IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 02 2011 01:23 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

Appellant,

VS.

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial District Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Volume 7 of 25

(Pages 1173 - 1422)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 ¹	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A ²	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B ³	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 ⁴	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

¹ This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

⁴ Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own	02/24/09	24	04505
Recognizance Release for House Arrest)			
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843

1 TRAN 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C212667/ C241394 DEPT. XXI 7 Plaintiff, 8 VS. 9 LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO III and LUIS HIDALGO, JR., 10 11 Defendants. 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 14 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 28, 2009 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: 16 JURY TRIAL 17 APPEARANCES: 18 FOR THE STATE: MARC P. DIGIACOMO, ESQ. GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. 19 Deputy District Attorneys 20 JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ. FOR LUIS HIDALGO III: CHRISTOPHER W. ADAMS, ESQ. 21 22 DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ. FOR LUIS HIDALGO, JR: PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. 23

RECORDED BY: JANIE L. OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

24

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -1-

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 THE COURT: A couple updates on our jurors. Juror No. 1 -- Juror No. 15, Scott Wintrip came in this -- he called and then he came in this morning saying that the stress has exacerbated, apparently, his irritable bowel syndrome and he finds this to be problematic sitting in the jury box. And he brought a prescription with him, it's an old prescription, it's from '06.

He didn't want to be here anyway. He had said something about being a loan processor. But we did check out what the prescription is for and it is prescribed for irritable bowel syndrome, so I guess we'll excuse him.

MR. GENTILE: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's number 15. I've also made an -- Did the other guy -- Jeff, did the other guy show up?

THE MARSHAL: I called out to the hallway. We're going to have to wait until roll call because he didn't answer as of five minutes ago.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Mr. Ricks?

THE COURT: Huh?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Is that Mr. Ricks?

THE MARSHAL: Ricks.

THE COURT: It's our blazer, yes.

MS. ARMENI: The one that told us he wasn't going to be here?

MR. DIGIACOMO: He didn't show up?

THE MARSHAL: He wasn't here as of five minutes ago.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Ricks is the blazer. I don't remember who he is.

MR. PESCI: Oh, blazer. I thought you said laser. I'm like, what?

MR. DIGIACOMO: He's 51.

MR. GENTILE: Can we have a few minutes to set up, Judge?

THE COURT: Yeah.

(Off-record colloquy)

THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to start?

MR. ADAMS: Judge, we have one matter --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ADAMS: -- before we --

THE CLERK: Hold on.

MR. ADAMS: -- have the jurors in.

THE COURT: All right. What is it?

MR. ADAMS: As the Court is aware, we've been the last lawyers into the case, and we've been relying upon the oral history of others as to the history of the case to date. Our understanding is that there have been transcripts that the State provided, created and provided to the defense. The defense did transcripts themselves over the body wire, the May 23rd body wire.

This morning we received a second transcript from the State which includes significantly different language on a key point about what allegedly -- a comment made by Mr. Hidalgo III to Deangelo Carroll. And the language -- there's not a page number, but it says from the mouth of Little Louie, next time you do something stupid like that, I told you that you should've taken care of TJ. But -- and then there's a blank -- all the fucking time, but -- blank -- Casey -- blank -- priors. How do you know this guy?

We've listened to the tape over and over. Our understanding is the

23

24

25

agreement of the Court is they could introduce their transcript, which was created two or three or four years ago, we could introduce the transcript made by the defense, and now on the second day of trial we're getting something completely different.

And if it's the intention of them to introduce it, I don't know that we -either side would be prepared to fully litigate it now. But I think the best evidence is the tape and we object to this and we think it's improper.

MR. GENTILE: We had an audibility hearing. It would be different if we had not, but we did. And at the audibility hearing the issue was for the Court to make a determination as to whether this was something that was audible on the tape or not. It would seem to me that it's only fair that before that tape is played you listen to that tape and see if this is a reasonable interpretation of that tape. If it is, then it is. But -- but this is the State unilaterally changing at a, you know, way late time.

THE COURT: Right.

Mr. Di -- I mean, it seems a bit late that now all of the sudden, you know, getting ready for trial there's a new transcript. I mean, if that was what was apparent in listening to the tape, then why wasn't it in the State's first transcript?

MR. GENTILE: Before we go any further, there's one more thing. One of the things that I really feel prejudiced about, and have for a long time, is the fact that Anabel Espindola's debriefing was not transcribed --

THE COURT: Was not recorded.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- and you have refused to give us, even though we requested, over the State's objection because they're contending that it's

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

somehow work product, the notes that were taken by the law enforcement officers that were present. Teresa Kyger was there. She's a Metro officer. Okay? And -- and so this is just, you know, piling onto that.

If there is something in those notes back then that says that this is something that she told them back then, great. If it's not, to my way of thinking, now it becomes Brady.

THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Judge. Approx -- if you recall the history of this case, the motion for the audibility hearing occurred prior to the entry of a plea by Ms. Espindola. At the time the State -- the State provided to the defense, I believe, and I may be wrong, but the State provided to the defense a copy of a transcript. And as the Court is aware, the best evidence is the tape itself. And the defense has provided us a copy of -- of a transcript. I don't -- I'm assuming they're using the same one, but I don't know that for a fact is true.

Approximately two weeks ago when Mr. Arrascada and Mr. Adams were in my office I directed them specifically to this point. And I said, look, I've been listening to these tapes, in this portion right here you can hear your client say take care of TJ, specifically referred them to that section and told them to listen to that tape because that is going to be an issue that the State is going to raise during the course of this trial.

During the time period that we went over the recordings with the witness who is going to authenticate the transcripts, they made changes to the transcripts. When that was completed, I've turned that over to the defense. There is no difference between the -- the recordings that are being provided to the defense previously and the recordings now.

To say that the State can't say, ladies and gentlemen, when you listen to this -- this recording, you are going to hear Little Lou say this. If it's not on there, then that's going to look really bad for the State because it's going to make our credibility look really bad. To assert that they didn't know about it when I specifically directed them to that page, to that line, and exactly what I thought was being said on that line is an assertion that's not real fair to the State at this particular point in time.

THE COURT: Yeah. I think the issue -- and I don't know if this is their issue, but I think the issue is this. You know, the tape is what it is, but it's difficult to hear what's on the tape. And when the State gives a transcript, there are some jurors that may defer to the transcript or it's suggestive to them that, well, maybe that's what I hear, whereas if they heard it cold without a transcript, they may not be able to hear anything.

And I think that that's the bigger point that sub -- you know, subconsciously, even if they're reading it, oh, yeah, yeah, yeah, that's what I'm hearing. And so there is a power in the transcript, and I think that may be what their concern is.

And then kind of on the timeliness issue that, you know, they didn't know that was going to be part of the transcript or not part of the transcript, honestly, in terms of somehow changing the transcript or being able to modify the -- the transcript or increase the comprehension based on the interview with Anabel Espindola, I don't believe that there was anything in the notes that would reflect that that could've been done. But the notes will speak for themselves, and they are a Court's exhibit.

What was told to the detectives that's not reflected in the notes,

obviously we don't know what that is.

MR. GENTILE: No, but it would -- two -- two issues, two things I'd like to say.

Number one, I have no dispute over what Mr. DiGiacomo said because I wasn't there. Okay? I also could say that nobody that represents Mr. Hidalgo III ever told me what Mr. DiGiacomo said. This is a conspiracy case. The State has wailed from the beginning about the representation of both of these people by one lawyer. Okay? They have gotten their way.

And so it would seem to me that because it's a conspiracy case and because they're trying to take the position, at least at that point in time, that things that are being uttered on this tape were part of that conspiracy --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: -- I should've been advised of this, not this morning for the first time.

THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going to do. Because the jury is out in the hallway we can proceed with jury selection. We don't need to make a determination of the issue of the tape. I mean, you know, two poss -- three possibilities. They get to use the revised transcript, they don't get to use the revised transcript, they get to use the original transcript, or nobody gets to use a transcript and we play the tape and we play the tape and we play the tape and we take it -- let them go in the back and they can figure out what the tape says. So I'll decide which of those three options.

Like I said, there is a certain suggestive power in the transcript both ways, no matter whose transcript it is. And so, you know, typically when they're hard to hear, it is helpful for the jury to have a transcript. And typically that's why

I allow it. But I'll determine which of those three avenues we're going -- we're going to use.

Now, obviously, if we don't have a transcript we may have to play the tape more, and certainly, you know, they'll have it one way or the other and be playing it, I'm sure, during their deliberations anyway.

MR. GENTILE: My best guess is that we're going to hear it plenty of times in this trial.

But separate and apart from that, officially now for the record I want to renew my request for the notes that were taken during the debriefing of Anabel Espindola prior -- during the course of the -- her cooperation with -- with the District Attorney's office, the State, and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Again, I want to reiterate, and sure as heck the jury is going to hear this, when she was originally interviewed by Metro she was videotaped just like everybody else was. This is the only person in this whole case that has spoken that -- with law enforcement in preparation in the investigation of this case where there was not a --

THE COURT: Videotape.

MR. GENTILE: -- simultaneous record made. And so the notes are the next best thing to it and I think I'm entitled to it.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on the notes?

Oh, Mr. Adams.

MR. ADAMS: We join that request, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. DiGiacomo, anything else on the notes?

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, other than you've reviewed the notes and -- and certainly the suggestion that somehow we learned this information from an interview with Anabel Espindola, I don't -- during the time of a proffer, I mean, there's no evidence --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- to support that --

THE COURT: And as I --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- whatsoever.

THE COURT: -- recollect from the notes, there's nothing to suggest that that's how they were able to enhance the transcript from the interview. Now, there's still the separate issue of whether or not you're entitled to the --

MR. GENTILE: Right.

THE COURT: -- notes anyway just for purposes of your cross-examination and trial preparation and all of that, which is separate and apart from the transcript issues, and I'll -- I'll consider that further. I mean, you know, I don't remember exactly what my thinking was on the notes, but I'll review -- revisit.

MR. GENTILE: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: I mean, the notes, just so you know, there's not a lot on the notes. As I recollect, and this was awhile ago that I looked at it, it was a single sheet, I think, of --

Does this comport with your recollection?

-- of legal --

MR. DIGIACOMO: I actually have no recollection of what those notes are, actually, Judge. You have them, I think.

THE COURT: -- of legal paper and there wasn't a lot on it.

MR. GENTILE: Well --

THE COURT: But that's my recollection. And, again --

MR. GENTILE: We asked --

THE COURT: -- it was -- I -- I need to review that again because I -- I don't remember exactly what my reasoning was and -- and we did litigate this thoroughly and it was thoroughly briefed before. But I recognize that there is a separate issue from the tape on the notes, and you're going to want the notes regardless of what we do with the transcripts.

