## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 07 2011 02:56 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

Appellant,

VS.

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial District Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

## APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Volume 18 of 25

(Pages 3404 - 3494)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

## ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 <sup>1</sup>	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A <sup>2</sup>	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B <sup>3</sup>	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 <sup>4</sup>	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release for House Arrest)	02/24/09	24	04505
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843

## Transcript of Jury Trial Day 10 Continued

as it relates to Ms. Espindola the death penalty came back.

He didn't lump in -- like the State had done in direct exam,
he didn't lump in Louie Hidalgo, III, into that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: He --

2.

MR. ADAMS: -- confusion on that point.

MR. PESCI: He said, the State refiled — are you aware that the State refiled the notice of intent and it was not qualified to any specific defendant.

THE COURT: Yeah. But I think the inference was it's a separate notice of intent as to each person, and he was going to her motivation that she was afraid she would be executed. She doesn't care whether anybody else gets executed, according to the inferences he's creating. So I took that as a notice of intent as to her.

MR. DIGIACOMO: But what he said then is, and if you hadn't pled it like the Supreme Court did and reinstituted the death penalty in this case, you'd be facing the death penalty. That's exactly what he said.

THE COURT: Yeah, but they don't know that that -they don't know that that means the death penalty, because
obviously the issues are different as to each defendant.

So, Mr. Gentile, do you want an -- I think it's appropriate to give an instruction, the State has voluntarily withdrawn seeking the death penalty. But you guys can think about it. You don't have to decide today --

MR. GENTILE: Okay. Thank you. 1 2 THE COURT: -- what you guys want to do. 3 MR. PESCI: Judge, while they're thinking of that, 4 we've talked to you about Sessions up at the bench. Sessions 5 is 111 6 Nev. --7 THE COURT: I've got it right in front of me here, 8 and I was trying to read it, but you guys all kept objecting 9 so much I 10 couldn't --11 MR. PESCI: Okay. When you do read it, we'd ask you 12 to look at the end of Headnote 4. THE COURT: All right. Can we bring the jury in? 13 MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, they wanted to object to me 14 15 getting into instances of bad character when they put a good 16 character into evidence. 17 MR. GENTILE: Well, but, see --18 THE COURT: That was why you approached the bench, 19 which we've forgotten about in our --20 MR. GENTILE: That's why I approached the bench. 21 don't bring them in yet, please. No, no. Please don't. 22 Yes? 23 THE COURT: No. Don't, because we --MR. GENTILE: Don't, yeah. 24 25 THE COURT: -- this is why you approached.

MR. GENTILE: Under 50.085(3) specific instances of the conduct of a witness for the purpose of attacking or supporting the witness's character for truthfulness you can go into it. Here what they're trying to do is they're trying to go into specific instances of misconduct of Luis Hidalgo, Jr. I don't know how you do that.

MR. DIGIACOMO: This is the question. "You've known Mr. H for many years and Mr. H did nothing to behave like Mr. Gillardi or Mr. Rizzolo." The statute says once the defense puts his good character in, we have an opportunity to rebut it with specific acts. He's talking about credibility. He's not talking about pure character evidence. And this is pure character evidence.

MR. GENTILE: We were talking about two specific people and his conduct was not like theirs.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Right.

MR. GENTILE: Now, if they've got something where he bribed a County commissioner --

THE COURT: Well, this isn't credibility evidence.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Wasn't quite a County commissioner.

THE COURT: This is character evidence, because he's -- without him testifying we're not interested in his credibility.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- his credibility. This is character.

1 THE COURT: So it's not 50.085 evidence, which is --2 MR. GENTILE: No. He's not a witness. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. GENTILE: Right. THE COURT: It's not credibility. I thought that's 5 6 what you said. 7 MR. GENTILE: No. I -- I did say that. But he's not 8 a witness, and his character is not in issue in this case. 9 The only thing that I asked about was Gillardi or Rizzolo and 10 that in her experience he's done nothing like either one of those two people. Now, if they've got something that says 11 that he has, I suppose she could give testimony to that, okay. 12 13 But unless it fits Gillardi and Rizzolo -- I didn't open up 14 the door wide open. We only talked about two people. I 15 didn't say, you've never heard of this man doing anything 16 wrong in his life. 17 MR. DIGIACOMO: You said he never did anything like 18 Mr. Gillardi --19 MR. GENTILE: Or Mr. Rizzolo. MR. DIGIACOMO: -- or Mr. Rizzolo. And that's good 20 21 character evidence. THE COURT: So bribing County -- I mean, there's a --22 23 see --24 MR. DIGIACOMO: Bribing County commissioners, having

people beat up, all of that is fair game. All of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, what is it that you're going to ask her?

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm going to say, are you aware of a situation similar to Mr. Gillardi. And I'm anticipating her answer is going to be yes, that Mr. Hidalgo gave inappropriate gifts to a City councilman to get his permits and that's what Tony Moore Leavitt's extortion basis was about.

MR. GENTILE: Well, then you've go to lay a -
MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm going to ask her, as well -- I'll

-- I'll lay a foundation.

THE COURT: Well, that would be -- I mean, bribing City Council people and County commissioners is what Gillardi and Rizzolo did.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, that's not just it. Rizzolo also had somebody -- and it was said by Luis, had a customer beat up. And one of the things I was going to ask her is, were you aware of a situation involving Deangelo Carroll previously and Deangelo Carroll being requested by Mr. H to do anything and then who stopped it. Because she did. And it was not only Deangelo Carroll's statement, but it's as well is information that she has directly from Mr. H related to beating people. That's specifically what they went to as to Mr. Gillardi and Mr. Rizzolo. That's specifically what those questions --

THE COURT: Okay. Those two things might have opened

the door.

1.7

MR. GENTILE: Let me -- let me address those. First of all, there is a police report relating to this Moore -- Tony Moore Leavitt situation.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: And I'd recommend to the Court that the Court at least read that report prior to making a decision.

