## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 02 2011 01:24 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

Appellant,

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial

**District Court** 

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

## APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Volume 11 of 25

(Pages 1989 - 2078)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

## ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 <sup>1</sup>	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A <sup>2</sup>	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B <sup>3</sup>	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 <sup>4</sup>	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own	02/24/09	24	04505
Recognizance Release for House Arrest)			
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843

## Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 Continued

1	Ms. Ling.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
3	MR. ARRASCADA: Good afternoon.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Good afternoon.
5	MR. ARRASCADA: When you were summoned for jury duty, I believe
6	you received a questionnaire and that you had mailed back in. Does that
7	sound accurate?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
9	MR. ARRASCADA: Well, there's all we received this document here
10	and it has your name on it. And there are some areas that would be should
11	should be filled out and were left blank. Do you remember filling out any
12	document?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
14	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. There are some blanks here and maybe you
15	can help me fill them in. And they're very generic questions. Is that okay?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, go ahead.
17	MR. ARRASCADA: One is the your years in the county as a resident.
18	You said 18?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: 18 years.
20	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And you have served on a jury previously?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I have.
22	MR. ARRASCADA: And I'm sorry if I missed this, but your marital status,
23	ma'am?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I am married.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: What does your husband do for a living?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -251PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: He is a VP of a big electronical company here in town.

MR. ARRASCADA: And you mentioned you worked for -- and I couldn't hear you, I think it was right before you had your microphone -- Bank Mark?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Bake. Bake Mark.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Cooking and baking.

MR. ARRASCADA: Bake Mark. Okay. Thank you. And that's in -- what type of company? I mean, I know it's cooking and baking, but --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It's a distribution warehouse.

MR. ARRASCADA: Is it primarily internet based or -- no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. ARRASCADA: Distribution of baked goods throughout the area?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Baking ingredients throughout the area.

MR. ARRASCADA: Baking ingredients. Okay. Thank you. You mentioned, and I'd like to talk to you a little bit about this, you said I grew up in a drug house. And then you referred to your -- your mother. Is that the only person that was in the home that -- that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, we had several different types of people come through my home as I grew up.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And were they all people involved with narcotics?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -252PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I'd have to say a large majority of them.

MR. ARRASCADA: And what -- was there a drug of choice?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Usually methamphetamines and marijuana.

MR. ARRASCADA: Did the police ever come?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes, they did.

MR. ARRASCADA: And do you have any opinions on your experiences watching the police come to the home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, not any negative feelings. No. People are -- they're there to do their job.

MR. ARRASCADA: And did -- did you feel or do you feel as if the system has functioned properly with -- regarding the people that are coming and going from the house and the police coming?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Well, you're kind of putting it all in one group. It wasn't --

MR. ARRASCADA: Differentiate it for me --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Right.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- please. Help me out.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Well, through my life there's been a lot of different types of people in our house. The police weren't in our house all the time, so they really weren't able to bring justice to each person who came into my house. Not everybody in my house was involved in any raid or police action that has happened in my house. What the police did when they'd come to my house, or when I was a child, was what they were supposed to do.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

Do I think that everything is -- was done the way I would've done it? Probably not. But, you know, that's -- that was all determined by the police and then the other law enforcement agencies that were behind it.

MR. ARRASCADA: Eventually the courts too?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Probably the court too.

MR. ARRASCADA: How would you have liked to have seen it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I wouldn't change anything that had happened. It happened all the way it was supposed to, I'm guessing. You aren't -- you aren't sat down and, you know, told that these are the procedures of what happens when you grow up in this type of environment.

MR. ARRASCADA: Those are your life experiences and I appreciate that. Are your life experiences that you're sharing with us, have they create -- created in your mind a bias regarding people charged with crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. ARRASCADA: So because someone is charged do you feel that they're not -- they had to have done something wrong to be charged?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I think if they would -- I think if certain people didn't put themselves in certain situations they may not be involved in things that they're involved with.

MR. ARRASCADA: Does that lead you to believe that before they have a trial that they're guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, that wouldn't lead me to believe that.

MR. ARRASCADA: You -- you -- you stated when you were referring to yourself as open-minded, but you used a qualifier at the beginning. You said I

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -254believe I'm pretty open-minded. Could -- could you explain what you mean by pretty open-minded? Is there something that closes you off a little bit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No. No. Probably just a mis -- I -- I've had a lot of different experiences in my life and I've done a lot of things so far, even though I'm not that old, I don't think. And so, you know, I think it gives me the ability to look at a lot of different situations, I wouldn't say all, but a lot of different situations and a lot of different views.

MR. ARRASCADA: And are your life experiences something that you would be able to reflect upon and apply to this jury if you're -- you're chosen to participate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Not necessarily. I mean, I may reflect on some of the things that have happened in my life, but I think that I would have to see all the details of each individual situation to come up with the conclusion.

MR. ARRASCADA: Facts and evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Probably, yeah. That would be more useful than my personal experiences.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. I'd like to talk to you about gangs. Is that okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Sure.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. What -- what comes to your mind when -- when the word gangs or gang is said to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: What comes up? What comes to mind about gangs?

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Like organized crime? I mean,

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -255-

honestly, I don't -- I mean, they're just -- like a gang, like kid -- like a bunch of kids hanging out and being mischievous gang?

MR. ARRASCADA: Street gangs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, I don't know a lot about them.

I -- I think that you determine where you want to be in life, and I just -- I don't -- I would not choose to be in that type of life or even be associated with people who choose to do those things.

MR. ARRASCADA: Do any names of -- and I mean, talk -- talking generically about gangs, do any names of gangs pop into your mind as we -- we talk about gangs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Well, it's funny because gangs didn't start in my school or in my environment until after I was out of that. So I've never had a lot of time with gangs. All I can go with, with TV like the Crips and the Bloods. I don't even know if they exist anymore, you know. I don't know. They don't -- they don't directly affect my life, so I don't have much of an opinion about them. I don't -- I don't think highly of what I do know of gangs.

MR. ARRASCADA: Can the whole concept of these Crips and Bloods, is that -- would that be a scary or fearful concept to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It could be, but it hasn't -- I don't have anything to go on other than what you hear about like gang shootings. And honestly, just the whole -- the whole concept of a gang is just so negative and it's so -- I would think it's so negative. And I don't think it -- it's just I don't know why people would put themselves into those situations and not try to better themselves in the world. You know?

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -256-

23

24

25

you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I -- I -- my opinion is -- is the way it is because the way I grew up, you know, I ended up a young mother and I put myself through school and I got out of the environment and I disassociated from that life. And I think it isn't that -- it wasn't that hard to do that. And I think people who want to stay in that gang environment with violence, drugs, guns, and things like that, I think it -- I think basically it's a copout. So I guess I do have kind of an opinion about gangs.

MR. ARRASCADA: It sounds like you do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I mean, honestly. I mean, I think that it doesn't take much to take responsibility for your actions and, you know, move on, move up.

MR. ARRASCADA: Have you had a time in your life when you've heard someone talk about breaking the law and they don't really mean and you know it because how it's -- have you ever had that experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, of course. Of course. MR. ARRASCADA: And how do you know that they don't mean it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Because you -- I mean, it -depending on who says it. It depends on how well you know a person. I mean, if -- if it's your best friend and you've known them for years and, you know, you guys kind of think alike because you hang around people you think alike, you

You just know they're joking. I'm not really going to go rob that bank. I'm really - you just know in jest.

MR. ARRASCADA: And they have to say that, really I'm not going to to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

01996

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Do I remember the last question?
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah. If somebody was sitting nex
to me.
MR. ARRASCADA: That's right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: If a stranger was sitting next to me
yeah, I wouldn't be able to determine if they were if they would go through o
they were just saying in jest unless I had some type of knowledge of them.
MR. ARRASCADA: Would you require them to explain themselves?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: If they were talking directly to me of
I heard overheard them? Because if I overheard them, I wasn't involved in
their conversation and I wouldn't probably get involved in that conversation. I

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And if it was said to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I may ask them to -- I may ask if they're serious. And how I would react, I don't know.

MR. ARRASCADA: If they don't respond to you at all, they don't say anything, could you reach the determination by, say, their actions and their movements, things of that nature, your senses as you see?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: If they don't respond to me asking them if they're serious?

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes.

wouldn't want to be a part of that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I think that at that point it might be a very uncomfortable situation.

MR. ARRASCADA: I appreciate it.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -259if

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I may just scoot over a seat or two at that point.

MR. ARRASCADA: I appreciate that. And the question is when you talked about with the prosecutor and the burdens of proof and they asked you would you require to hear from my client, Louis Hidalgo III, to explain himself or tell his side of the story, if you were picked on this jury, would that be a requirement you would need to reach a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, because you're representing him and I would assume that he's given you all that information and you should be able to present it for him.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And if he does not testify, would you still be able to find him not guilty or would that cause some lingering problems for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, it wouldn't have any effects at all of -- of my opinion if he was guilty or not. Like I said, he's given you all that information. He's hired you and given you that information and you're representing him. So I would hope that you represent -- represent him well enough to determine how the outcome comes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Court's indulgence.

Excuse me just one moment.

Those are all the questions I have. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Ms. Ling, thank you. In a moment I'm going to have you follow Officer Wooten back out into the hallway and to have a seat out there with the other prospective jurors. They may ask you what did we ask you and what did we talk about. Please don't discuss anything that's transpired, any of our questions or your answers with the other prospective jurors.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -260-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -261-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -263-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -265-

1	recommendations for strip clubs or anything like that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: All the time. All the time.
3	THE COURT: All right. And do you have any relationship or have you
4	ever with any strip clubs where they try to get you to recommend them or
5	anything
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
7	THE COURT: like that? Okay. Tell me about that a little bit.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, they have these offers that they,
9	you know, if you get people to come to their house, then they give you a
10	kickback. And, you know, we load them on taxis and what have you when
11	people want to go.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And so do you, as a bellman, do you sometimes
13	get some a little bit of compensation on the side for maybe directing customers
14	to a particular location?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, you do.
16	THE COURT: And what locations are popular there at the Planet
17	Hollywood to send customers to?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Right now Sapphire seems to be the
19	hotspot.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever had any interaction with the
21	Palomino Club to send business there?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you yourself ever been to the Palomino Club?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: In 1988.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Just one time or

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -266-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -268-

together in here and you asked something about the district attorneys?

1	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Is that including that entire DA office,
3	or just the gentlemen sitting here?
4	THE COURT: Well, do you know somebody at the DA's office?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: William Kephart.
6	THE COURT: You know Bill Kep William Kephart. How do you know
7	Bill Kephart?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: He's a friend. His childhood friend
9	and I work together at Planet Hollywood and we socialize.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So you've actually socialized with Mr. Kephart?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: [inaudible].
12	THE COURT: Now, is there anything about your friendship with Mr.
13	Kephart that would impact your ability to be fair to and impartial to both sides in
14	this case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And there's going to be an admonition well, does
17	Bill Kephart ever talk to you about his job at the DA's office, or is it more you just
18	know he works there?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I know he works there.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Does he ever talk to you about individual cases?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
22	THE COURT: Nothing like that. All right. All right. Thank you. I'm
23	going to let the State follow up. Thank you very much.
24	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
25	When's the last time you remember going out with Bill?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -269-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -270-

ן ו	gangs and not liking them, would that make you automatically find guilt or not
2	guilty because of those feelings?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
4	MR. PESCI: Could you restrict your decision based on the facts in this
5	case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, I could.
7	MR. PESCI: You'll also be hearing something, maybe, about drugs.
8	What are your thoughts generally about drugs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I had a relative, close relative, that
10	was hooked on crack, so
11	MR. PESCI: You've seen that kind of up close?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
13	MR. PESCI: What what affects it can have?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
15	MR. PESCI: All right. Do you think you can be fair to both sides?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
17	MR. PESCI: All right.
18	We pass for cause.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	Who Mr. Adams, would you like to go next?
21	MR. ADAMS: I would. Thank you, Your Honor.
22	Hello, Mr. Dodson.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Hello. How are you?
24	MR. ADAMS: I'm fine. How are you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Good. Thank you.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -271-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -272-

1	natured person to a withdrawn, sneaky
2	MR. ADAMS: Deceitful.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: stealing
4	MR. ADAMS: Yeah.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: stealing, that type of thing, and
6	MR. ADAMS: Steal from you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, not from me, but from
8	MR. ADAMS: People close?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: people close.
10	MR. ADAMS: Family?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Family. And, you know, lost weight. I
12	mean, like really lost weight. From say 140, 150 pound woman to about 100,
13	well, less than 100 pounds at one time.
14	MR. ADAMS: I thank you for sharing that. Let me ask you this. You had
15	mentioned to the Judge that you had a brother who was killed in Nashville and
16	you were in in Rome.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Right.
18	MR. ADAMS: Were you at Berry or Shorter at the time?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No, I'm actually I'm native of Rome,
20	Georgia.
21	MR. ADAMS: Okay. When did you leave?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: 1983.
23	MR. ADAMS: Which high school did you go to?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Pepperell High School, Lindale,
25	Georgia.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -273-

702.635.0301 -274-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

philosophy, or is that just based -- or friendship or what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Just on his friendship, and I like his -- I like, you know, his thoughts, some of the things, his political ideas.

MR. ADAMS: What political ideas did you like?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, not -- okay. You know, like in some cases they say everything is black and white? Well, there are some grey areas in some matters. Like you see a child that's bad, when he's in his early years, you can actually change that child if you have him involved in something, things like that. Not everyone needs to go to jail. There are other ways of putting people back into society without saying, okay, you know, you're bad, you're always going to be bad, that type of thing. So I just agree with him on that.

MR. ADAMS: Your prior jury service was in Rome? Was it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yeah.

MR. ADAMS: -- in Rome 30 years ago?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yeah. Rome, Floyd County, civil matter.

MR. ADAMS: All right. How was that -- and I'm not asking you -- apparently we don't want to ask for the verdict, so I'm not going to ask you for the verdict, but what -- how was that processed, the deliberation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: They had just like here. The plaintiff, the defendant, the judge, lawyers, they presented both sides of the issues, and the jury decided.

MR. ADAMS: Let me ask it this -- or let me ask it a step further. How did you feel about the experience of deliberation? Did you feel like it worked or it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: It worked.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -275-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -276-

02014

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: You're welcome.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Is it going to be you, Mr. Gentile?

MR. GENTILE: It's me, Judge.

Mr. Dodson, how are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: How are you doing?

MR. GENTILE: Good. Just a couple questions because we all want to go home, to tell you the truth. I need to hear from you that you don't have a moral issue with strip clubs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I don't have a moral issue.

MR. GENTILE: You don't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Well, I mean, as I said, I used to go.

MR. GENTILE: Right. Okay. Well, I mean, I know you're getting paid a little bit now and then from a strip club and that's a good sign, I guess, in terms of moral issues because you wouldn't be taking the money if you had a moral issue, but I needed to hear it from you anyway.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: Okay? How about the ownership of a strip club? I mean, those are the guys that are paying you, but when you hear that somebody owns a strip club, and you've been around Las Vegas a long time now so it's not like you haven't run into people in the industry, what's your response to that? I mean, do -- do you have a view, a generalization, a stereotype about somebody who owns a strip club?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -277-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -278-

1	jurors. And you can go out and have a seat in the hallway. Follow Officer
2	Wooten.
3	And Officer Wooten, would you bring in Mr. Rivera. Wait a couple
4	a couple minutes.
5	(Prospective juror No. 21 exits courtroom)
6	THE COURT: All right. For the record, both sides pass for cause?
7	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Judge.
8	MR. GENTILE: Yes, Judge.
9	THE COURT: All right. Bring in Mr. Rivera.
10	And this is to fill chair 15, which will become the third alternate.
11	THE MARSHAL: Rivera?
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: And now everybody has one perempt left.
13	THE COURT: Right. One perempt for just
14	(Off-record colloquy)
15	THE COURT: Sir, come on down and just have a seat anywhere there in
16	the jury box that you feel comfortable. So you're from Oahu, you said.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And how long have you been here in Clark County?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Five years.
20	THE COURT: Five years. All right. What do you do for a living?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I work for an entity and make a low
22	voltage company here in Las Vegas.
23	THE COURT: You work for what?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: A low voltage company.
25	THE COURT: A low voltage company. And what do you do for them.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -279-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: CAD manager.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Claims adjuster.
6	THE COURT: She's a claims adjuster?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
8	THE COURT: For an insurance company?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Which one?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: ESIS.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Workman's comp.
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: ESIS. ESIS.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: How many and the ages?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Three. A ten year old, a six year old,
20	and an eight month old baby.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
23	THE COURT: No. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the
24	victim of a serious crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
ł	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -280-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -281-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: When I used to work valet.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: And we'd send people there.
4	THE COURT: All right. Where'd you work valet?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: At the Aladdin.
6	THE COURT: Oh.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: It used to be
8	THE COURT: At the Aladdin. Now it's
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Planet Hollywood.
10	THE COURT: Planet Hollywood. Okay. And how long ago did you
11	work there?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: '04 to '05.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you said you, meaning the valet parkers
14	would send customers to
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah, if they ask where to
16	THE COURT: Okay. Tell me tell me what that set up was whereby
17	you would agree to send people to the Palomino Club.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I mean, I guess they had employees
19	passing out cards and saying this is a take some of your guests, I mean, just
20	give them to the give the cards to to your guests.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Did you ever get any money or any anything of
22	value for doing that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: You never did?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -282-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -284-

1	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. By the way, the Aladdin is now the Planet
2	Hollywood
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
4	MR. DIGIACOMO: right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Do you know Larry Dodson that was in here
7	right before you?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah, because he used to be a
9	bellman. He was like, I know you.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah. He was
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: a bellman there
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: at that time period? Okay. The fact that you know
15	him, would that affect you at all sitting on a jury with him?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: No. I mean, you can listen to your own opinion and
18	he could have his opinion and the fact that you guys used to work together
19	wouldn't matter?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: He was bellman, I was it was totally
21	separate separated, so it's not
22	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: It was weird. Ten people in a box and two of them
25	know each other. You also said you grew up in Hawaii?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -285-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: How long did you live in Hawaii?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: All my life. I moved I moved to
4	Phoenix in '88, moved back to Hawaii in '90, came here in '03.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: And since you've been here in '03 you said you were
6	a valet. And anything else you've done?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: That was it.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And now, I'm sorry, I don't have it in front of
9	me, but what did you say you do now?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: A low voltage company, we do
11	construction documents. I'm a CAD operator and manager of my department.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay. How many you're a manager too?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah. I'm the I'm the head guy in
14	the department.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: You're the head guy in the department? How many
16	people do you got underneath you? How many people do you have underneath
17	you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I only got three.
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: Three people.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: It's a small company.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: You every have disputes among those people that
22	you have to figure out and figure out what happened and make a decision?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I've got [inaudible].

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -286-

25

MR. DIGIACOMO: You've done that before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: All right. During this case

MR. DIGIACOMO: All right. During this case -- let me start this off with there is no allegation that Mr. Hidalgo, Jr. or his son, Mr. Hidalgo III, either one of them were involved in criminal gangs, but there may be some testimony related to gangs. So we want to ask you if you have any familiarity whatsoever with gangs. Either if you watch -- some people have talked about watching it on TV versus going to school with them or working with them or something like that. Have you have any contact?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No, no affiliations.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Do you have -- what did you say? I'm sorry. I missed your answer there. I was talking over you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No affiliations, nothing.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No -- no affiliations. Okay. Do you have a -- visceral is probably a bad word for this. Do you have some sort of reaction to the word gang?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Now. Nowadays, yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Nowadays?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And what is that reaction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Like a bunch of just one big family watching out for each other, taking care of a territory, you can't mess with them.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Is it different from what it used to be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes, totally. Especially Vegas. It's different here.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -287-

702.635.0301 -288-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

MR. DIGIACOMO: And then --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I can't pay attention. I'm not -- I got hyper.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And then at the end of the day when you make it to that back room you wouldn't be able to deliberate because you wouldn't know what the heck was said --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Especially --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- in the courtroom.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: -- if I'm tired it's not going to be fair.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So ultimately you think that probably this isn't the best situation for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If, for whatever reason, the Judge tells you you have to do it, would you sit here and listen to the evidence or would you say, oh well, they told me I had to do this, but I'm just going to sit here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I'll try my best.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Well, unfortunately, it's our last chance to talk to you, so when you say you'll try your best, only you know you. Would it happen or wouldn't it happen? Would you say I'll try and, you know, a couple days in suddenly start getting jittery, not paying attention, and suddenly not hearing all the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Like I said, I'll just try my best.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Well, unfortunately, I -- I -- we kind of need to know. You know you. Is the answer to that question, yes, I think I could do it if the Judge orders me, or I don't think it's very likely I could do it even if the Judge

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -289-

1	orders me?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: The second one, yes.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: The second one?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yeah.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.
6	Judge, may we approach?
7	THE COURT: Yeah.
8	(Conference at the bench)
9	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rivera, thank you for being here. I'm going
10	to excuse you, but I do need to tell you you're not to discuss what's transpired,
11	what's happened in the courtroom. If anybody, like the other jurors ask you wha
12	we asked you or what you said, you're not to discuss it with them. You
13	understand me?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Jeff is going to escort you from the
16	courtroom.
17	And next up is Mr. Douglas Harmon.
18	(Prospective juror No. 23 exits courtroom)
19	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, I missed the name.
20	THE COURT: Douglas Harmon, please.
21	I didn't want to get to can we skip Kimberly Lenahan?
22	MR. ADAMS: Yes.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, they would love to.
24	THE COURT: Oh, I would like to because it's like bad karma for her to
25	be on the jury.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -290-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -291-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -292-

1	moral afterwards once I got to studying what I studying my own principles.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Where where did you grow up?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I my dad was in the Air Force, and
4	so for the first 16 years of my life I bounced around and then I finished up in
5	Utah, and I spent most of my life in Utah until about eight years ago.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Where in Utah?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Southern Utah, well, four years four
8	years in Salt Lake City, and then another the rest of it in southern Utah in the
9	St. George area.
10	THE COURT: St. George area. Okay. Now, this case, as you heard,
11	involves people who owned at the time the Palomino Club. Is that fact, given
12	your moral upbringing and your religious upbringing, is that something that is
13	going to preclude you from giving the defense, the defendants, a fair and
14	impartial consideration?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Because obviously that's what we're concerned
17	with. Can you set that aside and just base the your decision, if you're selected,
18	on the evidence in this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Certainly.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Have you, yourself, ever at any time been to a strip
21	club?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
23	THE COURT: Never in your life?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Never in my life.
25	THE COURT: And, you know, a lot of people work in that, or a number

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -293of people work in that industry in this town. Do you know anybody, have you ever met anybody in your job place or, I guess, anywhere that's worked in the strip club industry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Not that I'm aware of.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

The State may question you.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

Sir, I wanted to ask a couple of questions. The Air Force for your first 16 years all over the place, where -- where were you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: My earliest memories are in Germany. And then after that we spent four years in Omaha, finished up with four years in Salt Lake City and before that -- well, before that we were also in Salt Lake City and New Mexico, but I was too young to remember that.

MR. PESCI: Okay. You talked about your religious or moral feelings about strip clubs. The defendants were one time owners, or at least the father a one-time owner of the strip club and the son worked at the strip club. And the question really boils down to you have your feelings about them, does that mean to you that they're necessarily guilty of these charges just because they engage in that kind of behavior?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Are you willing to follow the law of the land?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

MR. PESCI: And whatever the law of the land is we're subject to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: That's correct.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Are you willing to follow the law the Court gives in a

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

1	and I think strip clubs are bad?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
3	MS. ARMENI: Is this
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
5	MS. ARMENI: Okay. So you your you believe that although this is
6	your moral kind of you're moral person, the person you are, that you're going to
7	be able to put that aside
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah.
9	MS. ARMENI: for this trial?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah, the the it has no bearing
11	on whether or not he actually committed the crime.
12	MS. ARMENI: Okay. Do you the business you work for, tell me again
13	your position there.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I'm in the order entry department. I
15	enter the orders that I receive from the from the sales associates
16	[indecipherable] get entered properly and get sent off to the vendors correctly.
17	MS. ARMENI: And what kind of special skills do you have to have to do
18	what you do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Mainly attention to detail, primarily
20	making the that's actually the main point right there. Just making sure
21	MS. ARMENI: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: all the information is actually
23	received and actually entered and we actually have all the parts. That's the
24	primary skill needed I would say.
25	MS. ARMENI: Okay. Drugs. When I throw out the word drugs, what do

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -296-

1	you think of?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Recreational drugs is
3	MS. ARMENI: Anything specific? I mean, that's kind of a large group
4	within itself, I guess.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Well, it's a large word, so
6	MS. ARMENI: Yeah. What specifically in that group do you think of?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Primarily marijuana.
8	MS. ARMENI: Now, have you ever had experience to be around
9	anybody on marijuana?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Nope.
11	MS. ARMENI: Any other recreational drugs?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
13	MS. ARMENI: No. Okay. And, sir, can you remember a time in your life
14	that you've been fearful or scared?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.
16	MS. ARMENI: And do you believe during that time period that you were
17	able to or do you think you would, if you were fearful or scared, that you'd be
18	able to make decisions the same way you'd be able to make decisions if you
19	weren't scared?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I'd say, yeah, probably.
21	MS. ARMENI: Okay. Do you believe so whether you're
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: It's
23	MS. ARMENI: Go ahead.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No, go ahead.
25	MS. ARMENI: So just so I'm clear, you think that fear in your in your

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -297-

1	life, fear or being scared would not pay have an impact on your thinking?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: It would probably have an impact on
3	my thinking. I just I'd probably be able to work through it.
4	MS. ARMENI: Okay. Do you think everybody would be like you?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Probably not. [inaudible].
6	MS. ARMENI: That's fair. Lawyers, do you know any lawyers?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No.
8	MS. ARMENI: No? And if you had an issue with the law or just an issue
9	that you needed some guidance with, what how would you would you get a
10	lawyer?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I would probably ask around from my
12	own family and acquaintances first and get their advice and their suggestions
13	and see if they've been in similar situations, and maybe maybe get a lawyer
14	that's that they had done for themselves.
15	MS. ARMENI: Okay. If you since you don't know a lawyer, how would
16	you go about getting one if you needed one?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Again, I'd probably see who my own
18	acquaintances and friends and associates and family that they had used in the
19	past and how they had done and and probably go to one that they that they
20	had done well with.
21	MS. ARMENI: So like a recommendation maybe?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025; Yeah.
23	MS. ARMENI: And then gangs, when I say gangs what do you think of?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Street gangs, juvenile gangs.
25	MS. ARMENI: Is there a particular gang that comes to mind?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -298-

702.635.0301 -299-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

1	actually destructive to to the lives of people who can be are involved in them.
2	MR. ADAMS: TO everyone involved in them?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.
4	MR. ADAMS: The men and the women?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Men and women, as we to all
6	involved. I just basically think it's not a good way to live.
7	MR. ADAMS: Okay. So why do you think we should or people should
8	be allowed to have them?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: That's they should have the
10	freedom to make their own choice on how to live their life.
11	MR. ADAMS: Do you think you think they're immoral?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.
13	MR. ADAMS: And do you think people who are attracted to them are
14	immoral people?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I'd say yeah. Yes and no. Yes to the
16	fact that they're engaging in an activity that's not correct, but everybody has
17	nobody is perfect.
18	MR. ADAMS: Right.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Everybody's got flaws.
20	MR. ADAMS: Do you think that that this is a flaw of people who who
21	are attracted to make a buck off of nudity and grind dancing and that sort of
22	stuff?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah, I do think I do think it's a
24	flaw. It's it's
25	MR. ADAMS: Yeah.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -300-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: It's their --

MR. ADAMS: It's a weakness for people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Weakness. You can say it's sinful, a weakness.

MR. ADAMS: A character flaw.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Not necessarily. It could just be that's the way they grew up thinking there was nothing wrong with it and they just don't know any better.

MR. ADAMS: In this situation I'm representing Louis Hidalgo III whose father is the club owner. And so Louis Hidalgo III has made a choice to go work for his dad at the strip club. Do you understand why I'd be a little concerned --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Uh-huh.

MR. ADAMS: -- with -- with the views that you've been kind enough to share with us. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I understand.

MR. ADAMS: Do you think it's a sin?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Do I think what's a sin?

MR. ADAMS: Working in a strip club making good money off of -- off of the activities in a strip club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes, I do.

MR. ADAMS: And if there were character evidence presented on behalf of -- of Mr. Hidalgo III, Little Louis, saying, oh, he's a great guy, he's very truthful, this and that and the other, you'd be less likely to embrace or accept that evidence just based on the fact that he's chosen this immoral path to make a buck?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -301PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Not necessarily.

MR. PESCI: Can I -- can we approach?

THE COURT: All right.

(Conference at the bench)

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon, at the conclusion of the case I'm going to give you, if you're selected to serve as a juror, the instructions on the law. Okay? And the way it works then is the jury gets the instructions on the law, and you'll actually have, if you're selected, have written copies back in the jury room. And then collectively the jury applies the evidence as they understand it and remember it to be to the law and in that way, you know, reaches a verdict after discussion and deliberation and what have you.

Now, let's say I read something in the instruction in the law that maybe differs from your understanding as to what the law ought to be, would you have any problem following the instructions as I give them to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: You're asking if I would prefer your understanding of the law over my own understanding of the law.

THE COURT: Well, not if you would prefer it, but if you would follow it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I would -- I would probably go with my own understanding of what the law --

THE COURT: Really?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: -- what the law --

THE COURT: Even if I -- even if you're supposed to follow the instructions on the law that I give you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I understand -- I understand isn't the -- isn't the part of the purpose of the jury is to determine just how the law

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -302-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -303-

understanding -- because basically it's the province of the Court to make the determination of what the law is, and it's the province of the jury, not me, a lot of people think the Court does it, to listen to the evidence and make a determination of what the facts are and the credibility of the witnesses. That's the jury's job.

Now, let's say I give instructions on the law and you think, well, that's different from something I may have read or studied. Will you set aside something that you may have read or studied and follow the law that I give you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I -- yes, I would think I would -- I would do that. Yeah. I just don't know enough about the law on my own, the details of the law on my own to actually have a real valid opinion.

THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that the law -- you know, there's federal law and there's state law, and that may different in some regards. And there's differences in the law between one state and the next state. So even something you may have learned may be right in -- in another state --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: -- but it may not be --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: -- right here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes, I understand that.

THE COURT: Okay.

Go on, Mr. Adams.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Mr. Harmon, the first question the Judge asked you, which was a good question, and you said you would follow, you think, your law, and then you -- over hers, and then you explained that, well, in some areas of the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -304-

constitution there's some differences.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah.

MR. ADAMS: What is -- what are the hot button issues for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I -- I'm not sure just how -- how you -- actually, there's -- there's two ways to look at -- one of the ways I wish -- the way I wish the laws actually were, and then there's the way -- the way that the law should be applied by the con -- by the constitution itself.

For instance, there was a case a number of years ago where the courts over -- where the Supreme Court overturned some anti-sodomy laws in Texas which I have no problem with. I don't like those law at all. I don't think any state should have them. But I don't think that the Supreme Court was correct in its decision to overturn the laws. I thought the state -- the states actually had the right to make laws if they chose to do so.

MR. ADAMS: Is it a federalism matter, or state's rights matter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Federal, yes. That's a big -- that's a big part of it.

MR. ADAMS: How -- what's the other part of it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: The other part is mainly -- well, the two forms of judicial activism, one form where the court overturns a previous ruling, and then the other form where the court chooses to impose its own understanding of it rather than going and following -- follow what the constitution really should be.

MR. ADAMS: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I have no problem with the first one, the first type. I have a big problem with the second type.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -305MR. ADAMS: What if there were some evidence in this case and you were asked or told by the judge that you could use it against one of the defendants, but not against the other defendant and you just thought that was wrong, that you should be able to use it against both, that that's a wrong interpretation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I -- I would -- I don't -- I probably don't -- wouldn't know enough of the details of the law to actually be able to make a valid judgment in that case.

THE COURT: Well, I guess it -- would you follow that and say, okay -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: -- I'm only going to consider it against --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I would --

THE COURT: -- defendant A, but not against defendant B, or vice versa?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I would do my best to follow that.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this. You know, you probably talked about the sodomy law, and while you don't personally agree with that law, you respect the right of the legislature to enact it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, there may be instructions on the law in this case that differ from your personal view as to what the law ought to be.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: There may be one, there may be none, there may be more than one. If I give you an instruction that differs from your personal belief as to what the law ought to be, would you set aside your personal belief as to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -306-

what the law ought to be and follow the instructions that I give you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes, I would.

THE COURT: Okay. At this point I need to take a brief break, sir. We're going to go until about 4:30. I'm going to ask you to return to the hallway and have a seat out in the hallway with the other prospective jurors. But, sir, please don't discuss what we've discussed, our questions, your answers, anything --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- like that with the other prospective -- excuse me -- the other prospective jurors.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Certainly.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and follow Officer Wooten through the double doors.

(Prospective juror No. 25 exits courtroom)

THE COURT: I really needed to take a break. I don't think we're going to get a for cause on this guy. If you know you're going to perempt him, let's --

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I assess this man as 100 percent substantially impaired. He's going to say he follows the law all day long, but underneath that -- and underneath he's substantially impaired in his ability. Now, I believe I can show that to the Court. It may take a little more time than the Court wants to spend, but I assess this man based on his complete demeanor as a substantially impaired juror.

MR. PESCI: I have no understanding what a substantially impaired juror is. The answers that he's given to you is that he'll follow the law. If substantially impaired means he doesn't like him, then I guess that qualifies, but that's not what it was.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -307-

THE COURT: I mean, here's the problem. You know, his answers have been correct, I mean, correct in the sense that he says he'll follow the law, he says he'll keep an open mind, he says he's not going to hold it against the defendants that they're strip club operators. I don't think I can sort of say, well, because -- you know, he's not clearly lying, he doesn't seem to be impaired, meaning impaired mentally in any way that he's confused. I don't think that I can sort of say, well, I don't believe him.

Obviously -- I mean, the other people we didn't believe that said the right answers to get excused, we excused them. So at some point in time what we have to do is basically accept that people are -- are telling the truth and not say, well, this one's lying, that one's not lying. I mean, you know, most people want to get out of it.

And he's saying, you know -- and I think he's -- he's studied the law to some extent. He's probably smart enough to know what not to say. I mean, I just -- I guess what I'm saying in a really, really long winded way is I don't see anything here to -- to -- that I can find, yeah, this guy is lying, this guy has a -- has an agenda and is trying to cover it up.

MR. ADAMS: Here's the issue with this juror. And I cited to the Morgan versus Illinois case yesterday which is a 1992 Supreme Court decision that says follow the law questions, it does not say is -- I hope I didn't represent, and maybe I did, that you can't ask them. What it does say is that's not sufficient to get to the answer of whether a juror is actually qualified or not.

Because a lot of jurors are going to come in here and when they're asked by the Judge, will you follow the law, they're going to say, you betcha, I'll follow the law, absolutely. And when you delve into their views, they can't. And

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -308-

24

25

in this case, on our witness list are numerous, numerous character witnesses to put up good character evidence, lack of violence, truthfulness, appropriate character evidence that this man is ever, ever going to be able to give weight to for strip club owner and son. He's not going to be able to do that.

He can tell you all day he'll follow the law, but this guy just cannot do it because he thinks these guys are profiting in immoral and illicit actions.

MR. PESCI: Judge, when the defense counsel asked a specific question about whether he would listen to that evidence, he said he would. So, again, it goes back to the fact that they --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. PESCI: -- don't like him.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask another question. I really did need a break. And we'll go into that a little bit. I'll go into what does listen mean, you know, will you consider, will you weigh it, not just will you hear it. And then we're going to move on.

(Recess taken at 4:26 p.m.)

THE COURT: Are we ready?

MR. ADAMS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Bring him back.

THE MARSHAL: The same guy?

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE MARSHAL: What was his name, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I'm beat down.

MR. PESCI: Harmon.

THE COURT: Douglas Harmon.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -309-

MR. DIGIACOMO: Harmon.

THE MARSHAL: Harmon.

(Off-record colloquy)

THE COURT: Sir, you were asked by Mr. Adams a question about he said, well, there might be some character evidence about the defendants, about their truthfulness and character and business and what not. And he asked you if you would listen to it.

I'm going to ask you something more because it's not just listen to it and stay awake and pay attention, but would you give that meaningful consideration, or do you feel that because the defendants are involved in this strip club, the Palomino Club, that that's something that you kind of have your mind made up about their character already as you sit here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: No, I don't --

THE COURT: And just be honest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: There's no right or wrong answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yes.

THE COURT: It's just your opinion.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Yeah, I'd listen to it. There's no reason why they can't be perfectly honest in their dealings with everybody even if they are engaged in that kind of business.

THE COURT: Okay. What about other types of -- I mean, we talked about honesty and business dealings, but what about other types of people's character, good character. Is there any aspect or facet of an individual's character that you kind of have your mind made up about simply because that

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -310-

person operates or owns a strip club? I mean, do you -- do you make any, I guess, conclusions about a person's character just by virtue of that -- of that fact? And just be honest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I would -- I would -- I would say probably yes to an extent. The -- the -- it doesn't mean they can't be honest, but I would say that it's not a good sign of character.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say not a good sign of character, can you elaborate as to what conclusions you might draw just based on that information alone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Well, I would have some doubts about their views on -- on women and on their views on how proper interaction -- yeah, on how they should treat -- treat women.

THE COURT: Okay. So you might assume that maybe they look down on women or don't respect women?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: That would be my -- that would be part of -- I'd lean towards that for a default assumption. It wouldn't necessarily mean it would stay that way, but that would be -- that would be my -- just drawing just from that, yes.

THE COURT: Any other assumptions you might make?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I don't know. It would depend.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you think of any other assumptions that -- that you -- well, as you sit here right now, assumptions that you're making just because we've told you that these individuals were involved in the strip club business?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: I don't -- I don't -- I don't really have

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

any. I don't know a whole lot about the business. 2 THE COURT: Okav. Mr. Adams, do you want to follow up on anything? 3 MR. ADAMS: No, ma'am, I'm satisfied with the -- the answers and the 4 5 state of the record. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 7 Sir, thank you. I'm going to ask you again to go back out in the 8 hallway and just have a seat out there. And once again, please don't discuss 9 anything that's transpired with the other prospective jurors. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Okay. 11 (Prospective juror No. 25 exits courtroom) 12 THE COURT: All right. Is the door shut, Jeff? And, Mr. Adams, you're challenging for cause on the grounds of 13 14 substantial impairment? MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am. You asked him if he could factor it in. He 15 16 said he could listen was his answer. And also you raised the issue of what other things come to mind, and he talked about taking advantage of women. And in 17 this situation we have a woman who was a codefendant who the State's cut a 18 19 deal with that we believe is the main actor in the death of Timothy Hadland. 20 And I believe he is likely to be biased and in the -- and view this as 21 the Hidalgo -- male Hidalgos taking advantage of this woman in a strip club context due to their profession in a club they owned. And, again --22 23 THE COURT: No, she was never a dancer or anything like that in the 24 club, was she? 25 MR. ADAMS: No, ma'am.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -312-

THE COURT: Because I sort of thought he meant using the gals as dancers and exploiting them like physically, I mean, their bodies.

MR. ADAMS: Well, he views them as -- his starting point is that they're exploiting people of females.

THE COURT: Women.

MR. ADAMS: Women. So based on that I think that's an additional ground of the substantial impairment of Mr. Harmon, and we move that he be excused for cause.

MR. DIGIACOMO: As a legal analysis, the defendants are not entitled to 12 people who think that it's morally okay to be a stripper. It's just like a gang member is not entitled to 12 people who think it's okay to be gang members. The question is can they set aside any preconceived notions, review the evidence, and make the determination.

They've been asking that all the time. People have been setting aside the fact that they don't like people who have affairs when that's a fact and issue in the case. They've been setting aside their thoughts on gang membership which is helpful to them in a particular case. That's not the test. The test is simply can they look at the evidence and make a determination, and certainly his answers have said so.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Adams has satisfied --

Just for the record, Mr. Gentile, what is your position on behalf of Mr. Hidalgo, Jr.?

MR. GENTILE: I join Mr. Adams position.

THE COURT: All right. It's overruled, but I think you've made, as you

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

1	stated, an adequate record.
2	State, you
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: We waive.
4	THE COURT: may exercise your challenge.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: We waive.
6	THE COURT: I figured.
7	Defense.
8	MR. GENTILE: We don't waive.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: I figured.
11	THE COURT: You're going to excuse Mr. Harmon?
12	MR. GENTILE: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	Jeff, bring Mr. Harmon in.
15	MR. PESCI: Welcome to picking a jury in St. George.
16	THE COURT: I'm so glad that I sent that jury home and didn't think we
17	were going to get to openings.
18	Mr. Harmon, thank you very much for your participation and your
19	willingness to serve. You are, however, excused, and Officer Wooten will direct
20	you from the courtroom. Thank you very much, sir.
21	And Officer Wooten, bring in Ms. Lenahan.
22	THE MARSHAL: Lenahan?
23	THE COURT: Yeah.
24	(Prospective juror No. 25 exits courtroom)
25	THE COURT: Ms. Lenahan, just have a seat there in the jury box

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -314-

02052

1	please, ma'am, anywhere that you're comfortable. And you stated earlier that
2	you work for Advanced Cardiovascular Associates; is that right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: What do you do for them.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I'm a nationally certified medical
6	assistant. I'm also a lab assistant and a cardiovascular technician.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And you said you're nationally certified?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Did you have to I'm assuming, obviously, you
10	had to have training and education and then you took a
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I have an
12	THE COURT: test of something?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: associates degree and took
14	national boards.
15	THE COURT: Okay. And where did you receive your associate's
16	degree?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: High Tech Institute here in Las
18	Vegas.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No, I'm divorced.
21	THE COURT: And do you have any children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I have two children.
23	THE COURT: And the ages?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: 27 and 24.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror before?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -315-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -316-

1	ability to be fair and impartial.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: That's fine.
3	THE COURT: So that's why we ask these questions. And I appreciate
4	you know, I'm not going to delve into it too much, but I appreciate that it's difficult.
5	Now, was this person also in the military?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And did I'm assuming you reported it?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And did that result in a court martial proceeding?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, it did.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And what was the finding, I guess, of the court
12	martial proceeding?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: He was found guilty and he was
14	lost all his rights. He was kicked out of the service dishonorably.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Were you satisfied with the outcome of that
16	proceeding?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, I was.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else in your family background or your
19	own personal background relating to being the victim of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. What about the flip side of that? Have you or
22	anyone close to you ever been arrested or charged with a crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. How long were you in the Navy for?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Four years.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -317-

02055

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -318-

over strip clubs. There's been an issue that's been discussed, and you haven't been here for three days, so one of the issues that's been discussed -- and let me preface this, there's going to be no allegation that Mr. Hidalgo or Mr. Hidalgo III, his son, are members or affiliated or associates of a criminal gang. But there will be some testimony about -- there may be some testimony that one person involved in this case has gang ties. Do you have any reaction when you hear the word gang?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I think of the word corruption and I think that it -- that it's in every city. It's a way of life. I mean, it's involved in life and that's just the way it is.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Whatever preconceived notions that you bring in about gangs, the question is is at the end of the day can you set aside those notions and look at the evidence that's presented in the courtroom and make a determination from the evidence here in court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, I can.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Some of the other things, let's talk a little bit about the law. There are times that as individuals we may not necessarily agree with what the law is. Okay? But in a court jurors must -- well, you take an oath to follow the law. Do you think you could follow the law despite whether or not you believe necessarily that the law is correct or not correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, I can.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Let's talk about some of the rules, reasonable doubt. The burden in a criminal case is beyond a reasonable doubt. That means that Mr. Pesci and myself have a duty to present the case, and we have to do it beyond a reasonable doubt. Any problem with the fact that -- that it's our burden

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -319-

.	
1	and that we hold the burden?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I understand that.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And based upon that burden and some other
4	constitutional rights, these people over here have no duty to do anything
5	whatsoever. Can you accept that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
7	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And on top of that, both of these individuals
8	over here have an absolute duty to sit there and not take the stand or testify at
9	all. Can you accept that?
10	THE COURT: I think the term the word you meant
11	MR. GENTILE: I think he misspoke.
12	THE COURT: was right, not duty.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: Absolute right, excuse me.
14	THE COURT: They have a right. They they don't that they don't
15	have to take the stand and testify.
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: Right. They have an absolute right not to take the
17	stand and testify.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I understand that.
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And you can accept that as part of our system
20	of justice?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, that's the way it is.
22	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And you wouldn't hold that against them?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: Any reason well, let me ask you this. Do you think
25	you'd be a good juror?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -320-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -321-

1	to have any impact on you and the way that you either believe him or don't
2	believe him, just that fact alone?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No, I I don't believe so.
4	MR. GENTILE: No?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Huh-uh.
6	MR. GENTILE: You promise?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I swear.
8	MR. GENTILE: Okay. That's all I need.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gentile.
10	Mr. Arrascada.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: Court's indulgence.
12	Your Honor, I I have no questions.
13	THE COURT: I know. How does one top that?
14	MR. ARRASCADA: 1 1
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Is that bad?
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: No.
17	THE COURT: No.
18	MR. GENTILE: No, no, no.
19	MR. ARRASCADA: Actually, ma'am, I I do.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Okay.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: Now you talked him into it.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Did I talk you into this?
23	MR. ARRASCADA: No, but thank you for your honesty. Okay?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: You're welcome.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: Thanks.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -323-

1	Just a statement, not a question.
2	THE COURT: Oh, it wasn't a question.
3	All right. Jeff, would you
4	MR. ARRASCADA: May I thank you for your honesty.
5	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to just have you exit the courtroom
6	with Jeff.
7	And then, Jeff, in about a minute bring them all back in.
8	THE MARSHAL: All right.
9	(Prospective juror No. 27 exits courtroom)
10	THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody passed for cause?
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: And I read Mr well, actually, I didn't read your lips, I
13	heard you. You like her.
14	MR. ADAMS: Who?
15	THE COURT: This gal, Ms. Lenahan.
16	MR. ADAMS: That's why I cover my mouth. That's why I've been trying
17	to cover my mouth.
18	THE COURT: I know. And you said I like it, she's a stickler.
19	Jeff, bring them all in.
20	MS. ARMENI: We got a jury.
21	MR. ADAMS: Judge, I do do you know her from the from the
22	doctors?
23	THE COURT: No. I recognized her, and I don't think she recognized
24	me. I don't know her beyond that.
25	THE MARSHAL: The jury is coming in.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -324-

(Prospective jury panel enters at 4:53 p.m.)

THE COURT: Just wherever.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: We're not in order anymore.

THE COURT: No. No, you're not. You're out of order. You're all out of order.

All right. As you know, we previously had gone through jury selection for a number of days. All of the lawyers' challenges have now been fully exercised or waived, and the two additional members of our jury are Mr. Dodson and Ms. Lenahan.

The rest of you, thank you for being here and you are excused at this time. And Officer Wooten will direct everyone except for Mr. Dodson and Ms. Lenahan from the jury room -- or from the courtroom.

(Remainder of prospective jury panel recessed at 4:54 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dodson and Ms. Lenahan, in a moment I'm going to excuse you for the weekend. The other men and women who were selected to be on this jury were sent home at lunchtime because we knew we needed more people. The entire jury is going to be here, including both you, at 9:00 a.m. Monday morning, and we will begin the trial at 9:00 a.m. on Monday morning.

Now, obviously you two haven't hear any evidence in this case, but you have heard discussion as to what the case is about. You've been introduced to the lawyers, you've been introduced to the defendants.

Over our weekend recess, and this is very, very important, I must admonish you that you're not to discuss this case, any person relating to the case, the lawyers relating to the case with each other or with anyone else.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -325Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you've been selected to serve as a juror in a criminal case, but please don't tell them anything else like who the lawyers are or what courtroom it's in or anything else. Okay?

Additionally, do not read, watch, or listen to any reports or commentaries on any subject or person relating to this case. Don't do any independent research on any subject connected with the trial. Don't visit the locations at issue. And please don't form or express an opinion on the case.

I'm going to have you exit the courtroom with Officer Wooten. He'll tell you where to meet up Monday morning at 9:00 a.m. And then, as I said before, we'll begin the trial. So have a good weekend and we'll see you back here Monday.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Thank you, Judge.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Thank you.

(Jurors recessed at 4:56 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. I guess we have to resolve the issue of the transcripts.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, and then they were supposed to answer the question as to whether or not they want Deangelo Carroll's one line in there for the truth of the matter asserted or -- or not because --

THE COURT: I think they -- they said yesterday they did not.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, they said they wanted to think about it overnight.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay.

And the outcome is?

MR. ADAMS: Well, are you ruling on the transcript issue now, Your

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -326-

22

23

24

25

Honor?

THE COURT: Well, let's do the other one first.

MR. ADAMS: Okay.

THE COURT: Who's going to address that?

MR. ARRASCADA: I will.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ARRASCADA: Court's indulgence.

Your Honor, we believe it's admissible for the truth of the matter.

THE COURT: All right. And so, Mr. DiGiacomo, the State's position is?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, my position is that I can't offer it for that purpose and they can't argue it for that purpose. However, based upon the fact that they are going to assert in their opening that that is a statement which is offered for the -- which may be considered for the truth of the matter asserted, I am going to explain to the jury that I think that there will be an explanation during the course of the trial. And if ultimately the Court rules that that's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted, at least I had a good faith basis to make a statement.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine.

MR. GENTILE: That's fair.

THE COURT: That's fair.

Okay. So we're all kind of on the same page on that one.

Direct my attention, please, to the portion of the transcript that has the basically contested sentence.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I believe it's page 15. I can count it out right now because I forgot to number these last night. One, two, three, four, five, six,

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -327seven, eight, nine, ten -- it's actually page 11. And I think when you say contested, you need to probably pull up theirs as well because I know I gave you my copy of theirs.

THE COURT: Well, I'm talking about the one that you just had and -MR. DIGIACOMO: I -- I know, but part of what we changed is from
theirs.

THE COURT: Okay. So -- and it is?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Little Lou line -- it reads now: Next time you do something stupid like that, I told you you should've taken care of TJ, but, space, all the fuckin' time, space, KC, space, priors, how do know this guy?

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If you see the part that is lined out, it used to read: Doing something stupid like that, I told you to take care of this, space, all the fuckin' time, KC priors, how do you know this guy?

And the defense's version read: space, you do something stupid like that, I told you you should have taken care of this, space, all the fuckin' time.

And then I don't remember what happens afterwards. But the -- that is the extent of the argument --

THE COURT: I don't --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- that we're having.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't see that the import or the impact of this is really markedly different between the State's new version and the old version. I mean, it's obvious they're talking about the same thing. I don't know what the big -- other than this or TJ, I don't really know what the big -- the big change is, I mean, to make it more prejudicial or more probative or anything else. I mean, I

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -328-

24

25

think the content -- the content is essentially the same whichever version you look at.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's -- that's kind of what I thought too, but --

THE COURT: That's how I read it. I mean, I'm -- I guess the defense disagrees with that, but whether it's you do something stupid like -- I mean, if you look through the whole content, it's the -- it's the -- it's not like they're -- looks like they're talking about anything different other than TJ and this. But I still think if you go through the content, it's not that -- I mean, it's obvious they're talking about the same thing. I just don't get what's so different, in my view.

MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, it's the reference. You can listen to that tape 100 times and come to 100 different conclusions regarding taking care of this TJ, but you cannot -- Judge, you've listened to the tapes. You can't hear.

And now they're going to be overly suggestive to this jury regarding TJ Hadland, that our client is referring to him by name in this statement. You don't see it as being any different, so what's the harm of just giving the one that everyone agreed on and not the contested one? And they -- obviously they're going to argue it, Judge.

MR. PESCI: Judge, that's just --

MR. ADAMS: But if you're going --

MR. PESCI: -- the misrepresentation. We didn't all agree to that.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, if I --

MR. PESCI: We agreed to have --

MR. ARRASCADA: You know --

MR. PESCI: -- two separate --

MR. ARRASCADA: -- please instruct --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -329-

MR. PESCI: -- ones.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- the prosecutor -
THE COURT: Let -- let -
MR. ARRASCADA: -- not to -
THE COURT: Mr. Pesci --

MR. ARRASCADA: -- interrupt.

THE COURT: -- first of all, don't double team. And second of all, Mr. Arrascada is speaking and wait until he's done speaking and then you can speak. Well, whoever wants to take this one.

MR. ARRASCADA: I believe Mr. DiGiacomo had the lead on this, and the Court just ruled no double teaming.

Your Honor, the point being now in the 11<sup>th</sup> hour they have come up with this new miraculous transcript that has the name TJ within it. And it's prejudicial to our client because it gets put in front of the jury that this is the context and it's overly suggestive. And the prior two transcripts were both blank in that area.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, if I may be heard now in response to that, there was never an agreement as to the content of the transcript. They filed an audibility hearing in which they said, look, Judge, either you go through and you write a transcript, or we will accept that the State can offer one version and we'll offer another.

What they are essentially now claiming is there is some sort of discovery violation related to a demonstrative piece of evidence. That's what the argument is --

THE COURT: Yeah, that is what --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -330-

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- before the Court.

THE COURT: -- in my view, what it is.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And there -- there can't be a discovery issue related to the original tape. One, two, they know two weeks ago I specifically said that says TJ in that exact spot when they were in my office. I made that representation.

THE COURT: Well, that was not -- Mr. Gentile wasn't involved in that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, Mr. Gentile wasn't. But actually, I think that statement is somewhat exculpatory to Mr. H when I think all of the -- the things play out. In fact, there's another line that Mr. Gentile this morning said, oh, thanks for giving me that, I didn't hear that on there either. We didn't make stuff in there to help our case. We added other stuff that we could find, some of which was in their transcript itself.

Now they're saying one word, this versus TJ. That's it. That's what they're arguing to the Court. And to suggest that we have to stick with a word that we don't believe is correct in our transcript, they're free to put this in their transcript and they're free to argue let's do it again, it's this, and we're free to argue, listen again, he says TJ.

What's the difference? What possible prejudice could they have that -- that they would've done differently with their case had they known that that word was TJ versus this? What prejudice?

We've been here now five days for jury selection -- or four days for jury selection. What's changed in the entire -- what couldn't they have done in preparation of their case? I mean, what possible difference does it make?

MR. GENTILE: May I be heard?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. GENTILE: It's really not by beef because --

THE COURT: Right. You don't have a dog in the fight.

MR. GENTILE: I don't have a dog in it. But I was the person who argued it and -- and we were the ones who filed the --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: -- audibility hearing motion, at the time representing Mr. Hidalgo III. I will tell you that when I saw the transcript that the government proposed at that time my feeling was that there was nothing in it that would create a need for you to have to go through and listen to it all and make the decision.

My feeling was that whether a jury believed the one that existed at that time or the one that existed that -- that I had or believed neither of them, which is really what they're supposed to do. They're supposed to believe neither of them, that it didn't matter, and, candidly, I wanted the tape played twice because I thought it was really good for Mr. Hidalgo III, okay, especially on that issue.

This does change things, and I will tell you that had this been the transcript that had been offered at that time, I would've forced the Court to at least make an effort to --

THE COURT: You would've asked --

MR. GENTILE: I would've --

THE COURT: -- the Court.

MR. GENTILE: No, actually, Judge, I think there's a right to it.

THE COURT: All right.

25

MR. GENTILE: Okay?

THE COURT: Here --

MR. GENTILE: But -- but in the end -- in the final analysis --

THE COURT: If it wasn't the weekend -- I mean, I'm -- I'm happy to take the tape and listen to it and then make a finding one way or the other. If I think it says TJ or if it says this or I can't tell what the heck it says, my only concern is then in Mr. DiGiacomo's PowerPoint. I mean, in terms of these other words, I don't think anybody really cares if Mr. DiGiacomo uses this new transcript. The only issue is whether or not it says TJ this or nothing.

MR. GENTILE: And I will tell you on the record that but for --

THE COURT: Is that fair?

