitan Police Department?	
24	A	Yes, I was.	
		003432	
	I		

1	Q	And did you work in the detective bureau at
2	that time?	
3	A	Yes, I did. I worked burglary detail.
4	Q	The burglary detail.
5		Were you investigating
6	burglaries in De	ecember of 1981 in the Tropicana and Mount
7	Vista area of to	DWn?
8	A	Yes, I was.
9	Q	That's here in Clark County, Nevada?
10	А	Yes, it is.
11	Q	In doing that, did you ever get called to
12	the house of an	individual by the name of JoAnne Pinther?
13	A	Yes, I did.
14	Q	P-i-n-t-h-e-r; is that correct?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	First of all, can you tell us, did that
17	happen in Decemb	ber of 1981?
18	A	Yes, it did.
19	Q	Do you remember the date?
20	A	I believe it was the 18th.
21	Q	Of December.
22		Did you go to Mrs. Pinther's
23	house?	
24	А	Yes, I did.
		003423

1	Q Now don't do that to me.
2	I'm sorry.
3	A I believe it was
4	MR. WOLFSON: May the record reflect the
5	District Attorney is having problems this morning.
6	BY MR. SEATON:
7	Q Why did you go there?
8	A She had apparently called the burglary
9	detail and she said that she had and talked to me her
10	son, I believe his name was Tim, and his friend, I believe
11	his name was Ulrich the last name I don't remember. I
12	believe it was Steven or something.
13	Q Was that Stallcup?
14	A No, Ulrich.
15	And I had received information
16	that these two boys, one being the victim's son, Tim
17	Pinther, knew of some burglaries in the area; and his mother
18	had been burglarized the day previous, which I believe was
19	the 20th, and I think it occurred on the 19th or something
20	like that.
21	Q As a result of that phone call, did you go to
22	the Pinthers' house?
23	A Yes, I did.
24	Q And did you have a discussion with the boys?
	90342 4

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q What did they tell you?
3	A They said they had been to an address of an
4	individual by the name of Stallcup, and this individual
5	and the reason they went over there is because they knew
6	this individual, because he's the same age and generation,
7	et cetera, was in stolen property.
8	Q Stallcup was dealing in stolen property?
9	A Right.
10	Q What other information did they give you?
11	A And they had found they had been over
12	there previous already, you know, before they talked to me,
13	and they had some jewelry that they had taken from Stallcup;
14	and Stallcup Stallcup had told Pinther and Ulrich that he
15	had received it from a Mike Rippo and a Christopher Yara.
16	Q As a result of getting that information,
17	what did you do?
18	A I responded over to Christopher Yara's
19	house, because, apparently, Mike Rippo had been a runaway at
20	that time.
21	Q Do you remember the address of that
22	particular house?
23	A I believe it was El Casida, 41 or 4777;
24	something like 4177.
	003425

		10
悪		
MRippo-07058-ROA03431		
)7058	1 1	Q Okay.
-ROA0	2	A I believe. I don't know.
3431	3	Q And that was here in Las Yegos?
	4	A Un-huh.
	5	Q Is that a yes?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q When you went over there, did you meet
	8	with did you see Norman Yara?
	9	A Yes, I did.
	10	Q Did you have a conversation with him?
	11	A Yes, I did.
	12	Q As a result of that conversation, did he
	13	give you consent to go into the attic of his house and look
	14	around?
	15	A Yes, he did.
	2 16	Q When you did that, what did you find?
	17	A We found two rifles, one being a Sacco, a
	18	30.06, and we found an over-and-under shotgun, 12 gauge; and
	19	there were four other handguns there that we couldn't come
	20	up with a victim.
	21	Q So there were two rifles and four pistols?
	22	A Right,
	23	Q One of the rifles was a 30.06, did you say?
	24	A Right,
:	-	
		003426

1	Q All right.
2	A And also we found an Intelevision that was
3	reported stolen in the burglary.
4	Q When you spoke to Mr. Yara, did he indicate
5	that he knew that those items had been stolen?
6	A Yes, he did.
7	Q Did he indicate who lived with him at the
8	time?
9	A He indicated that Mike Rippo had been living
10	with him because he had run away.
1 1	Q And did he indicate whether or not Mike
12	Rippo was in on the burglaries with him?
13	A Yes, he indicated to me that he and Rippo
14	did the burglaries; but what he told me at that time was
15	that Rippo had kind of, you know, got him into it, but
16	that's just what he was saying.
17	Q Yara told you that Rippo was the ring
18	leader?
19	A Basically.
20	Q That he started it all?
21	A Right.
22	Q Did you ask him to help you with finding
23	those burglaries, where the people lived, where they got
24	burglarized?
	003427

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q Was he any help?
3	A No. He said that he wouldn't give me
4	divulge any information as to where they came from.
5	However, I ran the serial
6	numbers and I came up with actually two victims that
7	lived in that area. And the victim of a the
8	over-and-under and the Sacco 30,06 came back to I believe
9	his name was Facral (ph), and and the other victim, I
10	don't recall his name.
11	Q Did Mr. Yara tell if you most of it was
12	these burglaries were homes or businesses?
1.3	A Homes.
14	Q Was Mr. Yara arrested?
15	A Yes, he was.
16	Q And did you put out a warrant for the arrest
17	of Mr. Rippo?
18	A Right.
19	Q Did you locate Mr. Rippo?
20	A I would yeah. Approximately a month
21	later I believe it was, like, the 20th of January, I was
22	contacted by the juvenile home and they indicated to me that
23	he was in custody on another charge and that if I wanted to
24	come and serve the warrant, that I could do that.
	nn3428

E				
MK1PPO-07058-RUH03434		1	O Havild this be the AAth of Consumus 18688	
-85B/	2	1	Q Would this be the 20th of January, 1982?	•
RUHUS		2	A Correct.	
434		3	Q Did you go to the Juvenile facility to see	
		4	Mr. Rippo?	
		5	A Yes. I went there along with Detective	
		6	Horton, who also worked the burglary detail.	
		7	Q Did you have a conversation with him?	
		8	A Yes, we did.	
		9	Q Did you Mirandize him	
		10	A Yes, we did.	
		11	Q before you had that conversation?	
		12	Did he speak to you	
		13	voluntarily?	
		14	A Yes.	
		15	Q Is Mr. Rippo here in court today?	
		16	A Yes, he is.	
		17	Q Would you point to him and tell us where	
		18	he's seated and what he's wearing?	
		19	A Sure, he's wearing that tan or beige	
		20	sweater, sitting in the center of the table. (Indicating)	
		21	MR. SEATON: May the record reflect	
		22	identification of the defendant, Judge?	
		23	THE COURT: The record will reflect the	
		24	identification of the defendant.	
		 ·		
			003429	

1	A Well, it was like a nonchalant attitude,
2	that, you know, seasoned career criminals had; and I was
3	only dealing with a 16 year old individual; and I had been
4	on Metro long enough to know the difference.
5	Q Did he seem more hardened than most typical
6	16 year olds?
7	A Yes. It's why I would remember something
8	like that.
9	There are names that you would
10	remember in your career, and I can still remember
11	individuals that I have dealt with going back 20 years.
12	Q Do you remember all of the people you've
13	dealt with or just special ones?
14	A Just individuals with the an attitude,
15	that you are going to eventually run across this individual
16	again or there is going to be other problems.
17	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
18	That concludes direct
19	examination.
20	THE COURT: Cross.
21	
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. WOLFSON:
24	Q Mr. Hunt, did you prepare reports regarding
	003431

24

1	these incidents?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q Have you had a chance to review them prior
4	to your testimony today?
5	A Some of them, yes.
6	Q Have you reviewed them today, this morning?
7	A Yes, I reviewed, like I said, parts of it.
8	I didn't have
9	Q Do you remember what you said in your report
10	regarding why Mr. Rippo couldn't remember some of the
11	locations of the burglaries?
12	A Yeah. I remember that he indicated that he
13	couldn't remember because he was high on drugs.
14	Q The District Attorney didn't ask you that
15	question, did he?
16	A No.
17	Q Did you also say in your report and I'm
18	quoting from it:
19	Subject Rippo stated that he
20	had done other burglaries in that area; however, he
21	was hazy on the locations, as he indicated to
22	Detectives Hunt and Horton that he was high on

003432

drugs, and stated that he could not tell or show

these detectives where the other burglaries were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

at.

Yeah. I believe that from -- my impression Α was he was telling me that this was an excuse, as -- as most burglars that I've dealt with.

Did you put that you felt he was giving you an excuse in your report?

No.

Did you put that he had a nonchalant attitude in your report?

> Α No, I didn't.

> > MR. WOLFSON: Judge, may Mr. Dunleavy ask

Just a couple questions?

THE COURT: All right.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

16 BY MR. DUNLEAVY:

You indicated there was a number of burglaries in the area.

Α Uh-huh, yes.

> Q And a 30.06 was recovered?

That's correct. Α

Q More than one?

A More than one 30.06?

Q Uh-huh.

1	A	No.
2	Q	Do you know if it come from a Mr. Wagner?
3	A	A Mr. who?
4	Q	Wagner?
5	А	No. It was from a Mr. Facral. (Phonetic)
6	Q	Do you know if there was another man by the
7	name of Wagner	that had lost a gun?
8	A	I don't know at this time, no.
9	Q	What about a 12 gauge taken from a Mr. Tarr?
10	A	I don't know that either.
11	Q	Or an M-1 was taken from somebody?
12	A	I den't know. I den't have that
13	information.	· ·
14	Q	So you don't remember all the victims that
15	were out there?	
16	A	I remember 13 burglaries that he was a
17	suspect in, and	I made him on or the criminalistics lab
18	made him on one	of those, on fingerprints.
19	Q	And there may have been more?
20	A	There may have been more, yes.
21		MR. DUNLEAVY: No further questions.
22		Thank you.
23		
24		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
, i		003434

1	BY MR. SEATON:
2	Q All those burglaries have the same MO?
3	A Yes, they did.
4	Q And there is one other question I forgot to
5	ask: Did he show any remorse for the crimes that he
6	committed?
7	A No. There was no remorse. It would be
8	from my years of experience, usually when someone is
9	remorseful is that they have learned a lesson; and it was an
10	attitude that I have personally seen and an opinion of
11	what that this was an individual that could care less
12	what happened tomorrow or behind him.
13	MR. SEATON: Thank you.
14	MR. DUNLEAVY: Excuse me.
15	THE COURT: More questions?
16	
17	
18	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
20	Q You indicated that he lived in the moment;
21	basically he didn't care much on the past; wasn't warried
22	about the future?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q Did you deal with a lot of juveniles that
	003435

	1	
1	got in trouble?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Isn't	that pretty common among them, that
4	they are living in th	e moment?
5	A I don	't believe it's common among all
6	juveniles, no.	
7	Q Well,	not all Juveniles.
8		Not all juveniles are in
9	juvenile hall, are th	ey?
10	A I rec	all Mr. Rippo because he was unusually
11	non-remorseful.	
12	Q Have	you ever had people that Just don't
13	show emotion?	
14	A Somet	imes.
15	Q You d	on't know what's going on inside them,
16	do you?	
17	A No.	
18	MR. D	UNLEAVY: Thank you.
19		No further questions.
20	MR. S	EATON: Nothing further.
21	THE C	OURT: Thank you, Mr. Hunt.
22		You are excused.
23		(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
24		ELMAN ALLACATAN E.S.
		003436

Detective Dunn, where are you employed?

