IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 02 2011 01:25 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

Appellant,

VS.

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial District Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Volume 12 of 25

(Pages 2079 - 2304)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 ¹	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A ²	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B ³	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 ⁴	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

¹ This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

⁴ Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own	02/24/09	24	04505
Recognizance Release for House Arrest)			
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843





STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff,) CASE NO: C212667/C241394) DEPT NO: XXI
vs.)
LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, JR.,)) Transcript of) Proceedings
Defendants.))

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 5

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2009

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

MARC DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, JR.: DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ.

PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ.

FOR LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III:

JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ.

CHRISTOPHER ADAMS, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: KARReporting and Transcription Services

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

LARRY RAY MORTON	
Direct Examination By Mr. Digiacomo	94
Cross-Examination By Mr. Gentile	101
Cross-Examination By Mr. Arrascada	103
ISMAEL MADRID	
Direct Examination By Mr. Pesci	105
Cross-Examination By Ms. Armeni	115
Cross-Examination By Mr. Arrascada	115
Redirect Examination By Mr. Pesci	116
JASON LAFRENIERE	
Direct Examination By Mr. Pesci	118
PAIJIK KARLSON	
Direct Examination By Mr. Pesci	127
Cross-Examination By Mr. Gentile	152
Cross-Examination By Mr. Arrascada	159
Redirect Examination By Mr. Pesci	161
KRISTIN GRAMMAS .	
Direct Examination By Mr. Pesci	167
Cross-Examination By Ms. Armeni	209
Cross-Examination By Mr. Arrascada	215
Redirect Examination By Mr. Pesci	220

EXHIBITS

STATE'S EXHIBITS A	OMITTED:	PAGE
1 through 4	Photographs	97
134	Envelope	99
134A, B, and C	Bullet Fragments	100
5 through 9	Photographs	108
12, 14, and 15	Photographs	109
10 and 11	Photographs	121
148	Diagram Sketch	171
159	Aerial Map	172
155 and 155A	Evidence Bag and Contents	176
152	Evidence Envelope and Contents	179
154 and 154 A\	Evidence Bag and Contents	182
195	Evidence Envelope	184
153	Evidence Bag	185
150	Evidence Envelope and Contents	187
149	Evidence Bag and Contents	189
13	Photograph	190
16 through 21	Photographs .	191
22 through 29	Photographs	194
30 and 31	Photographs	197
145 and 146	Photographs	200
32 through 59	Photographs	202
196	Badge Photo	222
KARRepo	orting & Transcription Services	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2009, 9:02 A.M. PROCEEDINGS

•

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: You guys, before Denise reads the indictment, just double check that she's got the right thing.

MR. GENTILE: There are two separate ones.

THE COURT: Right, I know, the indictments -- just make sure because there's been a few. Just make sure she's got the right thing.

(Off-record colloquy)

(Pause in proceedings)

(Jury reconvened at 9:26 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. The Court is now in session.

The record will now reflect the presence of the State through Mr. Pesci and Mr. DiGiacomo, the presence of the defendant Mr. Hidalgo, Jr., with his attorneys Ms. Armeni and Mr. Gentile, the presence of the defendant, Mr. Hidalgo, III, along with his attorneys Mr. Arrascada and Mr. Adams, the officers of the Court and the 15 members of the jury.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. After a very long, arduous process, you have been selected as the 15 members of our jury. In a moment I'm going to have the clerk administer the oath to the jury. That will be followed up by some introductory comments from me and then the opening statements from the attorneys.

And, Jeff, did you have a chance to pass out the 1 2 notepads? 3 THE MARSHAL: They're on their chairs. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 4 5 Ms. Husted, if you'll please administer All right. 6 the oath to the members of the jury. 7 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 8 (Clerk swears jury) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I will now take a 9 10 few minutes to talk to you about what to expect in this case. 11 My comments are intended to serve as an introduction to the 12 trial. At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed 13 instructions in writing and those instructions will control 14 your deliberations. 15 This is a criminal case brought by the State of Nevada against the defendants. The case is based on two 16 17 indictments. The clerk will now read the two indictments and 18 state the pleas of the defendants. 19 Ms. Husted. THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 20 (Clerk reads Indictment) 21 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, you should distinctly 23 24 understand that the indictments just read to you are simply 25 descriptions of the charges made by the State against the KARReporting & Transcription Services

defendants. It is not evidence of anything. It does not prove anything. Therefore, each defendant starts out with a clean slate. Each defendant has plead not guilty and is presumed innocent.

This is a criminal case and there are two basic rules you must keep in mind. First, the defendants are presumed innocent unless and until proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

A defendant is not required to present any evidence or prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

Second, to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime was committed and the defendant is the person who committed the crime.

It will be your duty to decide from the evidence to be presented whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You are the sole judges of the facts. You will decide what the facts are from the evidence which will be presented. The evidence will consist of testimony of witnesses and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits. You must apply the facts to the law which I shall give you and in that way reach your verdict.

It is important you perform your duty of determining the facts diligently and consciously, for ordinarily, there is

no way of correcting an erroneous determination of facts by the jury.

1.8

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts. At times I may even ask questions of witnesses. If I do so, it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or value you should give to the testimony of a witness.

There are two kinds of evidence direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony about what the witness personally saw, heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. It is proof of one or more facts from which one can find another fact.

By way of example, direct evidence that it had rained during the night would be the testimony of a witness who said, I was outside last night and it was raining and my hair got all wet and my shoes got all wet.

Circumstantial evidence that it had rained during the night would be the testimony of a witness who said, When I went to bed last night, it was cloudy and overcast, and when I woke up in the morning, I looked out the window and my car was all wet and the streets and the sidewalks were wet and there was water running down the gutter.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight or value to both, but it is for you to decide how much consideration to give to any evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you must not consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of the case. They include: Statements and arguments by the attorneys, questions and objections of the attorneys, testimony I instruct you to disregard, and anything you may see or hear if court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

Remember, evidence is sworn testimony by a witness while court is in session and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

There are rules of law which control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offer an exhibit into evidence and the lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered and the exhibit cannot be received.

Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, ignore the question and do not guess at what the answer might have been. Sometimes I may order evidence stricken from the

1

2. 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

record and tell you to disregard or ignore such evidence. This means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence which I told you to disregard.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which the lawyer believes may not be permitted under the rules. You should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party the lawyer represents.

Also, I may find it necessary to admonish a lawyer. If I do, you should not be prejudiced towards the lawyer or client because I found it necessary to admonish him or her.

At the end of the trial, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. not have a written transcript to consult and it is difficult and time consuming for the court recorder to play back lengthy testimony; therefore, I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note taking distract you so that you do not hear other answers by witnesses. should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of other jurors.

> Do not make up your mind about what the verdict KARReporting & Transcription Services

should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. It is important that you keep an open mind.

A juror may not declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of which the juror has knowledge. If any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that that juror or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, that juror shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of the other jurors.

This means that if you learn during the course of a trial that you have personal knowledge of any fact that is not presented by the evidence in this case, you must declare that fact to me. You communicate to the Court through the bailiff.

During the course of this trial, the attorneys for both sides and all court personnel other than the bailiff are not permitting to converse with members of the jury. These individuals are not being antisocial. They are bound by ethics in the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminate your verdict.

The trial will proceed in the following manner: The deputy district attorney will make an opening statement which is an outline to help you understand what the State expects to prove. Next, the defendant's attorney may, but does not have to, make an opening statement.

Opening statements serve as an instruction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove. The State will then present its evidence and counsel for the defendant may cross-examine the witnesses.

1.0

19.

Following the State's case, the defendant may present evidence and the deputy district attorney may cross-examine those witnesses. However, as I have already said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence.

After all the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interrupt the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant's guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments.

After the arguments have been completed, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict. Jurors are now permitted to ask questions of the witnesses. I ask that if you have a question for one of the witnesses that you write it down using a full sheet of note paper, then wait until all of the attorneys have had a chance to question that witness, because very frequently one of the attorneys will ask one of your questions. Then get either my attention or our bailiff's attention and he will get the question from you.

Please don't be offended if I don't ask one of your questions. That does not mean it's not a good question. It doesn't mean it's not an interesting question, but the questions from the jurors are governed by the same rules of evidence that govern the questions from the attorneys. So your question could call for hearsay or other types of inadmissible evidence, and for that reason, I may not ask it.

That concludes my opening remarks.

Is the State ready to proceed with its opening statement?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. DIGIACOMO: I told you you should have taken care of TJ. Those are the words of Luis, Little Lou Hidalgo, III, the son, on May 23, 2005. And at the end of this case, one thing will certainly not be in question is what "taking care of" means. Because on May 19th out at Lake Mead Timothy J. Hadland was certainly taken care of. He was executed with two shots to the head from a .38 or .357 caliber revolver.

On May 19th at about 11:45, a motorist rolls up on this scene, calls the police, the police arrive on scene.

They find TJ out in the middle of the street. They find his car still running. It's actually his girlfriend's, Paijik

Karlson's car. It's on the side of the road.

They find that an empty canister -- it's called a

pneumatic tube. Most people have used that before, either at a bank, or if you've gone to a Walgreens and done your prescription, this is the tube that sucks through the vacuum. They find TJ's cell phone, which becomes very important in the case, and lying right next to the body of Timothy J. Hadland is the calling card of the Palomino.

When the police are out there and processing the scene, they pick up TJ's phone and they start going through it and the very last person that they happen to see on the — calling TJ was an individual by the name of Deangelo. At this point the cops have no idea who Deangelo is. In fact, they don't even know that Paijik Karlson is down at the lake at the campsite.

Eventually they find Paijik and Paijik tells them that, I was here with TJ, we were camping, he got phone calls from Deangelo, they were going to meet up over some marijuana that — Deangelo had some marijuana for TJ. And so TJ drove out to meet them on North Shore Road.

So you find out that Deangelo's an employee at the Palomino Club so the cops think that the next best thing to do is to go down and check out to Palomino Club.

The Palomino Club is an old time gentlemen's club here. It has been around for decades. If any of you know where North Las Vegas Boulevard runs into North Las Vegas, there's a Jerry's Nugget Casino across the street, and that's

the Palomino Club that sits on the corner right across the street.

By May of 2005, the Palomino was owned by an individual by the name of Mr. H, the defendant, the father in this particular case. It is managed by his girlfriend, Mr. H's girlfriend, Anabel Espindola, and another person who works there and is listed as a manager of the club is Luis Hidalgo, III, or Little Lou.

On the afternoon of the 20th, the day after the murder, the police get ahold of Mr. H. They ask him to come down to the Palomino Club and they ask him about Deangelo, and he says, Well, that's Deangelo Carroll, my employee, but I don't — I can't give you any information on him. You're going to have to come back later that night and talk to the — to Ariel, who was another manager of the club, and she'll be able to give you the information about Deangelo. I don't know anything about him. That's Deangelo Carroll.

Deangelo Carroll — you're going to hear a lot of testimony about Deangelo in this particular case. Deangelo Carroll works for the Palomino Club, had been there since September Of 2004. He has a somewhat colorful history. And let me tell you right up front, you're going to not like Deangelo Carroll. You are not going to believe some of what he says, but you're not going to have to judge his credibility because he's not a witness in this case. He's a defendant and

you're going to hear that he's still a defendant today.

MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

1.0

(Off-record bench conference)

MR. DIGIACOMO: Some of the other players in this particular case you're going to need to know about. Deangelo Carroll is actually a full-time employee. You'll see that he has employee records at the Palomino. He's got a work card for the Palomino. Now, what Deangelo Carroll does, he's a little bit of a jack-of-all-trades. He does a little bit of this, sometimes he'll take over the DJ both when the DJ booth needs someone to work out for it. But a lot of the time he uses a white Chevy Astro van to do what's known as promoting for the Palomino Club.

The Palomino Club's not down in the area where all the other strip clubs are in Las Vegas, so they rely heavily on cabs, and you've heard something about this in jury selection, to bring their customers to them, to the Palomino Club. And then those cab drivers get tipped out. The way it kind of works is a cab driver rolls up and he's got two people in his car. The doorman writes down two on a little sheet of paper, gives it to the cab driver. The cab driver drives around back and there's a cashier back there who then pays out the tip to the cashier and then those two people who got out of the cab pay at the front door to get into the Palomino

Club.

Well, in order to provide information to the cab drivers as to the payout and to get more people to come up there, they have Deangelo Carroll going out and passing out flyers. And there's actually a list of information to give to the various cab drivers. And he enlists the help of two individuals, two kids basically, Jayson Taoipu and Rontae Zone.

Jayson's 15 or 16 at the time; Rontae's barely 18 years old. And they go out and Rontae and Jayson aren't employees in the true sense of the word of the Palomino Club. They get tipped a certain amount of money at the end of the night for doing -- passing out this paperwork.

The last person you're going to need to know about is an individual by the name of Kenneth Counts or as you're going to hear him repeatedly referred to in this case as KC. He's the shooter. He's ultimately the person that Deangelo Carroll goes and gets to go out to the lake with him, with Jayson and Rontae in the car, and he's the person who actually gets out of the car and fires twice into the head of Timothy Hadland.

So what are you going to know? First you're going to know about May 19. I already told you Deangelo's using that white Chevy Astro van to go promote for the club and he has the two kids Jayson and Rontae with him. Well, during the

daytime he starts telling Jayson and Rontae that Mr. H, the owner of the Palomino Club, wants to do something to an individual. He wants to hurt an individual. He wants — as one of them puts it, he wants to put out a hit on one of the individuals, that he wanted somebody, quote, taken care of.

2.

2.2

And Jayson, you will hear, says, Yeah, I'm down with that. I'm good. And Rontae says, Woe, hey. And what Rontae will tell you is, hey, Deangelo, I thought he was talking big, I didn't really believe him. But essentially Rontae says, I don't really want to be involved.

Deangelo Carroll does give Jayson a .22 caliber revolver — semiautomatic firearm, and he attempts on at least one occasion to give Rontae the bullets. They go out that day and they actually do some promoting, Jayson, Rontae, and Deangelo. And sometime in the evening hours they're back at Deangelo Carroll's house when Little Lou, the son, calls and tells them to come back to the club. And when he tells them to come back to the club, he tells them to bring some baseball bats and trash bags.

And at that point you will hear from Rontae Zone that when Deangelo Carroll gets off the phone he tells them, Hey, we've got to go back to the club. We need to bring the baseball bats and the garbage bags. And at that point they drive to the club.

When they get to the club, Deangelo Carroll goes in

the club. When he comes out of the club, they get in the car.

They drive over to E Street, which happens to be Kenneth

Counts' house. Deangelo Carroll goes in the house. He comes

out of the house with Kenneth Counts. He's dressed in black

and he's wearing gloves.

They get in the van and they all start heading out towards Lake Mead. As they're driving out there, Deangelo's calling TJ back and forth about having marijuana for him. TJ eventually agrees to meet Deangelo.

During the trip, as — if any of you, if you head out towards — out towards Lake Mead, as you get out towards those mountains, and there's a little guard shack out there as you go pass into the Lake Mead area there, well, right about there is when you start having some severe cell phone problems. And what you will learn is that Deangelo has to keep looping back and forth because he's losing cell phone coverage. And he does it on a couple of occasions. He passed by that guard shack.

During this trip you'll hear that there's a phone call from Anabel to Deangelo and eventually when they arrive at the location you'll hear that there's some conversation with TJ. TJ gets out of the car and he's kind of walking towards the car. Kenneth Counts slides out of that side door. And you've already seen what he does to TJ.

Once they -- the murder occurs, Kenneth Counts jumps

back in the car and they drive off. The van does a U-turn, drives directly back to the Palomino. At first Deangelo enters the Palomino and then KC enters the Palomino and eventually KC exits the Palomino first. And there will be some discrepancy as to whether it's 5,000 or \$6,000, but he gets — he has \$6,000.

Jayson and Rontae, they're in the van and they see KC leave the Palomino in a yellow cab. Eventually Deangelo comes out of the club. They take the van. Deangelo punctures the tires on the van because they're afraid they might have driven over some blood or something that would link the van back to the murder scene and they throw the tires away and they get new tires.

What you will learn when the cops check out the yellow cab story — let me back up for just a second as to how we get there. That morning Jayson, Rontae, and Deangelo go and have breakfast. There's some time period during the day on the 20th, and eventually at 7:30 at night when the police are at the Palomino Club, you will learn that Deangelo Carroll walks into the Palomino Club. They stop Deangelo. They talk to him a few minutes. He agrees to come down to the police station and what proceeds from there is a lengthy interview.

At the end of that interview, they take Deangelo Carroll and his vehicle and they drive him home. And when they get home, they find Rontae Zone in Deangelo Carroll's

house. They ask Rontae to go with them. Rontae comes out of the house. He goes down to the police station. Most of what I just told you about what happened during the days of the 19th and the 20th you're going to learn from the interview that was given by Rontae Zone that night and the testimony he's going to give to you.

And he indicates that KC took a yellow cab. The cops were able to identify KC at Kenneth Counts. They start searching and, low and behold, what do they find? They find a trip sheet from yellow cab. On the back of the trip sheet at 12:00 o'clock in the evening, this is the early morning hours of the 20th, 12:26 to 12:31, a pick up at the Palomino. And what you'll hear about this is the person tells them they want to go to 513 Wyatt. And what he says is initially the person only has hundred dollar bills and he says he can't change hundred dollar bills. He sends him back in the club to get change.

He indicates that an African male adult gets back in his car, tells him 513, and as he's driving him over to 513, he asks him to get out at 508. So that's why the cab driver notes down 508 because he didn't get out at 513. And the cab driver watches the individual not go into 508, but actually walk behind it. And what you'll learn in this case, that's Kenneth Counts' home.

Based upon the interview with Rontae and the other

KARReporting & Transcription Services
20

information that they've gathered, the police want to go looking for Kenneth Counts. As the SWAT team comes down Burns Avenue there at the corner of Burns and E Street, Kenneth Counts runs from his home into his aunt's home across the street, and the cops eventually get a search warrant and have to pull Kenneth Counts out of the attic of that home.

2.4

When they do a search warrant on that home, they find VIP cards in the name of -- or from the Palomino. They have fingerprints from Kenneth Counts on them. They have fingerprints from Deangelo Carroll on them.

