1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So
3	THE COURT: Okay. And was your law
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes
5	THE COURT: Okay. So I guess you fe
6	good job.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yea
8	THE COURT: All right. Based on wha
9	past two days, is there anything in your background
10	based on our questions and discussions that yo
11	knowing about you?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: The
13	dad was a Chicago cop back in the '70s.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: But
16	THE COURT: Okay. Did you grow up
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: 1 lef
18	came out here.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And were you pa
20	move out here too?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: The
22	then they got back together once we moved to
23	here.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And then did you
25	he ever a detective or was he more a uniform?

THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: So that was basically it. THE COURT: Okay. And was your lawyer with you at the time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. So I guess you feel like your lawyer did a pretty good job. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah, he did. THE COURT: All right. Based on what you've heard us discuss in the past two days, is there anything in your background or your family background based on our questions and discussions that you think we would be interested in knowing about you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: The only thing I could think of is my dad was a Chicago cop back in the '70s. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: But that's been so long ago. THE COURT: Okay. Did you grow up back in Chicago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I left there when I was eight and came out here. THE COURT: Okay. And were you parents divorced, or did your father move out here too? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: They were divorced at the time, and then they got back together once we moved to -- me and my mother moved out here.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

THE COURT: Okay. And then did your father, as a Chicago cop, was

1	THE COURT: So you were there more for the the cheap beer.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah, we'd we'd take a bunch of
3	our friends and go down there and just hang out.
4	THE COURT: Okay would this be a group of gals and guys or
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah, both.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you don't have any negative
7	feelings
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
9	THE COURT: particularly about strip clubs?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.
11	THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been to the Palomino Club?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No, I have not.
13	THE COURT: Are you familiar I mean have you heard of it?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I've heard of it. I have no clue even
15	where it is
16	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
17	State, you may question
18	Am I say this right? Ms. Ryeczyk?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Exactly.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: Ms. Ryeczyk, I guess the only thing the Judge didn't
22	ask about was gangs. You have any interaction ever in your life with gang
23	members?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Back when I was in high school.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: And was it negative contact? Was every gangbanger

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -133-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: For my DUI case.

MS. ARMENI: I'm sorry. I knew that. I was listening. I was listening. Now, why -- why did you make a decision -- I mean, at what point did you call the attorney, let me ask you that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Right when I had -- right after the day after I got out of jail, a couple hours afterwards. But, yeah, I just knew right away that it was something I would want to do. Any kind of case I would want to, you know, get some kind of legal counsel from.

MS. ARMENI: What high school -- you're from here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Uh-huh.

MS. ARMENI: Grew up since eight?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: What high school did you go to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Bonanza.

MS. ARMENI: And at Bonanza or wherever growing up, did you have experience with drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: And what -- tell me what was your experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: In high school the normal, weed, acid, I don't think they had ecstasy back then, but coke, you know. Not that I've done any, but, you know, my friends have.

MS. ARMENI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: And then older -- when I was older crystal meth, the stuff that you shoot, I don't know what it's called, but stuff like that. My across the street neighbor, actually, they were arrested for a meth lab.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -136-

1	just, you know, go okay, whatever, here, you know. Because I look at I always
2	try to look at the bright side of things, especially when I'm going through things.
3	So I don't I don't think I would have any problem with, you know, anything that
4	would happen to me.
5	MS. ARMENI: Well, you bring up a good a good situation. I mean,
6	there's several tellers that are in there. Do you think every teller at the bank
7	when faced with a gun would react the same way?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: We're trained to. I I would like to
9	say yes. I know we got robbed a few months ago and everybody was calm and
10	collective during that.
11	MS. ARMENI: You've had training you said?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yeah.
13	MS. ARMENI: What kind of training do you get?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Well, every every year or every so
15	often we get training, Metro, FBI comes out and, you know, goes through the
16	motions, goes through the motions, through, you know, what to do, just things
17	like that.
18	MS. ARMENI: Do you think because of that training you would hopefully
19	react calm like you said?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes, definitely.
21	MS. ARMENI: If you didn't have that training, do you think would it be
22	different?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: If I was younger, maybe. But now
24	that I've been around it and been in it for so long, I it wouldn't phase me.
25	MS. ARMENI: Thank you.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -138-

MS. ARMENI: Security? And where -- is he still security?

25

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -140-

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And were you able to voice -- I -- this is a question I -- let me ask, were you able to voice your opinions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes, I was.

MR. ARRASCADA: Were you able to listen to other's opinions? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: When you were able to listen to others, did it ever lead to you questioning your opinions and being able to participate in a discussion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I would -- if they would say something that kind of I wasn't sure of and it kind of sounded like, wow, that kind of sounds good, I would actually break it down and think about it and talk to them about it and say, well, this is what I think, you know, and see if we could come to a mutual understanding on things. Does that make sense?

MR. ARRASCADA: It does.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: You know, just because maybe I don't feel the same way they do, but they're explaining something to me and I'm like, well, that kind of sounds right, but let me tell you what -- how I feel on this. And then, you know, they're like, well, I didn't see it that way. And so we would basically come to an understanding on, you know -- we would work as a team to basically come to an understanding on things.

MR. ARRASCADA: And if you are on this jury, would you be there to make sure everyone else -- it sounds like you guys did a [inaudible] --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- listen and discuss.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes, make sure everybody's voice is

heard. Definitely. 2 MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And I -- I would assume you wouldn't let 3 someone bully you around. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. 5 MR. ARRASCADA: Or anyone else. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. MR. ARRASCADA: And you mentioned your father was a Chicago cop. 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Correct. 9 MR. ARRASCADA: And he's now been involved here in Las Vegas for 10 the past 30 years working at the prison, casinos, and security. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Right. MR. ARRASCADA: And because of your father's law enforcement 12 background and also the security background, do you have any biases or 13 opinions from being around him that if someone is accused of a crime they're 14 15 guilty? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No, not at all. 17 MR. ARRASCADA: Explain that to me. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm a very open-minded person. I 18 19 just -- I don't ever want to believe people are guilty unless I hear everything. I 20 don't like thinking like that. You know, I like to hear the facts, if I can, and base my assumption on that. I don't -- you know, just because somebody says that 21 22 they're guilty doesn't necessarily mean they are. 23 MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you very much. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Oh, you're welcome. 24 THE COURT: Ms. Ryeczyk, in a minute I'm going to have you exit the 25

1	courtroom
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Sure.
3	THE COURT: and have a seat back out in the hallway.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Okay.
5	THE COURT: But before I do it, I must direct you to please not discuss
6	what's just transpired in the courtroom, meaning our questions and your answers
7	and what not with the other prospective jurors.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No problem.
9	THE COURT: All right. Thank you and go ahead and go back out
10	through the double doors and just have a seat out there in the hallway.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Okay. Thank you.
12	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13	(Prospective juror No. 58 exits courtroom)
14	THE COURT: All right. State's third.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, the State would thank and excuse I guess
16	he's not here, so it don't matter Mr. O'Neill in seat 10.
17	THE COURT: All right. Would you bring in Mr. O'Neill from seat 10.
18	You just have something against New Yorkers; don't you, Mr.
19	DiGiacomo?
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: He was born there, I lived next to there, we all know
21	better.
22	THE COURT: You from Jersey?
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm from Jersey.
24	MR. GENTILE: What exit?
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: 172, my friend. Way up top.
	1

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -145-

01639

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -148THE COURT: Ever been to one?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Any reason at all, anything in your background or your family background that would impact you ability to be completely fair and impartial to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

State, you may question Ms. Lopez.

MR. PESCI: Thank you.

Ma'am, I apologize if Her Honor asked this then I'll -- then stop me. But has anyone -- either you or someone closely associated with you ever been the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. PESCI: And on the flip side, has anyone, you or anyone associated with you ever been accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. PESCI: Do you have any strong feelings one way or the other about firearms?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I don't like them, but I realize sometimes there is a purpose for them like if you go hunting or maybe a person feels that they need one, need some kind of a weapon for self defense or home invasion or -- but I personally, you know, don't like them.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And with that personal feeling in mind, would you hold it against either side in this case the fact that there is going to be some testimony about gunshot wounds and people dying by a gun?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -149-

something that you would hold against them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. PESCI: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Who would like to go next? Ms. Armeni?

MS. ARMENI: Sure, Your Honor.

Good afternoon, Ms. Lopez.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Hello.

MS. ARMENI: Ms. Lopez, what is it about your life experiences or what you do -- well, let's -- let me actually make it a little bit more specific. What is it about your job that you have right now that you think would make you a good juror on this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I -- in my job I have to be -- I'm organized. I have to pay attention to detail on account of making sure that the price is correct on the signs. I have to take care of any discrepancies that could be -- you know, a customer finds, say, an item compared to the sign, if it's, you know, incorrect then they call me to come and correct it. So I have to, you know, be, I'd say, organized and know what I'm doing. And I take a lot of pride in my job because I know I get audited every month or every week and then we have a different company come quarterly, an outside company come and audit, and I always get 100 percent on my --

MS. ARMENI: That's great.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: -- pricing.

MS. ARMENI: Congratulations.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Thanks.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -152-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -153-

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Hello.

MR. ADAMS: If someone said I'm going to kill so and so, what's your reaction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Well, it would depend on the person. If I knew the person or knew the situation, you know, like sometimes, you know, like at work you hear somebody say, oh, I'm going to -- I could kill that person or I could, you know -- I think it just depends on knowing the person, the situation.

MR. ADAMS: Have you ever heard somebody say something like that? I'm going to hit him, I'm going to -- and they actually go hit them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. Not in the Army?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ADAMS: Never any of that off base?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ADAMS: All right. What year did you enlist?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: 1969.

MR. ADAMS: And why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Because my --

MR. ADAMS: Why for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: At that time my parents were in the process of a divorce and I didn't know what I, you know, wanted to do with my life. And my dad was the one, actually, that suggested that I go into the military. And at that time it wasn't something, you know, that a woman did. And -- and so I said, well, I'll give it a try, and I liked it.

MR. ADAMS: Was there -- was there a possibility that you would've

	Ш
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	- 11

been sent to Vietnam?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ADAMS: Because they weren't sending women over?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: They were. They were sending mainly nurses, and I was a dental assistant.

MR. ADAMS: If there is -- if you hear that somebody is having an affair, what sort of reaction do you have to that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: My hair stands on the back of my neck.

MR. ADAMS: Can you tell me a little more?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Well, the reason is because that's why my -- I am no longer married is because -- well, I've been married twice, divorced twice, and both times it was because my ex-husbands had affairs.

MR. ADAMS: Do you -- I can't imagine those either time were pleasant so I'm not trying to -- to ask a stupid question, and if it comes across as stupid I apologize. Do you fault your husband or your husband and the person they were having an affair with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Actually, I kind of fault both of them.

At first like I would fault the -- the woman, but then the second time it was like,
well, it was my -- my ex-husband. But still I kind of held -- held a grudge against
the woman.

MR. ADAMS: Did -- in that situation, did they know that your husband was married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.

MR. ADAMS: And did they know you?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -155-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -156-

between those two?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Decide between them being a -MR. ADAMS: How would you assess whether somebody or figure out
whether somebody was in a hotspot but telling the truth maybe to help
themselves out or whether they were lying to help themselves out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I'd have to hear everything, you know, the whole situation about why this person was an informant or why -- like say maybe to -- to save their own skin.

MR. ADAMS: What do you think about the criminal justice system? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: It's fair.

MR. ADAMS: You think so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Yes.

MR. ADAMS: Have you heard of cases where you think people have gotten it wrong?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Sometimes watching the news or newspaper.

MR. ADAMS: And why do you think that happens?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Well, either that there isn't enough evidence, mainly that. A lot of times it seems like it was accident -- accidental. Like, you know, you hear about these kids being left in the car and then nothing happens to the -- the parents and, oh, I forgot.

MR. ADAMS: Do you feel like sometimes people get off who are guilty? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I'm sure it happens.

MR. ADAMS: Do you think people are -- do you have any feelings about whether you think the system is -- punishes too strongly or not strongly enough?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -157-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I have no opinion.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. Let me look at my notes for a second. Pardon me. If -- well, let me ask you. You served in a burglary trial. Did the defendant testify in that case, the person accused of the crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: I don't remember.

MR. ADAMS: Do you -- do you have any feelings about whether someone should testify or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No, I have no opinion.

MR. ADAMS: And if the law is that you couldn't hold that against them --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ADAMS: -- would you think they're a coward if they don't testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: No.

MR. ADAMS: What do you think your reaction would be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: That they didn't need to testify because all the evidence has already been brought out.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. Lopez, thank you. In a moment I'm going to have you exit the courtroom with Officer Wooten and have a seat back out in the hallway. Please don't discuss what's transpired in the courtroom, our questions or our discussions with any of the other potential jurors.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And follow Officer Wooten out into the hallway.

(Prospective juror No. 61 exits courtroom)

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -158-

MR. GENTILE: Hey Frank.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -160-

1	And, Jeff, if you could bring in David Wallace.
2	THE MARSHAL: David Wallace?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	And, Ms. Lopez, you might have to get processed through
5	downstairs, but then you're free to go.
6	(Prospective juror No. 61 exits courtroom)
7	(Off-record colloquy)
8	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, may we approach?
9	THE COURT: You may.
10	Mr. Wallace, just have a seat somewhere in the box there, please,
11	sir.
12	(Conference at the bench)
13	THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, what do you do for a living, sir?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I teach high school.
15	THE COURT: All right. And are you in the Clark County School District?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I am.
17	THE COURT: What subject matter do you teach?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: History and government.
19	THE COURT: History and government. And what school do you work
20	at?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Las Vegas High School.
22	THE COURT: The new Las Vegas High School?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No, the old Las Vegas High School in
24	the new building.
25	THE COURT: I oh, okay. There you go. How long have you been a
	JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -161-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -162-

1 years I have been called. I have never sat through three days of a voir dire. I've 2 been in the box once on a capital murder case, but they -- they asked me to leave. 4 THE COURT: They did? Now, did they ask you to leave for cause, or 5 did they ask you to leave just when they when they went through the challenges? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah, they didn't give me a reason. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Do you remember -- well, I'm not going to even ask 8 that. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Do I remember the case? 10 THE COURT: How long ago was that? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It was in the '90s. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So quite awhile ago. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah, but it was -- it was a police 13 14 cadet officer who was convicted -- well, he was accused of murder and his two 15 attorneys, I recall, got him off. Palazzo and Tony Sgro, I think his name is. 16 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Palazzo kicked me off, though. 17 18 THE COURT: Oh, it was Palazzo that kicked you off. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes, it was. 20 THE COURT: All right. I was once called for jury duty, and the two sides 21 fought over who got to kick me off, so -- did you feel disappointed? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: You know, I did. My feelings were 23 kind of hurt. It was like, what did I do, you know, what did I say? I answered two 24 questions. I teach government and, you know, I have nothing to do for the 25 summer, something like that.

had to answer yes to?

THE COURT: Okay. So you were disappointed?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I would say yeah. It's a good learning experience for me.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you -- you obviously know the outcome of the case. How is that? Did you follow it in the news or did you call the court or what did you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It was all over the news. I followed it.
THE COURT: All right. You heard me ask the other jurors if they'd been victims of crime or had family members victimized or had been accused of a crime or had family members accused of a crime. Any of that that you would've

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah. I've -- I've had family members accused of crimes, there's been crimes committed, there was a burglary in my house. I have -- I've been teaching for 20 years. I have students convicted of crimes. I've had students have crimes committed against them.

THE COURT: Okay. And now when you say family members accused of crimes, any close family members?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: A nephew.

THE COURT: A nephew?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah.

THE COURT: And what -- what crime or crimes has he been accused?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: You know, I couldn't even tell you. I asked him. I don't think he was telling the truth. It's in the courts now.

THE COURT: Okay. The courts here in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. So you haven't personally followed his case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No, I have not. I think it's only -- I think March is the court date.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you know if that's a date for trial or a preliminary hearing or do you have --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It's got to be a preliminary hearing.

THE COURT: Okay. Because of the recency of the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, as a high school teacher, and I'm not that familiar with the old Las Vegas High School in the new location, but is there -- is that a high school that has a gang problem?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: We are an inner city school. We have gangs.

THE COURT: Okay. If you know, are any of your students or do you believe any of your students are involved in gangs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: None that -- I don't believe that any of my students are in a street gang. I have -- I have students that are taggers and tagger gangs. I've had students in the past in gangs. But I don't think I have any this year.

THE COURT: Okay. And what gangs are -- have a presence at the Las Vegas High School?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It's the same that everybody else has mentioned. We might have -- we might have some 28th Street up there. There's Filipinos. There may -- I think they're the -- I don't know if they're the Pinoy Boys, maybe not. They may be there.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -165-

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I don't know. I generally have good students and they're generally not involved in that. And I generally keep my head down and mind my own business.