It's very short. We have it here, okay.

THE COURT: I'll read it if somebody gives it to me.

MR. GENTILE: I'll give it to you.

THE COURT: Well, maybe you can argue. Ms. Armeni can give it to me.

MR. GENTILE: And my concern here -- my concern here is that we are talking about multiple levels of hearsay.

THE COURT: Well, if it's hearsay, then -- how does she know about the Tony Moore Leavitt thing?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Mr. H.

THE COURT: If it's from Mr. H, then it's his admission. So she can -- it's not -- she can testify. Now, if it's based on some other scuttlebutt at the club or things like that, then clearly it's hearsay. But -- so you're going to have to lay a foundation at the outset, did Mr. H ever discuss with you something that was similar to -- or, you know, something --

MR. GENTILE: Well, I think the Court needs to make a

determination on the similarity before he should go into it at 1 2 all. THE COURT: Well, if it's gifts, were they illegal 3 4 gifts to a City Council person, or was it like a -- you--5 MR. DIGIACOMO: Inappropriate gifts. 6 THE COURT: Well, what does inappropriate mean? I 7 mean, is that like --8 MR. DIGIACOMO: She said that he'd come to the --9 comes to the business, he'd get like a \$500 loan with a wink, 10 wink, you never have to pay it back. Tony Moore was 11 threatening to expose Mr. H about that. They were advised by 12 Mr. Gentile to go down and make a police report. But if you 13 read that police report, what the basis of the extortion is, 14 what information they were going to -- is nowhere in that 15 report, because they never reported it, because they weren't 16 supposed to tell them that part of the --17 THE COURT: Who is the City Council person? 18 MR. DIGIACOMO: I believe the last name is Robinson. 19 I don't know what the --20 THE COURT: Oh. Willie Robinson? 21 MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay. I don't know. 22 MR. GENTILE: Then the --23 THE COURT: I'm just aware that that's a North Las 24 Vegas City Council person. 25 MR. GENTILE: Right.

THE COURT: I certainly wouldn't suspect or believe 1 2 that he would be involved in that. I just -- I just know him 3 to be a City Council person. MR. GENTILE: All right. I'll just finish that 4 5 first, and then we'll go to the second issue. 6 (Pause in the proceedings) 7 MR. GENTILE: And again I would suggest to the Court that 48.035 should be taken into consideration. 8 9 MR. DIGIACOMO: If he had never asked the question, 10 it never comes in. But once he asks the question, how can 11 48.035 say, oh, you can't follow up on that? THE COURT: I mean, I think, you know -- I mean, you 12 went into a lot of questioning about they were under federal 13 14 indictment and their clubs are subject to forfeiture, or maybe 15 Arrascada asked the forfeiture question --16 MR. GENTILE: No. I did. 17 THE COURT: Somebody -- okay. Somebody --MR. GENTILE: I asked that question. 18 19 THE COURT: -- talked about that. So, I mean, I 20 think the things that form the basis for the criminal case against them certainly are the subject for redirect. 21 But the --Right. 22 MR. GENTILE: 23 THE COURT: Now, anything beyond that I --

24

25

made to give a proffer to the Court as to what the testimony's

MR. GENTILE: But the prosecutor should be at least

1 going to be. 2 THE COURT: I think he just did. MR. GENTILE: I don't think that he did. 3 MR. DIGIACOMO: Didn't I just do that? 4 5 THE COURT: Well, I mean, he said that Mr. H told --MR. GENTILE: Told Anabel that he made loans to 6 7 Councilman Robinson not to be paid back? Is that what her testimony's going to be, that he told her that he made loans 8 9 to Councilman Robinson not to be paid back? 10 MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't have the ability to --11 MR. GENTILE: Because I'll tell you where we're Councilman Robinson will be in here as a witness. 12 going. 13 MR. DIGIACOMO: That's fine. He can bring him in. MR. GENTILE: This is exactly the issue that we ran 14 15 into in San Diego in Gillardi --THE COURT: Because -- and also --16 17 MR. GENTILE: -- where there were a few local 18 officials, some of whom were in this building, who were accused by Mr. Gillardi of having taken money from them, all 19 20 right, and who were prepared to come in and rebut that, but 21 the judge in his good sense decided that that was too far 22 afield. 23 MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, then he probably shouldn't have 2.4 asked the question.

MR. GENTILE: The question was asked there, as well.

But I can tell you that separate and apart from that you have this other issue, which is the beating up issue. All right.

Now --

THE COURT: I mean, the beating up issue is more germane, because --

. 19

MR. GENTILE: It has nothing to do with a customer, though. It was the drug dealer that got his daughter hooked on meth. You want to go there?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Look, he requested from Deangelo Carroll what Deangelo Carroll --

MR. GENTILE: That might get a man a hero button.

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- Deangelo Carroll get the person who allegedly had his daughter strung out on drugs and beat him, and then Anabel Espindola called it off. Deangelo Carroll said it in his statement to the police. And not only that, but Anabel Espindola knows about it, and they kept asking the question about Rizzolo and the statement about Rizzolo even beating up a customer came in. How could that not be something we should deal with on redirect?

THE COURT: I mean, to me the beating up issue is more germane, because we're talking about whether or not she believed he was really going to beat up Timothy Hadland or do something, as opposed to maybe making gifts to the City Council, which is more just going to what Rizzolo and Gillardi were on trial for.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, we have a concern. I suspect the Court would give a limiting instruction --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ADAMS: -- if they allowed either of that stuff in. We have a concern that the limiting instruction would not cure the prejudice that a son would have if such evidence is brought in against the father. So we don't know if a curative instruction would work if they start to bring in several witnesses who start talking about this type stuff. And that -- that is a concern that we have about that type of proffer that the government's making.