After they got the shooter into custody, the police actually — because they had been up 72 hours — sleep on the 22nd, but on the 23rd they put what — a surreptitious recording device on Deangelo Carroll and they send Deangelo Carroll into Simone's Autoplaza. And the reason that they send him in there is that Simone's Autoplaza is also owned by Mr. H. And there's an office there that he has as well as Anabel Espindola as well as Luis Hidalgo, III, actually lives in room six, the back room of this place.

You're going to hear these recordings and there's some things you're going to need to know about these recordings. First and foremost, there of terrible quality. The reason being this, it's a surreptitious recording device that's placed on Deangelo Carroll so you can actually hear kind of like his clothing rubbing against it, but then you're

also going to hear the whispering of the coconspirators during the entire recording.

And eventually when they get this recording off of Deangelo Carroll, they can hear certain things, but it's of poor quality and it eventually gets sent to the FBI and it also gets sent to an independent agency in Toledo, Ohio and what you'll eventually hear is an enhanced version of the recordings.

None of the statements are going to be changed, but some of the background noise and other things. So you will have the original poor quality, you will have the enhancement. And I'm going to tell you right now you're not going understand every word. You'll probably get about 90 percent of the words after you listen to it over and over again. But one thing is going to be a hundred percent clear when we're done, that the order was given by Mr. H, Luis Hidalgo, III, was involved in it and that the order was to kill Timothy Hadland.

You will also hear a second recording that occurs on May 24th and since — at some point you're going to need to hear these recordings. You're going to need to hear them on multiple occasions. I'm going to play portions of them for you now.

Ms. Olsen, can you flip to the -(Tape being played.)

Your Honor, we have an objection to 1 MR. ADAMS: 2 the --THE COURT: 3 Okay. MR. ARRASCADA: May we approach? 4 THE COURT: Yeah. Approach on this. 5 (Off-record bench conference) 6 7 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, just so you know, the transcript was prepared by the State. It is not going to 8 be evidence in the case. It's something that they're offering 9 10 you to guide you in listening to the tape. The contents of 11 the transcript are disputed. And again, it won't be evidence. 12 What will control is your hearing and interpretation of what is on the tape, not any transcript. 13 Is that -- anything else? All right. 14 Now go on, Mr. DiGiacomo. 15 (Tape continues) 16 MR. DIGIACOMO: And the tape goes on for longer than 17 that. There's actually about another five minutes of 18 19 conversation that you'll hear. Let's talk a little bit about what you heard on that 20 tape. Never take a single piece of evidence to try and find 21 out the answer to a complex story, but this is a very good 22 23 piece of evidence to find out --MR. GENTILE: Objection. Argument. 24 25 THE COURT: Sustained. KARReporting & Transcription Services

23

MR. DIGIACOMO: Let's talk about certain things.

When you first heard that, what went through your mind is that

13 minutes and 30 seconds Deangelo Carroll makes a statement

to Little Lou that says, What are you worried about? You had

nothing to do with this. At the end of this case, I'm going

to suggest to you that that statement doesn't mean he had

nothing to do with the case. That statement means that

Deangelo Carroll knows nothing about conspiracy law and you

will hear what the meaning of that statement is.

So as you sit here today, ask yourself what he meant at 22:15 when you heard Little Lou say, Next time you do something stupid like this, I told you you should have taken care of TJ. And then --

MR. ADAMS: Objection to that, Your Honor. That was not in the transcript.

THE COURT: That's sustained. Sustained.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Sorry. I wasn't allowed to tell them what it's going to say?

THE COURT: Well, just go on, Mr. DiGiacomo.

And ladies and gentlemen, I'll just remind you, as I said in the opening, this is the State's impression or -- of what the evidence will be. At the end of the day, it's what you recall of the evidence and what you yourselves hear in the tape.

Go on.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you. 1 2 I won't tell you what it says. Let's listen to it 3 again. MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, now this is getting --4 5 (Tape being played.) MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, we want to object --6 7 THE COURT: How much are you going to play, 8 Mr. DiGiacomo? 9 MR. DIGIACOMO: Just that whole --10 MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, we're raising an 11 objection that's argumentative. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. DIGIACOMO: Argumentative? THE COURT: Well, it was -- you can play a little 14 15 bit more. It is getting argumentative. MR. DIGIACOMO: And you'll have that tape back 16 there, 22:15. Write it on your note pads because when you're 17 18 back there, you're not going to have the transcript. And do it in Real Player, by the way, because if you play it in a 19 20 different player on the computer, it actually -- the time will 21 be slightly off, but 22:15. In addition to what you will learn during the course 22 23 of the time period, what else he's talking about is, How do 24 you know this quy KC, that the conspirators are upset that he used someone else as opposed to doing it himself, and you'll 25 KARReporting & Transcription Services

25

also hear that they are upset that he had those two kids in the car who could pinpoint exactly where he was.

What else you also heard that should give some --

MR. GENTILE: Objection to what they heard. He can talk about what they're going to hear.

THE COURT: Right. That's sustained.

MR. DIGIACOMO: What else you're going to hear on this tape — well, first of all, there's no question that Luis Hidalgo, III, wants Rontae and Jayson killed. There's no question that he wants KC to do it first, and then after he's told that KC isn't the person who could do it because — well, Deangelo knows that KC's in jail, but as he tells them that he's not going to be able to find KC, that he gives them a bottle of Tanquerae, and you're going to hear that Deangelo Carroll leaves that — Simone's Autoplaza with a bottle of Tanquerae. He wants rat poisoning in it. And even when Anabel Espindola tells Luis Hidalgo, III, rat poisoning's not going to work, his response isn't, You're right. It's, You know what you've got to do.

What else you heard, which caused the recording to occur on the next day, was --

THE COURT: We'll hear.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- what Anabel Espindola said.

MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, again, objection. This is argument.

THE COURT: All right. 1 2 MR. DIGIACOMO: Rephrase. 3 What you're going to hear is her statement which 4 caused the second recording. On there you heard her make a 5 statement, something to the effect of, What we really wanted 6 for him was to be beat up, not M F'ing dead. And based upon 7 that, the cops decided that they needed to send Deangelo back 8 up a second day. 9 And you're going to hear a recording from May 24th, 10 once again at Simone's, once again with Anabel and Little Lou 11 on the recording in which the discussion is had about what the 12 actual plan was. 13 (Tape being played.) 14 MR. DIGIACOMO: You'll learn that that device is 15 left in the bathroom for 28 minutes and it's dead recording 16 until Deangelo puts it back on himself and he walks out of 17 that club on the 24th. You will hear --18 And, I'm sorry. Ms. Olsen, can you switch it back 19 to --20 THE COURT: You know, while she's doing that, how 21 much more do you have, Mr. DiGiacomo? 22 MR. DIGIACOMO: Ten minutes, maybe. 23 THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. 24 MR. DIGIACOMO: You will hear and you heard a 25 discussion about a lot of things. One of the things you will

learn during this time period is that Luis Hidalgo, Jr. is inside Simone's club. Surveillance on that club puts him inside the club on that date and shortly after the 23rd recording is done, sees him leaving with Anabel Espindola.

The next day, once again, he's surveilled. He's in that place. And eventually Luis Hidalgo, on the 24th, Jr. -- III, winds up leaving and the cops come into contact with him and arrest him.

He was the person who was supposed to open the Palomino Club that night, so about 5:00 o'clock when the dancers are standing outside the door and they can't get in, they start calling Anabel and Mr. H. And you will hear about Anabel and Mr. H leaving Simone's on the 24th together and then they're pulled down and then Anabel Espindola is arrested.

After that time period, a search warrant is executed on the evening of the 24th on Simone's Autoplaza. During the course of the execution of the search warrant there's a lot of items of evidence found, but one of them was a note, Maybe we are being surveilled, keep your mouth shut.

When this case first started out and Mr. H was not a defendant in the case, an exemplar was taken from Luis Hidalgo, III, to see if he wrote that note. A forensic analyst was able to conclude he's not the author of that note.

Eventually, later on when you hear about the arrest of Mr. H, an exemplar is taken from Mr. H and the forensic analyst was able to say to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that Luis Hidalgo, Jr., the father, wrote that note.

1.1

In addition, there's an execution of a search warrant at the Palomino Club as well and there's documents related to the fact that TJ was an employee there, Deangelo Carroll and everything else.

You also heard a discussion about cell phones. Each one of these individuals had a cell phone and you will learn about their number. Mr. H has kind of got a green border there, and I did that to help you follow along with some of the colors. Luis Hidalgo, III, has paint. Anabel's is purple. Deangelo's is yellow and so is Kenneth Counts, and I'll tell you about that in a minute, why.

Now, everyone at the club has Nextels. There's two ways to work a Nextel. I don't know if any of you guys have a Nextel. There's Nextel regular, you talk on the phone. When that happens, you do just like a normal telephone calls.. There's cell site coverage and you can learn the cell site information about where everybody is that's talking regularly on the phone. The Nextel's also have a walkie-talkie function where they can just chirp back and forth and do direct connects.

Deangelo Carroll's Nextel telephone only does direct

KARReporting & Transcription Services
29

connects out of the Palomino. So if you're going to have a regular telephone conversation with Deangelo Carroll, it either has to be on a different cell phone or it has to be on his home phone. And you'll learn during the course of this case (702)643-0842 is Deangelo Carroll's home phone.

On May 19th of 2005, he calls Anabel Espindola's phone on two occasions, one at 5:00 o'clock and one at 7:30. You're also going to see that at 7:42 p.m. Little Lou calls Deangelo Carroll's home. And when there are cell site information, this is an actual telephone call, those are minutes. So they talk for over a minute, Little Lou and Deangelo Carroll.

And I submit to you that at the end of this case the evidence is going to show that that phone call is the phone call where he tells Deangelo Carroll to come to the club with the baseball bats and the garbage bags.

Then you'll see the time period of the murder. This inbound/outbound is actually a cell phone, and all of these are direct connects. You're going to see direct connects between Mr. H and Anabel. At one part you're going to see Deangelo Carroll and Anabel Espindola direct connects, Mr. H and Anabel direct connects, Deangelo Carroll and Timothy Hadland, who still had his Palomino cell phone, Nextel cell phone. These right here and then this call right here.

You heard during the course -- or you will hear

during the course of those tapes that a regular phone call
Deangelo Carroll can't make. You heard that discussion — or
you will hear that discussion about the son and calling his
wife. As it turns out, you will hear the testimony about how
there was problems with the connections and eventually there's
an actual regular phone call made inbound to Kenneth Counts —
I mean, inbound to Anabel Espindola, 1.4 minutes.

And the cops run down the phone number, which just happens to be Kenneth Counts' cell phone. Deangelo -- you will find that Deangelo Carroll borrowed Kenneth Counts' cell phone so he could have a regular conversation with Anabel Espindola shortly before the murder of TJ Hadland.

You keep following those and you'll see that at 12:24 Mr. H calls Anabel and Anabel calls Little Lou. And interestingly, at 1:48 a.m., Mr. H direct connects with Deangelo Carroll.

Eventually, you will hear from Anabel Espindola.

Ms. Espindola was arrested on May 24th of 2005. She sat in jail and, in fact, is still in jail for the better part of three years and ultimately reached a resolution with the State. And you will hear her story. And at the end of this case you will be instructed on the law and you're not going to be asked to find what crime she committed, but when you read that law, the evidence is probably going to show you that she committed second degree murder.

She enters a plea to what's known as voluntary manslaughter with use of a deadly weapon, one step down. And she remains in jail to this day and she's going to tell you what she knows about this crime.

2.4

She's going to tell you that on the morning -- or during the daytime on May 19th of 2005 she received a phone call from Deangelo Carroll just like the phone records show, that during the course of that phone call Deangelo Carroll started telling her about TJ and TJ's talking bad about the club. And she'll explain to you a little bit about the club. The club was once owned by Jack Perry. He eventually had to sell the club. He sells it to a Dr. Simon Sturtzer, (phonetic) who's a close friend of Mr. H, and eventually Mr. Sturtzer's getting such bad press because he's a doctor that he wants a partner and he wants to go silent and Mr. H becomes that partner.

Dr. Sturtzer still gets paid \$10,000 a month even after Mr. H takes over the club, and the club's not making that much money to cover the nut every month that they have to pay Dr. Sturtzer. And Simone's isn't doing that much either.

She will tell you that after she receives the phone call from Deangelo Carroll, she's in the house -- or she's in the -- Simone's Autoplaza with both Luis Hidalgo, III, and Mr. H. And the cell sites from their phone records will confirm that fact. She will tell you that she told them what

Deangelo Carroll had told her and that the two of them started an argument and during the course of that argument Luis Hidalgo, III, said to his father, You're never going to make the kind of money that Rizzalo and Gallardi do.

For those of you who don't know, Rizzalo was the owner of the Crazy Horse II, here in town, and Gallardi was the owner of Cheetah's and I think Jaguar's as well before his legal troubles. And he says — Little Lou says, you know, you won't even have this guy beat up, Rizzalo had a customer beat up who wouldn't pay. And this argument ensues in which Little Lou finally leaves the club. And, in fact, when you look at his cell phone records, he's hitting off a cell phone tower between Simone's where he left after this argument and when he gets to the Palomino Club where that phone call was made to Deangelo Carroll.

Anabel will tell you that Mr. H was stewing. He wasn't happy about the conversation. He was mad. He was sitting outside her office. And she'll say that eventually sometime after 7:30 or 8:00 o'clock she and Mr. H drove to the Palomino Club. She'll tell you that once she got there, she went into the office like she always does and she remained in the office. And then eventually Mr. H and Deangelo Carroll walked into the office — or Deangelo Carroll knocked on the door, him and Mr. H had a short conversation. They walked out the door.

A short time later, Mr. H came back into the office, asked her to step to the back area away from an individual by the name of PK, Pilar Handley (phonetic) and she said, Go call Deangelo and tell him to go to plan B. She'll tell you that she went to the back. She couldn't direct connect with him. She kept clicking back and forth and eventually was able to get a land line connection with him, just like the phone records will show you.

And during the course of that conversation he was saying stuff about, But we're alone, and she says, Look, Mr. H wants you to go to plan B, go to plan B. She'll tell you that after that phone call and her conversations with Mr. H, Deangelo Carroll came back to the club, that he came into the office, that he said it was done and Mr. H ordered her to give him five. She says five what? He says, \$5,000.

She'll tell you that she went and got the cash and she put it on the table and Deangelo Carroll walked out of the room. She'll tell you that the next day or the day after, on Saturday, she went to Luis Hidalgo, Jr. After having his conversation with the police that evening of the 20th, was concerned, he was upset.

And so they called their lawyer and eventually talked to an individual by the name of Jerome DePalma. And the next day, on Sunday, their usual lawyer, Mr. Gentile, flew back into town and they had a meeting with him on that day.

She'll tell you that at the end of that meeting she was instructed in the presence of Mr. H not to have conversations with Deangelo Carroll, that he could be wired.

And she'll tell you that later that night she left and despite the warning that she was provided, Mr. H was upset. He was scared as to what Deangelo Carroll was going to do and he asked her to have a conversation with Mr. Carroll. And when you listen to that recording, what you will find or what you will hear is exactly what she's saying. You and Luis have to stick together. You and Luis -- Luis's in a panic. Even his own son admits Luis's the person in the panic.

And she'll tell you that during the time period of that wire, Mr. H was inside the place. You will also hear that the next day nobody told Deangelo to come down there. He just goes walking in. And when he walked in, she had a short conversation with Mr. H. She talked to him. And then you heard her — hear her leave the room and you will hear that she talked to him and he ordered her to give Deangelo Carroll more money. She then left and gave Deangelo Carroll more money. He left and eventually she was arrested in this case.

Ladies and gentlemen, at the end of this case, while it's complex, while it's complex conspiracy law and you're going to have a lot of law provided to you related to the elements of the case, there's going to be simply no conclusion other than Mr. H gave the order that his son encouraged the

order and that ultimately they're responsible for the death of Timothy Hadland.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. DiGiacomo.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to take a quick ten-minute break until 11:00 o'clock. You are reminded that during this break you're not to discuss this case or anything relating to the case with anyone else. You're not to read, watch, listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to the case and please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

If everyone would please put their notepads in there chairs, and I do need to remind everyone when you are in the building, please make sure that you're wearing your blue Department XXI jurors — jury badges. The reason for that is so that people immediately recognize you as jurors and don't inadvertently discuss the case or something like that in your presence.

So if all of you will please put your notepads in your chairs and follow Jeff through the double doors, we'll be back in session at 11:00.

(Court recessed at 10:52 a.m. until 11:02 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. During the

 ${\tt KARReporting~\&~Transcription~Services}$

State's opening, we approached the bench --

THE COURT: Yeah. The first objection was referencing Mr. DiGiacomo's commenting on the state of the case against Deangelo Carroll, which I told him to move on. I didn't sustain the objection. I should have, but it is what it is.

MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am, we objected and said that -THE COURT: But then he did -- for the record, he
did move on after -- there's probably not going to be any
evidence of what Deangelo Carroll did or did not do. But
anyway, he moved on from that and took another -- moved on to
something else is what I'm trying to say.

MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am. We objected on the grounds of hearsay and prejudicial effect and lack of relevance and the Court overruled.

We do at this time raise a continuing objection to the State eliciting that information from any witness in the case as Deangelo Carroll's status of incarceration at this point in time is irrelevant to the trial of these two defendants.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, it's not irrelevant. As you heard them say at the bench, the police made a deal with him. The police made no deal with him. He offered to wear a wire. They took him up on that wire. We have never used — we have never provided him a deal.

THE COURT: Yeah. Here's the --1 2 MR. DIGIACOMO: He's charged and that's going to 3 become relevant if they're going to start --THE COURT: Right. If they start --4 5 MR. DIGIACOMO: -- questioning that. 6 THE COURT: I mean, obviously we can't get into the 7 Kenneth Count situation. Anyone who testifies -- so it kind 8 of creates an incomplete or haphazard picture. Anyone who 9 testifies, obviously, you can get into what they were offered 10 and anything like that. Deangelo Carroll isn't going to be 11 testifying, so I don't know how it's going to come in. But if 12 the defense tries to make an issue that there was a deal and 13 he got a benefit from this, then certainly that opens the door 14 and the State can get into, Oh, no, there was no benefit. 15 didn't favor this defendant over any other defendant. So I 16 think then it would become relevant. 17 MR. ADAMS: Correct. And we had a second objection 18 regarding the transcripts. Mr. Arrascada--19 THE COURT: Right, which was sustained, and they did 20 not use the --MR. ADAMS: 21 I believe that was --THE COURT: -- they did not use the offending -- or 22 23 the question part of the transcript which referred to TJ. 24 That has been redacted by Mr. DiGiacomo. He informed the 25 Court of that at the bench and then was allowed to go forward

and any reference to the disputed part was sustained and Mr. DiGiacomo then did not reference it but told the jury to listen for themselves or something to that effect.