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

How long have you worked with that

department?

20

21

22

24

Twenty-eight years.

23 Were you employed with the Metropolitan

Police Department on January the 18th, 1982?

		22
₹ 70 1°		
MRippo-97058-ROA03443	1	A Yes, I was.
58-RO	Ž	Q What were your duties at that time?
A03443	3	A I was at that time assigned to the sexual
	4	assault unit.
	5	Q Detective Dunn, on January the 18th, 1982,
	6	at about four o'clock p.m., did you receive a telephone call
	7	from a female individual?
	8	A Yes, I did.
	9	Q Where were you when you received the
	10	telephone call?
	11	A I was in at my desk at that time, we
	1.2	were in City Hall when I received the phone call.
	13	Q Did the caller identify herself?
	14	A Yes, she did.
	15	Q By what name?
•	16	A Katherine Smith.
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	17	Q Did she also give you an address?
## + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	18	A Yes, she did. It was 4775 South Topaz,
15. 	19	Number 128.
	20	Q That was in Las Vegas?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Did the caller explain why she was getting
4	23	in tauch with the police department?
T	24	A Yes, she did.
orki delen den de service es constructores es constructor		003433

391-0379 RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

1	Q What did she tell you?
2	A She indicated to me at the time that there
3	was a man known to her on the front porch of her residence
4	waving a weapon around.
5	Q Did she state what type of weapon this man
6	known to her was waving around?
7	A She stated 1t was a handgun.
8	Q Did she identify the subject known to her?
9	A Yes, she did. She stated his name was
10	Michael Rippo.
11	Q Did she explain what her concern was?
12	A Not to me, no.
13	Q Describe the manner of the lady you were
14	speaking with who identified herself as Katherine Smith.
15	A She was very excited, almost to the point
16	where she was incoherent.
1 7	Q Will you estimate about how long the
18	telephone conversation lasted?
19	A I would guess less than ten minutes.
20	Q As a result of the telephone conversation,
21	did you natify other officers in the police department?
22	A No, I did not. What I did was transfer the
23	call to dispatch and they took the call from there.
24	Q Explain What you mean by dispatch.
:	

1	A Okay. That's where the officers are sent on
2	calls by a number of people who are in the communications
3	section.
4	Q Would that be uniformed, then, patrol
5	officers would be sent by dispatch to a location?
6	A That's correct, yes.
7	Q Did you have any further involvement besides
8	the telephone conversation you have Just described?
9	A Only that I learned later the subject was
10	taken into custody.
11	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
12	That cancludes direct, Your
13	Honor.
14	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
15	
16	
17	
18	<u> CROSS-FXAMINATION</u>
19	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
20	Q How did this call get to you?
21	A It rang at my desk. How it came to me, I
22	have no idea.
23	Q Did you know Miss Smith?
24	A No.
	00344a

391-0379

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

Will you state your name and

spell it for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: George Curtis; last name

C-u-r-t-i-s.

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>

BY MR. HARMON:

- Q Is it Officer George Curtis?
- A Yes, it is.
- 15 Q Officer Curtis, where are you employed?
- 16 A Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
- 17 Q How long have you worked with that

18 department?

- 19 A Seventeen years and four months.
- 20 | Q Were you employed on January the 18th, 1982?
- 21 A Yes, I was.
- 22 Q On that day, shortly before four o'clock
- 23 p.m., were you dispatched to the location of 4775 South
- 24 Topaz Avenue, Apartment 128, in Las Vegas?

1	A Yes, I was.	
2	Q Did you have some	e reason for responding to
3	that particular area?	
4	A Yes. There was	a wonted subject that was to
5	be taken into custody at that lo	cation.
6	Q Who was the want	ed subject that you had
7	information was at that location	?
8	A A subject by the	name of Michael Rippo.
9	Q Tell us what hap	pened after you arrived in
10	the area of 4775 Topaz, Apartmen	t 128
11	A I arrived with o	ne other unit, which was a
12	two man unit, Officer Staley and	Officer there was
13	another officer involved. They	took
14	Q Will you spell S	taley for the record,
15	please.	
16	A S-t-a-1-e-y.	
17	Q Thank you.	
18	A He these offi	cers took a particular
19	position at that apartment comple	ex and I took up a position
20	Just opposite of theirs in order	to approach the apartment
21	in question.	
22	Q From the position	n that you maintained could
23	you see the porch and front area	of Apartment 128?
24	A Yes, I could.	

1	Q Did you observe a subject?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q Describe what you saw.
4	A It was a white male subject. He was wearing
5	dark clothing. He had a hat on, which was like a pullover
6	type of a hat; had a dark jacket on, dark pants and tennis
7	shoes.
8	Q Where was this subject in relation to the
9	front door of Apartment 128 when you saw him?
10	A He was at the front door.
11	Q Tell us what happened then.
12	A The subject was appeared to be, in my
13	mind, attempting to gain entry to the apartment.
14	Shortly after noticing this,
15	the subject turned away from me and began walking away from
16	my location.
17	Q Now, you've mentioned that you had gone to
18	this location for the purpose of arresting an individual you
19	believed to be in the area.
20	A Yes. I had gained information that morning
21	about a subject named Michael Rippo, was given his
22	description and what he was wanted for, and I had been
23	conducting an investigation all that morning in order to
24	locate this individual.
	003444

1,	Q Were there active arrest warrants for the
2	subject Michael Rippo?
3	A Yes, there was.
4	Q What warrants were outstanding?
5	A We had two warrants for possession of stolen
6	property; and the investigation also included our sexual
7	assault detail wanting this individual.
8	Q Was a detective assigned to the sexual
9	assault detail someone who had provided information to you?
10	A Yes. He provided the information for that
11	briefing that morning.
12	Q Who was the detective that provided the
13	information to sexual assault?
14	A It was over at the briefing lab. It was
15	Detective McLaughlin.
16	Q Can you spell McLaughlin, please.
17	A That's a good question. I'd have to look to
18	see how he spells it. M-c something.
19	Q Did you also intend to arrest the subject.
20	Michael Rippo, for sexual assault?
21	A I attempted to or I was gaing to arrest
22	him for that also, because of information given to me by
23	Detective McLaughlin.
24	Q You've indicated that the subject began to
	003445

1	walk away from the front door area of Apartment 128 of 4775
2	Topaz?
3	A Yes, he did.
4	Q Was he apprehended at some point?
5	A Yes. As he begon walking away from me he
6	never did notice me. He began walking away from me and he
7	was walking into the direction of Officer Staley and the
8	other officer involved. It was moments later that these
9	officers yelled to the subject, later identified as Michael
10	Rippo, that they were the police and put his hands in the
11	oir.
12	Q Did the subject comply with that request?
13	A Yes, he did. Immediately, he put his hands
14	in the air, and I came up behind him and placed him down on
15	the ground, in a felony type of prone position, and
16	handcuffs were placed on this person.
17	Q Did you do a pat down search at the time of
18	the arrest for weapons?
19	A Yes. Immediately, again, due to the
20	information we had that the subject was armed and dangerous,
21	I began searching his body and did, in fact, find a weapon.
22	Q Describe the weapon you found.
23	A It was a large caliber; turned out to be a

.357 Python chrome revolver, loaded.

24

003446

1	Q What do you mean it was loaded?
2	A It had six rounds of three caliber live
3	ammunition rounds in it.
4	Q Do you recall now where it was, on the
5	person of the subject, that you located the .357 revolver?
6	A Yes, it was concealed underneath his shirt.
7	It was tucked in the right pants area above his pocket.
8	Q During the course of the arrest, did you
9	verify the identity of the person who had been arrested?
10	A Yes. I had asked him his name.
11	Q Did he identify himself?
12	A Yes, he did.
13	Q By what name?
14	A The first name he gave me was Michael. And
15	I would have to refer to my reports as to what the the
16	last name was. But upon asking him a second time, he gave
17	me Michael Rippo.
18	Q Thereafter, was Mr. Rippo transported to the
19	Clark County Juvenile Services?
20	A Yes. I transported him to Clark County
21	Juvenile Hall,
22	Q Was he booked in to Juvenile on certain
23	charges?
24	A Yes, he was.
	003447

1	Q On what charges, sir?
2	A Well, originally, I was going to book him
3	specifically on the possession of stolen property charges.
4	At that time, I talked to Detective McLaughlin on the phone
5	and he was arrested for the charges which he wanted me to
6	place on the individual, which was sexual assault.
7	Q In connection with the sexual assault, did
8	the arrest also conclude grand larceny—auto and battery with
9	intent to commit sexual assault?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you additionally book Mr. Rippo on the
12	two active arrest warrants for possession of stolen
13	property?
14	A According to my reports, they were not able
15	to locate those at that particular time. I arrested him for
16	carrying a concealed weapon.
1.7	Q What did the carrying a concealed weapon
18	relate to?
19	A It had to do with the fact that he had a
20	.357 revalver on his person at the time that I stapped him.
21	Q Was the revolver concealed on his person?
22	A Yes. It was concealed halfway in the pants
23	and concealed by a shirt and a large jacket.
24	Q Until you patted him down, did you know that
	003448

Q Specifically in that apartment?

A Yes.

Q You had information that he had been staying there?

A Yes. Information he had been staying there prior and that he was kicked out for some reason.

Q Do you know if the Smith boy was ever arrested on burglary charges?

A I don't recall that, sir.

MR, DUNLEAVY: No further questions,

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MR. HARMON: One question, Your Honor.

L7 BY MR. HARMON:

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Officer Curtis, did you do any type of check to determine the status of the revolver that you recovered from the defendant?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

A Yes. I ran the serial number of the revolver and it returned as a stolen piece of property taken in a burglary in 1977.

MR. HARMON: Thank you.

	36
30 20 11	
MRippo-87058-R0003457	That's all, Your Honor.
ය. ප්	THE COURT: Anything else?
) 100345 3	
4	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
6	Q It was stolen in 1977?
7	A According to my reports; it was a '77 DR
8	number.
9	Q So you have no idea how it ended up here in
10	1982 or '81?
11	A I couldn't tell you.
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: Thank you.
13	No further questions.
14	
15	}
	MR. HARMON: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, Officer Curtis.
17	You are excused.
18	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
19	
20	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
21	MR. HARMON: Tom Maroney.
22	THE BAILIFF: Say again, sir.
23	MR. HARMON: Tom Maroney.
24	
	003452

6 1 Whereupon,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

or calcait

TOM MARONEY

having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
Will you state your name and

spell it for the record.

THE WITNESS: My first name is Tom; last name is Maroney, spelled M-a-r-o-n-e-y.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

16 Q Mr. Maroney, what is your business or 17 occupation?

A I work for the Clark County Family Court.