THE COURT: Well, I -- I don't see much risk of that, that somehow the jury is going to be prejudiced against the son if the father had somebody beat up and the son wasn't even aware of it or involved in it. I don't see a lot of prejudice with a limiting instruction going. I don't see much risk of that, quite candidly.

MR. GENTILE: All right. But let's -- let's remember that Rizzolo, according to the testimony in this record, did have someone beat up. The testimony here is going to be that it did not happen, that he got angry because somebody got his daughter hooked on drugs.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And he made the request, and Anabel called it off.

MR. PESCI: And it was to Deangelo.

\_.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And the request was made to Deangelo.

MR. ADAMS: And again, we're not in a position of being able to put Deangelo up. They have the access to Deangelo, and we're deprived of the opportunity to clarify the record and to show that this didn't happen.

THE COURT: All right. Well, and if it's not coming in as to your client, then I don't think that the concern about cross-examining Deangelo Carroll is really germane to your client, because it's not being offered as against your client.

And how does she -- how does Ms. Espindola know about this beating up incident?

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm going to particularly ask her that, but she did tell me that she's the one who called Deangelo and told him not to do it. So -- I haven't asked her how she knew about the plan in the first place, but if I can't lay a foundation that Mr. H told him, then -- I can't lay a foundation with Mr. H, so --

THE COURT: All right. Well, here's my concern. I don't want you putting it out there in front of the jury in an attempt to lay a foundation and then being left with the impression, oh, there was something, he did have somebody beat up, if she can't testify about it.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  DIGIACOMO: That's fine. I'm going to lay the foundation without --

1 THE COURT: Okay. Well, don't -- what I'm saying is 2 don't put it out there --3 MR. DIGIACOMO: I won't blurt it out -- I won't blurt 4 it out without foundation. 5 THE COURT: -- were you aware of a plan that Mr. 6 Hidalgo, Jr., hatched to have somebody beat up; well, yes. 7 Well, how did you know; well, you know, Joey told me. It's 8 out there. So don't do it that way, all I'm telling you. 9 MR. DIGIACOMO: I won't. 10 MR. ARRASCADA: You're ordering him, correct, Your 11 Honor, not to --12 THE COURT: What? 13 MR. ARRASCADA: You're making that your order? 14 THE COURT: Yeah, it's an order. I mean, I don't 15 want him to put it out there. All right. MR. GENTILE: If this is something that Deangelo told 16 17 her, you know --18 THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. But I don't want 19 him to put it out there that there was this plan afoot before 20 he lays a foundation. Because then we can't unring that bell 21 even if I give them an instruction. So be very careful about 22 that. 23 MR. GENTILE: Can we do this outside the presence of the jury so that we at least don't run that risk? 24

THE COURT: All right. Bring her in. And then I

1 think I'll go along with not allowing the questioning on the 2 Willie Robinson gifts. 3 MR. DIGIACOMO: So I can't ask her if he really did 4 behave like Gillardi? THE COURT: Not on the issue of gifts to Willie 5 6 Robinson. It's not just how --7 Don't write this, Mr. Garriman. 8 But isn't that just how --9 (Off-record colloquy) THE COURT: Ms. Espindola, come on back up here to 10 11 the witness stand, please, ma'am. And Mr. DiGiacomo has some 12 questions for you out of the presence of the jury. All right. 13 And when you come back in to testify in front of the jury, don't reference this hearing that we've had out of their 14 15 presence, okay? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 18 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 20 Do you remember Mr. Gentile asking you 0 21 questions about you knew Mr. H for many years and Mr. H did 22 nothing to behave like Mr. Gillardi and Mr. Rizzolo; correct? 23 Α Correct. 24 Remember answering those questions? 25 Yes.

1	Q Now, let's start with
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, two things. Are we doing both
3	outside the presence, Judge, or are you going to limit
4	THE COURT: Just I'm going to you're limited to
5	questioning according to what I just ruled on may be
6	admissible in front of the jury. Anything that I ruled that
7	wasn't admissible, there's no point in questioning her as to
8	lay a foundation.
9	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
10	Q Okay. Who's Rosa?
11	A Louie's daughter. Mr. H's daughter.
12	Q And did there come a point in time that you
13	became aware that she had a problem?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What problem
16	A She was addicted to drugs.
17	Q And was there somebody else associated with
18	Rosa that [inaudible]?
19	MR. GENTILE: I didn't hear the last several
20	THE COURT: Was there someone else associated with
21	Rosa that the family didn't like.
22	MR. GENTILE: Well, that's a hearsay unless he can
23	lay the foundation that
24	MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, I'll
25	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

1	Q Did you ever talk to Mr. H about Rosa and
2	Rosa's problem?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And did you ever talk to him about Rosa's
5	boyfriend?
6	A Yes.
7	Q All right. And what was what did Mr. H tell
8	you about Rosa's boyfriend?
9	A That he wanted him dealt with because he was
LO	hurting his daughter.
1	Q Okay. And did he tell you who he specifically
12	had requested to deal with him?
ι3	A He mentioned Deangelo.
L4	Q When you found out that Mr. H had requested
L5	Deangelo to deal with this boyfriend what did you do?
۱6	THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. How did you find
17	out that Mr. H had requested Deangelo deal with Rosa's
L8	boyfriend?
.9	THE WITNESS: Deangelo came to the shop and told me.
20	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
21	Q Let me back up. You just told me that Mr. H
22	mentioned Deangelo; correct?
23	A Mr. H mentioned Deangelo, yes.
24	Q When he was talking about dealing with it?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And then in a subsequent
2	. THE COURT: Well, wait a minute.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: That's what she said.
4	THE COURT: No. Mr. DiGiacomo, I'm interrupting you
5	because I want to this is for my edification, as well as
6	the record.
7	All right. What did Mr. H say to you?
8	THE WITNESS: He said that Rosa is getting worse, she
9	was addicted to meth, and that he wanted her he was going
10	to talk to Deangelo because he wanted her boyfriend dealt
11	with, he wanted him scared.
12	THE COURT: He wanted him scared?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Did he use the word "I want him scared"?
15	Or are you just conjecturing, or what?
16	THE WITNESS: I he said he wanted him dealt with.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And then you talked to Deangelo?
18	THE WITNESS: Deangelo came to the shop maybe a day
19	or two later and told me that he had spoken to Mr. H.
20	THE COURT: And then what did you do?
21	THE WITNESS: And I told Deangelo not to do anything.
22	THE COURT: And did you ever hear any more talk about
23	this boy Rosa and this boyfriend from Mr. H?
24	THE WITNESS: No. Rosa ended up spending more time
25	at the club.

