

FILED

JUN 17 2009

GARY LYNN Lewis
PO Box 607 #47615
CARSON CITY NEVADA
89702

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY H. Ingese
DEPUTY CLERK

In the Supreme Court of Nevada

GARY LYNN Lewis
Petitioner

NSC # 54000
District Court # C129829

VS

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DIST CT.
Honorable Judge Michelle Leavitt
IN/for Clark County Nevada et al
Respondant

"First Amendment Petition"
Writ of Certiorari AND/OR
Writ of Mandamus / Prohibition
NRS Chap 34 et seq 28 USC 2254 AND
NRC P 59(e) 60(b) FRCP 59(e) 60(b)

Petitioner GARY L Lewis, in Pro-se, files this Petition for Review by Petition for Writ of Certiorari AND/OR Writ of Mandamus AND/OR Prohibition NRS CHAPTER 34 et seq 28 USC 2254

This Petition filed Pursuant to Haines v Kerner 404 US 519, 520 (72)
"Pro-se Litigant's entitled to be liberally Construed"

The Honorable Judge Michelle Leavitt Denied Local Review AS time barred, in the EIGHTH Judicial District Court June 2, 2009

The Petitioner was Convicted of a Crime on a date that WAS NEVER CHARGED in the first instance AND never informed of the Nature AND Cause of ANY AND ALL Accusations Against him
NRS 173.075(1) USCA 6.14

The Review is based upon ALL documents, files Papers, Records AS Court is Requested to take Judicial notice thereof. File 201 NRS 47.130 47.170 Attached hereto

Dated June 14 2009.

GARY L Lewis
GARY L Lewis #47615



09-15051

Procedural History

Petitioner GARY LYNN Lewis, was charged by way of information, in Clark County Nevada, on August 15, 1995, with Sexual Assault with a minor under sixteen years of age, while serving a Probation Violation in Case number C122079. The initial Arraignment was held Aug. 16, 1995 and Petitioner was represented by Public Defender Robert D. CARUSO. #1631. He waived the "60 DAY Rule".

ON JANUARY 31, 1996, at Calender Call, Tereasa Lowry the State's Prosecutor did not bother to show up for the hearing, it was waived, and later recalled, the State was to prepare a transport order for March 20, 1996 as Petitioner was in NDOC custody. Petitioner represented by PD Robert Caruso at that hearing.

At the March 20, 1996, Calender Call, the Court vacated the March 25, 1996 trial and reset issue in ordinary course stating Defendant previously "waived 60 DAY Rule". MR. Caruso, then requested transfer of custody to Clark County Detention Center.

The Calender Call Hearing before Judge A. William Mouri, on 6/12/1996, the State files a "Amended Information" that charged Defendant Lewis with 1 Count, "Sexual Assault" (A Felony). A Violation of NRS 200.364, 200.366 in Case number C-129824X. The State presented a Plea Agreement, devoid of any details except the Amended Information number C129824. The Court had Defendant sign the Plea Agreement, and ordered him transferred back to Jean Prison to serve his Probation Violation in Case # C122079. The Judge agreed and ordered it so.

At Sentencing, hearing July 24, 1996, the defense Counsel Robert D. Caruso, told the Court that the Defendant

was in fact illiterate, and just transported from Jean Nevada Prison, and needed time to read PSI Report to him. Court ordered matter continued. Defendant Remanded to Clark County Detention Center Pending Sentencing. So ordered, matter continues to July 31, 1996.

Public defender Stephen M Immerman, Represents the defendant, at the July 31, 1996 hearing as Mr Coruso, was not available and continued matter to August 2, 1996.

At Sentencing hearing August 2, 1996, the Judge ADJUDGED defendant GUILTY of Sexual Assault. in addition to \$2500 Administrative Fee, defendant Sentenced to Nevada Department of Corrections for a term of Life with the Possibility of Parole to be served "Concurrently" with Case # C122079. He Receives 0 DAYS Credit for time served, and Remanded to Jean Nevada NDOC.

(A) Judicial Notice

Petitioner files this Judicial Notice Pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 47.130-47.170. Fed Rule 201

Fed Rule 201, NRS 47.130(2)(b) Scope of the Rule, Kinds of facts

A JUDICIALLY NOTICED fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) Generally known within the territorial Jurisdiction of the Trial Court or (2) Capable of Accurate and Ready determination by resort to sources whose Accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.

Fed Rule 201(d) NRS 47.150(2)(b) "When Mandatory?"

A Court shall take Judicial notice, if requested by a party, and supplied with the necessary information.

Fed R evid 201(e) Nev Rev Stat 47.160 "Opportunity to be heard."

A Party is entitled to be heard as to the Propriety of taking JUDICIAL notice AND the tenor of the matter to be noticed (in relevant Part)

Fed R evid 201(f) Nev Rev Stat 47.170 "Time for taking notice"

JUDICIAL notice may be taken at ANY Stage of the Proceeding:

* (B) The Court is being Asked to Notice that the Actual JUDGMENT of Conviction, does not ComPLY with NRS 176.105, which States under subsection(d) that:
(1) the exact Amount of Credit Granted for time Spent in Confinement before Conviction.

The JUDGMENT Shows the Sentence is to Run Concurrent with C122079, but does not State "the exact Amount"

(2) While the Petitioner was Present on August 2, 1996, at a hearing to hear the Judge A William MAUPIN, orally Pronounce his Sentence, the Actual ORDER itself was not Signed until Several days Later, August 13, 1996, even then the Sentence was not final until AUGUST 14th 1996, when it was filed by the Clerk.

(A) this Violated the defendant's due Process RIGHT to be Sentenced by the Judge, with His defense Counsel Present as well AS himself, (FRCP 43) NRS 178.388 NRS 178.397 the RIGHT is Based on the due Process RIGHT to be Present at Sentencing, See Brewer v Raines 670 F2D 117, 118, 119 (9th Cir 82) See also Foretha v California 472 US 806, 819, 95 SCT 2525, 2533 (WIS) (1975)

It is well Accepted that the defendant has the RIGHT to be Present at Sentencing, where his absence must Frustrate the Fairness of the Proceedings. (USCA 5, 14) AS well AS the 6th AND 14th Amendment, RIGHT to effective Assistance of Counsel

at Sentencing. See e.g.: Memph v Rhay 389 US 128, 133, 88
SCT 254256 (1967) Compare US v Green 680 F2D 183, 188 (DC Cir 82
Cert denied 459 US 1210 (1983)

Here the Petitioner was Actually Sentenced by the Clerk of
the Court, because although the Judge Signed the document
on August 13, 1996 only when filed by the Court Clerk
on August 14th 1996 did the Judgment of Conviction become
final. NRS 176.105 Miller v Hayes 95 Nev 927, 929 604 P2D 117, 118
(1979) See also State of NM v Watchman 111 NM 727, 730, 809
P2D 641, 644 (CT App 1991)