19 I'm a probation supervisor.

Q Is it also known as the Clark County

Juvenile Court Services?

A That's correct. That's the old name.

Q How long have you worked for the Clark

County Family Court?

1	A	I've worked in excess of 22 years.
2	Q	During those 22 years, have you had various
3	duties with Juve	enile Court Services?
4	А	Yes. It was I was a youth counselor for
5	nine years. I v	was a parole officer for nine years. And
6	I've been a prot	oation supervisor going on five years.
7	Q	During the course of your duties, have you
8	served as a para	ole officer for the defendant Michael Rippo,
9	who is present	In the courtroom?
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	Q	During what time frame?
12	A	I need to refer to my report.
13	Q	Do you have a certain report, prepared by
14	you, in court to	oday?
1.5	А	That's correct. It's a certification
16	report.	
17	Q	Is it dated March the 4th, 1982?
18	A	Yes, 1t is.
19	Q	Will reference to the report assist you in
20	refreshing your	memory regarding the time frame you served
21	as a parale offi	lcer for the defendant?
22	A	Yes,
23		MR. HARMON: May he refer to his report,
24	Your Henor?	
		003454

MRippo-07058-R0903460 THE COURT: He may. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Thonk you. Mr. Rippo was released from the 3 4 Spring Mountain Youth Camp on August 26th, 1981. At this point, I was assigned as his parale officer. 5 6 BY MR. HARMON: You've already referred to a certification 7 Q 8 report prepared by you. Were you involved in procedures 9 10 originating in the juvenile court system, to have Mr. Rippo, 11 while still a juvenile, certified to be prosecuted as an 12 adult? 13 Α Yes. I was. 14 And what was your involvement in that 15 procedure? When Mr. Rippo was assigned to my case load, 16 17 it was -- I think it was -- I can't recall what the exact 18 date was, but he ran away from home -- his mother reported 19 to me -- on November 2nd, 1981. 20 21 22

23

24

He was then again arrested on January the 18th, 1982. At that point, 1t was the recommendation of the District Attorney that certification proceedings be -- be initiated.

It was my responsibility to

į.	- 1
9	
η	
Di .	
50004	
•	
3	4

rep	ort	to	the	Court	what		ther	e 1	S Q	Kent	ded	cisio	, nc	I h	ВC
to :	go	over	the	Kent	decis	ion	to	see	whe	ether	or	not	he	met	
the	¢r	iter	'ia f	or the	e Kent	de	cisi	on '	for	certi	tic	cat10	n.		

- Q As a result of your investigation, did you submit a certification report to the Juvenile court?
 - A Yes, I did,
 - Q What was your recommendation?
- A The recommendation of the parole department was a recommendation for certification to adult status.
- Q Did that recommendation relate to specific pending charges against Mr. Rippo?
 - A Absolutely.
 - Q What were the pending charges?
- A It was the charges were sexual assault, battery with intent to commit sexual assault, grand larceny-auto, burglary, carrying a concealed weapon, possession of stolen property; and then there was two additional counts of burglary added.
- Q Did the offenses involving sexual assault, battery with intent to commit sexual assault, grand larceny and one count of burglary relate to a victim identified as Laura Mortin?
 - A Yes.
 - Q I think you indicated that there were two

- 003456

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

additional burglary counts pending.

A Yes.

Q What were the names of those victims and the location of the alleged burglaries?

A Okay. It was -- in reference to Petition

Number 10, the victim was Salvador Delgado -- that's spelled

D-e-l-g-a-d-o -- and his address at that time was 5322

Chattanooga Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada.

In reference to Petition 11, the victim was Vasana, V-a-s-a-n-a, Rogers. Her address was 5127 Blanton -- that's B-l-a-n-t-o-n -- Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada,

Q Was it your understanding that both of the burglaries involving Salvador Delgado and Vasana Rogers were residential burglaries?

A Yes, that's my understanding.

Q During the course of your investigation, and as a parole officer for Mr. Rippo, did you discuss these pending allegations with him?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did he make certain admissions to you accepting responsibility for all of the allegations?

A Yes, he did.

Q What was the disposition of this petition to

1	have him certified to be prosecuted in the adult system?
2	A He was certified to adult status.
3	Q You've already alluded to one incident, I
4	think you said it was in November, 1981, where Mr. Rippo ran
5	анау from home.
6	A That's when it was reported to me.
7	I actually spo Mrs Mrs.
8	Anzini called me on October the 28th. She was telling me
9	she had problems with her son. I spoke to him and Miss
19	Anzini Michael came in on 1t was October 29th. I
11	spoke to him at that point. I thought the problem was
12	resolved. He took off that weekend. He ran away, He
13	remained at large until his arrest on January 18th.
14	Q January the 18th, 1982?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Was there an occasion later, subsequent to
17	the January 1982 arrest, when Mr. Rippo escaped from Clark
18	County Juvenile Services?
19	A Yes, he did.
20	Q On what date did that occur?
21	A I I'm not sure.
22	Q Will referring to any of the reports you
23	have with you assist you in refreshing your memory?
24	A No. I know he escaped. I don't have that
	. 003458

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	information.
2	Q Do you know where he escaped from?
3	A He escaped from the Juvenile Detention
4	Center. He stayed at large for about must have been I
5	would say less than two weeks. Then his mother brought him
6	in and turned him in.
7	Q So he was at large for about a week. I think
8	you said?
9	A A week to ten days.
10	Q You said he escaped from the Detention
11	Center.
12	Do you know how he got out?
13	A As I recall, he they kicked out a window
14	or they went through a window. That's before we had bars in
15	between.
16	Q What is the date of birth of Michael Rippo,
17	your parolee?
18	A It was February 26th, 1965.
19	MR. HARMON: That concludes direct, Your
20	Honor.
21	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
22	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you. Thank you.
23	
24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
	N03459

İ	
E C	
1 2 3	BY MR. WOLFSON:
5 2 2	Q Sir, did you bring your entire certification
193465 3	report with you to court today?
4	A I just have the sheets. I don't have the
5	any of the exhibits.
6	Q I think I have your certification report,
7	and my copy is 13 pages long. (Indicating)
8	Is that what you have in front
9	of you? Without the exhibits I'm talking about.
10	A I don't know how many pages that is.
11	The last sheet should say
12	Thomas L. Maroney, Steven H. Hanrahan.
13	Q And that last page, the last category on
14	that last page, is entitled Recommendation; is that right?
15	A Yes, that's correct.
16	Q Okay. You didn't bring with you though any
17	of the exhibits
18	A No, I didn't.
19	Q to your certification, to court, did you?
20	A I did not.
21 8	MR. WOLFSON: Does the District Attorney
22	have an objection to me admitting this into evidence? I
23	will have it marked, of course.
24	MR. HARMON: You are talking the contents of
	003469.

going to have to ask you to turn a little this way because I 1 2 have a few questions about it. 3 All right. Did you include a category in your report, 4 under school and employment? 5 6 Yes, I did. MR. WOLFSON: Okay. And that's on page 7 8 seven, counsel. 9 MR. HARMON: Thank you. BY MR. WOLFSON: 10 Could you please read to the jury lines 20 11 Q 12 through 22 from this page. Okay. A school progress report from Western 13 14 High School, dated October 15th, 1981, shows the subject 15 minor maintaining a B average in all classes. 16 Q Thank you. 17 Now, what do you base that an? 18 Did you actually obtain his school records? 19 Yes, that's normally what we do. And base this statement on your review of 20 his school records? 21 22 To the best of my recollection, yes. 23 Okay. Now, did you also note that as for 24 employment, the subject minor, on or about October 16th,

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

.

1981, obtained a Job at Wendy's Old Fashioned Hamburger
Restaurant, located on Decatur Boulevard, and that he
maintained this job until his runaway of November 2nd, 1981?

A Yes.

Q I mean, those are your records, right?

A Those are my words.

Q So you probably verified that employment through either pay stubs from Michael or contacting the employer himself --

A Not necessarily. A lot of times, you talk to the parent; the parents tell you that he had a job; or you speak to the client; the client will tell you that.

Q Okay.

A It didn't necessarily go to the Job to verify employment because employers are not happy to have individuals working for them that have criminal backgrounds, that or delinquent Juveniles.

I'm going to ask you to refer to page 11 of this report — and I believe this comes under the general category of evaluation — and I'm going to ask you to read to yourself line 19, and that general paragraph, if you will.

A Read to myself?

Q Please.

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

	1	49
æ		
00 1990-97	1	THE COURT: Okay.
o 7058-		
∞ NRip⊳o-07058-ROA03470	2	(Whereupon, a sotto voce at this time.) BY MR. WOLFSON:
70	4	Q Mr. Maroney, I want to show you what's been
	5	labeled as D-2, (indicating), which is part of defendant's
	6	Exhibit M, and ask you if you recognize what this is?
	7	A (Complies.)
	8	Q Do you recognize what that is, sir?
	9	A This seems to be a letter from his
	10	parents or his mother.
	11	Q A letter from his parents and I didn't
	12	hear you.
	13	A His mother.
	14	Q His mother?
	15	A Yeah.
	16	Q It is signed, is it not, Jim and Carol
	17	Anzini, on page three?
	18	A Let me check.
	19	Yes, it is yes, Jim and
	20	Carol.
	21	Q Did you ever meet Michael's parents
	22	face-to-face?
	23	A On two separate occasions, as I can recall.
	24	Q I mean, this is
		003465
		- 55706

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

l			50
MR.			
MRippo-07058-ROA03471	8	1	A I never met the father. I met Mrs. Anzini.
158-RO		2	Q And this goes back a long time. I
A9347		3	understand that.
		4	You haven't probably seen Carol
		5	in 15 years, have you?
		6	A No.
		7	Q Would you recognize her if you saw her?
		8	A I probably wouldn't.
	9	9	Q Okay, But you included this as an exhibit
		10	to your certification report
		11	A Yes.
		12	Q did you not?
		13	A Yes, I did.
		14	Q Why do you include a letter from a
Ė		15	Juvenile's mother in your report?
l		16	A I believe that, as an officer, I am
		17	responsible to present all information so that the judge can
		18	make a a sensible lagical decision in reference to a
		19	case.
		20	MR. WOLFSON: Judge, with the Court's
l		21	permission, I would like this witness to read this letter
		22	into the record.
		23	THE COURT: You may do so.
		24	BY MR. WOLFSON:
			003466
Ī			

	51
Rîpi	
1Rippo-97058-R0H03472	Q And I'm referring to D-2.
80 20 20	A All three pages?
83472 3	Q Yes, if you don't mind, please.
4	A No problem.
5	Q And, once again, this is a letter from, as
6	you know, Carol Michael's mother, please.
7	A The letter is addressed to the Honorable
8	Judge Stephen Huffaker, Juvenile Court Judge.
9	Dear Judge Huffaker: We are
10	writing this letter to you in hopes of shedding
11	some light on our son Michael Rippo's case, which
12	is being brought before you.
13	We are a normal, middle class
14	American family and Michael was always a normal
15	boy, giving us less discipline or personality
16	problems than most boys his age.
17	As he grew older, we did notice
18	that he was becoming increasingly concerned with
19	his height, or lack of it Michael is only five
20	foot one often feeling as though his lack of
21	physical stature was preventing him from desired
22	achievements; and yet he always did very well
23	scholastically, at his job, socially and at home.
24	It wasn't until February of
	003467
1	

1.9

1981 that Michael presented any problems at all.