THE COURT: Okay. And did Deangelo ever indicate to 1 2 you whether or not he had taken care of or dealt with this 3 boyfriend? THE WITNESS: No. 4 5 THE COURT: And when you told Deangelo not to do 6 anything or whatever it is you said, what was Deangelo's 7 response? 8 THE WITNESS: He said, fine. When I told him no, not 9 to do anything, he said, fine. And that was the end of the 10 conversation. 11 THE COURT: And you never talked to Mr. -- I mean, 12 there was never anything between you and Mr. H about this 13 happened, it didn't happen, with respect to the boyfriend? 14 THE WITNESS: I never got back into it with Louie, 15 no. Or with Mr. H. 16 THE COURT: Any more questions? 17 MR. GENTILE: No. 18 THE COURT: Ouestions? MR. DIGIACOMO: No. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Espindola, thank you. 21 I'm going to let you get escorted back out by the 22 investigator. 23 MR. GENTILE: Submit it on the objection. 24 THE COURT: All right. You can ask just basically

what Mr. H said and that she told Deangelo not to do it and

Deangelo's statements, Mr. H told me to do it, don't --1 2 MR. GENTILE: That's not admissible. 3 THE COURT: No. I was just going to say --4 MR. GENTILE: Okay. 5 THE COURT: -- it's not going to be admissible. 6 MR. GENTILE: Sorry. 7 THE COURT: All right. I guess we can bring her back 8 I didn't know if there was going to be more argument. 9 MR. DIGIACOMO: No, there's no more argument. 10 THE COURT: Does anyone care on this, on the video deposition if the Court just says, the Court ruled that Ms. 11 12 Espindola had to testify at trial and for that reason a video 13 deposition was not arranged? MR. GENTILE: Right. That's what the Court ruled. 14 15 THE COURT: Does the State have any problem? State? 16 I mean, it's pretty well in MR. DIGIACOMO: No. 17 front of the jury that we wanted it to happen. 18 THE COURT: Well, I was just going to say the reason 19 there wasn't -- to respond to a jury question, the Court ruled 20 that Ms. Espindola had to testify in trial in front of the 21 jury and for that reason did not order a videotaped deposition 22 and that's why one was never held. Is everybody fine with 23 that? I'm fine with it. 24 MR. GENTILE:

THE COURT: All right. For the record, Mr. Adams is

1 nodding, Mr. Arrascada's kind of nodding. 2 MR. ADAMS: For the record, the little back table was asked. We are nodding in agreement with the Court. 3 4 MR. ARRASCADA: That was a nod in agreement, Your 5 Honor, not a nodding off. 6 THE COURT: And Mr. Gentile was agreeing. All right. 7 All right, Jeff. Bring them in. 8 (Jury entering at 5:12 p.m.) THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. 9 10 Record will reflect presence of the State, the defendants, 11 their counsel, the officers of the court, and the members of 12 the jury. 13 And before Mr. DiGiacomo resumes his redirect 14 examination, we had a question from a juror that I can 15 clarify. A juror wanted to know why a video deposition was 16 never provided. And the Court can answer that. The Court had 17 ruled that Ms. Espindola was required to testify in person in 18 front of the jury, and for that reason a video deposition was 19 not ordered. All right. 20 MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge. 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) 22 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 23 Let's move past Gillardi on to Mr. Rizzolo for

24

25

a second, okay?

Yes.

1	Q	You testified that you didn't know Mr. H to
2	ever do anyt	hing like Mr. Rizzolo; correct?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	Who's Rosa?
5	А	His daughter.
6	Q	Whose daughter?
7	А	Mr. H's daughter.
8	Q	And at some point in time did you become aware
9	of a problem	that Rosa had?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And what was that?
12	А	She was addicted to drugs.
13	Q	Do you remember what kind of drugs?
14	А	Methamphetamines.
15	Q	And did you ever have a conversation with Mr. H
16	regarding Ro	osa's addiction to methamphetamine?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And was there a particular person, other than
19	Rosa, that w	was being discussed during this conversation?
20	А	Deangelo Carroll came up.
21	Q	In relationship to who?
22	А	Mr. Hidalgo said he wanted to have Deangelo
23	deal with Ro	osa's boyfriend.
24	Q	So Rosa's boyfriend came up?
25	А	Yes, Rosa's boyfriend came up.
Ì	1	271

1	Q And what was the problem with Rosa's boyfriend
2	as it relates to Rosa?
3	A He was the one giving her drugs.
4	Q And Mr. H had a conversation with you about
5	what did he what did he want Deangelo Carroll to do?
6	A To deal with Rosa's boyfriend.
7	Q Did he define for you what "dealt with" meant?
8	A No.
9	Q After this conversation did you have a
10	conversation with Deangelo Carroll, without telling us what
11	was said?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. Without telling us what Deangelo told
14	you, what did you tell Deangelo?
15	A Not to do anything.
16	Q After you told Deangelo not to do anything did
17	you hear anything more about from Mr. H let me rephrase
18	did you hear anything more from Mr. H about the Rosa and
19	her boyfriend situation?
20	A No.
21	MR. ADAMS: Your Honor, we would ask for a limiting
22	instruction.
23	THE COURT: Oh. Ladies and gentlemen, the testimony
24	that Mr. DiGiacomo just elicited regarding Rosa and the

boyfriend situation is not being admitted as evidence against

1 Mr. Hidalgo, III.

BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

- Q Now, there's been a lot of questions asked you about your motivations for being here today.
  - A Yes.
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$  So I'm just going to come out and ask you why did you take the deal.