MR. GENTILE: Well, and -- and -- and one of the things that happened because the Court did not conduct an evidentiary hearing on it is that we don't know that you have been given all of the notes. We don't know that everybody that was at that hearing, at that debriefing, tendered their notes to you. And -- and I don't -- there's nothing in this record --

THE COURT: Right. And without --

MR. GENTILE: -- to indicate that --

THE COURT: -- giving you the notes, you can't effectively question the detectives as to did you take notes --

MR. GENTILE: Right.

THE COURT: -- and showing you this piece of notepaper, is this the notes -- are these the notes that you took? And then if they're not, then we'll know that we're missing notes and you can't effectively do that unless you have the notes.

MR. GENTILE: Or at least the notes and we have a hearing.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -10-

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, two things very quickly. One is we would -- Mr. DiGiacomo's representations we have some agreement with them that we did meet with him and he did tell us that he heard something which is separate and apart from whether the transcript would be amended by him or by anyone at his -- at his direction.

We would like clarity on the transcript issue prior to opening statement if at all possible because --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ADAMS: -- I think it's very important.

THE COURT: And then, obviously, regardless of what's in the transcript the State is still free, as the defense is still free, to say listen to the tape, you'll hear this, that --

MR. ADAMS: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- or the other thing.

MR. ADAMS: Absolutely.

THE COURT: So in terms of what the State can open with, they can still say when you listen to the tape, and since the transcript isn't an exhibit, I think it would be sort of improper for either side to refer to what's in the transcript. It really is the tape.

So I think in terms of opening, both sides can say this is what you'll hear in the tape. And, obviously, you'll open after they did. The State told you that you're going to hear, you know, this, that, and the other thing, but, ladies and gentlemen, you know, listen carefully to the tape, it's not there or whatever.

MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, if there is a document created for the

purposes of litigation by the State, and they are going to take the position and argue that there is incriminating language and it's not on the document that they created for litigation two years ago, I think it is fair game and very appropriate for us to point that out to the jurors and to explain to the jurors that they are now arguing and asking you to do something that not even their experts heard on the tape four years ago when they understood the significance of it. I think that's a completely proper argument from the defense over their burden of proof and their failure to meet it.

MR. GENTILE: And just --

THE COURT: That's a good argument. Yeah.

MR. GENTILE: -- just to add to that, frankly, the tape itself is a document that was created in anticipation of litigation. The tape itself is.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I did say I had two things. The second is I'm starting with Mr. Marcella, and I need two minutes to run down the hall very quickly before we start if --

THE COURT: Run.

MR. ADAMS: -- before the jurors --

THE COURT: Just so --

MR. ADAMS: -- come in.

THE COURT: -- you know, I'm very punctual unless I'm in calendar, and then I go long. But when I say 9:30, that means 9:30. That means everybody in their seats at 9:30 ready to start. That doesn't mean I take the bench 9:30. I'm just telling you folks. Some, not you guys, but some lawyers we got to go find.

Go ahead. Run.

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, we -- we were here and we were ready to

1 set up. 2 THE COURT: No, no, no. I know you were ready. 3 MR. GENTILE: Okay. 4 THE COURT: But if I say -- now, when I have calendars, that's different 5 because I tend to go late. But if it's a 9:30 start, I expect everyone here by 9:15 6 so you can get set up and everything. Because when I take the bench at 9:30, 7 that means to start at 9:30 with everybody in their seats. And I know --8 MR. GENTILE: And I --9 THE COURT: -- everybody is different, but that's --10 MR. GENTILE: And I can assure you that any busy lawyer appreciates 11 that. 12 THE COURT: But --13 MR. GENTILE: And you know that. 14 THE COURT: -- I will tell you that when I have criminal calendars, often 15 I'm optimistic on how long they'll take and so you may wind up waiting. 16 What we'll do is we'll just -- we'll finish with the people who are left in 17 the box, and then we'll fill the empty seats and go through those people before 18 we get 14 for cause. Do you see what I mean? Because three is empty, and 19 there may be another person I excused in the box. 20 (Off-record colloquy) 21 THE COURT: Mr. Arrascada, what I was saying is I know chair three is 22 empty. We may have lost another in the box. We'll finish the people in the box, 23 and then we'll call the next two up until we can get 14 qualified. 24 (Off-record colloquy) THE COURT: You guys ready? Everybody ready? All right. 25

1	THE CLERK: 10, Paula Urbanick.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Present.
3	THE CLERK: 12, Karin Meeker.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Present.
5	THE CLERK: 16, Antoine Williams.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Here.
7	THE CLERK: 17, Kevin Keegan.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Present.
9	THE CLERK: 30, Steven Avalone.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Present.
11	THE CLERK: 32, Antone Cook.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Present.
13	THE CLERK: 35, Alicia Jackson.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Present.
15	THE CLERK: 36, David Sargent.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Present.
17	THE CLERK: 37, Roger O'Neill.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Present.
19	THE CLERK: 38, Emertha Wright.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Present.
21	THE CLERK: 39, Michael Freeman,
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Here.
23	THE CLERK: 41, Mark Rogol.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Present.
25	THE CLERK: 45, Julieto Vale.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -15-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Here.
2	THE CLERK: 47, Michael Cannata.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 047: Present.
4	THE CLERK: 48, Susan Dendiu.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Dendiu, here.
6	THE CLERK: 51, Stephen Ricks.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Present.
8	THE CLERK: 52, Crystal Decorte.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Here.
10	THE CLERK: 55, Austin Guerrero.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Present.
12	THE CLERK: 56, Steve Escobedo.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Present.
14	THE CLERK: 57, Rhonda Michelle Gaddy.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Present.
16	THE CLERK: 58, Gina Ryeczyk.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Exactly. Present.
18	THE CLERK: Thank you.
19	61, Patricia Lopez.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Here.
21	THE CLERK: 65, Kris Dane.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 065: Here.
23	THE CLERK: 67, David K. Wallace.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Here.
25	THE CLERK: 68. Kelly Dietz.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -16-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Present.
2	THE CLERK: 69. Sharon Garhardt.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Present.
4	THE CLERK: 70, Anne Bonds.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Present.
6	THE CLERK: 71, Shea Palluck.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Here.
8	THE CLERK: 75, Kelli Woodland.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Present.
10	THE CLERK: 79, Jim E. Matthews II.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Present.
12	THE CLERK: 80, Adriana Gamino.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Here.
14	THE CLERK: 84, Guadalupe McRoy.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Present.
16	THE CLERK: 86, James Denino.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Here.
18	THE CLERK: 87, Paul Adkins.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Here.
20	THE CLERK: 89, Kenneth Patterson.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Here.
22	THE CLERK: 90, Nicole Pezza.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Pezza, here.
24	THE CLERK: 92, Christopher Lemke.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Present.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -17-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: -- if there --MR. ADAMS: -- coming from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. -- is insufficient evidence, then, obviously, I can return a not guilty. If there is a sufficient amount of evidence, then obviously I could find a guilty verdict. MR. ADAMS: All right. So your pause in the first question, was that just processing the question? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Well, it's just old and I got confused on the question. MR. ADAMS: You had indicated -- Mr. Gentile asked you about fear. And I think you had indicated that you had more clarity when you've been in a stressful situation. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: It's training. MR. ADAMS: Can you explain that to me a little bit? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I was in the Air Force. I was in the right seat of a fighter. So you were taught to be a lot more focused when things get worse. MR. ADAMS: Do -- do you think that's the natural reaction? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Not for most people, no, but for me it is. MR. ADAMS: Was it that way before your training, if you -- if you remember. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Well, in -- in New York it's -- well, growing up you have a tendency to survive, and growing up in the streets you do.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702,635,0301 -19-

MR. ADAMS: Do you -- do you know whether you go straight to clarity or whether there's some sort of process to get there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: You have to assess any situation to get to clarity, so it's a matter of understanding that, in fact, there is an issue. And if -- if it's a reactionary, if there's a situation where you automatically go into a fear mode, then I'll automatically try to get some focus and that I can resolve the issue.

MR. ADAMS: Does that mean -- I guess, does that mean at first you recognize -- and I'm not trying to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: No, I'm listening.

MR. ADAMS: -- to put too much psychobab -- psychobabble out there, but is it at first you find yourself in a stressful situation, then you recognize it, and then you try to rely on your training to then make sense of it and to have clarity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yeah, if you've got the time to go through that kind of analysis, and -- and obviously, it's -- there are dependencies, of course. But it's no different -- there are differences if you're just about ready to hit something, it automatically, you're stepping on the brake. That's clarity. You know exactly what you've done, but it starts down that path. So there's -- there's many situations. It depends on the circumstance.

MR. ADAMS: Have you had situations where you've encountered a stressful situation either driving and about to hit somebody or anything else where you didn't get to this clarity until after you'd experienced some confusion or lack of clarity?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Of course. When -- once something happens, whether it's a condition or a conversation or what have you, if there's a

threat to that, sometimes when you assess it afterward, then you'll find out that either you looked at it wrong, you didn't understand it completely, you made certain assumptions. So [inaudible] answer to that question.

MR. ADAMS: Mr. Arrascada asked the jury yesterday a question, and I want to present it to you. And if your family is anything like my family, there may have been some quotes from time to time about if I can get my hands on that person I'd ring their neck.

When you've heard somebody suggest that the law be broken and you thought they didn't mean it, have you have a situation like that in your life?

MR. PESCI: And, Judge, I apologize. I'm going to object. I think we're going afield of 7.70 about hypotheticals. I don't think this is appropriate under the rules.

THE COURT: You are getting into a little bit of hypothetical. I mean, you can ask the question maybe in another way.

MR. ADAMS: Sure. Have you thought you've heard -- have you heard someone say something and you felt they didn't really mean it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Of course.

MR. ADAMS: How could you tell? How would you try to figure out whether they meant it or that it was hot air or -- or they didn't mean it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: It's context; context, body language, an understanding of the situation.

MR. ADAMS: An understanding of the person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yeah, if I knew the person, certainly.

MR. ADAMS: How would you try to figure that out if you did not know the person?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -22-

up.

the other factors are absolutely necessary for me to make any kind of an assessment. If you add anything else it would be just emotion.

MR. ADAMS: Would you require someone to get up and explain their words to you to understand the context?

This appears dangerous.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: There's a little set screw behind it.

MR. ADAMS: I'm going to let Mr. Gentile mess with that when he's back

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Yes. You know, if it's going to be children, obviously you have certain rights there and a responsibility to move them in a right direction, so I would ask where that was coming from.