His relationship with his stepfather, Jim Anzini,
had always been the best any father could hope for,
as Michael considered Jim his real father.

When Michael began to find

When Michael began to find fault with his stepfather and be argumentative, we assumed it was the normal apron string breaking of a 15 year old. It was only a matter of a very short time between the change in his attitude towards us and his running away from home and subsequent commission of a burglary.

we were shocked at so sudden and marked a change in Michael and requested the Judge that he be sent to Spring Mountain -- or Spring Mountain Ranch back then -- despite the fact that the juvenile probation officer recommended a suspended sentence and probation.

We sincerely believed that if
he would no longer honor us as authority figures,
that the disciplinary action of the Spring Mountain
Ranch would be for his benefit.

We were disappointed when Michael received no help at Spring Mountain. He seemed simply to be detained there rather than

rehabilitated. We visited him often, noticing that his attitude would vascilate before repentance and rebelliousness.

When they released him, after three months and 25 days, it seemed more for the sake of making room for others than that they believed that he was aided or changed.

While Michael was at Spring

Mountain, our family was hit with the news that

Jim's -- Jim, Michael's stepfather, has terminal

cancer and is dying. We were -- we were surprised

when Michael's reaction was one of numbness.

When Michael was released to our custody, he began to show signs of improvement. He got a Job at Wendy's, a local fast food restaurant, and was highly esteemed by his boss. And yet the family crisis was so severe, with Jim's fatal illness, that Michael seemed to be falling into more and more depression and expressed feelings of very low self esteem.

He ran away from home again, and we believe that he greatly felt the stress of Jim's bout with cancer. We think that because Michael was afraid of being sent back to Spring

MRippo-07058-R0803475

1.8

Mountain if he came home, Michael must have felt he had no choice but to hang around with what was a bad crowd. We think that he may have gotten involved with drugs at that time.

We have seen Michael as often as we have been able, since his arrest, and it is our absolute conviction that he is very emotionally disturbed. We do not know the cause or whether the problem is drug related or caused, or even if he is innocent or guilty. Regardless of his innocence or guilt, we know that he has serious emotional and mental problems.

Michael is accused of having committed a -- committed as helinous a crime as any one human being can do to another. We abhor the thought that he could, indeed, be guilty of such an act, but we could not be considered true parents if we did not love him and try to do what we could to sincerely help him.

We also feel that if Michael
is, indeed, guilty, society must be protected —
and even though restitution for such an act cannot
be made — the crime cannot be undone.

We do not feel that

MRippo-07058-R0903476

1.7

incarcerating a 16 year old boy as an adult in an adult penal system will do any good for the victim, society, Michael or any moral or ethical justice.

The only thing that would be gained would be the satisfaction of Michael -- of Michael's life permanently ruined as punishment for what he did.

Your Honor, you, of all people, know better than even we that an adult penal system will abuse, corrupt and most likely permanently damage any chance for rehabilitation Michael might have.

We do not live under the Mosaic law. If Michael is found guilty of rape and beatings, the punishment the Court would give will not be that Michael be raped and beaten; and yet that is probably what his sentence will be if Michael is remanded into the adult penal system.

We do not wish to sound as if we do — as if we too do not believe in the possibility of Michael's innocence. We only wish to discuss the possibility of the worst. If the worst should, indeed, be the case — Michael has told us that he does not even have a conscious memory of it and was under the influence of PCP

, ,	MRippo-87858-R0A83477	1	(
I	~3		

when it occurred.

Would you throw away Michael's whole life based on the drug induced act of a post-pubescent child?

Again, we say that this would not help the victim or society or any sense of justice. We would gladly see him incorcerated in an institution that could protect society while it helped him to repent and reconcile himself. We have heard of such places. We are not afraid for Michael to pay a price — only to pay with everything he has or ever will have.

God turns man's crimes and the machinations of Satan to his glory. We can only hope and pray for such a result for our son.

Michael, when we speak to him, is totally confused, and has expressed feelings that he's the cause of his stepfather's cancer, as well as his own plight. We feel worthless and that his --

MR. WOLFSON: Excuse me. He feels?

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.

MR. WOLFSON: Is that right?

THE WITNESS: He feels worthless and that

MRippo-97058-R0A93478

his life is worthless. We are somewhat fearful that he could take his own life. We think that some of this might be the results -- result of drug taking.

We feel very much alone in his defense. Although we have a wonderful court appointed attorney, Mr. Courtney — that's C-o-u-r-t-n-e-y — we feel that we are greatly outnumbered by the attitudes and the numbers of people who have jumped to a wrong conclusion that Michael is a hopelessly criminal person.

We know Michael better than anyone else in this world. We know with God's help, Michael can pull himself together. Michael has many talents and abilities which could make him a very useful and productive member of society and we know that Michael wants to be this type of person.

It Just -- it's Just that in his -- in this one short year, Michael has -- Michael had to face a lot of stress. He got mixed up with some bad companions, changed his thinking to match theirs, and then he did these wrong things.

O MRippo-07058-R0A03479 But we know Michael has many 1 good qualities. His thinking and his conduct can 2 be straightened out if only Michael could get the 3 help and guidance that we are ashamed that we have 5 not been able to give him. Judge Huffaker, we realize that 6 it is your calling to judge your fellow human 7 beings and we honor that. We know that you use all the mental and spiritual reasoning and inspiration q 11 at your command. We only ask that in reviewing our 10 11 son's case, the best, most creative solution be found, to benefit all involved, and if you can see 12 13 it in your heart to consider mercy in your 14 resolution of Michael's case. 15 In the end, we are his parents and are committed to the best thing for him and all 16 17 concerned, whatever that might be. 18 Our prayers will be with you, 19 whatever the outcome of Michael's case. 20 Sincerely, Jim and Carol 21 Anzini. MR. WOLFSON: Thank you very much, sir. 22 I have no further questions, 23 24 THE COURT: Redirect? 003474

59 MRippo-07058-R0A03480 MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 2 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON: Mr. Maroney, was Judge Huffaker the Judge 5 who made the decision regarding certifying Mr. Rippo be 6 7 prosecuted as an adult? I don't recall what judge was on the bench 8 at that time. 9 Well, you just read to us a letter directed 10 11 to Judge Huffaker. The letter was directed to him. Let me see. 12 There is no way I could know that. I -- there would need to 1.3 14 be an order. 15 MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness, 16 Your Honor? 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 BY MR. HARMON: I'm showing you an adult certification 19 Q 20 order. Do you recognize what that is? 21 22 Yes, I do. A If you refer to the report, will that 23 24 refresh your recollection regarding the name of the Judge?

			60	
悪		•	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
MRippo-87058-R0A03481	_			
%11 &	1		MR. DUNLEAVY: The report or the order?	•
-R096	2		THE WITNESS: It's the order.	
3481	3		MR. HARMON: Yeah, it is an order.	
	4	BY MR. HARMON:		
	5	Q	Will that refresh your memory regarding the	
	6	name of the judg	e	
	7	Α	Yes,	
	8	Q	who entered the order certifying Mr.	
	9	Rippo to be proc	eeded against as an adult?	
	10	A	Yes.	
	11	Q	Who was the judge?	
	12	Д	Judge Stephen Huffaker.	
•	13	a	On what date was the order entered?	
	14	А	The 18th of March, 1982.	
	15	Q	At that time, Mr. Rippo was what age?	
	16	A	He was 17, I believe.	
	17	Q	You indicated earlier that you didn't	
	18	remember for sur	e the date when Mr. Rippo escaped from the	
	19	Juvenile Court S	ervices.	
	20	А	That's correct.	
	21		MR. HARMON: May I again approach the	
	22	witness, Your Ho	nor?	
	23		THE COURT: Yes.	
	24	BY MR. HARMON:		
			003476	*

Q I	want to show you, Mr. Maroney, a
transcript of the	Juvenile court proceedings, which carries
the date Thursday	, March the 4th, 1982.

A Okay.

Q Will you refer to the remainder of the transcript and then tell us if it refreshes your memory regarding the date of the escape by Mr. Rippo?

A Yes, it does.

According to the report, the presentation I made to the Court, he escaped on March 4th, 1982.

Q In fact, did you indicate how long before the court hearing it was on that date that Mr. Rippo had escaped?

A According to the transcript, I did. It says:

Yes, Your Honor, I would like to request a continuance. Michael escaped from detention about an hour and a half ago. We have people out looking for him right now.

Q Was that your representation to Judge Huffaker?

A Yes.

Q I think you've indicated, as a result, a

1.2

week or ten days later then, he was brought to the court by one or both of his parents?

A Yes.

Q And, apparently, the certification order was issued on March the 18th, 1982?

A Yes.

Q Why did you recommend that a 17 year old be certified to stand trial as an adult?

A That was an extremely difficult decision.

1982, not many Juveniles were certified to adult status.

That was something that we just didn't do. Our attitude was that our young people need to be rehabilitated.

But Mr. Rippo's crimes, the fact that he just came out of an institution, as a --

Q Referring to the Spring Mountain Youth Camp?

A —— had intelligence, knows the difference between right and wrong, and he commits such a heinous offense —— and I knew that we couldn't take care of him at the Spring Mountain system, because at Spring Mountain, the average stay there was generally five months, five and a half months, which is what it is now, and we couldn't serve him. And he escaped from detention; he was on runaway status.

The violence, the fact that he

part of the reason why that recommendation was made. It's not a locked facility by any means.

3 4

But, at the age of 16, if one was committed Q

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3 14

15

16

Honor.

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

to that Elko institution, one could stay there until their 18th birthday, could they not, under law?

Under law, they could; but, in actuality, it doesn't occur. We don't have determinate sentences. We have indeterminate sentencing. So it's always up to the institution when they release.

Did Elko have any kind of counseling services on the part ---

A At that time, I don't believe they did. They do now.

MR. WOLFSON: No further questions.

MR. HARMON: No further questions, Your

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Maroney.

You are excused.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

THE COURT: All right. At this time, we'll take our lunch recess. We'll begin again at 1:45.

Remember: Do not discuss this

case among yourselves or with anyone else;

003483

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Read, watch, listen to any report or commentary on any subject connected with this case, by any medium of information, including, without limitation; or

Form or express any opinion on the case until the matter is submitted to you.