A As I had said earlier, I had spoken with my attorney for several days. It was prior to trial. We were preparing for trial, and he said that I would probably get hit with second degree. The moment that — what he told me is the moment that I made the call that Louie requested of me I became an accessory. And so during our conversations he went ahead and said to me that I needed to testify in my regular trial.

- Q Okay. And was the information that you provided to Mr. Oram over this 33 months similar to the information that's been given to the jury?
  - A Yes.
- Q And that was what you were going to testify in your own trial?
  - A Yes.

As Mr. Oram has stipulated, that if I was going 1 Α 2 3 MR. GENTILE: Objection. Hearsay. 4 THE COURT: All right. Sustained. 5 MR. DIGIACOMO: It goes to her state of mind. Why is it that she's doing what she's doing is the question. 6 7 THE COURT: Well, what Mr. --8 MR. GENTILE: It doesn't matter who -- I mean, her 9 state of mind doesn't require the hearsay. 10 THE COURT: Right. Ask the question in a different 11 way. 12 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 13 Why not just go to trial and testify, as opposed to entering a plea --14 15 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. 16 BY MR. DIGIACOMO: 17 -- and subject Mr. H to being arrested and 18 prosecuted? 19 At that point I could go ahead and take a 20 lesser charge, which would be manslaughter with use, if was 21 going to go ahead and be testifying the same thing. 22 So for you it was better for you to enter the 23 plea and do the same thing you were going to get on the stand 24 and do anyways? 25 Α Yes.

1 MR. DIGIACOMO: Thank you very much. 2 Pass the witness, Judge. 3 THE COURT: All right. Before we go to Mr. Gentile, 4 any objection to covering these jury questions? MR. GENTILE: I'd really like to just ask --5 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. GENTILE: I only have a couple questions, please. 8 I apologize. 9 THE COURT: No, it's fine. It's fine. 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 11 RECROSS EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. GENTILE: 13 Let me get this straight. Your lawyer told you Q that by making a telephone call to Deangelo Carroll without 14 15 having any idea that that telephone call was part of a plan to harm Hadland, without having any idea of that, that that phone 16 17 call made you complicit in a crime? 18 Α Yes. 19. He told you that? Q 20 What --Α 21 Have you ever seen the jury instructions with 0 respect to complicity, aiding and abetting? 22 23 Α No. 24 Have you ever seen the jury instructions with 25 respect to conspiracy?

٦ .	
1	A No.
2	Q So you just believed your lawyer
3	A Yes.
4	Q and you took the deal?
5	A We spoke
6	Q Excuse me.
7	A Yes.
8	MR. GENTILE: Nothing further.
9	THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm going to let Mr.
10	Arrascada go, then, too.
11	MR. ARRASCADA: Nothing, Your Honor. No questions.
12	THE COURT: All right. I have a few jury questions
13	up here. Before I ask you this question I must caution you
14	that you're not to speculate or guess or testify as to
15	anything somebody may have told you. But do you have personal
16	knowledge of any problem between Deangelo and T.J.?
17	THE WITNESS: No.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And again, don't speculate or
19	guess, only if Mr. Hidalgo, Jr., told you or you know. Do you
20	know, do you have personal knowledge of why Mr. H did not call
21	Deangelo himself to tell him to come back or to move to
22	Plan B?
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Who told T.J. he was fired?
25	THE WITNESS: Ariel.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Were you present at that time?
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	THE COURT: All right. So you did not witness
4	THE WITNESS: No.
5	THE COURT: occurring? Okay.
6	Who was told to watch T.J. during the taxi scam? I
7	mean, who was told to sort of monitor T.J. to see if he was
8	doing anything inappropriate?
9	THE WITNESS: That was based on the conversation that
10	Mr. H and Little Luis had upstairs in the office.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Did you direct anybody to do that?
12	THE WITNESS: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. You testified or Mr. Gentile
14	went over the statement that you had made during your plea,
15	the five-word statement, "I assisted all the coconspirators."
16	Do you remember that?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And a juror wants to know how did
19	you assist Deangelo Carroll.
20	THE WITNESS: By getting the money that Louie asked
21	me to get out of the safe
22	THE COURT: All right. And
23	THE WITNESS: and place it on the desk.
24	THE COURT: How did you assist Rontae Zone?
25	THE WITNESS: By issuing money to Deangelo Carroll,

the \$600 to go ahead and have him leave town. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. How did you assist Kenneth Counts? THE WITNESS: Again by bringing the money out of the 3 safe and placing it on the desk. 4 5 THE COURT: How did you assist Jayson Taoipu? THE WITNESS: When I gave Mr. Carroll the \$600 to go 6 7 ahead and have him leave town. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Meaning Mr. Carroll or Jayson 9 leave town? 10 THE WITNESS: Both. It was Mr. Taoipu and Mr. Zone, 11 I think you had asked. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And then how did you assist Luis 13 Hidalgo, III? THE WITNESS: I was in the room with him when all the 14 15 taping was going on or the wire was -- was on. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gentile, would you like 16 17 to follow up on that last --18 MR. GENTILE: I'd like that last question, please. 19 THE COURT: -- that last line of jury questions? 20 MR. GENTILE: No, just that -- yeah. Just that last 21 question. 22 THE COURT: All right. No, no. The one I just 23 asked. 24 FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. GENTILE:

1	Q Are you aware of why you have to join a
2	conspiracy as compared to when its objective is completed?
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection. Calls for a legal
4	conclusion.
5	MR. GENTILE: I think it's fair game at this point.
6	THE COURT: Well, if she's aware. Don't guess or
7	speculate or testify as to anything you may have heard or
8	BY MR. GENTILE:
9	Q Are you aware?
10	A No.
L1	Q Is it your understanding that in order to
L2	become a conspirator in a murder you have to join the
13	conspiracy before the murder?
L4	MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection. Calls for a legal
15	conclusion.
16	THE COURT: All right. It's sustained.
17	BY MR. GENTILE:
18	Q Let me see if I've got it right. You assisted
19	Deangelo Carroll by paying him money after the murder
20	occurred?
21	A I put the money on the desk, yes.
22	Q After the murder occurred?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And you didn't know that the murder was going
25	to occur, according to your testimony?