In another case if it's -- it's something I need not get involved in, that's something else. And if I have a responsibility to an employee or at -- or at work, then obviously I need to assess the situation because of other employees that may be affected.

MR. ADAMS: So I in a situation of your child to provide the proper guidance, you would -- you would need an answer from them? In the context of -- and I think everybody understands that. In the context of the courtroom -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: Uh-huh.

MR. ADAMS: -- if you were a juror and you were asked to assess the credibility and you were told the defendant never has to testify and you can't hold that against them, how do you think you'd react in that situation in assessing the context?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: If -- if -- and I've got to ask another question for your question. If there's --

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 sides.

MR. ADAMS: All right. The Judge will tell you that the defendant never has to prove anything. The burden of proof is always on the State. If there were a question about it, would you be able to say the State's got to be the one to prove that, not the defense?

representing that? There -- what I'm trying to say is it doesn't necessarily have to

come from the individual, but it has to come from those -- those folks that are

representing them and if there's a clarity between what's happening on both

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: If there is information that's necessary

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I don't have a problem with that.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. Pardon me for just a second.

that's going to come from that side of the court, will someone else be

Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. ADAMS: Sure.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

We are on Ms. Golmassian.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Judge, before they question me can I just say that I'd like to be excused from jury duty because of finance -- extreme financial hardship. I spoke with my supervisor yesterday, and as you know I work at the college teaching writing at the writing center.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: And I'm a part time at will employee. And they're not going to cover this, the -- my pay over these next two weeks and that's -- that's -- I freelance write and I do that. I don't have any freelance work coming up, so I'm relying solely on that income, that part time income, and it's

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

so --

THE COURT: And you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- it will put my job in jeopardy --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- and --

THE COURT: And you teach a writing workshop?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I teach at the writing center, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And what hours do you teach?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I teach on Monday eight to seven. I teach on Wednesday ten to seven. It depends on as they need me, but Fridays, I'm there on Fridays, and then on the weekends as well.

THE COURT: Okay. So obviously you can work on the weekends.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I can, but that's not enough money to cover. This would be extremely disastrous to -- to me. I don't know how I would -- I mean, I would -- I would not be able to pay my rent. It's that serious. And I -- I wanted to check with my supervisor before I said anything because yesterday you did tell the court that, you know, hey, you know, we can't have a room full of people walking out because of financial reasons.

THE COURT: Right. And you can appreciate that both sides have a right to a cross section of our community, not --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I totally --

THE COURT: -- just people --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- understand.

THE COURT: -- who are retired or people who work in one industry, like I said. City, State, and County employees get paid anyway, but we can't have a jury of just those people or just wealthy people or --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -26-

702.635.0301 -27-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

THE COURT: -- ability to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- about it.

THE COURT: -- judge others?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: See, when I came here to the courtroom, I -- I have never been selected for jury duty before and I didn't know how I was going to respond to this. It's my civic duty to come here and I was here. I didn't know I was going to be in the jury box. So I -- I sat here and -- and thought about it the whole day.

THE COURT: And also people are subject to contempt of court if they don't appear.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Right. Right. So I was here and, you know, I showed up and here I am and I thought about it yesterday. I also did contact, you know, my supervisor to make sure that, you know, I -- I, you know, wasn't going to -- to be paid for it. And then I contacted, you know, my --

THE COURT: So basically you've --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- temple.

THE COURT: -- already missed Monday and Tuesday, which is today and yesterday. I'm sorry, you've missed Tuesday, today is Wednesday. You don't work Wednesdays or Thursdays.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I -- I do work Wednesdays.

THE COURT: Oh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I'm trying to work as much as I can, actually, because they've -- with the budget cuts they've had to let go of some people and so they're letting me work extra hours so I'm trying to come in as much --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -29-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -30-

Mr. Arrascada. Thank you.

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes, Your Honor.

I want to make sure I pronounce your name right. Ms. Golmassian? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Ms. Golmassian.

MR. ARRASCADA: Golmaska. I'm going to butcher that, so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Golmassian.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- ma'am, may I -- Golnasia? Okay. I, unfortunately, no one in my family dodged the bullet in law school. It's like a shotgun that hit our family. Sister, father, everyone. You -- you were talking about your religious beliefs and passing judgment on people.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: And then at the end you made a comment to Mr. DiGiacomo about I don't judge other people or some -- or you -- you made a comment. What was that comment you made to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I believe I said I don't pass judgment on other people. I don't.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Well, the prosecutors ask, coming down the line, everybody about are you able to pass judgment. And I'm assuming all of you have this conception, and you do, that a trial is about passing judgment in kind of a moral aspect. Is that how you were talking about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes, in -- in -- yes. Because that's what it would be ultimately. It would be determining somebody else's fate. And it's sort of a karmic issue if -- I -- I don't want to take any active role in changing that person's -- changing that person's fate. And especially in this kind of situation it just would be wrong for me, would feel wrong for me.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -32-

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. From a moral standpoint, is that -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: From a -- yes, from a religious
standpoint. It's just -- it's one of the precepts. We -- we don't do that, and that
also includes in this kind of situation, yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Keeping in mind your religious beliefs, in your day to day life are you allowed or are you able to gather facts and make decision on the weightier affairs in your life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes. In my own life, yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And those are done because they're important decisions based on the information that you have, or how is that done?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I'm sorry. Can you --

MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: -- rephrase that?

MR. ARRASCADA: You're making an important decision in your life.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: How do you go about processing to make that decision of, yes, I'm going to buy the house or, yes, I'm going to -- I need to have this elective surgery done. How do you go about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Well, it's a personal decision based on -- you know, it's a case by case thing. If I -- you know, if I -- well, I don't want to say case, but based on the situation I determine what would be the best decision for myself.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And do you try and gather as much factual information as you can?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And then make a well informed decision based on that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Surprisingly, when this case is over, if you have the privilege of being on this jury, you don't have a judgment form. What it is a verdict form. And what you're doing is all of you are going to be making decisions based on the facts and the law that's provided by the Judge. Is that somehow similar to what you were just talking about making important decisions in your life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: It's more similar to what I was talking about when I said I can't pass judgment on anybody, including making a verdict.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. So even if you're processing facts and applying the law to make a decision and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Not on anybody else's life. On my own I can do that, but not anybody else's.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. I appreciate that. Have you ever heard someone suggest that the law be broken?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Explain.

MR. ARRASCADA: Or may --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Can you --

MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah. Sort of like as Mr. Adams was speaking with Mr. Marcella, you hear someone say, my God, that person has me so angry I could just ring their neck. Have you ever heard someone say something of that nature in your life or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Not -- not like -- not in a -- in a silly

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: It depends on the context. And like I said, I don't remember a particular instance of -- of anyone saying that. The last time I've heard it, you just said it. And that -- this is the context that it's in, so --

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And when you play out -- when you're determining context, what -- what thought process goes into that for you? What is it that you need?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: When I'm determining context? Can you --

MR. ARRASCADA: You mentioned is it said in jest? What makes you believe something is said like that in jest?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: It depends on the context, and there are a lot of factors that go into the context.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And what are those factors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: And I can reiterate what everybody else said here. I mean, it's kind of obvious as to what kind things that people -- situational, you know, factors that come into play.

MR. ARRASCADA: So you agree with what Mr. Marcella was saying when he described the factors he would look at?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Well, as we've gone through this process, now we're in our second day of selecting a jury, as you're well aware, this case is what's also known as the Palomino murder for hire case. Has this discussion that I've had with you or that the Judge has had or the prosecutors have had or anyone else and Mr. Gentile's had, has it jogged your memory if you've read anything about this -- this case?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -38-

MS. ARMENI: So if you thought -- if -- if you committed a crime or thought maybe the cops were going to talk to you, that would be something -- would you consider that serious?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes, I think so.

MS. ARMENI: Can you think of a situation, and I know you haven't had -- this is different than what all the other questions that have been asked.

MS. ARMENI: Can you think of a situation, and I know you haven't had -- this is different than what all the other questions that have been asked. You probably didn't think of an answer to this, but can you think of a situation that maybe if you were in fear or you were scared of something that maybe you wouldn't do the right thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: No.

MS. ARMENI: You think you would always do the right thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I don't know.

MS. ARMENI: Well, let me ask you, do you think that fear would play -- if you were fearful or if you were scared, do you think maybe you would make the same choice you would make if you weren't scared?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I have no way of knowing that.

MS. ARMENI: Do you think it affects -- would affect you at all, or do you think you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: 1 -- I don't know.

MS. ARMENI: Okay. So you think you -- you don't know in that -- are you saying maybe that you would -- would you have to be in that situation to know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I think so.

MS. ARMENI: Is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yeah, that's fair.

1	court, being a witness, things of that nature?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: For me to be a witness?
3	MR. PESCI: No, did did they
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Or for them?
5	MR. PESCI: talk about their experience about coming to court and
6	maybe being a witness?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I would I I don't remember. This
8	happened eight or nine years ago.
9	MR. PESCI: Okay. Overall, as far as you know, was your dad and your
10	sister, were they happy with the outcome?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Oh, yes. Yes.
12	MR. PESCI: Your sister was never charged with anything?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.
14	MR. PESCI: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No, it was self defense.
16	MR. PESCI: All right. You said that you bar tend?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.
18	MR. PESCI: And you've been doing it for quite some time?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.
20	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do people sometimes talk a lot to you when they're
21	at the bar?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.
23	MR. PESCI: Sometimes are they under the influence of alcohol when
24	they're doing that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Correct, yes.
	•

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -43-

tell you some interesting stories.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.

MR. PESCI: Sometimes do you think that those are credible and sometimes do you think that they're not credible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I don't think about it.

MR. PESCI: You don't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.

MR. PESCI: You try not to spend too much time talking with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: It's not that. It's -- I never dwell upon what people say. I don't think about what they say.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Now, there's been some back and forth about the wording of this, but if you're a juror, there -- there isn't a judgment form that you sign, it's a verdict form. But in coming to that verdict, you, as jurors, make a judgment as to the evidence. And you make a judgment as to whether or not the State's proven this case. Do you have any problem doing that when it will have an effect on another human being?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes, I do.

MR. PESCI: Why is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I normally don't judge. Normally I never judge. People tell -- tell me stories or whatever they want. Whatever makes them happy, that's fine with me.

MR. PESCI: I think you told me you have three kids.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes, I do.

MR. PESCI: And they're not grown up, but they're old enough to interact with each other quite a bit?

Mr. Arrascada or Mr. Adams.

MR. ARRASCADA: Sure.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. McLaughlin; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: In the beginning you were kind of -- you were asked by the prosecutor that global question is anything popping into your mind after you heard all of this, and you said, I'm good. I'm going to ask what do you mean

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I'm fine. I -- nothing has popped in

MR. ARRASCADA: You're listening.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: And nothing's popped into your head that -- nothing popped into your head that you felt you needed to talk about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: [inaudible].

MR. ARRASCADA: Let's talk a little bit about this whole concept of judgment. As you sit here are you viewing that as a religious or a moral play in

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I have most of my life, even as a child, never spent much time judging other people. People tell me stories and that's fine, whatever makes them happy. I don't think one way or another about

MR. ARRASCADA: When you're making important decisions in your

life --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- weightier decisions such as buying a home where my kids, you know, go to college or helping them in that decision, would you agree that those types or decisions are you're coming to a judgment decision on what you should do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes. When it comes to my -- my children and myself, yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: And when you're doing that, what do you do to make that right decision because it's so important?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I look at everything and hope for the best.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And then you reach a decision -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- is that right? Okay. And that's the type of judgment that you may be called to reach if you have the privilege of serving on this jury. Is that something you'd be able to do? Listen to all the facts, gather the information, and make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: And if you made a decision, and, as I said, if you have the privilege of being on the jury you've got 12 other folks with you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- and if your decision is different than everyone else's, would you be able to stay with that decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -48-

friendly with, but in that work environment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes, they're customers.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And if one of those customers that you're friendly with, you know by a first name, had said, listen, I'm so angry today, give me a beer, I could just ring my loan officer's neck. How would you interpret that being as it's someone you know and he's there to talk to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Somebody who's angry, upset, frustrated.

MR. ARRASCADA: Would you believe that he's serious?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Like I said, I don't -- I wouldn't -- no, I just don't care. I mean, if -- if -- my life is my three kids and myself. I listen to stories all day long. Whether they're true or not, that's not up for me to decide.

MR. ARRASCADA: But would you be able to, if you have the privilege of being on this jury, listen to everything and make a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: I believe I could.

MR. ARRASCADA: I asked the question prior, now that you've been sitting here for a day and a half or a day, I guess, total if you look at the time, has any discussion in this courtroom amongst your fellow potential juror's questions from myself of the prosecutor or Mr. Gentile and Ms. Armeni or the Court, has any of that jogged your memory about the Palomino case that we're here for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No, because I don't read the newspaper or watch the news.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And do you have any recollection, if any of your customers or if anyone discussed it at the bar?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.

1	would imagine.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.
3	MS. ARMENI: And what kind of things have you seen when people are
4	intoxicated? What kind of things do they do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Well, urinate on themselves, defecate
6	on themselves, throw up, pass out.
7	MS. ARMENI: Do you see people do people do you feel like people
8	get more brave when they start when they're intoxicated, start talking smack a
9	little easier?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yeah. Yes.
11	MS. ARMENI: Have you ever seen people fight at your bar?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Once.
13	MS. ARMENI: Have you ever heard of the term whiskey muscles?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.
15	MS. ARMENI: Well, basically, what I was asking about the intoxication,
16	people kind of get that bravo sense, right, when they're when they're
17	intoxicated? They're more willing
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes, but most people that I've that
19	get drunk, at least around me or my bar experience, not so much for fighting but
20	just to talk to women.
21	MS. ARMENI: Oh, that's what they do at your bar?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Most of the time.
23	MS. ARMENI: Now
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Most people don't fight.
25	MS. ARMENI: Well, that's good. You've got a calm bar then.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -52-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -55-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -56[inaudible]. I don't think I asked you if you had an opinion about the strip club industry. Do you have an opinion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I feel sorry for the women that have no self respect that they are -- are in there. And yet I understand on the flip side it's a business.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And are you catching her or do you need her to speak up?

THE RECORDER: Yeah, I was just thinking that myself.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I can come get it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I can project.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That'll make it a little easier.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Thank you.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And obviously, you know, whatever somebody's opinion of someone's choice in their career or what type of business you're in, in this particular case whether or not you think the strip club business is a good idea or a bad idea, can you set aside those opinions and look at the evidence that's presented to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I can. I mean, we're going to have to address this not to pass judgment --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: -- on people. I feel a camaraderie because I have an hour and a half of sleep because I thought I would be the only one that would feel this way. So I feel a camaraderie. Not for the same reasons.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Let me -- maybe I can -- maybe I can -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: So just FYI.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -57-

23

24

25

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right. Well, then I'm going to go there and ask the questions. And maybe as an explanation, you know, there are passing judgments in the sense of determining somebody's sentence, and there is passing judgment in the sense of returning a verdict in a criminal trial where the Judge gives you the law, you look at the facts, you apply the two of those together, and you make a determination of what happened.

And if that determination is such that you feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge and we've proved our case beyond a reasonable doubt, then you check that box and you raise your hand and you say guilty. And if you don't, you say, hey, I have a doubt, I just -- I don't know. Then you check that box that says not guilty.

So maybe it's the term using judgment that's part of the problem. But from the look on your face I can tell that there's more to it, so why don't you explain to me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I was raised at age four because of something that happened in my family that you do not judge people or someone will be hurt.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And as Dr. Phil would say, as a child you have a clean slate and your parents write on that slate to what you become, and this is so ingrained in me.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And I can further if you want explanation.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, I -- I mean, I understand where you're coming

from, you know, as a personal --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: This is --

MR. DIGIACOMO: As a moral point of view or as your -- as your make up as a person you say I don't want to judge. But you do agree with me, I would think, that we live in a society that has laws; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That is correct.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And as citizens of our community, there's -- I mean, you don't want prosecutors like myself and Mr. Pesci making a decision as to who is guilty or not guilty; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: If you can do it all the more power to you.

THE COURT: I think what Mr. DiGiacomo is getting at is at the conclusion of the case, if you're selected, I'll read the law, the instructions on the law.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I understand that.

THE COURT: And as a jury you don't have to make a determination, and we ask you not to, of whether you agree with the law or it's a good law or you would change the law. You are to accept the instructions on the law as I give to you, and then along with your fellow jurors.

You are to consider the evidence which, once again, is the testimony and the exhibits and determine whether or not the State has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. It doesn't involve making a moral determination.

It's beyond, you know, what's implicit in that. But do you understand that? And then the question of sentencing is up to the Court, not up to the jury.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Thank you. And, yes, I do understand that. For me, I don't know what I can say about yesterday, but you asked me a question and I fixated on one word in that and I answered how I felt. When I was --

MR. DIGIACOMO: You can tell me what the word is.

THE COURT: You can tell us what the word was, not the question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Fair.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And I said yes, and I meant it. I didn't even get to that second door out there and my stomach just -- it was so knotted. I made a beeline to that bathroom. I mean, I was just -- it was almost in a panic state. And only because it took me -- I couldn't figure out why. And it took me all night to figure out. And it was -- I just -- it was a feeling of judgment, the word judgment.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And I guess the question is, at the end of the day, if you wind up in that back room, whether -- whatever what you feel, whether it's guilty or not guilty, can you raise your hand and vote? Because we got to know that now.

THE COURT: Because one way or the other you're making a choice. Did the State prove it or did the State not prove it beyond a reasonable doubt. And, again, that's explained to you what exactly that means in the instructions.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Mentally, I can separate that. Emotionally, I don't know if I can. I wrestled with this question all night long. And because I don't have -- I'm afraid of a repercussion of me.

MR. DIGIACOMO: What do you mean by a repercussion of you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: When this trial is over with, because how I feel inside, it's a gut thing, how am I going to handle this the rest of my life either way. Because I don't see this. I mean, there are more than just these two gentlemen and their family's lives here that is at a balance. And -- and I don't know. And because I don't know, I mean, I don't have a religious background. If you absolutely have to have a yes or a no right now, I'd have to say no.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And unfortunately for us, at this time, this is the last chance the lawyers are going to be talking to you directly.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Can I have some water?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No, I have on here. I just --

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, you have it with you?

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. DIGIACOMO: She was asking if she can have water.

THE COURT: You know what actually I needed a break anyway, not related to the discussion that's going on. So let's go ahead and take a quick, just about a ten or so minute break.

And, ladies and gentlemen, before you leave, once again I need to remind you of the admonishment that during our very brief break don't discuss anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. Don't read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject relating to the case. Please don't form or express an opinion.

And if everyone will please follow Officer Wooten ---Where is he?

Well, just go through the double doors. The other officer will -- will lead you out.

(Recess taken at 10:59 a.m.)

(Off-record colloquy)

THE COURT: All right. On the record, the State wanted to challenge prospective juror No. 7 for cause.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, first, on the record, we wanted to challenge prospective juror No. 5, Ms. Golmassian for cause.

THE COURT: All right. And I think we had agreed at the bench that no one was going to oppose a for cause challenge on Ms. Golmassian as well as a hardship.

MR. GENTILE: I agreed, but I don't think that --

THE COURT: Mr. Adams.

MR. ADAMS: Well, after we heard the rest of her answers, I became convinced that she's a conscientious juror and would be able to serve and we would ask that she be qualified.

THE COURT: Okay. I was going to excuse her anyway on a hardship basis, but, as you know, I didn't want to do that until we qualified everyone else because that would encourage other people to have the same excuses.

So I'm not going to excuse Ms. Golmassian until we've got 13 qualified in the box. At that point I'm going to excuse her and then bring another and we'll have the 14. Hopefully at some point in the next year or so we'll have 14 and we can begin our peremptory challenges.

Now, on juror No. 7, did the State wish to make a for cause challenge at this time?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, Judge, I am going to make a for cause challenge, but I'm also not done questioning --

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- her. So the -- the choice of the Court as to whether or not you want me to make a record now, but I -- I think at this point --

THE COURT: I'll just say --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- she said she can't do it --

THE COURT: Well, my --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- but she hasn't really told us why.

THE COURT: My inclination is that at this point you don't have enough for a for cause challenge because she said, you know, she doesn't want to, she doesn't want to sit in judgment. But at the end of the day, she can go back there and make a determination one way or the other. So I don't think you have enough for a for cause challenge at this point.

But that's my -- my opinion now. You're free to keep questioning her. And, obviously, unless the defense agrees to a for cause challenge, they have a right to question her and try to re -- you know, rehabilitate her.

But I think, you know, what I'm hearing from her is it would be difficult, she feels uncomfortable, she felt nauseated or physically ill yesterday, but that it's not impossible.

And as, I think both sides can appreciate, it's not uncommon for jurors to find this very difficult and to be, you know, stressed out and -- and ill and everything like that. I'm not satisfied that it's just such an extent that her health demands that she be excused. I know that's not what you're saying, but I don't -- I don't hear it yet, so --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -63-

Okay. Let's bring them in.

Anything else? No? Okay.

MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.

MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, will you have the need for lawyers for the remainder of the day?

THE COURT: I told him I don't need any lawyers. It's like P&P, those reports from P&P.

MS. ARMENI: I'll remember that.

THE COURT: On both ways. Sometimes they're --

(Prospective jury panel enters at 11:15 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. The record will reflect the presence of the State through the Deputy District Attorneys, the presence of both defendants along with their counsel, the officers of the court, and the members of the prospective jury panel.

Before Mr. DiGiacomo resumes his questioning, I do need to admonish everyone, the individuals in the jury box as well as the ladies and gentlemen seated in the audience. As you know, these proceedings are being recorded and our court recorder, Ms. Olsen, needs to hear what's being said for the record, meaning what I say, what the lawyers say, and what the prospective jurors say.

There are microphones located around the courtroom, and when we have jurors talking to one another in the box or jurors talking to one another in the audience and making comments, that can get picked up and it makes Ms.

Olsen's job of having an accurate recording very, very difficult.

So I know it can be boring just sitting out there in the audience

listening to the same questions over and over again. I have a joke, voir dire means to tell the truth, I like to say voir dire means the really boring part. I know it can be long and frustrating, but I really need all of you to please be quiet during the questioning of the other prospective jurors because we're having interference on our court recording. All right? Thank you.

Mr. DiGiacomo, you may resume your questioning of Mr. Urbanick.
MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.

And I'm sorry. I know it's a terrible position to have to sit in. Right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I -- Your Honor, I'm sorry. And I apologize to everyone in here.

THE COURT: And, again, Mr. Urbanick, there is no right or wrong answer. We're try -- you know, it's how you feel, it's what you're opinion is. And so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: It's who I am.

THE COURT: -- by definition, there can't be a right answer or a wrong answer. But both sides are entitled to delve in. And, again, as I told you in the beginning, it can become quite personal. And we recognize people aren't used to necessarily speaking in public and it can become maybe embarrassing or difficult, but both sides are entitled to have a full inquiry as you can understand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Absolutely.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So, Mr. DiGiacomo.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I'm here. Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Now, we've had a little break, you've gone outside, you've come back in. And I think right when we stopped you were saying if I had to give my answer today it would be no.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And that is correct.

MR. DIGIACOMO: After having sat out there, sat outside for a little while and then coming back in, do you still agree with that statement that if you had to make -- if you had to say to yourself today could I do this, the answer is no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That is correct.

MR. DIGIACOMO: One of the things that you also said was this isn't just about deciding the -- the fate of two individuals that are sitting over at those tables; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And their family.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And their family; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Uh-huh.

MR. DIGIACOMO: You -- how do I phrase this? The Court has told you that punishment is not something that can be considered by a jury when deciding guilt or innocence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Uh-huh. Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And I'm sure she's going to instruct you that -- that your verdict can't be influenced by sympathy, prejudice, or some other foreign influence on the facts of the case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes, I heard that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And I -- and -- and certainly there are affects to a -- to a jury verdict in a number of ways, you know, not just for the individuals standing in this room, but, you know, there's a victim, there's -- there's all kinds of affects of a jury that we ask that jury to set aside and make a determination. And what you're telling the Court is that when you look deep into your heart you say to yourself I can't do that? Is that a fair characterization?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -66-

THE COURT: -- because there is a diff --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I couldn't --

THE COURT: -- difference --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I couldn't --

THE COURT: -- between it being difficult and it being gut-wrenching and traumatic and not doing it. Do you understand what I'm saying? Because for many, many people everyone appreciates that this is a -- I mean, it's a civic duty, but it's also a grave responsibility to sit on a jury. And to -- it's -- it's important and everyone appreciates that. So do you see what I'm saying? I mean, no one is here to say that it can't be difficult and there's nothing wrong with that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I understand that. For me, it -- I've had three times in my life where I have absolutely felt this way. And the repercussion of those times I can't let go of. It runs over and over and over in my head. And I just can't let go of it. And I understand it's my own torture, but that's who I am.

THE COURT: Okay. And I -- I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And if I pick guilty or not guilty, this is going to run over and over again the rest of my life because it isn't just one set. I don't know how to explain it.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. DiGiacomo.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I'll submit.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. -- who wants to go first? Mr. Gentile, thank you.

MR. GENTILE: Is it Mrs. Urbanick?

1	before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I have not. I have never even been
3	summonsed. This is the first time.
4	MR. GENTILE: So it's really the consequences to someone that flow
5	from whatever decision you make that has you feeling [inaudible]?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: The consequences to their life, yeah.
7	MR. GENTILE: And you would
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes.
9	MR. GENTILE: carry that as a consequence of your life?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Isn't that sad?
11	MR. GENTILE: No, I think
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: But, yes.
13	MR. GENTILE: it's wonderful, to be honest with you. Okay? I mean,
14	that believe me when I tell you everybody at a different level is going to feel the
15	way that you feel. Maybe not to the same level that you do. Can we get you to
16	come to grips with that? Because it doesn't sound like you're going to have any
17	trouble listening to the evidence. You're not telling us that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No. No.
19	MR. GENTILE: Okay. And it doesn't have doesn't sound like you're
20	going to have any trouble in terms of once the Judge tells you what reasonable
21	doubt is weighing that evidence
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That's
23	MR. GENTILE: right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That is correct.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -70-

25

MR. GENTILE: What you're telling us is that the trouble isn't going to

start until after you weigh the evidence and you make your decision as to whether it meets your reasonable doubt standard.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I don't know if I can make a decision because although I hear this, you're talking of rewiring 50 years.

MR. GENTILE: I'm sorry. I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: You don't understand.

MR. GENTILE: No, tell me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: Tell us.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I was raised until I was 11 years old in a very small farming community, all white. In the late '50s my aunt married a black man. And my parents drilled into us, my two sisters and myself, you do not --

MR. GENTILE: It was a big breakthrough.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: You just don't -- you don't make choices, you just -- against people. You don't --

MR. GENTILE: You're not making choices against people here. And I'm the person who represents this man and I'm telling you that your role here isn't to make a choice against him. Okay? Your role here is to make a determination as to how much proof there is and are you satisfied with it. To look into the proof, to look behind it to see if it's something you believe. Just because somebody is saying it doesn't mean you believe it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Would you repeat that, please?

MR. GENTILE: I -- if I can. Just because some -- your -- your job is to look at the proof and behind it, to see what it means, to see if it's something that

you can embrace as something that you can believe to a pretty high degree of satisfaction. That's your job. Okay? To look behind what's being said, at who is saying it, and do you believe them. Now, these are decisions that you have to make about people you don't know, but we need people that can do that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I can -- of course I sit here and make judgments on people in my mind, but when you're so hardwired that you don't let those judgments be known, not to judge the people.

MR. GENTILE: Can you appreciate -- I mean, first of all, everybody here respects you, and I'm sure that everybody here agrees, we respect you immensely because you've been honest and open. That's what we need more than anything at this stage. Both sides do. Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Uh-huh.

MR. GENTILE: But by the same token, because we respect you and because we appreciate it, we're trying to explain more of our system. So at the end if you can't do it, we -- then you can't do it. All right? But it -- but I think maybe it's the mechanics of this. You haven't sat as a juror before and that's the reason I'm going into just exactly what the mechanics of what's going to happen.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I understand that. I do.

MR. GENTILE: You've watched enough television for that; is that it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I guess so. I -- I just -- I just don't know what's going to happen behind that closed door. And like I said to him --

MR. GENTILE: You're not alone.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: -- if I have to make -- I understand that. But if I -- I know right now I am passing judgment. I have to go back and in my mind absolutely try. And trust me, I try. And I think that's where the emotion

1 came from. It's rewiring me. 2 MR. GENTILE: Let's go --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I'm not trying to get out of this. I'm really not. I don't have the economic thing. I just -- I'm just telling you I have a 4 5 real problem. 6 MR. GENTILE: Okay. 7 THE COURT: May I see counsel at the bench please. 8 (Conference at the bench) 9 MR. GENTILE: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gentile. 11 Mr. Adams. 12 MR, ADAMS: Thank you. Hi, Ms. Urbanick. I just want to explore this a little bit further with 13 14 you. And do you understand why we're so interested in this? In your feelings? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No. 16 MR. ADAMS: Are you a conscientious person? Do you view yourself as 17 being conscientious? Would you be --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I never --19 MR. ADAMS: -- surprised to know --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: -- thought about it. 21 MR. ADAMS: -- that your answers may sound to others as if you're 22 very -- a very conscientious person, a very careful person, a person who really 23 considers and thinks about things? Would that surprise you if somebody 24 assessed you that way? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: No.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -73-

MR. ADAMS: And what I'd like to ask you about is some of the stuff you've been talking to the other lawyers about. You were saying to the Judge that you weren't sure if you could make a decision back in the jury room; right? One way or another, you didn't know if you could decide not guilty or you could decide to convict two people.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That is correct.

MR. ADAMS: Can you think of a situation or are you open to the fact that there might be so much proof that that could be a very easy decision? That there can be eye witnesses, there can be DNA, there can be videotape, that it would be very, very easy to convict someone based on the facts that you hear in the courtroom and the law that the Judge gives you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Intellectually, yes.

MR. ADAMS: And it's just in your gut that you're not so sure?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: That is correct.

MR. ADAMS: And are you also -- and you're also -- the government can present a case and there's no evidence, nothing makes sense, and that that might be an easy situation for jurors to find somebody not guilty. Intellectually, you get that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, I think the issue isn't for jurors. It's for her.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

MR. ADAMS: Right. And that's what I was -- thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. And the question I have for you is the question the Judge prompted -- helped me with. For you, in that situation where there is a tremendous amount of proof, could you do it? Could you find somebody guilty

after you've heard all the evidence or are you really saying there's just no way you could do that even for somebody really, really guilty, no question about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Does that pertain to this case?

MR. ADAMS: Well --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I mean, I just --

MR. ADAMS: -- you haven't heard any evidence in this case. That's the hard part. I know. It's -- it's kind of unfair; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Well, like I said, I don't sit there and see these two. There's a missing part. There's just a whole other family. And to go in there and decide somebody gets hurt, I just --

THE COURT: So your fear is the family of the defendants or the family of the victim and what impact your decision could have on those people that you may or may not know about or see. Is that kind of what you're saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes. It -- it's making a judgment, and when that happens, someone is going to get hurt. And I know I keep saying that because that is just what was so drummed into me as -- as a child having to fight off discrimination.

MR. ADAMS: All right. And having to fight off stereotyping?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes.

MR. ADAMS: And what we're talking about is something different.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: And I -- I totally understand that.

Emotionally I'm trying to get to the point. And like I said last night, I'm trying to make sense of this that I can come in here and I'm just struggling, obviously.

MR. ADAMS: Well, we -- we are. We're struggling with you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I'm sorry.

MR. ADAMS: No, there's --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: | -- | --

MR. ADAMS: -- again, there is no reason to be sorry for anything. You should feel sorry if you didn't bring this up, you know, because we all want to know. We all want to know that. So I guess -- I guess I have to get back to the million dollar question for you. At the end of the day, without having heard any -- any evidence or lack of evidence, are you open to the idea that you would be able to make a determination in the jury room one way or the other based on all the facts and all the law that the Judge gives you? Is that a possibility for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: A possibility?

MR. ADAMS: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: If I could hang in there intellectually and do that and not have emotion come in, of which I know you come through and you say you can't do the emotion. I don't know if I can cut that out.

THE COURT: All --

MR. ADAMS: If you were selected and you --

May I have one more question?

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were done.

MR. ADAMS: If you were selected and you went back there and you decided that you could reach a judgment, you would; correct? If you heard all the evidence and you said, yeah, I can do this one? Well, let me ask it this way. If you went back there and you decided I can't decide one way or another, I told them that, I don't know why I'm on this jury, I can't make the decision. Could you then at least send a note to the Judge and let her know, you know, I'm having this situation and I'm not going to be able to decide on way or another? Could

1	going in my head at the same time, so I don't know that that's ever been an
2	issue.
3	MR. PESCI: Okay, so you don't
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I can focus on all 12.
5	MR. PESCI: You don't foresee it being an issue here then?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, not that.
7	MR. PESCI: All right. The State calls witnesses, we bring them in, and
8	we present the evidence. We have the burden. Do you have any problem with
9	that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No.
11	MR. PESCI: The defendant does not have to do a thing. Do you have
12	any problem with that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, that's the rule.
14	THE RECORDER: Ma'am, could you hold the mic up closer to your face
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Oh, sure. I can also yell.
16	THE COURT: We'll just let you use the microphone.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Oh, thank you.
18	MR. PESCI: There's been some questions about a lot of different topics.
19	We're focusing for a second on firearms. Are you that person that has such a
20	strong feeling about firearms one way or the other?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes, I have very strong feelings about
22	firearms.
23	MR. PESCI: Would those strong feelings make it such that you would
24	automatically find somebody guilty or automatically find somebody not guilty
25	when there is a firearm involved?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -79-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -80-

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I -- I have -- I have -- as I've gotten older -- I mean. I thought about this a lot last night because it's important. I mean, the whole judicial system is important. And as I've gotten older there's a handful of things that I just -- I'm not going to be fair about anymore. I just made decisions about them. I don't like them. I think they're bad. These are my opinions. Everybody has a right. MR. PESCI: I'm going to go out on a limb. The strip club is one of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yes.

MR. PESCI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Well, yeah. Uh-huh.

MR. PESCI: All right. Now, this case deals with strip clubs and you've heard that from the other side. And you have your opinion about strip clubs and that's fine, and I'm sure they'll explore it some more with you.

But the question I think that the Court and either side wants to know is because of that feeling will you automatically find guilt or innocence and can you be fair even though you have those feelings?

Because you -- you can think of strip clubs as despicable, you can think it's great for the economy. Whichever way you're on this issue, the question is can you just limit it to the evidence that will come in as opposed to your feelings about strip clubs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I guess my answer would be no because my feelings don't just come to -- aren't about what happens at strip clubs, it's about people that choose to own and operate them, perpetuate the whole scenario.

MR. PESCI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: So I guess to be honest, no. That's one of the things I've made a real clear decision about in my life.

MR. PESCI: That you don't feel highly or you don't think highly of -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Oh, gosh, no.

MR. PESCI: -- of the industry and the people involved in the industry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Correct.

MR. PESCI: And particularly I think you just talked about the owners.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yeah, because they're the ones that started it.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you accept that the -- the fact that, as the Judge has told you, determination can only be made based on the evidence? And I think you were just asked or the other juror was just asked about whether, you know, there could be DNA, there could be video and making a determination that could be easier or not as easy. Could you promise the Court to make your determination on the evidence and the evidence alone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: No, I can't. No. I mean, I'd love to and maybe when I was younger I could've. Because I've -- I've just made decisions on certain things. I mean, I thought about it and thought about it last night. I spent time searching whether -- because I place a high value on fairness, but there's a handful of things I'm just not going to do that with.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Does -- do those handful of things besides strip clubs, do some of them deal with drugs or alcohol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Drugs, illicit drugs.

MR. PESCI: All right. And what are illicit drugs --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Illegal.

1	MR. PESCI: You'd have a
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: I'd have a problem, but I'd do it.
3	MR. PESCI: Meaning
4	THE COURT: When you say you'd have a problem, what do you mean
5	you'd have a problem?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Personally I'd have a problem.
7	THE COURT: Why is that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Because of how I feel about these
9	issues. But I would do it because that's the law.
10	MR. PESCI: Right. And and when I say it's it's our burden, if we
11	just this is going to be really bad vernacular, if our case just falls on its face, do
12	you even though you have these personal things, can you say, well, the State
13	didn't prove it beyond a reasonable doubt? And the Judge will tell you what that
14	standard is.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 012: Yeah, I'd have to, but I wouldn't like it.
16	MR. PESCI: Okay. Thank you.
17	MR. ADAMS: Judge, may we approach?
18	THE COURT: Yeah.
19	(Conference at the bench)
20	THE COURT: All right. I believe next up is Mr. Williams.
21	Oh, no, I didn't mean to forget about you.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Avalone.
23	THE COURT: See, you're out of order on my sheet.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah, I know. I'm 30.
25	THE COURT: Next up is Mr. Avalone. All right.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -84-

702.635.0301 -85-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -86there will be a witness who was a former co-defendant in this case. Merely because the person at one point in time was a defendant and now is testifying, can you still judge her credibility and determine whether or not she's telling the truth or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Co-defendant?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: As far as --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, I mean, there are some people who say, you know, hey, she was a co-defendant, now she's testifying for the State. The fact that she was involved I'm just going to wholly reject her testimony. And if the Judge tells you that's not the law, that you can certainly consider the fact that she was involved with criminal activity but you have to judge her credibility, we need to know that you can do that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: You're willing to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I can be fair about it.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right. You'd be willing to listen to her and say, hey --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- yeah, I know she was a co-defendant, but let's see if what she's saying makes sense. You could do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I've stood up here for the better part of two days now. Is there anything I've asked or the attorneys have asked that you think may be important and we should know about your ability to be a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I can be fair.

MS. ARMENI: Well, let me ask you this.

MS. ARMENI: And on the flip side, to flip it on you, based on those

1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah, that all depends on what you want. You got to see who is who and, of course, you know, ask around, you know.

MS. ARMENI: And would it be your first instinct to go to a lawyer in -- in those -- in any type of -- in -- in a -- in a situation that was -- could be life altering for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.

MS. ARMENI: And why would that be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: If -- if it was relating to family, you want to think of doing something wrong, but, you know, that's -- your freedom is the best thing in this world, and your help, let the attorneys deal with it.

MS. ARMENI: And what do you mean -- you said when you're dealing with family. Can you -- can you explain that a little bit more?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Well, that's the only time I've questioned, you know, doing something to somebody, you know. If they hurt my kids or my family then your first reaction is maybe to do some damage, you know.

MS. ARMENI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Not kill them, but, you know -- you know, what I mean, that's just --

THE COURT: Depending on the cameras.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Right. You know what I'm saying.

It's -- it's -- that's when you -- you may not think straight. When you see someone real close to you get hurt, you know, you may react the wrong way, you know.

MS. ARMENI: And if you were afraid -- you bring up a good point. So if you -- you do have kids. And if you were afraid for your kids or you were afraid for a situation, would that idea of being afraid or scared, do you think that would cloud your judgment a little bit, or do you think you would be -- how -- how do you think if you were scared or you were frightened, how do you think that would affect your decision making?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I may react that they're thinking. we just go on instinct and do something stupid possibly. But if he had one time, you know, it depends on the person, I think.

MS. ARMENI: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: You know? I would call a lawyer. I would try to get an attorney involved. It's like my kids in school. They get hit, the first thing you want to do is hit them back.

But you don't do that anymore, you know, tell the teacher. If it happens again, tell me and I'll tell the teacher, you know. When I was raised, punch them back, you know, but it's just not the right thing to do, you know.

MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. ARMENI: Thank you, Mr. Avalone.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arrascada.

MR. ARRASCADA: Mr. Avalone, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you for your candor, by the way.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [indecipherable].

MR. ARRASCADA: No, it's great. It really is. That's what we're here for.

1	We're here to talk and really this is the only time we get to talk. Okay?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: All right.
3	MR. ARRASCADA: You mentioned one thing about when you were at
4	Club Ra, I think it was called.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.
6	MR. ARRASCADA: And I'm sorry, I'm from Reno, so I don't know all the
7	clubs.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: All right.
9	MR. ARRASCADA: That you said you see married men with women,
10	that type of stuff.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.
12	MR. ARRASCADA: Is that a big deal to you? Do you judge those
13	people because it's a married man with a woman not his wife?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah, that bothers me.
15	MR. ARRASCADA: It does?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Because I'm not that way. You know,
17	most people, a lot of people are though.
18	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And so when you say it bothers you, would it
19	affect your ability to be fair?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Well, no, if something if in what
21	situation, like what
22	MR. ARRASCADA: Well
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I wouldn't use I would just if I was
24	in a court, no, that would have nothing to do with anything.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And you were so it would have nothing to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -96-

1	do with the evidence that you're looking at
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Right.
3	MR. ARRASCADA: and the facts and the circumstances?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Right. I wouldn't hold any grudges.
5	No, that's their business, you know.
6	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And you were talking about how I'd want to
7	hear the evidence and the facts. And would you take it on yourself, and it seems
8	like you're this kind of person, to review and view things with a critical eye and
9	ear? Do you know what I mean by that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: No, not really.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: Would you look at everything and make your own
12	decisions and not base it on maybe I'm telling you one thing and they're telling
13	you one thing? Would you look at it and make your own decisions on what
14	what's truthful and not truthful?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah, I think I would.
16	MR. ARRASCADA: And
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: It's what's presented, you know.
18	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And in your time at Ra or in other it seems
19	like you've had a ton of life experience.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Oh, one year it was, yeah, a lot.
21	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Did you ever hear someone talk about
22	wanting to break the law but maybe they didn't really mean it?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.
24	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Someone tried to beat up my boss,

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -97-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -98-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	Mr not it's Mr. Williams' turn.
4	Mr. DiGiacomo.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: We've figured out that I get the odd seats, he gets
6	the even seats.
7	Well, obviously I'm going to get to the hot button issues with you. I
8	guess I need to start back with you talked about two things yesterday. One
9	involved your interaction with a police officer 26 years ago. So when you were a
10	young kid, when you were a younger man; correct?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: All right. And certainly, at least from your point of
13	view, the officer wasn't behaving appropriately? Is that what I took out of the
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Right.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: And and he eventually he winds up arresting you
16	and you felt like the entire thing went bad?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Right.
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: Did you wind up having to go to court on that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes, I did.
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: So so someone filed charges against you at some
21	point, or was it a citation?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah, I got a ticket.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: You got a ticket?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And when you went to court did you have to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -100-

1	as with any line of work, there are good cops and there are bad cops?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And merely because obviously I'm hoping
4	that the officers that were involved with you would come walking in here, but 26
5	years ago, I doubt it. But obviously, I guess the question is do you think that the
6	criminal justice system is fair or unfair?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I think it's fair.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: At the end of the day?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. You also talked about a cousin who had some
11	pretty serious charges.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: And he's in prison now; right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Was he charged here in Las Vegas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: Was he prosecuted, I'm assuming, by my office if
18	he's still in prison now.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah.
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. What about him? Do you think he was treated
21	fairly?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I I can't really say about him
23	because I I really didn't get involved with it. So I really don't know.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: You just said that overall you thought the system was
25	fair, but you don't know in that particular case whether or not it was or wasn't

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -102-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -104-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
2	MS. ARMENI: Still no? How come?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I don't know. It's I it's hard to
4	say. I really I really don't I really don't feel I I should have someone fight
5	my own battles for me unless it was something really, really serious.
6	MS. ARMENI: So
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I think I think I should be the one to
8	stand up for myself.
9	MS. ARMENI: But you understand in the courtroom a lot of times people
10	are appointed lawyers.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
12	MS. ARMENI: That's that's how our system works; right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
14	MS. ARMENI: If people can't afford them, they're appointed lawyers.
15	And do you think that's an important part of our system?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: For some people, yes.
17	MS. ARMENI: Are you saying if it was a little bit more serious than what
18	you had been arrested for initially that you would've wanted a lawyer?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I really can't say. I I if it was
20	more serious, I probably would try to find one, yes.
21	MS. ARMENI: Do you not like lawyers?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No, that's not it at all.
23	MS. ARMENI: Okay. So you don't have any feelings one way or the
24	other about lawyers?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -105-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -106-

1	what guys, I respect your opinion, but I don't believe that.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I sure can.
3	MS. ARMENI: Yeah? You would speak up and let everybody know?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
5	MS. ARMENI: And you'd feel comfortable sharing your views with the 11
6	other people in the room with you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I have no problem.
8	MS. ARMENI: We've talked a little bit about about fear. Can you think
9	of a time in your life where you've been fearful or scared?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah.
11	MS. ARMENI: And how did how did that affect you?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: It helped me made me feel
13	helpless, like there was nothing I could do.
14	MS. ARMENI: How did okay. And explain that a little bit more to me.
15	Why did why did you feel helpless?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: It it was because of my mother, she
17	was sick. And the doctor said she was going to die, and that scared me and
18	there was nothing I could do.
19	MS. ARMENI: It's a pretty bad feeling, huh?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
21	MS. ARMENI: And do you believe that when people sorry. Do you
22	believe when people are fearful that they may be they don't it clouds their
23	judgment?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: It can, yes.
25	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -108-

1 THE COURT: Okay. MS. ARMENI: Your Honor, I'll pass for cause. Thank you. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 4 MS. ARMENI: Thank you, sir. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Adams. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Thank you. 7 MR. ADAMS: Hello, Mr. Williams. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Hello. MR. ADAMS: How -- I think Ms. Armeni just ask you about whether this 9 10 fear could cloud somebody's judgment. You said yes. Can you explain to me 11 how? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I think if -- if you get in a certain 13 situation and something crazy, you're not really thinking straight, so you tend to 14 react instead of thinking about what are you going -- what you're doing. 15 MR. ADAMS: And sometimes -- sometimes after you think about it would 16 you not make the same decision? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No. If you had an opportunity to 18 assess the situation and -- and think about what you can do, I think it would be a 19 whole lot different. MR. ADAMS: Going back to some of the stuff Mr. Avalone was talking 20 21 about there at -- at Ra, which apparently is a club none of us should go to, in that 22 situation have you seen people who said they're going to hit somebody? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah. MR. ADAMS: And have you seen them sometimes when they've hit 24 25 people?

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -109-

Once again, during the recess I must remind everyone of the admonishment that you're not to discuss this case or anything relating to the case or any person relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. Do not read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research on any subject relating to this trial, and please don't form or express an opinion.

I would ask that two jurors remain in the courtroom. That would be juror No. 51, and juror No. 69. If you would remain in the courtroom.

Everyone else please get your belongings and follow Officer Wooten, who has lost his tie, through the double doors of the courtroom.

(Recess taken at 12:16 p.m.)

THE COURT: Is the -- is the vestibule open?

THE OFFICER: No, ma'am. Oh, yes. Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time I would ask badge No. 51, Mr. Ricks, if you would just go wait in the vestibule until one of our bailiffs goes and gets you. It's just that open door right there to the side.

And then, Ms. Garhardt, can you just have a seat somewhere in the jury box, please.

And, Ms. Garhardt, the reason I asked you to say into the break a little bit is I needed to follow up on something you said yesterday out of the presence of the other jurors. Okay? And what that was is you indicated yesterday that you had recollected or recalled reading something about this case in the Review Journal; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I remember reading something, but I don't remember what.

01284

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -114-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -115-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -116-

know, that -- that I would make the right decision and come and that, you know, since I've had such a good relationship, he did pay me for today.

But he also has claimed to me that I don't have any vacation time coming up for, I think, until March. And my birthday was on the 26th of January and we're allowed one vacation day, so I was able to use that yesterday. So -- and he did offer to me to pay today, but, again, we are a small company and, you know, with the economy it's not the best time right now.

Not to mention we have one of our employees out doing something else so it's also kind of hurting that small company because mainly for 250 accounts we have two installers.

THE COURT: Okay. So you were paid today and yesterday, but you're concerned about the rest of the week and next week?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yeah. He can't continue on and he explained to me, he apologizes, but he understands my duty. He helped me out by paying me today, but it's something he can't continue to do.

And the -- the situation that I have with that is that I explained to your bailiff yesterday, I stood up and made the statement that in no way can what the Court offers compensate for what I make. It's not that I, you know, I'm above something or, you know, it's that every penny I make right now -- I have two degrees in the IT field, and I ended up going back to Go Glass where I worked when I was going through college.

THE COURT: Okay. Does the State have any questions for Mr. Ricks on the hardship issue?

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Does the defense have any questions for Mr. Ricks on the

1 hardship issue? 2 MR. GENTILE: No, Your Honor. 3 MS. ARMENI: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Submit it to the Court? 5 MR. ARRASCADA: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: I'll see counsel up here. 7 (Conference at the bench) THE COURT: What I'm going to do is somewhat -- somewhat reluctantly 8 release you on a hardship. This will not count as jury service, however, so you 9 10 may be called again. Please don't discuss -- if you, when you're leaving today, if some of 11 12 the other jurors ask you what's transpired in the courtroom or why you were excused or if you were excused, please don't discuss anything relating to that 13 14 with any of the other prospective jurors. Do you understand that? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Yes, ma'am. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You are excused. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: Upon leaving, it would be an honor 18 and a privilege to serve on a jury and I wish I was in a financial situation to where 19 I was able. I look forward to it --20 THE COURT: Yeah. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 051: -- and I hope I'm in a better situation. 22 THE COURT: And, honestly, like I said, you know, we can't excuse 23 everyone. We try to ascertain as best as we can who it's really a financial 24 hardship for and who maybe it isn't. And, you know, sometimes we get it right, 25 and sometimes we don't.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -118-

01292

question that you put to me. I was not able to rehabilitate her, but I believe Mr. Adams, if you look at the record carefully, probably did.

MR. ADAMS: The -- our position is that she said she would listen to all the evidence, listen to all the law, and she would wait until the end to decide if she would be able to notify the Court if she were unable to, which is the purpose of having alternates, we believe that she was qualified and just won our --

THE COURT: Well, except if she were called in as an alternate in chair No. 7, that would be -- there would be only 12. And, to me, all she said was, well, if she couldn't she -- first of all she didn't want to give a note. Then secondly maybe she could get somebody else a note. And I'm not going to seat a juror who pretty much has said, well, if you force me to do it, I'm going to hang the jury one way or the other.

Because it wasn't even like she was saying she would be going for an acquittal or a conviction, she'd be hanging the jury either way because my -- in my view, and the record speaks for itself, in my view she said she wasn't willing to participate and then would send out a note.

And so if she's not participating, like I just said, she's hanging the jury either for acquittal, or she's hanging the jury for guilt, depending on which way they were going. And I'm not going to seat somebody knowing that. So --

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I understand completely your ruling. May I, in about three sentences finish --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ADAMS: -- making our part of the record --

THE COURT: Fine.

MR. ADAMS: -- on that.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. ADAMS: My assessment of her is that she had heightened conscientiousness and that she was taking the questions asked in a very serious way and it was not that she in no way was trying to shut down and say she would not participate.

She was concerned about how much the decision either way would weigh on her for the rest of her life. And I think that's exactly the sort of juror that -- that we would love to have --

THE COURT: And I agree --

MR. ADAMS: -- in all the cases.

THE COURT: -- with you 100 percent, Mr. Adams. And the jurors that say, you know, it's difficult and it's going to weigh on my mind, I don't excuse them. But in my view when I asked her pointed questions, you know, could you raise your hand, I think the State asked could you check the box, she said no.

And so, to me, that's indicating an unwillingness to participate, number one. And she even seemed reluctant to engage in the deliberative process with the other jurors. And, you know, I -- I can't seat somebody who, in their questioning, is indicating, in my view, a refusal to participate. But, again, the record speaks for itself.

All right. We'll see you back here at 1:30.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.

(Recess taken at 12:32 p.m.)

THE COURT: Jeff, are you ready?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Bring them in.

(Prospective jury panel enters at 1:33 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. The record will reflect the presence of the State through the Deputy District Attorneys, the presence of the defendants along with their counsel, the officers of the court, and the members of the prospective jury panel.

And where were we when we took our lunch recess?

MR. GENTILE: I think Mr. Keegan is up next.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pesci, you may question Mr. Keegan.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

Sir, kind of focusing back on that '77 incident, it was contributing to the delinquency of a minor was the name of it originally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: There were two. There were two. There was trespassing and contributing to the delinquency of a minor.

MR. PESCI: I think you said it ended up being resolved or worked out.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I paid a \$35 fine and [indecipherable]. They were two employees of mine who worked in the kitchen. They were -- I've been thinking about that. They were high school graduates, one was 17 years old. It wasn't like I had -- I was doing something inappropriate. They were both males.

MR. PESCI: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I was doing nothing inappropriate whatsoever. It was just a situation.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Did you have an attorney represent you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No, I did not.

MR. PESCI: Looking back on that situation, do you feel -- how do you

feel the system treated you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: To me right now, it's water under the bridge. I was treated roughly by the officer.

MR. PESCI: You said that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: A lot rougher than what -- but I understand the other side of it. In those days in Las Vegas it was during the culinary strikes or a restaurant got blown up. There were things going on in Las Vegas in those days, and this had to do with the union strike. It was a union of greens keepers, I believe they were Teamsters, and they were on strike. And what was happening was people were going in at night in the dark and damaging the golf course, so they hired Henderson Police as security. It was in Henderson.

MR. PESCI: Okay. I guess did it leave a bad taste in your mouth such that you would hold that against either side in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No, not at all.

MR. PESCI: And as you put it, water under the bridge?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I've made a lot of friends with police officers in Henderson since then.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Now, what do you do at the golf course now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I'm -- I work in the pro shop.

Basically, I -- customer service, I answer the phone, I make tee times, I take their money when they go to play golf, and I'm also a starter. It's a part time job.

MR. PESCI: What's a starter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: The starter is the man that lines the people up on the tee box to make sure that they're going off on time, get the next

1	group lined up.
2	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you ever have any of the golfers have disputes
3	as far as who gets to go first?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: A little bit in the morning. It's usually
5	not a problem. We're pretty organized.
6	MR. PESCI: Now, before that what did you do? You bartended, I
7	believe?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I did. I worked 28 years at Lake
9	Mead Marina in several capacities, but most of it was as bar supervisor, the
10	bartender.
11	MR. PESCI: When you were the bar supervisor, how many people did
12	you supervise?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017; Well, I was a food and beverage
14	manager, and then I supervised 20, probably 25; the bar supervisor, five and
15	under.
16	MR. PESCI: Did you ever have to make decisions as far as resolving
17	disputes and there were two different sides explained as
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Certainly.
19	MR. PESCI: what you do? Do you think that you have skills or talents
20	in being able to do that, to ferret through things?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I I'm very fair. I'm very I take in
22	all the information and process it and try and make a very fair decision.
23	MR. PESCI: Do you think you could be fair to both sides in this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I'm sure I could.
25	MR. PESCI: Could you hold the State to the State's burden?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -125-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -126-

1	you're arresting me for, they didn't listen?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No, they didn't.
3	MR. ARRASCADA: And if you had said nothing, would you assume you
4	would get arrested?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: We would've got arrested, yes.
6	MR. ARRASCADA: And it's the system that you had to go through; is
7	that right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: We were incarcerated. We had to
9	get had to get bailed out.
10	MR. ARRASCADA: Now, what was what was the end result of that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: We went to court and we were fined
12	\$35. I think we pled nolo contendere or no contest.
13	MR. ARRASCADA: To what?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Contributing to the delinquency of a
15	minor and trespassing.
16	MR. ARRASCADA: Was that what you were originally charged with?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
18	MR. ARRASCADA: Did you have a lawyer?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.
20	MR. ARRASCADA: In hindsight, do you wish you had?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I would've yeah.
22	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I would. Of course.
24	MR. ARRASCADA: And I think you mentioned about sealing or
25	something, sealing a record or it's still on your record?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -127-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I believe it is. I'm not sure. And wha
has happened is in the last year I became unemployed so I there's questions
on applications that say have you ever been arrested. And it just I'm not sure
and so I fill it out and say yes. I've been honest.
MR. ARRASCADA: Well, yeah, and why did you why do you feel now
in hindsight you wish you had a lawyer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Because I would've pled not guilty and gotten off.

MR. ARRASCADA: What would your lawyer have done for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Hopefully -- well, he would've done a lot more than I did because I just did nothing and just said no contest, let me out of here for \$35. Hopefully he would've pled my case and we would've got the two young men up there and they would've told -- said exactly what was going on.

MR. ARRASCADA: Presented your case? Because at that point you knew your words didn't matter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Right.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And that signi -- is that something that would be significant in your mind? Let me --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Not at all.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- rephrase that. So that's the value of what a lawyer would've been to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yeah, I -- I should've hired a lawyer.

MR. ARRASCADA: You mentioned that you, for I think it was 20 some odd years, you worked at Lake Mead Marina?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -128PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: How many years was that for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I worked there from 1979 through 2000 -- into 2008, so 28, 29 years.

MR. ARRASCADA: Sir, the -- the Lake Mead Highway is going to be mentioned during this trial and that -- that is -- that's where this killing occurred. Do you have any memory of it from 2005 of it being discussed or anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No, I don't. Lake Mead Highway like Northshore Road around Lake Mead or --

MR. GENTILE: Yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes.

THE COURT: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Because I basically worked at Lake Mead Marina which is on the Boulder City end and I live in Boulder City. So really I don't remember it.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Great. And nothing that we've all discussed here today or yesterday has maybe made you say, oh, gosh, I remember reading about this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Not at all.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. The -- the prosecutor was asking you that -- from a golf setting, and maybe even your bartending setting, that you're used to settling disputes if you -- if you have to; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: More -- probably more so in the bar setting than the golf setting.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Have you ever heard anyone suggest that a

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No, I would just -- what -- what -- I wouldn't care. I mean, if somebody said I'm going to ring my wife's neck and he's sitting at my bar and his wife's not sitting at my bar, why would I want him to explain himself?

MR. ARRASCADA: How would you take that? With a grain of salt or -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I would -- as long as he wasn't real
rude or belligerent, I'd give him his beer or whatever.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I'd keep an eye on him, maybe.

MR. ARRASCADA: Would you go running to the phone to call the

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Not at all.

MR. ARRASCADA: Why not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: He hasn't done anything wrong.

MR. ARRASCADA: Just words?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Just words. We're just talking about words that you hear in the home all the time. I'm going to ring your neck or I'm going to kick or whatever. We didn't say I'm going to kill somebody. That'd be different maybe.

MR. ARRASCADA: What if they just wished somebody dead, like I just wish my wife would go away and leave, I wish she was dead?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Well, see, you don't sound sincere to

MR. ARRASCADA: I don't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -132-

MR. GENTILE: Thank you, Judge.

All right, Mr. Keegan, I've got a question for you. I kind of gave myself up. I was the one who told Paola to ask if you, Mr. McLaughlin, had ever heard the term whiskey muscles. I've now been informed that what used to be whiskey muscles is now called liquid courage. Does that mean anything to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, it does.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. What's it mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Well, I used to personally take liquid courage sometimes before I went down a ski slope in the -- it might be like a peppermint schnapps or something like that perhaps, something to keep me a little warm and make me ski a little better, in my own eyes.

MR. GENTILE: Have you ever seen -- how many years you've been -- how many years did you actually tend bar? How many years did you stand --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I have actually --

MR. GENTILE: -- behind the bar and serve?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I have actually worked in the bar business on and off, mostly on, since 1970.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. That's awhile.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Mostly I took a couple years off to be in the service and a couple of different restaurant management businesses and things.

MR. GENTILE: When were you in the military?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I was in the military 1972 through '74.

MR. GENTILE: What branch of the service?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: The Army.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -133-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -134-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -135-

1	nothing in his hand, but that's why I smiled.
2	MR. GENTILE: Probably never brought anybody back to you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No, he probably didn't, but we didn't
4	need him.
5	MR. GENTILE: I understand. But you you understand that that goes
6	on.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I do.
8	MR. GENTILE: Okay. It sort of comes with the territory, you might say.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I dealt with it also at down at Old
10	Vegas. Are you familiar with Old Vegas? I used to work there.
11	MR. GENTILE: I've got you beat by a couple of years.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Okay. And the bus drivers and limo
13	drivers used to stop in and bring people for lunch and everything on the way to
14	the dam and they got a certain amount of money for doing that there.
15	MR. GENTILE: On the racetrack out there, just right there.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: There was a racetrack out there, yes.
17	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Gangs.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I'm really not familiar with gangs.
19	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Not maybe not on a personal level. Have you
20	heard or read about gangs?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Of course, I have.
22	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Any come to mind in terms of names?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Well, the Bloods and the Crips, and
24	then I I think of the Sharks in Westside Story, a nice positive gang with Olivia
25	Newton-John.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -136-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -138-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -139-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Thank you. MS. ARMENI: I'll just ask nice questions. Can you tell me a little bit about your educational background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I'm a high school dropout. I went into the Navy when I was -- in 1959. I got my GED in the Navy. I had a bit of training in the Navy. I became a corpsman. I worked at Balboa Hospital. And I've taken many classes over the years working for different companies and --MS, ARMENI: And what kind of -- were those -- you were in gaming, but what kind of classes did you take? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: You know, self help classes, management classes, courses on whatever product I happen to be dealing with at the time. MS. ARMENI: Do you have any experience with taxicabs? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah, I ride in them. I've had a couple friends over the years who drove cabs intermittent times. I worked at the Horseshoe and we gave turkeys to all the cab drivers every year at Christmas time. MS. ARMENI: I'm sure they came back. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Of course. MS. ARMENI: And did the taxicab drivers speak to you about their experiences? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No, [inaudible]. MS. ARMENI: What about a lawyer? Do you have anybody that you could call if you needed to?

MS. ARMENI: I said I'll try not to interrogate you.

MS. ARMENI: I can imagine so. Did you feel like at that time, and that's pretty traumatic, did you feel like you were rationally thinking at that time or were -- how were you feeling at that moment in that example you gave?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Well, because of my medical background I immediately went into action and tried to do something. I don't know that I would've done that without a background in medicine.

MS. ARMENI: Sure, you were kind of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I know that I felt an extreme amount

MS. ARMENI: But some -- you make a good point. Somebody that maybe wasn't as medically trained may have handled that situation a little bit

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: There were people there that did

MS. ARMENI: Okay. What were their reactions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Some of them started crying, some of them, oh my gosh, some of them froze.

MS. ARMENI: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Armeni.

MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you, Your Honor. Court's indulgence.

Good afternoon, Mr. Cook. Sir, all our discussions we've had in the past day and today has it jogged your mind at all or your memory about whether you followed this case or read about it awhile back?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No, I did not read about it.

702.635.0301 -146-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -147-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -148-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -149-

702.635.0301 -150-

JRP TRANSCRIBING