> (Whereupon, a recess was had in the proceedings, at the conclusion of which the following was had:)

23 24

1

Las Vegas, Nevada, Wednesday, March 13, 1996, 1:55 p.m. 1 2 3 THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the 5 6 presence of the Jury? 7 MR. SEATON: We do, Your Honor. MR. DUNLEAVY: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Call your next witness. 9 MR. SEATON: Jonna Triggs. 10 11 12 Whereupon, 13 JONNA TRIGGS having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and 14 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 15 16 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined 17 and testified as follows: 18 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 19 Will you state your name and -20 spell it for the record, please. 21 THE WITNESS: Jonna, J-o-n-n-a, Triggs, 22 T-r-i-g-g-s. 23 THE CLERK: Thank you. 24

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

	_	_
1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SEATON:	
3	Q	Miss Triggs, what is your occupation?
4	A	I'm a psychologist with the state.
5	Q	With the State of Nevada?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And for how long have you been employed in
8	that position?	
9	А	I've been employed as a doctor, a local
10	psychologist,	since 1984.
11	Q	And prior to that?
12	А	Prior to that, I worked at the Clark County
13	Juvenile Court	Services.
14	Q	In what capacity?
15	A	I was a psychologist.
16	Q	I see.
17		So you have worked either for
18	the County or	the State of Nevada for how many years?
19	A	Since 1981.
20	Q	Since 1981?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What is your education?
23	А	I have a Bachelor's in Arts from Penn State
24	and I have a M	aster's in Education and a Doctorate in
		003483

1	Education from the University of Nevada at Las Vegas.
2	Q And did you receive training as a
3	psychologist?
4	A I received training as a school
5	psychologist. I did not get licensed as such, but I did get
6	training as a school psychologist.
7	Q In February of 1982, were you working for
8	the County of Clark?
9	A '92 or 82?
10	Q 1982.
11	A Yes, Clark County Juvenile Court Services.
12	Q And what were your duties at that particular
13	time?
14	A My duties were to do psychological
15	evaluations of the people referred to juvenile court,
16	victims of abuse and neglect or delinquent behaviors. I was
17	assigned to the violent offender program.
18	Q In those days, if the District Attorney's
19	Office or whomever started the process if a defendant was
20	being certified up to District Court to be tried as an
21	adult, did you have any role in that?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	Q In what capacity?
24	A We evaluated individuals who were referred
	003484

1	for certification to determine if that was the appropriate	
2	course.	
3	Q	I want to show you what's been marked as
4	Defendant's Exh	ibit M.
5		Do you recognize this as a
6	certification r	eport; and do you recognize that particular
7	certification r	eport?
8	А	This looks like a court report.
9	Q	Do you see the name on the front?
10	A	Yes,
11	Q	And do you recognize that name?
12	A	Yes, I do.
13	Q	Did you have anything to do with the
14	certification o	f Michael Rippo?
15	Ā	I was one of three psychologists who did
16	perform that ev	aluation.
17	Q	Would you look to the very back of that
18	package of pape	ers I think it's the last three sheets
19	A	I found it.
20	Q	Do you see a psychological evaluation?
21	А	Yes, I do.
22	Q	And by whom was that prepared?
23	A	That was done by Dr. Eric Smith, Mr. Timothy
24	Boylan and me.	•

4	A The three of you worked in continetion with
1	Q The three of you worked in conjunction with
2	one another?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Three individuals did the psychological
5	review?
6	A Dr. Smith was the supervising psychologist;
7	Tim Boylan and I were associates; but in this particular
8	certification, the three of us worked tagether.
9	Q Why was that?
10	A Um, I can only speculate that certifications
11	were so rare at that time. I'd only be
12	MR. DUNLEAVY: I'd object to speculation,
13	Your Honor, even in the penalty phase.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	MR. SEATON: I'll withdraw the question.
16	BY MR. SEATON:
17	Q Were you aware of the charges that Mr. Rippo
18	was facing when you assisted in preparing that psychological
19	evaluation?
20	A Yes, I was.
21	Q And did you know the particulars of the
22	sexual assault charge that he was facing?
23	A I only knew the charges. I didn't know
24	the I didn't read the police report or if that's what
	003486

1	you are asking me.
2	Q That's what I was asking you.
3	Did the three of you interview
4	Mr. Rippo?
5	A Yes, we did.
6	Q That would be Eric Smith, Tim Boylan and
7	yourself?
8	A Yes.
9	Q When that interview took place, did he
10	indicate how he had been supporting himself?
11	A He indicated that he had been committing
12	residential burglaries to support himself.
13	Q Did he indicate anything about the number,
14	whether it was a few or a lot?
15	A There were multiple residential burglaries
16	that he indicated he had been performing to sustain himself.
17	Q During the period of time that you spoke
18	with him, regarding his crimes and his criminal background,
19	did he ever show any signs of remorse at all?
20	A None. I can remember none. As a matter of
21	fact, he showed pride in the crimes that he committed.
22	Q How do you mean he had showed pride?
23	A I remember one example where he indicated he
24	particularly liked to burglarize homes that had the bars on
	003487

RENEE SILVAGGIO. CCR 122 391-0379

ь	the windows, be	codse he liked to demonstrate sugs he coard	
2	get in, that no	one was really safe from him.	
3	Q	And he was talking to you, a psychologist,	
4	and was taking pride in that fact?		
5	А	That's correct.	
6	Q	Did he mention anything to you about an	
7	individual by t	he name of Norman Yara?	
8	A	I remembered it by re-reading this. It	
9	refreshed my me	mory.	
10	Q	All right.	
11	A	I did not independently recollect that.	
12	Q	And what do you once having your memory	
13	refreshed, what	do you recall about that incident?	
14	А	He volunteered that he supplied the gun for	
15	a Russian Roule	tte incident that had occurred the Christmas	
16	before.		
17	Q	Who had been playing Russian Roulette?	
18	A	The individual that you just named.	
19	a	Norman Yara?	
20	А	That's correct.	
21	Q	And had he, in fact, shot himself?	
22	А	That was my understanding.	
23	a	And Mr. Rippo indicated that he had supplied	
24	the gun?	•	
		003489	

1	A Right. If there were any any particulars
2	or unusual motor behavior. We saw none.
3	Q All right. How was his memory?
4	A Excellent.
5	Q Do you look for orientation in terms of how
6	they relate to what's going on?
7	A Yes. In that report, he was oriented the
8	time, place and person means he knew who he was, where he
9	was and why we were examining him.
10	Q Are you looking for particular mental
11	disturbances
12	A Yes.
13	Q when you interview someone in this
14	situation?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And were you looking for those when you
17	interviewed Mr. Rippo?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Did you notice anything in the way of a
20	mental disturbance on the part of Mr. Rippo?
21	A No. When we asked him those questions, like
22	do you hear things or see things, ruling out psychoses, we
23	came up with none. He had none of those indicators.
24	Q Are there a number of indicators which you
	003493

1	are checking fo	r?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Do you mention them in your report?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Could you just name a few of them for us so
6	we have a bette	r idea.
7	A	Well, his memory was intact. He had no
8	as I mentioned,	no hallucinations, no evidence of paranola,
9	no dillusions.	
10		He appeared to have average to
11	above average 1	ntelligence, and was not depressed, because
12	he didn't indic	ate that he was suicidal and he had made no
13	attempt. He ha	d good social skills; he related very well;
14	he had good cha	risma.
15	Q	He was how old at this time?
16	А	Sixteen.
17	Q	Sixteen years old?
18	А	Uh-huh.
19	Q	And he was talking to I take it, the
20	three of you we	re all adults?
21	A	That's correct.
22	Q	And he had no difficulty in relating to you?
23	А	Absolutely none.
24	Q	Did he do better than most 16 year olds do?
		003491

1	А	He interacted very well.
2		MR. DUNLEAVY: Is that a yes or no?
3		THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	BY MR. SEATON:	
5	Q	Did he express anything having to do with
6	his fears while	he was in the interview with you?
7	A	He didn't want to be in detention.
8	Q	He was afraid of being in detention?
9	A	He didn't like being in detention.
10	Q	All right. Did he did he express any
11	attitude about 1	the potential for being certified as an
12	adult, as appos	ed to being treated as a Juvenile?
13	`A	He was did not want to be certified to
14	adult status.	
15	a	He expressed that to you?
16	A	He was as I can recollect, that he was
17	concerned about	that.
18	Q	Were you aware that he had been sent to
19	Spring Mountain	?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	That's the youth camp?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And you were familiar with that institution?
24	А	Yes.
		003492

Well, based on his previous commitment to Spring Mountain and the apparent -- it had no effect on him.

003493

1	and the the type of crimes that he had been charged with,
2	we made the decision that we could serve him no longer in
3 ·	juvenile in the juvenile system and there was nothing
4	more we could do for him.
5	Q Over the years, have you done a lot of these
6	kinds of evaluations?
7	A At juvenile court, certification of adult
8	status was rare.
9	Q I'm Just talking about psychological
10	evaluations of Juveniles for whatever purpose.
11	A Oh, over the last 15 years, yes.
12	Q A lot?
13	A Hundreds.
14	Q Do you have an independent recall of Mr.
15	Rippo?
16	A Yes, I do.
17	Q Why do you have that independent recall of
18	someone 15 years ago?
19	A Like I said, certifications were rare. He
20	manifested no remorse, and it was it was a chilling
21	experience for me. I remember it quite well.
22	MR. SEATON: Thank you.
23	I have no further questions.
24	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
	003494

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. DUNLEAVY: 3 You've been doing these for 15 years, right? Q 4 А Yes. How many of them do you clearly remember? 5 Q I can't say that I remember, not very much. 6 Α 7 Q Pretty unusual? 8 A To remember? 9 Uh-huh. Q 10 Yes. Now, you indicated that you had never seen 11 12 the police reports on the crime? 13 No, I did not. Α 14 Who actually wrote this report? 15 (Indicating) The three psychologists listed dictated the 16 the report to the secretary of Dr. Smith, and she took it in 17 18 shorthand; so the three of us combined dictated that report. 19 Now, you have details in here about the type Q 20 of the crime, that she would have been bound, right? 21 A Yes. 22 That her hair had been cut? 23 Yes. A 24 Q Where did you get that information, if you

1	never saw the police reports?
2	A All I can assume is that the other two
3	psychologists had read the police reports. I didn't.
4	Q Is it valid psychological criteria to assume
5	other people have information and that it's reliable?
6	A I don't remember. It's 15 years ago. I
7	really don't remember, but I don't think I read the police
8	report.
9	Q When the State asked you, your memory was
10	very good on this case.
11	A I remember the man.
1.2	Q As long as the State asked the questions?
13	A That's not correct.
14	Q Never saw the police report?
15	A I don't remember having seen the police
16	report.
17	Q Now, in your evaluation of whether or not
18	someone has mental problems, do you think it's important to
19	look at what kind of activity he was engaged in?
20	A Yes.
21	Q So wouldn't that say you should look at the
22	police report?
23	A I knew the charges. I didn't know the
24	details of the sexual assault. And that was the question.
	003495

1	Q Did you ever see the letter written by his
2	parents relating to this certification?
3	A No, I didn't.
4	Q Did you ever look at the discussions the
5	parents had with the judge when he first went to Spring
6	Mountain?
7	A No, I did not.
8	Q Are you aware that they indicated they
9	thought he has mental problems and needed help?
10	A No, I don't.
11	Q Did you look at any reports or evaluations
12	done on him while he was at Spring Mountain?
13	A I had nothing to do with him while he was at
14	Spring Mountain.
15	Q So the answer is no, you didn't look at
16	those reports?
17	A The answer is no.
18	Q How much time would you actually spend with
19	Michael Rippo?
20	A During the interview?
21	Q Vh—huh.
22	A Do you want me to guess?
23	I I have no independent
24	recollection of the time.