THE COURT: All right. Now, again, you've heard for the two days that you were in here listening. All of the questions I've asked and the lawyers have asked and the discussions we've had with the other jurors, anything based on all of that that you think we would be interested in knowing about you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I don't think so. I think -- I think I'd be a pretty good juror.

THE COURT: Okay. And why is that? Why do you think -- why do you feel like you'd be a pretty good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: A, I have a willingness to do it. There are -- I sat out, you know, with my prospective members and it's just unbelievable the amount of excuses that are being formulated to get out of this.

THE COURT: I'm sure as a government teacher you can appreciate the importance of it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I have never -- I have never once tried to get out of it, so -- and I have a good student teacher right now, so I got -- I'm good to go.

THE COURT: So no distractions? You'd be able to give us your undivided attention?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I -- I would be. I don't -- I don't know that I can actually be good for one side or the other, but --

THE COURT: Well, we don't want one that's good for the State or good

for the defense. We just want people who are fair to both sides.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah, I think that's -- I could do that. And of course everybody would say that absolutely, but I believe it. I -- I've gone through all the questions, I've listened to every one of them. You know, have I ever been afraid? Yes. How do I act when I'm afraid? Depends on the context, you know, if I take, you know, flight or fight. I'll flight when I can, I'll -- you know, I've fought, you know, when I had to or I acted like I would if I had to. Everything is in context. Everything is time, place, and circumstance.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: To answer these questions again, I can do it, I don't mind doing it.

THE COURT: Okay. And finally I'm going to ask you, what are your feelings about strip clubs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I don't -- I've been at them. You know, I'm kind of mixed about it. I know they provide an income for some girls, but I also know that these clubs, there's a lot of drug use and there's a lot of -- they're a front for prostitution in many cases, and I -- I feel bad for the girls to a certain extent that are there that are stuck in that situation.

There are some that do -- that play the game well and they -- they work the business well and they make money. And others, others it's a sad spot, but you can say that for a lot of businesses too.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you respect, I guess, the right of these businesses to exist as long as they comply with whatever business licensing and other --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah, I have no problem with it.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -169-

that.

those are the kinds of ones we want to hear about.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No, not -- not at the moment outside.

MR. PESCI: Okay. So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I'm -- I'm kind of just reading my book and doing crosswords and minding my own business.

MR. PESCI: So are the excuses, the ones you've heard that we've all heard here in court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: There's others.

MR. PESCI: Okay. Tell us about those.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: If I don't -- let me see here. I can answer these questions like I don't know what I'm talking about, like the one poor gentleman, the poor Filipino gentleman that obviously had trouble with the language. There is others such as -- I really -- I need to -- I can't miss anymore work, it costs me \$20 each day to come here, to get here in transportation, I got to get out of here. I'll just -- you know, it just -- that kind of stuff.

MR. PESCI: Has anybody talked about how they may -- may vote?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No. There's -- there's not a whiff of

MR. PESCI: All right. You talked about your class, and in your class I think you put it in the context of this year you don't think that you have any gang members.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah, right.

MR. PESCI: Now, is your class an elective or is it a mandatory class, or the classes I should say.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I teach -- I teach a program at Las

1	Vegas. I'm the director of what's called the PAL program, Partnership of Las
2	Vegas. And it's juniors and seniors only and they go to school four days a week
3	in a classroom setting with a team of teachers. I'm one of them. I'm one of six.
4	And on Wednesdays, in lieu of classroom experience, they intern somewhere in
5	the community for six to eight hours unpaid. So they have to kind of apply to get
6	into the program.
7	MR. PESCI: Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: And they go through an interview
9	process. Yesterday I had three students downstairs interning in this building.
10	MR. PESCI: But have you had or do you know of other gang members in
11	the high school, not necessarily in your classes?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Do I know them personally?
13	MR. PESCI: No, I don't mean that way. Do you know of
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I do know
15	MR. PESCI: there being students that have
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: of the existence of gang members
17	in the in the school, yes.
18	MR. PESCI: And you still go to work?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Everyday.
20	MR. PESCI: Even knowing that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah.
22	MR. PESCI: Okay. Do you have any problems with the burden of proof
23	being on the State to prove
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.
25	MR. PESCI: beyond a reasonable doubt? Okay. Any problems with if

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -171-

1	a defendant chooses not to testify?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.
3	MR. PESCI: You wouldn't hold that against him?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.
5	MR. PESCI: Okay. Thank you very much, sir.
6	THE COURT: All right. Who would like to go next? Mr. Gentile?
7	MR. GENTILE: Mr. Wallace, there's a couple things you said that I need
8	to probe a little bit.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Sure.
10	MR. GENTILE: Okay? Because it wasn't so much what you said, but
11	the words, your choice of words and they can mean a lot of things.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Okay.
13	MR. GENTILE: Okay. You talked about Tony Sgro and Louie Palazzo
14	kicking you off a jury.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah.
16	MR. GENTILE: And when you when you talked about the case you
17	said they got the guy off.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yep.
19	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Now, I didn't hear you say that the jury must've
20	felt there wasn't enough evidence to have these people found guilty. I heard you
21	say they got the guy off.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
23	MR. GENTILE: To me, candidly, that's attitude.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Okay.
25	MR. GENTILE: Okay? So tell me about it. Why'd you use those words?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -172-

1	MR. GENTILE: From the club?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah.
3	MR. GENTILE: During a shift?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: After hours.
5	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: For money.
7	MR. GENTILE: What club are we talking about?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: For money.
9	MR. GENTILE: Are we talking about the Palomino Club?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No. This these guys frequent the
11	Spearmint Rhino.
12	MR. GENTILE: Did you hear that?
13	All right. And this is recent I take it?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah.
15	MR. GENTILE: Spearmint Rhino is not that old. It's not in business that
16	long. All right. So when you say you know, you know because friends of yours
17	have told you that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
19	MR. GENTILE: Okay. And they have credibility with you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: They do, in fact, have credibility with
21	me.
22	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Could they have been lying to me?
24	Yeah. I doubt it, but they could've.
25	MR. GENTILE: All right. But but but given the fact that it isn't that

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -175-

01669

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -176-

in contact with each other.

Academy for the Performing Arts. I don't know. You know, probably --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: That would be West Side Story gang. MR. GENTILE: Yeah, right. THE COURT: That's what I was going to say. MR. GENTILE: Right. But -- but -- but you know where I'm coming from. Probably every school in town except maybe that one has a real gang. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah. MR. GENTILE: Here's -- let's talk about gang violence in your school. Do you have that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Our school doesn't have a big problem with that. Violence occurs, we have two full time school police officers on campus and they do a pretty good job. We are overcrowded, and there are times when the problems are worse than others. They do a pretty good job of culling it out and -- and preventing it. They do. They do a really good job. So it exists, but it's not -- it's not where I go to work, you know, it's not my job. MR. GENTILE: So you don't come in contact with it, you yourself personally? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Well, I -- I see them every day. I'm walking past them in the hall. MR. GENTILE: Oh, I don't mean the gangsters. I'm talking about the violence. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Oh, the violence? No because it's --23 it's rarely in the hallways. It's usually where there's a congregation. Usually 24 down at lunch time or right after school where they meet together and then come

MR. GENTILE: Okay. And at least in terms of your personal involvement in it, it's really sort of incidental, if I understand it correctly. It's not within your job description to be dealing with [inaudible]; am I right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Drugs. And now I'm not talking about your high school. I'm talking about your life experience. Okay? Have you had anybody in your life, and you'd be a rare person if you didn't, that used drugs, recreational drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.

MR. GENTILE: When I use that terminology, what comes to your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Recreational drugs? Well --

MR. GENTILE: I probably don't even need to use the word recreational.

I guess what I'm saying is any -- to me there's a difference between medicine

and drugs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: Okay? So I'm using the word drugs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I have friends and associates and family members who have abused all kinds of drugs. And you say we all know them. I have friends that are -- friends that smoke pot still to this day in their -- in their 40s on almost a daily basis. I've had people that have been into cocaine. Students that have had drug problems from an early age and they -- and that -- you know, I've had students on heroin, I've had students taking crystal meth. It's 20 years of dealing, you know, of being in this town, being a teacher, being around these people, growing up in this town. Yeah, there's been a lot of that.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Do you feel -- well, I'm going to rephrase that too.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -180-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -181-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -182-

1	used again, as similar to what Mr. Gentile did. When the Judge asked you would
2	you be, I guess, a fair juror or a good juror to both sides, you said a pretty good
3	juror, and then she started asking you the next question, and I really thought you
4	were about to put a but in there. Was I right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No. I don't I don't even remember.
6	MR. ADAMS: All right. Why did you say a pretty good juror?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Well, I don't I don't really know what
8	a great juror does. I've not been in a jury before, so I'm not going to say that I'm
9	the greatest juror ever.
10	MR. ADAMS: Well
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I'm also not going to say I'm a lousy
12	juror. I'm a pretty good juror I would imagine.
13	MR. ADAMS: If a student asks you, Mr. Wallace, what's a great juror in
14	your class, what do you tell them?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Same things you've been telling
16	everybody here. You know, to be fair and impartial, to to weigh the evidence.
17	MR. ADAMS: And what's your idea of the proof beyond a reasonable
18	doubt? And I'm not asking you to write out a jury charge, but what's your idea of
19	the level of proof and whether you think it's a good thing or not.
20	MR. PESCI: Judge, I'm going to object to that.
21	THE COURT: Yeah.
22	MR. ADAMS: I'll rephrase.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. ADAMS: Keeping in mind you'll be instructed at the end
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Sure.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -184-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No. Hold it, which system?

MR. ADAMS: The criminal justice system.

THE COURT: Judicial system, the justice system.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: You're talking about the juror, they jury in that case --

MR. ADAMS: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: -- got it wrong? I would say from what I know, without having sat through all of it, based on my knowledge that, yeah, I think they got that one wrong.

MR. ADAMS: Is that the sort of risk we, as a system, should be able to tolerate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.

MR. ADAMS: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: You put your trust into a jury and you trust that they're going to make the best decision based on the evidence they have. It's -- it's a flawed system. It doesn't work on 100 percent of the cases, but, you know what, I'm going to take it every time over -- over somebody else sitting in judgment of me. I'd rather -- I'd rather we have people up here sitting in judgment, and I'll take my chances with that.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, for that. You had mentioned -- I think the first thing was about some of the jurors, their comments outside, and you indicated in three days of voir dire. Is there something about this voir dire process that seems --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.

MR. ADAMS: -- too short or too long or frustrating?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -187-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It's too -- those of us out there, it's very long, it's exceedingly boring, it's exceedingly frustrating because we don't quite know what's going on every time you sidebar. We don't know this stuff. And for those of us that are curious and want to know that stuff, it's frustrating.

MR. ADAMS: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Three days is a long time for a voir dire, I suppose, but in the conversations I've had, it's -- you've got to be careful. You got to take your time. There's -- there's people's lives on the line. And there's people that want justice and there's -- there's people that you're going to deliberate on. So there's disgruntlement, but I think when -- when we sit and talk about why they're going so long, then there's understanding too.

MR. ADAMS: People -- okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yeah. Yeah.

MR. ADAMS: Your understanding is it's a serious charge.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes, it's my understanding. My understanding is that now No. 68 on through 100 at 5:00, the Judge said at 5:00 she's going to have this jury picked.

THE COURT: No, I said if I -- I -- I may have said that. I said I promise we'll have a jury today.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, I don't believe I limited myself to 5:00.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: But I could be mistaken.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I won't -- mum's the word. I won't --

THE COURT: Yeah, I should've told people to pack a lunch, but --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -188-

702.635.0301 -189-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

1	was over Christmas break. I came back, hired a local attorney, he took care of it,
2	sent me the bill.
3	MR. ADAMS: All right. Took care of it meaning you entered a guilty plea
4	or it was dismissed?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: It was not dismissed. I believe I
6	believe I was guilty of DWAI.
7	MR. ADAMS: And you believe you were punished in some way by a
8	fine?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I was punished, yes. A few years of
10	worrying about it, feeling guilty, feeling lousy, paying the fine, having it on my
11	permanent record.
12	MR. ADAMS: Right.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Etcetera and so on. Yeah.
14	MR. ADAMS: You indicated there were guards in your school. Are they
15	armed?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Yes.
17	MR. ADAMS: Why?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Probably protect self-protection.
19	MR. ADAMS: Do you think it's necessary?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: I think that it could be necessary.
21	MR. ADAMS: You the other day everyone was asked if they knew
22	anything about the case and there weren't many details given about the case.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.
24	MR. ADAMS: Now that you've sat in and heard more questions about
25	the Palomino Club murder for hire, does anything ring a bell?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -190-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -191-

keeping up with them in terms of paying off whoever.

MR. ADAMS: I'm just about to the end, but I wanted to follow up on one last question you were asked. And I think you were asked could you be fair to both sides. And what I wrote down is you said, well, I know everyone says this, but yes. And that may not be verbatim, but --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Don't you get tired of hearing the same answer over and over and over?

MR. ADAMS: Yeah, well how? Well, most people do say that. How or why do you think you can be fair to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Well, for the same reason probably everybody else gave you. I'm going to look at the evidence and I'm going to determine it based on what the Judge says are our parameters for deciding guilt or innocence.

MR. ADAMS: Do you feel like you start leaning toward one side of the other, toward the prosecution or toward the defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: At the moment?

MR. ADAMS: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: No.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wallace, thank you very much. In a moment I'm going to have you exit the courtroom with Officer Wooten. Before I do -- and have a seat back out in the hallway. Before I do that, please don't discuss what's just transpired in the courtroom or our questions or discussions with any of your other --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -192-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Very good.
2	THE COURT: prospective jurors.
3	And, Officer Wooten, would you bring in Ms. Dendiu.
4	(Prospective juror No. 67 exits courtroom)
5	THE COURT: And, State, figure out your fourth.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: Are we going to tell are we staying, are we going,
7	are we
8	THE COURT: We're staying.
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Should we tell them since we promised them
10	they'd be gone at five?
11	THE COURT: I didn't promise them they'd be gone at five. That's a
12	bailiff issue. If they're concerned that they're here late, they can talk to the bailiff
13	about it.
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. My biggest concern is Mr. Avalone, but
15	THE COURT: Well, Mr I you know, Mr. DiGiacomo, I keep it all up
16	here. He's going to be called in at five if he hasn't been
17	Ms. Dendiu?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
19	THE COURT: We're going to go ahead and excuse you at this time.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Okay.
21	THE COURT: Thank you and Officer Wooten will direct you from the
22	courtroom.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: Officer Wooten, go ahead and direct her from the
25	courtroom, please.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -193-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -194-

who was struck three times in one week, I understand. It's inappropriate to align ourselves with --

THE COURT: With the jurors.

MR. PESCI: -- the jury. And I would ask that you instruct the defense to stop doing that.

MR. ADAMS: Rather than arguing, Your Honor, I'll say I'm sorry.

THE COURT: All right. You're sorry. Don't do it again.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: Mr. Avalone?

THE COURT: Bring in Mr. Avalone.

Mr. Avalone, I know that you have to leave at 5:00.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yeah.

THE COURT: So I'm about to excuse you for the evening, but I'm not excusing you permanently at this point in time. What I'm going to do is I'm going to ask that you take Officer Wooten's card. He's going to put a phone number on that, or there already is one. He's -- I guess he refuses to get business cards, so he's going to give you the card of the JEA and that'll have my department phone number and Officer Wooten is also going to write down his cell phone.

What I'd like you to do is tomorrow morning, between 8:00 and 8:30, call Officer Wooten and see whether or not and at what time you need to report back tomorrow. Okay? I'd also like for you to give Officer Wooten a telephone number where you can be reached.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: All right.

THE COURT: All right. If you have a cell phone, please give him that and your home phone number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. And you're excused for the evening. And the admonition, sir, is still in place for the evening recess not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with anybody else and not to read, watch, or listen to reports or commentaries on this case or anything relating to the case. Don't do any independent research, don't visit the location, and please don't form or express an opinion.

And Officer Wooten will give you his card and further instructions in the vestibule. All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: All right. Take care.

(Prospective juror No. 30 exits courtroom)

THE COURT: And it's Ms. Dietz is next. I should've told him to bring in Ms. Dietz before I told him to do that.

Did I say we -- I may have said we would be done by five.

MR. DIGIACOMO: You told them on the first day that we worked until five you said you have other lives. Then you told them yesterday you promise to have jury. And I was thinking to myself, wow, I don't know how those two are going to --

THE COURT: Ma'am, come on down and have a seat somewhere here in the jury box.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Okay.