	Į .
1	A Correct.
2	Q Okay. You didn't know that there was any harm
3	that was going to be done to Mr. Hadland, according to your
4	testimony?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And you after Mr. Hadland was dead, on the
7	23rd of May you gave money to Deangelo Carroll to give to Mr.
8	Zone; am I correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q All right. But it's your understanding that
11	that somehow made you a conspirator in the murder?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And you based that on what your lawyer told
14	you?
15	A I my attorney told me that I would go ahead
16	the moment I made the call that Louie asked me to make
17	regarding Plan B that I became an accessory.
18	Q All right.
19	A That was what we discussed.
20	Q But with respect to this payment of money to
21	Mr. Carroll to give to Mr. Zone
22	A I never discussed that payment of money with my
23	attorney.
24	Q Okay. So you just think that that's what
25	made
- 1	

1	A Ye	S.
2	Q	guilty?
3	A Ye	S.
4	Q Ok	ay. You never discussed that with your
5	lawyer, so he did	n't tell you, well, no, it doesn't, did he?
6	A No	
7	Q Ok	ay. And with respect to Mr. Counts, if I
8	understand you co	rrectly, you never even heard of Mr. Counts
9	until substantial	ly after Mr. Hadland was killed. Am I
10	correct?	
11	A Co	rrect.
12	Q Yo	u certainly didn't know that anybody was
13	going to do what	Mr. Counts has was accused of doing prior
L4	to him doing it,	did you?
15	A Co	rrect. I
16	Q An	d so it's your you're telling us that you
17	think you're a co	nspirator because after Mr. Hadland was dead
18	you paid money to	Mr. Carroll thinking that he was going to
19	give it to Mr. Co	unts? .
20	A Ye	S.
21	Q An	d you knew nothing about any of that
22	beforehand?	
23	A Co	rrect.
24	Q An	d Mr. Taoipu I suppose is in the same
25	situation as Mr.	Zone. You're telling us that somehow you're

1 -- you are guilty here of the death of Mr. Hadland because on 2 the 23rd of May, four days after the man was dead, you gave 3 money to Mr. Carroll to get Mr. Taoipu out of town? 4 Α Yes. Okay. And then with respect -- I'm not going 5 Q 6 to ask with respect to Luis -- well, I will. 7 MR. GENTILE: May I have a moment? 8 THE COURT: Sure. 9 BY MR. GENTILE: 10 And because you were in the room with Luis Hidalgo, III, four days after Mr. Hadland died, which death 11 12 you knew nothing about until after it happened --13 Α Correct. -- it's your belief that somehow by being in 14 15 the room and doing nothing more, that made you a conspirator in the death of Mr. Hadland? 16 17 Yes. 18 MR. GENTILE: Okay. 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is that it, Mr. 20 Gentile? 21 MR. GENTILE: That's it. THE COURT: Mr. Arrascada, do you have any followup 22 23 based on the juror questions? 24 MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiGiacomo?

1	MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm done.
2	THE COURT: No further questions for Ms. Espindola?
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: No.
4	THE COURT: Any other juror questions for Ms.
5	Espindola?
6	All right. Ms. Espindola, thank you for your
7	testimony. Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone
8	else who may be called as a witness in this case. You are
9	excused at this time.
10	All right. State, call your next witness.
11	MR. PESCI: State calls Zane Simpson.
12	THE COURT: Law Officer Simpson, just come up here
13	to the witness stand, please, sir, and remain standing facing
14	our court clerk.
15	KENNETH ZANE SIMPSON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
16	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and
17	spell your name.
18	THE WITNESS: Kenneth Zane Simpson, first name,
19	K-e-n-n-e-t-h; middle name, Z-a-n-e; last name, S-i-m-p-s-o-n.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21	Mr. Pesci.
22	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. PESCI:
25	Q Sir, based on how you dress, what do you do for

And what was your duty in that position?

25

would you do?

A We were assigned various violent felony warrants and they were distributed amongst us and then we — if we thought we found somebody where they were hiding, we all got together and went and took them into custody.

Q All right. That's what I was trying to get at. You're assigned warrants, but what do you do with those warrants. Okay.

I want to direct your attention to May the 23rd of 2005. Were you asked to assist the homicide division of the Metropolitan Police Department?

- A Yes.
- Q And specifically what were you asked to assist with?
- A They wanted some surveillance on possible homicide suspects prior to either a warrant being issued or them taking them into custody.
- Q And how would that work? Would you be dressed the way you are now?
  - A No.
  - Q Would you be in plain clothes?
- A Plain clothes, shorts, T-shirt, all undercover cars. My vehicle at the time was a Dodge king cab pickup truck.
  - Q Was there some sort of a briefing or discussion

specific to this case that you received before working on this 1 2 case? 3 Yes. And what was that, generally speaking? 4 Q 5 Α How we put our packets together is whoever we were going to follow or look for, we would get either a 6 booking photo or a driver's license photo, their information, 7 8 where we thought they lived, the type of vehicles that 9 possibly they could be driving and, you know, depending on how many people you would get a packet of, you know, X number of 10 people, this is what we're doing, this is who we're looking 11 for, this is what they could possibly be driving. 12 And in this particular case, were you 13 Q 14 specifically tasked with trying to look for an individual by the name of Anabel Espindola --15 16 Α Yes. 17 -- or Luis Hidalgo, II? 18 Yes. 19 You've spoken of photos. Did you look at some 20 photos of these two individuals in this packet that you're 21 referring to?