MR. GENTILE: That's absolutely true --

THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo --

MR. GENTILE: -- but for TJ thing.

THE COURT: -- if, let's say, Monday morning at 8:00 you were to be told, you know what, the Court said she didn't hear TJ, take the TJ out, just leave a blank there, how long would that take you to revise in your PowerPoint?

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'll tell you what, for purposes of my PowerPoint -- because I mean certainly I'm entitled to put up on -- on the --

THE COURT: You're --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- thing with -- with the case not playing saying you will hear this. For purposes of my PowerPoint that'll make no difference. And if you rule right now that for purposes of my opening I don't play the audibility portion where -- and -- and the jury have a transcript that says TJ, then we're fine.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -333-

THE COURT: Right. I mean, you can say, obviously, in your

PowerPoint -- I'm sorry, not in your PowerPoint, in your opening you can say
you're going to listen to the tape and hear that he's talking about TJ, and then the
defense can say that's not what the tape says, you're going --

MR. ARRASCADA: Not only --

THE COURT: -- to hear --

MR. ARRASCADA: Not only that's not what the tape says, but that's not what their transcript in the beginning said.

THE COURT: I know. I get it.

MR. ARRASCADA: And then their transcript --

THE COURT: I mean, I still think -- I still think that they can say what they think the evidence is going to show. It's up to the jury what the evidence shows. I mean, I can listen to this over the weekend and make a comparison and then make a finding one way or the other if it's not going to impact --

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'll take it --

THE COURT: -- the openings.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- out of my closing, and then that way --

THE COURT: I mean your opening.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- I mean, it's already late on Friday. We'll get you a clean copy with good headphones for you listen before it actually comes into evidence.

THE COURT: So I don't have to do it this weekend?

MR. DIGIACOMO: So you don't have to do it --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- this weekend, one.

Two, they keep saying that somehow that there's some evidentiary basis to make an argument as to a transcript. Unless they're going to -- they've already said they don't want a witness to authenticate it. Unless they're going to find a witness that originally authenticated or -- or created the transcript, how is it that they're going to impeach and say, well, this -- the government gave us a transcript that didn't have this in it and now they did.

Now, certainly they can -- they can ask -- I guess they can ask witnesses that question, but they're not allowed to just stand up there and go, well this is what the government gave us in discovery --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- and it doesn't count.

THE COURT: I mean --

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, we -- we wouldn't do that.

THE COURT: Right. You can comment on whatever, I mean, that, you know, they're going to see two transcripts and the govern -- and the State is putting in stuff that they're just not going to hear on the tape.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, if you're going to let in that transcript, then their original transcript -- transcript should be --

THE COURT: No, it's one transcript or the other. It's not going to be an issue about who prepared what transcript and whether they first -- I mean, the Court's going to make a determination of what transcript they're entitled to give, whether it's the first, whether it's the second, or it's the second with a slight redaction of the TJ, which seems to be the big issue.

Then you're going to make a Court exhibit of the first transcript, and the second transcript will be a Court exhibit as well. But there's not going to be a

23

24

25

bunch of arguing and fighting in front of the jury, oh, well, this transcript and that transcript. For appellate purposes, the transcripts, like I said, are Court's exhibits that don't, obviously, go back to the jury.

But, no, we're not going to get into that because there's no witness to that. How are you going to put it on? Then you're going to be the witness then arguing about a discovery violation and you're not a witness. So who's going to tell about what transcript and who did it? There's nobody to tell.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I --

THE COURT: So it's not evidence.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, may I be heard momentarily --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. ADAMS: -- please. I'm doing the opening statement for Mr. Hidalgo III. And Mr. DiGiacomo has referenced that he told us a couple of weeks ago in a part of a conversation that was off the record, and he asked us to be off the record on that. And there were other parts of that which I feel bound by the off the record part so I'm not going to go into those.

I think there is a process where he thought he heard something on the tape and he thinks now a witness has confirmed that. And I think he will ask this witness -- I think he'll ask Ms. Espindola about it.

THE COURT: That's okay.

MR. ADAMS: I think we are entitled to talk about the prior transcript before Ms. Espindola --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ADAMS: -- became a --

THE COURT: Here's the deal.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -336-

MR. ADAMS: -- State's witness.

THE COURT: If Ms. Espindola was not -- here's my ruling. Okay? Right or wrong. If Ms. Espindola was not involved in the making of the first transcript, which she was not, what difference -- how is she going to comment on the first transcript versus the second transcript?

Now, you can ask her, okay, as part of your agreement to cooperate in this -- in this case you met with the prosecutors and you listened to the tape, and you told them what was in the tape, or something like that, and you didn't do that until after. She can testify to that, but she can't comment on the first transcript why something --

MR. ADAMS: She would've reviewed the first transcript to come up with the additional stuff.

THE COURT: Yeah, but she doesn't know -- you know what, I'm not going to fight with you. That's my ruling. She doesn't know why something is in or not in the first transcript because she wasn't there and she didn't do it.

She can say I was given the first transcript and I filled in the blanks or I was given a transcript that was incomplete and I filled in the blanks and I put TJ in there. But beyond that she doesn't have any personal knowledge of anything relating to the first transcript, so what the heck is she going to comment on? I mean, all she can say is what she knows.

And, you know, anything else would be speculation. Well, why is this, or not this in the transcript? She doesn't know. She wasn't -- she wasn't cooperating at that time. She wasn't there. How does she know why somebody put or didn't put something in a transcript? I mean --

MR. GENTILE: May I address the Court?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -337-

THE COURT: Are you sure you want to?

MR. GENTILE: Yes, I do. It seems to me that, and with all due respect to everybody, we're getting kind of far afield. The transcript is nothing more than an aid --

THE COURT: An aid.

MR. GENTILE: -- for the jury. That's it.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: That's all it is. No witness should be talked to about the creation of a transcript. All right? Whether they assisted in it or whether they didn't assist in it. It doesn't matter. It's done for the aid to the jury. The jury should be instructed that it is not to be suggestive. We are not certifying that this is --

THE COURT: Didn't I say --

MR. GENTILE: -- what's on the tape.

THE COURT: -- that that was the instruction I intended to --

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

THE COURT: Didn't I say at the bench? Did I not say at the bench that that's what I was going to tell them, that we're --

MR. GENTILE: I don't know if you did --

THE COURT: -- not saying this --

MR. GENTILE: -- or you didn't.

THE COURT: -- is accurate or not accurate? There are two versions, they're going to listen and it's their determination, and this may help them, it may not help them.

MR. GENTILE: And when they go into -- when they go into deliberations

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -338-

they're not going to have the transcript and --

THE COURT: Of course.

MR. GENTILE: -- you know, if they don't hear it, they don't hear it. But that's the reason you have to tell them that the transcript is only there to help them right now, and it does not -- it's not intended --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: -- to be suggestive.

THE COURT: Mr. Gentile, you may not have heard me at the bench the other day, but I did say that that would be the admonition and that I tell them in every case that they don't get the transcript. You're not getting the transcript, it's not an exhibit, it's not going back in the jury room with you, it's just to aid you at this point in time. And then when we're done we collect the transcripts and -- and that's it.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

THE COURT: So that's part of my standard instruction and this instruction is going to be a little bit broader because there is a dispute as to what's in the transcript. I'm going to tell them there's a dispute as to what's in the transcript, it's up to you. The Court is not making a determination as to the accuracy of these transcripts. They may help you or not help you.

If anyone wants me to add anything to that general spiel, I will. But beyond that -- I mean, to me, we're really just fighting over a word.

MR. GENTILE: Well, and -- and the biggest problem with that is that because the transcript isn't in evidence, you -- you really can't comment, no lawyer can comment on the accuracy of the transcript. It's just not -- essentially it's a hearsay document. And it's a -- and it's a fugitive document because it's

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -339-

not an exhibit. MR. DIGIACOMO: Mr. Gentile and I are somewhat on the same page. MS. ARMENI: Twice. MR. DIGIACOMO: In one day. THE COURT: All right then. I think we're dissecting this dead horse. MR. DIGIACOMO: See you, guys. MS. ARMENI: Have a good weekend. (Proceedings adjourned at 5:13 p.m.) -000-ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. 

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -340-