ORIGINAL

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2

3

1

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

世24 位25

26

BRIAN KERRY O'KEEFE,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Case No. 53859

District Court Case No. C250630

MAY 2 9 2009

## **MOTION FOR WAIVER OF APPLICATION OF NRAP 3C** AND REQUEST FOR FULL BRIEFING

COMES NOW Appellant, BRIAN O'KEEFE, by and through his attorney, DAVID M. SCHIECK, Special Public Defender, and JONELL THOMAS, Deputy Special Public Defender, and hereby requests that this Honorable Court exercise its discretion and waive the application of NRAP 3C and the Fast Track Criminal Appeals rules, thus allowing O'Keefe to complete a full briefing.

This Motion is based upon the Points and Authorities attached hereto.

DATED this 27° day of May, 2009.

DAVID M. SCHIECK SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

PHOM2 ada Bar #47

0 S. Third St./8th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265

(0) years plus a consecutive term of two hundred forth (240) months with a

## POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Appellant BRIAN O'KEEFE (hereinafter referred to as O'Keefe) was convicted of Second Degree Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon. Even though the instant case involves a category A felony, it is subject to NRAP 3C, the Fast Track Criminal Appeal Process, as D'Keefe was sentenced as follows: maximum twenty-five (25) years with a minimum parole

MAY 29 2009

ERK OF SUPPLEME COURT

09-13383

1

SPECIAL PU DEFEND

CLARK COUNTY

NEVADA

minimum parole eligibility of ninety-six (96) months for the use of a deadly weapon; with one hundred eighty-one (181) days credit for time served.

## NRAP 3C(a) reads as follows:

This Rule is applicable to an appeal from a judgment or order of a district court entered in a criminal or post-conviction proceeding commenced after September 1, 1996, whether the appellant is the State or the defenda nt. A proceeding is commenced for the purposes of this Rule upon the filing of an indictment, information, or post-conviction application in the district court. The Supreme Court may exercise its discretion and apply this Rule to appeals arising from criminal and post-conviction proceedings that are not subject to this Rule. Unless the court otherwise orders, an appeal is not subject to this Rule if:

- (1) the appeal challenges an order or judgment in a case involving a category A felony, as described in NRS 193.130(2)(a), in which a sentence of death or imprisonment in the state prison for life with or without the possibility of parole is actually imposed, or
- (2) the appeal is brought by a proper person defendant or petitioner.

O'Keefe was convicted of a category A offense but his case is not automatically excluded from Fast Track treatment under Rule 3C(a) because he did not receive either a sentence of death or imprisonment in the state prison for life with or without the possibility of parole. Although O'Keefe was not sentenced to life in prison, that was a possible sentence, and an 18-45 year sentence to a 45 year old man is, in all likelihood a life sentence. This was prosecuted as a First Degree Murder Case, therefore the trial issues and evidence were more complicated than a standard felony prosecution. Given the nature of the case, the jury selection process must also be reviewed in greater detail, requiring additional time to cull out only the necessary portions of the record on appeal. There were over 300 photographs admitted into evidence due to the Hepatitis C contamination of the physical evidence; and the joint decision of the State and Defense to not require the biologically dangerous items to be brought to court will require additional time within the evidence vault in the Courthouse to determine what exhibit photographs should be included. The medical and psychological records of the deceased, being offered but denied, must be compared closely to the actual testimony at trial, not just for overall prejudice.

O'Keefe's case is a complicated case. Treatment under the Fast track rules with a 10 page 1 limit would be unduly restrictive and burdensome in this particular case. The 10 page limitation 2 could not provide this Court with sufficient information to adequately review the record. 3 Therefore, it is respectfully requested that this Honorable Supreme Court waive the 4 requirements of Rule 3C and allow the parties in this appeal to present a full briefing of the 5 issues presented. 6 DAVID M. SCHIECK 7 SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 8 9 10 11 Neyada Bar #477, 12 330 S. Third St.,**′**8th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 13 (702) 455-6265 14 **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** 15 The undersigned does hereby certify that on the <u>11</u> day of May, 2009, I deposited in the 16 United States Post Office at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the foregoing Motion, postage 17 prepaid, addressed to the following: 18 19 Nevada Attorney General District Attorney's Office 200 Lewis Ave., 3rd Floor 100 N. Carson 20 Carson City NV 89701-4717 Las Vegas NV 89155 21 22 An employee of The Special Public 23 nder's Office 24 25 26 27 28

SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER