1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 ROBERT SCOTLUND VAILE, SC No.: 55446 3 DC No.: 98-D-230385-D Petitioner. Electronically Filed 4 Jul 28 2010 08:25 a.m. VS. 5 Tracie K. Lindeman THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6 COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, 7 AND THE HONORABLE CHERYL B. MOSS, DISTRICT JUDGE, FAMILY 8 COURT DIVISION. 9 Respondents, 10 and 11 CISILIE A. PORSBOLL F/K/A CISILIE A. VAILE. 12 Real Party in Interest. 13 14 MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION 15 TO PETITIONER'S RENEWED EMERGENCY MOTION FOR 16 STAY OF LOWER COURT PROCEEDINGS DURING 17 CONSIDERATION OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION AND DURING 18 CONSIDERATION OF APPEALS IN THIS CASE 19 Real Party in Interest, Cisilie Porsboll, by and through her attorneys, the WILLICK 20 LAW GROUP, respectfully request that this Court enter an order granting a five day extension 21 of time within which to file her Opposition to Petitioner's Renewed Motion for Stay, 22 pursuant to NRAP 27(a)(3)(A). This Motion is based upon the Points and Authorities 23 below, and is made in good faith and not to delay justice. 24 25 26 27

28

1

2

5

6

4

7

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Due to the importance of getting an *Opposition* on file and Counsel for Real Party in Interests congested schedule, we ask the Court to grant a seven day extension for the filing of our *Opposition*.

Counsel has been required to make several Court appearances on various cases, as well as prepare for, and speak at, a Continuing Legal Education Program on Family Law out of state. We did request an extension from the Court prior to the due date for the *Opposition*. After being informed by a court clerk, that the Court could grant a five day extension or one could be requested by motion, our staff member failed to make it clear that we were requesting the five day extension.

Based on the above, counsel for Real Party in Interest has not had sufficient time within which to properly complete a response to the above motion, and as such, requests an extension of seven days, within which to file such response.

There is no reasonable prejudice to Petitioner if the time required to respond is delayed for seven days. The rules of this Court provide for requested extensions for good cause. Accordingly, we respectfully request an additional seven days within which to file our *Opposition* to Petitioner's *Motion*.

DATED this 28th day of July, 2010.

WILLICK LAW GROUP

MARSHAL S. WILLICK, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 002515 RICHARD L. CRANE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 009536

3591 East Bonanza Road, Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89110-2101

Attorneys for Real Party in Interest

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of WILLICK LAW GROUP, and day of July, 2010, I send via electronic transmission to scotlund@vaile.info and legal@infosec.privacyport.com, as well as deposited in the United States Mails, postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, a true and correct copy of the Motion for Extension of Time to File Opposition to Petitioner's Renewed Emergency Motion for Stay of Lower Court Proceedings During Consideration of Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition and During Consideration of Appeals in this Case, addressed to: Robert Scotlund Vaile P.O. Box 727 Kenwood, California 95452

Petitioner In Proper Person

Courtesy Copied to: Raleigh C. Thompson, Esq. Morris Peterson 300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Attorneys Representing Deloitte & Touche, LLP

There is regular communication between the place of mailing and the places so addressed.

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

P.\wp13\VAILE\LF1288.WPD

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WILLICK LAW GROUP 3591 East Bonanza Road Suite 200 egas, NV 89110-2101 (702) 438-4100

Employee of the WILLICK LAW GROUP