And I also would address there had been previously a Batson challenge made. There are two African Americans on the regular jury and one African American is the second alternate in Chair No. 7.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And first alternate, we still don't know the answer to.

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, it's taking us a bit of time to get set up, but I believe --

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. GENTILE: I apologize to the Court.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, on the transcript issue, could we just request that throughout the trial if the transcript is brought up that the limiting instruction be provided to them contemporaneously?

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. PESCI: Judge, we'd ask for that for the defense's version as well.

THE COURT: Right. Anytime they reference the transcript, I'll just remind everyone they won't have copies, it's not evidence, and it's disputed and is merely being given to aid them in listening to the tape, let their own -- you know, something to that effect. Their own hearing of the tape

1	is what controls.
2	In response, Mr. Arrascada and Mr. Adams, the JAVS
3	people are going to come up at the break and try to set
4	something up so that you can see a monitor as well. So they
5	don't know if they'll be able to do it, but they'll try.
6	I think an hour's optimistic.
7	MR. GENTILE: I agree.
8	THE COURT: I'm not going to interrupt you, but as
9	soon as you're finished, we'll take our lunch break.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: So if he gets to 12:15, that's 1:15,
11	and they said that
12	You're still going to have about a half hour,
13	Mr. Adams?
14	THE COURT: 40 minutes.
15	MR. ADAMS: I'm going to be 45.
16	(Off-record colloquy)
17	THE COURT: All right. Bring them in.
18	(Jury reconvened at 11:07 a.m.)
19	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in
20	session. The record will reflect the presence of the State,
21	the defendants, their counsel, the officers of the Court, the
22	members of the jury.
23	Mr. Gentile, are you ready to proceed with your
24	opening statement?
25	MR. GENTILE: I am, Your Honor.
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 40

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. GENTILE: Thank you.

DEFENDANT HIDALGO, JR. OPENING STATEMENT

MR. GENTILE: Good morning. When we stood up to give you that brief overview of this case, what now seems like a long time ago, remember, I said to you that the bottom line was that Luis Hidalgo, Jr. didn't know anything about anything that happened in this horrible tragic death of Timothy Hadland until after it happened. Thus, the theme of this case.

Everybody in this jury has said that, certainly everybody has heard it, we have all experienced it, and it is what this case is about. Over the next hour or so, to be honest, I'm going to talk to you about what the facts will show. I'm going to identify for you some issues that will arise in this case so that when you hear the facts as they come in, you can kind of have a road map, some sort of a way of putting the facts as they come in into context for the decision that you're going to be asked to make when this is all over with, but what I would like you to remember throughout — those three words and three others — consider the source, also something that I'm sure most of us have either heard in our life — maybe our mother said it to us, and most of us have said it in our life.

This is a conspiracy case and the three questions that you're going to be asking yourselves as the evidence

comes in in this case, the first one is, what's conspiracy?

Now, understand something, only the judge can instruct you on
the law. That is her exclusive province and role in this
case. None of the lawyers, no matter how much we've worked
with the law or how little, can talk to you about what the law
is. At the end of the case, the judge is going to instruct
you what the law is and then we'll be able to argue with those
instructions before you what the facts show as it meets the
elements of the law.

But in simple terms, conspiracy's an agreement.

It's an agreement to do something illegal. And obviously it has to have a starting time's, and a stating time's no different than any other starting time of any other agreement. When two people, at least two people, get together and they talk to each other and they agree to do something, you have a conspiracy. Other people can join that same conspiracy later. They can agree later on to accomplish the objective of that conspiracy. But like anything else, a conspiracy has to have an end.

And at the end of this case, the judge is going to instruct you as to when a conspiracy ends, but obviously if the objective of the conspiracy has been completed, you can't very well join a conspiracy to accomplish that goal. It's too late to do that and that's why we get back to timing is everything. As you listen to the facts as they come into this

case, keep that in mind.

It's going to be critical. Time lines are going to be critical in this case for you to reach a just and correct decision.

The judge will instruct you at the end of the case that if you did not join a conspiracy before its objective has been reached, then while you may be responsible for some things that you did do, you're not responsible for the objective of that conspiracy. And that makes sense.

Another theory in this case that the State has — and by the way, everything is — everything that comes into this case with respect to Luis Hidalgo, Jr., who you will referred to as Louie and you will hear referred to as Mr. H by people that have been calling him that his whole life, everything is governed by this document. This document is called an amended indictment. And as the judge said, it's nothing more than a piece of paper that kind of puts on it what the charges are so that you can have some guidance.

You don't come into a courtroom to decide whether you like a guy or not. You don't come into a courtroom to decide whether he's a bad guy or not, whether he did something right or did something wrong. You come into a courtroom to determine whether what's on this piece of paper has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

And in this case -- Mr. DiGiacomo said that this is KARReporting & Transcription Services

kind of a complex case, and he's right. And the reason that he's right is because it charges two Counts. It charges a conspiracy to commit murder, an agreement to commit murder, and then by its language, it incorporates by reference Count 2, which is the murder count.

In Count 2, it has four different theories about how the murder may have been committed.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I apologize. I gave him some leeway, but one, it's argumentative; and, two, it's not proper opening.

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, we're entitled to discuss issues at this point and then go into the facts.

THE COURT: All right. Well, you're kind of on the line, but --

MR. GENTILE: Thank you.

The second of those theories is called aiding and abetting, and so one of the things you're going to be wondering throughout this case is what is aiding and abetting. Well, aiding is a word that you use all the time. Abetting, most liking, isn't. And it has nothing to do with going to a sports book. Okay.

What you're going to be instructed at the end of the case is that, in simple terms, it means helping somebody or encouraging them or hiring them, even, to do something before it's done. If it's already done, it's too late; thus, timing

is everything in this case.

And so now I want to get into the second thing that we talked about, and we're going to get into the evidence, what the evidence will show. And the second thing we talked about is consider the source. As you hear witnesses testify in this case, I'm going to talk to you now about what evidence you're going to hear about the credibility of those witnesses so that you know before you hear them. And when we're talking about consider the source and we're talking about credibility, we're talking about believability. That's what it means. And we deal with it in our everyday lives.

This man is Deangelo Rashaun Carroll. As

Mr. DiGiacomo says, he is not going to call him as a witness
in this case. I cannot call him as a witness in this case and
so you're going to hear from this man, but you're going to
hear from this man through what other people say he said in
their presence.

Now, there's going to be some objections as to whether you should be able to hear that or not, and you're going to hear me say "hearsay," but that's the Judge's call. But because he isn't coming into this courtroom and he isn't going is to be sitting over here, we're not going to be able to cross-examine him.

The law does provide and our procedure does provide another way of coming close to that, addressing his

credibility. Mr. Rontae Zone, most likely, will testify in this case. He is another source. Mr. Carroll, of course, is a source of information even though he's not coming in here. Mr. Zone is going to testify about things that he heard Mr. Carroll say. We will be able to cross-examine Mr. Zone and we're going to get into what the evidence will show with respect to him in a bit.

22.

Jayson Taoipu, I do not know if the State is going to call him as a witness. If the State calls him as a witness, we will have an opportunity to cross-examine him. If the State does not call him as a witness, then we'll have to see whether something he said before or somebody that said something to him comes into evidence.

The first thing I want to talk about in terms of what the evidence is going to show as far as the believability, the credibility of these witnesses deals with something simple. Right now you're looking at me and you're listening to me, I hope. That's called perception, right? You are perceiving me at this moment. Most of you are sober, maybe all of you. That's a joke. After you perceive me today, an hour from now, you may forget what I said. A week from now, you may forget. A year from now, you most definitely won't remember. And so let's address that with respect to Mr. Carroll.

What is the evidence going to show about

24

25

Mr. Carroll's perception and his memory? Well, we won't be able to show anything about his memory because the man's not going to be in here, and so we won't be able to cross-examine him with respect to that, but we will -- you will hear --

MR. DIGIACOMO: I apologize, Mr. Gentile.

May we approach?

THE COURT: Yeah.

(Off-record bench conference)

MR. GENTILE: We were talking about memory. we're talking about perception.

Go back to perception and memory, please. There we

Mr. Carroll -- I can't do this technology stuff

Mr. Carroll -- you are going to hear testimony in this case that on the 19th of May, 2005, Mr. Carroll was smoking pot all day. You're going to hear evidence in this case that on the 19th of May, 2005, Mr. Carroll was using cocaine and so keep that in mind. You're going to have to wait to hear that, but you will hear it and that is something you are entitled to use to determine perception.

With respect to Mr. Zone and Mr. Taoipu, you're going to learn that Mr. Zone and Mr. Taoipu were smoking pot with Mr. Carroll all day and that's something that you can take into consideration.

Anabel Espindola. Anabel Espindola's perception — there will be no evidence in this case that she was somehow under the influence of anything, at least I don't think there will be, but what you're going to find out is that it took 33 months before she said anything to anybody similar to what she is saying here in court. And so memory comes into play there. She repeated it to no one for 33 months.

Motive. There will be evidence of motive in this case. With respect to Mr. Carroll, Mr. Carroll's motive, when he said some of the things that will come into in evidence this case such as the tape recording, was to keep himself out of jail. He was wearing a recording device that was provided to him by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. That was after he gave at least a three-hour statement to Metro. And his motive in wearing that device and his motive in manipulating the conversation — and you will hear testimony that he was told how to create an environment in that conversation for the purposes of getting responses, and his motive in doing so at time was to stay out of jail.

Mr. Zone. Mr. Zone has not been charged in this case. The testimony in this case is going to be that Mr. Zone, after smoking pot all day long with Taoipu and Carroll, got into a vehicle, along with Carroll, Taoipu and Counts, drove out to the lake and was an eyewitness to

Counts -- if it be Counts -- he says Counts -- to Counts shooting Timothy Hadland in the head twice.

1.3

The State has chosen not to charge him. Mr. Zone at the time he makes his original statements is motivated to see to it that he is not charged and so that's something that you could take into consideration. Just listen — just listen to it. Whether you take it into consideration or not, I don't care. That's your business. But listen to it because it's coming.

Mr. Taoipu. Mr. Taoipu had a motive — has a motive for the things that he says. Mr. Taoipu you will learn was charged originally with this murder. Mr. Taoipu you will learn basically fled the State of Nevada for a period of time and then was brought back here in a custodial setting. And the time that Mr. Taoipu finally starts saying things, he said them the night of the event, the next morning after he had an opportunity to talk to Mr. Carroll alone. It was Mr. Carroll who brought Mr. Taoipu to the police. And at that point in time, he too was motivated to stay out of trouble.

You will learn that Mr. Taoipu ultimately did plead guilty to reduced charged --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, I apologize. Until Mr. Zone testifies, that's not admissible and I object.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. GENTILE: I'm not talking about Zone.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I mean Mr. Taoipu. Excuse me.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. GENTILE: Mr. Taoipu entered a plea of guilty to a reduced charge and was sentenced to probation. The testimony in this case is going to be that he, along with Zone, Carroll, and Counts went out to the lake. The testimony is going to be that Counts is the one that did the killing. The testimony is going to be that Mr. Taoipu had a 22 semiautomatic with him at the lake during the killing and the testimony will be that he received probation.

So there will be evidence in this case that he had a motive as well to say the things that he might say if he's called by the State in this case.

Anabel Espindola. Anabel Espindola also had a motive and you will hear about it. The testimony that you will hear is that Anabel Espindola was arrested on the 24th of May, 2005. I want to make sure I get this right. The 24th of May 2005. And on the 6th of July 2005, it came to Anabel Espindola's attention that the State filed a notice of intent to seek the death penalty as to her. Anabel Espindola's attorney, along with the attorney for Mr. Luis Hidalgo, III, challenged that action on the part of the State.

And so that you understand, this man was not arrested until February of last year 2008. He was not arrested in May of 2005. Timing is everything. On December

the 27th of 2007, after Anabel Espindola had been in jail by that time two years and seven months, 31 months or so, the Supreme Court of Nevada struck the death penalty in this case.

On the 14th of January, the State sought what's called a rehearing. This was all known to Anabel Espindola. She was in jail at the time. On the 15th of January, she was in this courtroom and she will have to admit to that. And she heard the State argue in her presence about its intention of trying to reinstitute the death penalty against her. At that moment she did not have — it was kind of in limbo. The State announced that day that the day before they sought a rehearing on the death penalty issue. The State filed on that day an amended notice of intent to seek death.

Also, on that day, Anabel Espindola sought bail. She filed a motion for bail because the death penalty was not in effect at that time as to her. And later on that day after court at about 3:15 in the afternoon she had a telephone call where she's speaking to Luis Hidalgo Jr., who, of course, is not in jail at that time, not charged at that time. And in that call you will hear her say, unless she admits it and we don't need to play it, that everything that was being said by the State in court on the 15th of January 2008 was a lie.

On the 24th of January, 2008, this Court set a bail for Anabel Espindola. It was a high bail. It was \$550,000. And she will tell you that. And you will hear that she wanted

to get out of jail and that Luis Hidalgo Jr., my client, had difficulty raising the premium for the bail, which is 15 percent. You will hear that.

2.

And so on the 2nd of February 2008, nine days after the bail was set, while the petition for rehearing was pending, while the possibility of the death penalty being reinstated was still there, Anabel Espindola made a deal with the State to testify in this case and to plead guilty to reduced charges. The charges — she has not been sentenced. She has been sitting there for a year without being sentenced, waiting to testify in this case.

After she's testified in this case, then and only then will she be sentenced. She has not requested that the Court sentence her beforehand as was her right to do. She pled guilty to something that is called a fictional charge. She said that she heard that on the day she pled guilty. And the agreement that she made, while, of course, it says in it that she agrees to tell the truth, the agreement that she made guaranteed her that she would not have to run the risk of the death penalty, and it did more than that.

You will learn that she has pled guilty and the deal that she's got makes her eligible for probation. This is all evidence that will come into this case and I ask you to consider the source as you're hearing her testimony.

Bias. Bias, of course, means that you are favorable

to -- you're not supposed to be. Okay. It's what we spent four days trying to find people that wouldn't be. But bias is also something that you can take into consideration as this case develops. And you're going to hear testimony about bias.

Anabel Espindola. Here we go again. You're going to hear that during this 30 something months that she was sitting in jail, Anabel Espindola was, of course, in a woman's lockup. She still is. And during that time there were women that were in jail with her that she, as they were released, asked Luis Hidalgo, Jr. to help out. There were several. He did.

You will also hear that during that period of time she believed that Louie Hidalgo, Jr., my client, Mr. H, became unfaithful to her with these women that she was sending to him. You will hear testimony from this witness stand from a woman who had a direct — I won't call it a confrontation — a conversation with Anabel Espindola wherein Anabel Espindola asked her, Are you cheating with Louie? Is Louie cheating on me with you? You're going to hear that in this case. That is evidence of bias. It will come in. And, of course, that was heard by her before she made her deal with the State.

Credibility. There will be in evidence in this case that Deangelo Carroll, who again you're going to only hear through what other people are saying that he said in their presence, that Deangelo Carroll has a prior felony conviction

for robbery.

Prior inconsistent statements. You will hear testimony in this case that the witnesses who testify -- let's go to the next slide, please.

Deangelo Carroll. You will hear certain statements that he made prior to these statements or even after these statements that are coming in through the people who are going to say they heard him. You're going to hear things that he said that were different from the things that these people are saying that he said in their presence. That's an inconsistent statement and, of course, it then becomes your province to decide what to believe, if anything.

Rontae Zone has testified how many times? Probably five or six times between statements that he's made, hearings that he's testified at. You will hear that he has testified differently about the same thing on different occasions. It will be for you to decide what to do with that.

Jayson Taoipu, it sounds like from the last objection, that the State's not going to call him, so --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GENTILE: But if they do -- if Taoipu is called in, he will also have things that he has said before or after that are different from what he's going to say here. And that's evidence that you're going to hear.

Anabel Espindola, okay — Deangelo Carroll, on the day of — on May the 20th of 2005, he was brought to the police station, to the homicide offices, actually, and he was interrogated, questioned — you put the word on it. I don't care what you want to call it. He was questioned with a couple of police officers in the room and the entire thing was videotaped.

Rontae Zone, when he went in, the entire debriefing, the entire interrogation was videotaped. When Mr. Taoipu went in — I said videotaped. It was at least audio taped. I'm not certain it was videotaped. When Mr. Taoipu went in, same thing, verbatim recording.

You're going to learn that when Anabel Espindola made her deal with the State, she is the only witness that was not recorded. There was no recording made of her debriefing at the time that she was trying to cut her deal with the State. The only recording of anything that she has ever said is her testimony before the grand jury and one other. She was also brought in when they arrested her, obviously, and she was interrogated. She didn't say much, but it was on videotape. And so the initial contact was recorded, but after she changed her mind and made her deal, that contact was not recorded. We have absolutely no way of knowing what she had said to police in the past after she made her deal.

Next please.

Character for truthfulness. You will hear testimony in this case about character for truthfulness. It comes in one of two ways. Either the opinion of other people who actually know these people who could tell you whether they're truthful or not in their opinion, and there's also what we all know is reputation. Now, some people think of reputation as nothing more than rumor and gossip, and that's okay, you can think of it that way. But nevertheless, you will hear testimony in this case, if you will, that this man Deangelo Carroll, both with respect to people's opinions about his truthfulness and people's — and his reputation for truthfulness, you will hear evidence in this case that he's not deemed to be a truthful person by people who know him.

So now we'll go into what the evidence is going to show about Luis Hidalgo, Jr. I think what we should probably start off doing is explaining Luis Hidalgo, the name Luis Hidalgo. In that photograph you see three men and one woman. It is obvious from looking at it that the three men are of three different generations. I bet you could already tell me what their first name is. You are looking there — and you will hear testimony about Pops, who's this man, Luis A. Hidalgo, Sr., Louie, or Mr. H, who's this man, also that man who is Mr. Hidalgo, Jr., Louie Hidalgo, Jr., and Luisito or Little Lou or Luis, depending upon who's referring to him, who is Luis Hidalgo, III.

I'm going to talk to you about Luis Hidalgo, Jr.