The Petitioner was Sentenced "in Absentia", without a
knowingly and intelligently made Waiver. US v Cochran
770 F2D 850, 851 (9 Cir 85) Farrow v US 580 F2D 1339, 1355 (9 Cir 78
See also Johnson v Zerbst 304 US 458, 464, 58 SCT 1019, 1023
(1938)

(B) Had Defense Counsel been Present, with a
Judge, as well as the Prosecutor, it stands to reason
someone would have realized that the Judgment Signed
Convicted Mr Lewis of a Crime on a Date that
was never charged in the information thus Violating
his US Constitutional Rights, to be Sentenced by
a Judge, in a Courtroom, with his defense Counsel Present
as well Petitioner, and to Address the Government as to
that defective Judgment. US Constitutional Amends 1, 3
4, 5, 6, 8, 14. See NRS 34, 726 (1A)

The Petitioner is entitled to have his Judgment Corrected
as to the Date of the Crime he was Convicted of, and the
Correct Presentence Confinement Credits. Johnson v State 120 Nev 2

(C) Pursuant to NRS 176.033 the Judgment does not contain
a "minimum Sentence" as required by Statute. (176.033(1A))
CF NRS 34, 500 (1-6)

(C)(1) Petitioner was Denied his Constitutional Right to effective assistance of Counsel at the entry of Plea, and Sentencing, in Violation of his 5, 6, 8 & 14 Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, As well as the Nevada Constitution.

Petitioner Gary Lynn Lewis, Avers his Counsel, in this matter, Appointed by Clark County Nevada, Robert D CARUSO, was denied his Civil Rights under 6102 or Authority, (18 USC 241, 242) (in Conjunction with the Clark County District Attorney's office, represented by William D Kephart, # 3649, Teresa Lowey # 3901, Jennifer TobLiatti # 511 Melanie A Tobiasson # 4515) as explained hereafter.

The Question of whether a defendant has received ineffective assistance of Counsel at trial in Violation of the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of US Constitution is a mixed Question of Law and Fact. And is thus Subject to independent review State v Love 109 Nev 1136, 1138, 865 P2D 322, 323 (1993) The Court Should review a Claim of ineffective Assistance of Counsel under the reasonable effective Assistance Standards enunciated by the US Supreme Court in Strickland v Washington And adopted by the Nevada Court's in Warren v Lyons 100 Nev 430, 683 P2D 504, 510 (1984) See also Dawson v State 108 Nev 112, 115, 825 P2D 593, 595 (1992) Under this two Prong test, a defendant who challenges the advocacy of his or her's Counsel's representation must show (1) that Counsel's Performance was deficient and (2) that the defendant was Prejudiced by this deficiency Strickland v Washington 466 US 687 (1984)

(C)(2) Counsel was ineffective, in failing to seek a Ruling of Jurisdiction, in the Justice Court on a Felony Complaint, of which the Court cannot rule.

(A) The Prosecutor in this matter filed a Criminal Felony Complaint, with the Justice Court in Clark County Township, Alleging Sexual Assault, A Violation of NRS 200.364, 200.366.

The Jurisdiction of the Justice Court's is limited to Misdemeanor offenses, and even if it has Jurisdiction, it cannot proceed by a Criminal Complaint by Nevada Law, it must be by "Information or indictment" See NRS 172.015, 173.015, 173.025.

In 1978, the Legislature, Affixed the Jurisdiction and Amended the Nevada Constitution Art 6 § 6, Art 6 § 8. while the District Court has Jurisdiction, it was lost, when the Prosecutor proceeded by "Criminal Complaint" in the District Court (See index Statement).

The "Criminal Complaint" was valid, in the District Court until August 15th 1995, and Petitioner was legally charged under that Complaint from July 10 1995 until August 15, 1995. The Court did not have Jurisdiction, Cf. 4.370 of Nev Rev Statute

Petitioner was denied Due Process, Equal Protection, right to Fundamental Fairness at trial, and ineffective Assistance of Counsel, in violation of his 5th 6th 8th 14th Amendments of US Constitution the Justice Court never has Jurisdiction to proceed in this matter.

(B) the ORIGINAL INFORMATION was filed August 15, 1995 in a untimely manner See NRS 173.035(3) NRS 174.511, NRS 178.551 AND 18 USC 3161(b) Under Nevada Law, A Individual must be charged by information or indictment within 15 days of arrest. The Federal Statute listed above is a bit more relaxed.

Any Information or indictment charging an individual with Commission of an offense shall be filed within 30 DAYS from the date on which such individual was arrested or served a summons in connection with those charges.

Under the Speedy Trial Act of 1974, 18 USC 3162(a) it states:

if in the case of any individual against whom a Complaint is filed charging such individual with an offense, No Indictment or Information is filed within the time limit of 18 USC 3161(b) or as extended by 18 USC 3161(h) (which doesn't apply here) of this Chapter, Such Charge Against that individual contained in the Complaint shall be dismissed or otherwise dropped (in relevant part)

(C) The Amended information filed June 12, 1996 was barred by the Statute of Limitations as it has inherited the clock of the original information AND even then.

There was a fatal Variance between the Conviction Judgment of Conviction, and the information listed within the Criminal Complaint, and the subsequent Criminal Information ultimately filed August 15, 1995 AND the Amended Criminal Information filed on June 12, 1996.

(D) The Judgment of Conviction states that:
Wherein on the 12th Day of June 1996. The defendant GARY LYNN Lewis, APPEARED before the Court herein

with his Counsel, and entered a Plea of Guilty to the Crime of Sexual Assault "Category A" Felony Committed on the 9th Day of July 1996, in Violation of NRS 200.364, AND NRS 200.366. (in relevant Part)

This is Complete Fraud, AND there is no Such Plea Agreement filed in this matter.

Under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 60(b) the Court may relieve a Party from the final Judgment or Proceeding for the following reasons:

FRCIVP 60(b)(3) "Fraud" whether "intrinsic or extrinsic" misrepresentation or misconduct by the opposing Party.
"emPHASIS Added"

Petitioner moves this Court for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, Pursuant to 28 USC 2254 NRS 34, etse. AND for Declaratory Judgment 28 USC 2201, 2202.

(C)(3)

Appointed Counsel was ineffective, for failing to raise the Jurisdictional Limits of Court had been exceeded in this matter. Denying Due Process, Equal Protection, Right to Redress Government for Grievances, And allowing without objection, Court to Violate Separation of Powers. Denying Fundamental Fairness at trial, in Violation of US Constitutional Amendments 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 14

The Court, Allowed the Prosecutor to file a Criminal Complaint alleging a felony charge, Counsel should have known that the Prosecutor was exceeding his Statutory Jurisdiction.