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Now, on May the 23rd, were you a part of some surveillance at Simone's Auto Plaza?

A Yes.

Yes.

Α

Q And is Simone's located at 6770 South Bermuda here in Las Vegas?

A I'll assume that that is the correct address. It's down off of Sunset and Bermuda and I couldn't tell you if -- right now if that's the exact address.

- Q Does it sound about right, in that area?
- A It sounds about the right hundred block.
- Q Were there other people working with you or were you all by yourself?

A No, the -- our whole team, plus some of the FBI agents from the gang task force were also out there with us.

Q Was there a division of labor as far as where certain people were supposed to set up in relation to the Simone's plaza?

A You know, I — it was kind of random. As you arrived in the area, you picked a spot where you could see the side door, the front door, the — and if somebody was already on one side, it wasn't — I don't think anyone was particularly — You go here, you go there. We just kind of flooded the area and started watching.

Q Okay. And when you started watching on May the 23rd, 2005, did you see individuals that you recognized as possibly being the people you were supposed to look for?

- A Yes.
- Q Did you make note of that?

A Over the air, because another agent or officer -- I don't know who was keeping the log -- so I just said it on the radio and then it was logged in the time that I saw them coming out of the business.

Q When you say over the air, is that what you're talking about as far as the radio?

A Exactly, because we had our own separate channel.

Q When you talk about something else writing something down, you personally did not write down a log?

A I did not.

Q Have you reviewed that log?

A Yes, I have.

Q Did you do that prior to coming to testify?

A Yes, I did.

Q Okay. Can you recall about what time it was when you called over the radio having seen someone from the incident?

A Right around 4:30. It was towards the end of our day and I was the last — actually, I think, the last person left in that area.

Q And do you recall who you indicated that you saw over the radio?

A Luis Hidalgo and Anabel -- whatever the last name is. I apologize. It's slipping my mind right now.

1	Q That's okay. Is Espindola a name that's
2	familiar to you?
3	A Espindola.
4	Q Okay. When you relayed this information, did
5	you maintain your position?
6	A Until they started to leave, and then I
7	followed them.
8	Q When they left, where'd they go?
9	A I followed them down to Warm Springs and 215
10	area, which there's a U.S. Bank and a Starbucks Coffee right
11	in that same little shopping area.
12	Q What did you see happening in that shopping
13	area?
14	A She went into Starbucks. He went into the
15	bank. And it was decided, because I was the last one there
16	you know, you can't follow somebody really with one car with
17	any success. There was no sense in me following them.
18	Everybody else had gone to do whatever else they needed to do.
19	Q Was surveillance terminated at that point?
20	A Yes, it was.
21	Q And that's on the evening of May the 23rd,
22	2005?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Were you a part of surveillance also on May the
25	24th, 2005?

1	A I was in the area, but because we were in
2	undercover cars, we I didn't physically go out there on the
3	stop, but I had followed them down to that area, but I did not
4	partake in the stop.
5	Q All right. So you weren't a part of that stop?
6	A I was not.
7	Q Okay. However, on that date, leading up to the
8	stop, did you see individuals that you thought were Anabel
9	Espindola and Luis Hidalgo, Jr. being, as you described him,
10	dad?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Could you tell us when that picked up and where
13	it went?
14	A They had come out of the auto place, Simone's,
15	and got into that silver Hummer and went down and got on the
16	freeway and we were, you know, following them from there.
17	Q Okay. Was that you said a silver Hummer?
18	A (No audible answer).
19	.Q I'm sorry?
20	A Pewter is what the report says, but I didn't
21	write that.
22	Q Okay. What do you understand pewter to be?
23	A Silver.
24	Q Okay. I just wanted to get that clear.
25	Now, do you recall who's driving of these two

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

25

1	MR. GENTILE: Just one area.	
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
3	BY MR. GENTILE:	
4	Q You say two individuals in the silver Hummer	
5	were taken into custody?	
6	A They were stopped. There was two people in the	
7	car when it was stopped.	
8	Q Okay. But how many were actually taken into	
9	custody?	
10	A You know, I'm not 100 percent sure. My portion	
11	of that ended when the surveillance ended. I'm not sure who	
12	was taken where or where everybody was taken.	
13	Q All right. So you don't know that two were	
14	taken into custody?	
15	A No.	
16	Q You only know that the vehicle was stopped?	
17	A Two were in the car when it got stopped.	
18	Q All right. And that's not taking somebody into	
19	custody?	
20	A No.	
21	Q It's stopping the vehicle, correct?	
22	A I would agree. Correct.	
23	Q Now, you said that there were FBI agents from	
24	the gang task force involved in this operation.	
25	A Just for manpower purposes.	

1	Q Right. No, I understand that. Was Bret
2	Shields one of those people, or do you recall?
3	A I don't recall that he was that he was out
4	there.
5	Q All right. You know who he is?
6	A I do know who he is.
7	Q And he was a member of the FBI gang task force?
8	A I don't know if he was in 2005, but when I
9	first met him, he was working he was a special agent with
10	robbery, so I'm not I know who he is, but I don't know
11	Q You don't know if he was in the gang task
12	force?
13	A He was for a while, but I don't know if he was
14	in 2005.
15	Q All right. But he was gang task force. You're
16	just not sure that he was in 2005?
17	A And again, I'm not sure if he was out there at
18	that time.
19	MR. GENTILE: All right. Nothing further.
20	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Adams.
21	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. ADAMS:
24	Q Hello, Officer Simpson. On May the 24th of
25	2005, you were aware that there were three generations of

1 Mr. Hidalgos there? 2 Yes. My client in the very back is the son. 3 4 knew that there was a father and then a grandfather? 5 Right. And you became aware of that on the 24th? 6 7 No, when we got briefed when all this started 8 for us on the 23rd. 9 All right. And you had a packet with two 10 people? 11 You know, I can't recall. I know the two 12 people that we specifically had and I can't remember if we had 13 the son's photo, but I remember they had talked -- there was a -- that convertible Chevy pickup truck that could possibly 14 15 have been one of the vehicles, but I don't remember if we had 16 his photo. But you do know that on the 24th there was a 17 18 team that followed Luis Hidalgo, III, Little Lou, when he left Simone's that day to drive north? You don't recall that? 19 I don't recall. 20 Α 21 On the 23rd, do you recall there being two 22 packets, one for Anabel Espindola and one for Mr. Hidalgo, 23 Jr., the father?