THE COURT: And do you pronounce your name Dietz?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Dietz, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dietz, what do you do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I am a store director for Albertson's

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -196-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -197-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -199-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -201-

1	MR. PESCI: So you were never given any jury instructions in that civil
2	case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. No.
4	THE COURT: All right. May I see counsel at the bench, please?
5	(Conference at the bench)
6	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dietz, we're going to interrupt you for just
7	one minute, but stay right there.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Not a problem.
9	THE COURT: And, Jeff, would you bring in Ms. Bonds.
10	Ms. Bonds?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Come on just you can just stand there at the railing. I
13	understand from my bailiff that you have to pick your children up; is that right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No, it's a prior engagement.
15	THE MARSHAL: The the first one is Safekey, this one has a prior
16	engagement.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Oh, okay. You put them all together.
18	I thought it was one person.
19	THE MARSHAL: Oh, okay. Sorry. It was two different people.
20	THE COURT: All right. You have a prior engagement? And what is
21	that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: It's there is the employee of the
23	year event at Bellagio and I'm supposed to attend tonight.
24	THE COURT: It's the what?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: The employee of the year event at

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -202-

1	Bellagio. It's a yearly event for MGM Mirage.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And I'm planning I'm planning to
4	attend.
5	THE COURT: What time is that event?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: It starts at 6:00.
7	THE COURT: You're not the employee of the year, are you?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: No, but I'm I'm one of the guests
9	that was mentioned.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: If I miss much, I don't care.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it was all on the same note, so I thought you
13	were the childcare person.
14	I'll see counsel back up here.
15	(Conference at the bench)
16	THE COURT: First, Ms. Dietz I'm sorry. No, not you.
17	Ms. Bonds.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Here's I can't let you go right now without questioning
20	you. I'm going to have you have a seat back outside in the hallway and we'll get
21	to you just as soon as we can.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Okay.
23	THE COURT: And you may not be right on time for your event, but
24	you you will be able to get there at some point this evening.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Okay. Thank you.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -203-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -204-

1 work. She works the night shift. So I don't have any transportation. 2 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to go ahead and excuse you for this 3 evening, but not from jury service or coming back. I'm going to have you give 4 your home phone number as well as your cell phone number to Officer Wooten. 5 Officer Wooten is also going to give you a card that has my department number 6 and his cell phone on it. I want you to call at 8:00 a.m. tomorrow morning for 7 further instructions on when you have to report. Okay? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Okay. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: You're welcome. 11 (Prospective juror No. 90 exits courtroom) 12 THE COURT: And Mr. Pesci, I'm sorry we interrupted you, but you may 13 resume --14 MR. PESCI: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: -- your questioning of Ms. Dietz. MR. PESCI: Remember where we were? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: You were talking about the last jury I 17 served on and if we had -- if we got -- had gotten judge's instructions. 18 19 MR. PESCI: And you had not. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. 21 MR. PESCI: Okay. Thank you very much for telling us about your --22 your sister. The question I wanted to ask, you said that there were warrants that 23 she was arrested on? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Uh-huh. 25 MR. PESCI: Is that a yes?

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -205

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Thank you. Do you know did the state of Nevada ever prosecute her on any of those warrants as far as you know, the District Attorney's office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: That I do not know.

MR. PESCI: The experience with your sister, do you think that that would affect your assessment of the witnesses and the evidence in a way that would be detrimental to either side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No, I do not. I think that she made personal choices in her life and, unfortunately, those choices that she made were her choices.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Who would like to go next? Mr. Arrascada, thank you.

MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you.

Ms. Dietz, you mentioned --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- mentioned you're a store director for Albertson's.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes, I am.

MR. ARRASCADA: Explain your position to me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I basically am over the entire operation. I have 76 associates that are underneath me, seven department heads that are closest to me, so I'm in charge of the whole operation.

MR. ARRASCADA: Is that multiple stores or is that one?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No, I just have one location that I'm in charge of.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -206-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -207-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -208PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: There was many discussions had. There was evidence that was brought back in. There was reports brought back in, read back to us to make sure that everybody was clear on their decisions that they were making.

MR. ARRASCADA: And were your feelings that -- that that was the right way to go about it or the wrong way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I feel it as the right way to go about it because I think that everybody, when they're making that type of decision, needs to be very confident in the decision that they're making. And if that means that they need to have some part of a testimony read back to them, that means that they have to look at the evidence again, then that's what they need to do to be confident with their own decision.

MR. ARRASCADA: If you're on this jury --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- would you -- would you be able to make sure those same careful deliberations and deliberate and questions are going on in this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: And would you be okay with letting us know, the lawyers and the Judge, if they weren't going well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I'd have no problem with that.

MR. ARRASCADA: You've been sitting here, I know, for three days and we've asked --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- similar or same questions quite a bit. And I'd like

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -209-

1 to know your answer from your own heart and your mind. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Okay. 3 MR. ARRASCADA: You've heard --4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I -- I'm -- I'm sorry, Ms. Dietz. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: That's okay. 6 THE COURT: I'll see the lawyers up here at the bench please. 7 (Conference at the bench) 8 THE COURT: Jeff, bring in the rest of the jurors please. 9 Ms. Dietz, I'm going to make you stay since you were kind enough to 10 say --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: That's fine. 12 THE COURT: -- you could. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I understand. 14 (Prospective jury panel enters at 5:11 p.m.) 15 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen --16 And if the lawyers can sit down so I can see everyone. 17 -- I had promised you yesterday that we would have a jury today. It 18 was my intention to stay as long as we needed today so that we could pick a jury 19 and those people who weren't going to be on the jury would not have to come back for a fourth day. So that's why I wanted to work past five so we could get it 20 21 done. 22 However, because of the way the process works, it's important that 23 we go in a certain order. My bailiff, as you may have seen, a few people had child care issues. My bailiff informs me that five or six people have come up to 24 25 him and told him that staying past 5:00 is a problem and that they cannot stay

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -210-

past 5:00. Therefore, I'm going to have to excuse everyone reluctantly. I do have one question for Ms. Garhardt who would be next. Where is Ms. Garhardt?

Ms. Garhardt, would you be able to stay past five today, or -- well, it's already past five, or would you prefer not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I would prefer tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Basically I'm going to then remind everyone of the admonition not to discuss this case. That means anything about the case or the questionings or the reasonings behind the questioning. Please don't speculate as you're walking to the parking lot or anything else about why we're asking you certain questions.

Once again, don't read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentaries on any subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research. Don't visit any of the locations in question, and please don't form or express an opinion. We will be reconvening at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning.

Now, for those individuals who are seated in the jury box and the individuals who have already been through the individual questioning in court, I'd like those individuals, unless you have to leave right away, I'd like for those individuals to remain in the hallway and to provide phone numbers for where you can be reached this evening and tomorrow morning to my bailiff, Officer Wooten. Everyone else, leave and come back at 9:00 a.m.

Does anyone have any questions?

Yes, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: So do -- I can volunteer to stay?

THE COURT: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay.

THE COURT: Because, again, we have to go in order.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Okay. I'm just volunteering.

THE COURT: Well, thank you and I appreciate it.

All right. If no one has any other --

Yes, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Is there any way we can make it 12:30?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 004: I -- I put him up to it. It works better for my job.

THE COURT: You know what, and I'm sorry, but it doesn't work better for -- no, the -- I mean, obviously this is taking a really long time. And the reason we've had late starts is because the Court has had crowded calendars.

Tomorrow I don't have a calendar, so I really can't justify a late -- a late start.

And, you know, you may be excused tomorrow, and for those people who are

And, you know, you may be excused tomorrow, and for those people who are going to be excused, I'd rather do it sooner rather than later. I apologize. Like I said, I did promise you. I broke my promise, and I blame Officer Wooten.

All right. If everyone please --

Yes, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: One more question. Do you have an approximate time of what time we're going to leave tomorrow, or is it going to go to 5:00?

THE COURT: Well, basically, no, we're not going to go -- I mean, if you're on the jury we'll go until 5:00. If you're excused, it'll be just as soon as you can be excused. And once again, for those people who were in the box, the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -212-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -213-

assuming it all comes up to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes, it does.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Does -- how -- how do you go -- how do you know when the associate is not -- not -- not serious about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: We have been trained to literally have a process about it. And what I mean by that is we sit down and we talk to each individual associate that's involved. They write down statements because their statement right there on the piece of paper is really clear as to the frame of mind that they're in at that time.

And then we just sit down with each associate and we distinguish if the threat is a possibility or not a possibility by asking all the right questions, the what, where, when, how, why. And then we distinguish from there. If we do feel that there is a threat and the threat is pertinent, then we call in our loss prevention and our human resources to come in to further investigate.

MR. ARRASCADA: Have -- have you ever had a situation where maybe one of the associates didn't want to write down what they perceived happened or what they said they did?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: What do you do in that situation under your protocol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: And then in that type of situation we have verbal dialogue with that associate and we actually write the statement, on this date at this time we spoke to this associate and detail out what we found in our findings.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Have you ever had where you go to the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -214-

verbal dialogue and the associate says I don't want to talk about it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I've had that.

MR. ARRASCADA: What do you do then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: And then at that point, then we sit there and we have to basically explain to that associate why we're concerned about it. We explain to them why they can't go around and make those type of threats and so forth. If at that time that associate's demeanor is still way off balance with us, then we still call in our loss prevention and HR at that time to deal with it.

MR. ARRASCADA: Because the associate won't talk to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Is that held against them under the protocol? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No, it is not.

MR. ARRASCADA: So is there other ways that you would go about trying to determine was there serious -- were they serious, were they not, what would you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, that's where the second party comes in as well. How the second party tells you what's going on can sort of put you in the mindset of what that first party, how it was taken and so forth. And, you know, then going to that second party, do you really feel that was a threat to you and so forth.

MR. ARRASCADA: And would I be correct, your -- Albertson's, the store has security cameras?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Absolutely.

MR. ARRASCADA: And would that be something, going to the tape, so

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -215to speak, that you'd look at to see are these people serious or did this happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: We go to the tapes quite often for all sorts of things from sexual harassment cases to thievery type cases and so forth.

MR. ARRASCADA: I'm sorry. How long have you been the store director for that location?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I've been with the company for 24 years, but I've been a store director for them for five, and I've been in management since I was 22.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And is that protocol that you've been talking with us about, is that something that you would -- would -- would that be something you'd bring into the jury room or is that something you could set aside and go with whatever the law -- the protocol you're given from the Judge?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes, I could do the protocol from the Judge. That is just basically how I would handle a situation, the question that you asked me, in my work environment.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. What I'm trying to find out, and help me here please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Sure.

MR. ARRASCADA: Is that so engrained within you that you're -- you've been there for 20-plus years and management since you were 22. Is that so engrained with you that that would be a bias -- a thought process bias you would go through in order to reach conclusions or decisions regarding evidence or whether somebody testifies or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No, I don't believe so. I do think that I make decisions all day long in my job and it's how it's presented to me, all of the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -216facts and so forth that come forth to me to make the decisions of what needs to happen further.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: And if that was something that I had to weigh from the Judge as far as how she was going to give me the law of how I needed to follow it, then that's how I would follow it.

MR. ARRASCADA: Give me just one moment. Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Not a problem.

MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you.

You -- you very openly shared the situation with your sister.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MR. ARRASCADA: Do you feel at all that the system, the criminal justice system somehow failed with your sister or for your family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No, I don't. I feel just the opposite.

MR. ARRASCADA: Why is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I feel that the system, meaning child protective services stepped in, and I felt that for what I needed to get done, because of course my first concern was for my niece and nephew who were quite young at this time, three and two years old, that they helped me get custody of those children. When I mean help, meaning giving me all the right avenues to take so that I could do that.

MR. ARRASCADA: And with your experience through that, with child protective services and then also your experience twice being a juror, but once actually being a part of a jury that deliberated in reaching a verdict --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Right.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -217-

MR. ARRASCADA: -- do you feel that our system is fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I do feel the system is fair.

MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: You're very welcome.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Arrascada.

Ms. Armeni.

MS. ARMENI: Last questioner. Ms. Dietz, Mr. Arrascada talked to you a little bit about your job, so I just want to follow up a little bit.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Sure.

MS. ARMENI: When you make -- when you make a decision --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: -- if you realize that afterwards that maybe you made the wrong decision, can you fix that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Absolutely we can fix it.

MS. ARMENI: And how -- how do you fix it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: If it is -- well, I guess when I make the decision, that decision is not written concrete in stone until everything is presented to our loss prevention or our HR, and then they come down and say, okay, this is the disciplinary action we need to take. If it is -- and that's a serious offense from thievery to dishonestly or breaking a company policy. And most of the time it is a slap on the hand, which is a verbal write up or a written warning.

If we're going into something that is more of a suspension or a termination, that is taken very heavily by our company and they, before rendering that, will really investigate it out further. But if there is something, let's say, of -- not of that offense and I have two associates or an associate that I made a

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -218-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -219-

way that I was brought up. It's just not something that -- it's not something that I would frequent or something that I would go to or something that, you know, if I had friends in the industry, which could happen here in Las Vegas, I wouldn't hold that against them or -- or that's their choice to do that type of job. As far as me going and seeking out that kind of entertainment, it -- it's not for me.

MS. ARMENI: And that's what I wanted to clear up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yeah.

MS. ARMENI: Because you had said, you know, I really don't have an issue with it --

PROSPECTIVE JURUR NO. 068: Right.

MS. ARMENI: -- but I wanted to -- I mean, you understand, obviously -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Absolutely.

MS. ARMENI: -- we may have employees of the Palomino Club that are going to come in here. And would you have a problem with them just for the simple fact that they're an employee of a strip club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Nope. That was the job that they chose.

MS. ARMENI: And I don't mean to pry. Your sister, what was her profession or job or did she have something that she normally did?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: She worked for the MGM Grand as a slot attendant, I guess. And she also worked for Terrible Herbst in their vault. And then she became unemployed and she was living in my home for quite a long time as I was the bread winner.

MS. ARMENI: Any experience with lawyers? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -220-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -221-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- because you've been very willing to serve and I really appreciate that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

(Prospective juror No. 68 exits courtroom)

MR. DIGIACOMO: That is my definition of the perfect juror, and they kick her.

THE COURT: You know who I thought was great, who would be a tremendous government teacher, was Mr. Wallace.

MR. GENTILE: We didn't kick him.

MS. ARMENI: We didn't kick him.

MR. DIGIACOMO: But he's going to get --

THE COURT: I'm expecting you might. But I think -- I mean, I think as a government, high school government teacher, he just seems like he would be a great teacher.

MR. GENTILE: Yeah, he does.

THE COURT: In any event -- huh?

MR. GENTILE: He does look -- you know that's exactly the problem.

THE COURT: I know. That's why I'm expecting you to kick him.

MR. DIGIACOMO: The truth shall set you free.

THE COURT: All right. Just so you know, I kind of changed what I -- I realized it was stupid to make everybody that's been interviewed sit here from 9:00 on. So what we're doing is the people who haven't been interviewed are coming back at 9:00. And the people who have been interviewed were told to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -225-

chew my leg off when I do this, but I just think it's the right thing to do. We are 2 going to file with the Court at this point our trial memorandum. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 MR. GENTILE: It is redacted. What I did was, those things that I did not 5 want to reveal in terms of our -- our defense theory and certain facts, I have 6 redacted by highlighting them in black so that they can't be read. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. GENTILE: There's never been an impression on here, so you won't 9 be able to see it at all. And I will serve one on the State right now. How many do 10 you need for your file? 11 THE COURT: Obviously -- Mr. Adams, do you have a copy of the brief? 12 MR. ADAMS: I'm fine. I'll -- I'll waive. 13 THE COURT: You've seen it and -- all right. 14 (Off-record colloquy) 15 MR. DIGIACOMO: Can we address something? It doesn't have to be --16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 MR. DIGIACOMO: -- on the record, but --18 MS. ARMENI: Are we on the record? 19 THE RECORDER: We are. 20 THE COURT: We are right now. 21 MR. DIGIACOMO: I think there needs to be two rulings before my 22 opening as I was putting it together last night. So one of which I think maybe the 23 subject of one of the portions of his brief according to Mr. Gentile, the other one 24 is something we've already erased. And I'd just tell the Court generally what they 25 are, not that you need to discuss them now, but I do need between the time of

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -226-

your ruling and the time you actually require me to open, approximately an hour depending on what your ruling is as to one of those motions.

And the first is whether or not the position of Louis Hidalgo III is, because I think I know what Mr. Louis Hidalgo, Jr.'s position is, to the statements of Deangelo Carroll on the wire. I am offering the wires under Tannenbaum, and when we did this in Counts we instructed the jury you cannot consider the statements of Deangelo Carroll for the truth of the matter asserted.

And Mr. Gentile's, I believe, position is is that he is using them for impeachment only. I don't know what Louis Hidalgo III's position is. There is a statement by Deangelo Carroll that says something to the effect of what are you worried about, Louie, you didn't have anything to do with it.

Now, if they're offering it for the truth of the matter asserted, that's fine, but that will change the nature of the way the evidence comes in if they're off -- if they're saying that that's used for impeachment of the other statements of Deangelo Carroll that's coming in. That's different.

It's kind of a complex legal issue, but it -- the State shouldn't be hampered from addressing the -- the issue of the jury during openings and not have the defense stand up and go, well, Deangelo Carroll didn't do it. If that's the case, then I -- I want to be able to address the substance of that statement in my opening.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. And to respond to that, it is Mr. Hidalgo, Jr.'s position, as I stated last week, that nothing in that tape should be admitted to him as to him at all, period, because it is not a furtherance of the conspiracy that -- and we've been through that.

THE COURT: No, I know.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Separate and apart from that, if you do admit it, if you do make that decision, then as a matter of law, the statements of Deangelo Carroll ought not to even be in that tape. And the reason the courts allow it to remain in the tape is because they say that the responses that are made in that instance by Mr. Hidalgo III and Anabel Espindola adopt as admissions the statements of Mr. Carroll, which means that they are admitted for substantive use.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, I -- they're not all adopt admissions. They give context to these words of the defendants. Merely there is no response. When Deangelo Carroll says what are you worried about Little Lou, you had nothing to do with it, he doesn't say, you're right, I had nothing to do with it. There is no adoption of that.

MR. GENTILE: His silence is that adopted admission. He doesn't correct him.

MR. DIGIACOMO: He -- he goes on to talk about killing the witnesses. If they are asserting --

THE COURT: Well, I think, though, actually you got -- I may be mishearing Mr. DiGiacomo, but it sounds kind of like you're saying the same things. You think the tape should come in for the substance of the statement, and then he's saying, well, if they want this one statement, you want the substance of the other statements coming in.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right.

MR. GENTILE: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, what I'm saying is if the substance of that

THE COURT: He's talking about page --

MR. DIGIACOMO: In the video -- in the video Deangelo Carroll gives an explanation as to a discussion that he had with Mr. H, and that discussion explains the statement that Deangelo Carroll made on -- on -- on the wire.

THE COURT: You know what, maybe there is an easier way to address this. And the easier way, at least for me, would be if you tell us what you would like to say in your opening statement and then rather than dealing in hypothetical and conjecture, if you just tell us what it is you would like to say, then both sides of the defense or -- can say what they find objectionable and I can rule in a more concrete way than trying to -- trying to guess at what maybe you're going to do.

MR. DIGIACOMO: But --

THE COURT: And I know you don't want to reveal your opening statement, but to the extent that we can avoid a lengthy conference at the bench and having you have to flip through your PowerPoint, let's just do it this way.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, and I wasn't going to actually -- because I think there's going to be certain legal rulings that the Court's going to have to make. I'm not asking you to make those legal rulings.

What I'll say is if the position is it's coming in as substantive, I am going to tell the jury when you -- when you hear this or if -- they may even hear it during their opening, ladies and gentlemen, you will hear testimony that is going to explain that this does not mean that Little Lou wasn't involved in the conspiracy. But I can't make that statement if it's not offered for the truth of the matter asserted.

If it's -- if it's -- I can only make that statement if it -- if it's coming in as substantive evidence. I don't even need to discuss what the explanation is,

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -230-

but I have a right to say to the jury before they're -- they're told in the opening, hey, Deangelo Carroll said he didn't do it, then I have a right in my opening to say to them, well, yes, but you're going to understand what the meaning of that is at the end of this case, and that meaning is not what is going to be ascribed to it or what you would naturally think he was saying in that statement. And that's all I want to be able to say.

But I felt like it would be inappropriate for me to say that if it's not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted. And so I just wanted to know what the position of the defense is. Are they going to offer that for the truth of the matter asserted, and if they are, then I'm -- I can't offer it for the truth of the matter asserted, but if they are I have a right to comment on it.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Make it straight. As to Junior, we're not offering it at all. We're opposing it. If we get into our case in chief and we use the videotape that he's talking about, we're using it as a prior inconsistent statement.

THE COURT: So just for impeachment?

MR. GENTILE: That's certainly our attitude.

THE COURT: So now it's clear, Mr. DiGiacomo?

MR. DIGIACOMO: I -- my problem has never been with Mr. H.

THE COURT: It's with --

MR. DIGIACOMO: It's with Louis Hidalgo III. If they're going to attempt to argue to the jury that Mr. Carroll's statement means his client didn't do it, I want to be able to comment on that fact during my opening.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GENTILE: I'm sure that's what they're going to do.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's -- that's -- that's why I'm saying it. As long as

there's an indication that that's what's going to happen, then I feel comfortable making the statement I'm going to make to the jury.

THE COURT: And Mr. Gentile --

MR. GENTILE: But that doesn't mean --

THE COURT: -- doesn't really care because that doesn't go to his client anyway.

MR. GENTILE: No, but it doesn't mean that the -- the videotape when it does come in is used for substantive purposes. The State can never use that tape for substantive purposes.

MR. ADAMS: And I don't understand how he can explain away something from a videotape when the videotape is not admitted and we don't know if it's going to be admitted.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm not going to say what's on there or how it is the jury is going to know. I just want to have the right to comment that you heard that statement and that statement is not going to mean at the end of this case that Little Lou didn't do it or that Deangelo Carroll meant that Little Lou didn't do it.

MR. GENTILE: He can comment on it. He can comment on anything. The question is is he going to be able to get the evidence in later for that purpose?

THE COURT: Well, except it would be inappropriate for him to comment on evidence that he knows isn't going to be admitted, number one. And number two, Mr. DiGiacomo doesn't want to run the risk of commenting on evidence and then having the jury sit there and say, well, wait a minute, he talked about this, where was that, we didn't hear anything about it.

MR. ADAMS: What we would like to do, Judge, is to address the issue

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -232-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -233-

There's been so many things in this case. But since there's a difference in the transcript, and we're fighting over the transcript, and I know it can help the jury listening to the tape, but maybe it would be better just to play the tape without anybody's transcript --

MR. GENTILE: I'm -- I'm all -- I'm down with that.

THE COURT: -- and letting the jury listen and see what they --

MR. DIGIACOMO: If that's the Court's ruling, we're going to play it 100 times and we'll be here for three weeks because you can't --

MR. GENTILE: That's cumulative. You can't do that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- you -- you have to listen to this tape lots and lots of times and you can't expect this jury to comprehensively understand the wording on there. We have a witness who is going to authenticate the transcripts that we provided to the defense. If the Court's ruling, and as I recall, this is a demonstrative piece of evidence. It's not like it's a physical piece of evidence.

THE COURT: No, it's not. And last time I said, okay, use both transcripts, but --

MR. DIGIACOMO: And if the Court's ruling is that you won't allow that section to be played in front of the jury, I need to know that. I don't see how it is -- I mean, what I guess I'll do is I'll bring in a blank board and ask Anabel to write in on a blank board, okay, what exactly did you hear at this portion of the transcript? I mean, ultimately, at the end of the day, I don't understand what the problem is. It's the -- the evidence has been turned over to them forever.

MR. ADAMS: Anabel can testify to what she heard in the room. She can't -- I don't think she's being offered as an authenticator of the transcript.

THE COURT: Well, except if she remembers the testimony and listened

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -234-

DA's office, but it's a room in the regional justice center for in-custody interviews.

THE COURT: Okay. And who was there?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Myself, Mr. Pesci, and the transporting investigators, and I believe Mr. Oram for part of the time was present.

THE COURT: Okay. And that would -- Would that be Mr. Faulkner? Was he there?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Mr. Faulkner and --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- one of the transporting, and Mr. Doherty, I believe, was the other transporting individual.

MR. ADAMS: We'd like to see some notes about how she was prompted on this part of the tape. If she's going to say this is the creation, this is how they came --

THE COURT: Well, first of all, those wouldn't be the investigator's notes because that would be the DA's preparing for her testimony, which if there are notes, that would be the lawyers' notes, number one. Because at this point in time, the DA investigators are just, I'm assuming, transport. They have to have her -- she's in custody, they bring her and what not. They're not really investigating at this point if the lawyers are the ones that are doing it, number one.

Number two, the reason I asked that was because it's not something from previous when she initially met with Metro and had the debriefing and all of that stuff. And you're certainly free to question her about it.

MR. ADAMS: But here's my concern, Judge. If they play the tape and she has the transcript and no changes are made and then they say, well, listen to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -236-

this part again and they prompt her to a special section and talk to her about that, that's Brady material. That if she did not identify this the first time that process is Brady material. It's all fertile ground for cross-examination. I think we're entitled to know all about what happened.

MR. DIGIACOMO: He's free to ask her.

MR. ADAMS: In advance of trial, in preparation of trial.

MR. GENTILE: You know, separate and apart from that whole issue it seems to me that Anabel can testify -- excuse me, Ms. Espindola can testify to anything that she thinks she hears on that tape. Nobody has a quarrel with that. But to let her authenticate a transcript which isn't even evidence in the first place, it's nothing more than her opinion at that point.

So if she can testify as a percipient witness that when we were there this is what was said and the jury then listens to the tape and the jury decides whether that's what they hear on it or not. I have no quarrel with that. As a matter of fact, nobody could have a quarrel with that. But it's this -- it's the use of the transcript itself that is the problem.

THE COURT: The second transcript.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

MR. ADAMS: The second transcript.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, there's a problem with the first transcript.

Who's going to authenticate the first transcript? Who's going to authenticate their transcript? Because my understanding is that Anabel was part of the creation of that --

MR. GENTILE: You don't need --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- particular transcript.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -237-

MR. GENTILE: -- to authenticate a transcript. Okay?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, then what's the problem?

MR. GENTILE: You don't need to authenticate --

MR. PESCI: The same --

MR. GENTILE: -- a transcript.

MR. PESCI: -- arguments can be made for the defense --

THE COURT: Right. I mean --

MR. PESCI: -- because Ms. -- Ms. --

THE COURT: -- if -- if we are just going to do it, if nobody is -- I mean, typically, you know, the detective will say, yeah, I listened to the transcript and this accurately is what the conversation was and I followed along with the tape and blah, blah, blah.

MR. PESCI: Right.

THE COURT: If we're not going to do that, if we're going to say, ladies and gentlemen, the tape is difficult to hear or you're going to be the judge of what's on the tape, but maybe to assist you two transcripts have -- there is dispute over what's on the tape, but to maybe assist you, two transcripts have been prepared. One has been prepared by the State, one has been prepared by the defense, we're going to pass out the first transcript, we'd like you to listen, and --

MR. GENTILE: Well, then I think we should be entitled at least to have it play the second time with the other one.

THE COURT: And then contemporaneous.

MR. GENTILE: Yeah.

THE COURT: Then -- and then -- and then say, okay, now here's the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -238-

defense's version. Ladies and gentlemen, you're not going to have these transcripts with you in the back so you have to -- they're not evidence, so you have to listen to this testimony as carefully as you would to any other testimony because it's -- you'll have the tape to play, but you won't have the transcript, so please listen carefully to the tape as it's played, this is just to assist you, no one is saying that this is the correct transcript or an incorrect transcript and we want you to follow along and it's your collective hearing that controls here. And then play the State's version, play the defense's version, and move on from there.

MR. ADAMS: The State's first original version that was ruled upon by the court.

MR. DIGIACOMO: You didn't rule upon it. You just -- we didn't even get that far. Look back at the transcript. You said the State can play theirs, the defense can play theirs. The suggestion that somehow that a transcript that is more accurate or is -- has more information filled into it, somehow that suddenly makes a difference. They still have the same tape.

We've been here for three days on jury selection. They haven't told you how they're prejudiced by the fact that there's additional words on the transcript at all. It's -- if this jury doesn't hear what's on that transcript, it's very bad for us. Right?

I don't understand what the prejudice to the defense is as to a demonstrative piece of evidence. There's other demonstrative pieces of evidence that I'm sure is being created or will be brought tomorrow. There's, you know, aerial maps.

THE COURT: Right. Whatever.

MR. DIGIACOMO: These are all demonstrative kind of things. I don't

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -239-

1	understand what the argument from the defense is that they were
2	MR. PESCI: Plus the creation of the defense's
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: entitled to it.
4	MR. PESCI: version of it was done with the same witness. They will
5	have the opportunity, because she's the State's witness now, to cross-examine
6	that particular witness. And it's not to authenticate, it's because that was the
7	person in the room. She was in there. She heard it all.
8	THE COURT: No, I know. She can say this is what was said or this is
9	what I remember or
10	MR. PESCI: She was in the process with
11	THE COURT: No, I get it.
12	MR. PESCI: defense counsel making their version.
13	MR. ADAMS: Judge, there was a joint defense agreement that Ms.
14	Espindola had entered into with Louis Hidalgo III that apparently has been
15	violated and I think we're going to need some sort of hearing on the extent of of
16	the violation and what it means. It's become clear now, based on their
17	representation that she has violated the joint defense agreement that was
18	effectuated between counsel for Mr. Hidalgo III and for Ms. Espindola.
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: And the courts have all said they're completely
20	unenforceable, Judge. Ms. Espindola does not have some duty to an
21	unenforceable document.
22	MR. GENTILE: Actually, the courts haven't said that, but
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: What?
24	MR. GENTILE: I did a paper on that about six months ago. The courts
25	haven't said that. There's a bunch of Federal cases.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -240-

transcript. The only way the transcript will be used is just to say, you know, this may assist you, the Court is not saying this is an accurate transcript, this is the State's version of the transcript. The defense contests that there are parts that are not accurate and has prepared its own. It's for you folks to judge what you hear on the tape. You won't have the transcripts in the back. You need to listen carefully and play the tape, and collectively decide as a jury what's on the tape. I mean --

Yes?

MR. ADAMS: Well, which transcript are you now making reference to because the -- that makes a difference.

THE COURT: You know what, can I do this. I don't have the second transcript. Would you give me the -- Mr. DiGiacomo, this is your assignment.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge --

THE COURT: The first transcript and the second transcript and highlight what's new on the second transcript that's different from the first transcript so I can see what we're talking about here.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, I think you'll find it's one gap has been filled.

MR. GENTILE: No, I -- I haven't checked them side by side either.

MR. DIGIACOMO: There's a word here, a word there, and --

THE COURT: Can you do that for me and just highlight on the second transcript what the new words are so I can have a more concrete idea of what we're dealing with and whether I think the late notice is prejudicial to the defense or not? Because it's sort of hard to decide it unless I really see.

You know, if we're talking about pages and pages of new, you know, inculpatory material, then that might be different than if we're talking about a

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -242-

1 word here and there or a phrase here and there that previously was unintelligible. So I'd like to be able to look at that before I make a decision. 3 MR. GENTILE: Well, I have -- okay. That's fine. 4 THE COURT: What? 5 MR. GENTILE: Nothing. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, will we be able to argue this more tomorrow? 8 THE COURT: You won't be able to argue it more tomorrow at 9:00 a.m. 9 because I want to start right at 9:00 a.m. But at some point you'll be able to 10 argue it more fully. 11 MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you. 12 MR. ADAMS: Thank you. Because that -- I think that makes a difference 13 for both of our entities. 14 MR. DIGIACOMO: And one -- one last question. If your ultimate decision -- so long as your ultimate decision is no transcripts, then I -- if you're 15 16 going to say it could be either one of the two transcripts, is that -- if that's where 17 you're leaning then I just need to know that tonight because I'll -- I'll have the 18 second transcript ready for courtroom presentation that way it won't delay 19 anything. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. DIGIACOMO: But if you say no, then I'll probably need about an 22 hour. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. 25 THE COURT: Well, we'll have a lunch break and whether you get to eat

lunch or you're working on your computer will remain to be seen.

(Proceedings adjourned at 5:55 p.m.)

-000-

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

SUISE POTTER TRANSCRIBER

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -244-

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 07 2011 01:32 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

Appellant,

VS.

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial District Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Volume 9 of 25

(Pages 1495 - 1738)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 ¹	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A ²	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B ³	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 ⁴	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

¹ This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

⁴ Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release for House Arrest)	02/24/09	24	04505
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843

1 TRAN FILED 2 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C212667/ C241394 DEPT. XXI 7 Plaintiff, 8 VS. 9 LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO III and LUIS 10 HIDALGO, JR., 11 Defendants. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 THURSDAY, JANUARY 29, 2009 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: 16 JURY TRIAL 17 APPEARANCES: MARC P. DIGIACOMO, ESQ. 18 FOR THE STATE: GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. 19 **Deputy District Attorneys** 20 FOR LUIS HIDALGO III: JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER W. ADAMS, ESQ. 21 22 DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ. FOR LUIS HIDALGO, JR: PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. 23 24 RECORDED BY: JANIE L. OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

1	THE CLERK: 36, David Sargent.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Present.
3	THE CLERK: 37, Roger O'Neill.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Present.
5	THE CLERK: 38, Emertha Rotich.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Rotich.
7	THE CLERK: Rotich.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Present.
9	THE CLERK: Thank you.
10	39, Michael Freeman,
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Here.
12	THE CLERK: 41, Mark Rogol.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Present.
14	THE CLERK: 48, Susan Dendiu.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Present.
16	THE CLERK: 51, Stephen Ricks. 51, Stephen Ricks.
17	MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, I think he was excused yesterday.
18	THE COURT: He was excused.
19	THE CLERK: He was?
20	MR. GENTILE: Yeah.
21	THE CLERK: 52, Crystal Decorte.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Here.
23	THE CLERK: 55, Austin Guerrero.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Present.
25	THE CLERK: 56, Steve Escobedo.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -3-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Present.
THE CLERK: 57, Rhonda Michelle Gaddy.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Present.
THE CLERK: 58, Gina Ryeczyk.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Present.
THE CLERK: 61, Patricia Lopez.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 061: Here.
THE CLERK: 65, Kris Dane.
67, David K did Kris Dane answer?
THE COURT: No, they were
MR. DIGIACOMO: She was released yesterday.
THE COURT: excused.
MR. ADAMS: I believe Ms. Dane was released.
THE COURT: Yeah, thank you.
THE CLERK: 67, David K. Wallace.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 067: Here.
THE CLERK: 68, Kelly Dietz.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Present.
THE CLERK: 69. Sharon Garhardt.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Present.
THE CLERK: 70, Anne Bonds.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Present.
THE CLERK: 71, Shea Palluck.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Here.
THE CLERK: 75, Kelli Woodland.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -4-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Present.
2	THE CLERK: 79, Jim E. Matthews II.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Present.
4	THE CLERK: 80, Adriana Gamino.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Here.
6	THE CLERK: 84, Guadalupe McRoy.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Present.
8	THE CLERK: 86, James Denino.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Here.
10	THE CLERK: 87, Paul S. Adkins.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Here.
12	THE CLERK: 89, Kenneth Patterson.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Here.
14	THE CLERK: 90, Nicole Pezza.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Present.
16	THE CLERK: 92, Christopher Lemke.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Present.
18	THE CLERK: 93, Gary Urgola.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Here.
20	THE CLERK: 97, Ray Cordova.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Here.
22	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Husted, please call up the name of the next
23	prospective juror to take the seat in the box.
24	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
25	Badge 48, Susan Den

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -5-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Chula Vista.
2	THE COURT: Chula
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: California.
4	THE COURT: Vista, California?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Uh-huh.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Where were you living at the time of that incident?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: In Covina.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And that's relatively close to Chula Vista? Is that
9	San Diego area?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It's the valley.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: San Diego.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And was anyone ever apprehended or arrested in
14	connection with that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And do you know if the case went to trial against
17	that person?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And did you follow the case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Did you
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: A little bit.
23	THE COURT: Did other family members follow the case and sort of talk
24	to you about it?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -7-

01501

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

State.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Maybe just briefly.

Is your concern something that if somehow you wind up convicting somebody and there's gang involvement that there may be some retribution to vou later on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Sure.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's the concern?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Sure.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm a mother. I have a three year old. I'm not going to go to the park after this is all over and be looking over my shoulder. No way.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And obviously when you -- when you saw those things about MS-13 and -- and the various TV shows and documentaries about MS-13 and -- and the severe violence that they engage in, anybody watching that says, oh, my God, these guys are crazy; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Well, not only that. I have two nieces and their father is Mexican. He's been in prison almost his whole life. He's a heroin addict. And I've been to jail with my sister when I was in like junior high and I went with my nieces to visit him. I saw it tattooed. I -- I've been around it.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Now -- and I think that it's unfortunate for the jury that, sadly, I think gangs is going to play a very little role in this case, but I don't know. At least from the State's point of view that one of the members of this -- of the conspiracy was involved in a gang. But that it's gang related doesn't appear to be -- the fact that -- that one member of this conspiracy may be involved in a

01507

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -15-

And, Mr. Gentile, if I'm misstating, because obviously I don't present the evidence, feel free to interrupt me.

But that's why we're telling you. That's -- I mean, if there is an attack by a gang member on you or anyone associated with you, it would not be relating to this case. That -- that's what we're telling you. I mean, it would be no different than a case involving a random sexual assault or a child abuse case or any other type criminal matter in that respect.

And does that -- do you think that accurately states the case, Mr. Gentile?

MR. GENTILE: I do.

THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo, do you think that accurately states the case?

MR. DIGIACOMO: It does. On that issue, yes.

THE COURT: And I think we're very -- we're all very confident in that -- that in terms of that, like I just said, this is no different than -- different than any other criminal case that you might be asked to sit on.

MR. GENTILE: If there was any real gang connection to anybody in this case, it would've been charged as part of the prosecution. At least that's my experience, and I'm sure I'm not going to get a denial on the part of the prosecutor. All right?

And see the reason that it's so important that you -- that you have this information and why we took everybody else out of here is because what we're looking for in jurors, both sides, is life experience and common sense. You're actually going to get an instruction from the Judge at some point in time that you're not limited to what you hear in the courtroom, that you're expected to

bring your life experience and common sense into your decision making.

And so you can see why your presence on this jury, as long as you can be fair as to everything that you hear, is, you know, is important. And I know that you would -- I know that you don't want to. Okay? But will you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Huh-uh.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. You said no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. I don't want to be involved in this. Like I said, you -- you guys are asking every single one of us about gangs. There's a reason why you're doing that. And when I heard your assistant --

THE COURT: Ms. Armeni.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: -- yes, bring up, you know, MS-13 I pretty much became bias at that point. And I don't think that's fair to Junior, his father, and his family because they deserve a fair trial.

MR. GENTILE: Well, you understand that there's no allegation that they're a part of MS-13 or that they're even connected.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It was mentioned. I don't care.

MR. GENTILE: Well, it definitely engenders that kind of fear in people. There's no question about it.

THE COURT: All right. Well --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Well, you got to understand too, my ex, the father of my son lived on Mission Boulevard. I drove through -- I mean in Pomona. I lived in San Dimas, I lived in Covina, I lived in Ontario, I went to junior high in Ontario, my brothers went to Chaffey High School. They used to have 13 on their hands, so I was aware of that stuff. I would drive through Pomona. I mean, you can shake your head all you want. Have you ever --

THE COURT: All right. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: -- lived out there? I mean, it's scary to me.

THE COURT: I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I don't want to do it.

THE COURT: It's scary to everybody. I mean, I'm not as personally familiar with MS-13 and, you know, it's evidently a problem up in Reno. That's not a big problem, thank God, knock on wood, here in Clark County. There are other gangs that are a much bigger problem here in Clark County, at least according to what I see as a judge and the cases that come before me.

But I understand that, you know, just from my familiarity with MS-13 is from articles in magazines and articles and reports. I think Dateline did a story, that's why I mentioned Dateline. It's a scary thing and there is not anybody who wouldn't consider MS-13 to be a scary frightening gang.

The issue is that's not what this case is about. And so knowing that that's not what this case is about and that there's no evidence, no allegation, nothing to suggest that anyone here is involved with MS-13, ever has been involved with MS-13 or anything like that, it seems to us that maybe your concern or your -- your fear of a bias isn't going to materialize because it's not an issue in this case. That's where we're going with this. That's what we're getting at.

But you seem to say, well, I don't care if it's not an issue, I'm still going to be biased even though now you've told me that MS-13 isn't really what this case is about. And I don't know why Ms. Armeni mentioned it. I didn't even hear her mention it, but -- but you did. And you're still saying, well, no, I can't be fair based on something that's not even going to be involved in this case. Is that

what you're saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I don't -- well, it's not just MS-13. It's any gang.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Adams, do you want to question Ms. Dendiu or Mr. Arrascada?
MR. ARRASCADA: Court's indulgence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Look, I just want to be honest.

THE COURT: No, and that's fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Okay?

THE COURT: Like I told everybody else, there is no right answer. The only wrong answer is a dishonest answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Right. And, you know, I know you give us instructions when we leave the courtroom, no one to discuss whatever, but we all -- half of us park in the same park and we're getting to know each other. This is the third day. I overhear people talking when we're walking out about gangs. They don't want to be involved.

And I overheard one guy say, look, if I have to, I'll just plead not guilty, they won't even know it's me. But I want to be fair. I want to be fair to you and Junior and his family. I don't want to have to do that. I don't want to have to do that. I think they deserve a fair trial.

THE COURT: Right. But all I'm saying is your concern -- if this were a gang case you would say, yes, that would be a problem, but it's really not. And so your fear of gang -- like I said, it could be a child abuse case or, you know --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: That would be a lot easier.

THE COURT: -- grand larceny from the Wal-Mart case, and it would be

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, Judge, what I'm -- obviously it's my position she's got to go, but I heard a bigger problem at the end of that which is the jury is out there discussing the issue. And, in fact, she even says one guy says I'm not going to say anything, I'm just going to vote not guilty if the gang issue comes up.

After we polled, I don't know if we want to just -- it may actually be faster in this case to get the individual sequestered voir dire at some point. The length of time that this is taking to go through -- people are hearing answers that they want to hear and now they're starting using ways to get out of the situation.

MR. GENTILE: I wouldn't be opposed to that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That'd be one, and then each person is going to have to be polled on conversations and whether or not --

MR. PESCI: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- they've heard it, but we can do it one at a time as we're bringing them in.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Gentile, other than the fact that some of them were voir dired collectively, if you will, and it's different from the way some of them were voir dired, what's the basis of your objection?

MR. GENTILE: What objection?

MR. DIGIACOMO: He said he didn't object.

MR. GENTILE: I said I don't object.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you said you did object.

MR. GENTILE: No, I said I'd --

THE COURT: I mis --

MR. GENTILE: -- go along with that. No.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. That's great.

MR. GENTILE: We're getting to a point here --

THE COURT: Yeah, no.

MR. GENTILE: This is such a critical fact in this case.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: I mean --

THE COURT: We got to -- okay. I misheard you. I apologize. I think let's go to individual voir dire then.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Two other things first.

THE COURT: And I think we need to bring her back and find out if she knows the name of the individual who made those comments.

MR. GENTILE: That's what he was getting at.

THE COURT: And if she doesn't know the name of him, let's get a physical description.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's one thing I was going to say. The other thing is there are 13 other people that have been passed for cause. I think each one of them needs to be polled just one at a time. We have to bring them in, we have to ask them about the conversation, and whether or not they've heard conversations outside the courtroom and give them each an opportunity to have that because we don't have a chance to talk to the rest of them. That's the only way to cure it.

MR. GENTILE: Well, you know --

THE COURT: All right. Let's -- I'm inclined to excuse her, Ms. Dendiu, but before we do that, we need to bring her in and question her about who said what. I'll question her, State can question, you guys can follow upon the issue of what she overheard and who was saying what.

Mr. Adams.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I'm not as concerned about that issue as I might normally find myself to be, and here is why. I mean, these people are here, they're all -- it's not like we're glossing over missing issues with the jurors. I think they're telling us the truth when they're under oath.

I think perhaps the most appropriate remedy, I suggest to the Court, would just be to -- another instruction. Say, look, I know you're here a long time, just don't talk anymore about it, if there is anything that was missed earlier, please raise your hand and we can address it.

THE COURT: I mean, I --

MR. ADAMS: I don't get the sense -- and I've seen them outside because we're stuck locked out also. They're not sitting there in cabals discussing the case and how they're going to rig the case one way or the other.

THE COURT: No, I don't -- I don't -- I'm not concerned with that and I don't think Mr. DiGiacomo is concerned with that. And, frankly, I agree with you. I am less concerned about them talking about the case at this stage when there hasn't been any evidence presented than if we were a couple days into the presentation of evidence and would have to worry, well, hey, are they, you know, getting together and talking about the evidence. They don't have a lot to talk about.

And so I'm confident that what they're probably talking about is, God, I wonder how gangs are involved, that guy got excused, the Judge yelled at him and she got mad because he -- he was bringing up gangs, and what -- why -- what's the involvement with gangs, well, gee, I don't want to be retaliated on for gangs, these gangs are a problem, there's gangs in my neighborhood. I think

25

that's more kind of the comments that probably we're hearing --

MR. ADAMS: Right.

THE COURT: -- about this. Because what else could they be talking about?

MR. ADAMS: Right.

THE COURT: And -- and I think it's probably natural then, you know, oh, hey that guy was afraid, well, I'm afraid too, or I hate gang members, or -- or, heck, there was graffiti in my neighborhood, that kind of thing. And so I -- I would be inclined to agree with you.

MR. ARRASCADA: And, Judge, I agree with everything you're saying, but maybe perhaps it would be prudent to make sure that we're giving them the admonition at every recess and --

THE COURT: I do give the admonition, but I'll give it more strongly.

Now, Mr. Gentile, obviously you're representing a different defendant. What's your position on whether or not you want the Court to question -- I think we need to question Ms. Dendiu anyway, but if we go and question each of the people, well, did you overhear anybody talking? Because like I said, you know, people may just have been saying, you know, there's gangs in my neighborhood, I see gang graffiti, that kind of stuff, which, to me, is --

MR. GENTILE: I think that it would be appropriate --

THE COURT: -- innocuous.

MR. GENTILE: I think it would be appropriate to ask them as a panel if they've heard any discussion from anybody that said that they were, no matter what, they were going to vote not guilty.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge --

courtroom saying, or even in the courtroom in the audience, saying that they had made up their mind that they were going to vote guilty or not guilty or one way or the other. And then you guys can follow up sort of on that issue, if you want to follow up on the gang thing or whatever with them.

Then we take them out and we individually voir dire the next person.

Then we'll have 14 defense's first challenge. Whoever is excused we just bring them in and say thank you, you're excused. Bring the next person in and do it like that until all of the challenges have been exercised or waived.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I would -- the State would agree with that --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- at this point.

THE COURT: Mr. Adams, agree with that? Arrascada?

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I have to be honest. I did not hear all of it. I was thinking about the opening statement there for a second and I --

THE COURT: Well, we're never going to get there, so --

Mr. Gentile, Ms. Armeni, are you cool with doing it that way?

MR. GENTILE: Cool as a moose.

MR. ADAMS: So bringing them in one at a time. And then how -- how with the strikes?

MR. ARRASCADA: We'll exercise them outside of their presence.

THE COURT: Right. We'll exercise them outside of the presence, then Jeff will go get them, bring them in, I'll say thank you, you've been excused, thank you for your willingness to serve, bye-bye. And then when they -- when each new person comes in, I'm going to seat them where they would be seated to make it easier on you guys.

MR. ADAMS: That is helpful. We think there is a --

THE COURT: Because otherwise I know you'll get confused. So, yeah, so right now we have an opening in chair 13. The next individual juror that comes in will be seated in chair 13. We'll all question that person, they'll be taken out, your first challenge, and then whatever chair is empty then the next person sits in that chair. That way visually you can -- it'll be easy for you.

MR. ADAMS: I think we -- and this is not a legal issue or really an objection -- we like the -- like the idea of keeping it as a group discussion because there is some benefit to it, but I understand the Court's concern.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. --

MR. ADAMS: And we're not objecting. We just --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ADAMS: -- like how it's --

THE COURT: I mean --

MR. ADAMS: -- been going.

THE COURT: -- I like it as a group discussion too. And, honestly, I mean, I'll just be very candid here. The reason this is taking so long is because I allowed individual voir dire. And that was in large measure because --

Frankly, Mr. Gentile, this is a compliment. If you hadn't been the defense attorney on this, I probably would've steam rolled right over whoever it was and said, no, you're not doing individual voir dire, we're doing it collectively, and we would've been finished by yesterday before lunch. That's one reason it's taking so long.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, Mr. Arrascada and I know you don't mean that.

THE COURT: No, I do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.

THE COURT: And did anyone else in this group of -- of people say anything --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.

THE COURT: -- about gangs or -- how many people were there altogether walking when this conversation occurred?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: About eight.

THE COURT: About eight of you. Okay. And what -- what was kind of the substance of maybe other people's comments relating to this gang issue or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It was just the fact of our opinions about gangs and if there was no gangs involved why are we being questioned so much about it.

THE COURT: Okay.

State, do you wish to follow up with Ms. Dendiu?

MR. DIGIACOMO: A few questions.

There has been for awhile now, there is 14 people sitting up here at this point and you just got up to this point. Do you recall, first of all, that the gentlemen who said something to the effect if it got to that point I'd just plead not guilty, vote not guilty, whatever he said, is he in this group of 14 that you recall?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I don't think so.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. What about anybody else in the group of eight? Are any of them in this group that's up here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I don't recall. I'm not sure.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Other -- this is -- was this last night or the night before, do you recall?

individually, if there are people that said that we can question the individuals themselves. I mean, if it's somebody that wants out of jury duty because they're biased and -- or they're going to vote a certain way, they're going to tell us.

MR. PESCI: She just said she's not sure of those eight people whether they're up here or not. She did not definitively say that they're not in this, Judge. Now we are going to have somebody possibly that heard an individual say no matter what I'm voting not guilty. What's it going to hurt to give us the chance to ask those 13 individuals? How is that going to hurt them?

THE COURT: Well, it's not going to hurt them. I mean, is your -- is your goal to ascertain who it is so when he comes in here we can make sure that somehow he doesn't get on the jury by now saying he can be fair and impartial?

MR. PESCI: To figure out who he is, number one.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah.

THE COURT: Is that your --

MR. DIGIACOMO: I mean, literally his statement is I'm not telling anybody.

MR. PESCI: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm just going to vote not guilty.

THE COURT: No, no, no.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm a phantom juror.

THE COURT: He didn't say I'm not going to tell anybody. I think what -if you believe her -- what it was was, well, I'm not going to vote and then nobody
is going to know who it is, meaning like the gang, these retaliatory gang
members.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Now, I'm somewhat surprised that the defense

doesn't want to find out if any of the people were walking to the garage having a communication and violation of the court order about not discussing the case.

MR. GENTILE: They do that all the time. Let's face the reality of what we do for a living. Okay?

MR. PESCI: What if he had said guilty. Would you guys be taking a different stance?

MR. ADAMS: Of course.

MR. PESCI: Well, there we go.

MR. ADAMS: Absolutely. Come on, there's no doubt about that.

MR. PESCI: What's it hurt to bring the 13 in?

MR. ADAMS: Judge, here's the situation, and obviously you can do what you want to do on it. If these 13 are brought in and they're asked and one person has a new comment to make about gangs, then we're going to have them all 13 individually to address it.

We have 13 qualified and it's going to open the door and we're going to be back in voir dire one by one with all of them about who said what, when, tell us more about gangs now that you've reflected.

I'm a believer in getting as much information as possible, but I think it's going to be very difficult to strategically get a minute or two of key information without ripping it back open and we're starting back at the beginning.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GENTILE: Can I --

THE COURT: Well, the other thing we could do is we could have Officer Wooten take Ms. Dendiu aside and ask her which one of these individuals in the hallway is the elderly gentleman or the older gentleman who made the comment.

1 MR. GENTILE: I have no --2 THE COURT: And then she can --3 MR. GENTILE: -- problem with that. THE COURT: -- she can point him out and we'll know exactly who he is. 4 5 MR. ADAMS: If -- if she can recognize the person. 6 MR. ARRASCADA: I mean, I think the --THE COURT: Well, if she can't recognize him, then I think we're starting 7 8 to go to her -- her credibility on whether or not this even happened. 9 MR. GENTILE: Right. THE COURT: I am really reluctant, Mr. DiGiacomo, to open a whole 10 Pandora's box again. We have spent three days qualifying only 13 people. Well, 11 12 we had 14. MR. DIGIACOMO: Why can't we call the group in -- I mean, here --13 here's my position. They were qualified and then there was an act of misconduct 14 that the Court's now been told about. And what we've decided to do -- I mean, it 15 16 may not be --17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. DIGIACOMO: -- misconduct that we --19 THE COURT: Here's what --20 MR. DIGIACOMO: -- care about --21 THE COURT: I understand your position and I recognize the State's 22 legitimate interest in making sure someone doesn't get on a jury that's 23 automatically going to vote not guilty because they're concerned about gang 24 retaliation. And I am very confident that some juror who violated the Court's order and is mouthing off to the other jurors about how he's voting not guilty is 25

going to come in here and he is going to say I can't be fair and impartial. So the risk of that occurring in my view is very, extremely, exceedingly minimal. I'm going to send Officer Wooten out there.

I want you to get Ms. Dendiu. I want you to pull her to the side, and I want you to ask her to identify who this elderly gentleman is. And then I want you to let her sit back down there, Officer Wooten, and then I want you to come back and tell us what she said.

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: And then we'll make a determination if we need to call the 13 in.

But I think, Mr. DiGiacomo, you can appreciate the Court's concern about now not exposing all these people to them now getting a second bite at claiming that they can't be fair and impartial.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well --

THE COURT: That is my concern.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Maybe I can reduce the concern. Maybe we just bring in the 13 and just say, hey, look, the Court has been advised that there may have been a conversation --

THE COURT: No, I wouldn't even say that. I -- the only the thing I would even say is --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- on the way to the garage.

THE COURT: -- has anyone overheard any of the jurors talking about this case on the breaks or in the evening, and then see what they said. That's the most I would do. I'm not going to say, oh, there was a conversation, and then of course they're going to say, oh, yeah, I was there, I heard that conversation

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -39-

MR. ADAMS: -- to get out of it.

THE COURT: Okay. I -- Officer Wooten, just say --

THE MARSHAL: I'll say it tactfully, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- you know, can you recognize who it is.

MR. ADAMS: Are we at ease for five?

THE COURT: Well, I -- all right. I'm going to go be at ease.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you.

(Recess taken at 1:27 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: Basically I pulled her on the side, I pulled her in the hallway and I said, you know what, discreetly try to, you know, see if you see the person. Let me know who he -- what he's wearing, and then I will discreetly talk to him. She goes, well, before I look I have to use the restroom. So she went and used the restroom, came back, and I said -- and then I said, well, you know, can you go out there and look. She goes --

THE COURT: Realized there was no windows in the restroom.

THE MARSHAL: Then she goes basically we were walking in a group and I don't think I'll be able to identify him. I go, well, you didn't even look around. You should go out there and kind of look around. I mean, we're not going to point you out. We just -- we just need to know.

I don't think I can identify any of them. He's some -- he might be somebody down there, which they're all down there. So she wasn't able to give me a definitive answer on who it may be.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead -- we're going to bring in the next prospective juror who is -- where are we?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right after Dendiu, so Crystal Decorte.

1	Bellagio housekeeping, just different things.
2	MS. ARMENI: So it seems like you've had a lot of different kinds of jobs.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
4	MS. ARMENI: And from those jobs, what do you think would make you a
5	good juror? What did you learn from each of those jobs that you think would help
6	you as a juror in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Just dealing with people, different
8	people.
9	MS. ARMENI: And
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Especially in my profession as a
11	hairdresser I deal with so many different personalities. It's yeah.
12	MS. ARMENI: Well, I mean, how do you think that would help you,
13	dealing with other people? Or that experience of dealing with other people?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Just listening to to people's needs.
15	MS. ARMENI: Do you think on the reverse side that you would feel
16	comfortable telling other people what your needs are if you were in a room with
17	11 other people?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
19	MS. ARMENI: You'd feel comfortable
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
21	MS. ARMENI: voicing your opinion?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Uh-huh.
23	MS. ARMENI: You heard us talk about fear.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
25	MS. ARMENI: Has there been a time in your life that you've been

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -47-

-70

1	school.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: So middle school, you graduated from high school,
3	you've taken college classes too or junior college?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, I go just for just for hair.
5	MR. ARRASCADA: Just for hair?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: For a year.
7	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And were you were you were talking
8	about it and I just want to talk to you a little bit about what you said you that you'd
9	be a good juror because you're good at listening to people
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: as you do
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: And I'm fair. I believe in being fair.
13	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And I would have to guess, I don't I don't
14	hang out at hair salons, but it is obvious but I would have to guess that there's
15	a lot of gossip that goes on; is that true?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yes.
17	MR. ARRASCADA: Tell me about it.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Well, people come in and they they
19	talk about the news, what happened, did you hear about the news, did you hear
20	about so and so.
21	MR. ARRASCADA: And how are you to sort how do you sort out
22	what's gossip and what's true?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: By the demeanor, they way they
24	you know, the way they look and I don't know.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Well, you you do know. I want you to help

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -50-

1	me out here. You said by the way they look, their demeanor, what do you mean
2	by that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I don't know. I just can tell when they
4	look serious.
5	MR. ARRASCADA: And you can tell their demeanor.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I'm just trying to mind my own
7	business most of the time, you know, just do my job and, yeah.
8	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. But you are listening to these people and you
9	filter it through. Is that what you're saying?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And you know you're able to filter gossip
12	from truth; is that fair?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
14	MR. ARRASCADA: For the most part?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Uh-huh.
16	MR. ARRASCADA: But if if someone is saying something, you said by
17	the way they look, if they're serious, if they're saying something you think is
18	gossip, how how how would you determine what the truth is?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: I don't know. I don't know.
20	MR. ARRASCADA: Would you want to listen to others?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah.
22	MR. ARRASCADA: And learn the facts yourself?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Yeah, ask around to see if it's true, do
24	some research, you know.
25	MR. ARRASCADA: And have you ever heard anyone say or suggest

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -51-

01546

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -54PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right here in chair two?

THE COURT: Yeah. And I don't want you to feel singled out. We're now questioning people individually. What do you do for a living, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I'm actually a diesel technician apprentice for Cashman Equipment.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I've been doing that for about a year and I'd say, a year and seven months. It's a two-year program. I got in with Caterpillar, one of three in the state. I'm actually a full time student also with that. So right now I'm -- I'm doing on the job training and it's all going towards credits for the college classes in Phoenix.

THE COURT: Okay. And where are you a student at? Community college or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's a -- it's a community college out in Phoenix, Arizona.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. And is -- and so you're goal is to eventually become a diesel mechanic; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Diesel mechanic technician, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then what did you do prior to this training that you're -- that -- that you're involved in now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Prior to that I was basically a cook -- THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: -- full time cook for two years before that. So I was working for a little Italian restaurant. I started out as a dishwasher, then I moved up to second in command on the line.

25

two people seen the -- it was a Smirnoff Ice box that we had -- we had a couple different things and that we were actually building -- that we were making out of, a little hobby and what not. And somebody called us in and they said that we were smoking weed and drinking. And so they came out, they searched us, and we had like six different cop cars on us and a whole bunch of cops. It was just a big scene pretty much.

THE COURT: And I'm guessing you didn't have any weed --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.

THE COURT: -- because you would've been arrested.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Exactly.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that Las Vegas Metro's jurisdiction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No, it was Henderson.

THE COURT: Henderson. Okay. And how do you feel about the way you were treated and handled by the police in that situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: My thoughts? It's perfectly fine with me. I wasn't doing anything illegal, and they're just doing their job.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: So, you know --

THE COURT: So you don't have like a gripe or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No, not at all.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Not with Henderson. Metro is perfectly fine with me. I had a run-in with the North Las Vegas Police officers once. I was on the highway and headed to work at 5:00 in the morning when they were off of the Craig and the 15. And the cop was headed to go through,

slammed on his brakes right in front of me. I was moving along at about 75 and I had to swerve so I wouldn't kill the guy, it was a motorcycle cop. He gets behind me and pulls me over. He comes up on the side and says are you pacing me, boy? So I was like I'm just trying to get to work, this and that, and he went through with writing me a ticket and so --

THE COURT: Do you -- so fair to say there are some good cops out there and maybe some that aren't as good?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: For the most part, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. What about strip clubs? Do you have any feelings one way or the other about strip clubs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Honestly, they don't really pertain to me. I've been -- I've been to one here and one out in Phoenix, but it's just like, you know, it's not my thing.

THE COURT: Okay. Which one did you go to here in town?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Here it was Little Darlings.

THE COURT: Okay. So you've never been to the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Never.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Never even heard of it, actually.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've heard everything we've talked with all of these two days. Anything that I've asked or the lawyers have asked that you felt like you wanted to raise your hand and say, yes, I have a feeling about that or that's happened to me or that's happened to a family member, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Not so much. The whole -- the gang thing, you know, a whole bunch of my friends, they're also involved with that. I

questioned out in the middle of the desert a couple times, fights that went on.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, were those like fist fights or were weapons -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Fist fights, a couple weapons every
once in awhile.

THE COURT: Okay. What kind of weapons?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Just knives at the time.

THE COURT: Just knives. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Just knives.

THE COURT: And what are your feelings about gangs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Gangs in general, growing up around then I'm kind of used to them. But this, my only thought is, you know, I don't really want to end up on the opposite side of this being charged for something that might happen later on in the future if, you know, something wrong turns out or word gets out. There is that kind of an aspect. But other than that, you know --

THE COURT: Okay. And, again, you've heard us tell the other people it's really --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's really not really involved with it, but it's --

THE COURT: Right. This really isn't a gang case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Exactly.

THE COURT: You know, there are cases where the motivation is a gang and -- and as Mr. Gentile may have said, I don't remember if he said this or not, then, you know, the State charges it as a gang crime.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

MR. PESCI: So that's the -- however, it appears as if there's going to be some evidence possibly presented to you about gangs within the course of this trial.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Uh-huh.

MR. PESCI: All right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.

MR. PESCI: Will your experience with gangs or knowing people in gangs affect you in being fair to either side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: More than likely not. It's just a past way of life so, therefore, I can probably deal with it.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And it doesn't matter if it's a past part of life or not, don't -- don't worry about that. It's just a question of how that experience in your life will affect the way you'll assess the evidence and whether you can be fair really, at the end of the day, the question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right. I understand how everything works out as far as regarding that goes. I think that there might be kind of, I guess you could say, like camaraderie, kind of a, you know, I got your back kind of a deal with it, and I don't want that affect -- or to effect anything.

MR. PESCI: And unfortunately some officers have interacted with you and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's fine.

MR. PESCI: -- if there's a Henderson Police Officer that walks into this courtroom, is that going to affect you based on the fact that those are the six cop cars that Henderson apparently has free and willing to go stop somebody

1	who's
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Henderson is perfectly fine with me.
3	have no issues. Actually, a couple of my friends have gotten into the academy
4	and have gone through it, so they're fine.
5	MR. PESCI: North Town, different story?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: They're iffy, but I guess I can put that
7	aside.
8	MR. PESCI: All right. If someone from the North Las Vegas Police
9	Department takes the stand, can you be fair to the State?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I can.
11	MR. PESCI: All right. Can you be fair to the defense?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Most definitely.
13	MR. PESCI: We'll pass for cause, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Pesci.
15	Who would like to go first from the defense?
16	MR. GENTILE: I would.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gentile.
18	MR. ARRASCADA: I guess that answers that.
19	THE COURT: It's pretty much whoever jumps up first gets to go first.
20	MR. GENTILE: Mr. Guerrero, am I right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.
22	MR. GENTILE: Am I saying it right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.
24	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Just a couple follow up questions. You said that
25	the strip club thing Mr Mr. DiGiacomo keeps saving I'm trying to

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -64remember the words, it's not your thing or something like that.

THE COURT: Cup of tea.

MR. GENTILE: Okay. But here -- here's what I want to know. That can mean a lot of different things. You know, people use words and depending upon how the words are spelled sometimes even you're not quite sure what they're saying. But in any case, you know, saying that it's not your thing might be saying that you don't like it, or saying that it's not your thing might mean, yeah, I can take it or leave it. Okay? Now, you've been to a couple of strip clubs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

MR. GENTILE: To me it's a lot like window shopping, so it's not my thing. Okay? But how do you feel about it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Overall, I have a couple friends that are strippers. They're into that. I hold no grudges. It's their way. You know, to me they're just people.

MR. GENTILE: You look down on them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Not at all.

MR. GENTILE: Do you look down on the guy that operates the club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Not at all.

MR. GENTILE: Not at all.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.

MR. GENTILE: It's a business.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Exactly. It's --

MR. GENTILE: You worked in an Italian restaurant. Which one?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's called Alba Brothers. Alba

Brothers Italian Restaurant, it's just like a little storefront kind of a deal.

1	MR. GENTILE: Where is it?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's off of Horizon Ridge and Eastern.
3	MR. GENTILE: Advertise in La Voce?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I think so.
5	MR. GENTILE: Oh, good.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I know they do that, they do 89052
7	Magazine.
8	MR. GENTILE: Drugs. When I say drugs, what's that mean to you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Drugs, when I hear the word drugs I
10	think of ecstasy, I think of speed, I think of weed, just a couple others.
11	MR. GENTILE: Okay. There's no way you got through Coronado High
12	School without knowing some kids that were using drugs.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: A ton of them.
14	MR. GENTILE: I don't mean to stick my nose in your business. Okay?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Uh-huh.
16	MR. GENTILE: Did it make them smarter?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Actually, there was one kid it helped
18	him out, honestly.
19	MR. GENTILE: Is that right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yeah. So other than that, like it was
21	the only way he actually got through high school.
22	MR. GENTILE: Yeah.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: But other than that, not so much.
24	MR. GENTILE: No.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I know people that have been sent up

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -66-

1	to Canada because of, you know, drug drug addictions and what not.
2	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: They used to go up there and get
4	them away from it.
5	MR. GENTILE: All right. When you know that someone is a drug user,
6	you know that, you have does it affect your opinion on them?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Not really. No.
8	MR. GENTILE: No? Okay. You ever drive a taxi?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No, I haven't.
10	MR. GENTILE: Did you ever work at a business that paid taxi's to bring
11	people to them?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Never.
13	MR. GENTILE: Did you ever hire a lawyer?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Once.
15	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Tell me about it.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I went through the same incident on
17	the highway with the cop, went through I was in Phoenix at the time. I had to
18	hire a lawyer so he go and present me at the court. And they went through and
19	he never showed up. So I actually had a warrant out for me at that point.
20	MR. GENTILE: Because because of the lawyer?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Because of his negligence to show up
22	for me.
23	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: And I actually got caught in the
25	crossfire with that. I had to go [inaudible].

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -67-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -69big portion of my life. And like I said, my family is out in Colorado so basically my friends are my family and I don't want to see them go down that pass -- path and end up, you know, basically in an early grave.

MR. ADAMS: So Mr. Gentile was asking you a question about drugs and you said you don't judge anybody.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right.

MR. ADAMS: But is it fair to say that if you knew somebody was using drugs you're going to be a little more skeptical of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: While they're on them, yes.

MR. ADAMS: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Other than that, you know, it's kind of -- if they're on them I try to stay away from them because I don't want to be caught up with it.

MR. ADAMS: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Also if they have it on them and I'm also around, well, I can be part of whatever may happen if, you know, police show up and I'm there and one thing leads to another.

MR. ADAMS: Have you ever had a friend who was on drugs lie to you or steal from you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Never steal, but lie, yes.

MR. ADAMS: And were these the kind of friends who wouldn't have lied or you don't think they'd have lied had they been clean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: They probably wouldn't have lied.

MR. ADAMS: And that's one of the things you'd look at when you look at somebody --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right.

MR. ADAMS: -- who's on drugs, whether they're believable or not because people lie when they're doing drugs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

MR. ADAMS: And they steal. There are some people who don't think our justice system is right. They think the state shouldn't have to prove people guilty, that too many guilty people get off. What are your feelings about the justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: As far as guilty people getting off, sometimes I think punishment should be a little bit more heavy on others --

MR. ADAMS: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: -- depending on what they're being charged for. There's also some cases where I guess you could go a little bit lenient depending on, you know, evidence according to or if somebody wants to go through and make sure that somebody's not going to be out for awhile. It just depends on the circumstance and whatever's going on at the time.

MR. ADAMS: Do you have any feelings about people who are convicted of -- of murder, whether it's too light or too serious?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Originally, I think it's an eye for an eye. So if -- in that turn I think it should go the same way.

MR. ADAMS: So you think somebody should -- should forfeit their life if they're convicted of murder?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I'm pretty biased like that, yeah.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. What's that based on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Based on just pretty much my

1	upbringing. You know, everything that I've done for me, my life has been a
2	constant struggle. So I feel if I if I had to struggle, well, you know, I at least put
3	forth an effort, you know, in order to get wherever you want to be in your life. So
4	also, you know, if something happens, well, it should be done back to you, in my
5	opinion. So kind of I guess I'm judgmental at that point, so
6	MR. ADAMS: You're introspective at that point.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I guess you can say that.
8	MR. ADAMS: I think all all of us have our our judgments.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I'm just quick to judge, quick to you
10	know, I'm also real bullheaded when it comes to that point. So I guess my
11	opinion might not be correct in certain forms I guess you can say.
12	MR. ADAMS: We appreciate your honesty. Oh, on the situation earlier
13	you talked about you were the police officers came up to you and your
14	brother
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.
16	MR. ADAMS: in the park a few weeks ago and they patted you down
17	or searched you.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: They patted down, searched the car,
19	searched out stuff on on the park bench, everything.
20	MR. ADAMS: Did they ask any permission to do that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: They asked and I was perfectly fine
22	with it. Like I said, they're just doing their job also.
23	MR. ADAMS: There are some people who think that if they were
24	charged they automatically would testify.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Uh-huh.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -72-

1	MR. ADAMS: And you strike me as a, you know, I pulled myself up and I
2	would want to be heard, I would face this; is that right?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right. I would.
4	MR. ADAMS: Would you require that of other people?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes, I would, actually.
6	MR. ADAMS: And they would need to testify before you could get
7	before you could find them not guilty?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.
9	MR. ADAMS: And that would be the case in this trial; right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.
11	MR. ADAMS: Judge, may we confer
12	THE COURT: Yeah. Sure.
13	MR. ADAMS: May we approach?
14	THE COURT: Yeah.
15	(Conference at the bench)
16	THE COURT: All right. Let's see, Mr. DiGiacomo.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: No, it's Mr. Pesci.
18	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
19	MR. PESCI: I wasn't sure if he
20	THE COURT: Oh, I thought Mr. Adams was done.
21	MR. ADAMS: Mr. Guerrero, on that point
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
23	MR. ADAMS: Mr. Pesci is going to have some follow up.
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pesci.
25	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -73-

as to what the law ought to be or even maybe what your understanding is, you know. If you think the law is this way and I tell you it's something else, would you be able to set that aside and follow the law that I give you and read to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: At the end of the day it would be the law, so therefore I would I have to follow it and --

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: -- abide by it.

MR. PESCI: And the law is that no defendant can be forced to testify. That's the law.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

MR. PESCI: You'll get an instruction no one can be forced to. And on top of that, you can't even hold it against a defendant. You can't get back there and say, you know what, he should testify. I wanted to hear him testify. He didn't, and I'm going to hold it against him. You just can't do that. Can you accept that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I'd have to pull it from within, but, yes, I probably could.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, it's -- and, again, like I've told everybody else, it's no right or wrong answer. The only wrong answer is a dishonest answer. So as long as you're being truthful, there's no right or wrong answer.

I mean, would you think, well, you know, wait a minute, if a -- if the defendant, you know, didn't do it or had nothing to hide, they would get up on the witness stand and testify, or would you be able to say, you know what, I understand that I'm not supposed to think about this and I'm just going to look at

the evidence that was presented, not ask questions about what wasn't presented, meaning if a defendant didn't testify or the defense didn't call any witnesses or anything like that.

And I want you to answer me not yes or no, but answer that question as best as you can in a sort of narrative fashion explaining what your feelings are so I can understand. And, again, it is what it is. There is no right or wrong answer, just truth. That's all I'm looking for.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: In that perspective, I like to know the whole story beforehand. Instead of making a half truth decision, I'd rather have all the facts in front of me, therefore I can base it off both sides, you know. In this case hearing from the defendant and the State going at the same time, I wouldn't even know both sides in order to come up with a full decision whether to be honest within myself according to it.

THE COURT: Okay. Even if you're told, well, in our system of justice the state or the government, because they're the ones with all the resources and the power and everything like that and for many other reasons in our constitution they have to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Defense doesn't have to do anything.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

THE COURT: Would you still expect the defense to do something?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I would like it, but then again if there's enough proof on the table, well --

THE COURT: Because it's -- they are the ones that have to prove everything. The defense doesn't have to disprove anything, they don't have to do -- in our system they don't have to do anything --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right. THE COURT: -- because it's all on them. I mean, if they do something, that's up -- you know, do you understand what I'm saying? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: 1 do. THE COURT: That's the way our system is set up. Now, is that something that you think -- and, again, just how you feel, how do you feel about that if you sat as a juror and all you heard from was the State's witnesses and what the State chose -- chose to put on? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: In that case I'd feel like I would be making a half -- half -- you know, half based decision. But then again, you know, it can go both ways because of the fact actions speak louder -- louder than words. So with that, you know, I would have to go both ways because of the fact MR. PESCI: Let me ask you this. If I could follow up, Judge? THE COURT: That's fine. Absolutely. Just so it's really clear, the male, the female, the two gentlemen back here. They are not -- the defense attorneys, they are not the gentleman PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Okay. MR. PESCI: I think -- I think it's -- you can tell so far from the way this

distinction also between a defendant testifying and the way a case goes as far as cross-examination and things of that nature. They -- they the defense have no burden. There is nothing that they have to do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Okay.

MR. PESCI: That's what we're telling you in a vacuum. You can see so far they've been doing lots of things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: They have.

MR. PESCI: If they do nothing, if they choose to do nothing, that's one issue. But what I'm trying to focus on right now is the defendants, would you require that the defendants testify? That's kind of -- that's a separate issue right now. The question is can you follow the law and not hold it against the defendants if they choose to not testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I could do that, yes.

MR. PESCI: Pass, Judge.

THE COURT: Do you want to follow up, Mr. Adams?

MR. ADAMS: If you'd rather me follow up than approach.

THE COURT: No, I'd rather you follow up.

MR. ADAMS: Sure.

Mr. Guerrero, you've given multiple answers to the same question. I know this is difficult. Is what I hear you say that if you were a juror that you would come to this courtroom with the belief an eye for an eye?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I probably would, yes.

MR. ADAMS: And you'd come in with a pretty strong belief that you've gotten this far and into school with a great career ahead of you because you've made choices along the way to do the right thing.

person --

. .

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I am.

MR. ADAMS: -- that you would try to set that aside and follow the law, but at the end of the day you still have some question about whether you would demand somebody testifying.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: In the end I probably would end up questioning it within myself. That's for sure.

MR. ADAMS: And you would try your best back in the jury room to be fair. But you don't know as you're sitting here 100 percent you can make that assurance to the Court.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Correct.

MR. ADAMS: May we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Conference at the bench)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Guerrero, thank you for your honesty, thank you for your willingness to serve. and also thank you for being here for three days. I know it's an arduous process, but I'm sure you can appreciate how important it is for both sides to ensure that the 14 people who are picked just come at this with fresh eyes and, you know, give both sides a fair hearing. So you are excused at this time. Please don't discuss our questions or what's transpired in the courtroom just now with any of the other jurors. If, you know, they ask you questions, please --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I'll keep it quiet. I won't say nothing.

THE COURT: Right. Don't say anything. Thank you very much. Officer

Wooten will direct you from the courtroom.

THE MARSHAL: And the last jury is -- the last juror is excused?

25

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -83-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -84-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -86-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, if you want to go out for a drink, or social, you know, socialize with other people, then there was a place to go.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: You go out to the club and have a beer and while you're drinking a beer there's people dancing out there, you know, and they have different levels of dancers and, you know.

THE COURT: And other things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Other things, other services.

THE COURT: All right. And let me ask you this. Here in town in Las Vegas, the Las Vegas area, are you familiar with any of the strip clubs here in town?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I've heard of them. I've never been to any of those.

THE COURT: You've never be to any of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No.

THE COURT: So you've never been to the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, I haven't.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you heard of the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, two days ago as I was driving home I started recalling pieces of information from -- from this case. I don't know if I have all the information and I don't know the accuracy or relevancy of the information that I read.

THE COURT: Okay. So you started -- let me get -- let me see if I can get this straight. You started remembering that maybe you had read about this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And would that have been in the newspaper?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I recall it was the Las Vegas Weekly

Magazine.

THE COURT: Okay. And what, if anything, did you remember reading? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Do you want me to say?

THE COURT: Yes, just say it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay. What I recall is it had to do with the Palomino history, you know, how it went from one owner to another owner to another owner, and of course the last one was the Hidalgos. And there was -- it was talking about the bad luck, bad luck of the casino, how it had gone from one owner to another owner to another owner. The last one, of course, you know, this is also a bad luck type of case, you know.

THE COURT: Okay. So it mentioned that there was this case in connection with a bigger story about the Palomino Club.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct. And it mentioned something about some attorney buying the -- or acquiring the business in return for some attorney services because the owner couldn't pay or couldn't provide -- or couldn't, you know, provide the attorney services.

THE COURT: Okay. And how did you -- did you have an opinion about that one way or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I -- I wouldn't pay much attention to that because, you know, Las Vegas Weekly Magazine is not your most --

THE COURT: Reliable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: -- reliable source.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -89-

THE COURT: And I'm glad you said that because that dovetails very nicely into my next question. It's almost like you anticipated it. And obviously you do appreciate that the media gets things wrong all the time and sometimes their reporting is incomplete or inaccurate.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you be able to, if you're selected as a juror in this case, if you remember anything else or what you do remember, could you set that all aside and base your verdict only on the evidence that's presented from the witness stand, the testimony of the witnesses, and the physical exhibits?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Of course. You would want to have a pretty well balanced, you know -- make -- make a decision based on a pretty well.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Of course. You would want to have a pretty well balanced, you know -- make -- make a decision based on a pretty well distribution of, you know, what his testimony is or whatever -- whatever the defense or whatever the prosecutors reveal.

THE COURT: Okay. So let's say you remember reading something but there is no evidence of that in this case. Can you accept that you have -- can't think about that, you only have to focus on what the evidence is and then evaluate, yeah, I believe that testimony or I don't believe that testimony or whatever?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I think -- I'm not sure. I think in my mind I want to question the -- how this came into place. It -- you know, I remember reading about the [indecipherable] -- or from what you mentioned earlier, he talked about somebody is going to sit in this also that used to be on the defense or an attorney.

THE COURT: I -- I -- I'm sorry. I'm a little -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I think I recall somebody mentioning

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Oh, another defendant might testify. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yeah, another defendant might

testify.

THE COURT: Somebody who was previously a defendant.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you said that you're going to wonder. You're going to wonder what? Why they're testifying or what would you wonder about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct. Why they're testifying and -and what was offered to the person. You know, we have conversations about, you know, people being offered a lesser charge, a lesser punishment in return for testimonies and so on. I would want to know why.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if that was addressed by the questions, then would that sort of satisfy your curiosity on that issue?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Say that again.

THE COURT: If -- okay. You would -- so you would want to know what somebody's motivation was in now testifying or whether they were getting a deal or a benefit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: What's going through my mind at the time, you know, I'm thinking client confidentiality, you know, is that in the question. What was offered to the person and why was it offered to him, you know. If you were to clear that for me and tell me that, you know, everything has been set aside because we have other reasons or other cases or it's going to something else, then, yes, I would put that on the side and -- and go by what is

presented to me.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. And I guess my question is let's say you remember reading something in the news or the Weekly newspaper and it's different from something somebody testifies to during the trial, you understand that what's in the Weekly isn't evidence and there is no -- you have to set that aside.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct. I would just put that whole -you know, whatever I recall from that I would put on the side --

THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: It's definitely scratch here --

THE COURT: Okay. And you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: -- and we start from new.

THE COURT: -- you feel pretty confident you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I feel confident I can do that.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just curious, where are you from in Texas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, all over. I grew up in El Paso,

Dallas, been in Houston.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've heard everything we've all talked about with all of the other prospective jurors. Anything based on that you feel like you need to tell us or you think we would be interested in knowing about you or your family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: What I would like to know before we go any further, what I would like to know is as we -- as the jurors start talking about the -- the gangs and the -- the fear and that's -- that's become like a concern also.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, when you say the jurors start talking about

gangs and fear, are you talking about what's happened here in the courtroom during the questioning, or are you talking about jurors talking about this in the hallway or in the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, no. What -- what -- what was here in the --

THE COURT: Here in the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: -- courtroom.

THE COURT: -- courtroom.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay. And basically as we said, and you've -- I'm -- earlier, this really is not -- there's no allegation that the individuals on trial here are involved in a gang, have ever been involved in a gang, are affiliated with a gang, or anything like that.

There may be some evidence that somebody -- that there is some knowledge of a gang or someone else was involved in a gang that's not on trial here. So other than sort of general fear, and I think everybody has some fear about gangs and things like that, is there anything that's unique to your situation or your experience involving gangs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Where I -- where I grew up in El Paso, it seems like every -- every other block was a gang.

THE COURT: Okay. And -- and were those Hispanic gangs or what kind of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Different types of gangs.

THE COURT: All black gangs, everything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Some of them didn't even have

names.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, sir. I'm going to let the State question you.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

Sir, I think I heard you say we had conversations about someone who could become defendant -- or was a defendant who would become a witness. Is that what you said that we could have conversations or we had conversations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I -- I don't recall that.