The Nevada Law, States in NRS 172.015, 173.015 NRS 173.015 States Generally "ALL Charges are to be by "Information or indictment."

IF the Counsel in this matter had investigated the Nevada Revised Statutes he was hired to defend, he would have discovered, that the "Statutory Provisions" Cited above did have different factual contexts.

"To Hold otherwise, would render every Statute A Chameleon" the meaning of the words in a Statute cannot change with the Statutes Application See:

CLARK V MARTINEZ 543 US 371, 125 S Ct 716 (2005)

ADDITIONALLY the "CRIMINAL COMPLAINT", the first information, And the Second Amended, ALL list the Crime, AS CHARGED AS being JULY 10, 1995. Yet

The Petitioner was Convicted of a Crime on July 9th 1996. "There was No Charge on this Date" AND it constitutes A Violation of due Process. to take the Liberty for a offense without Notice, AND A MEANINGFUL OPPORTUNITY to defend" Jackson v Virginia 443 US 307, 314, 99 SCT 2781 (1979) CF: Strone v United States 361 US 212, 217 80 SCT 270 (1960) (noting that A Court Cannot Permit A defendant to be tried on charge not made in a indictment Against him) Cole v Arkansas 333 US 196, 201, 68 SCT 514 (1948) holding that SPECIFIC notice of a Charge, AND chance to be heard in a trial of issues by that Charge, if desired Are Among the Constitutional RIGHTS of every Accused in A Criminal Proceeding in ALL Courts State or Federal. CF JACKSON v Gibson 169 F3D 1239, 1252 (10 CIR 99) "A CHARGING instrument MAY Violate the Sixth Amendment by failing to Provide A defendant with Adequate notice of the Nature and Cause of the Accusations filed Against him. See also Jones v Smith 231 F3D 1227, 1233 (9 CIR 2001) noting the difference between a Constructive Amendment and A Mere Variance."

ADDITIONALLY Because the Judge entered A Judgment in this Case, it operates AS Acquittal of the charged offense, AND Can operate AS Actual Collateral estoppel Covered within the Double Jeopardy Clause of the 5th 14th Amendments, of US Constitution.

The Court's finding operated AS Resolution Correct or Not of the charged offense AND Acquittals by Judge Can be Gauged by the Same Standards AS A Jury Smalis v Pennsylvania

476 US 140, 145, 106 SCT 1745 (1986) See also Smith v Massachusetts 543 US 462, 125 SCT 1133, 1134 (2005) CF: Fong Foo v United States 369 US 141, 143 82 SCT 671 (1962)

Our SYSTEM Mandates that to be found Guilty of a Crime, a defendant must be charged AND convicted ACCORDING TO Proper legal Procedures AND STANDARDS Wilson v US 250 F2d 312, 324 (9 CIR 58) The Defendant in this Present Case, was denied that RIGHT. He was "Acquitted" by the JUDGE of the "Information Charged", & convicted of something He was never charged with.

The Sixth Amendment Provides that: (in relevant part that in Criminal Prosecutions the Accused shall enjoy the RIGHT to be informed of the nature and Cause of the Accusation (USCA 6, 14) in this regard, the Due Process Clause, and the Sixth Amendment Provide essentially the same Protection to defendants Fowcett v Bablitch 962 F2d 617, 618 (7 CIR 92)

Petitioner was denied effective Assistance of Counsel, in failing to Review the Judgment of Conviction, and not the Court Aware that it was not legal AND Binding, AND in Violation of the Law. See ABA STANDARDS for Criminal Justice, the defense function STANDARD §§ 1.1(6) (Role of the defense Counsel) 3.2 (Interviewing the Client) AND (4.1) Duty to investigate.

The Petitioner was Denied effective Assistance of Counsel at trial, Denied Due Process, EQUAL Protection RIGHT to Fundamental Fairness, Cruel and Unusual Punishment, Slavery AND involuntary Servitude, AND Loss of Liberty without lawful Due Process in Violation of 1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14 Amendments of US Constitution

(C)(4) Counsel was ineffective, in failing to have a mental evaluation performed on his client in that his client has a low IQ, and cannot read or write.

In this matter, there was whole sale violation of Petitioners RIGHTS UNDER Color of Law, in violation of due process.

The Attorney before Sheparding his Client, should have had a mental evaluation performed, as his client is of low IQ, illiterate to the point he cannot read or write, and Counsel kept it quiet until the PSI Report was prepared See Court minutes

Counsel also had a fundamental Duty to advise him of weaknesses in the States Case, and failure to do this renders his Plea involuntary. Marshall v State 540 So2d 921 (FLA APP 1989)

Defense Counsel was ineffective for failing to investigate Petitioners background, or present any mitigating evidence whatsoever in this case at sentencing. See Wiggins v Smith 539 US 510, 522, 123 SCT 2527 (2003) CF: Clark v Mitchell 425 F3d 270, 284 (6th Cir 2007)

Counsel, was ineffective in this regard, as his client could not defend himself, and had no ability to understand the Plea Agreement presented, in violation of due process, equal protection, right to conflict free counsel that amounted to conspiracy with state to convict a mentally challenged individual, with charges, he was never charged with on the date alleged which violated..

his 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14 US Constitutional Amendments

(D) Because the State is entitled to one and only one opportunity to require an ACCUSED to stand trial, and the charges, (where a information was filed are then subject to Statute of Limitations) the Conviction of a Second Uncharged offense Violates Double Jeopardy, Due Process, equal Protection and Fundamental Fairness at trial, Due to Cumulative Errors, Gross Misconduct by the Prosecutor and the systematic deprivation of Petitioner right to effective Assistance of Counsel. in Violation of his 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14 US Constitutional Amendments (18 USC 241).

The Original Charges, once the Statute of Limitations has Run, AND Conviction of a Second Uncharged offense, Amounts to Denial of Right to Redress Government for Grievances, Government intrusion in his Personal Life (USCA 3) Unlawful Seizure, (USCA 4) Violation of Due Process, equal Protection Life and Liberty, without due Process of Law, (USCA 5) Counsel was ineffective for failing to Appeal the Judgment, when it was ILLEGAL facially, (to the extent amounting to Cruel and Unusual Punishment, (USCA 8) by Power not within the Governments Lawful Jurisdiction, (USCA 9) Amounting to Slavery AND involuntary Servitude at the expiration of time to Prosecute July 10th 1995 Crime Charges (USCA 13) MADE APPLICABLE to the States, by

the 14 Amendment Due Process Clause, of the Federal Constitution.

Here the Proper Procedure, leading to a Conviction were not followed. The Purpose of the Nevada Revised Statutes, is to deter State Actors from using their Badge of Authority to deprive individuals of their Federally Guaranteed RIGHTS, AND to Provide relief in the Federal forum, when the State deterrence fails. Wyatt v Cole 504 US 158 112 SCT 1827, 1830 (1992)

There was no Information filed as to the July 9th 1996, Conviction, nor was there a trial yet he was convicted. This Amounts to Slavery and Involuntary Servitude, and Government intrusion into his Personal Life without Due Process of Law. in Violation of his 1st, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 13, 14 Amendments of the US Constitution

Here Cumulative Errors Warrant Reversal.