The testimony in this case is going to show that Luis Hidalgo,
Jr., he is Salvadoran. He lived his whole life up in northern
California in the San Bruno area. And you can see him there.

He, at one point in time, was a civilian employee of the San
Bruno Sheriff's Department where he was a fingerprint
technician and also did process serving. Family man, three
children, a daughter in the Coast Guard with a high security
clearance in Washington, D.C. A good friend. You're going to
have people come in here who have known him for years and
years and years who are going to come in here and tell you,
Look, I've known this man a long time, and we get back to
opinion and reputation and character evidence. They're going
to tell you this is not that kind of guy. Okay.

And let's talk about how he came to Nevada. The evidence is going to show that along with his father, Louie Hidalgo, Jr. has been a body and fender guy. That's what I was brought up talking to him — I guess they don't call them body and fender guys anymore, but you know what I'm talking about, people who repair vehicles, motor vehicles. Okay. And from the time that he's 18 year old, he was in that business with his father. That's the family business. He did not grow up in the strip club industry.

There came a time in the late '90s -- in the '90, period, where he befriended a man by the name of Simon

Stertzer, Dr. Stertzer. Dr. Stertzer is on the board -- or was at least on the board of regents of Stanford Medical School. And Dr. Stertzer wanted to invest money and he trusted Louie Hidalgo, Jr. And Louie Hidalgo, Jr. came to Las Vegas, bought a piece of ground over on Bermuda and opened up the biggest, the largest body -- I'm going to call it body and fender because that's what I call it -- largest body and fender repair store -- shop in southern Nevada. And it was called Simone's Auto Body.

22.

Mr. DiGiacomo in his opening statement referred to Simone's as a club. Simone's is not a club. It is a body and fender repair store. They make their money on insurance claims and on custom paint and stuff like that, and that's why he came to southern Nevada. And after operating Simone's for a year and a half, he became friendly with — he met people in this community, and amongst the people that he met in the community were people that were in the real estate industry, which is, you will recall ten years ago you might make some money on, try to get back what you spent.

In any case, one of the deals that was brought to him was an almost five-acre parcel of property zoned for a hotel, casino, resort and commercial retail. At 1848 — actually, the 1800 block of North Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas Boulevard north in North Las Vegas.

Now, you will also learn that on that 4.93-acres of

gaming property there are three liquor licenses, have been forever, two of which had topless entertainment licenses to go with it, one of which had a totally nude license to go with it. And so within one block, all of one block of what is really gaming property, you've got three strip clubs. And they were all owned by the same person who owned the real estate who was Gail Perry, the trust of Paul Perry. Paul Perry is the man who created the Palomino Club back in 1958.

And in 1968, the Palomino Club went into the adult entertainment business. Prior to that, it actually was a gaming property.

And so from 1968 until actually even now it has been operating that way. And some of you, during jury selection, said that you were familiar with it. But you're going to hear evidence about that.

And Dr. Stertzer wanted to buy the piece of property and he did. And Louie Hidalgo did not -- well, I shouldn't say that. The evidence is going to show that there came a point in time after Dr. Stertzer bought this property that Louie Hidalgo took over the management of it, having never been in that industry before, although he did have some background in just basic saloons.

You're going to hear people that are going to come in and tell you who have worked with him at the Palomino Club that this is a peaceful, tranquil, even-tempered person, that

they have never seen him act out in a violent manner, that they have never heard him talk that way.

You will also find out that he had never been — until now, until last year, he'd never been in trouble with the law in a sense of having been charged with any kind of a crime of any serious nature, anything more than serious traffic maybe, but nothing like that. And just so that the record is clear, you're going to learn that he is now 58 years old and when all this was going on he was 54 years old. So he had managed to make it 54 years without having a problem.

At the time that these events were occurring that bring us here, you're going to learn that he was going through a hellacious divorce, a hotly contested divorce.

Okay. Next slide, please.

Now, there is no doubt that throughout this case, as you're hearing evidence come in, you're going to be saying, why did this happen. You're going to be asking yourself that. And again, we do not dispute that this was a tragic thing that happened to TJ Hadland.

According to the opening statement that

Mr. DiGiacomo made and the evidence that he says he's going to
put in this case, somehow Deangelo Carroll told Anabel

Espindola who then told Luis Hidalgo, Jr. that TJ Hadland was
badmouthing the Palomino Club to cab drivers, and the next
thing you know TJ Hadland gets killed.

you go.

Well, the testimony in this case is going to show that as far back as anybody can remember strip clubs -- at one point in time there was no other strip club other than the Palomino -- strip clubs have always paid cab drivers something, always something. It started out two dollars 50 years ago, 40 years ago. It's up to \$50 per person today, per person.

And you're going to see, if I may, that every day records are kept at every one of these clubs, every one of them. You're only going to see the Palomino, but you're going to hear some expert testimony, and I'll get to that in a second.

We talked during jury selection and you're going to hear testimony that -- well, February 4, 2005 -- is that today?

THE COURT: It's either today or tomorrow.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Today's the 2nd. Well, there

February 4th, 2005, TJ Hadland was already working at the Palomino Club. He started January 31st. And the system that existed there with respect to the payout of cab drivers — and some of you probably have seen these documents before — was that this yellow chip up here, which you're going to see one of in this case, is something that is handed to the cab driver, and on that chip it will say how many

people -- this one says two at \$25 -- the cab driver dropped off. The cab driver gets that from the doorman.

The cab driver then takes that ticket, drives around the back of the Palomino Club at that time, goes inside where there's a little cage -- I call it a cage, but it's like a casino cage, you know, an office, little booth. That booth has cash in it. The cab driver walks up to the person who is manning that booth or womaning that booth, whichever it may be, hands that ticket to that person and is then given the amount of cash that is on the ticket.

You will also learn and have that there are VIP comp tickets and that the VIP comp ticket says that it is not valid if arriving by taxi cab. You will hear testimony that not only the Palomino Club but the industry itself runs into a situation where people who work for the clubs will sell these tickets, these VIP passes, to the passenger after the passenger is dropped off. They will tell the passenger, It's costing you 50 bucks to get in here, but if you give me \$20 for this ticket or \$25 for this ticket, you're going to save half the money. And so the passenger pays that person the money.

That person goes to the cage, you know, the admission both at the club, presents this pass to the admission booth, and at that point in time the admission booth negates the cab driver's right to get paid and will call the

back of the house where the cab driver's going to present this ticket and the cab driver either won't get paid or there'll be issues and problems and maybe the cab driver will get paid something.

And then these — this document all the way to the left basically represents a calculation of how many cabs — how many customers are dropped off by a cab and how much the payment per customer was.

On this particular day, there were 73 people dropped off, \$25 per person was paid for each of those 73 people, so it was a total pay out of \$1,825. There's also a different amount of money paid for women because in those days the Palomino, and still — the Palomino Club operates a totally nude male review that women attend. It's one of two clubs in town that has always done that. But they don't pay as much for women that are dropped off by cabs. And then there's also promotions and other things like that.

This becomes important because you're going to hear testimony in this case that both Deangelo Carroll and Timothy Hadland, TJ Hadland, were seen by employees of the Palomino Club selling the VIP passes to customers that were dropped off by cab drivers and pocketing the money. I'm not saying to you that that's true. What you're going to hear is that people reported that and the person who saw it and reported it will come in here.

Next slide, please.

By the way, anytime a cab driver dropped off somebody, they had to sign another document that said they didn't divert that passenger from some other club that they wanted to go to and brought it to the Palomino. And the reason that that's important, if I may, there was a lot of litigation going on at that point in time.

You're going to hear the testimony of Kevin Kelly. Kevin Kelly is a lawyer. He's been a lawyer here in Nevada for 30 years. He served two tours of duty in Vietnam and he had a saloon and the saloon wasn't doing very well, but the saloon became Spearmint Rhino as a result of somebody coming to him and making a deal with him and him merging with them. Many of you have used -- have talked about Spearmint Rhino.

Mr. Kelly's going to come in and he is going to tell you about the industry and how clubs are run and what they do to ensure against unlawful activity taking place at those clubs. And obviously it is impossible to eliminate it. It can't be done, but it can be controlled. And you're going to hear about those controls, but you're also going to hear about the Nevada Association of Nightclubs of which Mr. Kelly was an organizer.

And at the time in 2005, every club that served alcohol in Clark County that had either totally nude, which would only be one, or topless, which would be all the others,

entertainment was a member of the Nevada Association of Nightclubs.

And the reason that it was created, he will tell you, is because as new clubs moved into our community, they threw — they basically created a price war. If one club would pay a cab driver \$30, the other club would pay 35, then another club would pay 40, and there were times that the price to the cab driver per drop off would change multiple times in one night. And so in order to try to avoid that, this organization was created.

He will tell you that the life blood of any topless bar -- for that matter, I guess it would be any bar -- is the number of customers. But the reason that it's more important, perhaps, to a topless bar, he will tell you, is because a topless bar makes its money from selling alcohol and from the fees that the dancer pays to the club. The dancers are independent contractors. They rent time in order to be there to dance. They pay a flat fee. Whatever money they make is theirs.

We will talk to you about the kind of security that goes on to see to it that nothing unlawful happens on the premises. And so the more customers you have, the more dancers you're going to get. The more dancers you get, the more revenue you generate from the dancers' fee. He will tell you that's how it works.

And ultimately what he will tell you, ladies and gentlemen, is he's going to come in here and he's going to say that everybody — all of the members of this organization except one had to agree to whatever they were going to be paying cab drivers at that time. At least that was its goal. It didn't really work out for very long, but it was its goal, except one, and that one was the Palomino Club.

2.

1.3

The Palomino Club was always permitted to pay \$5 more per customer than whatever anybody else was paying. And he will tell you that the reason for that was because a cab driver might have to deadhead back and so there were some cab drivers that did not want to make that run to North Las Vegas because if they weren't staging, if there wasn't a lot of business, then they would have to deadhead back and -- so that's what you're going to hear.

You're going hear that the badmouthing of cab -- two cab drivers was absolutely inconsequential. And anybody in the industry would know that. And Louie Hidalgo knew that.

Rontae Zone on the 21st of May, 20.05, presumably here as well, he will tell you that he was asked by the homicide detectives after he told them that this guy KC left the Palomino Club in a taxi, he was asked what color. And he told the law enforcement officers that night, There's no way I know. There were so many cabs. That comes from the mouth of a coconspirator and that is proof -- I won't tell you what

that's proof of, but you're going to hear that he told the officers, There were so many cabs, I can't tell you what color it was.

You're also going to hear from a cab driver by the name of Gary McWhorter who is the man that picked up KC, Kenneth Counts, and he's going to tell you that when he picked him up, there was a cab staging going on over there, that there were other cabs there behind him when Counts got into his cab.

You will also hear that when the Palomino Club was searched, there was \$151,000 in cash in the safes at the Palomino Club. You have heard and will hear Anabel Espindola on that tape that Mr. DiGiacomo played in his opening statement deposits to Mr. Carroll when she says that she only has \$600, where am I going to get the money. And if I tell Louie, he's going to have a fit -- or whatever she says.

You're going to hear testimony that the police counted out \$151,000 at the club when they searched it on the 24th of May, 2005.

And so we then turn our attention to something else. Why did this happen? What the evidence is going to show — you heard me elude to the evidence that's going to come in with respect to Mr. Hadland and Mr. Carroll both having been seen selling passes to customers that came to the club and got out of taxis.

Deangelo Carroll, the testimony is going to show, had a robbery conviction, was absolutely totally dependent upon the good graces of the Palomino Club's owners to maintain his lifestyle.

You're going to learn that Rontae Zone when he was first questioned by the police on the 21st of May said to the police that Carroll told him that something bad was going to happen to somebody — actually, he said that somebody needed to be dealt with. Those were the exact words that he used, dealt with, whatever that means. And when they asked him why, Carroll said because — excuse me, Zone said that Carroll told him because they were snitching. They were telling. They were ratting.

And so you will have to make a decision as you go through this trial whether those terms have any application at all of badmouthing a club driver — not — badmouthing a club to a cab driver, or whether they pertain more likely to TJ Hadland snitching off Deangelo Carroll and cutting off his lifeline, his support line. That will be for you to decide.

Next.

You will learn that when Mr. Hadland was terminated from the club, which he was, and it had nothing to do with any accusation of stealing, you will learn that Deangelo Carroll had taken a couple of weeks off. He was on leave. His uncle had been murdered and so he took some time off. And you'll

have a witness come in here who will tell you that upon him returning to work, upon Carroll -- excuse me -- yeah,

Carroll -- upon Carroll returning to work, he confronted this person whom he suspected as having basically reported -- having seen him pull this deal with these free passes, and he said to that person, Don't put me with TJ. This was upon his return to work at the Palomino. Hadland was fired, no longer working there, but still alive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is Kenneth Counts. The testimony in this case is going to show that Kenneth Counts, whether he is or whether he isn't, he was portrayed by Mr. Carroll to be a member -and I want to get this right -- of the Black Pee Stone Bloods. This is the man that Zone will say used the 357 magnum to shoot Hadland in the head twice and kill him. You are going to learn that this man was brought back to the Palomino Club after this event occurred and that Mr. Carroll -- and you hear it on the tape actually. You'll hear it on the tape -- that this man Carroll told Anna Espindola on the tape and other people, Louie Hidalgo on the night of this event, that this man Carroll was on the other side of the door, that he had just committed a murder, and that he was demanding money, and that if he didn't get paid the money, he was going to harm Carroll and he was going to harm the Hidalgos, that he was a member of the Black Pee Stone Nation, Black Pee Stone Crips. And his exact word were, You don't want to fuck with my boy.

Now, that occurred after the murder. The testimony in this case is going to be that that engendered a hell of a lot of fear at that moment. You will hear that the security team at the Palomino Club is not armed and so there was a dilemma. The dilemma was what to do.

The testimony's going to be that under certain circumstances you might just pick up the phone and call the police department and have them come over and pick somebody up, but that's not what happened. What happened was the money was paid, but it was paid by Anabel Espindola. Even she said she paid the money. She's going to come in here and she's going to tell you a different version and you can compare what she says here, after you think about all the reasons that she might have and all of the time that she had to look at all the statements, to decide whether you believe that version or not and then you can compare that version that she's talking about here with the tape, the tapes that she's on, using the first person, singular pronoun "I." So listen carefully.

In any case, I could go on, but let's just get started. The case is going to be for you to decide. That's a very powerful motivator and you're going to hear testimony about its presence. You're going to hear testimony about a 357 and you're going to hear testimony about gangs. At the end of the day and at the beginning of this trial, I ask you to please keep in mind that timing is everything.

What you're going to find at the end of the day is that there is no proof of any involvement that would rise to the level of criminal guilt on the part of Luis Hidalgo, Jr. prior to the death of Timothy Hadland. If anything, this man is an accessory after the fact, if anything.

The judge will instruct you at the end of the case. At that point in time, I'm going to ask that you follow your oath and return a verdict of not guilty as to Count 1, conspiracy, and as to Count 2, the murder.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gentile.

Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go ahead and take our lunch recess now. We will be in recess for the lunch break until 1:15.

And once again, you're reminded of the admonishment that is still in place not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or anyone else. Don't read, watch, listen to reports or commentaries on any subject relating to the case. Please don't visit any of the locations in question — any of the locations at issue. Don't do any independent research and please don't form or express an opinion on the case.

If everyone will please leave their notepads in their chairs and follow Jeff through the double doors, we'll see you all back here at 1:15.

(Court recessed at 12:14 p.m. until 1:23 p.m.)

(In the presence of the jury.) 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in 3 session. The record will reflect the presence of the State --4 MR. GENTILE: We would request of the Court to sit 5 behind the bar. 6 THE COURT: That's fine --7 Through the deputy district attorneys, the 8 defendants and their counsel, the officers of the Court and 9 the members of the jury. 10 Mr. Adams, are you ready to make your opening 11 statement? 12 Yes, ma'am, thank you. MR. ADAMS: 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 DEFENDANT HIDALGO, III OPENING STATEMENT 15 MR. ADAMS: Good afternoon. The afternoon of May the 23rd in a little room in Simone's Auto body Shop, the man 16 17 who was sent by the police to get incriminating evidence, to 18 get incriminating evidence, stopped Luis Hidalgo, III, stopped 19 him when he first made a comment and he said, What are you 20 saying? You had nothing to do with this, nothing to do with 21 this. 22 Little Luis wasn't present. He didn't pay and he 23 did not participate in the death of Mr. Hadland. He didn't. 24 The evidence is going to show that four people were present

25

KARReporting & Transcription Services 72

when Mr. Hadland was killed. Deangelo Carroll drove a van, a

van that was owned by Anabel Espindola. He drove it filled with three other people: Jayson Taoipu who had a .22 caliber weapon under his seat, maybe unloaded; Rontae Zone who was along for the ride and smoking pot; and Kenneth Counts. Louie Hidalgo wasn't there. Little Louie wasn't there.

Who paid? Well, they said in their opening that you'll hear testimony that Anabel Espindola laid five large, \$5,000 in cash in the office of the Palomino Club and that Deangelo Carroll took that \$5,000. What you didn't hear was that Little Lou wasn't in that office on that night. He didn't participate. He didn't pay.

Anabel Espindola will come in and she's expected to testify that there was this conversation beforehand where he got into some kind of disagreement with his father. In that conversation she's expected to testify that Little Luis Hidalgo never said, Dad, dad, you've got to kill Hadland. Dad, dad Hadland needs dead. Dad, beat him up real bad. The State's star witness is going to come in and not say those things. She's going to say there was an argument and that Little Luis said, Dad, you don't take care of your business. He wasn't present. He didn't pay and he did not participate.

So why are we here? Well, we're here because of what the State didn't share with you, the body wire from May 23rd, four days after Mr. Hadland was killed up at Lake Mead. Four days later in Room 6 of Simone's Auto body Shop,

Anabel Espindola sent Deangelo Carroll to Little Lou's room/office and on that body wire Little Lou mouthed off and said some pretty stupid stuff. That's why we're here.

The question is talking about rat poison, does that mean you're responsible four days before for the death of Mr. Hadland? Nowhere on that tape, nowhere on that tape are you going to hear Little Lou say, Man, I'm so glad I got you to go kill TJ. Nowhere are you going to hear, Man, I'm so glad I called you about bats and bags and got you to come meet with my dad so then you guys could enter into a conspiracy to go do something to Mr. Hadland. You're not going to hear that.

There will be evidence that between the 19th of
May 2005 when Mr. Hadland was killed up by Lake Mead and Room
6 at Simone's, four days later, that Little Lou did learn
about the death of Mr. Hadland, a former employee of the club.
He did learn that Anabel was involved. He's known Anabel
Espindola since he was nine years old and he loves her.

The prosecutor in their opening said -- and played snippets of tape where Little Luis, on the transcript part rolling down, talks about rat poison, talks about a bottle of gin. He said those things. He said those things. No if ands or buts about it, 100 percent, those words came out of his mouth.

The main thrust of the case that they're going to

KARReporting & Transcription Services

present is by saying those things he must be responsible for the death of Mr. Hadland. So let's look at the whole tape and 2. that's what I'm asking of you in the next week or so. This tape is 34 minute and 56 seconds long. There's a lot of conversation back and forth. The first ten minutes or so Little Luis doesn't say anything. And I'm going to ask you to look at this tape very critically and to evaluate the full tape, the entire wire, keeping in mind that Deangelo Carroll knew fully well that the recorder was on and Little Luis did not.

22.

I'm going to ask you to check out the reactions between the parties when something is said on the tape. I'm going to ask you to look at the tape and to see, is there some way I can tell who's really in control here, who's in charge, who's calling the shots? Can I tell what happened up at Lake Mead four days earlier based on what's talked about in Room 6 at Simone's? Does this conversation on this wire tell us anything that we need to know in determining what happened to Mr. Hadland? When you do this critical evaluation of the tape, one thing's going to be crystal clear. There's three people in the room: Deangelo Carroll, Anabel Espindola, and Little Lou, Luis Hidalgo, III.

Let's first talk about Mr. Carroll. We'll hear about Mr. Carroll and we'll hear on that body wire that he drove up to Lake Mead with three people in the van. It wasn't

Little Luis and it wasn't Little Luis' van. We'll hear on this tape that he's directing all of his conversation, all of his important questions about money, about what to do next, about attorneys — they are all directed to one person.

There's only three people in the room. And you'll hear on that wire those conversations, those remarks were not directed to Little Luis. They were all directed to the next person, Anabel Espindola.

We'll hear from Anabel Espindola. She'll say, What did you do? I told you to go to plan B.

We'll hear from her that plan B meant — and she'll testify to this — plan B meant come back to the club. Don't do anything to Hadland, come back.

Deangelo Carroll will tell her, Ms. Anabel, I don't know what happened. Kenneth Counts went F'ing stupid. And you heard enough of the tape earlier to know that the F word was used quite a bit, so when I talk to you about the tape, I'll leave those out for the most part. He went stupid and he shot the dude. Nothing we could do about it. Ain't none of us had no pistol. That's what he said.

And on our copy of the tape, the full 34 minutes and 56 seconds, that's at the 13 minute and 56 second mark.

You'll hear from Deangelo Carroll's own mouth on the wire that he'd been picked up by the police, that he'd been released by the police and thanked for his cooperation. You'll learn from

the witness stand that that's not fully true. You'll learn from the witness stand that he had been picked up and you'll learn from the witness stand that he was cooperating with the police to try to get evidence for the police to have and for — ultimately for jurors to have.

2.

You'll hear evidence that on May the 20th, 26 hours or a little less than that, about 22 hours after the killing of Mr. Hadland, Mr. Carroll was taken to the homicide office and stayed for a lengthy period of time.

Immediately after he was in that homicide office and was interviewed or interrogated or talked to by police he was allowed to leave. The police drove him home and drove him to help them get Rontae Zone. Rontae Zone came in at 1:00 a.m. that morning, 26 hours later, 1:00 a.m. on the 21st of May, and he gave a statement to the police.

The next day Deangelo Carroll drove — he drove

Jayson Taoipu to the police office so they could get a

statement from him. Deangelo Carroll was motivated to not be

arrested for his involvement for driving Kenneth Counts and
these other guys up to the lake.

The police made the choice to allow Deangelo Carroll to stay out of jail for a few days. They were trying to get with him to use him to get more evidence. They took a little recording device and they placed it -- like a beeper, placed it on him and they sent him to get evidence. And where did he

go? He didn't go to Room 6 of Simone's where Little Lou sleeps, where Little Lou works. He went to Anabel Espindola in the main office. Anabel sent him down the hall to Little Luis' room so they could talk behind a closed door.

He didn't just go in and talk, but he came up with a scenario. After talking with the police, he came up with a few new facts and he said — the facts you'll hear on the tapes, Kenneth Counts is threatening to kill us. We need more money. Deangelo and Jayson, they're going to rat me out. We need more money. This, in fact, was not true. These were things that he created with the police to try to get a reaction from Anabel so that she would say something on the wire. He knew fully well that he was wired up and he was trying to get information because he was trying to not get arrested.

So who was truly in charge? Well, that wasn't the one I wanted, but that's okay. That's fine. We'll get to that in a minute.

I'm going to read you three snippets and we'll play this over and over. And you heard these earlier on the prosecutor's opening.

Talk may be cheap, but we're going to hear from the witness stand that Anabel Espindola gave \$1,000 in hard cash to Deangelo Carroll on the 23rd. That's at the end of the wire. You didn't hear that in the part they played. That's

further down, but she left the room and came back and gave him \$1,000, not Little Lou.

At the -- on their version, the 14 -- I believe it was 14 minutes and ten seconds, on the full version, it's right around the 20, 21-minute mark, Anabel Espindola says, quote, You want to lose it all? If I lose the shop and I lose the club, I can't help you or your family. She didn't say, If Mr. H loses the shop or the club or if Little Lou loses the shop or the club. The words out of her mouth on this wire are, If I lose the shop and I lose the club, Deangelo, I can't take care of you.

There was also a part on the earlier tape that I think is important for you to listen to when it's played in evidence, and it was the part about finding an attorney. And there was a lot of talk about that. And at one point she said, I'm going to go talk to the attorney tomorrow. And on there you may have heard it, He's outrageous. He's going to want you to go ahead and wrap these other guys up and there's no fucking way.

So here we are four days after the death of Mr. Hadland. The question is who's really in charge of what happened on the 19th. Well, who's in charge? It's not Deangelo. Who's in charge? It's not a defense lawyer four days after, after attorneys have been consulted. She's saying there's no way we're going to turn people in for their

involvement in this crime. Anabel Espindola was in charge.

She was in charge on the 23rd, and by the words out of her mouth, she was in charge sooner than that.

What did Anabel do in direct relation to controlling

Deangelo Carroll and his actions? Well, she said, Deangelo -
How about the next one? Yeah.

All right. Deangelo, you need a prepaid phone. You need this phone so we can stay in touch so I can send you messages. You heard on the wire the prosecutor played and you'll hear from the witness stand, she says, I'm going to give you a code name, this code name of Boo so that way you'll know the messages are really from me. She was talking about being the sole person to kind of control Deangelo after the fact, how he would operate, how he would cooperate with police or say things, how he could stay undetected for his involvement.

Let's go down two more, please, not two more slides, two more clips.

She tells Deangelo that, You've got to resign from the club for personal reasons and that —— I'm going to give you some money so that you can maintain yourself. I'm not going to leave you hanging. Does this shed some light as to who's really in charge of what went on on the 19th?

She also made some comments on what she expected to happen on the 19th. And she said --

Can you pull all three of them up?

Let's look at the one at the bottom. What we really wanted was him beaten up, if anything. We didn't want him dead. Then she goes on to say, Are you so stupid? Are you so heartless? How could this happen? Once you saw that guy had a gun, why didn't you just turn around?

She's saying on the tape that she knew what — she knew something was going to happen, some sort of confrontation, and she's saying on the tape nobody was supposed to die. When she's saying, He's supposed to get beaten up, she's going to testify on the witness stand what she means by that. She's not going to testify that she was talking about Little Lou and I wanted you to beat him up. She's not going to say that.

The entire tape shows that Anabel Espindola was in charge certainly on the 23rd of May and it suggests very strongly that she was in charge on the 19th of May when Deangelo Carroll got behind the wheel of Anabel Espindola's van and drove up to Lake Mead to meet Mr. Hadland.

I've talked about the other two, so let's talk for a second about Little Luis' statements on the body wire. When you listen to the whole wire, ask yourself, does any of these statements help us understand what he knew and when he knew it or did he know this stuff beforehand on the 21st? Does this help us know whether he ever entered a conspiracy to do

anything to Mr. Hadland?

The best, the most solid evidence in this case is we know Mr. Carroll's motivation. I think we can understand it. I think many of us would want to have — to do whatever we could to stay unarrested. He was wearing a wire. He was going in to get people and to get evidence, and at that critical part when Little Lou opened his mouth, he turned to him and said, What are you saying? You had nothing to do with this.

Why? There's no explanation for that other than he had nothing to do with it. It would make sense if he tried to argue it in a way that roped somebody else in to get him off, but it makes no sense for him to say, You were guilty as can be but shut up, I don't want to get you on this tape. That doesn't make sense. Out of Deangelo Carroll's mouth is the best evidence in the case, Little Lou, you had nothing to do with it.

The prosecutor started out his opening statement to you by saying Little Luis Hidalgo would be heard saying, I told you you should have taken care of TJ. Well, we heard the tape they played and we'll hear it again. I didn't hear that on the tape. And even if you listen to it 50 times, 100 times, and you decide ultimately that you do hear it, it doesn't mean — or it doesn't have to mean, You've got to go kill the guy.

Deangelo Carroll, when he left Simone's on the 23rd of May with this wire on, he left and met right back up with the police. And before the police listened to any of that tape, they said, What happened? Did you get anything? And in that debriefing session Deangelo Carroll never said, Yeah, I got Little Lou saying, You've got to do this to TJ. That wasn't part of his debriefing to the police.

I would like to talk to you now about three facts that are not on the tape on the 23rd, three facts that the prosecutor mentioned and three facts which will be in issue and in dispute in the trial.

Espindola that she says happened at 4:58, 5:00 o'clock at Simone's where she got off the phone with Deangelo Carroll, turned and looked at Mr. Hidalgo and his son and said, TJ's out there badmouthing the club. And her story, her statement, her testimony is expected to be -- and by the way, Mr. Gentile raised this in his opening. This was -- this was a story shared with police in February of last year, 32 and a half months after her arrest, and Mr. Gentile talked to you about her plea deal. The one thing that he did not mention to you is that you'll hear testimony of her sentencing range. And he mentioned -- or he may have mentioned, or if he did not, I will mention she's eligible for probation. And the prosecutor -- and she's eligible for probation after she

testifies in this case.

The prosecutor, as part of the plea deal, agreed —
Mr. DiGiacomo and Mr. Pesci, they agreed to not argue against
probation. And she knows that. That was part of her
understanding when she entered the plea. So that doesn't mean
she'll get probation, but it means when her lawyer's up there
saying, Judge, Judge, give us probation, they're not going to
say, We don't agree with that. They're going to sit silent on
that point.

She's going to say that this phone call came in from Deangelo, that she got off the telephone and said to Mr. H,

Apparently TJ's out running his mouth about the club, that's what Deangelo said, and that Little Lou became upset and that Little Lou said, Dad, you're not going to do anything. You don't take care of business.

And they mentioned that Rizolo and Gilardi -- Rizolo and Gilardi know how to take care of business. Apparently they know how to take care of it so well they both end up in prison. They know how to take care of business. You're not going to be like them.

What's not said in that conversation is, You've got to go hurt Hadland. You've got to go kill Hadland. You've got to hire somebody to kill Hadland. He said, You don't know how to take care of business. And she's going to say

Mr. Hidalgo, Jr. said, Mind your own business. He didn't say,

That's a good idea. He said, Mind your own business, Little Lou.

And Anabel Espindola's expected to testify at that point Little Lou said, Dad, I mean, Gilardi takes care of business. He even beat a customer up one time.

And Mr. Hidalgo goes — said, Son, I told you, mind your own business.

And Little Lou, upset, left. Left. That's it.

That's the aiding and abetting under one of the two theories.

We'll talk about the other theory in a second.

He never said Hadland should be killed, never suggested a plan on how to do it, never participated in any way. Even based on the star witness, it's a kid mouthing off to the father and the father putting him in his place and that being the end of it.

A conspiracy involves an agreement between people to accomplish something illegal. Based on Anabel Espindola, we've got nothing but a disagreement.

The second item the prosecutor mentioned was this phone call about bats and bags where Little Lou apparently picks up the telephone, calls Deangelo Carroll and says, Bring bats and bags. Now, it would be nice to hear that from Deangelo Carroll. What we're going to hear is Rontae Zone, Deangelo's friend, the young man who was living with Deangelo.

Rontae Zone never spoke to Little Lou Hidalgo, never

talked to him, has no first-hand information about what Little Lou Hidalgo may have said or may not have said. All his information about bats and bags is filtered through what Deangelo Carroll said. And it's filtered through on a day where they were smoking pot from the time they got up until the time they went to sleep.

Rontae Zone, who knows Deangelo Carroll pretty well, will tell you that he doesn't always find him to be trustworthy, that he talks a lot, doesn't also know what to believe out of his mouth, but he'll say, as he best remembers it, that Deangelo said that Little Lou called, said something about, Bring bats and bags to the club.

On the wire, on the May 23rd body wire that we heard some this morning — we'll hear a lot more in the trial — there's no reference at all to bats and bags. And I think it's a fair question for you to have as you're listening to the evidence to ask, well, if that's an important piece of evidence, wouldn't the police have gotten Deangelo Carroll to bring that up on this body wire, this 34-minute, 56-second body wire? Wouldn't they get him to say, Hey, Little Lou, you remember when you called me about bats and bags, and try to get him talking about that, if that's an important piece of evidence? Nowhere on the wire is the word bats and nowhere on the wire is the word bags. They're never together and they're never attributed to Little Lou Hidalgo.

Jayson Taoipu was present with Rontae Zone all day and with Deangelo Carroll. He was told something about bats and bags. He was told by Deangelo Carroll something about bats and bags. And Jayson Taoipu says Deangelo said, Anabel Espindola told me to bring bats and bags to the club. Anabel, not Little Lou. Anabel is expected, from the witness stand, to deny ever having made that statement.

any way of knowing, when you're listening to the evidence, was this comment ever really made? And you may want to listen for evidence that suggests anything about bats and bags ever being gotten. There's going to be a lot of talk about phone calls and getting bats and bags and what that may be code for, but at the end of the day, you're not going to hear a single witness say, And after that, Deangelo turned and said, I've got to go get bats and bags, and he walked to the closet to get a bat and walked to the kitchen to get bags. Nothing like that.

What we're going to hear is Rontae Zone saying,

Deangelo told me something about bats and bags. I don't know

if that call was made or not, that's the best memory I have.

That's it.

The third item of proof outside of this tape that the prosecution talked about and is relying on the case is a phone call. There's this phone call at 7:42 p.m. between

Little Lou Hidalgo to Deangelo Carroll's house. Absolutely true. Little Lou called him all the time. Deangelo worked at the club. He promoted. He handed out flyers at the club and that was part of Little Lou's responsibility, to make sure those guys were out on the strip passing things out, giving items out to the cab drivers.

You'll hear from a defense witness that on this night Deangelo Carroll was supposed to have a special pickup from a group of businessmen who were in a hotel and that they were trying to make sure -- because Deangelo wasn't always so responsible -- trying to make sure this pickup was made.

Absolutely, little Lou called at 7:42 p.m. trying to find the employee who was not at work. It's interesting the significance placed on that call as --

I'm looking for the phone records. I'll give you a minute to catch up with me. I changed the order a little bit and I forgot to let Andy know. There we go. Thank you.

What the records are going to show is on May the 19th Deangelo Carroll tried to contact Timothy Hadland five times. The first time was a chirp with no time at all. He chirped him again at 10:53 for eight seconds, 10:54 for 21 seconds, 11:13 for 14 seconds, and then the last attempt was at 11:27. And based on that, the police are going to tell you they believe that Mr. Hadland was alive at 11:27. He was found and 9-1-1 was called at 11:44. So to the best of their

estimation, he was killed somewhere in that time period.

Contrast Little Lou's 7:42 call, one call at 7:42, with all the communication between the two key people,

Deangelo Carroll and Anabel Espindola. Deangelo called seven times, appeared to be six communications. He called her from his house to Simone's, 4:58 p.m. This allegedly is when this thing about TJ's out badmouthing the club that ultimately the State's theory lead to his death. That call was at 4:59. He called again at 7:27. Then there's a series of chirps later in the night, the last one being significantly ten minutes after Mr. Hadland who was attempted to be reached at 11:27. Those are calls from Deangelo to Anabel Espindola.

Anabel wasn't just received. She was trying to contact Deangelo as well. She attempted to chirp him at 8:13 and that's — you know, let them know, I'm available, here I am, 8:13. 8:15, there's some sort of talk for six seconds. She tries him at 11:08 and then again they're switching little chirps at 11:37.

This may be too small for you guys to see. I'll try your other TV down here.

What we have at the end of the day, in contrast to one call which is supposed to have such great incriminating value in this case, we have 12 communications or attempted communications between Deangelo Carroll and Anabel Espindola. That's not hunches, that's not speculation. Those are facts.

When all the evidence is in, you'll know who was in constant contact on the 19th. You'll know who the main people were in the phone conversation -- or on the body wire on the 23rd. You'll know who was in charge and you'll know that based on Anabel Espindola's expected testimony that she's going to say Little Lou never mentioned killing anybody, much less killing Hadland. And you're going to hear Deangelo Carroll on that tape who's trying to get incriminating evidence say, Little Lou had nothing to do with it.

On the murder charge and on the conspiracy charge, that's the evidence. He's not guilty. And at the end of this case, we'll ask you to please find him not guilty of those two charges.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. --

MR. ADAMS: I'm not quite done yet. I need to talk about the other two charges for a few minutes.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MR. ADAMS: The last two charges are two charges not referenced by Mr. Gentile because they don't apply to his client. They're two charges related to the comment about rat poison of Mr. Zone and Mr. Taoipu. And I told you earlier Little Lou said it, and he did. The question for you is did he mean it. No question those words came out of his mouth. No question they're on the wire. The question is was he trying to have a first-degree murder done on those two people.

To evaluate that, to evaluate whether these were stupid words or whether they were intentional words trying to get people killed, you've got to look at all the evidence.

One thing to look at is did Little Lou leave his room to go find Deangelo Carroll so that something terrible would happen to Mr. Zone and Mr. Taoipu? The evidence will be no.

Mr. Carroll came to Luis Hidalgo's room. Luis Hidalgo was sick. You can hear him coughing and hacking on the wire. He was sick in his own room. Deangelo Carroll came to his room before the 23rd.

Is there going to be any evidence -- and listen for evidence -- that Little Lou was out in these three days between Mr. Hadland's death and the wire? Is there any evidence that Little Lou Hidalgo was going out trying to figure out who was with Deangelo so they could be eliminated? I don't expect you're going to hear any evidence about that.

And then most importantly, if this was such an intentional comment, what do we hear on that wire on May the 24th? On May the 24th, the day after, they played a little snippet of it, he says, The witnesses got on the bus, they got some money. They got on the bus and took off. Did Little Lou say, How did you let those guys get away? I gave you Jen, I gave you Ray, I told you to get rat poison. You've got to go find those guys so they don't snitch on you later, man.

You don't hear that on the tape. There was none of

1	that. The question at the end of the day is, did Little Lou's					
2	comments mean he was really trying to have a first degree					
3	murder done?					
4	The judge told you earlier about the presumption of					
5	innocence. The presumption of innocence remains with a client					
6	throughout the case. If there's evidence that can be					
7	interpreted two ways					
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection, Your Honor.					
9	THE COURT: Yeah.					
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.					
11	THE COURT: Can you rephrase what you're about to					
12	say.					
.13	MR. ADAMS: Yes.					
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, then I'd argue it's					
15	argumentative as well, Judge.					
16	THE COURT: Well					
17	MR. ADAMS: If there are facts out there, you have a					
18	duty to interpret those facts consistent with the presumption					
19	of innocence. If the facts can only be viewed					
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: I object. That's a misstatement of					
21	the law.					
22	THE COURT: Yeah. It's sustained.					
23	MR. ADAMS: If the evidence can only be viewed in a					
24	way that points to guilt, look at it that way, but if it					
25	doesn't, keep the presumption of innocence in mind. What					
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 92					

you'll have are comments. You'll have comments by Little Lou and no steps taken before the 23rd or after the wire to have anything done to these other two men.

At the end of the evidence, we'll ask you to please acquit Luis Hidalgo on those charges as well.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I was afraid to say anything. Thank you, Mr. Adams.

Is the State prepared to call its first witness?

MR. DIGIACOMO: We are, Judge, but can we have a five-minute break?

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a brief break before we go into the testimony. We'll give you until 2:10.

And once again, you're reminded of the admonishment which is, of course, still in place that you're not to discuss anything relating to the case with each other, with anyone else. Don't read, watch, listen to reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to this. Please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

If you folks can leave your pads on your chairs and follow Jeff through the double doors. We'll see you all back here at $2{:}10{\,}.$

1	(Court recessed at 2:02 p.m. until 2:14 p.m.)					
2	(In the presence of the jury.)					
3	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in					
4	session. The record will reflect the presence of the State,					
5	the defendants, their counsel, officers of the Court and					
6	members of the jury.					
7	Mr. DiGiacomo, please call your first witness.					
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Larry Morton.					
9	THE COURT: Larry Morton.					
10	Sir, just come on up here, please, to the witness					
11	stand, just up those couple of stairs. And please remain					
12	standing facing our court clerk.					
13	LARRY RAY MORTON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN					
14	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and					
15	spell your name.					
16	THE WITNESS: Larry Ray Morton, L-a-r-r-y, R-a-y,					
17	M-o-r-t-o-n.					
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
19	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:					
20	Q Good afternoon, sir. How are you employed?					
21	A I'm a senior crime scene analyst for the Las					
22	Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.					
23	Q What does that mean you do for a living?					
24	A It means that I respond to incidents, document					
25	the incidents through note taking, photography, latent print					
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 94					

processing, evidence collection, preservation, also attend autopsies to collect any evidence that is available from the victim.

- O How long have you been a crime scene analyst?
- A For 14 years.

- Q And while we'll have other analysts who actually do some of the scenes, were you the analyst assigned to the autopsy of Timothy Hadland?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what your duties are when you're in an autopsy.

A My duties at the autopsy began with documenting the seal that is on the body bag. I photograph the seal. I record the number on the report. Then as the bag is unsealed and opened, I photograph the — first the open bag with the body usually wrapped in a sheet within the bag. Then as the sheet is unwrapped, another series of photographs, then photographing with the clothing on, remove the clothing, photograph with the clothing off the body, then clean the body up, photograph the body after it's cleaned up.

During this process, also I take buccal swabs. Any forensic -- any evidence that is on the body that's visible at the time is also collected. I also then fingerprint the body and take palm prints for elimination purposes at a later date.

Q Specifically on May 20th, were you at the

1 autopsy of Timothy J. Hadland? 2 Yes, I was. Okay. And you talked about the body in your --3 Q and your photography of it. In addition to your photographs, 4 5 is there anybody else who takes photographs of that time? 6 The coroner's forensic technician also takes Α 7 photographs of the body. We work around each other doing 8 photographs pretty much of the same photograph sets prior to 9 the autopsy actually beginning. 10 MR. DIGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge? THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 13 I'm showing you what's been marked as State's 0 Proposed Exhibit Nos. 1 through 4 and ask you to flip through 14 those and tell me if you recognize the individual who's 15 16 depicted in the photograph. 17 Α Yes, I do. Is that Mr. Hadland? 18 0 19 Yes, it is. 20 Now, looking at those photographs, can you 21 determine whether or not those are the photographs you took or 22 the photographs that the ME's office or the medical examiner's 23 office took? 24 These were taken by the medical examiner's Α 25 office. KARReporting & Transcription Services

96

1	Q How do you know that?					
2	A There's a gray may I show these?					
3	Q Yeah well, hold on.					
4	MR. DIGIACOMO: I'll move to admit 1 through 4.					
5	MR. GENTILE: No objection.					
6	THE COURT: All right. Those will be admitted.					
7	MR. ARRASCADA: No objection either, Your Honor.					
8	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.					
9	(State's Exhibits 1 through 4 admitted.)					
10	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:					
11	Q What I'll do is put them on the overhead and					
12	let you answer that question, sir. I'm showing you what's now					
13	been admitted as State's Exhibit No. 1.					
14	A Every photograph taken by the medical					
15	examiner's office has this this gray marker placed in the					
16	photograph. My photograph would not have that marker in them.					
17	If there's any markers, I would put in a ruler with my					
18	initials and identification number on it.					
19	Q And then that marker has unique numbers on it					
20	so the medical office can make sure they that the picture					
21	associates with the correct report with the correct person,					
22	correct?					
23	A Yes, that is correct.					
24	Q All right. You also indicated that your					
25	responsibility is to collect evidence at an autopsy. Now,					
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 97					

let's talk about this particular autopsy. Did you collect 1 2 some evidence that was outside the body of Timothy Hadland? 3 As we opened the body bag and were preparing 4 the body for autopsy, we found a bullet fragment underneath 5 his head within the body bag. And did you collect that? 6 7 Α Yes, I did. 8 And during the course of the autopsy, as the 9 doctor's performing the autopsy, does there come a point in time when you collect any other evidence? 10 11 Any other evidence such as additional bullet 12 fragments I would collect from the doctor. 13 In this case, did you receive additional bullet fragments from the doctor? 14 15 Α Yes, I did. 16 Showing you what's been marked as State's 17 Proposed Exhibit No. 134, do you recognize that? 18 Α Yes, I do. 19 And does that appear to be a packaging that you Q 20 created from the autopsy? 21 This is a manila envelope with an Α Yes. 22 evidence label attached to one side of it which is the label 23 that I prepared and placed on this bag. Also there's a red 24 tape across the flap. The top flap is closed with a string 25 closure. It also bears my initials and the date that I sealed

1	this particular package.					
2	Q Obviously that package is cut open, correct?					
3	A Yes, it is.					
4	Q And there's also a blue seal on the bottom of					
5	that package, correct?					
6	A Yes.					
7	Q Do you know what that blue seal represents?					
8	A That blue seal is placed on the packaging by					
9	the forensic examiners who would open the package, take					
10	anything out and examine it for forensic evidence.					
11	Q With the exception of the slip put in the					
12	package by the clerk and that blue seal that's on the bottom,					
13	is that package in substantially or similar condition as when					
14	you impounded it into the vault?					
15	A Yes, it is.					
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 134.					
17	THE COURT: Any objection?					
18	MR. GENTILE: No.					
19	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.					
20	THE COURT: All right. 134 is admitted.					
21	(State's Exhibit 134 admitted.)					
22	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:					
23	Q Let's pull out 134 A, B, and C. If you could,					
24	describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what's 134					
25	A, B, and C.					
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 99					

1	A These are plastic vials that I prepared at the					
2	autopsy and placed the bullet fragments in as I received them					
3	from the doctor and the one bullet fragment that I had taken					
4	from the body bag. It bears writing placed on the side, the					
5	event number, item number from my evidence impound report and					
6	my initials and identification number.					
7	Q Is A, B, C the sum total of all the firearms					
8	related evidence that was collected at the autopsy of Timothy					
9	Hadland?					
10	A Yes, it is.					
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit A, B and C.					
12	THE COURT: Any objection?					
13	MR. GENTILE: No.					
14	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.					
15	THE COURT: All admitted.					
16	(State's Exhibits 134A, B, and C admitted.)					
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: I pass the witness, Your Honor.					
18	THE COURT: All right.					
19	Who would like to go first, Mr. Gentile?					
20	MR. GENTILE: I would.					
21	THE COURT: Thank you.					
22	MR. GENTILE: May I approach the witness, Your					
23	Honor?					
24	THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.					
25	MR. GENTILE: Thank you.					
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 100					

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
2	BY MR. GENTILE:					
3	Q 134 A, 134 B, let's talk about A. This is a					
4	bullet fragment, if I understood you correctly.					
5	A Yes, it is.					
6	Q Okay. Now, let's why do you collect					
7	bullets?					
8	A I collect bullets so that they can be later					
9	examined by the forensic examiner who's an expert in the area					
10	of firearms evidence.					
11	Q Okay. And have you worked with such experts?					
12	A Only minimally.					
13	Q Only minimally. Okay.					
14	Do you know if if something as small as 134 A has					
15	any value to such an expert?					
16	A Without removing it, I couldn't tell you					
17	specifically, but it may.					
18	Q Okay. But 134 B, now that looks like a real					
19	substantial sized bullet, right					
20	A Yes.					
21	Q as far as the samples go?					
22	And here's also C. This doesn't have yeah, it					
23	does, 134 C, same thing, right?					
24	A Yes.					
25	Q Okay. And if given to an expert, based on your					
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 101					

1	experience, they can identify a weapon that this sometimes					
2	they can identify a weapon from which a bullet was fired?					
3	A Yes, that is correct.					
4	Q Okay. And sometimes they can take multiple					
5	bullets that have been recovered from different people and					
6	trace it to the same weapon?					
7	A Yes.					
8	Q Okay. Do you know can you tell from looking					
9	at this package if any such testing was done by any firearms					
10	identification expert in this case?					
11	A The one of our firearms examiners, Jim					
12	Krylo, placed his initials on the blue seal. So, yes, it was					
13	looked at by a forensic a firearms examiner, yes.					
14	Q Mr. Krylo?					
15	A Jim Krylo, yes.					
16	Q Okay. Did you speak don't tell us what he					
17	said, but did you speak with him about anything he might have					
18	done in this case?					
19	A No, I did not.					
20	Q All right. So we'd have to hear from him?					
21	A That's correct.					
22	Q Okay.					
23	MR. GENTILE: Thank you.					
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arrascada.					
25	MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you, Your Honor.					
ļ	KARReporting & Transcription Services 102					

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
2	BY MR. ARRASCADA:					
3		Q M	Mr. Morton, correct?			
4		A T	That's correct.			
5		Q F	All you did was attend the autopsy in this			
6	case, correct?					
7		A T	That is correct.			
8		Q 7	ou never went out to Lake Mead highway to			
9	collect any evidence, right?					
10		A 1	No, I did not.			
11		Q F	and everything you're testifying about today			
12	has nothing to do with anything found at Lake Mead highway?					
13		A I	That I wouldn't know.			
14		Q 3	It wasn't there. You found it at the autopsy?			
15		A I	This was from the autopsy, that's correct.			
16		Q J	That was a bad question I asked first. I			
17	apologize.					
18	And you did not go to the Palomino Club to process					
19.	evidence,	correc	ct?			
20		A 1	No, I did not.			
21		Q T	The same question, the evidence that you're			
22	testifying about was recovered at the autopsy, not the					
23	Palomino Club?					
24		A I	That is correct.			
25		Q P	and you did not go to Simone's Auto Plaza or			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 103					

the auto body shop and do any investigation or recovery of 1 2 evidence, correct? 3 Α No, I did not. And again, Items A, B, C, the bullet fragments, 4 and all the rest of your testimony comes from the autopsy, not 5 6 from anything that occurred -- you don't know anything from 7 Simone's Auto body; is that correct? 8 That is correct. 9 MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 11 Any redirect? 12 MR. DIGIACOMO: 13 THE COURT: Mr. Morton, thank you for your 14 testimony. Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone else who may be called as a witness in the case. Thank you, 15 16 and you are excused. THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: State, your next witness. MR. PESCI: State calls Ismael Madrid. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. And if Mr. Madrid is not 21 going to be testifying about these exhibits, perhaps you can 22 collect those. 23 Sir, just follow our bailiff right up here to the witness stand and then just once you get up those couple of 24 25 stairs, remain standing and our court clerk will administer

KARReporting & Transcription Services 104

1	the oath to you.
2	ISMAEL MADRID, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
3	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and
4	spell your name.
5	THE WITNESS: First name is Ismael, I-s-m-a-e-1.
6	Last name Madrid, M-a-d-r-i-d.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8	Mr. Pesci.
9	MR. PESCI: Thank you.
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. PESCI:
12	Q Sir, I want to direct your attention to May the
13	19, 2005, the late hours of that day. Where were you?
14	A I was at Lake Mead.
15	Q And when you say Lake Mead, I mean, there are
16	streets in the city called Lake Mead, but this is actually the
17	lake?
18	A I was actually at the lake.
19	Q Okay. That's here in Clark County?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And who were you there with?
22	A With two friends.
23	Q And who were those friends?
24	A Chelsea Dixon and Monique Gonzales.
25	Q And had you been at the lake the whole day?
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 105

1	What was going on that day?
2	A We went out there, I guess, for a small picnic,
3	I guess you would say, about three hours, four hours at the
4	most.
5	Q So about what time was it when you went to the
6	lake?
7	A About 7:00.
8	Q 7:00 p.m.?
9	A Yeah, about 7:00 p.m.
10	Q All right. At some point, did you and your
11	friends decide to go home?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Tell us about that, how you got there and how
14	you got home.
15	A Driving. We got there in my truck, we drove
16	up there. And then going back, Chelsea was driving. And as
17	we were driving back into town, we see a body lying in the
18	middle of the roadway.
19	Q Do you remember about what time it was when you
20	were driving back?
21	A Oh, roughly 11:30, 12:30 in there.
22	Q Okay. So the late hours of the 19th?
23	A Yes.
24	Q You said that you saw something as you were
25	coming back?
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 106

1		A	Yes.
2		Q	What is it that you saw?
3		A	We saw a body laying in the middle of the
4	roadway.		
5		Q	All right. Now, was it Chelsea that was
6	driving?		
7		A	Yes.
8		Q	What was she driving?
9		A	A truck.
10		Q	And what kind of a truck is this?
11		A	A Dodge Ram.
12		Q	Where were you seated in the truck?
13		А	Passenger.
14		Q	When was it that you were first able to see the
15	body? Wh	ere w	as the body situated?
16		A	It was I mean, we almost missed it. We came
17	up pretty	clos	e. We just came right up on it. I can't I
18	mean		
19		Q	When you say you almost missed it, are there
20	street li	ghts	out on this road?
21		A	No.
22		Q	Is this Lake Shore or what street was this? Do
23	you know?		
24		A	I can't recall.
25		Q	But is this the road to drive back into the
		KA	RReporting & Transcription Services 107

1	city from	the lake?
2		A I believe so, yes.
3		MR. PESCI: May I approach the witness?
4		THE COURT: Yes, that's fine.
5	BY MR. PES	SCI:
6		Q Showing you State's Proposed Exhibits 5 through
7	9 and 12,	14, and 15, take a look at those and let me know
8	when you'ı	ce done.
9		A (Complying.)
10		Q State's 5 through 9, you've gone through those,
11	sir?	
12		A Yes.
13		Q Do you recognize what's depicted in State's 5
14	through 9°	?
15	: :	A Yes.
16		Q Are those accurate depictions of how the scene
17	looked on	that night when you were there?
18		A Yes.
19		MR. PESCI: Move for the admission of 5 through 9,
20	Your Hono	c.
21		THE COURT: Any objection?
22		MS. ARMENI: No, Your Honor.
23		MR. GENTILE: No, Your Honor.
24		THE COURT: All right. 5 through 9 are admitted.
25		(State's Exhibits 5 through 9 admitted.)
		KARReporting & Transcription Services 108

1	BY MR. PESCI:	
2	Q	All right. Looking at 12, 14, and 15, did you
3	recognize thos	se?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Are those accurate depictions as well of the
6	things that yo	ou saw there that night?
7	A	Yes.
8	MR.	PESCI: Move for the admission of 12, 14, and
9	15.	
10	THE	COURT: Any objection?
11	MS.	ARMENI: No, Your Honor.
12	MR.	ARRASCADA: No.
13	THE	COURT: You don't have to stand.
14	That	will all be admitted.
15	MR.	PESCI: Thank you.
16	(Sta	ate's Exhibits 12, 14, and 15 admitted.)
17	BY MR. PESCI:	
18	Q	Now, you said you almost missed the body?
19	A	Yes
20	Q	All right. Let's look at State's 5 first.
21	Now, as we're	looking at State's 5, can you show us where the
22	body is? You	can touch the screen there.
23	A	Right there.
24	Q	Now, when you were out there that night and you
25	first came up	on there, are those some cars situated behind
	KZ	ARReporting & Transcription Services 109

