JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -130-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -131-

1	THE COURT: And just have a seat out there in the hall.	
2	(Prospective juror No. 87 exits courtroom)	
3	THE COURT: Are we on was the last one State's seventh?	
4	MR. GENTILE: I believe	
5	MR. PESCI: Correct, Judge.	
6	MR. GENTILE: so, Judge.	
7	THE COURT: All right. So we're on we're on defendant's seventh.	
8	MR. GENTILE: It's our turn?	
9	THE COURT: Yes.	
10	(Off-record colloquy)	
11	MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, I don't know the juror's number.	
12	Where what's the number? No, I know where they're sitting.	
13	MS. ARMENI: It's 39.	
14	MR. GENTILE: Juror No. 39, Mr. Freeman.	
15	THE COURT: All right.	
16	And he is one you need to call, I believe. Juror No. 39, Mr.	
17	Freeman.	
18	MR. GENTILE: Six and seven are alternates; right?	
19	THE COURT: Right.	
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah, they just took they used their alternate one;	
21	right?	
22	MR. PESCI: Yes.	
23	THE COURT: So Mr. Freeman was in which chair?	
24	MS. ARMENI: In seven, Your Honor.	
25	MR. GENTILE: So now the alternates are if I understand correctly, the	е
	JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -132-	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -133-

1	THE COURT: Good for you. What do you do for a living, sir?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I'm a driver.
3	THE COURT: And do you drive a truck or what?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes, I drive a truck.
5	THE COURT: For what kind of a company?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: For public service.
7	THE COURT: For public service?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: 13 years.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: She works for the County.
15	THE COURT: Doing what?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I don't know her job.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what division she works at?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Banking.
19	THE COURT: In banking?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: All right. And do you have any children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
23	THE COURT: How many?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: One.
25	THE COURT: And the age of your child?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -134-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -135-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -136-

1	THE COURT: that really did it.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Exactly.
3	THE COURT: Okay. What about the flip of that? Have you or anyone
4	close to you ever been arrested or charged with a crime?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: My brother.
6	THE COURT: Okay. And what crime was that or crimes?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Premeditated murder.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And where did that happen?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Arkansas.
10	THE COURT: Also in Arkansas. All right. How long ago was that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Probably about 23 years ago, 24
12	years ago.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Were you living back in Arkansas at that time?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Were you close with your brother?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I just had met him.
17	THE COURT: You just met him?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So did you have different mothers or different
20	fathers?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Fathers.
22	THE COURT: Different fathers. Okay. So you didn't grow up with that
23	person.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Not at all.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Now, when he was charged with it, did you follow

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -137-

1	his case at all?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I went to his trial.
3	THE COURT: You did?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: So they actually had a jury trial with jurors just like we're
6	doing here?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Was he convicted?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And what was the allegations or the situation of that?
11	Was it somebody you knew or a robbery or
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I don't know.
13	THE COURT: You don't know.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any feelings about whether your
16	brother got justice or didn't get justice?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No, I don't.
18	THE COURT: You don't have any feelings one way or the other?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you yourself ever had to hire a lawyer?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes, a divorce.
22	THE COURT: For a divorce?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And and where did you get divorced? Was it in
25	Arkansas or here?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -138-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -139-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -141-

1	on trial. I saw it on TV. But then I went and visited my father and he told me.
2	Then I had started I had went to trial with him then.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. So it was you came to a courtroom, but you
4	didn't actually watch the evidence come in against your brother?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Do you know at all what the story was that
7	involved your brother and the crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: The only part I came was when they
9	were trying to find the gun, and then and now they found the gun, and then he
10	was sentenced. And I basically came in when he was sentenced.
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And you said how long ago was that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Like 20-some years ago.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And the you don't look like a very old guy,
14	so were you a kid back then?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I was like 18, 19.
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, okay.
17	THE COURT: You're well preserved.
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: During your your life, you've heard us talk about
19	this a little bit, gang members. You ever had any contact with gang members?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Contact? What you mean contact?
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you know any?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I work with a lot of them.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: You work with some of them?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yes.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And, you know, just because the tag gang

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -142-

1	member is put on somebody doesn't necessarily mean, you know, everything
2	that you hear in the media about what a gang member
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Exactly.
4	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. That that, you know, everybody kind of
5	stands on their own two feet and a gang member may just be some part of their
6	life.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Exactly.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you very much, sir.
9	I pass for cause.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	Mr. Gentile.
12	MR. GENTILE: Mr. Adkins, you ever been in the military?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Adkins?
14	MS. ARMENI: Patterson.
15	MR. GENTILE: Did I
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Patterson.
17	MR. GENTILE: Excuse me. I just read it wrong.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No.
19	MR. GENTILE: Mr. Patterson.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No, never have.
21	MR. GENTILE: Never been in the military.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No.
23	MR. GENTILE: Okay. You say you work with some guys that are in a
24	gang, or different guys that
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: I don't know if they still in the gang.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -143-

1	They just saying they used to be part of a gang.
2	MR. GENTILE: Oh, they they say that they used to be part of a gang.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Right.
4	MR. GENTILE: Okay. But you yourself have had no personal contact
5	with gangs?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: If I work with them, you know
7	MR. GENTILE: I see what you're saying. I see what you're saying.
8	Okay. Do you know do they do they talk to you about what gangs they were
9	a part of?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Most of them like I don't know.
11	Basically the Bloods, Crips. That was that was basically it.
12	MR. GENTILE: That's it?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yeah.
14	MR. GENTILE: Okay. But you yourself have had no gang problems?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: No.
16	MR. GENTILE: Thank you.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gentile.
18	Mr. Arrascada?
19	MR. ARRASCADA: No questions, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21	Mr. Patterson, thank you
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: very much. In a moment I'm going to have you follow
24	Jeff from the double doors, but please don't talk about what's just transpired,
25	what's just happened in the courtroom, meaning our questions and your answers

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -144-

1	with the other prospective jurors.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: Okay.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. And just have a seat out there in
4	the hallway please.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 089: All right.
6	(Prospective juror No. 89 exits courtroom)
7	MR. DIGIACOMO: Sorry, buddy. My partner overruled me.
8	THE COURT: Avalone?
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: You're excusing Mr. Avalone?
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: We are.
12	THE COURT: And Jeff can call him.
13	Mr. Avalone is the next excused, so you have to call him. But now
14	we're up to Ms. Pezza.
15	MR. ADAMS: So they have two strikes left; is that right?
16	MR. GENTILE: No, they have one.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: We have one now.
18	THE COURT: They have one.
19	MR. ADAMS: Okay.
20	THE COURT: And you have two.
21	MR. ADAMS: All right.
22	THE COURT: Ms. Pezza next, and then call Mr. Avalone.
23	I agree with Mr. Pesci.
24	MR. PESCI: Thanks, Judge.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: That's what I said, but

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -145-

1	THE COURT: Ma'am, go ahead and have a seat there in the jury box.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Anywhere?
3	THE COURT: Anywhere is fine. Anywhere you're comfortable. And do
4	you say your last name Pezza?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I'm a stock clerk at Bellagio for a retail
8	store.
9	THE COURT: You're a sales clerk for a retail store?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No, a stock clerk.
11	THE COURT: Oh, a stock clerk. Okay. And what store do you work at?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: La Scarpa.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
15	THE COURT: Any children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.
17	THE COURT: How many and what ages?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I have two living children. They're
19	girls, one is six and one is seven months old.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Did have you ever been a juror before?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the
23	victim of a crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. What about the flip of that? You or anyone close

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -146-

1	to you ever been arrested or accused of a crime?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: My boyfriend, he was arrested and
5	got charged with obstruction to a police officer.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Is this your current boyfriend?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Correct.
8	THE COURT: All right. And what does he do for a living?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: He does some construction, but not
10	really. He it's for mold and asbestos. They tear down walls and stuff.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So like when people have mold in their houses
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.
13	THE COURT: and stuff he would
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: And floods, yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Now, the obstruction of a police officer, was that a
16	misdemeanor do you know?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. How long ago did that happen?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: That was in 2003.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And do you know what agency the police officer
21	was with? Was it like Metro, Henderson, North Las Vegas?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I believe it was North Las Vegas.
23	This was before I met him.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So you don't
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
1	1

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -147-

01885

1	THE COURT: know anything about it.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I have no idea, really.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Any opinions about that case or the charges?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else in your background or your family
6	background regarding people being arrested or anything?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I believe my brother was. He stole
8	from Wal-Mart or something.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: So, I mean, besides that I don't have
11	any knowledge of anything else.
12	THE COURT: Okay. How do you feel about strip clubs?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: They're okay.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been to one?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I have.
16	THE COURT: All right. Here in southern Nevada? Here in Clark
17	County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And what clubs have you been to?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I don't recall the name. It was a long
21	time ago.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been to the Palomino Club?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
24	THE COURT: Do you know anybody that works in the strip club
25	industry?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -148-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And anything that -- you heard all the talking all these days and our questions and people's answers, anything based on all of our discussions with the other prospective jurors that you think we need to know about you or would like to know about you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Just the whole gang thing.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I pretty much, just like everybody else, I don't really feel comfortable. I know that you said there's nobody really involved here, but Ms. Armeni mentioned, you know, the MS-13 so I was under the impression that somebody might be with that gang --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: -- in some aspect of the related issues.

THE COURT: Okay. And if I told you, no, that's not, you know, you're not going to hear from an MS-13 gang member or they're not affiliated with MS-13, nobody in the room is, does that sort of allay your fears or concerns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: In a way, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Where did you grow up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Partially in Germany and partially here.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you come from a military family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Sort of. My father, he was in the military when he met my mother, but when we moved over here he was not.

THE COURT: Okay. And is your mother, then, German?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -150-

kind of peek your concerns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Because I've watched History
Channel, the Discovery Channel, and they had a whole documentary on the MS13 gang, and I just do not want to be affiliated with that.

MR. PESCI: Okay. How do you think that would affect you in your deliberation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: If they were part of this case or -MR. PESCI: Well, here, let me put it to you this way so it's clear. The
State's not alleging that these two defendants are a part of a particular gang or in
any gang.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Okay.

MR. PESCI: All right? You're going to hear about the fact that somebody might've been a part of the gang that's involved in this case, but you also might hear about the concept of people's concerns about MS-13 and how that factored into decision making processes. So the question I have for you is what you know about MS-13, how does that affect the way that you would make a decision back in the jury room?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I don't think it would affect the decision guilty or not guilty. I just don't want any retaliation.

MR. PESCI: Okay. No doubt. No one wants to have any of that happen. What we're trying to understand is the fear or the concern of retaliation, how will that influence you?

MR. GENTILE: I think she's just answered that question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: It -- I don't think it would influence my decision. I mean, even as a juror -- if I was sitting in here as a juror and MS-13 --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -151-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -152-

1	understand it was before the two of you got together?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Correct.
3	MR. PESCI: Has he ever talked about that since you've been together?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Briefly here and there. I don't really
5	care to hear about that type of stuff from him.
6	MR. PESCI: How come?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: It just doesn't interest me.
8	MR. PESCI: And what's your overall feelings towards police officers?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I don't have a problem with them.
10	MR. PESCI: Can you listen to the evidence in this case and and make
11	a decision based on the evidence alone?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Without hearing
13	MR. PESCI: Without, you know, hearing what somebody else might say
14	happened somewhere else. At the end of the day the decision is based on what
15	the evidence is.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Okay.
17	MR. PESCI: Can you restrict your decision to the evidence?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Sure.
19	MR. PESCI: We pass, Judge.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21	Who would like to go next?
22	MR. ARRASCADA: Well, I guess it's afternoon, so good afternoon.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Good afternoon.
24	MR. ARRASCADA: You started to say when the prosecutor was
25	questioning you you said without hearing. Where were you going with that?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -153-

1	Right here at the end, when he said listen to the evidence, and you said without
2	hearing
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Oh, your side.
4	MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah. Is that where you were going?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Uh-huh.
6	MR. ARRASCADA: What are your feelings about that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: That if I don't hear from you?
8	MR. ARRASCADA: Yeah.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I don't have to hear from you. I
10	mean, I don't have to hear from you to state your case.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: Because they're case may not be proven? Or
12	how how is it what do you mean by you don't have to you don't have to
13	hear from us, other than you've heard it from the Judge, I'm talking about
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Right.
15	MR. ARRASCADA: how you feel.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: She said that you guys don't have to
17	state your case.
18	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. How do you feel about that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Well, I would like to hear from your
20	side. I mean, if they're being prosecuted and they're stating their evidence, I
21	would like to hear from your side to counteract what they're saying or bringing in
22	evidence of some sort.
23	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Do you have to hear from our side?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I don't have to hear it to make to
25	make a decision, but I would like to.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -154MR. ARRASCADA: If you don't hear Louis Hidalgo III testify, what would your decision be because you said you don't have to hear to make a decision?

Do you -- do you have a leaning where your decision would go if we don't -- if he does not testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.

MR. ARRASCADA: If they're unable to prove their case and what -- what happens, just so you know, is witnesses get put up and we will cross-examine those witnesses asking them questions. So you'll hear a case without Mr. Hidalgo having to testify, or he could. Do you have any feelings about that presentation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No, because I'm not really aware of how this really works. That's what I was talking about is cross-examining the witnesses. Not necessarily hearing from the accused themselves. That's what I was going towards.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. So you'd be able to listen -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- and be fair? Is there anything, as you sit there right now, that you're -- is in your mind that you just can't let go of that you've heard us talking about that you need [inaudible]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: [inaudible].

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. You -- you said regarding your boyfriend's arrest that you just don't care to hear about it. What do you mean by that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: It just does not interest me.

MR. ARRASCADA: Are you concerned for him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -156-

1	giving.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: And you could reach determinations of whether they
3	intended or not, like if you don't know them, by the vibe or everything you see
4	and hear?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I don't know if I could I mean,
6	people say things out of anger and not necessarily act upon them, but then like
7	I'm pretty sure there's cases that they have. But I would just read their body
8	language.
9	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Thank you.
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11	Is it going to be Ms. Armeni?
12	MS. ARMENI: It is, Your Honor.
13	Hello.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Hello.
15	MS. ARMENI: Ms. Pezza, what did you do before you worked at
16	Bellagio?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I worked at K-Mart.
18	MS. ARMENI: Okay. And kind of the same thing, stock clerking?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.
20	MS. ARMENI: Or did what did you do there?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Well, it started out that way.
22	MS. ARMENI: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: And then I was the customer service
24	manager.
25	MS. ARMENI: Did you have people underneath you?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -157-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: And how would you handle something like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I would talk to the customer, you know, apologize, you know, and make sure next time they come they would get better service or, you know, if they weren't satisfied we were to give them some kind of a cash card or something and I would have to pull the cashier to the side and question them.

MS. ARMENI: Would you still apologize to the customer even if you, after you talked to the cashier you thought maybe your cashier was right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: Do you think that will -- with that experience specifically, but also with your other experiences, what do you think about that would make you a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: I just look at the information I was given, try to analyze both situations and make a decision based on facts.

MS. ARMENI: Now, we -- everybody's kind of hit on the strip club industry a little bit. So do you have any opinions if I throw out strip club owner? Do you have any opinions of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.

MS. ARMENI: No? Not one way or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.

MS. ARMENI: And if you got -- do you know any lawyers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 090: No.

MS. ARMENI: If you got yourself in a situation that you felt like was a serious situation for you, whatever it may be, do you think you'd call a lawyer?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -160-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -161-

01899

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -162-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -163-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -164-

1	countries, met dignitaries, but I was it was fun.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, sir?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I'm no longer married with five
4	children.
5	THE COURT: All right. And you have five children. What's the age
6	range?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: 20 well, she'll be 20 in June. 20,
8	20 no, 19, 21, 23, 27, 28.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And when you got divorced or are you divorced?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes, I am.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Did you have a lawyer represent you in your
12	divorce?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes. I paid for mine and hers.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And then where where what state did you get
15	divorced in?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Washington State.
17	THE COURT: Washington State. How do you feel about your lawyer?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Mixed feelings. I mean, he was a
19	good guy, but I just I got
20	THE COURT: Nice guy, but could've done a better job.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I paid 80 percent of my take home
22	pay.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Because probably the last thing you want for a
24	lawyer
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: But Washington
- 1	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -165-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -166-

1 defender. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Now, at 16 was he still tried as a juvenile? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And how do you feel about the work the public 5 defender did representing your son? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, I understand it was going on, 7 but my son was very scared. And so, oh, you know, he didn't do this, he didn't 8 do this, he didn't do that. And I guess through the process, I don't know it was 9 coercion, I think he finally fessed up that, yeah, he was inappropriate, but I think 10 he got hammered hard because this follows him for the rest of his life. Even 11 though he's married with two kids and is well adjusted, he always has to register. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: It was never sealed. 14 THE COURT: And so you feel like he was treated unfairly a little bit. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah. 16 THE COURT: Or maybe a lot. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I don't dwell on it. 18 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: There's nothing I can do about it. 20 He -- he's adjusted. He's done well. And I always thought if I won the lottery I'd try to seal his records and he can lead a normal life to where he doesn't have to 21 22 worry about that. 23 THE COURT: I don't even think you need to win the lottery for that. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah. 25 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Who do you blame, if anyone, or

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -167-

what participant in the justice system do you blame, if anyone, for the -- what you think is the harsh or somewhat unfair treatment your son received?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, I -- I can't say because I wasn't really there for the trial. I -- the divorce, I mean, I tried to make ends meet because I was awarded such an impact on my life financially to pay child support and spousal support. I was there in the beginning, but I wasn't there during the trial. Just through the divorce my ex would never inform me of anything that was going on anytime, you know, and I tried.

And so to keep my sanity I just worked hard and paid the bills. I wasn't there for the trial. I think it was unfortunate, that it was harsh, but it was the law, they could do that. I just wish I had the wherewithal to hire a good defender.

THE COURT: Okay. So you think if you had hired a private attorney as opposed to a public defender you might've had a more favorable outcome?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah, on something that was fair. I mean, what was done inappropriate was inappropriate. But to end up with a felony conviction of rape is very serious.

THE COURT: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: It'll follow you the rest of your life.

THE COURT: And do you have the same feeling for your divorce, if maybe you could've afforded a better lawyer you would've had a more favorable outcome? Or do you think it was just kind of the state of the law in Washington?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, it was pro tem judge and she didn't like me. I don't know why, but that's --

THE COURT: So it may not have mattered?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -168PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah, it may not have mattered. What is, is. It just was, and I've done okay. You know, I --

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, what are your feelings about strip clubs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I've been in the Marine Corp, I had buddies and we've gone to one or two. I've probably frequented a strip club in my life maybe four times. You're young, very impressionable. It is a business, and in this state it's legitimate. There's guidelines and so forth. I don't have a problem with it. I choose not to go.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you hold it against somebody because they were affiliated with that industry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you personally know anyone that has worked in a strip club industry as a, you know, bouncer, bartender --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No, I have not.

THE COURT: -- dancer, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Okay. You've heard all of the discussions we've had and the questioning --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.

THE COURT: -- that has gone on with the other prospective jurors.

Based on that is there anything you think we need to know about you or would like to know about you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: A couple things. One is I always seek the truth. I like to know -- I think I'd be a good juror. I really do. However,

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -169-

1	this will have a severe financial hardship on me. I didn't raise my hand because I
2	was second from the end or third from the end. And I've been through the
3	process and they rarely get that far. But because of the nature of this case, I
4	understand you're going through everyone. And because you want to make sure
5	they have a fair and impartial panel to make a verdict. So it's going to hurt.
6	Right now I've missed four days of work. That's \$500 because I'm an apprentice.
7	I'm not at top scale. Right. You're at, what is it, \$15 an hour?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Actually, I'm making \$23 an hour.
9	THE COURT: Oh, well, then I don't feel sorry for you. I'm teasing.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yeah, but you don't have my bills
11	either, man.
12	THE COURT: No, I was and I was joking.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I know.
14	THE COURT: I was totally joking. But so you're not making what what
15	you would be making if you had been through the program which is
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Right.
17	THE COURT: substantially more.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: In another three years I'll make \$40
19	an hour and change, but for now I'm apprentice. I have a household. I'm putting
20	my daughter, my last daughter through college, so
21	THE COURT: Okay. And right now, in terms of your household it's just
22	yourself, but you're helping
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, I have a girlfriend. We live
24	together. The house is mine, but we share expenses. And, you know, if I can
25	get a letter stating to my creditors I was in jury, there's nothing I can do. Fulfill

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -170-

my civic duty, but it came at a cost and that is you will get paid later rather than sooner.

THE COURT: I'm happy to write that letter. I don't know if it's going to help with your creditors. We certainly send that to your employers and they're required to let you off work, but they're not required to pay you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: But I have no other reason than that and like everything else in the face of life, I will survive this too.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this, your program as an apprentice, what hours do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I normally work from 6:00 a.m. to

THE COURT: Okay. And so, you know, let's say we had a 12:30 start, would you be required to miss that whole day, or would you at least be able to work the morning if we were starting later?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I -- I start at 6:00, and if court started at 12 or 12:30, I could break -- I can get, you know, six hours, maybe five hours a day.

THE COURT: Okay. Would that help you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Sure.

THE COURT: Now, some days we may start late, and then other days, like today, we may start early, but that might lessen the impact. Again, it depends on what the Court's calendar is. And some mornings we're just -- you know, have other things, so -- all right. Well, that concludes my questioning.

The State may follow up.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, because of children in the home, it's just a safety issue. If -- right now to have a decent firearm, even though it's just me and adults in the home, I would like to. My girlfriend is from the mid west. They grew up hunters so it's -- firearms are not an issue. MR. PESCI: All right. What about gangs? What do you think about when you hear gangs? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I've never been part of a gang. I don't want to piss them off. So I choose not to join gang. MR. PESCI: All right. And with that selection, that choice, would you have any problem being a juror when you might hear about people involved in this case, not the defendants, being somehow tied to a gang? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: No. It's they're lifestyle. For one reason they're part of a gang, don't know why. Unless it's relevant, I have no opinion on it. MR. PESCI: In the Marine Corps, were you ever part of any court martial proceedings? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes, I was.

MR. PESCI: And how so? What did you do in that process? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I was in the Marine Corps for 13 years. I was on recruiting duty working 80 to 90 hours a week, and I was not really qualified to be on special duty. I was trying to make rank because my MOS was overmanned by 200 percent. And my -- I had four children at the time. My wife was pregnant with number five, and I was never home except on Sundays, and every other Sunday was a training day for recruiting day. I was a successful recruiter. The first month was a zero, I got no

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -174-

contract. The next month was one, the next month was two, the next month was three, the next month was four, the next month was five. And our quota was only three because they always asked for more, and if you got your quota, you could do whatever you want, you got the rest of the time off.

Well, that wasn't true because if somebody else was down you had to make up the number. I worked hard, did very well, and I finally said that's it. I took off for three or four days, they finally came back and said here I am.

And they ordered me to go back to recruit, take the book, and I said, no, my family is more important. I can't see my family suffer everyday so I was court martialed. I did a CEM, career ending move, and after it was done I appealed and I won, but I could never go back.

MR. PESCI: And that -- that overall situation, how does that make you feel about the justice system in general? I know it's separate with the military, but --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: I knew what I was doing. I knew that I was not going to go to jail, but I was ending my career because I had another four years on my contract or something like that. I was a career Marine, but -- and I even talked to the command about it. It fell upon deaf ears.

They had one opening left in the command to where I could contribute towards the cause, but asking me to go out and work this hard all the time, I'd rather be overseas to where I don't see my family suffer because I would move them near their family. The situation was I had to make a decision. And it may not have been the best one, but here I am today. I am okay and I have no regrets.

MR. PESCI: Thank you very much, sir.

1	Pass.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	Who would like to go next?
4	MS. ARMENI: Mr. Lemke, when I throw out the word drugs, what do you
5	think of?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Well, either prescribed or un-
7	prescribed.
8	MS. ARMENI: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Drugs, I mean, typically I would think
10	medication.
11	MS. ARMENI: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: On the street it's just it's pills that
13	are gotten usually illicitly taken to get high or to do whatever.
14	MS. ARMENI: And do you know people around you that have taken
15	either the non-prescription or the
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.
17	MS. ARMENI: prescription drugs?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Yes.
19	MS. ARMENI: Yes? And how how did they act while they were on
20	those drugs?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Abnormal, typically. Either high,
22	manic or depressive or angry or just totally livid.
23	MS. ARMENI: You kind of were talking about decisions and you seem to
24	have a really good attitude about what kind of happens, we move on
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 092: Uh-huh.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -176-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -177-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -178-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -179-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -180-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -182-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No.

THE COURT: And anything to a family member or someone close to you been the victim of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever been arrested or charged with a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No.

THE COURT: Okay. How do you feel about strip clubs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Not too favorable about them.

THE COURT: And why is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Well, back in 1977 I had this girlfriend I was very much in love with, and we had an ongoing relationship for the better part of three years. And she got influenced somehow, you know, and she went into the sex industry as a call girl, and then I -- from word of mouth I heard that she went on to do other things that were too decadent, you know. I mean, we broke off by this time, but it disappointed me so much.

I'm -- I'm a Christian. I'm a born again Christian. I -- you know, the morality aspect of going to these clubs are not favorable to me, although I used to go. The last strip club I went to was actually the Palomino Club back in 1976. I lived here from 1973 to '77, then moved back to New York, and came back in '93. The last strip club I was at was the Palomino Club in 1976.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: And that's the last time I ever went to a strip club.

THE COURT: And I don't mean to pry, but was that before you became

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -183-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: No. No.

THE COURT: Okay.

I'll turn it over to the State.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Good morning -- or I guess it's afternoon now.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Day four. The question becomes, at the end of the day, if you're selected for the jury, the negative thoughts you have about the strip club business, is that something that you can set aside and judge the facts of this case, or would you hold that against Mr. Hidalgo and his son?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I think due to the nature of the situation, there's a morality aspect involved.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I'm a guy. You know, I'm not saying I'm not attracted to that sort of thing. I mean, you know, as a human being, you know, we're always tempted to, you know, look in places where we shouldn't be looking, you know. I try to avoid that sort of thing.

I've become very bitter towards immoral acts, you know. And I think a lot of it has to do with the great disappointment that I've experienced with this girl who I was very much in love with back in the '70s. She just -- she went nuts, you know. She just went the other way. She was such a good girl, and she just totally transformed into, you know, it was like one of my worst nightmares, you know. My heart was broken and it took many years to get over it, you know.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So I'm just -- today's the day. So can you give them a fair trial, or do you think maybe you'd hold something against them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Personally speaking, I -- in all

1	honesty, I don't think so. I really can't say with a clear heart that I could because
2	I just don't feel good about this industry at all.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you very much for your honesty.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Thank you.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: We'll submit it, Judge.
6	THE COURT: No questions from Mr. Gentile?
7	MR. GENTILE: No, I don't need to question.
8	THE COURT: And no questions from Mr. Arrascada?
9	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Frank Sinatra, I'm going to have you go and
11	have a seat back out in the hallway, sir. And please don't discuss anything that
12	you've talked about with any of the other prospective jurors.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Of course not.
14	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
15	(Prospective juror No. 93 exits courtroom)
16	MR. GENTILE: May I speak to him now that he's been excused?
17	THE COURT: Well, he hasn't. Actually, he hasn't.
18	MR. GENTILE: Oh, okay.
19	THE COURT: Go ahead.
20	MR. GENTILE: That's fine. I won't.
21	THE COURT: He will be in a moment.
22	MR. DIGIACOMO: I mean, I'm assuming
23	THE COURT: I mean, I'm assuming.
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: they're challenging for cause.
25	THE COURT: I just wanted to put it on the record.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -186-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -187-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -189-

1	We're going to go ahead and excuse you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Sorry we had to keep you here so many days, but we
4	need to go in order so that
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I understand.
6	THE COURT: everyone can anticipate. And also I'm sure you
7	appreciate how important it is for both sides to ferret out any biases and that's
8	why the process takes such a long time.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Absolutely.
10	(Off-record colloquy)
11	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: I'm not going to make you sing.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: I could if you want me too, Your
15	Honor.
16	MR. ADAMS: Come on, Judge. Come on.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And Officer Wooten will direct you from the courtroom.
20	And, Jeff, bring in Mr. Cordova.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 093: Thank you.
22	(Prospective juror No. 93 exits courtroom)
23	THE COURT: Mr. Cordova, come on down and have a seat there,
24	anywhere in the jury box you feel comfortable.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Okay.
l	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -190-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Actually, we get all -- I mean, we get everything.

THE COURT: Okay. And what do you personally supervise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Actually, I'm inside the girls unit right now, so I supervise a total of -- well, however -- how many girls are there.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: That's what I do right now.

THE COURT: All right. And obviously there's going to be some testimony from law enforcement officers, police officers in this case. You work in law enforcement. Is there anything about that that would impact your ability to be fair and impartial to both sides in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: No, not to be -- you know, I'll be fair on both parts.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: I would.

THE COURT: All right. Now, would you automatically believe the -- or listen to or believe a police officer's testimony more than that of any other witness simply because somebody's a police officer or a law enforcement officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Well, I have my -- let me put it this way. I've got my sort of discretion when it comes to the police officers. Not all -- you know, police officers got to do their job, but the same token, they exactly don't -- pretty much don't know exactly what's going on, they're just doing their job.

THE COURT: Right. Do you think it's fair that some police officers do a good job and some police officers not such a good job?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -192-

702.635.0301 -195-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -196-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -197-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -199-

01937

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Well, actually, Winslow, I think that's -- when I'm growing up in my time, that's all there was.

THE COURT: Really?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Yeah.

THE COURT: And what gangs were prevalent in your community?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Well, you had the barrio espanolas, then you had sen-town gangsta crips, you had dopeman association. They also had -- there was another one there I just can't quite think of.

THE COURT: So they had both Hispanic gangs and African American gangs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Actually, it was just -- it was -- the gangs in Arizona, they're basically they're both mixed.

THE COURT: Okay. So people of different races in one gang? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: And it's a little bit different than that here; isn't it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Yeah. Actually, I think in Arizona it's more just a territory than what it is -- just based on race.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

State, you may follow up with Mr. Cordova.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you.

I think I got this right. I haven't been down in juvenile in -- in a very long time. But when they bring the girls in for -- to be held in custody, is -- is that what your job is, that you're one of the probation officers that are there inside the jail, you're responsibilities for them are inside? Well, I wouldn't call it a jail, but in the facility.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -200-

with vice.

THE COURT: Detention.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Yes, I'm responsible for them, sir.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And that's what your responsibilities are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Exactly.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And you have a lot of contact with parole and probation officers, juvenile parole and probation officers who are on the street dealing with these individuals; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Yeah. Not only that, but, I mean, you got attorneys, you know, defense lawyers and stuff like that actually calling and wanting to speak to them.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Sometimes they relay information to us, we relay information to them, we do observations, we monitor all that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And you also have contact with a lot of cops; right?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Vice?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And I think you said it, you know, look, in every profession there's people that are good at their job and people that are bad at their job.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Pretty much. I mean, we mostly deal

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Exactly.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And you'll judge each and every person that comes in here to testify on -- on -- on what they say from the stand, their demeanor, the reasonableness of what they're saying. Merely because they're a cop you're not

1	even going to accept or reject what they have to say; right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: No, it doesn't necessarily mean my
3	thing is usually their first impression is not always the correct impression.
4	Sometimes you can be fooled, sometimes you're actually right.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: All right. I want to talk to you a little bit about the fact
6	that you have an on ongoing case. Obviously it's a misdemeanor case;
7	correct?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Actually, it's a gross misdemeanor,
9	sir.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: It's gross misdemeanor?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Gross misdemeanor.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: That's what they charged me with.
14	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. But certainly not a murder case; right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: No.
16	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And obviously whatever is happening,
17	whether or not it's my office or some other office that is involved in the
18	prosecution, you're not going to hold it against Giancarlo Mr. Pesci and I, are
19	you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: No.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And certainly because you work in the juvenile
22	justice system you're not going to hold that fact against the defendants in this
23	particular case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: No.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you think you can be a fair and impartial juror?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -202-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -203-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Okay.
2	MR. GENTILE: What I'm trying to do is get you in touch with what's
3	inside of you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Uh-huh.
5	MR. GENTILE: So that we can talk about it out in the open and honest.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Okay.
7	MR. GENTILE: Okay? If I were you, I'd have a bias because I'd be
8	concerned about the fact that I might have to come back with a not guilty verdict
9	against the same office that's going to be prosecuting me in my case.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: I apologize, Judge, but I just looked it up. It's a City
11	charge and we are not prosecuting
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: this individual.
14	THE COURT: Is is it the Las Vegas City Attorney?
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah, it's a City case number on on
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. DIGIACOMO: the thing.
18	THE COURT: So it's not
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: We have no
20	THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo's office.
21	MR. DIGIACOMO: It's not our
22	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: office.
24	MR. GENTILE: All right. All right. Well, that makes it a little bit easier,
25	but we still have a situation where where you do have something hanging over

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -204-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -205-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -206-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -207-

01945

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, may we approach?

THE COURT: Of course.

(Conference at the bench)

THE COURT: Mr. Cordova, I want to thank you for your participation. I'm sorry that this process has taken so long. But I'm sure as somebody who works in the system you can appreciate why both sides need to really delve into these issues and make sure everybody's impartial and not bring any biases one way or the other.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Not a problem, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I'm going to excuse you at this point. Please don't discuss anything that's transpired in the courtroom with anyone else, meaning the other members of the panel, and you are excused, sir, and Jeff will direct you from the courtroom.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 097: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Prospective juror No. 97 exits courtroom)

THE COURT: All right. Here's the way --

Sir, thank you.

Here's the way it works. We are going to go to lunch. I am going to send the panel to lunch, even the people who came at 12:30. They're all going to go to lunch. I'm going to give them like an hour and 20 minutes. We're going take about 35 minutes. You can use the second transcript in your PowerPoint if you've got that.

MR. ADAMS: Well, Judge, we -- we did the research until three in the morning.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -208-

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Then we'll have to rule on that. All right. I didn't know there was more.

All right. We're going to take for us, meaning you'll probably have to go downstairs like 30 minutes for lunch and then we'll argue about the thing.

Jeff is asking the people outside if they can work past five tonight because we've got to get two more jurors, and at that point I'd like to do the openings and then send them home for the weekend.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, is there any possibility, and I've not consulted with the other counsel about this, any possibility of perhaps exploring having one alternate and moving one of the alternates into the panel?

THE COURT: Yeah, we did just talk about that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm concerned at the rate we're going that that's not a good idea. But my other -- other concern is is that we bring these new people up, it's the dog and pony show from the beginning.

THE COURT: Right. So, I mean, either --

MR. GENTILE: We're not going to get all of our opening statements done today. We'll be here --

THE COURT: Well, either --

MR. GENTILE: -- until 8:00.

THE COURT: I mean, here's the dog and pony show. It's this is what the case is about, here are the witnesses, does anybody know the witnesses -- I mean, it's going to be very abbreviated -- anybody know the witnesses, anybody know the State, anybody know these guys, hear about the case.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I love that idea, but we're really talking about a juror, not a filler. So we can't abbreviate what -- the information we need from

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -209-

1	them. I mean, we can try to speed it up
2	THE COURT: No, no, no.
3	MR. ADAMS: and streamline it.
4	THE COURT: I'm not talking about the questioning. I'm talking about the
5	preliminary stuff is going to be a lot faster. You got to tell them about the case
6	and read all the witnesses, but beyond that it's going to be quick, it's just going to
7	be the questioning.
8	I mean, the State wants a second alternate; right?
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: Absolutely. The way we're heading, yes, absolutely.
10	I'm afraid in two weekends at this point, I can't imagine what'll happen.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So here's the deal. We're going to go to lunch for
12	30 minutes.
13	Jeff, would you send the jury to lunch for an hour and 15 minutes.
14	And
15	THE MARSHAL: What about the
16	THE COURT: Oh, wait.
17	THE MARSHAL: We have to send the 10
18	THE COURT: We don't have a ninth challenge because we still haven't
19	filled that seat.
20	What?
21	THE MARSHAL: What about the 10 in they haven't eaten either,
22	Judge.
23	THE COURT: They've gone to lunch.
24	THE MARSHAL: Oh, they've gone to lunch?
25	THE COURT: Jury services sent them to lunch.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -210-

01948

 THE MARSHAL: Okay.

THE COURT: So as soon as we come back, you'll be able to go down to jury services and bring them back up.

THE MARSHAL: Okay. So an hour -- an hour and 15 minutes?

THE COURT: Right. For our group, and hour and 15 minutes. But, I mean, did you ask them if they can stay past five?

THE MARSHAL: Today, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's the deal. We're opening today. I don't care if we're here until 8:00. Because those people have taken off work and the people who reported at 12:30, and I'm not going to have people miss a day of work and have nothing for them to do. So as soon as we fill -- we got to qualify two more people, and as soon as that's done we're going to open. And like I said, I don't care if we're here until 8:00 or 9:00 at night. Because people aren't going to miss a whole day of work for absolutely no reason, just to sit in the hallway.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, may I make one observation on that?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ADAMS: I have no problem with working until eight and getting it in. My concern is, because we're going last -- and I -- I want to do it tonight, so don't think I'm trying to talk you out of it. My concern is that if there's not a dinner break and food provided for them, they're going to blame me and blame us even though mine will be 39 minutes, 39 minutes --

THE COURT: No, I don't --

MR. ADAMS: -- and 18 seconds.

THE COURT: -- think they will. I don't think they will. I mean, I don't

1	think it's going to take until 8 or 9:00. I think it's going to take until 6:00 or 6:30.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, things are going to be
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, mines an hour, and that's no kidding, with a
4	PowerPoint.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: And mine may be long.
6	MR. GENTILE: And it may actually be longer, to be candid with you,
7	because I have I don't rehearse it. Okay. I mean, I prepare it, but I don't
8	rehearse it.
9	MR. PESCI: Who rehearses it?
10	THE COURT: I mean, the only other well, I'm almost now to the point
11	of
12	MR. GENTILE: Just to tell you.
13	THE COURT: going with just
14	MR. GENTILE: I mean, I don't care.
15	THE COURT: one juror
16	MR. GENTILE: I just want to let you know.
17	THE COURT: with one alternate.
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, look, you know, whatever the Court's decision
19	is, but we're talking about a two co-defendant case where we thought that we
20	could put our evidence on in three to four days
21	THE COURT: Yeah, the
22	MR. DIGIACOMO: and now we're
23	THE COURT: problem, though
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: not starting until Monday.
25	THECOURT: is in the jury selection. I'm not sure. Once we start the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -212-

01950

evidence, hopefully it'll go faster.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And that may be true, Judge, although there is a bunch of very -- and I read their brief last night. There's a bunch of very lengthy legal arguments that are going to happen as well. But on top of that my concern is this. We've qualified this jury only until Friday. We have no idea which one of these people are going to be gone as of the next Monday. And I sure as heck don't want to ask them about it right now.

THE COURT: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And so if we select one juror -- God knows I don't want to do this twice, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, if we're not going to open today, then the people who are all out there, we can send them home and make them come back Monday and just finish jury selection today. But I don't think it's going to -- I don't know that it's going to take that much longer because, like I said, we only have to qualify two more jurors.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right. But if we come back at 2:30, two more jurors, now we're talking 3:00. We have to do the arguments on the transcripts.

THE COURT: No, we're coming back at 1:45. They went to lunch awhile ago.

MR. GENTILE: Why don't we go now?

THE COURT: They -- they're at lunch right now.

What?

MR. GENTILE: Can we go? I mean, really we're --

THE COURT: No, because if we're going to send them home, then --

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Well, that's your call.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -214-

THE COURT: I thought yours was like 20 minutes.

25

702.635.0301 -215-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -216-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -217-

THE COURT: All right. Well, we have -- oh. God, you could be a ventriloquist there.

I read the case that he -- that you folks gave me, and the State has read it. And anything else on the transcript issue?

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, just the fact that we are not in agreement or we are not going to stipulate regarding the accuracy. The State also, I think, provided us a quick memo. But without a stipulation to the accuracy, the first process would be for you to meticulously review and listen to the tapes and try --

THE COURT: Which I would've loved to have done had I been able to hear the tapes and -- you know what I'm saying? I mean, it is what it is. I think unaided I -- I probably would've been worse than both of you guys to make an accurate determination, quite frankly.

MR. ARRASCADA: And because --

THE COURT: I think we would wind up with a third transcript which is probably even less accurate than the ones either one of you have offered --

MR, ARRASCADA: Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- had I done that.

MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, I --

THE COURT: Frankly, in all candor.

MR. ARRASCADA: And like Your Honor, I -- I view this as it appears there was a stipulation from the original regarding trans -- the two transcripts that were submitted to the Court. We are not stipulating to the third transcript because we do not believe it is accurate. What we'd suggest is either the original stipulation stands or more -- probably more practical is that no transcript be used because we can't reach an agreement and the best evidence is the tape itself

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -218-

and the jury can listen to it, and if they have to listen to it again they can.

Where is our prejudice? If you allow this third transcript to come in, we are prejudice because of the suggestibility of that transcript in the writing when we do not agree that it's accurate --

THE COURT: Well --

MR. ARRASCADA: -- in what it says.

THE COURT: -- there was never an agreement as to the accuracy of the first transcript. And really there was no agreement that both sides submit a transcript. I think it's always been the defense's position that there should be no transcript. But failing that --

MR. DIGIACOMO: That -- that's --

THE COURT: Oh, is that wrong?

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- actually not true.

MR. PESCI: No, the agreement --

MR. DIGIACOMO: I -- I pulled up --

MR. PESCI: -- was that each side would give their own.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I pulled up their brief on this issue and their brief specifically was if there's disputes as to the accuracy, each side gets to give their own.

THE COURT: Okay. I misspoke. I think now in court Mr. Gentile's position has been he prefers no transcripts. In any event --

MR. GENTILE: Well, that was -- understand something. When -- when we took our position at first, it was based upon what was in the State's transcript. All right? It wasn't like there was anything in the State's transcript at that time with which I had a vehement disagreement because it wasn't -- there was

25

nothing of any real huge significance that we had a difference on --

THE COURT: And now there is.

MR. GENTILE: -- to the best of my memory. And now there is.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, and in all due respect to Mr. Gentile, what you asked us to do last night, and I have a copy, I provided it to them, was to go through and find every word that was different. And guess what, when we did that, we went through their transcript and we went to each one where there was a change, and almost each and every place there was a change, including the one that they now dispute, several of the words that are now in our transcript are from their version of the transcript.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, that's right, but --

MR. DIGIACOMO: So now they're arguing, well, hey, now you got it right because it's -- it's now similar to our transcript, you've added words that we had in our transcript that you didn't have before. You've changed words that we put in our transcript.

THE COURT: All right. I'll look at the two --

MR. GENTILE: There's only one part --

THE COURT: I'll look at --

MR. GENTILE: -- and it affects --

THE COURT: -- the two transcripts.

MR. GENTILE: -- his client.

THE COURT: In terms of whether or not, you know -- I mean, I think in terms of the case you gave me, you know, it's cured by the Court telling him, listen, the Court isn't adopting either of these transcripts. It is disputed, both

sides are offering their transcript. It's up for you folks to determine what's in the tape. You don't have the transcript in the back, listen to the tape and that's what controls, not what's in the transcript.

So I don't think there has to have been a stipulation. I think there had to be an agreement if the transcript is admitted as accurate and goes back to the jury, but that's not what we're doing here. So my view is there doesn't have to have been a stipulation that you're agreeing to one transcript or not agreeing to one transcript. Better if you do, but not necessary.

MR. ARRASCADA: Well, then, Judge, we have an -- like we said, it's inaccurate and the suggestibility to the -- to the jury, if you are going -- well, so what -- what is the Court's ruling? You want to look at their new transcript?

THE COURT: I'm going to look at their new transcript. But all I'm saying is I don't think I'm precluded from allowing them to give the new transcript under the case you gave me because, again, no one is saying it's the accurate transcript.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, even for demonstrative evidence, though, that case and the cases prior to it rely on the fact that even if it's being used as demonstrative evidence to help guide the jury, all the cases are -- the parties have agreed or stipulated regarding accuracy.

Now, the State's provided some non-persuasive out of the DC circuit. We've provided you with a ninth circuit case, which is more binding than the DC circuit.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, on the ninth circuit case, basically I think what that is saying is -- is if one transcript is offered, as if this was the accurate transcript, that it should be agreed between the parties that this is the accurate

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -221transcript.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In this case there's not going to be any confusion that either side's -that the Court is saying either side's transcript is the accurate transcript because
the jury is going to be told from the get go this is disputed, it's difficult to hear the
tape, we don't care what the lawyers say, we care what you think the tape
means, and basically this may help you understand the tape. It's not evidence, it
doesn't go back in the jury room with you, it's just to guide you. And they listen to
both contem -- back to back.

MR. ARRASCADA: Judge, then what we'd ask is that it be back to back --

THE COURT: Yeah --

MR. ARRASCADA: -- to back.

THE COURT: -- I already said --

MR. ARRASCADA: No, no. Back to back to back, all three transcripts. Because now they've made a material change to a transcript that they represented to the Court is their transcript for over, I believe, two years. And on the eve of trial they come with a new transcript that really the only fundamental change is one line that they've made. The rest are filling in a few words here, a few words there.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ARRASCADA: What we have now --

MR. DIGIACOMO: He's now making my point for me, which is one line.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ARRASCADA: Well --

THE COURT: I'll look --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -222-

MR. PESCI: No, it was a different Lopez. That was a female Lopez.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Oh, then they're right. Ten is ten.

(Prospective jury panel enters at 2:21 p.m.)

THE MARSHAL: Jury is coming in.

THE COURT: All right. Jeff, go ahead and seat them in the box please.

THE MARSHAL: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

All right. Court is now in session. The record will reflect the presence of the Deputy District Attorneys, Marc DiGiacomo and Giancarlo Pesci, the presence of the defendant, Louis Hidalgo, Jr., along with his attorneys, Paola Armeni and Dominic Gentile, the presence of the defendant, Louis Hidalgo III, along with his attorneys, John Arrascada and Chris --

MR. ADAMS: The other one.

THE COURT: I know.

-- Chris Adams, the officers of the court, and the additional members of the prospective jury panel.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. You are in Department 21 of the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada. My name is Valerie Adair, and I am the presiding Judge.

You have been summoned here today to possibly serve as jurors in a criminal case. This jury selection is going to be a little bit different from what you may -- what typically goes on in that we've already been going through jury selection, but we need some additional jurors and that's why the ten of you have been brought up to fill the vacant seats that we still have remaining in our jury panel.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At this point in time, the attorneys for the State are going to introduce themselves to you. They're going to briefly explain to you the nature of the State's case, and they're going to name any witnesses which the State may be calling in its case.

Ladies and gentlemen, please listen very carefully to the names of the witnesses as they're being read to you.

Mr. DiGiacomo.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Marc DiGiacomo. I'm a deputy district attorney here in Clark County, along with Giancarlo Pesci, a fellow deputy district attorney. We've been assigned to prosecute the State of Nevada versus Louis Hidalgo, Jr., also known as Mr. H, as well as Louis Hidalgo III, also known as Little Lou.

The case that you're, if you're selected as jurors, that you would hear has commonly been referred to as the Palomino murder for hire. That case -- in that case it is alleged that Mr. Hidalgo and Mr. Hidalgo's son engaged in a conspiracy to kill an individual who was a former employee by the name of Timothy Hadland.

Mr. Hidalgo is the former owner of the Palomino Club which is a gentlemen's cabaret or strip club on North Las Vegas Boulevard, just across the street from Jerry's Nugget. In addition, there is a location known as Simone's, which is an auto shop that is down on Bermuda just south of Sunset that you'll need to know. And the killing itself actually occurs out on North Shore Road out near Lake Mead.

They are accused of both conspiracy to commit murder as well as

the murder of Timothy Hadland. In addition, Louis Hidalgo III is also accused in solicitation to commit murder for two of the witnesses, or one of them is a co-conspirator in the case by the name of Jason Taoipu is one victim, and Rontae Zone would be the other victim that he's accused of soliciting the murder of.

During the course of the case, should you be jurors, you will hear a number of names, and then obviously some of these are also witnesses. If you can listen to them, it may become necessary for you to let us know whether or not you know any of these individuals or if you've heard of these individuals or have any opinion about these people.

Jason Taoipu, Kenneth Counts, a crime scene analyst by the name of Louise Renhard, an FBI agent by the name of Scott Hendricks, Jimmy Vaccaro, Teresa Kyger, Steve Devore, Dan Long, Deangelo Carroll, Jerome DePalma, Dr. Simon Stertzer, Jack Perry, Scott Bakken, Ismeal Madrid, Officer Lafreniere, Kristin Grammas, Pajit Karson, Rontae Zone, Larry Morton, Dr. Garry Telgenhoff, Detective Mike McGrath, Fred Boyd, Gary McWhorter, Zane Simpson, Jeff Smink, Jan Kelly, Jim Krylo, Anabel Espindola, and Detective Marty Wildemann.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. DiGiacomo.

Ladies and gentlemen, counsel for the defense will now introduce themselves to you along with their clients. An accused in a criminal case is never required to present any evidence or to call any witnesses. However, if there are witnesses, which the defense may be calling, they will give you those names at this time.

Mr. Gentile.

MR. GENTILE: My name is Dominic Gentile. I'm an attorney with the law firm of Gordon and Silver and I represent Louis Hidalgo, Jr.

MS. ARMENI: My name is Paola Armeni. I'm also an attorney at Gordon and Silver and, along with Mr. Gentile, I also represent Louis Hidalgo, Jr.

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, I -- I need to read the list.

THE COURT: And I forgot that.

MR. GENTILE: Yeah.

THE COURT: Mr. Gentile is going to read to you the names of the attorneys who work at his firm, so please also listen carefully to the names of those attorneys because we'll be asking you if you know any of them.

MR. GENTILE: If I don't put this up here, I'm not going to be able to see it. I had this printed, but I don't anymore.

Paola Armeni, you've met her, Christine Bricker, Randy Creighton, Louis Csoka, Leigh, and that's L-E-I-G-H, Leigh Davis, Mark Dzarnoski, Thomas Fell, Richard Galin, Gregory Garman, Kristin Genc, Gerald Gordon, Talitha Gray, Karen Hanks, Brigid Higgins, Kenneth Hogan, Jeffrey Hulet, Lee Iglody, Josheph Kistler, and he's also known as Sid Kistler, Joseph Kozlowski, William Noall, Eric Olsen, Bradley Richardson, Joel Schwarz, Mark Shipley, Jeffrey Silver, Carey Sparks, Erica Pike Turner, Eric Van, John Witucki, and Matthew Zirzow.

MS. ARMENI: You need these?

MR. GENTILE: Oh, the list of witnesses, there's a lot of them.

Chris Halzakos, Janis Iliopulos, Timothy Hoy, Michael Gill, Jesus Jayo, Sarkis Tamras, Danny Dalton, Anthony Nobile, Marshal Turner -- no, excuse me, that's Marshal Tumer, Thomas Bissonnette, Frank Bizzarro, Eric Boerst, Patrick Vance, Ayalew Abebe, Dwight Detscher, Alfredo Oliva, Mohd Ali,

1	John Squittiero, Bela Racz, Fayez Zarka, Dereje Guale, Evangelos Dimotsantos,
2	Armando Romero, Anbessaw Gebre, Joseph Putrello, Tho Dang, Victor
3	Sangines, Michael Johns, Mircea Clita, Abdi Emamu, Abebe Zewide, Kevin
4	Gibson, Fikado Adem, Sam Knrabi, Mehrdad Refqua, Robert Turgeon, Ramin
5	Asadi I got to spell it, I can't say it A-S-A-D-I-E-I-S-K-A-M-D-A-R-I, Paul
6	Nelson, Leon Le, Juan Vizoso, Kenneth Oh, Juan Rodenas, William Webster,
7	Enoch Flowers, Yasushi Sakuma, Ray Smith, Carlos Alfonso, Carlos Cordon,
8	Jessica Bat0rrazza, Jerry Barone, Helen Brown, Michael Brown, Jennifer
9	Carignan, Cindy Cesare, Deangelo Carroll, Julie Chapman, Yvonne Cisneros,
10	Geneva Del Campo, Jerome DePalma, Nova Del Angel, Joe De Meo, Don
11	Dibble, Nick Desimone, Andrew Drath, Pete Eliandes it's also sometimes said
12	Eliades Sandra Eslinger, Anabel Espindola, Veronica Faircloth, David Galli,
13	Alexandru Gherge, Pilar Kent Hanley, Claudia Hernandez, Rosa Hidalgo,
14	Sheena Hofstad, Jon Hutcherson, Cathy Johnson it's actually Kathy Johnson-
15	Krauss, Terry Johnson, Margaret Johnson, Ben Kamaka, Min Kwak, John
16	Lambrador, Scott Mazonic, Wakako McGee, Gary McWhorter, Jody Miles, Lou
17	Musso, Vivian Nehls, Natasha Newell, David Norris, Sam Norris, Mark Nicoletti,
18	Rus Nikolopolou, William Oettinger, Saran Petty, Mark Quaid, Cheryl Ray, Tony
19	Reynolds, Julie Sandoval, Brad Schmik, Michelle Schwanderlik, Steve Stern,
20	Andrew Shipman, Cristina Slomback, Mary Thomas, Michael Thomas, Walter
21	Turner, Carmen Villata, Rodolfo Villata, Stan Washington, Jim Warden, Andrew
22	West, Leticia Williams, and Lacy Dupruis.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

24

25

MR. GENTILE: Oh, wait. David Gruber, Hal Debecker, Thomas Decker, Robert Holland, and Kevin Kelly.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -228

THE COURT: And Mr. Gentile, would you just introduce the two people who are assisting --

MR. GENTILE: Oh, sure.

THE COURT: -- in the courtroom.

MR. GENTILE: This is Don Dibble, he's an investigator with Gordon and Silver, and that's Jacqueline Hall, and she's a consultant with Gordon and Silver.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. GENTILE: For Gordon and Silver, excuse, me.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Gentile.

Ladies and gentlemen, counsel for Louis Hidalgo III will now introduce themselves to you.

MR. GENTILE: Well, I wanted to give them a little oversight.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you were done. All right.

MR. GENTILE: In a nutshell, you heard what the charges are. The defense in the case as to Louis Hidalgo, Jr. is that he knew nothing about any harm that was going to come to Mr. Hadland until after it occurred. That's it in a nutshell.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Gentile.

And, ladies and gentlemen, counsel for the defendant Louis Hidalgo III will now introduce themselves to you along with their client.

MR. ARRASCADA: Good afternoon. My name is John Arrascada. I'm a lawyer from Reno, Nevada, the same state. I practice with the law firm of Arrascada and Arrascada. There's three lawyers in our firm, there's myself, Leroy Arrascada, and another lawyer named Kristine Aramini. My co-counsel, I'm pleased to introduce to you, is Christopher Adams.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -229-

MR. ADAMS: Hi, I'm Chris Adams from Atlanta, the law office of Chris 1 2 Adams. MR. ARRASCADA: And then finally, this is our client --3 4 THE DEFENDANT HIDALGO III: I'm the defendant. 5 MR. ARRASCADA: -- Louis Hidalgo III. So we incorporate all the witnesses that you've just heard about into 6 7 our case also, so I will not re-read that entire list to you. But if any of the names 8 do jump out, please let the Judge know. 9 As far as an overview of our case regarding Louis Hidalgo III, our 10 defense is that Louis Hidalgo III -- and this case is known as the Palomino murder for hire case. That Louis Hidalgo III did not plan a murder for hire, he did 11 12 not participate in a murder for hire, nor did he pay for a murder for hire. 13 Thank you. 14 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Arrascada. Ladies and gentlemen, our court clerk, Ms. Husted, who is seated 15 16 right here next to me is now going to call the roll of the panel of prospective 17 jurors. When you're name is called, please answer present or here. 18 Ms. Husted. 19 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 20 Badge 20, Tara Ling. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Here. 22 THE CLERK: 21, Larry Dodson. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Here. 24 THE CLERK: 23, Dave Rivera. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Here.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -230-

1	THE CLERK: 25, Douglas Harmon.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 025: Here.
3	THE CLERK: 27, Kimberly Lenahan.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Present.
5	THE CLERK: 28, Randel Weigman.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Did I say it right?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah.
9	THE CLERK: 29, Daniel Kalita.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Here.
11	THE CLERK: 31, Jeffrey Lockard.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Here.
13	THE CLERK: 32, Scott Subbert.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Subbert.
15	THE CLERK: Subbert
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Here.
17	THE CLERK: I was going to do that first.
18	33, Ray Lopez
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 033: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the questioning of the
22	prospective jurors at the beginning of the case is done under oath. The clerk will
23	now administer the oath to the prospective jurors. If everyone could please
24	stand.
25	(Prospective jury panel sworn)

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -231-

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We are about to commence examination of prospective jurors in this case. During this process you will be asked questions bearing on your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors.

The Court, the lawyers, the parties, everyone involved in this case are all deeply interested in having this matter tried by a jury composed of open-minded people who are completely neutral and who have no bias or prejudice toward or against either side.

In order for us to accomplish this, I must ask you some questions.

The attorneys will also be given the opportunity to ask you questions. It is not our desire to pry unnecessarily into your personal lives, although the questioning can at time become personal.

Please understand our only objective is to ascertain whether there is any reason why any of you cannot be -- serve as completely neutral, open, and unbiased jurors in this case.

It is important that you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all of the questions we are about to ask you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything which could indicate bias or prejudice of any sort by any of you.

I'm going to conduct a general examination of the ten of you while you're in the jury box. After that I'm going to have nine of you wait out in the hallway and we're going to commence individual examination on more specific points of each juror individually, which will be done by me and by the lawyers.

Now, as for the general questions that I'm about to ask you, if you wish to respond any to -- excuse me, to any of my questions in the affirmative,

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -232please raise your hand. And when I call on you, please state your name and your badge number for the record.

You've already seen Ms. Husted who administers the oath. She's our deputy court clerk. She marks the exhibits, keeps the official record, swears in the witnesses and the jurors.

Seated next to her is Ms. Janie Olsen. Ms. Olsen is our official court recorder. I tell you this because everything that's said during these proceedings is recorded, and it's Ms. Olsen's job to make sure that an accurate transcript is prepared of all of these proceedings. So be aware of that and try to project your voices so that whatever you say can be accurately recorded.

All right. Is there anyone who believes they may be acquainted with either of the deputy district attorneys, either Mr. DiGiacomo or Mr. Pesci?

All right. Yes, ma'am, your name and badge number please. Oh, you can stay seated because you're in the box.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Kimberly Lenahan, badge 27.

THE COURT: Yes. And which one do you know or both?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I'm not positive, but Joseph

Kozlowski, the attorney, may be a patient in my office that I work in.

THE COURT: Okay. And Joseph Kozlowski, was he one of the attorneys at Gordon and Silver?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

MR. GENTILE: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I work at Advanced Cardiovascular Specialists.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -233-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -234-

beginning of the following week. We're hoping not, but it could. I can't make any promises. I know that sounds like a really long period of time and is very disruptive for people's lives. Please know in the scheme of trials that's not that long. Some of you may have watched coverage on the news about some of the high profile cases that have occurred recently, and you know that those can take weeks to try. We have a lot of construction defect cases in this jurisdiction, and those can take very -- you know, months to try.

I know having to serve as a juror can be an inconvenience, but obviously we need people to serve, we need people from a cross section of our community who are willing to serve, and I simply cannot excuse people because they're going to be missing work and they're not going to be paid because probably 80 percent of you would get up and walk out and we'd have two people left in the room.

Now, having said that, would serving through the end of next week, possibly a day or so into the following week, would that constitute an extreme and undue hardship for any of you?

All right. The gentleman in the tan shirt, yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Scott Subbert, badge 32.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I have -- my wife is disabled at home and we have a small child. I'm the main caregiver.

THE COURT: For the child or for your wife or both?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Both.

THE COURT: Okay. And how old is your child?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Six.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -239-

1	THE COURT: Customer service? And where do you work?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: [inaudible].
3	THE RECORDER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: A company called Bake Mark.
5	THE COURT: She might need the mic.
6	THE MARSHAL: I got it.
7	THE COURT: Bake Mark, what do they do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It's a wholesale food warehouse.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And then are you married?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Am I married?
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: He is an executive vice-president of
15	application development for a colocation Las Vegas.
16	THE COURT: For a what?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: A colocation. It's an internet
18	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Where all the internet comes into
20	town.
21	THE COURT: All right. And do you have any children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes, two.
23	THE COURT: And the ages?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: 17 and 7.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever or you heard a little bit about

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -240-

1	what the case is about. Have you heard anything or read anything or seen
2	anything about this case prior to coming into the courtroom today?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, I've not heard a word.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So you don't know anything about it?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Not at all.
6	THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been a juror before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. How many times?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Once.
10	THE COURT: And how long ago was that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Probably 11 years ago.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Was it a civil case or a criminal case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Civil.
16	THE COURT: And don't tell us what the verdict was, but did the jury
17	reach a verdict?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson of that jury?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the
22	victim of a serious crime? By close to you I mean a family member, a spouse, a
23	very good friend?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. What about the flip of that, kind of the converse of

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -241-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -242MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And I want to tell you up front, there's no allegation from the State of Nevada that Mr. Hidalgo or Little Lou, either one of them are involved whatsoever in a criminal gang. Okay? But there may be some testimony related to gang members and we just want to know if anybody has any strong opinions one way or the other gangs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: How about attorneys? Do you know any attorneys? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you have any problem with anybody who seeks out an attorney when they have a problem?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Let's talk about kind of the rules. You said you were a prior juror; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Correct.

MR. DIGIACOMO: It was a civil case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It was.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And you went all the way to deliberation; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I did.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And you wound up in the back room --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yep.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- with everyone, all that other stuff?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Now, I can tell you up front that the laws in criminal cases are going to be different than the law you're going to get in the civil case.

But one of those laws that you're going to get that is substantially different is that

the burden of proof rests upon the State. In a State case Mr. Pesci and I have the burden to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you have any problem with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. One part of that reasonable doubt, the rule or kind of another rule that's kind of closely associated with it is the defendant never has a burden to ever present any evidence whatsoever. Do you have any problems with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And on top of not even presenting evidence, he has the absolute right, either defendant has the absolute right not to testify in court. Do you have a problem with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Nope.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So if they sit there this whole time and do nothing or if -- if either one of them chooses not to take the stand, you'd have -- you wouldn't hold that against them in any event; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: If Mr. Pesci and I can't prove our case, we can't prove our case; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, I'm fine with that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Any possibility, anything you can think of that would make you not a fair and appropriate juror for this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Nope.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. If you were sitting where Mr. Hidalgo or Mr. Hidalgo was sitting, would you want 12 people kind of like yourself deciding the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -245-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -246-

around drugs.

MS. ARMENI: Okay. Tell us a little bit about that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Like what do you want to know?

MS. ARMENI: Well, what -- I mean, how -- how did it affect them? What did you -- what did you see? I mean, did these people act the same on -- did you see them off drugs ever? Let me ask you that question maybe.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, they slept a lot.

MS. ARMENI: Were they ever awake when they were on drugs, or was it limited?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No, I mean, I grew up literally in a drug house with a mother addicted to methamphetamines. There -- in every aspect you can think of that, that's where I grew up.

MS. ARMENI: And would you agree that sometimes it may affect somebody's perception of things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, absolutely.

MS. ARMENI: What did you do? You're in customer service right now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I am in customer service, and I should be there right now.

MS. ARMENI: Sorry. What were you doing before customer service?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I always have been in clerical positions. Always.

MS. ARMENI: So what -- what was your job right before this one?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I worked for a company called Shift4.

They're a point of sale company. They work with like cash registers, being able to monitor the point of sales for credit cards.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -247-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -248-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -249-

01988

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 07 2011 01:32 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

Appellant,

VS.

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial District Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

## APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Volume 10 of 25

(Pages 1739 - 1988)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

## ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 <sup>1</sup>	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A <sup>2</sup>	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B <sup>3</sup>	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 <sup>4</sup>	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release for House Arrest)	02/24/09	24	04505
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843

FILED **TRAN** 1 NOV 2 4 2009 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C212667/ C241394 7 Plaintiff, DEPT. XXI 8 VS. LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO III and LUIS 9 HIDALGO, JR., 10 Defendants. 11 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 FRIDAY, JANUARY 30, 2009 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: 15 JURY TRIAL 16 17 APPEARANCES: MARC P. DIGIACOMO, ESQ. 18 FOR THE STATE: GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. 19 **Deputy District Attorneys** 20 FOR LUIS HIDALGO III: JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER W. ADAMS, ESQ. 21 22 DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ. FOR LUIS HIDALGO, JR: PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: JANIE L. OLSEN, COURT RECORDER JRP TRANSCRIBING

702.635.0301

session. The Honorable Valerie Adair presiding.

THE MARSHAL: All rise and come to order. Department 21 is now in

THE COURT: All right. So here we are again. Here's the deal. This has -- I thought about this last night, in the wee small hours of the morning, and this is going on way, way, way too long. And I'm not blaming anyone. In fact, I'm not really sure whose fault it is. In addition --

I'm looking at you because you're sitting there.

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's okay. We're sure of whose fault it is, though.

THE COURT: And I'm -- I'm -- in any event, in addition to my questioning and the breaks and all of that stuff, I'm limiting everybody to 55 minutes of their total questions. 55 minutes for the State, 55 minutes for you two, which I think is more than generous actually, you know. And 55 minutes total for them, so almost two hours for the defense all together.

Basically what that means is if you spend 30 minutes on one juror -- MR. GENTILE: Right.

THE COURT: -- then you've got 25 minutes for the rest of the panel.

MR. GENTILE: We understand.

THE COURT: Because, you know, yesterday I think a lot of people spent time on trying to get a for cause for people who are never going to go with a for cause, and spent like 30 or 40 minutes for somebody who's probably going to get perempted anyway.

So I think that 55 minutes should be -- absent something really unforeseen, if we really have to delve into something, you know, if somebody

1	THE COURT: enough time.
2	MR. PESCI: waste 55 minutes fighting about it.
3	THE COURT: It's absolutely strictly limited. So it is what it is. I know the
4	State's not probably going to take their full 55 minutes.
5	MR. GENTILE: Oh, I'm sure that they will.
6	MR. DIGIACOMO: We haven't been close yet.
7	MR. GENTILE: No? Okay.
8	THE COURT: No, they haven't been close yet.
9	MR. GENTILE: All right.
10	THE COURT: They take maybe on a lot of people, they take maybe
11	three minutes.
12	MR. GENTILE: Okay.
13	THE COURT: All right. If there's nothing else we'll bring in the first next
14	juror who is Ms. Garhardt.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: She's in position
16	THE COURT: The bailiff's like afraid to go out in the hallway these
17	people are so angry.
18	MR. PESCI: What position is she going to?
19	MR. DIGIACOMO: She's going to position two; right, Judge?
20	MS. ARMENI: Yes.
21	MR. ADAMS: Judge, I tell you, we walked by them this morning, there
22	was no vibe of anger at all. None.
23	THE CLERK: There was last night.
24	MR. ADAMS: Last night might've been different.
25	THE MARSHAL: What is her first name again?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -4-

1	THE COURT: Sharon Garhardt.
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: Susan, isn't it?
3	MS. ARMENI: No, Sharon.
4	MR. PESCI: Sharon.
5	THE COURT: This is the gal we interviewed her previously separately.
6	She had read it in the RJ. We've already covered that with her.
7	MR. DIGIACOMO: Is she going to seat two, Judge?
8	THE COURT: Whatever is next. Ms. Husted keeping track.
9	THE CLERK: Yeah, seat two.
10	THE MARSHAL: She's not here, Judge.
11	THE COURT: All right. I'm not going to wait for her. We'll move to Anne
12	Bonds.
13	It's now Ms. Bonds, Ms. Garhardt is not here.
14	MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, regarding Ms. Garhardt, are you
15	excusing her or
16	THE COURT: No.
17	MR. ARRASCADA: if she shows up she'll be
18	THE COURT: She'll be next.
19	MR. ARRASCADA: pushed back in?
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	MR. GENTILE: And in the same order?
22	THE COURT: Right. Next.
23	Ma'am, go ahead and have a seat there in the box. Good morning.
24	How was your party?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: It was beautiful.
l	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -5-

THE COURT: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And it's retaliation.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Being involved with violent crimes, murder, or gang is something that I don't feel comfortable being associated with.

THE COURT: Okay. And actually I don't know if you were in here, but there's no allegation at all that either of the Hidalgos are involved or affiliated or associated with a gang in any -- in any way. There's no one on trial here that is a gang member.

And honestly, the chance of a gang retaliation in this case would be just like a grand larceny from the Wal-Mart or a child abuse. Okay? So I hope that allays your -- your concern. Because it really -- I know the question and everybody kind of starts thinking, well, wow, what's this case about and that's really not an issue with respect to these individuals with membership or association or anything like that.

Is that fair, State?

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's correct. The only allegation is that they hired a gang member or that they were --

THE COURT: Who is not --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- involved in hiring a --

THE COURT: -- on trial here --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct.

THE COURT: -- and who will not be testifying.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Now, I detect an accent. Where are you from?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I'm from France.

THE COURT: I was going to guess France, but I didn't want to guess wrong. All right. Thank you.

State, you may question Ms. Bonds.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

Ma'am, on that issue of the concept of someone being talked about in this case that's tied to or allegedly tied to gangs, how does that affect into the concerns that you just talked about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: It makes me very uncomfortable. I try to live my life in a very non-violent environment, and anything that is tied to crimes or murder is -- is really -- places me in a very uncomfortable situation.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And that uncomfortable position as you're back in the jury room, how do you think that will factor into your deliberative process? How do you think it'll affect you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: And I -- and I thought about that throughout the three days that we've been here and I've tried to picture myself in that position. And if I was part of the jury and it's -- this is the kind of responsibility that I'd rather not be involved with just because of the gravity of the case and the consequences that it had on somebody's life. It just doesn't -- it doesn't really register as something that I would deal with easily.

MR. PESCI: And that's understandable to -- to -- to not want to be involved with it or be able to deal with it easily. We can understand that. The question really that we're trying to figure out is how that uncomfortableness will affect your deliberations. How do you think it will factor into it? Would it make you weigh one way or the other as far as assessing the evidence?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I think so.

MR. PESCI: How so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Being -- I'm in a supervisor position, so I know when there is issues and concerns that come across I -- I weigh the -the -- all the situation, all the factors, and all the consequences that could happen, it could involve. So I would have a hard time separating my own feelings with making a really practical and unbiased decision in -- in a case like that.

MR. PESCI: And the hard time separating your feelings, how -- how do you think your feelings would factor into it? That's what we're trying to grasp. Would it make it such that you couldn't listen to the evidence, or is it going to make it such that you couldn't get your point across in the jury room? How would it affect you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Making a fair decision. I would definitely -- I could listen. I could see the evidence and listen to all the evidence. As far as separating what I would feel to make -- be able to make a fair decision, I think it would be a struggle.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And -- and how would it affect the fairness and the struggle that you would have? I mean, would it be less fair to one side or the other? I mean, how -- how would that affect your fairness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: I think I just would go for -- make a decision that would remove me from any -- being a decision factor. I wouldn't want to be a part of making that big of a decision. So just for -- it's a selfcentered point of view, but it would be just for me to be removed from that -- that, having to make that kind of a decision.

702.635.0301 -10-

JRP TRANSCRIBING

1	MR. ADAMS: I thought we were skipping?
2	THE COURT: Okay. We did?
3	Skip her. Bring in Kelly
4	THE MARSHAL: Shea Palluck?
5	THE COURT: Shea Palluck. That's the mother that raised her well, I
6	don't know. Maybe she's okay with it now.
7	MR. GENTILE: I'm told to skip her, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Kelli Woodland.
9	MR. GENTILE: What are we doing? Excusing her?
0	THE COURT: No, I'm just
1	MR. DIGIACOMO: Skipping over her.
12	THE COURT: trying to get
13	MR. DIGIACOMO: We'll go back to her later.
14	MR. ARRASCADA: Skipping her because she expressed some hardship
15	concerns.
16	MR. GENTILE: Oh.
17	THE COURT: Right. And that way we won't waste a lot of time with her.
18	MR. DIGIACOMO: You still have 55 minutes. We only got 50.
19	THE COURT: All right. That's true.
20	Ma'am, have a seat there in the jury box, anywhere in the jury box.
21	Good morning. What do you do for a living?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I work for Ford Credit.
23	THE COURT: For Ford Credit. And what do you do for them.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Now I'm a collector, but I just got laid
25	off.

1	THE COURT: Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Are you looking I'm assuming
2	you're still looking out there looking for work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yeah, my last I'm good until March.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: He's a CCSC police officer.
8	THE COURT: Okay. So he's how long has he been a Clark County
9	School District police officer?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: For about six months.
11	THE COURT: Oh, only six months. What did he do before that other
12	than the academy?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: He was with North Las Vegas for
14	about a year.
15	THE COURT: As a police officer?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Uh-huh.
17	THE COURT: All right. Is that yes?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And then before he got into policing what did he do or was
20	he just in school?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: He has his own little printing,
22	scanning, litigation company.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And is that a company that scans documents
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right.
25	THE COURT: and keeps

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -14-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right.
2	THE COURT: Would that be for civil attorneys?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Any attorneys.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Mostly civil.
6	THE COURT: Are you familiar with any of the attorneys that use your
7	husband's firm or services?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And you've never worked or helped him in his
10	business?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Now, why did he leave the North Las Vegas
13	police?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: He didn't like it there. He didn't like
15	working for them.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Was he was he in patrol the whole time
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
18	THE COURT: that he was there? And now as a school district police
19	officer, is he assigned to a particular school, or does he kind of go to all the
20	school
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: He patrols.
22	THE COURT: The different schools?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Uh-huh.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Does he talk about his work with you very very
25	often?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -15PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Not often.

THE COURT: Okay. And anything about the fact that he works as a police officer, would that impact your ability to be fair and impartial to either side in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Not at all.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if the State -- and you heard some talk about this the other days, if the State fails to prove the defendant guilty, one or both defendants beyond a reasonable doubt, that defendant would be entitled to a verdict of not guilty. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right.

THE COURT: And are you comfortable with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if you sat on this jury and you voted not guilty, would you have any qualms or problems about going home and telling your husband at the dinner table, you know what, I voted not guilty, I don't think the State proved it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No, I wouldn't have any problem with that.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you associate with a lot of other police officers either from the school district or North -- North Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Not at all.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been a juror before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No.

THE COURT: Okay. You or anyone close to you ever been a victim of a crime or arrested or charged with a crime?

1	State question you now.
2	Mr. Pesci.
3	MR. PESCI: Now, talking about gangs, you said that you were around
4	them or you had experience with them growing up; is that accurate?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right.
6	MR. PESCI: How do you think that experience will affect the way you
7	deliberate in this case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: It shouldn't have any effect at all.
9	MR. PESCI: If you hear something about gangs, would that
10	automatically have you leaning towards the State's side or automatically have
11	you leaning towards the defense's side?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Either way. I it wouldn't make a
13	difference to me.
14	MR. PESCI: Do you think you can be fair to both sides?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Yes.
16	MR. PESCI: Do you have any experience with handguns or firearms?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Not at all.
18	MR. PESCI: Do you have any strong feelings one way or the other about
19	them?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I'm scared of them. I don't touch
21	them.
22	MR. PESCI: Would you hold that against somebody if they had a gun?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: No, that's just my preference.
24	MR. PESCI: Strip clubs, what what do you think of when you hear it?
25	What are your feelings about it?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -18-

MR. ARRASCADA: -- you're delinquent. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right. MR. ARRASCADA: I would be you hear a lot of, I don't want to call them stories, but a lot of reasoning as to why they're late on their bills or when they're PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Uh-huh. MR. ARRASCADA: How do you know when you're listening to these people who's telling you the truth and who is just shoveling it on at you to miss, PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I haven't really been doing it that long. I've -- I've been there eight years, and I just started doing this in October. I don't really -- I don't know. Whatever they tell me I just take it for what it is, you know. I can't really say if they're telling the truth or not, you know. Sometimes you know when people are lying to you, you know, because they don't want to talk to you, but I just try to take people at their word, you know. MR. ARRASCADA: So -- so what you're telling me is you have to take them for their word and you mark it and you -- you record it; correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right. MR. ARRASCADA: But you're not in a position to make a decision as to

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: It -- we're in the collection department where I'm working. I'm not -- I don't have those people to where I would say to them I'm going to take your car. It's not at that point yet, so I don't have to worry about things like that. They tell me why they're delinquent. Maybe they -- they're, you know, in foreclosure, they're trying to save their home, or they're -- they've been laid off. I mean, it's really the same thing now since the economy has changed. Everyone's gotten laid off, self-employed, can't pay their bills basically, and you just have to document what they say.

MR. ARRASCADA: I'm asking you a question a little bit different to go beyond that, that you -- you say that just hearing them on the phone I can't make a decision. If you had to make a decision as to their credibility, their truthfulness personally, what would -- what more would you need other than the words on the telephone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I would have to really review their account, did they have the same problem six months ago or what did they say six months ago when they were delinquent.

MR. ARRASCADA: Or outside of the job, if -- is the house really in foreclosure?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right. Yeah, there -- we have really no way of knowing that. You know, we -- where I work is called account maintenance. We're trying to prevent them for going to loss prevention where they would dig more into that.

MR. ARRASCADA: But personally, to figure out -- I think what you're telling me is that -- tell me if I'm wrong --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Okay.

1	MR. GENTILE: All right.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: So I don't know anything about that.
3	MR. GENTILE: Did you hear about it
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I don't really I mean, you know how
5	you just don't want to be involved, you don't want to hear what, you know
6	MR. GENTILE: Sure. Sure.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: So I really don't I have friends that's
8	gone to jail. I never asked why were you
9	MR. GENTILE: You didn't want to know.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: in prison or yeah, exactly.
11	MR. GENTILE: Am I right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Exactly.
13	MR. GENTILE: And you didn't want to
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: I don't get involved.
15	MR. GENTILE: Exactly. You didn't want to know for a reason.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Right.
17	MR. GENTILE: And the reason was
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Because I don't want nobody asking
19	me
20	MR. GENTILE: Right.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: anything.
22	MR. GENTILE: Exactly. You want to be able to say I don't know.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 075: Exactly.
24	MR. GENTILE: And the reason you want to be able to say I don't know
25	lis

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -25-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -28-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -30-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -31-

MS. ARMENI: Never mind.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No, no. I'm just -- I'm a big sigher.

MS. ARMENI: Not a good way to start off my morning. I want to kind of delve back into the strip clubs. I know it's been a topic that we keep going over and over and over.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Uh-huh.

MS. ARMENI: But I know you can appreciate, especially from our side why --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: -- it is important.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: And I can certainly appreciate the dancer comment. I understand that. But let's take it a step further with what -- I mean, what are your feelings if I throw out strip club owner? What do you think of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Seedy.

MS. ARMENI: I mean, be honest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Seedy.

MS. ARMENI: The Judge has said no answer is wrong.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I -- I wouldn't think -- I wouldn't invite him over to my house for dinner.

MS. ARMENI: And why -- why do you have that opinion? What do you think the reason behind that is?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I just think their lifestyle and my lifestyle are completely opposite ends of the spectrum. We wouldn't have anything in common in my opinion.

MS. ARMENI: What do you -- when you say that, what do you think a strip club owner does that's different from you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Well, I take care of my kids all day. I'm with -- I'm with young children all day and he's around people who drink, people who -- who are doing -- well, I don't know how to put it politely, but doing things --

MS. ARMENI: You don't have to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: -- that aren't --

MS. ARMENI: -- put it politely.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: -- aren't -- aren't what a child is exposed to and I have my children all day. And I wouldn't want my child exposed to naked women and things like that.

MS. ARMENI: And so what -- tell me what do you think a person in their day, let's take one -- a day of a life of a strip club owner, what would that day consist of in your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: In my mind, he probably sleeps all morning, gets up, goes to his -- his job, and -- and makes sure all his employees are there, and hangs out and has a few drinks all night and is up until six in the morning.

MS. ARMENI: Do you -- when you think of strip club owners do you think of people -- so it's -- and I don't want to put words in your mouth so I'll ask you.

Are they family people?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I wouldn't know. I wouldn't -- I wouldn't think so, but I wouldn't know.

MS. ARMENI: Okay. What other things when you think of, you told me

what they would do on an average day, what other things come to mind when you think of strip clubs, strip club owner?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I don't -- I don't know. I don't know what you're wanting. I wouldn't know what they do, honestly.

MS. ARMENI: And why wouldn't they be somebody that you would hang out with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I don't think our days would ever coincide. I -- I'm up at 7:00 in the morning getting kids ready for school and I usually go to school with them and my day consists of my children, so --

MS. ARMENI: Putting yourself in Mr. Hidalgo, or Mr. H as we'll probably call him a lot through trial, putting yourself in his shoes, would you want somebody like you on his jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes. I -- I think I'm pretty fair.

MS. ARMENI: And you think everything that you told me about strip club owners that we -- you know, you were open about --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I --

MS. ARMENI: -- which I appreciate, we all appreciate, those kinds of things you think all of the sudden that you would be able to put them aside the minute you hit -- the minute you sit as a juror here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I think evidence proves itself. And if -- if he's not guilty, it'll be proven that he's not guilty, so --

MS. ARMENI: But do you think because of how you feel? And like I said there is no -- there is no right or wrong.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I -- I mean, I walk down the street and I see people all the time and I have no idea who they are and what they do, and I

State has --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

THE COURT: -- the burden of proof in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And if the State doesn't prove the defendant's guilty, the defense doesn't have to do anything.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Right.

THE COURT: Are you comfortable with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's just say that the State's the only -- and that's -- you know, from what you heard, obviously these attorneys involved in this case are very active, but they don't have to be.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Are you comfortable with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And if it turns out that the State is the only side, the only party that calls witnesses and the defense doesn't call any witnesses at all, nobody testifies coming from this side of the room --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- and you just don't think the State proved all of -- and we'll go over the elements at the end, would you be able to go back in the jury room and talk about it with your fellow jurors and at the end of the day raise your hand and say, you know what, the State didn't prove it to me and I have to vote not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I -- I don't think so, no.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I -- I -- no. Nope.

MR. ARRASCADA: I -- I want to talk about your bias that I think you've expressed regarding strip club owners.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. You said that -- well, you don't know any; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I don't know any, no.

MR. ARRASCADA: But you've said that you envision them as seedy.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yeah. That's how they're perceived and shown on TV shows and so --

MR. ARRASCADA: Did you hear, I think it was the very first day of voir dire and Mr. Gentile was talking to someone about a prejudice, that in essence you're judging someone before you know them. Is that a prejudice that you have about strip club owners?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Maybe an ignorance, not a prejudice. I try not to -- I hope I'm not prejudice against people. I -- I try to -- if I ever meet someone I always try to get to know them before I judge them. I try not to look at somebody and immediately say, oh, they're -- they're this or they're that or -- so I -- I try not to. But there's always those, you know, I mean, everyone is human. There's always those things that you hear, that you've heard. I don't know any strip club owners, so I -- all I know is what I've seen on TV.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Is that feeling so part of your mindset that if you heard evidence contrary to your envision that a -- how strip club owners are,

would you be able to -- what would you do regarding that evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: If it was what I didn't think that a strip club owner was, what I've said, what I thought of a strip club owner, then I -- I would probably be like, wow, that's interesting. But -- and I would take it for what it is. I -- I really try not to have a prejudice against somebody. I may -- yes, I may think they're seedy, but it's because of what I do as a person in my life.

And so, I mean, it's like judging a homeless person. You could think, oh, well, they're homeless because they don't try to work, they don't try to do this, they don't -- if they would go out and just try to get a job they wouldn't be homeless anymore. But people never know why that person is in the situation they're in. Make sense?

MR. ARRASCADA: I believe so. Until you get to know them.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yeah, until you get to know them.

MR. ARRASCADA: And that -- and -- and I'm calling it -- would you agree with me that it's a bias that you have about strip club owners at this point in time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Uh-huh.

MR. ARRASCADA: Is that bias so engrained in you that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- it would affect your ability to deliberate with the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No. No, I -- I'm a fairly open-minded person and I'm -- I'm open to people's opinions and people's thoughts and --

MR. ARRASCADA: You -- you qualified your open-mindedness by saving fairly open-minded. Explain to me what you mean by that.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -42-

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Twice.
2	THE COURT: And when was the most recent? How long ago was that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: A few years back.
4	THE COURT: And that was here in Clark County?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
6	THE COURT: And the time before that, how long ago?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: A couple of years before that.
8	THE COURT: Also in Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: And I'm sorry. I thought I had closed
12	off my phone.
13	THE COURT: Oh, I didn't even hear it.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Well, I did and it's disturbing.
15	THE COURT: Now I now I hear it.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes. It's on off.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Were those civil cases or criminal
18	cases or
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Civil.
20	THE COURT: Both civil?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.
22	THE COURT: Now, don't tell me what the verdict was in either case, but
23	did the jury in both of those cases reach a verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: In one we did.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -46-

1	you, their responsiveness, what not?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Very slow in responding.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Once they got there what do you think?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: There wasn't much that they could do
5	because they had already gone, the two gentlemen.
6	THE COURT: Okay. How do you feel about strip clubs?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Not too good.
8	THE COURT: Okay. When you say not too good, can you expound
9	upon that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I think it's a very seedy industry.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And why is that? I mean what what do you base
12	your opinion on? Things that you've read in the media, personal experience,
13	people you know, what?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes to all those things.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I've lived here for quite a number of
17	years and my parents have rentals. They rented to some people in the industry.
18	I saw what it did to their lives.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I've had employees that went into that
21	industry. I saw what it's done to her life.
22	THE COURT: Employee in the school district?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. That became a
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Not a teacher.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -48-

THE COURT: That became a dancer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say you saw what it did to her life, do you mean drugs or alcohol or what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: That and she had a young daughter and it was just very straining on the whole family relationship. And she was a single mother, but I was very well acquainted with the grandparents. And I just saw her being manipulated by this boyfriend to get her into this industry for the sole purpose of money.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, the boyfriend, was he involved in the industry or he just wanted --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: -- her working so she would make a lot of money?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: He was a cop.

THE COURT: Oh, he was a cop. Okay. A Metro cop or do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you personally ever been to a strip club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've expressed -- you -- you've known some dancers and you feel like working as dancers has not been a positive influence in your life; is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: That's fair. And -- and I saw them get involved in some drugs and alcohol and other things. You know, it's --

THE COURT: Now, the people that have rented from your parents, have those all been dancers or have they been other --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -49PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: -- type of employees?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: A variety of different things because they had a number of apartments and people came and went.

THE COURT: Okay. But --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: But some stayed a length of time.

THE COURT: Okay. But of people that worked in the strip club industry --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- were those dancers or other types of employees like bouncers or bar tenders or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: One was a bartender, one was a dancer.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: One was a cocktail waitress too.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever known anyone who's been a manager or an owner of a strip club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this. Is the fact that you, you know, have a negative impression of strip clubs, would that impact your ability to be a fair and impartial juror? I mean, could you set that aside and listen to the evidence and decide after I give you the law whether or not the State's proven the elements of this crime, not whether they're, you know --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Probably so. Can I explain? THE COURT: Yes, please. I was going to ask you to.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -50-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: You know, I -- I feel for the women that feel that they have to go into that industry, let's put it. I feel like they are being taken advantage of. But I think the operators are seedy, slimy characters and involved in other things. Just -- and that's just from what they had kind of relayed, I guess, in conversations that I overheard as a young girl growing up.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Because some of them would come to my mom. She would kind of be a mom to some of these gals sometimes.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say involved in other things, what kind of other things are you thinking of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Prostitution and just other things.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, this case involves the Palomino Club.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Are you familiar with the Palomino Club? I mean, have you heard it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I've heard of it, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you have -- do you know anybody who is a customer of the Palomino Club or an employee of the Palomino Club or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I had one parent who was in my school years ago who had worked at the location.

THE COURT: At the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Probably about 15, 17 years ago.

THE COURT: Okay. And did she ever talk to you about her work at --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: -- the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: All right. You just knew she worked there.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Is that yes, for the record?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: And what was your opinion of that -- of that individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I didn't have a feeling or anything

about her. I -- I felt kind of bad for her daughter because she was always -- the

mother was coming in scantily dressed and she wanted to volunteer at school.

And I really encourage parents volunteering, but I had to talk to the mom about the way she was dressed because it was truly embarrassing her daughter.

THE COURT: Okay. And this would've --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: And -- and I just kind of -- you know, I really wanted her to come, but, you know, I just --

THE COURT: Right. Right. And I guess the -- you know, the issue is even though you feel that, you know, it's -- it's a business that you personally don't approve of and you have negative --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Correct.

THE COURT: -- impressions, just generally without knowing the individuals or people involved in this industry, would you be able to base your verdict just on the evidence of the case and make sure the State proves the crime and the elements against these people and not say, well, you know, they're

01791

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And despite what your -- what your belief about police officers was, the evidence established that this guy was seedy; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: And he was a specialized officer.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And he was a specialized officer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Does that mean he was a detective?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And so in that particular case you said, hey, look at this evidence, this guy isn't what my initial reaction would be to -- to what this -- what a police officer should be or is; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No, because I have other friends who are police officers, and my husband himself was on the Sheriff's Jeep Posse for quite a length of time and I've had a lot of contact with the Jeep Posse and the Clark County Sheriff's Department.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So let's take the flip side of that. Your belief is that all strip club owners are seedy. I mean, to a certain extent there's a -- there's a moral determination.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: That's right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'd never be a stripper, I don't think being a stripper is a good idea, and I think that there's societal problems that come from being a stripper; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Correct.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. Now, you're basing that on some of your experiences. I'm assuming, since your only contact with the Palomino was 15 or 17 years ago --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Uh-huh.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- before the Hidalgos --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- ever had any contact with it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So -- and you have no idea who these two individuals are.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. And if the evidence in this courtroom was such that established these guys weren't seedy, they were businessmen, they were involved in -- while they may have been involved in a business that you don't morally approve of, that doesn't make them bad people.

If that was the evidence that was presented to you, would you say to yourself, well, they're strip club owners, they must be bad people, or would you set that aside and say, hey, the evidence established these guys aren't those seedy people that I thought they were?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I don't see how there could be any evidence that would say that they wouldn't be.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And that's a fair characterization perhaps. But I mean, your assumption is is that there couldn't be evidence of that. If -- if I --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I'm sure they do some other things. I

24

25

don't know, you know, but --

MR. DIGIACOMO: But I'm asking you if the evidence supported that. The evidence may very well support the conclusion that they are seedy and in which case there's not a problem. The question is if the evidence establishes that they're not, is the fact that you have this belief, are you going to be unable to set aside that belief you came in the door with and not reach the conclusion that the evidence reflects? That's the -- and if at the end of the day you say that you can't do that, then we understand. If you're saying that you can do that, then I have a few questions. That's it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I would hope so, but I cannot say yes or no to tell you the truth.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Would you do your best?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I would always do my best.

MR. DIGIACOMO: My last question is what do your two children do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: One's a dentist, and one's an engineer.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you very much.

MR. ARRASCADA: May we approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yeah.

(Conference at the bench)

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Garhardt, thank you very much for your being here. And I apologize for the length of time that it's taken --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: -- that you've had to come back so many days. But you

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -57-

1	MR. GENTILE: Hats off to you.
2	THE COURT: You know, my hat's off to him too. That was excellent.
3	MR. GENTILE: Hats off to you. That was good.
4	THE COURT: But, Mr. Gentile, you played right into Mr. DiGiacomo's
5	trap.
6	MR. PESCI: Oh, who knows
7	MR. GENTILE: I don't
8	MR. PESCI: what happens.
9	MR. GENTILE: have a problem with that.
10	THE MARSHAL: He's not out there. Is he one of those
11	THE COURT: Oh, no, he's not. Would you call Mr. McLaughlin and tell
12	him he is excused and he does not need to report?
13	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: All right. And the next, then, Ms. Woodland takes chair
15	number six. And now it's the defense's fifth challenge.
16	MR. GENTILE: Hold on. I we I have to talk to my client.
17	(Off-record colloquy)
18	MS. ARMENI: Your Honor, the defense is going to thank and excuse
19	badge No. 71, Shea Palluck.
20	THE COURT: All right. I thought so.
21	Jeff, would you bring in Ms. Palluck.
22	THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.
23	MR. DIGIACOMO: Seat number two is the hot seat.
24	MS. ARMENI: Took a little pressure of seat 13 for awhile.
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: So then it's Jim Mathews?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -59-

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right.

Ms. Palluck, I want to thank you very much for being here, and I want to apologize, I guess, for how long it's taken and how many days you had to make child care arrangements. I'm sure that you appreciate the importance --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I do.

THE COURT: -- to both sides of ferreting out everybody's opinions and viewpoints so that we have a really neutral jury. You are excused at this time, and I want to thank you once again for your willingness to serve as a juror.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And Jeff will just direct you from the courtroom. Thank you.

(Prospective juror No. 71 exits courtroom)

THE COURT: And, Jeff, would bring in Ms. Bonds, please.

THE MARSHAL: Ms. Bonds.

THE COURT: Ms. Bonds, I want to thank you for being here. I apologize for how long it's taken, but I'm sure you can appreciate the importance to both sides in this case of getting a fair jury and ferreting out any issues. We're going to go ahead and excuse you at this point in time, and Jeff will direct you from the courtroom.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 070: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

(Prospective juror No. 70 exits courtroom)

THE COURT: And then Jeff, if you would bring in Mr. Mathews.

THE MARSHAL: Mathews.

(Off-record colloquy)

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -60-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -62-

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I had just got out of the Marine Corps. THE COURT: All right. It wasn't speed methamphetamine was it? Was it the old fashion speed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Old fashion. Right. THE COURT: Okay. I think that's before methamphetamine had been invented. Was it just for personal use or was there allegations of selling? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: It was -- it was personal use and I was mixed -- I got in the wrong place at the wrong time, people dealing drugs and stuff like that. I know I heard asked the question, I thought I was treated very fairly because it was put to me if you keep doing this and keep into it you're going to get yourself in a lot of trouble. And it's been 29 years since I've even had a cigarette or a cup of coffee. THE COURT: Okay. Wow. And who -- who put that to you? Was it the police officers or the judge or your lawyer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: It was one of the detectives that were in on the -- on the bust.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: And they said if you keep this up -- and that's why I said I quit drinking, smoking, I haven't even had a cup of coffee.

THE COURT: All right. Wow. And did you hire a lawyer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No, I was -- I was court appointed.

THE COURT: Oh, you had a public defender.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And how did you feel about your lawyer and the way he handled you case?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -64PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Once.

THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: That was about three weeks ago.

THE COURT: Okay. And so that -- how was your experience at the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: It was fine.

THE COURT: Okay. It was fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I was treated all right.

THE COURT: All right. So nothing about the fact that this case concerns --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I had -- that's why I said I forgot -- I read that in the paper and I just, you know, I thought about it because it's a cement truck driver and I -- I had forgotten all about it. And if I went to the Palomino Club it didn't even register.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know what -- that's our system. You've heard all of the questions and discussions on all of the different issues and concerns that I -- that we've had with the other jurors. Anything that you think we'd need to know about you or be interested in knowing about you based on our questioning and discussions with the other jurors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No.

THE COURT: All right. Any reason you can't be fair and impartial if you're selected to serve?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No. I can be fair, I think.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if the State proves the defendant guilty beyond a -- or one or both defendants guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, would

you be able to render a verdict of not guilty? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes, ma'am. 3 THE COURT: I'm sorry, guilty. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Guilty. THE COURT: All right. Now, conversely we've talked about with some 5 of the other jurors that in -- under our system of government an accused in a 6 criminal case is never required to present any evidence or testify or anything like 7 that. Are you comfortable with that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay. 10 THE COURT: I mean --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: What is that? They can't -- now, what 12 did you -- they can't have any evidence? THE COURT: They don't have to present evidence. 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay. THE COURT: They can present evidence, but they're not obligated to do 15 16 that. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay. 18 THE COURT: All right. Does that make sense to you? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Well, if they're not obligated and 20 that's what the law is, yeah, that's that. THE COURT: Okay. Let's just say -- I mean, obviously the attorneys in 21 this case are very involved in the case, but if they didn't call any witnesses and 22 23 the only witnesses you heard from were the State's witnesses, but at the end of the day you listened and you just didn't -- then you hear the law and the elements 24 of what the State has to prove and we do that at the end, and you just thought, 25

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -66

you know what, this just doesn't add up to me, I just don't think they proved it beyond a reasonable doubt, would you still be able to render a verdict of not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

State, you may question Mr. Mathews.

MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.

Sir, I think the Court asked you, and I didn't catch this part, if you've ever been the victim of a crime. I thought you told us about being accused of one.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I haven't been a victim of a crime, no.

MR. PESCI: Anyone closely associated with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: My mom, she was there when President Reagan got shot.

MR. PESCI: Really?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yeah, she was very fortunate because she was going to walk out and take a picture, and the person she was with asked her to stay in the -- you know, stay in there because it was a convention for the carpenters. So she stayed in there, but she would've been outside when that went down.

MR. PESCI: Do you think that that would affect your ability to be fair to either side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No.

MR. PESCI: Okay. You talked a little bit about some of the issues. I don't think we touched on gangs. What are your thoughts about gangs?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -67-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I have no use for them. I -- I don't get -- I have no use for them. I stay away from it.

MR. PESCI: All right. Let me ask you this. That position, would it affect you in the way you deliberated in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No, because I had already been -- I listened since I was -- when we were sitting there that this has nothing to do with gangs. That's what the Judge even said.

MR. PESCI: And the State's not -- we're not alleging a gang -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Right.

MR. PESCI: -- issue here. However, you might hear evidence about some of the people involved in this case, not the defendants, that are associated with gangs. Would that affect you one way or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No. I -- I wouldn't give them much credit if they're -- you know, if they're in gangs and they were doing something wrong.

MR. PESCI: But you wouldn't automatically go for guilt or innocence because of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No.

MR. PESCI: I want to ask a few questions, and I apologize, about your incident with the marijuana. Did you not have a case come from it or what happened from that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I had -- there was a case come from it and I just -- it just, you know, I -- I got -- the first time I got in trouble I had the -- a couple of months I had to go to like a -- it was like -- it wasn't prison the first time. And then the second time that I got in -- a couple years went by and the second

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -68-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -69-

youth -- youthful offender programs. Is that what you wound up with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I don't think -- I don't know. Maybe they did that and I didn't know about it.

MR. GENTILE: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: You know, I think they treated me really fair --

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Good.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: -- on the whole thing.

MR. GENTILE: As far as gangs, the subject of gangs, you say you stay away from that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yeah.

MR. GENTILE: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Well, one, drugs, and then the violence they have, killing people and, you know, you watch it on, you know, Discovery Channel which I like watching a lot. You watch what they do, things like that, that's the high life, you know, climbing the mountains, you know, go hiking, go to the gym, exercise. I had a motorcycle for awhile. You know, anything besides getting into gangs.

MR. GENTILE: Sure. There's other ways to have fun.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes, sir.

MR. GENTILE: Just a second. How long have you been driving a truck?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: About -- well, I've been here since 2000 driving. I started driving for the City. I was there for like three months and

that's when I got into -- they had a shotgun program for driving a cement truck.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

difficult at times when people would say, hey, Jim, and you didn't -- you both would look?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: The one I have a problem with, which I -- I had a problem with insurance people, is that everybody wants to spell my name with two Ts, no matter if I say it's spelt with one. They always -- so I went to get my driver's license and got my driver's license, and I signed it Jim Mathews with one T, they put two.

So when I went to -- I get a thing from the insurance people, I mean from the state of -- down in Carson City saying I don't have insurance. And what happened was that my name -- I asked them, I said was my name being spelt like this for you to say I don't have insurance. Like I said, I had proof that I was paying for all my insurance. So they had to have that straightened out, I had a problem with that. But I never had a problem with the second and my dad, no.

THE COURT: This is funny because your name on our list has two Ts in it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I was a security guard. I walked in for my uniform and my badge --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: -- and they said how would you like it spelled? I said with one T. And when I got home and looked at my badge, they spelled it with two.

MR. ARRASCADA: And the -- growing up at home were you -- your father was James or Jim?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Jim. It's Jim on the birth certificate.

MR. ARRASCADA: And were you -- did they call you, say, Jimmy or

anything because you were --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Or Junior?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: The second, yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. So you'll be able or you're sensitive to differentiating between Louis Hidalgo, Jr. and Louis Hidalgo III --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes, sir.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- or Little Lou and Mr. H? And if people -- if you're on the jury and people were confused, would you be able to say, hey, wait a minute, I have this real life experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Because I -- the people I talked to -- the girl I talked to at Clark County, she said she had the same experience with her name because she spelt it -- instead of spell -- like I spell my name I-E, some people want to spell it with a Y. And she had the same experience so we talked about that.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. So that's something that you would let the other jurors know, hey, we need to be sensitive to this; is that accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. How long were you in the Marines?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Five, five and a half years. I was in the Marine Corp reserves, engineer. I was in -- I went in for six months active training, and then I went for my five and a half years, four and a half years, you know, in active reserves. Then I was -- then they had like a year they could call

1	you up or something like that, which I never got called.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: You've been discharged now?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes.
4	MR. ARRASCADA: Honorably?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Yes.
6	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. I think you you said you're a you're a
7	Teamster? You're in the Union?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: I was in the Teamsters.
9	MR. ARRASCADA: No longer?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No longer.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. And you're you said your mom when she
12	was there she was at a carpenters function?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Convention.
14	MR. ARRASCADA: Is that was she a is your family, your mom and
15	dad union folks also?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: No. Either my mom or my dad my
17	mom, she she had a friend that she dated for a long time. They were you
18	know, they never got married but they dated. And she would go with him on
19	different conventions all over like Hawaii and New York and stuff like that.
20	MR. ARRASCADA: Thank you, sir.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23	Mr. Mathews, thank you very much. Don't discuss what we've
24	discussed and your answers and our questions
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 079: Okay.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -75-

1	for Excel Ink, it's a third party logistic for Wal-Mart.
2	THE COURT: It's a what? Third party?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Third party for Wal-Mart.
4	THE COURT: For what?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Wal-Mart.
6	THE COURT: Oh, for Wal-Mart.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yeah.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do as a shipping clerk?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: What do I do?
10	THE COURT: Yeah.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: A shipping clerk, get all the paper
12	ready to ship.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
17	THE COURT: You ever been a juror before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay . And have you or anyone close to you ever been
20	the victim of a serious crime?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
22	THE COURT: What about the flip of that, arrested or charged with a
23	crime?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Nope.
25	THE COURT: Nothing? All right. How long have you lived here in
- 1	

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -77-

1	southern Nevada?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: It's five years.
3	THE COURT: And where did you move here from?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: California.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Where in California? Southern, northern, central?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Southern. Southern California.
7	THE COURT: Okay. LA area?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: LA area.
9	THE COURT: All right. Are you well, let me ask you this. What are
10	your feelings or opinions about strip clubs?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Strip clubs? It's a business.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been to one?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right. Here in in Clark County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
16	THE COURT: How how many times about have you been to a strip
17	club?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Once.
19	THE COURT: And what club did you go to?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Was it the Olympic
21	THE COURT: Garden?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yeah.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And what was the occasion that you happened to
24	go to the Olympic Garden?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: A friend's birthday.
1	1

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -78-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Female friend. THE COURT: Was it when they have the men dancers? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Now, growing up in the LA area, did you have any contact in your school or anything like that or your neighborhood with gang members? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Of course, yes. THE COURT: Okay. Did you know anyone -- did you -- well, I mean, I guess there is gang members in the school. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Of course. THE COURT: Did you ever have any negative contact with a gang member? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any friends that were involved in that gang lifestyle? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I can't say friends, but classmates. THE COURT: Okay. All right. And what ware your feelings about that, I guess gang membership, the gang lifestyle? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I don't know. The little bit that I kind of -- the classmates that I would hear, it would seem more like they needed somebody, family kind of relation. Because I guess at home it was not that good, I quess. THE COURT: Okay. So they were looking --25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: From what I heard.

THE COURT: Okay. A male friend or a female friend?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -80-

1	all do this every day. We're used to
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Oh, yeah.
3	THE COURT: speaking publicly, but not everybody is.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. PESCI: All right. And she'll let us know if we she can't hear.
6	Okay?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Okay.
8	MR. PESCI: You said you're nervous. Don't worry about it. Relax. Are
9	you nervous about the idea of having to maybe deliberate, or are you nervous
10	just because we've got you on the spot all by yourself?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Spot, and all the questions.
12	MR. PESCI: Do you think you could be fair to both sides?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
14	MR. PESCI: Do you do you agree with the concept that a defendant
15	never has to testify in a case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Say that again.
17	MR. PESCI: Well, the Judge is going to give an instruction that a
18	defendant never has to testify.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Doesn't have to?
20	MR. PESCI: Does not have to testify.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Okay.
22	MR. PESCI: Are you okay with that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I understand that he doesn't have to.
24	MR. PESCI: Are you saying that you'd like to hear something?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I think to be fair I would like to hear

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -81-

24

both sides.

MR. PESCI: Okay. And we kind of dice words when we say that. Both sides, I think you're going to see, and you've seen so far that both sides have been interacting with you so far.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.

MR. PESCI: All right. But the law does not require that the defense put any evidence on.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.

MR. PESCI: It's the burden on the State. We have to prove it beyond a reasonable doubt. It's all our burden. Are you all right with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.

MR. PESCI: Okay. You -- you might hear arguments from both sides and things of that nature, but in the context of actually taking the stand and testifying, the law does not require a defendant to do that. Would you be able to follow that law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I would have to. It's a law.

MR. PESCI: And I guess from their prospective, would you be kind of holding it against him, either of the defendants, if they chose not to testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I would not hold it against them, but I would like to hear both sides. I think to be able to --

MR. PESCI: And that's understandable. I mean, it's common nature to sit there and say, you know, I'd like to hear both sides of the thing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.

MR. PESCI: But can you -- can you accept the law and follow the law that you don't have to and you won't hold it against the defendant?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -83-

MS. ARMENI: And if we didn't do anything else, what would you -- and you heard the State's evidence and the State's evidence was not beyond a reasonable doubt, what would be your verdict at the end of the day if we just sat there and didn't do anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Anything.

MS. ARMENI: Just sat there and looked at you guys and, I don't know, watch the State do their job. It would be very uncomfortable. I understand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Right.

MS. ARMENI: But if we didn't do anything, what -- what do you think your verdict sitting here today would be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: It's tough.

MS. ARMENI: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I don't know. I don't know.

MS. ARMENI: You don't know what your verdict would be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.

MS. ARMENI: Do you -- do you feel like you need for us to get up and do something?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.

MS. ARMENI: Yes? Okay. Can you -- and I know you said you understood the law and I'm sure you would do your best to follow Judge Adair's instructions.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.

MS. ARMENI: But do you think because you feel that way that that would be a problem if we sat there and didn't do anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I don't think it would be a problem,

but I would maybe question it why. But I would understand it's the law and you have the right not to.

THE COURT: Well, you alluded to you're nervous. Can you appreciate that -- that people are nervous, they're not used to speaking --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.

THE COURT: -- in front of others and that could be a reason maybe a defendant doesn't --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Right.

THE COURT: -- testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: That's why I would like -- I guess.

THE COURT: Okay. And -- and let me ask you this. I mean, if -- let's just say -- I mean, obviously these lawyers are very involved, but as Ms. Armeni said, they don't need to do anything --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.

THE COURT: -- because, you know, the idea is that the power of the government, they're the ones that have the burden of proof and they're the ones that have to put on all of the evidence and the -- the defense can put on evidence, but doesn't have to. Are you comfortable with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you sound a little bit hesitant. Let me ask you this. If you listen to the evidence and all the witnesses come from the State's side, the defense doesn't call any witnesses and you get back in the jury box and you say, you know what, I just -- this just doesn't add up to me this -- what the State put on, I don't think they proved it beyond a reasonable doubt. Would you be able to say not guilty even if the -- this side of the room, the defense side

1	didn't call any witnesses or put anything on?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I don't think I could.
3	THE COURT: You don't think you could?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	Any follow up?
7	MS. ARMENI: No.
8	Thank you, Ms. Gamino.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: You're welcome.
10	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: State.
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: Submit it, Judge.
13	THE COURT: All right. I'll see everybody up here.
14	(Conference at the bench)
15	THE COURT: Ms. Gamino, thank you very much. We're going to go
16	ahead and excuse you at this time. I do need to advise you, however
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
18	THE COURT: or instruct you that you're not to discuss, if they ask
19	what we the other jurors, don't tell them what we talked about or what your
20	answers were or anything like that.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: All right. So just sit outside?
22	THE COURT: No, just you're you're free to go
23	THE MARSHAL: I'll give the instructions.
24	THE COURT: and Officer Wooten is going to tell you what to do. And
25	before he does that he's going to bring in Ms. McRoy.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -87-

1	accounting before you got your jobs working in accounting?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No, I I'm not in accounting.
3	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I worked for LA County.
5	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Oh, LA County. Okay. I thought you were
6	in accounting in LA County. Okay.
7	Thank you, Mr. Gentile.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: In probation and in personnel and
9	then administrative.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So you were in the department of parole and
11	probation for Los Angeles County?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And what did you do for them?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I received my associate's degree with
15	police science and I was going to go into law enforcement. I started off in the
16	probation department as a community worker.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Now, as a community worker, did you have direct
18	contact with probationers?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes. We were in charge of going out
20	to the juvenile juvenile detention facilities.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So you worked with younger offenders?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Did you ever work with adult offenders?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No.
25	THE COURT: Now, here in in our state it's the State that has the

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -89-

probations officers. Were these juvenile -- well, actually, no, it's the County for 2 iuveniles. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yeah. THE COURT: It's the same thing. All right. And what was your job in 4 5 working with these juveniles? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: God, it's been awhile. I think we had 6 7 to go out there and take accounting because they were put in there, and I think 8 we had to make sure that they were attending the classes that they were supposed to and make sure they were there and kind of just keep an eye on 10 them as far -- as far as I remember. It's been quite awhile. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Why didn't you go into law enforcement? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Good question. That's really what -what was in my heart to do was to go into law enforcement. But about that time I 13 got married and started a family and then just my career went in a different 14 15 direction. 16 THE COURT: Things happened. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I regret it, though. 19 20 THE COURT: And are you currently married? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes. 22 MR. GENTILE: I didn't hear the last --23 THE COURT: She regrets it. 24 MR. GENTILE: She regrets it. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I regret it.

> JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -90-

1	MR. GENTILE: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Are you currently married?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes, I am.
4	THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: He's retired from Los Angeles
6	County.
7	THE COURT: Okay. What did he do for the County in Los Angeles?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: One of the jobs he had was he was
9	the assistant coroner to the Los Angeles County, and he was there when Robert
10	Kennedy was assassinated and they brought him in. So he worked with FBI, you
11	know, a special age special agents and the Kennedy family.
12	Then he went into the Department of Mental Health, became the
13	assistant director of mental health. He retired from County and went to work for
14	St. Johns Hospital in Santa Monica as their lobbyist. And he retired from there.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Now, how many children do you have?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Two.
17	THE COURT: And are they both grown?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: All grown.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served as a juror before?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the
22	victim of a serious crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. What about arrested or charged with a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I think my ex-husband was.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -91-

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've heard that the individuals, the Hidalgos, are involved in the strip -- or were at the time involved at the strip -- in the strip club business. Is there anything about that that would impact your ability to be completely fair and impartial in this case? Meaning can you, you know, set aside whatever negative feelings you have and base your verdict on the evidence that's presented during the trial, meaning the testimony from the witness stand and the exhibits that are admitted into evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. You've heard this long period of time, all of the questions and discussions we've had with all of the other prospective jurors. Anything that any of us have asked or discussed with the other jurors that you would've had to raise your hand and say, yes, that -- that pertains to me or that pertains to my family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And finally, when you worked as a juvenile probation officer, how long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I started that in about 1968, '69.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I was there about two, maybe three years.

THE COURT: So that was before you had the big problem with street gangs we -- that we have today; is that fair? Or did they have gangs back then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: In LA County? Yeah. I think they've always had gangs in LA.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you ever have to work with gang members in

law enforcement. Why is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I don't know. I just, since I was little, I thought I always wanted to be a police officer.

MR. ARRASCADA: And you have great -- do you respect law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes, I do.

MR. ARRASCADA: Describe that respect or how you feel.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Well, to me, I mean, it's just law enforcement. I respect them because -- I'm sure there's some bad cops, you know. I have never had an experience, but I just respect the law enforcement. I know they're there to protect the people and do the best and I would've been doing the same.

MR. ARRASCADA: And would you give more -- you'd give more credit to a police officer's testimony in a trial than, say, non-police officers because of your respect for law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No, I think I would listen to everybody's side. I mean, I'm not going to say just because he's a police officer I'm going to believe 100 percent and he's or she's not, and I'd listen to that. But I mean, if I had to weigh, you know, we had -- if I had to have -- you gave me a choice, do you believe him or -- or this person, I think I would lean more towards law enforcement. But, I mean, I would listen to both sides.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'm open.

MR. ARRASCADA: Well, you just said that given a choice you'd lean more towards law enforcement; is that accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: That's fair.

THE COURT: Okay. And as you listen to the witnesses, whatever witness it -- it -- it is, whoever it is, can you keep an open mind and assess their credibility and their demeanor and -- and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, let's say one witness testifies to one thing and it's not a law enforcement witness and the other witness testifies to a different thing, you know, the opposite, let's just say, and is a law enforcement witness, would you automatically say, well, I'm going to believe the law enforcement witness or would you kind of keep an open mind and consider other things or would you just say, well, it's a cop, his -- his story, his version must be true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: No. No, I mean, just because he's law enforcement I'm not going to say, oh, yeah, I definitely believe him and I don't believe him or her. I mean, it's just -- I'm going to listen to both sides.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Arrascada, I'm sorry I interrupted you.

MR. ARRASCADA: All right, Your Honor.

But you have told us all that you have a bias towards -- within you towards believing law enforcement. You stated that; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I don't know if it's a bias. I mean, I'm open to hearing both sides and like, you know, the Judge said, you know, there are good cops, bad cops. Just because he's a cop doesn't mean I'm going to believe him 100 percent. That all depends what everybody else has to say. I mean, he or she is going to be one part of my big puzzle, you know, and everybody has got to fit in there, you know. If the parts fit in there, then they fit in

1	there. If they don't, then I start looking at it differently.
2	MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
4	Is it going to be Ms. Armeni?
5	MS. ARMENI: Yes, Your Honor.
6	Ms. McRoy, what do your two adult children do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: One is a stay at home mom, and my
8	son right now just has a temporary job. He's trying to get into dealing.
9	MS. ARMENI: He did
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Poker.
11	MS. ARMENI: Oh, dealing.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Poker.
13	MS. ARMENI: Okay. What did he do
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Nothing illegal. Poker.
15	MS. ARMENI: You may need a lawyer at that point; right? Do you know
16	any lawyers?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
18	MS. ARMENI: Yes?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Yes.
20	MS. ARMENI: And if you were in a situation that you thought was life
21	altering for yourself, would you what would you do?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'd call an attorney.
23	MS. ARMENI: When when I throw the word drugs out there, what
24	what do you think of?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Drugs? My ex-husband.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -99-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -100-

1		THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
2		MR. DIGIACOMO: a challenge?
3		THE COURT: Jeff, wait a minute. I got confused.
4		MR. GENTILE: Can we have
5		MR. DIGIACOMO: I need a restroom break.
6		MR. GENTILE: a little can we have like ten minutes?
7		THE COURT: Ten minutes?
8	-	MR. GENTILE: We haven't taken a break this morning.
9		THE COURT: Well, you can have five minutes.
10		THE DEFENDANT HIDALGO III: I need to go to the restroom too, Your
11	Honor.	
12		MR. GENTILE: Well, we need to confer a little
13		THE COURT: All right.
14		MR. GENTILE: bit too. It's getting down
15		THE COURT: All right.
16		MR. GENTILE: to that time.
17		MS. ARMENI: Little Lou needs to go to the bathroom.
18		THE COURT: Just, Jeff, make sure the door, nobody comes in.
19		And go ahead and take him to the restroom.
20		MR. GENTILE: Okay. Let's go.
21		Thank you, Judge.
22		THE COURT: And we'll take I just want a restroom break in other
23	words.	
24	Verina -	And you've used 12 minutes. Oh, no, you've used 13 minutes.
25	***************************************	MR. ARRASCADA: How many have I used, Your Honor?
	***************************************	JRP TRANSCRIBING

702.635.0301 -101-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -102-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -103-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -104-

01842

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -105-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: No, my father was against it. MR. GENTILE: Really?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Absolutely.

MR. GENTILE: All right. Well, how'd you -- you just got into it as a

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: It's just something I wanted to do.

MR. GENTILE: Have you been doing it for a living your whole life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Always.

MR. GENTILE: Always. Now, the strip club issue. You say that, you know, it's -- it seems as though it's neutral as far as you're concerned.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: I know people that go to them. It's just not part of my life. I don't think about it. I -- I have a busy schedule on my

MR. GENTILE: Sure I can understand that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Nor do I have the money.

MR. GENTILE: Right. But here's -- here's what I'm really getting at. Okay? Going to a strip club or, you know, using a strip club as a means of entertainment. That's what I'm talking about. And what you're telling us, I think, is that that's okay with you, it's just not something you do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Absolutely. 1 --

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Here's the part that I'm concerned about. How about somebody who owns and operates a strip club? Do you have any kind of

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: If they can make a living at it, good

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -108-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -109-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Absolutely.

MR. ARRASCADA: You were talking about in your -- in your -- as, I guess, as a supervisor as a general contractor you've had to resolve --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Yeah.

MR. ARRASCADA: -- disputes with employees; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Absolutely.

MR. ARRASCADA: How do you go about doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: It depends on the situation. You know, I mean, if it's -- if it's something serious, I'm going to try and find out who started what, who stole what from who, you know what I mean. It's -- it depends on the situation.

MR. ARRASCADA: So you'd want to gather facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Absolutely.

MR. ARRASCADA: And make an educated decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Of course.

MR. ARRASCADA: And if -- if you were on this jury, would you want to approach the case the same way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: Absolutely.

MR. ARRASCADA: And if other jurors maybe try to discuss the case based on their passions or something of that prejudice, would you get them back towards where they should be, which is looking at the evidence and the facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 086: I would say this. I -- I would -- I'd be one where I don't want to see an innocent person go to jail. I don't want to see that. So if -- if there is a case that is proven and I'm on the panel, then definitely I want to see that person go to prison. If they didn't do it, then absolutely under --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -110-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -111-

01849

25

There are other similarly situation white people on the panel who've

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

not been struck who also have misdemeanor convictions from years ago. The gentleman yesterday, the government teacher had a DWAI in Colorado. There is someone else on the panel currently. Mr. Mathews had prior convictions. And I think when the Court assesses the prima facie case and the government's response, it's also appropriate to look at the strategic use of the strike of juror No. 9 right before an African American woman, Ms. Woodland, came into the courtroom.

And I think that that demonstrates a pattern of intentional -intentional maneuvering on the government to eliminate African Americans from the panel.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Woodland?

MR. ADAMS: Or -- well, that may be the --

MR. DIGIACOMO: She's on the panel.

MR. PESCI: She's on the panel.

MR. GENTILE: No, she's an alternate.

MR. ADAMS: Or, I'm sorry, that --

THE COURT: Kelli Woodland, she did not appear to be African American to me. And this is an issue that the judges have discussed, that a lot of people you can't identify what they are. She appeared to me to be Hispanic. You can't go by her last name because I'm assuming it's her husband's last name. But, to me, I thought she appeared by her accent and appearance to be Hispanic. I honestly -- again, I don't know what she is. She can be Dominican, she could be Indian. I mean, who knows. But she didn't appear to be African American to me.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I'd also point out --

THE COURT: And -- and just --

MR. ADAMS: -- Mr. Keegan --

THE COURT: -- so you know --

MR. ADAMS: -- Mr. Keegan had a prior as well, the juror who is in seat No. 12 currently.

THE COURT: All right. And just so you know, it was decided, you know, that it's inappropriate to inquire of potential jurors as to their racial background other than maybe --

MR. GENTILE: How about maiden names?

THE COURT: Huh?

MR. GENTILE: How about maiden names? I did that yesterday. You know, this is not an issue with which I am sensitive. I must be candid.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: I know nothing about Batson.

THE COURT: I think you can inquire, and I think you can inquire about their native language and what language they grew up speaking in the home, but not, you know, oh, you know, ethnically what are you, unless it's something that maybe is germane to an issue in the case.

I don't see a power and practice here of racial discrimination against black people or anybody else. As I just said, Ms. Woodland didn't appear to be African American to me, and that's the first threshold that there's a pattern of -- of discrimination or of striking people of a particular ethnic background before you even are triggered into the race neutral reason, in my understanding of the law.

But if the State wants to, out of an abundance of caution, give their race neutral reason at this point, they may do so.

MR. ADAMS: My objection is they said they are not tendering their

25

reason on the record, yet --

THE COURT: And then they're tendering it.

MR. ADAMS: -- they're offering explanation. And I think this record is going to be very confused. If they either tender their race neutral reason or they stand silent, I think the record is clear for appellate purposes.

THE COURT: Well, except I'm anticipating what Mr. DiGiacomo is going to say, and he is going to say that he's not tendering his race neutral reason, but he's refuting the statements that you have made on the record relating to Mr. Williams as opposed to other jurors. In his view he's correcting the record as to what you said. And I think that is appropriate.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: If you said something, he can address it. So I think that's --

Is that what you're doing?

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's correct.

THE COURT: Don't go beyond that. The only issue is the -- is the arrests and --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, is -- is the pattern, and what they're suggesting is the pattern. And as to Mr. Williams, to his statement about police officers and his statement about most police officers that he's had contact with is my recollection with him and that his brother was charged with race -- I mean, sorry, his cousin was charged with rape. He talked to him, but -- and he was convicted, but he couldn't really tell us whether or not the system was fair, related on -- based on that context.

There was another African American juror on this case who had a

bad interaction with a single police officer, but that her other individual police officers that she had had contact with had all behaved very appropriately. The suggestion that merely because Mr. Williams is a black person or a person of African American heritage somehow suggests at all that there was a pattern in this particular case. It's clearly inappropriate --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- and I'll submit it --

THE COURT: Again, I don't --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- to the Court.

THE COURT: -- see a pattern based on Ms. Woodland who, as I said, I don't know what she is, but she didn't appear to be African American to me.

MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, so --

THE COURT: She may be generally a person of ethnicity. I think that may be fair. Like I said, she appeared to be Hispanic, but honestly I don't know.

MR. ADAMS: Since this information is not in the record, may I please place in the record that Mr. Williams, who is in seat No. 11, is an African American male and that Mr. Rotich, who was Ms. Wright, I believe, on the original list, currently in seat No. 5, is an African American female, and those are the only two African Americans who --

THE COURT: That have been questioned thus far.

MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am.

MR. DIGIACOMO: With the exception --

THE COURT: And there appears to be --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- of maybe Ms. Woodland.

THE COURT: There appears to be another --

MR. ADAMS: And perhaps Ms. Woodland, whatever her ethnicity or racial background is.

THE COURT: Yeah, I mean, again, she visually appeared to be Hispanic, not African American. And by Hispanic, I mean South American, well, not, you know, Cuban mix or Puerto Rican mixed race, black and Hispanic. She didn't appear to be, but, again, we didn't question and I don't know.

I'd also just point out there is coming up an African American male who is -- was seated in the back row is coming up for questioning down the road. So like I said, I don't see a practice at this point.

State needs to be mindful that a challenge has been made. If other African Americans or people of color are excluded, then we may start seeing a pattern here. But at this point, I don't think that threshold has been met.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, I -- I am not arguing with the Court, I just want to make sure --

THE COURT: Make a record.

MR. ADAMS: -- that I understand --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ADAMS: -- the record as -- as it is.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. ADAMS: The Court has ruled we have not made a prima facie showing, so the government was not responding in any way to the legal objection, they were just responding to representations on the record that they felt needed to be responded to.

THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, the record is you didn't find a pattern. I was

referring to what wouldn't be found a pattern. Certainly I'm not required to say why it is I did something, but if the Court were -- I mean -- fine. Leave the record the way it is.

THE COURT: No, I don't -- I don't -- okay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I mean, if they want to take up that, then that's fine.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, my only -- my only additional point is it doesn't matter if there's a pattern. If one person is intentionally discriminated against, it only takes one. The US Supreme Court case law is -- is clear on that. It only takes one. So I don't think a pattern must be established. It's individual discrimination against a single juror.

THE COURT: Well, what I'm saying, though, Mr. Adams, is just because an African American has been excluded, I don't think that you meet the threshold of saying that that's discriminatory.

MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You -- because that person happens to be African

American or Hispanic or East Indian or any other, just because that person is a person of color and they're excluded, you don't make an initial showing that that was of a discriminatory motive.

Now, if two or three African Americans or people of color or various ethnicities are excluded, then you -- you get that showing. But I don't think that the Court -- and maybe my understanding of the law is incorrect. The Court does not infer simply because one person happens to be a person of color and they're excluded, that that was of a discriminatory motive. And that's what I'm saying and I'm not willing to infer that because one person who happened to be a black male was excluded. And excuse me --

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -120-

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -123-

1	called upon, but never I mean, we arrived we were released before we
2	arrived.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And can you excuse me for one moment.
4	All right. Thank you. Sorry for the interruption. So you never
5	have you ever actually made it into the box where we
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Never.
7	THE COURT: ask you questions? Okay.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Unfortunately, no.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of a
10	serious crime?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: No.
12	THE COURT: What about arrested or charged with a serious crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: I personally have been arrested once
14	in the past for a bench warrant for a ticket.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Was that a traffic ticket?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Did that occur here in Clark County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And did you just post the bond and get out?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: [inaudible].
21	THE COURT: All right. Have you ever had to hire a lawyer?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Never had to hire one. I've had one
23	as a friend help me through a my fiancé, I was living with her, she passed. We
24	weren't married, so the house went to her mother and father. But over the years,
25	six, eight years that I was with her, a good friend of mine, a lawyer, helped me

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -124-

1 fight the case. And we came upon you and you're the one that actually let me 2 stay in my house. So --3 THE COURT: So you must be --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: -- thank you. 5 THE COURT: -- you must be happy with me then. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Well, at least one person is. What about in your 8 divorce case? Did you represent yourself or did you hire a lawyer for that? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: No, we did it ourselves. 10 THE COURT: You just did it yourself. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Got the self packet. 12 THE COURT: Uh-huh. Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: We filled it out wrong, but we found 14 out later down the road that we shouldn't do it ourselves anymore. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: But it worked out fine. 17 THE COURT: How do you -- how do you feel about strip clubs? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: I don't have a problem with strip 19 clubs. It's another business. Someone opened a business, there's employees 20 that have been hired, they're making a salary, money, or however they make 21 their money. I have brought several of my clients into them, in and out of them 22 over the years. We work out of the Hard Rock, across the street there's one. 23 Just -- it's another business to me. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And do you ever go to strip clubs? I think the one 25 across from the Hard Rock, is that Club Paradise?

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -126THE COURT: You ever hear anything or have any opinion about the Palomino Club?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this. As a -- did you ever work with rock bands, or was it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay. So you must've seen a lot of drug use --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Absolutely.

THE COURT: -- and things like that. Any strong feelings one way or the other or what's your opinion about drug use?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: I'm hired to do a job and that's what I'm there for.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: I mean, I have no strong opinions. I mean, that's what they do and that's what they choose to do. So they're paying my salary.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

State, you may follow up with Mr. Adkins.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't have a lot to ask you, but I have to ask. Why do you just do special events and not conventions? Is there a reason?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -127-

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: But there's a lot more liability.
Computers come up missing. It could be their own we don't know who's done
it. The first thing they do is point the finger at the security company. Well, you
had a guy there. He was asleep on the job. And we don't want that aggravation
so we stopped.

MR. DIGIACOMO: When you say you provide -- since you do special events and stuff like that, do you -- do you provide -- you said Garth Brooks. Are you like the three or four private guys that protect Garth Brooks personally? You're personal security. You're not the guys who are out there in the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: No, we are personal right with them.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Great. Thank you very much, sir.

We pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Who would like to go next for the defense?

MR. ARRASCADA: I -- I get -- I'll go, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. ARRASCADA: I just want to clear up one thing. So you're -- you provide personal security for the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: No.

MR. ARRASCADA: No?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: We're event staff for concerts and specials events here in town.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: I was personally, before this company, in that business.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Thank you. You -- you mentioned that

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -128you've been summoned before for jury duty and you said unfortunately you'd never been up --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Just for experience-wise. I never got to experience the judicial system.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. How's the experience so far?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: That's a whole other case.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: That's something that we could talk about later on. It has nothing to do with this or anything. Just I think that, personally, and I don't want to get into it, but just the comments that are being made throughout the three days that we're here, there could be a little more communication to the people about how long it could go. But that -- it has nothing to do with this case, that's just another situation. It's like a survey that I could probably fill out.

MR. ARRASCADA: Okay. Great.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: It's just -- you know what I'm saying? There's just -- they're left out in the open and everybody doesn't know what's going on. And I understand on one half that's the way it has to be, and the other half it need -- you know, nobody knows anything. I mean, it's just like --

MR. ARRASCADA: But it sounds like you appreciate --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Oh, absolutely.

MR. ARRASCADA: Although you may disagree, you appreciate the process.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 087: Oh, I respect everything about the judicial system. If we didn't have anything, it could be going wrong.

JRP TRANSCRIBING 702.635.0301 -129-