To Show Prejudice the Claimant must Show a reasonable Probability that but for Counsel's errors the result of the trial would have been different Kirksey v State 112 NEV 980, 987 (N8) 923 P2D 1102, 1107 (1996) citing Strickland v Washington 466 US 668, 687 104 SCT 2052 (1984)

On the issue of the Judgment alone there is a reasonable Probability that the result of the trial would be different, the Cumulative impact of the trial Counsel's deficiencies Prejudices Petitioner Cooper v Fitzhugh 586 F2D 1325, 1333 (9th Cir 1978) (en banc) Court denied 440 US

974, (1979) MAK v BLODGETT. 970 F2D 614 (9CIR92) See also
HARRIS by and through RAMSMEYER v WOOD 64 F3D 1432 (9CIR9

Petitioner Gary Lewis is entitled to Release.

The Petitioner is entitled to issuance of the Writ of Habeas Corpus, and on evidentiary hearing, wherein the State Claims it does not have Jurisdiction in the Habeas Corpus Petition, that Cannot be farther from the truth (See Attached minutes 2-25-2009.)

The entire Point of the habeas Corpus Petition that challenges a State Conviction is to re-litigate issues that were or were not raised in the State Case and resolves Against the Petitioner WAINWRIGHT (V) SYKES 433 US 72, 87, 97 S Ct 2497 (1977) @ (NL obviously then Res Judicata, in the traditional Sense, has no application in the Habeas Corpus Arena.

This Petitioner Raised the issues under the First Amendment NRS 34.185 Supported by Judicial notice, that by NRS Statute Can be heard at ANY Stage of the Proceeding NRS 47.130 47.170 et seq. in fact, under the exhaustion requirement the Habeas Petition must afford the State a full and fair opportunity to Address and resolve the Claim on the merits Keeney v Tamayo-Reyes 504 US 1, 10 112 S Ct 1715 (1992)

The Petitioner has made factual Allegations, and is entitled to an evidentiary hearing under NRS 34.770 Means v State 120 NEV ADV REP 101, 103 P3D 25 (2004) Where a defendant makes a colorable Claim of ineffective Assistance of Counsel and there has not been an evidentiary Hearing on the Claims, the Court of Appeals must remand to the district Court for a evidentiary hearing. Smith v McCormick 914 F2D 1153 (1990).

The Writ of Habeas Corpus should be Granted and or an evidentiary Hearing held in this Matter.

Conclusion

taken as a whole Petitioner was denied his RIGHT to effective Assistance of Counsel at Sentencing, to be Present with a Judge, and Sentenced by the Judge on the DAY of his Appearance. AS well AS the RIGHT to be Present. The Court denied Fundamental Fairness, Due Process and equal Protection.

"In the Instant Matter Reversal is Warranted"

Dated March 14, 2009

Gary Lynn Lewis
#47615

* AFFIDAVIT OF GARY LYNN LEWIS *

Carson City Nevada

Carson County.

Being Duly Sworn and Deposed Says:

That I am Petitioner, I am Aware of all the issues. AND have attached true and Unredacted copies of documents to comply with Judicial notice requirements

Under Penalty of Perjury NRS 208.165 28 USC 1746

Dated March 14, 2009

Gary Lynn Lewis
#47615

Certificate of Service

I Gary Lynn Lewis do swear Pursuant to NRCMP 5(b)
I Placed a true and correct copy of "First Amendment
Petition" into institutional mail, for delivery to the US
Postal System Postage Paid First Class, Address to the
following:

- (1) EIGHTH JUDICIAL DIST COURT DH: DIST COURT CLERK
200 E LEWIS AVE LAS VEGAS NEVADA 89155
- (2) DISTRICT ATTORNEY DAVID ROGER
(Same as above)

The Undersigned affirms Pursuant to NRS 239 B.030 this
document contains No Social Security Numbers

March 14, 2009

Gary L Lewis
#47615

1 STEWART L. BELL
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
2 Nevada Bar #000477
200 S. Third Street
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4711
4 Attorney for Plaintiff
THE STATE OF NEVADA

FILED

AUG 15 10 04 AM '95

Luetta Lucerne

CLERK

6 I.A. 8/16/95
9:00 a.m.
7 PD

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

10 THE STATE OF NEVADA,
11 Plaintiff,
12 -vs-
13 GARY LYNN LEWIS,
14 #1302110,
15 Defendant.

CASE NO. C129824
DEPT. NO. VII
DOCKET NO. P

INFORMATION

17 STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
18 COUNTY OF CLARK)

19 STEWART L. BELL, District Attorney within and for the County
20 of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the
21 State of Nevada, informs the Court:

22 That GARY LYNN LEWIS, the Defendant, having committed the
23 crime of SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE
24 (FELONY - NRS 200.364, 200.366), on or about the 10th day of July,
25 1995, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary
26 to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and
27 provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,
28 did then there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually

CE11

CE31

1 by inserting his penis into the anus of the said LARENZO RICHIE-
2 BORRELL, against his will.

3 STEWART L. BELL
4 DISTRICT ATTORNEY
5 Nevada Bar #000477

6 BY *Teresa M. Lowry*
7 TERESA M. LOWRY
8 Deputy District Attorney
9 Nevada Bar #003901

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23 CERTIFIED COPY
24 DOCUMENT ATTACHED IS A
25 TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
26 OF THE DOCUMENT ON FILE

27 2007 OCT -4 P 2 12

28 *Chaf*
CLERK OF THE COURT

DA#/95-129824X/ajc
LVMPD DR#9507100130
S/A - F
(TK3)

1 STEWART L. BELL
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
2 Nevada Bar #000477
200 S. Third Street
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4711
4 Attorney for Plaintiff
THE STATE OF NEVADA

—FILED IN OPEN COURT—
JUN 1 2 1996

6
7 DISTRICT COURT
8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
LORETTA BOWMAN,
By *Lori Brown*

9 THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C129824X
10 Plaintiff,) DEPT. NO. VII
11 -vs-) DOCKET NO. P
12 GARY LYNN LEWIS,)
13 #1302110,)
14 Defendant.)
15)

AMENDED
I N F O R M A T I O N

16 STATE OF NEVADA)
17) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

18 STEWART L. BELL, District Attorney within and for the County
19 of Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the
20 State of Nevada, informs the Court:

21 That GARY LYNN LEWIS, the Defendant, having committed the
22 crime of SEXUAL ASSAULT (FELONY - NRS 200.364, 200.366), on or
23 about the 10th day of July, 1995, at and within the County of
24 Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of
25 statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and
26 dignity of the State of Nevada, did then and there wilfully,
27 unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject LARENZO
28 RICHIE-BORRELL, to sexual penetration, to-wit: anal intercourse,

ORIGINAL

1 STEWART L. BELL
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
2 Nevada Bar #000477
200 S. Third Street
3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4711
4 Attorney for Plaintiff
THE STATE OF NEVADA

—FILED IN OPEN COURT—

JUN 12 1996

19

LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK

DISTRICT COURT

By Lori Brown
Deputy

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

9 THE STATE OF NEVADA,
10 Plaintiff,
11 -vs-
12 GARY LYNN LEWIS,
13 #1302110,
14 Defendant.

CASE NO. C129824X

DEPT. NO. VII

DOCKET NO. P

16 GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO ALFORD DECISION

17 I hereby agree to plead guilty, pursuant to North Carolina v
18 Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970), to: SEXUAL ASSAULT (FELONY - 200.364
19 200.366), as more fully alleged in the charging document attache
20 hereto as Exhibit "1".

21 My decision to plead guilty by way of the Alford decision
22 based upon the plea agreement in this case which is as follows:

23 The State retains the right to argue at the rendition of
24 sentence. The State will not oppose concurrent time between this
25 case and Case No. C122079X. RECOMMEND 120C
C.L.L. TL

26 CONSEQUENCES OF THE PLEA

27 By pleading guilty pursuant to the Alford decision, it is
28 desire to avoid the possibility of being convicted of more offenses

AMENDED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK

BY Lori Brown, Deputy

JUN 12 1996

CE19

1 or of a greater offense if I were to proceed to trial on the
2 original charge and of also receiving a greater penalty.
3 I understand that my decision to plead guilty by way of the Alford
4 decision does not require me to admit guilt, but is based upon my
5 belief that the State would present sufficient evidence at trial
6 that a jury would return a verdict of guilty of a greater offense
7 or of more offenses than that to which I am pleading guilty to.

8 I understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty by way
9 of the Alford decision are that I will be imprisoned for a period
10 of LIFE, with the possibility of parole; or twenty-five (25) years;
11 with a mandatory minimum of ten (10) years being served before I am
12 eligible for parole. I understand that the law requires me to pay
13 an Administrative Assessment Fee.

14 I understand that, if appropriate, I will be ordered to make
15 restitution to the victim of the offense to which I am pleading
16 guilty and to the victim of any related offense which is being
17 dismissed or not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement. I will
18 also be ordered to reimburse the State of Nevada for any expenses
19 related to my extradition, if any.

20 I understand that I am not eligible for probation for the
21 offense to which I am pleading guilty.

22 I understand that if more than one sentence of imprisonment is
23 imposed and I am eligible to serve the sentences concurrently, the
24 sentencing judge has the discretion to order the sentences served
25 concurrently or consecutively.

26 I also understand that information regarding charges not
27 filed, dismissed charges, or charges to be dismissed pursuant to
28 this agreement may be considered by the judge at sentencing.

1 I have not been promised or guaranteed any particular
2 sentence by anyone. I know that my sentence is to be determined by
3 the Court within the limits prescribed by statute. I understand
4 that if my attorney or the State or both recommend any specific
5 punishment to the Court, the Court is not obligated to accept the
6 recommendation.

7 I also understand that the Division of Parole and Probation
8 will prepare a report for the sentencing judge prior to sentencing.
9 This report will include matters relevant to the issue of
10 sentencing, including my criminal history. This report may contain
11 hearsay information regarding my background and criminal history.
12 My attorney and I will each have the opportunity to comment on the
13 information contained in the report at the time of sentencing.
14 Unless the District Attorney has specifically agreed otherwise,
15 then the District Attorney may also comment on this report.

16 WAIVER OF RIGHTS

17 By entering my plea of guilty pursuant to the Alford decision,
18 I understand that I am waiving and forever giving up the following
19 rights and privileges:

20 1. The constitutional privilege against self-incrimination,
21 including the right to refuse to testify at trial, in which event
22 the prosecution would not be allowed to comment to the jury about
23 my refusal to testify.

24 2. The constitutional right to a speedy and public trial by
25 an impartial jury, free of excessive pretrial publicity prejudicial
26 to the defense, at which trial I would be entitled to the
27 assistance of an attorney, either appointed or retained. At trial
28 the State would bear the burden of proving beyond a reasonable

1 doubt each element of the offense charged.

2 3. The constitutional right to confront and cross-examine ar
3 witnesses who would testify against me.

4 4. The constitutional right to subpoena witnesses to testif
5 on my behalf.

6 5. The constitutional right to testify in my own defense.

7 6. The right to appeal the conviction, with the assistance o
8 an attorney, either appointed or retained, unless the appeal i
9 based upon reasonable constitutional jurisdictional or othe
10 grounds that challenge the legality of the proceedings and excep
11 as otherwise provided in subsection 3 of NRS 174.035.

12 VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

13 I have discussed the elements of all of the original charg
14 with my attorney, and I understand the nature of these charg
15 against me.

16 I understand what the State would have to prove each element
17 of the charge against me at trial.

18 I have discussed with my attorney any possible defenses,
19 defense strategies and circumstances which might be in my favor.

20 All of the foregoing elements, consequences, rights, and
21 waiver of rights have been thoroughly explained to me by my
22 attorney.

23 I believe that pleading guilty by way of the Alford decisior
24 and accepting this plea bargain is in my best interest, and that a
25 trial would be contrary to my best interest.

26 I am signing this agreement voluntarily, after consultation
27 with my attorney, and I am not acting under duress, coercion, or by
28 virtue of any promises of leniency, except for those set forth in

1 this agreement.

2 I am not now under the influence of any intoxicating liquor,
3 a controlled substance or other drug which would in any manner
4 impair my ability to comprehend or understand this agreement or the
5 proceedings surrounding my entry of this plea.

6 My attorney has answered all my questions regarding this
7 guilty plea agreement and its consequences to my satisfaction and
8 I am satisfied with the services provided by my attorney.

9 DATED this _____ day of August, 1995.

10

11

GARY LYNN LEWIS
Defendant

12

13

14 AGREED TO BY:

15

16

Jesse M. Lamy
Deputy District Attorney

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL:

2 I, the undersigned, as the attorney for the Defendant named
3 herein, as an officer of the court hereby certify that:

4 1. I have fully explained to the Defendant the allegations
5 contained in the charge to which guilty plea are being entered and
6 the entry of a guilty plea pursuant to the Alford decision.

7 2. I have advised the Defendant of the penalties for each
8 charge and the restitution that the Defendant will be ordered to
9 pay.

10 3. All pleas of guilty offered by the Defendant pursuant to
11 this agreement and the Alford decision are consistent with the
12 facts known to me and are made with my advice to the Defendant.

13 4. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the Defendant:

14 a. Is competent and understands the charges and the
15 consequences of pleading guilty as provided in this
16 agreement.

17 b. Executed this agreement and will enter all guilty
18 pleas pursuant hereto voluntarily.

19 c. Was no under the influence of intoxicating liquor, a
20 controlled substance or other drug at the time I
21 consulted with the defendant as certified in paragraphs
22 1 and 2.

23 Dated: This _____ day of August, 1995.

24
25
26
27
28

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

ajc

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

95-C-129824-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Lewis, Gary L

08/16/95 09:00 AM 00 INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: LORI BROWN, Court Clerk
PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
004515 Tobiasson, Melanie A.

0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
001631 Caruso, Robert D.

Y
Y
Y
Y
Y

DEFT. LEWIS ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY to SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH A MINOR UNDER SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE (F), AND WAIVED THE 60 DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, this matter SET for trial.

CUSTODY

1-3-96 9:00 A.M. CALENDAR CALL

2-5-96 10:00 A.M. JURY TRIAL

01/31/96 09:00 AM 00 CALENDAR CALL

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk
PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
005152 Togliatti, Jennifer

0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
001631 Caruso, Robert D.

Y
Y
Y
Y
Y

Mr. Caruso requested a continuance and stated he does not believe Ms. Lowry will have any opposition. COURT ORDERED, matter TRAILED for Ms. Lowry to appear.

LATER: Matter recalled with all present as before. Ms. Lowry not present. COURT ORDERED, trial date VACATED AND RESET; State to prepare an order to transport for March 20.

CUSTODY (COC-NDP)

3-20-96 9:00 AM CALENDAR CALL

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

95-C-129824-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Lewis, Gary L

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 001

3-25-96 10:00 AM JURY TRIAL

03/20/96 09:00 AM 00 CALENDAR CALL

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: LORI BROWN, Court Clerk
PATSY SMITH, Reporter/RecorderPARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
003901 Lowry, Teresa
0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
001631 Caruso, Robert D.

Per stipulation of counsel, COURT ORDERED, trial date of 3-25-96 VACATED and RESET in the ordinary course, as deft. has previously waived his 60 day rights. Mr. Caruso requested that deft. be REMANDED to the custody of the Clark County Detention Center, as there is difficulty contacting the deft. while in the Nevada Department of Prisons. COURT SO ORDERED.

CUSTODY

6-12-96 9:00 A.M. CALENDAR CALL

6-17-96 10:00 A.M. JURY TRIAL

06/12/96 09:00 AM 00 CALENDAR CALL

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: LORI BROWN, Court Clerk
PATSY SMITH, Reporter/RecorderPARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
003901 Lowry, Teresa
0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
001631 Caruso, Robert D.

AMENDED INFORMATION, charging Deft. Lewis with COUNT I - SEXUAL ASSAULT (F), and GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT FILED IN OPEN COURT. NEGOTIATIONS: The State retains the right to argue at the time of sentencing, but will recommend that time is concurrent with the probation violation deft. is presently serving. DEFT. LEWIS ARRAIGNED and PLED GUILTY PURSUANT TO THE ALFORD DECISION to SEXUAL ASSAULT (F). Penalty stated. Ms. Lowry recited the

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 002

MINUTES DATE: 06/12/96

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

95-C-129824-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Lewis, Gary L

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 002

facts which the State would rely upon for conviction. Court accepted plea, referred matter to P & P and ORDERED set for sentencing. At the request of Mr. Caruso, COURT ORDERED, Deft. to be transported back to Jean, where he is in custody on other charges. FURTHER, trial date VACATED.

CUSTODY

7-24-96 9:00 A.M. SENTENCING

CLERK'S NOTE: Guilty Plea Agreement Amended by Interlineation on page 1, line 24, changing "not oppose concurrent" to "recommend."

07/24/96 09:00 AM 00 SENTENCING

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: LORI BROWN, Court Clerk
PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
003901 Lowry, Teresa
0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
001631 Caruso, Robert D.

Tom Tatten of the Division of Parole & Probation present. Upon inquiry of the Court, Mr. Caruso advised he is not ready to proceed this date, as deft. is illiterate and was just transported from Jean this morning. Therefore, additional time is needed in order for the PSI Report to be read to him. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED. FURTHER, Deft. Lewis REMANDED TO the CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER pending sentencing to enable Mr. Caruso to review the PSI Report with him.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 07/31/96 09:00 AM 01

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

95-C-129824-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Lewis, Gary L

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 003

07/31/96 09:00 AM 01 SENTENCING

HEARD BY: Stephen Huffaker, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ35

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk
TOM MERCER, Reporter/RecorderPARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
003649 Kephart, William D.

0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
003447 Immerman, Stephen M.

Roy Evans of the Division of Parole & Probation present. Mr. Immerman agreed to a continuance to Friday. COURT ORDERED, CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 08/02/96 09:00 AM 02

08/02/96 09:00 AM 02 SENTENCING

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: LORI BROWN, Court Clerk
PATSY SMITH, Reporter/RecorderPARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
003649 Kephart, William D.

0001 D1 Lewis, Gary L
PUBDEF Public Defender
001631 Caruso, Robert D.

Michael R.P. Leoni of the Division of Parole & Probation present. Conference at the bench between Court and counsel. DEFT. LEWIS ADJUDGED GUILTY of SEXUAL ASSAULT (F). Matter submitted. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee,, Deft. SENTENCED to Nevada Department of Prisons for a term of LIFE WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, to be served CONCURRENTLY with C122079; and is to receive ZERO Days Credit for Time Served. At the request of Mr. Caruso, Deft. REMANDED to the prison in Jean.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 001

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

95-C-129824-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Lewis, Gary L.

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 005

02/26/09 09:00 AM 00 MINUTE ORDER RE: DENYING DEFT'S PETITION
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

The Court is without jurisdiction to hear this petition because it is time barred. NRS 34.726 provides that: "Unless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within one year after entry of the judgment or conviction, or if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within 1 year after entry the Supreme Court issues its remittitur." Judgment was entered and petitioner was sentenced on August 2, 1996. Thus, he has failed to meet the one year period of limitation for filing a habeas petition challenging his confinement.

A time barred petition may be permitted where good cause is shown. Good cause exists where (1) delay is not the fault of the petitioner, and (2) dismissal of the petition as untimely would be unduly prejudicial to the petitioner. NRS 34.726(1)(a)-(b). Petitioner has made no attempt to demonstrate good cause as required by NRS 34.726(1)(a)-(b).