Ì	
1	the body?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Were those there?
4	A Only one of them.
5	Q Is that why you couldn't see the body at that
6	point?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Showing you State's 6, as you got closer if
9	you tap the bottom right-hand corner of your screen, it will
10	clear thanks State's 6, as you got closer, is that what
11	you saw?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Eventually did you get to a point where you did
14	see the body?
15	A Yes.
16	Q How close do you think you got when were you
17	still in the truck at this time?
18	A No, I exited the truck.
19	Q Did anybody else get out at that point?
20	A Shortly after, yes, Chelsea did.
21	Q When you got out, what did you do?
22	A I didn't I was yelling, you know, Hey, can
23	you hear me? I didn't know he was dead at first.
24	Q Okay. Looking at State's 7, at that point,
25	you're telling us you're not sure if that person was alive or
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 110

1	not?		
2		A	Yes.
3		Q	And you mentioned something about one of the
4	cars depic	cted	in State's 7 was, in fact, out there when you
5	got there?	?	
6		A	Yes.
7		Q	Could you circle that particular car?
8		A	(Complying.)
9		Q	And was that car facing towards you as you
10	approached	d or	facing away from you?
11		A	Facing away from us.
12		Q	Eventually did you get close enough to the body
13	to ascerta	ain w	hether the person was alive or not?
14		A	Yes.
15		Q	Showing you State's 8 could you clear that
16	out is	this	what you saw at that location?
17		A	Yes.
18		Q	Were you able to figure out whether or not he
19	was alive	at p	point?
20		A	Yes.
21		Q	What did you do based on that?
22		A	I called 9-1-1.
23		Q	Did you have a cell phone or what was going on?
24		A	Yes, I had a cell phone.
25		Q	When you made contact with $9-1-1$, did you tell
j		ΚA	RReporting & Transcription Services 111

1	them what the s	situation was?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And at first, were you sure whether or not he
4	was alive?	
5	A	At first, no.
6	Q	Showing you we're still looking at State's
7	8. Now, is tha	at the side of the body that you approached when
8	you first came	up?
9	A	I believe so, yes.
10	Q	All right. Showing you State's 12, did you
11	make it to the	other side of the body eventually while you
12	were out there	?
13	А	Later.
14	Q	All right. When you saw this, did you have a
15	better idea as	to whether or not he was alive?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Now, out in that area did you see any other
18	items around th	ne body of Mr. Hadland?
19	А	Advertisement cards.
20	Q	Showing you State's 14, are these those cards
21	that you're rea	ferring to?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Did you find something else in the area of
24	those cards?	
25	А	I don't believe so, no.
	KAI	RReporting & Transcription Services 112

1	Q	Okay. Let me approach and show you State's 15.
2	Do you see a	nything else in that photograph?
3	А	Tube.
4	Q	Okay. And was that out there at that time?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	As far as you know?
7	А	As far as I know, yes.
8	Q	Okay. And when you talk about the tube, can
9	you point th	at out to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
10	А	(Complying.)
11	Q	Okay. Thank you.
12	Di	d police or medical arrive?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And did police eventually speak with you?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Did they ask you to fill out what's commonly
17	referred to	as a voluntary statement?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Did you fill that voluntary statement out?
20	А	Yes, I did.
21	Q	And do you have any experience now, as you sit
22	here today,	with voluntary statements?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And how is that?
25	А	I'm a police officer.
		KARReporting & Transcription Services 113

1		Q	At the time that this happened back on May the
2	19, 2005,	were	you a police officer?
3		A	No, I wasn't.
4		Q	Were you about to become or trying to become a
5	police of:	ficer	?
6		A	I had a month before I started the academy.
7		Q	Did you have any experience with voluntary
8	statement	s befo	ore that night?
9		A	No.
10		Q	Since then have you handed those out to
11	witnesses	?	
12		A	Yes.
13		Q	When the police asked you to fill out your
14	voluntary	state	ement, were you still with Chelsea and the other
15	individua	1?	
16		A	I was with them.
17		Q	Were you asked to fill them out separately or
18	did you a	ll kir	nd of gather up together and
19		A	No. We filled them out separately.
20		Q	Did you compare notes?
21		A	No.
22		Q	Okay.
23		MR. I	PESCI: Pass the witness.
24		THE (COURT: All right. Thank you.
25		Ms. A	Armeni.
		KAI	RReporting & Transcription Services 114

1	MS. ARMENI: Yes, Your Honor.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. ARMENI:
4	Q Mr. Madrid, I just have one question.
5	Exhibit 8, I don't know if you remember that do you still
6	have the exhibits in front of you?
7	A No.
8	Q Do you see that hat, sir, in the picture?
9	A Yes.
10	Q When you to the best of your recollection,
11	when you showed up, when you saw the body, was that hat there?
12	A Yes.
13	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.
14	No further questions.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arrascada.
16	MR. ARRASCADA: May I also see them, please.
17	CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. ARRASCADA:
19	Q Sir, also referring to Exhibit 8, when you
20	walked up, on the right arm there's a tattoo; is that correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And you saw that when you walked up?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And it's it says "cash daddy" on it up at
25	the top; is that correct?
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 115

1	A I believe that's what it says.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you.
3	Nothing further, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
5	Redirect?
6	MR. PESCI: Sure, if I could.
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. PESCI:
9	Q You were asked some questions about the body of
10	the victim just now, about the tattoo. Did you see that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q All right. Remember that, I should say, not
13	see. I apologize.
14	Looking at State's 8, what's that right there?
15	A Chain.
16	Q Okay. Have you responded to any robbery scenes
17	since you've become an officer?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you normally find things of value still on
20	the body if someone's been robbed?
21	A No.
22	MR. PESCI: Thanks.
23	THE COURT: Any recross?
24	MS. ARMENI: No, Your Honor.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 116

1			
1	THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony. Please		
2	don't discuss your testimony with anyone else who may be		
3	called as a witness. Thank you, and you are excused.		
4	State, call your next witness.		
5	MR. PESCI: State calls Officer Lafreniere.		
6	THE COURT: Sir, just please remain standing, facing		
7	our court clerk who's going to be administering the oath to		
8	you.		
9	JASON LAFRENIERE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN		
10	THE CLERK: Please be seated, and please state and		
11	spell your name.		
12	THE WITNESS: Jason Lafreniere, L-a-f-r-e-n-i-e-r-e.		
13	THE CLERK: I'm sorry. I got lost. Jason.		
14	THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, ma'am, Jason, J-a-s-o-n.		
15	Yes, ma'am.		
16	THE CLERK: Okay. Sorry.		
17	THE WITNESS: Jason Lafreniere.		
18	THE COURT: Is that J-a-s-o-n?		
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. Yes, Your Honor.		
20	THE CLERK: L		
21	THE WITNESS: L-a-f-r-e-n-i-e-r-e.		
22	THE CLERK: Thank you.		
23	MR. PESCI: May I proceed, Your Honor?		
24	THE COURT: You may, Mr. Pesci.		
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 117		

1	BY MR. PESCI:		
2	Q Sir, what do you do for a living?		
3	A I'm a detective with the Las Vegas Metropolitan		
4	Police Department.		
5	Q How long have you been with Metro?		
6	A For over seven years.		
7	Q How long have you been a detective?		
8	A About a year and a half.		
9	Q Focusing back or where are you a detective?		
10	What		
11	A Juvenile sex abuse.		
12	Q Back on May the 19th, the late hours going into		
13	May the 20th of 2005, were you a detective at that point?		
14	A No, sir.		
15	Q What were you?		
16	A I was a patrol officer.		
17	Q And when you're a patrol officer, is there a		
18	specific area that you patrol?		
19	. A Yes, sir. I patrolled the northeast area		
20	command.		
21	Q And did you respond out to was it North		
22	Shore Road?		
23	A I believe that was the name of it. Yes, out by		
24	Lake Mead. Yes, sir.		
25	Q Is that within your patrol area?		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 118		

1	A Yes.	
2	Q Now, when you're working as patrol and on that	
3	specific night, did you have a partner? Was there somebody	
4	with you?	
5	A No, sir, I was by myself.	
6	Q Were you dressed in uniform?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q As you appear today, is this normally how you	
9	dress when you're working as a detective now?	
10	A As a detective, yes. When I was a patrol	
11	officer, I wore the standard Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	
12	Department uniform.	
13	Q And were you driving a patrol unit car?	
14	A Yes, a marked car. Yes, sir.	
15	Q Originally how did the call get to you? How	
16	were you requested to go out this?	
17	A I don't know if it came through dispatch or if	
18	I saw it on the screen, but we have a little computer in our	
19	car and also a radio. I was dispatched to the location via	
20	dispatch either over the radio or on my computer.	
21	Q When you first arrived at the scene, what did	
22	you see?	
23	A I came in contact with a young man and I	
24	believe there were two females as well. They had called in a	
25	body in the road.	

KARReporting & Transcription Services 119

1	Q Let me ask you this: The young man that you're			
2	referring to, is that the individual that just left the			
3	courtroom?			
4	A It is, yes, sir.			
5	Q And when you saw Mr. Madrid out there, what did			
6	you do?			
7	A I I don't remember exactly the order it was,			
8	but I spoke with him. I saw a body lying in the road. It was			
9	a white male. I believe he was not wearing a shirt. He was			
10	laying on his back. I approached the body. I didn't get too			
11	closed. He appeared deceased. I didn't touch the body. I			
12	noticed there was a vehicle off to the side of the road. I			
13	don't recall if the vehicle was running or not.			
14	Q Let me stop you there.			
15	A Yes, sir.			
16	MR. PESCI: May I approach?			
17	THE COURT: Sure.			
18	BY MR. PESCI:			
19	Q Showing you State's Proposed Exhibits 10 and			
20	11, I ask you to take a look at those and let me know if you			
21	recognize those.			
22	A Yes, sir. This is the scene when I arrived out			
23	there off of North Shore Road.			
24	Q Are those fair and accurate depictions of the			
25	scene on that day?			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 120			

1	A Yes, sir, they are.		
2	MR. PESCI: Move for the admission of 10 and 11,		
3	Your Honor.		
4	THE COURT: Any objection?		
5	MR. GENTILE: I'd like to see them.		
6	MS. ARMENI: He showed them to us.		
7	MR. GENTILE: Oh, he did? Okay.		
8	MR. ARRASCADA: No objection.		
9	MS. ARMENI: No objection.		
10	MR. ARRASCADA: And no objection.		
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right. 10		
12	and 11 are admitted.		
13	(State's Exhibits 10 and 11 admitted.)		
14	BY MR. PESCI:		
15	Q Okay. You talked about a car, correct?		
16	A Yes, sir.		
17	Q And I'll show you let's start with 10.		
18	We're going to have to zoom out on that one.		
19	Okay. Looking at State's 11 or State's 10, is		
20	the car depicted here on the left-hand side?		
21	A Yes, sir.		
22	Q Okay. And you say as you sit here today you		
23	don't recall whether it was running or not?		
24	A I have no idea.		
25	Q All right. And in the body, showing you		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 121		

1	State's 11, do you recognize that?			
2	A Yes, sir, I do.			
3	Q Now, what did you say you do with that with			
4	this scene when you approached and saw this?			
5	A Again, I don't remember the exact order, but I			
6	know I don't remember the young man and the two females			
7	being up close to the body, but I remember backing them off,			
8	securing the scene with crime tape, meaning putting up the			
9	crime the yellow tape on both ends of the scene so nobody			
10	else could interfere with the scene. I approached the vehicle			
11	to make sure it was unoccupied. I did that with my gun drawn.			
12	I believe I was still the only officer out there at that time.			
13	Q Let me stop you for a second. You mentioned a			
14	minute ago something about tape, some kind of let me zoom			
15	in on 11. Are we looking at some tape here?			
16	A Yes. It's blurry, but I believe that's it,			
17	yes, sir.			
18	Q All right. Let's do it this way. I'm showing			
19	you State's 11 up close.			
20	A Yes, that's the crime scene tape that we			
21	Q All right. And you were involved or part of			
22	the process of securing that scene?			
23	A Yes, sir.			
24	Q What's the rationale for securing the scene?			
25	A Just to secure any evidence or anything that			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 122			

might be in the scene to keep unauthorized persons out of 1 2 there. We back any witnesses or anybody else that would have arrived up beyond to scene and nobody else arrived in there 3 4 until I'm relieved by a supervisor or superior officer. 5 Did you call all the people in? You just 6 testified you weren't sure if there was anyone else out there 7 at that point? No, I do not recall if another officer was 8 9 out -- I was the first officer to arrive and I don't remember 10 when the next one arrived. But did you call in asking for others to 11 1.2 arrive? 13 I don't know if I called and asked for others. 14 I know others were dispatched as well. I know others were in 15 route as I was already out there. 16 Okay. And we've seen some other cars in these Q 17 photos and those were other police personnel? 18 Yes, sir. Okay. You said that you approached this car . 19 20 depicted in State's 10 and you said you had your gun drawn? 21 Yes, sir. Α 22 Why was that? 23 The unknown. I'm not sure what was in there, 24 if there was another -- if there was a suspect in there, if 25 there was another victim in there. You don't know what you're

KARReporting & Transcription Services
123

1	approaching. You can't see inside the vehicle, so		
2	Q Did you find anything?		
3	A Nothing no people.		
4	Q All right. Did you actually open the door and		
5	go look		
6	A No, not at all.		
7	Q What did you do, just looking to ascertain		
8	whether there was		
9	A What's called quick peeks. We approach it down		
10	low, kind of, you know, where you're using it as cover or		
11	concealment from what might be in there and quick peeks		
12	looking up in the window going back down, doing that all the		
13	way around the vehicle to make sure nobody was in there.		
14	Q Did you have a flashlight or something with		
15	you?		
16	A I know I carried a flashlight. I don't know		
17	Q Would that be something you would normally use?		
18	A Absolutely.		
19	Q Okay. And then after you is it commonly		
20	referred to as clear the vehicle?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q Did you clear the vehicle?		
23	A Yes.		
24	Q To make sure there's no one else there?		
25	A That's correct.		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 124		

1	Q Did you also look at the body?		
2	A Yes, sir.		
3	Q When you looked at the body, did you make a		
4	determination as to whether you thought the person was alive		
5	or not?		
6	A He appeared dead to me.		
7	Q You mentioned that you backed up the male and		
8	the two females?		
9	A Yeah. I I don't remember how far I back		
10	they were or exactly where they were in relation to the body,		
11	but, yeah, just to give ourselves enough area to keep the		
12	scene secure.		
13	Q And did you ask some questions about what they		
14	had seen and heard?		
15	A Yes.		
16	Q Eventually were they asked to fill out		
17	voluntary statements?		
18	A Yes, sir.		
19	Q Were you a part of that process or do you know		
20	if other personnel was doing that?		
21	A I don't recall, but I I think I may have		
22	handed them the statements to fill out while waiting for		
23	others or before I don't recall if I gave them the		
24	statement or not.		
25	Q Okay. At a scene like this, at some point, do		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 125		

1	other police personnel take over?			
2	A Yes, absolutely.			
3	Q And how does that come about?			
4	A Once a supervisor arrives, they would take over			
5	and say, Hey, I've got it from here, go sit over there and			
6	make sure no cars come into the scene or go over there, help			
7	out with witnesses. If I know homicide would have			
8	responded out and ID techs or crime scene analysts would have			
9	responded out there, and once the homicide being their			
10	case, they would have taken over as well.			
11	Q Before homicide gets there, is it one of your			
12	immediate supervisors in patrol that's in charge?			
13	A Yes, or a senior officer.			
14	Q And was the scene handed over, then, to a			
15	senior officer at some point?			
16	A Yes.			
17	Q Did you remain out at that scene?			
18	A Oh, yes, I was there for hours. Yes, sir.			
19	Q Were you a part of securing that scene			
20	A Yes, sir.			
21	Q out there for hours? Is that a yes?			
22	A Yes, sir, I'm sorry.			
23	Q It's being recorded, sir.			
24	A Yes, sir.			
25	MR. PESCI: Pass the witness.			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 126			

1	THE COURT: All right. Who would like to go next?			
2	MS. ARMENI: We have no questions, Your Honor.			
3	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arrascada.			
4	MR. ARRASCADA: No questions, Your Honor.			
5	THE COURT: Detective, thank you for your testimony.			
6	Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone else who may			
7	be called as a witness and you are excused.			
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.			
9	THE COURT: All right. State, call your next			
10	witness.			
11	MR. PESCI: State calls Paijik Karlson.			
12	THE COURT: Come on up to the witness stand, please,			
13	and please remain standing, facing our court clerk who will			
14	give the oath.			
15	PAIJIK KARLSON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN			
16	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and			
17	spell your name.			
18	THE WITNESS: My name is Paijik Karlson,			
19	P-a-i-j-i-k, Karlson with a K, K-a-r-l-s-o-n.			
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
21	BY MR. PESCI:			
22	Q Ma'am, where are you from?			
23	A Thailand.			
24	Q And is English your second language?			
25	A Yes.			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 127			

1	Q	Do you feel comfortable enough to speak to the	
2	jury in English today?		
3	Α	Yes.	
4	Q	Okay. If you have any questions of what we're	
5	asking, just stop us; is that all right?		
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Who was Timothy Hadland to you?	
8	A	Timothy Hadland's my boyfriend.	
9	Q	And did he have a nickname?	
10	А	TJ.	
11	Q	TJ?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	When did you meet TJ?	
14	A	December 25, 2004.	
15	Q	Okay. And how did you meet?	
16	A	I met him at the bar.	
17	Q	At the bar? How did you start to have a	
18	relationship?	Did you start dating? What happened?	
19	A	We talked first and we dated after that.	
20	Q	And how long did you date for?	
21	А	Six months by the phone.	
22	Q	I'm sorry, by the phone?	
23	А	Six months by the phone.	
24	Q	Okay. Then eventually did you two live	
25	together?		
	KA	RReporting & Transcription Services 128	

1	A Y	es.
2	Q [Oo you know about when that was?
3	A T	That was 2005 2004 when I come back from
4	Thailand.	
5	Q W	Then who came back from Thailand?
6	A M	le.
7	Q I	Did TJ go with you?
8	A N	No, he picked me up from the airport.
9	Q E	oid you know TJ to work during the time period
10	when you were dating?	
11	A F	le worked, yes.
12	Q E	oo you know where he worked?
13	A E	le worked at the he worked by himself. He
14	worked with the	
15	Q E	le worked
16	A E	le had his own business.
17	Q F	le had his own business? All right.
18	And wh	natever your answer is, it just needs to be out
19	loud so the woma	n who is recording it will catch it.
20	A C	okay.
21	Q I	s that a yes?
22	A Y	es.
23	Q C	okay. Did you know him to ever work at the
24	Palomino Club?	
25	A Y	Yes, after we lived together.
	KARF	Reporting & Transcription Services 129