MR. PESCI: Well, has there been any conversations that you've heard among jurors about the concept of somebody becoming a witness for the State that wasn't involved?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay.

MR. PESCI: Have you heard any conversations about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, I haven't.

MR. PESCI: Okay. So were -- were you talking about your personal interest in knowing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yes.

MR. PESCI: Okay. You -- because you said you would want to know why, why someone would become a witness for the State that was at one time a defendant?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct.

MR. PESCI: All right. And are you saying that that was just -- just your thoughts and you didn't hear anybody else in this jury talking about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Those would be my thoughts, yes.

23

24

about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I don't -- I don't recall every single piece of information. This was months, if not a year ago.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And -- and as the Court has explained, that's not evidence. Whatever is there in that paper is not evidence in this case. It's something separate and distinct. Can you limit your determination based on just what the evidence is, the witnesses that come in, the exhibits that are shown? Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Of course.

MR. PESCI: And set aside what it is that you've read already? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Yes.

MR. PESCI: The -- the two incidents where you tried to take someone to court and, unfortunately, it didn't end well for you, has that affected your overall feelings towards the justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, of course.

MR. PESCI: How will those feelings affect your ability to be a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Not as a juror. I think it has affected

me personally as to the decision making process.

MR. PESCI: Which decision making process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: The judge decisions.

MR. PESCI: And I think Her Honor has pointed out that wasn't her; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct.

MR. PESCI: So that -- that decision or those decisions you wouldn't hold against this Court or this proceeding?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -96-

jurors do after they sit, they say, boy, that was awesome.

THE COURT: I wanted to be a juror. I got called and they kicked me off the panel and I was mad.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I'd just as soon -- I'd just as soon perform my jury services and get rid of this.

MR. ARRASCADA: You know, I actually had the privilege of being on a jury, and it was one of the most remarkable experiences I've ever had. But you can view it however you want.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay. And --

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

THE COURT: If you're -- trust me on this. Like I said at the beginning, I mean, I have literally, as either a lawyer or a judge, talked to thousands of people who have served as jurors and they almost to a person are happy they have to do it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, I think it's a good experience, you know. And from my previous participation as a juror on a previous case, I think it's a good experience. But I wouldn't go as far as saying it's a privilege, an honor.

MR. ARRASCADA: It's an honor to be called twice, huh? You talked about you've read about things in this case in a magazine some time ago; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Some time ago.

MR. ARRASCADA: Did you ever do any follow up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Research?

MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, I didn't. I -- I just take the papers -- I read papers all the time and, you know, articles. I can recall a whole bunch of articles, but I don't go into the, you know, research of this particular, you know, incident or so because it had nothing to do with me and my lifestyle or anything in my life.

MR. ARRASCADA: Did you form any opinions that Louis Hidalgo III may want to know about or the prosecutors may want to know about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: At the time I was reading the paper or do you mean now? What -- now you say we have to set them aside, so I don't have opinions on that. But at the time when I read it, do you want to know that?

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay. I just thought it was a sad situation for the Hidalgos. I thought it was a bad situation on -- on -- on the son.

MR. ARRASCADA: What do you mean by that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, I think it recalls saying something that he had been working real hard and his father hired him to work for -- in the business and something came about that they were having some difficulty there, he is having some difficulty with a girlfriend or wife, I can't recall the specifics.

MR. ARRASCADA: And you said he, is that the son?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: The son.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: He was having some difficulties with either his girlfriend or wife. I can't recall the specifics. And as -- as a result he retaliated against someone else or, you know, that kind of -- that's about as far

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -100-

as I -- I got out of that. And because of that he -- his father had to hire an attorney to get him out of the -- out of the trouble that he had gotten into. And the father didn't have the finances to, you know, hire the attorney and he offered the business in return to some attorney in -- he offered the Palomino in return for the services.

MR. ARRASCADA: So tell me if I'm [inaudible].

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay.

MR. ARRASCADA: [inaudible] I understood what you're saying is that your --

THE COURT: You need to speak up, Mr. Arrascada.

MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah, I will, Your Honor.

Your opinion when you read -- I don't think I need one. I just became a little low there. Is it your opinion at least when you read this that it's Louis Hidalgo III, my client, who has caused these problems?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: That's -- I think that's the way I interpret the -- the article back then, you know. But I don't -- I don't -- to be honest with you, I can't recall all the specifics. I mean, what I'm saying now, you know, it may be insignificant because I don't know the -- all the in and outs. I don't know the -- the specifics and I don't know the accuracy of the article. I don't know what actually took place. It was just something that I read in entertainment type -- as I look at the Weekly Magazine, it's just an entertainment type of magazine.

MR. ARRASCADA: And would you want to hear from witnesses what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Of course. Of course, yeah, I want to

702.635.0301 -102-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

1	Milwaukee? I mean, I heard Texas, I heard a lot of things, but we didn't hear
2	anything about Milwaukee. How did that happen?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: As far as what?
4	MR. GENTILE: Well, you got your degree there, I think.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Correct.
6	MR. GENTILE: If my notes are right.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Uh-huh.
8	MR. GENTILE: Were you stationed there?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No, no. I took the took the course
10	online.
11	MR. GENTILE: Oh, okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I was selected because I have a 3.8
13	grade point average in my computer science and a 3.6 in my yeah.
14	MR. GENTILE: What do you do to maintain your competency in the
15	Italian language?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: 1 I don't. I don't practice anymore. 1
17	still know the language, but I no. It's very similar to Spanish, so you can, you
18	know, write and speak them the same.
19	MR. GENTILE: How often do you speak Spanish?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: My job requires from time to
21	to talk to Spanish speaking people.
22	MR. GENTILE: So daily? Weekly?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I would say more frequently.
24	Frequently. I would say maybe every other day.
25	MR. GENTILE: Okay. Did you ever drive a taxi?
1	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -103-

MR. GENTILE: That's a great answer. One of the things we're concerned about is, you know, there's some people who because Louis, Jr., Louie, owned a strip club they're going to look down on him for that. Is that going to be you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: No. I look at strip clubs, strip joints as I call them, as just another business.

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Just like there are massage parlors out there and, you know, just like there's casinos. You know, people go throw their money in a casino, so I think, you know, strip clubs are basically the same thing.

MR. GENTILE: Did you ever own a business of your own?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: I owned a floor maintenance shop in California.

MR. GENTILE: Maintenance in the sense of janitorial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: We used to do primarily floors. You know, strip the -- strip the floors and wax them, rewax them, carpet cleaning, that type. I only had the business for maybe six months.

MR. GENTILE: This case that you sat on as a juror in Texas, I have a couple, just two questions I want to ask you about that. Again, you can't tell us about the verdict. What was the subject matter of the case? What kind of a criminal case was it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: It was a murder case.

MR. GENTILE: And did the defendant testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: It was -- most of the decision was

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -105-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -106going to get into the content of the article. But I will tell you that from listening to your responses regarding it, and I've read it a lot more recently than you have, you are mixing parts of it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: And so we're going to ask you -- because bottom line is the way you're reporting it is not what's in the article.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay.

MR. GENTILE: All right? So we're going to ask you to set it aside completely, don't even think about it. Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: That was the reason why I said, you know, I can't -- I can't recall the accuracy of that article --

MR. GENTILE: Okay. And I assure you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: -- and all the details.

MR. GENTILE: I assure you that your memory right now is taking about three or four different parts of it and kind of put them together in a blender. And the way you're reporting it is not the way it's in the article. But it's better that you just forget the whole thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Right. Right.

MR. GENTILE: Okay? And you'll do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Of course.

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Escobedo, thank you very much. Please don't discuss what's transpired in our questions and our discussion with any of the other prospective jurors. Thank you. I'd like you to follow Officer Wooten back out to the hallway and just have a seat out there.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -107PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Can I ask one question? THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: If I get selected for this, will I be the alternate? Because I know that you have pretty much your panel.

THE COURT: The alternates, I'm not going to say, yes, you would be the alternate or, no, you wouldn't be the alternate. The alternates are not disclosed or not revealed until the very end of the case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. PESCI: Judge, can we -- can we do follow up on that?

THE COURT: Yeah, I was just going to -- yeah, I was -- thank you. I was going to --

MR. PESCI: Thanks.

THE COURT: Why is it that you're -- did you want to be the alternate or not what to be the alternate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Well, I was just looking at the number of people you have selected so far or the -- and it looks like you already have 14 people and you're just looking for maybe one more candidate or so.

THE COURT: No. Actually, do you remember when I made the -- I did the introductory comments? I talked about there's challenges on both sides and everyone, both sides get what's called peremptory challenges and that's because it's just one more way of ensuring that we have a completely fair and neutral jury.

So, yes, a jury is composed of 12 members. There are 14 individuals, but the alternates are not revealed until the very end. And so two of the 14 will be alternates, but I don't -- I don't know who those two will be at this

point. All right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: All right.

THE COURT: And the reason for that is because we want everyone -- a lot of times the alternates are called in and we want to make sure that all 14 are paying equal attention to the -- to the testimony and the evidence. All right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. And once again, don't discuss what we've discussed and particularly the issue of the alternates --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: Okay.

THE COURT: -- as well as anything else with the other jurors. I'd like you to follow Officer Wooten out into the hallway and just have a seat out there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 056: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

(Prospective juror No. 56 exits courtroom)

THE COURT: Round two.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah. Now I think it's round two, Judge. Ding, ding.

THE COURT: Okay. State's second.

MR. PESCI: Before we do round two, Judge, I'd like to make a couple requests.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. PESCI: One thing I'd ask, the newspaper thing has come up a few times. And the way it's been worded is to tell these jurors that it's inaccurate. The last time we discussed it, I would request -- the State would request that you do it in that fashion which is to say that's not evidence and to set that aside instead of ascribing to it as it being wrong or not.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -109THE COURT: No, well --

MR. PESCI: Because there could be things that, from the State's perspective, end up being accurate.

THE COURT: Right. Well, what I say, the way I do it, and I do this the same way, is I say do you appreciate that often things are reported inaccurately or incompletely in the media. I don't say it is inaccurate, I don't say it isn't inaccurate.

MR. PESCI: And that would be our only request because --

THE COURT: That's how I do it.

MR. PESCI: -- there could be things that are accurate.

THE COURT: Right. I -- all I said is sometimes things are inaccurate, sometimes things are incomplete, you can appreciate that. And I'm going to continue doing it that way. I don't say whether it is accurate or isn't accurate. Mr. Gentile, obviously, went beyond that and said it was inaccurate in some measure.

MR. GENTILE: Well, I didn't --

MR. PESCI: That's my second request --

MR. GENTILE: I said his reporting --

MR. PESCI: -- actually, if I could finish my --

MR. GENTILE: -- his reporting mixed up parts of it.

THE COURT: Right. Yeah, he didn't say the article was inaccurate. He said his recollection was inaccurate.

MR. GENTILE: But that's the second part of the request is that all the parties refrain from indicating to potential jurors what they know. I know this or I know that or it'd be -- it was my pleasure to be on a jury. We're -- we're crossing

1	And then, Jeff, next up is Rhonda Gaddy.
2	(Prospective juror No. 41 exits courtroom)
3	(Off-record colloquy)
4	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Good afternoon.
6	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Pardon me.
8	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I'm retired, I think.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I'm looking for something to do.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Where what did you used to do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I was a model for quite a few years
14	here in Vegas
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: and I stopped when I had children.
17	THE COURT: Okay. What agency were you with?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I started way back in the day with
19	Judy Morio with Universal.
20	THE COURT: I know Judy I remember Judy Morio from Universal.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, Judy Morio.
22	THE COURT: So that would've been in the late '70s
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: It was
24	THE COURT: early '80s?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: It was probably I started when I was
ĺ	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -113-

1	other jurors that have been dismissed.
2	MR. PESCI: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I'm shocked.
4	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, not an objection, but I'm not very mobile and
5	I can't see Ms. Gaddy with Mr. Pesci
6	THE COURT: Ms. Gaddy, come on down to the front row, please.
7	MR. ARRASCADA: With Mr. Pesci it's Mr. Pesci standing in the way.
8	THE COURT: Well, I'm going to put her I'm going to put her in the
9	middle there.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay.
11	THE COURT: If you'd just take a seat in the middle so we can all see
12	you.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay.
14	THE COURT: And everybody can see you now?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Okay.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
17	MR. PESCI: Can you see, defense counsel?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Oh, now I still can't see you.
19	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Mr. DiGiacomo's head is
20	MR. PESCI: Can can you see her now?
21	THE COURT: I was going to say, Mr. DiGiacomo, put your head on the
22	table.
23	MR. PESCI: What if I go over here, will that work?
24	MR. ARRASCADA: Perfect.
25	MR. PESCI: Ma'am, you said that you were disappointed with with all

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -117-

of these individuals.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah, a little -- I'm very frustrated. I really -- I'm shocked that they don't think this is a privilege. I'm very upset about the people that don't feel that they should be up here. I don't understand it.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And I think you said you have not served on a jury before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Never.

MR. PESCI: All right. Have you ever even been summonsed before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, but my number was never -- you know, you call the night before, it was never one of those -- I was never one of those people to come in.

MR. PESCI: So you didn't have to -- to come into the building? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Never been in ever.

MR. PESCI: Okay. When you say you're -- you're fascinated, is it this particular case, or the concept of being able to serve?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Period. Being able to serve. I don't -- it wouldn't matter what it would be.

MR. PESCI: The Judge asked you about strip clubs. That's been one of the topics that's been discussed. But let's go over some of the other ones because it seems you've already answered that. The idea of drugs, what is it that comes to mind when you hear drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Drugs to me -- actually, the first thing that comes to mind is a choice. Cocaine, heroin, ecstasy, there's a lot of drugs out there.

MR. PESCI: Do you think people are responsible for those choices?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -118-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -119drive a taxicab?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.

MR. PESCI: All right. I think that covers theirs, but they might come up with something else. How about firearms? Do you have any strong feelings about firearms one way or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, I believe that if you want to own a firearm, you have a right to own a firearm.

MR. PESCI: Do you -- are you comfortable with the concept that the state has the burden of proof, that is that we have to prove this case beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

MR. PESCI: And then as far as the fact that a defendant can never be forced to testify and you cannot hold that against the defendant, do you have any problems with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.

MR. PESCI: Are you the kind of person that you want to hear both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I would like to hear any proof or -- the burden of proof is obviously on you two.

MR. PESCI: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, I think that's sufficient. I would want to hear what you have -- what your proof is, what you have to say, and the evidence.

MR. PESCI: And, you know, it's a difficult question because we ask it in the sense of how and the way we all normally think, would you like to hear both

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -120-

1	concerned about this case and one of the reasons that I that that you would
2	make a good juror is because you have life experience in this city.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Uh-huh.
4	MR. GENTILE: And you're supposed to bring that with you here and set
5	aside biases. Can you do that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Definitely.
7	MR. GENTILE: Have you ever hired a lawyer? It sounds like you're
8	about to.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah. Well, he doesn't he doesn't
10	want to get an attorney. I think that's kind of I ought to check that out.
11	MR. GENTILE: Have you ever hired a lawyer.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: He's like, we can do this without an
13	attorney. So I don't know if anybody's interested.
14	MR. GENTILE: Have you ever hired a lawyer?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
16	MR. GENTILE: No?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. I have I'm pretty uneventful. I
18	have not been in need of an attorney.
19	MR. GENTILE: What would you do if you did? You've been here a long
20	time. You know a lot of people. Probably call Wayne Newton, I guess. Mort's
21	not with us anymore, so what would you do? How would you go about it?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Oh, I would ask probably my father or
23	friends who they would recommend.
24	MR. GENTILE: Does your father have a lawyer?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROB NO. 057° I'm sure.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -123-

MR. ADAMS: Hi Ms. Gaddy.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Hi.

MR. ADAMS: I was really going to have no questions, but since I was challenged to come up with new ones by the prosecutor, I can't, as a lawyer, seem to help myself. When you hear the term prosecutor, what do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Prosecute.

MR. ADAMS: Prosecuting attorney, prosecute, what's -- what's the impression that you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: To -- I don't want to say -- I want to maybe prove punishment. Not punishment per se, but --

MR. ADAMS: Okay. How about people who do it for a living, the prosecutors or district attorney? Do you have any reaction to that term?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.

MR. ADAMS: Good or bad or nothing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, not bad at all.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. How about to defense lawyers? And more specifically if you hear criminal defense lawyer, what's your thought?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My thought is everybody has a right to be defended regardless because you really don't know the story, the whole story, and everybody deserves a chance.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. How about the term accused of a crime or defendant? What's your sort of starting point with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Accused of a crime, accusations are all day long with everybody. So everybody is usually accused of something at one time or another in their life. And I believe they deserve a right, have a right

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -126-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -128-