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: David Roger, District Attorney and Deft. Gary Lynn Lewis #47615, P.O. Box 607, Carson City, NV 89702. aw

05/01/09 09:00 AM 00 MINUTE ORDER RE: DENYING FIRST AMENDMENT
PETITION

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

The Court is without jurisdiction to consider the pleading styled Petitioner's "First Amendment Petition Writ of Habeas Corpus" filed on March 23, 2009. This pleading appears intended to amend and supplement a petition that was denied by minute order on February 26, 2009. There is no basis under the statute for this Court to consider an amendment or supplement to a previously denied petition for habeas corpus. Petitioner is referred to the February 26, 2009, minute order denying his petition for habeas corpus.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Gary Lynn Lewis #47615; P.O. Box 607, Carson City, NV 89702. aw

DATE: 12/29/08
CASE NO. 95-C-129824-C

I N D E X

TIME 7:52 A
JUDGE:Leavitt, Michelle

STATE OF NEVADA

[] vs Lewis, Gary L

0001 D1 Gary L Lewis

?????? ## UNKNOWN ##

NO.	FILED/REC CODE	REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR	OC	SCH/PER	C
0001	08/03/95	CBO /CRIMINAL BINDOVER Fee \$0.00				
0002	08/04/95	ARRN/INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT	0001		08/16/95	
0003	08/15/95	INFO/INFORMATION	0001		08/15/95	
0004	08/16/95	CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0001		01/31/96	
0005	08/16/95	JURY/TRIAL BY JURY (VJ 1-31-96)	0001	VC	02/05/96	
0006	09/01/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PRELIMINARY HEARING	0001		08/01/95	
0007	10/27/95	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0001			
0008	12/14/95	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0001			
0009	01/17/96	SUBP/SUBPOENA	0001	SC	02/05/96	
			0001	SV	01/16/96	
0010	01/31/96	CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0001		03/20/96	
0011	01/31/96	JURY/TRIAL BY JURY (VJ 3-20-96)	0001	VC	03/25/96	
0012	02/08/96	SUBP/SUBPOENA	0001	SC	03/25/96	
			0001	SV	02/07/96	
0013	02/23/96	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0001			
0014	03/20/96	CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0001		06/12/96	
0015	03/20/96	JURY/TRIAL BY JURY (VJ 6-12-96)	0001	VC	06/17/96	
0016	06/12/96	SENT/SENTENCING	0001	GR	08/02/96	
0017	06/12/96	INFO/AMENDED INFORMATION	0001		06/12/96	
0018	06/12/96	MEMO/GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO ALFORD DECISION	0001			
0019	06/12/96	INFO/AMENDED INFORMATION	0001		06/12/96	
0020	06/14/96	SUBP/SUBPOENA	0001	SC	06/17/96	
			0001	SV	06/10/96	
0021	08/14/96	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION - PLEA	0001			
0022	08/14/96	JMNT/ADMINISTRATION/ASSESSMENT FEE	0001		08/15/96	
0023	07/13/07	CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED			07/13/07	
0024	07/13/07	ASSG/REASSIGNMENT OF JUDGE Maupin TO JUDGE Leavitt				
0025	07/13/07	HEAR/DEFT'S REQUEST TO WDRAW PD AS ATTORNEY	0001	GR	07/19/07	
0026	07/19/07	CSCL/CASE CLOSED	0001		07/19/07	

ORIGINAL

FILED

AUG 14 10 02 AM '96

Loretta A. ...
CLERK

1 JOCP
2 STEWART L. BELL
3 DISTRICT ATTORNEY
4 Nevada Bar #000477
5 200 S. Third Street
6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
7 (702) 455-4711
8 Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

8 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 -vs-

11 GARY LYNN LEWIS,
12 #1302110

13 Defendant.

Case No. C129824
Dept. No. VII
Docket P

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA)

16 WHEREAS, on the 12th day of June, 1996, the Defendant GARY LYNN LEWIS, appears
17 before the Court herein with his counsel and entered a plea of guilty to the crime of SEXUAL
18 ASSAULT (CATEGORY A FELONY), committed on the 9th day of July, 1996, in violation of NR
19 200.364, 200.366 and

20 WHEREAS, thereafter on the 2nd day of August, 1996, the Defendant being present in court
21 with his counsel ROBERT D. CARUSO, and WILLIAM D. KEPHART, Deputy District Attorney, also
22 being present; the above entitled Court did adjudge the Defendant guilty thereof by reason of his plea
23 of guilty and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, sentenced Defendant to the
24 Nevada Department of Prisons for a term of LIFE WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE, to be
25 served CONCURRENTLY with C122079. Defendant is to receive ZERO days credit for time served

26 ///

27 ///

CE-203 ///

AUG 12 1996

AUG 15 1996

[Signature]

DATED this 13th day of August, 1996, in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada.

DA#95F06244X/lib
LVMPD DR#9507100130
SxAsslt - F
(TK3)


CERTIFIED COPY
DOCUMENT ATTACHED IS A
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE DOCUMENT ON FILE

2007 OCT -4 P 2 13

-2-

CLERK OF THE COURT

1 A time barred petition may be permitted where good cause is shown. Good
2 cause exists where (1) delay is not the fault of the petitioner, and (2) dismissal of the
3 petition as untimely would be unduly prejudicial to petitioner. NRS 34.726(1)(a)-(b).
4
5 Petitioner has made no attempt to demonstrate good cause as required by the statute.
6 NRS 34.726(1)(a)-(b).
7
8
9

10 
11 MICHELLE LEAVITT
12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13 DEPARTMENT XII


5/19/81

14 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

15 I hereby certify that on the date filed, I mailed a copy of this Order Denying
16 Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus via U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid to the
17 following:

18 Gary Lynn Lewis, #47615
19 P.O. Box 607
20 Carson City, NV 89702

21 I hereby certify that on the date filed, I placed a copy of this Order Denying
22 Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus in District Attorney, David Roger's
23 mail folder on the third floor, Regional Justice Center, County Clerk's Office.
24

25 
26 Sue K. Deaton
27 Judicial Executive Assistant, Dept. XII
28

C129824

GARY LYNN Lewis
PO Box 607 * 47615
CARSON CITY NEVADA 89702

FILED

MAY 11 2009

Paul H. Fitch
CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

GARY LYNN Lewis
Petitioner

CASE# C129824

VS-

GREG W SMITH WARDEN MSP
State of Nevada: et al
Respondant

"Notice of APPEAL" to
The NEVADA Supreme Court,
Denial of MAY.1. 2009

Now Comes GARY LYNN Lewis, in Pro se to file
this Notice of APPEAL, of Denial of Habeas Corpus
Petition by JUDGE Michelle Lewitt in Department 12
on MAY.1. 2009. CLARK COUNTY NEVADA.

The Defendant was Never CHARGED with Crime that
is on Judgment of Conviction, JULY 9th 1996. AND
Now APPEALS to the NEVADA Supreme Court of this
Case. (See Attached minute order).