1	Q So after you and TJ were living together, TJ			
2	was working at the Palomino Club?			
3	A Not the first time. After that.			
4	Q Do you know how he got the job there or how			
5	that came about?			
6	MR. GENTILE: Objection. Foundation.			
7	THE COURT: All right. Sustained.			
8	MR. PESCI: I'm asking if she knows how that came			
9	about.			
10	THE COURT: Well, this is a yes or no answer.			
11	Do you know?			
12	THE WITNESS: He know someone so he tried to get a			
13	job.			
14	BY MR. PESCI:			
15	Q Okay. Do you know who it was that he knew?			
16	MR. GENTILE: Foundation.			
17	THE COURT: Well, I think we have to know if she			
18	knew and then he could say, How do you know, so			
19	MR. GENTILE: Well, it should be			
20	THE WITNESS: He tell me he get a job, yes.			
21	MR. GENTILE: Can she be ordered to answer yes or			
22	no?			
23	THE COURT: Okay. If it's a yes or no question			
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.			
25	THE COURT: just try to answer yes or no			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 130			

1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.				
2	THE COURT: and then Mr. Pesci can follow up with				
3	how do you know or what do you know or				
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.				
5	THE COURT: so on. Okay?				
6	BY MR. PESCI:				
7	Q How do you know?				
8	A He knows friend and he go there and get				
9	apply and he				
10	Q Do you know who that friend was?				
11	MR. GENTILE: Objection. Foundation.				
12	MR. PESCI: Well, it's whether she knows or not. I				
13	don't see how she's				
14	THE COURT: Right. No				
15	MR. GENTILE: Well, but he's got to establish how				
16	she learned. So I'll say it differently				
17	THE COURT: Well, if she doesn't know, then how do				
18	we ask her how did she learn?				
19	. MR. GENTILE: Okay. I agree with that.				
20	THE COURT: First he can ask her if she knows and				
21	then the follow up would be, Well, how is it that you know				
22	this, or, How did you learn that information, or whatever.				
23	BY MR. PESCI:				
24	Q Let me ask you this way: How did you know that				
25	TJ worked at the Palomino?				
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 131				

1	A He knows friend he tell me. He go get a job		
2	and then		
3	Q So TJ told you that?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q So is your knowledge about this from TJ		
6	himself?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q Okay. Do you know who the friend is that		
9	helped him with the job at the Palomino?		
10	MR. GENTILE: Objection. Hearsay.		
11	MR. PESCI: I said does she know, Judge, that's the		
12	question.		
13	THE COURT: Well, do you know, yes or no, who the		
14	friend was?		
15	THE WITNESS: I know the name, but I don't never		
16	met him.		
17	THE COURT: You never met him so you didn't witness		
18	a conversation or anything like that; is that right?		
19	THE WITNESS: Yes. He told me, but he told me.		
20	THE COURT: TJ told you?		
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.		
22	THE COURT: But you never saw this friend?		
23	THE WITNESS: No.		
24	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Mr. Pesci.		
25	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 132		

l			
1	BY MR. PESCI:		
2	Q What did you know about what TJ did at the		
3	club?		
4	MR. GENTILE: Same objection. Hearsay.		
5	MR. ARRASCADA: Objection, hearsay.		
6	MR. GENTILE: Without a foundation.		
7	THE COURT: Yeah.		
8	BY MR. PESCI:		
9	Q Did TJ ever talk about his job? I mean, you		
10	guys are dating, you're living together. Does he come home at		
11	night and say, I'm not going to talk about my day's work?		
12	A We don't talk he work first and he		
13	usually we work, but we don't talk about work.		
14	Q Okay.		
15	A He tell me he get a job and I drop him off most		
16	of the time.		
17	Q Did you actually drop TJ off at work?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q At the Palomino?		
20	A Yes.		
21	Q All right. And on any of these occasions where		
22	he went to work at the Palomino, when he came back home after,		
23	did he ever talked to you TJ, talk to you about him working		
24	at the Palomino and his time at the Palomino?		
25	A Some things, sometimes, yes, but not a lot.		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 133		

1	Q Okay. Did there come a point in time when TJ		
2	was no longer working at the Palomino as far as you knew?		
3	A He tell me about it, yes.		
4	Q Okay. What did he tell you?		
5	MR. GENTILE: Objection, hearsay.		
6	MR. ARRASCADA: Hearsay.		
7	THE COURT: Sustained.		
8	MR. PESCI: It's not being offered for the truth of		
9	the matter asserted, Your Honor.		
10	THE COURT: Well, then why is it being offered?		
11	MR. GENTILE: Then it's not relevant.		
12	MR. PESCI: To explain the relationship that he had		
13	with the other individuals when he was or was not working.		
14	THE COURT: Well, that's still then being offered		
. 15	for the truth.		
16	At some point in time you became aware that TJ was		
17	no longer working at the Palomino; is that right?		
18	THE WITNESS: He tell me he yes.		
19	THE COURT: Okay.		
20	THE WITNESS: He tell me he		
21	THE COURT: Okay. Go on, Mr. Pesci.		
22	BY MR. PESCI:		
23	Q So don't say what he said. Did you have a		
24	conversation with TJ about him no longer working at the		
25	Palomino Club?		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 134		

1	A Yes.		
2	Q Now, when he had these conversations with you		
3	about him no longer working at the Palomino, how did TJ		
4	appear? What was his demeanor?		
5	A I need		
6	MR. GENTILE: Objection. That actually is an		
7	assertion and it's out of court. I object.		
8	MR. PESCI: It's her observation. She's the		
9	recipient of		
10	THE COURT: Overruled.		
11	BY MR. PESCI:		
12	Q She's saying you can answer the question.		
13	That's what she meant by overruled.		
14	A But I need it one more time. Can you answer		
15	that		
16	Q All right. When TJ would talk to you about him		
17	no longer working at the Palomino, don't tell us what he said,		
18	but when he talked to you about no longer working at the		
19	Palomino, how did he appear to you		
20	MR. GENTILE: Objection. Foundation. That		
21	THE WITNESS: How did he appear?		
22	MR. GENTILE: May we approach?		
23	THE COURT: Yes.		
24	Well, we're going to argue and then		
25	(Off-record bench conference)		
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	KARReporting & Transcription Services 135		

1	THE COURT: All right. Go on, Mr. Pesci.			
2	Mr. Pesci's going to ask you some other questions.			
3	Go on.			
4	BY MR. PESCI:			
5	Q Let's put a time frame on this. I want to kind			
6	of go off the subject and we'll come back in a few minutes.			
7	I want you to focus on May 19, 2005. Did there come			
8	a time when you and TJ went camping at Lake Mead?			
9	A Yes.			
10	Q Now, who did you go out there with?			
11	A TJ.			
12	Q How did you get there?			
13	A He drive.			
14	Q What did you drive?			
15	A His			
16	Q I'm sorry?			
17	A We drive truck.			
18	Q A truck?			
19	A Yes.			
20	Q Did you say Sportage?			
21	A Yes.			
22	Q And was that the car that you drove out there			
23	in?			
24	A Yes.			
25	Q Whose idea was it to go camping?			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 136			

	:		
1	A	TJ.	
2	Q	Did you want to go camping?	
3	А	No.	
4	Ω	Had you been camping before?	
5	A	No.	
6	Q	With that time in your head, how long before	
7	you went camping did TJ stop working at the Palomino?		
8	A	About two or three week.	
9	Q	Two or three weeks?	
10	А	About before he quit.	
11	Q	So two or three weeks before May 19th of 2005?	
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q	Now, between that time of going camping and the	
14	time that TJ stopped working at the Palomino, were the two of		
15	you still living together?		
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Did you speak with him often?	
18	A	We speak a lot, yes.	
19	Ω	Did had you been living with him for how	
20	long at that time?		
21	A	About a year.	
22	Q	Without saying what he said, did you have	
23	conversations	on many different subjects with TJ during the	
24	time that you	lived together?	
25	A	Say that again, please. Sorry. Slow, please.	
	Ki	ARReporting & Transcription Services 137	

1	Q I'm sorry. I apologize.
2	THE COURT: Did you talk about different things with
3	him?
4	THE WITNESS: We talk many things, yes. Yes.
5	BY MR. PESCI:
6	Q Did you know TJ well from living with him?
7	A He's a good man.
8	Q Okay. Did you ever see him emotional?
9	A At time, yes.
10	Q Okay. Describe the emotional
11	THE COURT: Well, just no. Describe what you
12	observed.
13	THE WITNESS: He nervous.
14	BY MR. PESCI:
15	Q Okay. And how is it that you, knowing him, saw
16	that he was nervous? What was nervous about him?
17	A Usually he calm, but he talk. He worried
18	about he's getting worried, getting nervous.
19	Q Getting worried about what?
20	MR. GENTILE: Objection.
21	THE COURT: Sustained.
22	THE WITNESS: He
23	THE COURT: No, no. When I
24	MR. PESCI: Hold on a second.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Pesci's going to ask you a different
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 138

1	question.				
2	BY MR. PESCI:				
3	Q Did you have conversations, after the time TJ				
4	left the Palomino and before you went camping, about him				
5	leaving the Palomino?				
6	THE COURT: Did you talk about him leaving the				
7	Palomino?				
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, he talk about that. He				
9	THE COURT: Okay. Now, there's going to be				
10	another				
11	Try to have smaller sentences, Mr. Pesci.				
12	MR. PESCI: Sure.				
13	BY MR. PESCI:				
14	Q All right. So we know what time we're talking				
15	about. We're talking about after TJ left the Palomino and				
16	before camping. Okay?				
17	A Okay.				
18	Q All right. You said you had conversations				
19	about TJ leaving the Palomino? That's what you just said a				
20	minute ago?				
21	A Yes.				
22	Q All right. Describe how TJ was when he talked				
23	to you about that. Don't say what he said, just how did he				
24	appear to you?				
25	MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, I have to object to				
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 139				

Ì				
1	foundation. It's asking for hearsay.			
2	THE COURT: Overruled.			
3	MR. PESCI: You can answer that.			
4	THE COURT: You can say what you observed about his			
5	physical appearance.			
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.			
7	MR. GENTILE: That's asked and answered. She's			
8	already			
9	THE WITNESS: He's nervous and he wasn't himself.			
10	BY MR. PESCI:			
11	Q Nervous and wasn't himself?			
12	A Yes.			
13	Q And that's when he was talking about leaving			
14	the Palomino?			
15	A Yes.			
16	Q All right. Now, focusing on that night, the			
17	19th, going back to that night, what did you do when you first			
18	got out there to the lake?			
19	A I take out my food, start cooking.			
20	Q And did TJ ever get a phone call while he was			
21	out there?			
22	A Not at first. He called his mom first and			
23	Q Now, when he called his mom, were you there			
24	with him?			
25	A Yes.			
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 140			

1		Q	Did you have a campsite set up?
2		A	We set up already, yes.
3		Q	When TJ was on the phone, was he near you?
4		A	He just near around enough to hear when he
5	talked to his mom.		
6		Q	Okay. Could you hear TJ while he was on the
7	phone?		
8		A	Yes.
9		Q	Could you hear the other person on the phone?
10		A	No.
11		Q	And how did you know that it was his mom? What
12	was it about what he said?		
13		A	Because he tell his mom he say he feel good,
14	he's happ	у.	
15		Q	And did you see TJ make that call to his
16	mother?		
17		A	He used my phone.
18		Q	Did TJ receive a call?
19		A	Yes.
20		Q	And was that on your phone or a different
21	phone?		
22		А	On his phone.
23		Q	Okay. Had you seen TJ's phone before this?
24		A	Yes, I see.
25		Q	Where did TJ get that phone?
		KA	RReporting & Transcription Services

1	A	He have walkie-talkie.
2	Q	Okay.
3	А	He had that on.
4	Q	And do you know where he got that
5	walkie-talki	.e?
6	А	He just buy a new one. He just bought it.
7	Q	So the second call that you're speaking of, did
8	it come to t	the walkie-talkie?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	What did you see or hear TJ say on that
11	walkie-talki	e call?
12	A	I only heard he tell he say, I am at the
13	lake with Li	nda. That's what he say.
14	Q	Who's Linda?
15	А	It's me.
16	Q	Okay. Is that another name you go by?
17	А	Yes, he call me Linda.
18	Q	Did you hear any more of that conversation that
19	TJ had?	
20	A	No.
21	Q	After that conversation, did TJ tell you where
22	he was going	g what he was going to do?
23	A	After?
24	Q	Yes, after the phone call.
25	А	After the phone, he come tell me he's going to
		KARReporting & Transcription Services 142

	_	
1	come go m	eet Angelo.
2	Q	Meet who?
3	A	Angelo.
4	Q	And who do you know who Angelo is?
5	A	He's coworker.
6	Q	Coworker of who?
7	А	The Palomino Club.
8	Q	The Palomino Club. Did you ever meet Angelo?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And when did you meet him?
11	А	I see him at work and he come in the house one
12	time.	
13	Q	When you say in the house, whose house?
14	A	Our house. We rent house.
15	Q	Where you and TJ were living?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	So TJ tells you he was going to go meet Angelo?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Did he tell you why?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	What did he say?
22	A	He going to go get marijuana.
23	Q	Marijuana?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did you want the marijuana at your where you
	:	KARReporting & Transcription Services 143

		1	
1	were campi	ing?	
2		A	No.
3		Q	What did you say?
4		A	I don't want it. He say he's going to go get
5	it.		
6		Q	Okay. And based on that, did TJ leave the
7	campsite?		
8		А	Yes.
9		Q	He left where you were?
10		A	At the lake.
11		Q	Okay. When he left, was it dark out?
12		A	We set a fire. Yes, it dark.
13		Q	Okay. You set you had a fire and it was
14	dark?		
15		A	Yes.
16		Q	Do you remember about what time that was when
17	he left?		
18		A	It was about between 9:00 and 10:00, between
19	that.		
20		Q	Okay. Did you ever see TJ again after he left?
21		A	No.
22		Q	At some point did you become concerned?
23		A	Yes.
24		Q	What did you do, based on that?
25		A	I call my friend and I call his mom.
		KA	RReporting & Transcription Services 144

1	Q When you say his mom, do you mean TJ's mom?		
2	A Yes.		
3	Q Were you able to get ahold of TJ?		
4	A No.		
5	Q While you were on the phone and out there		
6	looking, did something grab your attention?		
7	A He never answered the phone.		
8	Q Did you call TJ on his phone?		
9	A Many time, yes.		
10	Q You did not get an answer?		
11	A No.		
12	Q Did you ever see some lights out there that		
13	kind of got your attention?		
14	A I see one light when little high up the		
15	hill, yes.		
16	Q You saw a light up the hill?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q What did you do?		
19	A I did nothing. I just not feel good, I don't		
20	know what happened. I just don't know.		
21	Q Did you stay there at the campsite or did you		
22	go somewhere else?		
23	A I walked.		
24	Q Where did you walk to?		
25	A Try to get at the street.		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 145		

1		Q	Did you make it to the street or where did you
2	go to?		
3		А	No, I don't make it. It's too far.
4		Q	Did you go somewhere else?
5		Α	I can't yes, I go, I walk, but I couldn't go
6	that far.	I d	on't know way out.
7		Q	Did you end up going back to the campsite or
8		A	Yes.
9		Q	All right. And were you still trying to find
10	TJ?		
11		A	Yes.
12		Q	At some point did the police come and speak
13	with you?		
14		A	Not that night.
15		Q	Okay. When did they speak to you?
16		А	In the morning.
17		Q	And where did you spend the night?
18		A	In the camp.
19		Q	When they spoke to you in the morning, where
20	was that?	How	did that happen?
21		A	Right outside when I tried to walk and they
22	have mobil	le ho	mes have mobile homes to the sidewalk, the
23	side of th	he st	reet.
24		Q	Did you go to these mobile homes?
25		A	Yes, I asked him I tried to get out, so I
		KA.	RReporting & Transcription Services 146

1	talked to him and after that, cop come.
2	Q The police came?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And what did the police tell you?
5	A They tell me TJ's been killed.
6	Q Did they ask you questions about the night
7	before?
8	A No.
9	Q Did they talk to you about what had been going
10	on?
11	A No.
12	Q Did you eventually give them a statement?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Did they ask you questions during that
15	statement?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did they ask you your knowledge about TJ
18	working at the Palomino?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did they ask you
21	MR. GENTILE: Objection. What they asked is
22	irrelevant. I mean, he could certainly ask her questions. He
23	can ask her about her knowledge, but
24	THE COURT: Well, unless he's going to just what
25	information the police had, it can be considered for that, but
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 147

1	I don't know any relevancy beyond that.		
2	BY MR. PESCI:		
3	Q Did you talk to them about TJ working at the		
4	Palomino?		
5	A Yes.		
6	Q And was that just the morning after TJ was		
7	killed?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q Did you talk to them about your understanding		
10	of TJ's relationship with people at the Palomino?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q Did you talk to them about a person named PK?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q And what did you know about the relationship		
15	between PK and TJ?		
16	MR. GENTILE: Objection. Relevance.		
17	MR. ARRASCADA: Objection; hearsay, relevance.		
18	MR. GENTILE: And hearsay.		
19	THE COURT: And foundation.		
20	MR. GENTILE: And foundation. Mr. Pesci knows the		
21	rules of evidence, Your Honor. This is		
22	THE COURT: Mr. Gentile, you don't need to		
23	editorialize, please.		
24	BY MR. PESCI:		
25	Q We'll save the relevance for closing arguments.		
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 148		

1	But as far as your conversation with them, did you	
2	talk to them about the relationship as you understood it	
3	between PK and TJ?	
4	MR. ARRASCADA: Objection. Hearsay.	
5	MR. GENTILE: And relevance.	
6	MR. ARRASCADA: And relevance.	
7	THE COURT: And she can say if she all right.	
8	Did you give them information about that	
9	relationship?	
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
11	THE COURT: Okay.	
12	Go on.	
13	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.	
14	BY MR. PESCI:	
15	Q Did you talk to the police about the	
16	relationship between TJ and Little Lou?	
17	MR. GENTILE: Your Honor	
18	MR. ARRASCADA: Same objection. May we approach?	
19	THE COURT: I'll see counsel up here.	
20	(Off-record bench conference)	
21	THE COURT: The only relevance is to the course the	
22	police took.	
23	I have a question. How many police officers	
24	interviewed you that morning?	
25	THE WITNESS: One man, two women.	
	KARReporting & Transcription Services 149	