		* '.		82
	•			
1	Q	Days?		
2	A	No.		
3	Q	Hours?		
4	A	An hour perhaps	5.	
5	Q	An hour.		
6		Ar	nd you indicated he had an	
7	above average	IQ or above avera	ge intelligence?	
8	A	Well, the sente	ence it says in the last	
9	part of this r	eport: A high ge	eneral mental capacity would	
10	suggest average	e or above averag	je.	
11	Q	Did you give hi	im an IQ test?	
12	A	No.		
1.3	Q	Did you give hi	im the M.M.P.I.?	
14	A	No.		
15	Q	Is there a whol	le battery of test that can b)e
16	given to look	for problems?		
17	А	Yes.		
18	Q	And how many of	f those tests were given?	
19	А	Only two.		
20	Q	Out of how many	y ?	
21	А	Hundreds.		
22	a	Did you think	that some of the activities	
23	described in t	he document, here	e as to how this crime went	
24	about, could b	e relevant?		
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2	A No. Q Hours? A An hour perhaps Q An hour. Are above average IQ or above average A Well, the sente part of this report: A high get suggest average or above average A No. Q Did you give hit A No. Q Did you give hit A No. Is there a whole Given to look for problems? A Yes. Q And how many or A Only two. Q Out of how many A Hundreds. Q Did you think it described in the document, here	A No. A An hour perhaps. A An hour perhaps. A An hour. And you indicated he had an above average IQ or above average intelligence? A Well, the sentence — it says in the last part of this report: A high general mental capacity would suggest average or above average. A No. A No. Did you give him an IQ test? A No. Did you give him the M.M.P.I.? A No. Is there a whole battery of test that can be given to look for problems? A Yes. A No Only two. A Only two. A Use of how many? A Hundreds. A Did you think that some of the activities described in the document, here as to how this crime went

MR i		
مر MRippo-07058-R0A03504	1	A Relevant to what?
)58-R0	2	Q His mental status.
9228H	3	A I don't know.
-4-	4	Q Did you give any thought to why he would
	5	have put mittens on?
	6	A To hide his fingerprints?
	7	I don't know.
	8	Q Why he would ask how big her boyfriend was?
	9	A Because Mr. Rippo was shart in stature?
	10	I don't know.
Ł	11	Q Did you give that consideration?
4	12	A To what?
	13	Q His mental state.
	14	A Those weren't questions that I asked during
	15	the Mental Status Exam.
	16	Q Were you aware that the victim had told him
	17	that her boyfriend was due at about eight o'clock, between
	18	eight and nine?
	19	A I do remember that being part of the
	20	information that we had.
	21	Q And that was about 7:40 in the morning?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And Mike's response was to unplug the clock.
	24	Do you think that could
		003493

1	indicate anything?
2	A I don't know.
3	Q That after he had cut her clothes off, he
4	put a tube top on her.
5	A I don't know what significance that has.
6	Q Did you give it any consideration at all?
7	A No.
8	Q Didn't think there was anything strange
9	about that?
10	A (No audible response.)
11	Q Have you handled a lot of sexual assault
12	cases?
13	A At that time or now?
14	Q Now.
15	A I have had some.
16	Q How many of them, in the middle of the
17	sexual assault, does the perpetrator stop and dress the
18	victim?
19	MR. SEATON: Judge, I'm going to object to
20	the form of that question. There is no evidence before this
21	Jury that the defendant dressed the victim. She asked for a
22	tube top. He let her have it.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	MR. DUNLEAVY: Your Honor, the testimony was

1	her hands were tied behind her back and the tube top was put
2	on.
3	THE COURT: The Jury will remember the
4	testimony.
5	BY MR. DUNLEAVY:
6	Q Did you give that any consideration?
7	A No.
8	Q Were you aware that he repeatedly asked her
9	whether or not she wanted to have sex?
10	A No.
11	Q Were you aware that the victim said that she
12	wasn't sure if penetration had ever accurred?
13	A No.
14	Q What about that he supposedly explored her
15	vagina with a pen, a writing instrument?
16	A I didn't read the details of the sexual
17	assault report.
18	Q And could those kind of things give you any
19	insight of what his mental state might have been?
20	A It could have.
21	Q What kind of treatment did the Juvenile
22	system have in 1982 for mentally disturbed offenders?
23	A What they typically did, if they were truly
24	mentally disturbed, was to transfer them over or order them
	003594

1n1	to	the	Division	of	Child	and	Family	Services,	then	known
as	Me	ntal	Hygiene	and	Mento	il Re	etardati	on.		

Q Now you caveated that with truly mentally disturbed.

What was the criteria in 1983 to be found truly mentally disturbed?

A There were a variety of ways back then. If someone in detention felt that an individual exhibited behaviors that were just unusual or abnormal, they would ask either the psychology unit or the Children's Behavioral Services to assist in making those determinations.

Q So if the counselor in a module said, gee, this person is acting strangely -- for instance, he's been counseled not to do certain things, but he continues to do them, they can refer it for further evaluation and psychiatric treatment?

A That's correct; psychological. I don't believe we had a psychiatrist at the Juvenile court at that time.

Q What about Elko; did they have psychiatric facilities?

A They have Elko Mental Health, which is close to the Nevada Boys Training Center in Elko, but it is not my understanding that Elko, the training center, has a

T	psychiatrist. They do have a full-time psychologist, to the
Z	best of my understanding.
3	Q Now, you indicated that one of the criteria
4	you looked at was the fact he had already been to Spring
5	Mountain?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Were you aware that he was never put on
8	probation; he went straight to Spring Mountain?
9	A No.
10	Q Were you oware that the recommendation was
11	for probation, but he was sent to Spring Mountain anyway?
12	A No.
13	Q Were you aware that his parents asked him to
14	be sent to Spring Mountain?
15	A No.
16	Q Have you reviewed the certification reports?
17	A All I reviewed was the psychological that we
18	did 15 years ago.
19	Q I'd like you to look at page 12 of that
20	initial report.
21	A I've got it.
22	Q What does it mean when someone is
23	institutionalized?
24	A That he's used to being in an institution, 003503

whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined 1 2 and testified as follows: THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 3 4 Would you state your name and 5 spell it for the record, please. 6 THE WITNESS: James, J-a-m-e-s; Keough, 7 K-e-o-u-g-h. 8 THE CLERK: Thank you. 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. HARMON: 12 Q Mr. Keough, what is your business or 13 occupation? 14 I'm a retired parole and probation officer, 15 polygraph examiner, investigator. Right now, I have an 16 active license in California. My Nevada licenses are in 17 abeyance. 18 Did you, for a number of years, work with 19 the State of Nevada Adult Parole and Probation Department? 20 Α Yes, I did. 21 Q How many years were you employed by that 22 agency? 23 Α I believe five years and two months. 24 During April, 1982, were you a parole and Q 003505

1	probation officer with the State of Nevada?
2	A Yes, sir, I was.
3	Q Was this also in the adult system?
4	A Yes, it was.
5	Q Did you have occasion to conduct a
6	presentence investigation in April, 1982 regarding a subject
7	1dentified as Michael Damon Rippo?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	Q What was your purpose, Mr. Keough, in
10	conducting the investigation?
11	A My purpose was to arrive at a recommendation
12	for the Court, a departmental recommendation to the Court;
13	and that would have been in a presentence report.
14	Q Prior to the matter having been referred to
1.5	your office, and specifically to you, had the defendant, Mr.
16	Rippo, entered pleas of guilty to two felony crimes?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q What were the offenses to which he had
19	entered pleas of guilty?
20	A Sexual assault and robbery.
21	Q And burglary?
22	A Or burglary, sorry.
23	Q Were there two separate cases?
24	A Yes, there was. 003507

1	Q	Did the sexual assault offense relate to
2	Case Number 573	88?
3	A	Would that be the parole and probation
4	department numb	er or the court number?
5	Q	That would be the court number.
6	A	Yes, sir.
7	Q	Did the burglary offense relate to Case
8	Number 57389?	
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Do you either have a recollection or have
11	records that ca	n refresh your memory regarding the Judge
12	before whom the	pleas of guilty were entered?
13	. А	This would be Judge Guy.
14	q	In Department XI of the Eighth Judicial
15	District Court?	
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	Q	As part of your presentence investigations
18	on these matter	s, did you make an effort to contact victims
19	involved in bot	h the sexual assault and the burglary?
20	Α	Yes, I did.
21	Q	Were both of those felony crimes in this
22	state?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Burglary and sexual assault?
	· ·	003509

punishment?

1.	A Yes, I did.
2	Q Were you actually present at the time of the
3	sentencing?
4	A Yes.
5	Q In your presentence report that related to
6	the sexual assault case, Case Number 57388, did you include
7	in the report a typewritten version of the defendant's
8	statement which was submitted to the Department of Parole
9	and Probation?
10	A Yes. The secretary would have typed the
11	statement and included it, regardless of whether it was
12	handwritten or typed.
13	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,
14	Your Honor?
15	THE COURT: You may.
16	BY MR. HARMON:
17	Q Mr. Keough, the clerk has just marked a
18	two-page document as State's proposed Exhibit 120.
19	Are you, by examining the
20	document, in a position to tell us what it reflects?
21	A This would be the defendant's statement
22	prior to his sentencing.
23	Q Are you referring to page one of proposed
24	Exh1b1t 120?
	003510

	i de la companya de	
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Does this appear to have been extracted from
3	your presentenc	e report?
4	A.	Yes, I believe it is.
5	Q	Does it appear to be page five?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Have you had a chance to examine proposed
8	Exhibit 120 wit	h page five of your presentence report
9	prepared back i	n April 1982?
10	А	Yes, I have.
11	Q	Is page one of proposed Exhibit 120 true and
12	correct?	
13	А	I'm certain that it's exactly the same.
14	Q	In fact, after you had made the comparison,
15	did you initial	proposed 120 in the lower right-hand corner?
16	. А	Yes.
17	·	Direct your attention to the second page of
18	proposed Exhibi	t 120.
19		Does this appear to be an
20	extract that is	the first paragraph from page six of your
21	presentence rep	ort?
22	A	Yes, I'm sure it is.
23	Q	And is the first paragraph of page six, that
24	is, as it is re	flected on page two of proposed Exhibit 120.
		003511

1	true and accurate?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What was the date that you formally prepared
4	the two presentence reports in the burglary and sexual
5	assault cases?
6	A It appears that I was almost late. It would
7	have been the 22nd, because the report the Jail interview
8	was the 22nd. The report was dated the 23rd.
9	Q Were your presentence reports completed on
10	or about April 23rd, 1982?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Is that the date they both bear?
13	A Right.
14	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
15	proposed Exhibit 120.
16	MR. WOLFSON: Is that Just his statement,
17	Mr. Harmon, or is it the entire P.S.I.?
18	MR. HARMON: No, it's just page five of the
19	statement of the defendant, and the first paragraph of page
20	six.
21	MR. WOLFSON: Thank you. I have no
22	objection.
23	THE COURT: 120 will be admitted.
24	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

120 was admitted into evidence.)

MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. HARMON:

In addition to the statement submitted by Q the defendant, did you conduct any type of oral interview with Michael Rippo?

Yes. I had an interview at the Jail, A probably early morning of the day I dictated the report.

Did you go over any materials with him at Q the time you conducted the oral interview?

I went over everything that was available to me. If I had his written statement, I went over that, over at the Jail, or the police report, the victim statements, and so on.

When you went over the police reports with Ω him, did he express any agreement or disagreement with the police reports?

He recalled -- or he didn't recall putting the -- putting the coat hanger around the victim's neck. He remembered tying her with the robe, tying her up, but he -he tied her face -- or her hands to the front of her face. I don't recall with what.

> Did he indicate, during the oral interview, Q

1	anything to you about the use of nunchuks?
2	A He acknowledged striking her, but said that
3	it was only twice specifically.
4	Q Did he indicate whether he took anything
5	from the area of the crime scene?
6	A The automobile; he took the victim's
7	automobile.
8	Q Did Mr. Rippo say why he took the car?
9	A I don't honestly recall. I oh, I he
10	was the victim, for whatever reason, insisted that he
11	take it. I'd have to make an assumption to know that
12	reason.
13	Q Well, I'm showing you again Exhibit 120,
14	and the second page.
15	Did you indicate, back at the
16	time of the report, that he took her auto when she tearfully
17	asked him to?
18	A Yes, that's it.
19	Q Did Mr. Rippo tell you whether he had
20	actually achieved penetration of the sexual assault victim?
21	A I remember that clearly. He he admitted
22	only that he had touched the — I'm not sure of the
23	technical term the perimeter; that he had not entered the
24	victim's vagina.
	003514

1	Q Regarding Case Number 57389, which involved
2	burglary, did you determine whether the insurance company
3	had reimbursed the burglary victim for any loss?
4	A Yes. I was unable to contact the victim;
5	and I reached the insurance company, and they said that they
6	had paid 803 I believe it was \$803, in satisfaction of
7	this claim; and that another 1300, a collection of some
8	sort. I don't recall if it was watches or records.
9	Q Mr. Keough, to your recollection, was the
10	burglary a business or residential burglary?
11	A Residential, 'cause I recall he smeared an
12	egg on the wall. I assume it was residential.
13	Q By he, you mean the defendant?
14	A Right.
15	Q Regarding the exact figures, just for
16	accuracy, I'm showing you what does appear to be a copy of
1.7	your presentence report in the burglary case.
18	Is it reflecting, at the bottom
19	of page four, that the amount paid by the insurance company
20	was \$803.44?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And there was apparently \$1300 in loss
23	A That they denied.
24	Q that was not covered by the insurance
	003515

1	policy?	
2	A	That's right. They denied the claim. They
3	failed to pay.	
4	Q	On what date were the sentences imposed?
5	А	The day after I dictated it. As I say, I
6	was running lat	e for the court.
7		MR. HARMON: May I again approach the
8	witness?	
9		THE COURT: You may.
10	BY MR. HARMON:	
11	Q	I'm showing you, Mr. Keaugh, what appears to
12	be a copy of th	e transcript of the sentencing proceedings.
13		By examining the cover sheet of
14	the transcript,	does that refresh your memory regarding the
15	actual date of	sentencing?
16	А	Right. I recall that it must have been
17	continued, beco	use it seems to me the original sentencing
18	date was pretty	close to when I dictated the report.
19	Q	According to the transcript, was the actual
20	sentencing date	April the 27th, 1982?
21	А	Yes, right.
22	Q	Was it Judge Guy who imposed the sentence?
23	А	Yes, sir, Judge Addeliar Guy.
24	Q	Were you present at the time the sentences
		003518

1	were imposed?	
2	A	I was.
3	Q	Was Mr. Rippo, the defendant, there?
4	A	Yes, he was.
5	Q	Did he have counsel?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Was there a deputy District Attorney also
8	present?	
9	А	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Regarding the sexual assault case, Case
11	57388, what was	the punishment imposed by Judge Guy?
12	A	Life with possibility of parole.
13	Q	Regarding the burglary charge, Case 57389,
14	what was the pur	nishment?
15	A	That was five years concurrent, at the same
16	time, to be serv	ved
17	Q	Five years to be run concurrently with the
18	life with possib	pility of parole?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Imposed as to the sexual assault case?
21	A	Yes,
22	Q	And I think you've indicated concurrently
23	means the senter	nces ran at the same time?
24	A	Yes, sir. 003517

1	Q	Not separately?
2	А	Uh-huh; yes.
3	Q	Did you determine whether the defendant had
4	been on any typ	e of parale status at the time he committed
5	the burglary or	d the sexual assault crimes?
6	A	Yes. He records Juvenile hall
7	juvenile court	records at the hall reflect that he had a
8	parole officer,	but I was never able to contact him.
9	Q	Do you recall now, independently of the
10	record, from wh	at facility the parole grant had been given
11	the defendant?	
12	А	It was youth parole.
13	q ·	I want to direct your attention to page four
14	of your present	ence report, the paragraph identified as
15	parole adjustme	nt.
16		If you look at that, will that
17	refresh your me	mory regarding the parole status?
18	А	Yes, that does.
19	q	From what facility had the defendant, Mr.
20	Rippo, been par	oled?
21	А	Spring Mountain, the youth camp at Mount
22	Charleston.	
23	Q	And had he been paroled in August 1981?
24	А	Yes; yes, sir.
		003518
	I	

1	Q	Specifically, August the 26th, 1981?
2	A .	Yes, sir.
3	Q	So is it correct that he was still subject
4	to that parole :	status at the time he committed burglary and
5	sexual assault?	
6	A	I would say so.
7	Q	Do you recall if you had direct contact
8	during your pre	sentence investigation with the sexual
9	assault victim,	Laura Martin?
10	A	I had telephone contacts; they were by
11	phone.	
12	Q	Did you determine from her the extent of
13	injuries she ha	d suffered?
14	A	She related that she had stitches, two black
15	eyes, and bruis	es on her body.
16	Q	Do you recall indicating, in your report,
17	that she had nu	merous stitches due to lacerations on her
18	head?	
19	A	Yes,
20	Q	Do you remember if there was any mention by
21	her of the need	for psychological counseling?
22	A	Yes. She indicated that.
23	Q	Did she express any fear as a result of what
24	had happened to	her?
		n03513

1	A She most certainly did.	
2	Q What did she tell you?	
3	A That she was afraid of him; that she just	
4	more or less felt that he was I got the impression she	
5	felt that he was just acting crazy. That was it, as I	
6	recall.	
7	Q Did she tell you that she felt extreme fear?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Did she further describe the defendant being	
10	a very sick individual?	
11	A That was her feeling, yes.	
12	MR. HARMON: Thank you.	
13	That concludes direct.	
14	THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Wolfson?	
15		
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. WOLFSON:	
18	Q Mr. Keough, good afternoon.	
19	A Good afternoon to you, Mr. Wolfson.	
20	Q The exhibit, which has been admitted, is a	
21	typed document that was prepared by Michael Rippo, isn't it?	
22	A It was submitted with his name on it. I	
23	assume he may have had some help with it. I don't know.	
24	MR. WOLFSON: Do you have the exhibit?	
	003523	

1	
_	

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

1.7 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

MR. HARMON: It's right here.

MR. WOLFSON: Thank you, sir.

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit Q 120, which is a two-page document, which you've identified as Michael Rippo's statement ---

That's correct.

Q -- that is the statement that he provided to you to include in your report that goes to the judge?

Right. He would either have given it to me at the Jail interview or he would have sent it through the mail from Metro.

Okay. But you have no reason to believe Q that he wasn't the author of this document, do you?

> No, no, no, no. A

And it's very common, is it not -- in fact, isn't it required by law that for every presentence report that goes to a judge for sentencing, that a defendant be provided with an opportunity to address the court in the form of a written statement?

> A Yes.

It's not unusual?

Α No, no. We expect it.

Very common, very normal, happens in almost

1	every case in this courthouse every day?
2	A We expect it.
3	Q Okay, And Michael Rippo cooperated by
4	providing you with that statement, did he not?
5	A I feel he did, yeah.
6	Q What division of the probation department
7	were you in back in April of 199 or 1982?
8	A Presentence report unit.
9	Q And isn't it true you were assigned to a
10	particular court or set of courts at that time?
11	A No. I I had no particular court or set
12	of courts. I was took what I got and
13	Q Okay. And how many presentence reports
14	would you prepare on a weekly basis?
15	A Um, it's difficult to say. It's easier to
16	say on a monthly basis. I mean, perhaps a minimum of
17	perhaps 16 and a maximum ordinary times, a maximum of
18	perhaps 28.
1.9	Q Twenty-eight?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Per month?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Did you have a relatively heavy case load or
24	a light case load back then?
	003522
	I/

1	A I would have to say it would have been
2	pretty much a routine case load. They were heavier than
3	пом.
4	Q They were heavier then than they are now?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And did you actually go over and visit
7	Michael in the jail for your one-on-one interview?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	Q And you sat down in a jail cell with Michael
10	for this interview?
11	A In an interview room, right.
12	Q Now, your interview of Michael, that is a
13	separate thing from his written statement, is it not?
14	A Yes, it is.
15	Q His written statement is his own product,
16	versus your interview with him is your give and take of
17	information; is that right?
18	A Right.
19	Q Did you record this interview?
20	A You mean tape record it?
21	Q Yes.
22	A No, no. I just took notes as it went along.
23	Q Now, when you took notes, you used those
24	notes, in part, to write your entire report, did you not?
	กลวราช

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Let me ask you to look to Exhibit Number
3	120, please.
Ħ	A Surely.
5	Q That was Exhibit 120, please.
6	A Surely.
7	Q Did Michael deny his involvement with the
8	burglary and sexual assault?
9	A No.
10	Q He admitted it, didn't he?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did Michael say whether or not he was under
13	the influence of any drugs when he committed the offense?
14	A In his written statement, he indicated that
15	he was under the he felt he was under the influence of
16	phencyclidine, which had been added to a marijuana cigarette
17	a few hours earlier prior to the incident.
18	Q Didn't he, in fact, say, quote: A couple of
19	hours earlier, I had smoked a joint, laced with angel dust
20	for the first time?
21	And I'm directing your
22	attention to about 20 lines down from the beginning of his
23	statement.
24	A I don't I have floaters in my eye.
	803592

		10
	•	
1	Q.	I didn't hear you.
2	A	I have floaters in my eye. They kind of
3	Q	Okay.
4	А	Okay, I have it.
5	Q	Did he, in fact, say that?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Did you know what angel dust was?
8	. А	Yes, I did.
9	Q	What is angel dust?
10	А	Phencyclidine.
11	Q	And what is phencyclidine?
12	A	It's PCP or illegal drug. It's a
13	Q	Do you have any background or knowledge of
14	what types of ei	fects a person who takes PCP might
15	experience?	
16	А	Different different people in different
17	situations have	given me different accounts of how it
18	influenced them.	
19	Q	Why don't you tell us about some of them.
20	А	Same just indicated that it made them to
21	use their vernac	cular goofy; Just not their own their
22	own selves.	•
23	Q	What about others?
24	· A	Some said that they become mean and some
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2 A 3 Q 4 A 5 Q 6 A 7 Q 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 Q 12 A 13 Q 14 what types of effections have a situations have a situation have a