Dated MAY.4. 2009

GARY L Lewis
GARY LYNN Lewis

RECEIVED

MAY 08 2009

CLERK OF THE COURT

Certificate of Service

I GARY L Lewis. Pursuant to NRCIVP 5(b) PLACED A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF NOTICE OF APPEAL. POSTAGE PAID FIRST CLASS ADDRESSED TO.

8TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

ATT: COURT CLERK

200 E LEWIS AVE LAS VEGAS NV 89155

CLARK CO DIST ATTY DAVID ROGER.

200 E LEWIS AVE LV NV 89155

Dated MAY 4th 2009

GARY L Lewis

Pursuant to NRS 239 B.030. This document
Contains No Social Security Numbers.

GARY L Lewis



EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY
ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT



GARY LYNN LEWIS # 47615
P.O. BOX 607
CARSON CITY, NV 89702

DATE: May 12, 2009
CASE: C129824

RE CASE: STATE OF NEVADA vs. GARY L. LEWIS

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: May 11, 2009

RULE 3(e) DOCUMENTS NOT TRANSMITTED/MISSING:

- Order
- Notice of Entry of Order

NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (2) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (e) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GARY LYNN LEWIS,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 53779

FILED

MAY 15 2009

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER RE: ENTRY OF WRITTEN JUDGMENT OR ORDER
AND RECORD ON APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a decision of the district court denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. This court's review of this appeal indicates that, as of the date of this order, the district court has not entered a written order denying the petition. The criminal court minutes indicate that the district court orally denied the petition in court on February 26, 2009. Prior to the entry of a final, written judgment or order, and the timely filing of a notice of appeal, the district court technically retains jurisdiction over appellant's case. See Bradley v. State, 109 Nev. 1090, 1094-95, 864 P.2d 1272, 1275 (1993). In a criminal case, a notice of appeal filed after announcement of the decision, but before entry of the written judgment or order is deemed to have been filed "after such entry and on the day thereof." NRAP 4(b)(1). Thus, a copy of the written judgment or order is essential to a determination of this court's jurisdiction to consider this appeal.

Accordingly, the district court shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to: (1) enter a written judgment or order or (2) inform this court that it is reconsidering its decision. In the event the

district court enters a written judgment or order (or has already entered a written judgment or order of which this court is unaware), the clerk of the district court shall immediately transmit a certified copy of the judgment or order to the clerk of this court.

Further, the clerk of the district court shall, within 60 days from the date of this order, transmit to the clerk of this court a certified copy of the complete trial court record of this appeal. See NRAP 11(a)(2) (the complete record shall contain each and every paper, pleading and other document filed, or submitted for filing, in the district court, as well as any previously prepared transcripts of the district court proceedings). The record shall not include any physical, non-documentary exhibits or the original documentary exhibits filed in the district court, but copies of documentary exhibits submitted in the district court proceedings shall be transmitted as part of the record on appeal. The record shall also include any presentence investigation reports submitted in this matter. The clerk of the district court shall transmit the reports to this court in a sealed envelope identifying the contents and marked confidential. See NRS 176.156(5).

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Hon. Michelle Leavitt, District Judge
Gary Lynn Lewis
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger
Eighth District Court Clerk

FILED

2009 MAY 29 A 11:15

[Signature]
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

ORIGINAL

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 vs.)
)
 GARY LYNN LEWIS,)
)
 Defendant.)

CASE NO.: C129824

DEPT. NO.: XII

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, the Court is without jurisdiction to hear this petition because it is time barred. NRS 34.726 provides that: "Unless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence must be filed within one year after entry of the judgment or conviction, or if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within one year after entry the Supreme Court issues its remittitur." Judgment was entered and petitioner was sentenced on August 2, 1996. Thus, he has failed to meet the one year period of limitation for filing a habeas petition challenging his confinement.

///
///
///

CLERK OF THE COURT
MAY 29 2009

RECEIVED

MICHELLE LEAVITT
DISTRICT JUDGE

1 A time barred petition may be permitted where good cause is shown. Good
2 cause exists where (1) delay is not the fault of the petitioner, and (2) dismissal of the
3 petition as untimely would be unduly prejudicial to petitioner. NRS 34.726(1)(a)-(b).
4
5 Petitioner has made no attempt to demonstrate good cause as required by the statute.
6 NRS 34.726(1)(a)-(b).
7
8
9

10 
11 MICHELLE LEAVITT
12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
13 DEPARTMENT XII


5/19/81

14 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

15 I hereby certify that on the date filed, I mailed a copy of this Order Denying
16 Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus via U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid to the
17 following:

18 Gary Lynn Lewis, #47615
19 P.O. Box 607
20 Carson City, NV 89702

21 I hereby certify that on the date filed, I placed a copy of this Order Denying
22 Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus in District Attorney, David Roger's
23 mail folder on the third floor, Regional Justice Center, County Clerk's Office.
24

25 
26 Sue K. Deaton
27 Judicial Executive Assistant, Dept. XII
28

C129824

1 NOED

FILED

JUN - 2 2009

E. A. Friedland
CLERK OF COURT

2 DISTRICT COURT
3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

5 GARY LYNN LEWIS,

6 Petitioner,

7 vs.

8 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

9 Respondent,

Case No: C129824

Dept No: XII

10 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF
DECISION AND ORDER

11 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 29, 2009, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a
12 true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

13 You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you
14 must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is
15 mailed to you. This notice was mailed on June 2, 2009.

16 EDWARD A. FRIEDLAND, CLERK OF THE COURT

17 By: *Brandi J. Wendel*

18 Brandi J. Wendel, Deputy Clerk

19 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

20 I hereby certify that on this 2 day of June 2009, I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry of Decision and

21 Order in:

22 The bin(s) located in the Office of the District Court Clerk of:
23 Clark County District Attorney's Office
Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division

24 ☒ The United States mail addressed as follows:

25 Gary Lynn Lewis # 47615
26 P.O. Box 607
Carson City, NV 89702

27 *Brandi J. Wendel*
28 Brandi J. Wendel, Deputy Clerk

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE CLERK**

GARY LYNN LEWIS,
Petitioner,
vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF
NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE
HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,
and

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Real Party in Interest.

Supreme Court No. 54000

District Court Case No. C129824

RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS

TO: Michelle Leavitt , District Judge
Gary Lynn Lewis #47615
Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City and Catherine
Cortez Masto, Attorney General
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger and Steven S. Owens,
Chief Deputy District Attorney

You are hereby notified that the Clerk of the Supreme Court has received and/or filed the following:

06/17/09 Filing Fee Waived: Criminal.

06/17/09 Filed Proper Person Petition for Writ.
"First Amendment Petition" Writ of Certiorari and/or Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition. NRS
Chap 34 et seq 28USC2254 and NRCP 59(e) 60(b) FRCIVP 59(e) 60(b).

DATE: June 17, 2009

Tracie Lindeman, Clerk of Court