A

22

23

24

And it would depend on the quantity. Some had to be gaffled up with special rigging so they wouldn't break their own legs, someone else's. From what you know right now about PCP, Mr. Keough, is it a mild drug or a more serious drug? It's considered serious certainly. Didn't Michael also say that, in fact, quote: I guess I -- he said stated, but he probably meant started -- I guess I started hitting her because I was Did he use the word That was the thing that struck me --Well, first answer my question. Did he use the word Yeah, he surely did. He misspelled it, but he used it; came close. You were going to say something about --Q

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

Oh, I was going to say that he was

1	nativalinating because his girlfriend left him or something,
2	as I recall; something of that sort.
3	Q Did Michael express any remorse for his
4	crime, in this statement? (Indicating)
5	A In the statement, he did
6	Q In fact, didn't he say, well, they are
7	excuse me. He says: I have nothing but remorse for what I
8	did, not only to her, but to everyone else I may have hurt.
9	A I don't recall.
10	Q Well, isn't it in there, Mr. Keough?
11	A Yes, it is, yes.
12	Q Do you have your full P.S.I. in front of
13	you, Mr. Keough?
14	A Yes, I do.
15	Q Would you or could I ask you to refer to
16	page six of it, please.
17	A Which one?
18	Q Of the sexual assault.
19	A Right.
20	Q Did you include a section under narcotics in
21	this presentence report?
22	A I did.
23	Q Do you have it there?
24	A I'm getting to it right here, Yes.
	003527

1	Q Whose language is that in this section under	
2	narcotics? Is that Michael's writing or is that your	
3	writing?	
4	A That would be my dictation.	
5	Q Did you say something in this report about	
6	what Michael said about the effect or sensitivity that the	
7	phencyclidine may have had on him?	
8	A Well, he seemed to be of the opinion that he	
9	was particularly sensitive to it.	
10	Q What did he say?	
11	A He felt certain that he is particularly	
.12	sensitive to phencyclidine.	
13	Q What else did he say?	
14	A And that being under the influence of that	
15	substance contributed directly to his sexual assault of the	
16	24 year old female victim.	
17	Q Did you attempt to have a conversation with	
18	Michael's parole officer from Spring Mountain?	
19	A I'm sure I did, because I indicated that I	
20	was unable to connect with him.	
21	Q Right. On page four of your report, didn't	
22	you state: Efforts to obtain an interview with his,	
23	Michael's, parole officer had been unsuccessful?	
24	A Yes.	
	กกรรวย	

1	Q Now, that's a parole officer that works for
2	the same probation and parale department that you worked in
3	back then?
4	A No, sir.
5	Q Is parole officers
6	A That's a separate and distinct agency.
7	Q Well, Juvenile services, right?
8	A I believe so. I'm not
9	Q But, I mean, it's
10	A It's over my
11	Q You were a parale or probation officer?
12	A Right.
13	Q And you couldn't get in touch with another
14	State of Nevada parole officer?
15	A I was not able to at that time.
15	Q How much time and effort did you make to try
17	and get in touch with that officer?
18	A I would imagine I called and left a message
19	and then followed up with another call perhaps and perhaps
20	another message.
21	Q And who was his parole afficer back then, do
22	you recall?
23	A I don't recall,
24	Q Finally, you wrote an evaluation in your
	l '

1	presentence report, did you not?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	Q And that's contained on page seven?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And you gave the opinion that this
6	individual, meaning Michael, was very personable, seems
7	rather bright, and possesses the ability to communicate
8	effectively.
9	You stated that, dld you not?
10	A Yes, sir, I did,
11	Q What do you base that on?
12	A I base it partly on his written statement, a
13	lot of misspelled words, but there were a lot of reports
14	that would suggest that he was brighter than the average
15	Q All right.
16	A that passed through my office.
17	Q Did you also base this opinion on your
18	interview of him?
19	A Yes.
20	Q How did you end your evaluation?
21	Could you please read that to
22	the jury, in other words, the next sentence?
23	A Hopefully he will benefit from the
24	therapeutic measures, counseling services,
	003530

1	Q	You've been asked a number of questions on
2	cross-examinati	on about Exhibit 120
3	А	Yes,
4	Q	the statement of the defendant.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Is that a statement which would have been
7	prepared by him	after he entered pleas of guilty
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	to sexual assault and burglary?
10	Α	Immediately upon receiving a referral from
11	the court, generally the next morning, I would stop by the	
12	jail I did most of my Jail business in the morning and	
13	leave it for hi	m.
14	Q	Would the defendant be made aware that this
15	statement was b	eing prepared in connection with a
16	presentence inv	estigation?
17	A	Yes, sir. There was a cover letter or form
18	for that.	
19	Q	And, therefore, that any statement he made
20	is something th	at his sentencing judge would be considering
21	at the time of	the formal rendition of sentence?
22	A	I'm sure.
23		MR. HARMON: Thank you.
24		That's all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

4

BY MR. WOLFSON:

Mr. Keough, back in 1982, can you give us an estimate of how many presentence reports you wrote for offenders that were found guilty or pled guilty to crimes that carried possible life sentences?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- That would be pretty hard to do. А
- Is this the only one you did --Ġ
- No.
- -- a P.S.I. on?
- 13 No.
 - Isn't it true that it's quite common for a person who is found guilty, whether by jury or by guilty plea, of a crime that carries a possible life sentence, to, in fact, receive a recommendation from the probation department for a life sentence?
 - No.
 - So if I brought in somebody from the probation department who has the statistics on people who were found guilty of sexual assault, where the possibility was five to life, your opinion is that it was uncommon to get a recommendation from the probation department for a

003533

RENEE SILVAGGIO, CCR 122 391-0379

1	life sentence?
2	A It's easier for me to answer it the other
3	Way.
4	It would be uncommon to receive
5	less than the maximum sentence. Half of them or what?
6	Q I'm talking about a recommendation from the
7	probation department
8	A Okay.
9	Q not what the person gets in court.
10	A Okay,
11	Q I'm talking about the recommendation from
12	the probation department.
13	It was common, on a sexual
14	assault case, for P and P to recommend life, wasn't it?
15	A I don't believe so. I'd have to say no.
16	Q I don't have
1.7	A I have to think about it a little longer
18	than the other, because the other was easy. I know we don't
19	always, but generally.
20	MR. WOLFSON: I have no further questions.
21	MR. HARMON: Nothing further, Judge.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Keough.
23	You are excused.
24	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
	002524

(Whereupon, the witness 1. was excused.) 2 THE COURT: Call your next witness, please. 3 4 MR. HARMON: Howard Saxon. 5 6 Whereupon, HOWARD LEE SAXON 7 having been called as a witness by the Plaintiff and 8 having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the 9 whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined 10 and testified as follows: 11 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 12 Would you state your name and 13 14 spell it for the record, please. THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Howard Lee 15 16 Saxon; S-a-x-o-n. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. HARMON: 19 Mr. Saxon, what is your business or 20 Q 21 occupation? I'm a state parole and probation officer. 22 How long have you worked as a state parole 23 and probation officer? 24

1	A Approximately ten years, ten months.
2	Q With whom are you employed?
3	A The State of Nevada Division of Parole and
4	Probation.
5	Q Were you employed in that capacity in
6	February and March, 1992?
7	A Yes, I was.
8	Q During that period of time, did you become
9	involved in the supervision of a subject identified as
10	Michael Damon Rippo?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q Do you recall what the offense was, in
13	connection with your supervisory duties regarding Mr. Rippo?
14	A Yes, Mr. Rippo was a parolee for sexual
15	assault.
16	Q Do you either independently recall or do you
17	have records that you can refer to to indicate what the date
18	was of Mr. Rippo's parole on his conviction for sexual
19	assoult?
20	A Yes, I do. I have a copy of Mr. Rippa's
21	parole agreement.
22	Q What was the date of his parole?
23	A Mr. Rippo was granted parole on October
24	24th, 1989.
	99353s

1	MR, HARMON: Your Honor, may I approach the
2	witness?
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	MR. HARMON: You just referred, Mr. Saxon,
5	to having a copy of the parole agreement.
6	I'm showing you now what the
7	clerk has marked as proposed Exhibit 121.
8	(Whereupon, as requested by counsel, Plaintiff's Exhibit
9	121 was marked for identification.)
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q Do you recognize what proposed 121 is a copy
12	of?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q What is it?
15	A It's a copy of Mr. Rippo's parole agreement.
16	Q Is it a document which reflects a signature
17	by the parolee, Michael Rippo?
18	A Yes, it is.
19	Q Is proposed Exhibit 121 a true and accurate
20	copy of the original parole agreement?
21	A Yes, it is,
22	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
23	proposed Exhibit 121.
24	MR. DUNLEAVY: No objection, Your Honor
	003537

3		•
รั อู 1 0	1	THE COURT: 121 will be admitted.
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	2	(Whereupon, State's Exhibit 121 was admitted into
183543	3	evidence.)
11	ц	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
	5	BY MR. HARMON:
	6	Q In the parole agreement, which is now before
	7	the Court as Exhibit 121, are certain terms and conditions
	8	set forth that the parolee must comply with if he is to
	9	satisfy the conditions of his parale?
	10	A Yes, they are.
	11	Q Was there a condition that related to
	12	employment?
	13	A Yes, there was yes, there is,
	14	Q What is that condition, Mr. Saxon?
	15	A That is Condition 4; and 1t's also addressed
	16	in in a special condition of parale, which is special
	17	which is Condition 13.
	18	Q What were the terms of the parole agreement
	19	regarding employment of the defendant?
	20	A Rule 4 reads: Employment and/or program:
	21	Yau shall seek or maintain employment or maintain a
	22	program approved by the Department of Parole and
	23	not change such employment or program without with
	24	first obtaining permission; 003538

And Rule 13: Special

conditions of your parole: Special Condition 2 reads: Maintain steady employment.

Q In Exhibit 121 of the parole agreement, is there also a condition that relates to narcotic drugs and/or alcohol?

A Yes, there are two special conditions -- are two conditions that refer to that.

Q What are those conditions?

A Rule 6: Intoxicants: You shall not -you shall not drink or partake of any alcoholic
beverage -- in Mr. Rippo's case -- to excess.

Upon request by any parole or peace officer, you shall submit to a medically recommended test for blood alcohol content.

Failure to submit shall constitute a violation of your parole. Test results of ,1 on blood alcohol or higher shall be sufficient proof of excess.

And Rule 8: Narcotics, reads:

You shall not use, purchase nor possess any narcotics drug, nor any dangerous drug unless first prescribed by a licensed physician.

You shall submit to narcotics or drug testing as required by any parole officer.