I mean, it was all -- part of all our same

24

25

Α

paperwork.

1	Q That's right.	
2	A I don't know	
3	Q You don't remember seeing any photo at that	
4	point in time of Little Lou?	
5	A I do not.	
6	MR. ADAMS: Thank you.	
7	THE COURT: Redirect.	
8	MR. PESCI: No, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: Any juror questions?	
10	All right. Officer, thank you for your testimony.	
11	Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone else who may	
12	be a witness in this case. You are excused.	
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.	
14	THE COURT: State, call your next witness.	
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Jeff Smink.	
16	THE COURT: Sir, just please come on up here to the	
17	stand and then just remain standing, facing our court clerk,	
18	just up those couple of stairs.	
19	JEFFREY SMINK, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN .	
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and	
21	spell your name.	
22	THE WITNESS: Jeffrey Smink, J-e-f-f-r-e-y,	
23	S-m-i-n-k.	
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
25	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	

Sir, how are you employed? 1 Q. As a crime scene analyst supervisor with the 2 Α 3 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. How long have you been employed with the Las 4 5 Vegas Metropolitan Police Department? 6 A little over nine years. Α 7 And how long have you been a supervisor? 0 8 For about a year. 9 Directing your attention back to May 24th of 2005, were you the crime scene analyst assigned to the search 10 11 warrant that was conducted at Simone's Auto Plaza? 12 Yes. And during the course of that, could you tell 13 14 the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what your duties were. Basically to photograph the -- certain areas of 15 Α 16 the auto repair place, search for items of evidence and 17 collect evidence. While she's doing that, I'll show you first the 18 ones that are admitted, which is 97 through -- 97 through 111. 19 20 If you could, briefly flip through those. 21 Α (Complying.) 22 Are those photographs that you took? 23 Yes. Α 24 And then I'm going to show you Exhibits Okay.

112 through 133 and ask you to briefly flip through those.

25

711	A Correct.
2	Q Lucky for us the defense brought one. So
3	Defense Exhibit C, does that appear to be a fairly true and
4	accurate depiction of Simone's Auto Plaza?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Now, you created a report in this case,
7	correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Now, when you create a report, what's the
10	purpose of documenting it?
11	A What time I arrived, the VIN number, the
12	victim, the location, who I made contact with, any vehicle
13	information, the general layout of the location I went to,
14	items of evidence that were collected, and any work that I
15	performed such as photography or latent print processing.
16	Q Now, in preparation of your testimony, did you
17	read your report and look at some of the photographs?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And did you notice anything about your report
20	that caused you some concern?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What was that?
23	A I lost my directionality while doing my report.
24	I was in the hallway reviewing my report, preparing to
25	testify, and I realized that in some areas in my report I lost

the directionality north, so some of my directions were -- as 1 2 north are incorrect. Okay. And you were able to determine that when 3 4 you were looking at the photographs? 5 Α Yes. Okay. I'm also going to show you State's 6 Proposed Exhibits 231, 232, and 233 and ask you -- I know 7 8 they're printed not nearly as nicely -- do those appear to be 9 photographs you took at Simone's? 10 Α Yes. MR. DIGIACOMO: I offer 112 to 133 and then 231 11 12 through 233. 13 THE COURT: Any objection? 14 MR. ADAMS: We do, Your Honor. 15 MR. ARRASCADA: Yes, ma'am. 16 MR. ADAMS: The same objection to 117 to 123 that 17 the Court ruled on last week. 18 May we approach? 19 THE COURT: Yeah, because --20 (Off-record bench conference) 21 THE COURT: Exhibit 120, 118, and 119 are all admitted. 22 (State's Exhibits 118, 119, and 120 admitted.) 23 24 THE COURT: These are not admitted. I'll give these 25 back to Denise.

```
1
                         (Pause in proceedings)
2
               MR. DIGIACOMO: So you ruled on those three, Judge,
3
    but what about --
               THE COURT: Well, you took the other ones away.
 4
5
               MR. DIGIACOMO: I didn't take them away.
6
               THE COURT: All right. Counsel, approach again.
 7
                      (Off-record bench conference)
8
               THE COURT: Deniece.
               THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.
9
10
               THE COURT: These are a little bit -- 115, 129, 130,
11
    131, 132, 133 will all be admitted. 128 is admitted, 127, 126
12
    and 116.
                      (Off-record bench conference)
13
14
               THE COURT: 114, 113 --
15
               THE CLERK: 113 --
16
               THE COURT: -- all right, and 112 are in.
17
               THE CLERK: 113 and 112.
18
               THE COURT: Okay.
               (State's Exhibits 112 through 116, and 126 through
19
20
    133 admitted.)
21
    BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
22
                    Okay. We've already heard testimony from
23
    another witness about kind of a -- the front area of Simone's,
24
    so I'm going to start basically where the pool table is.
25
               MR. DIGIACOMO: Do you have my admitted --
```

1	THE COURT: I think I gave them all to you oh,
2	the ones that were previously admitted.
3	MR. DIGIACOMO: Previously admitted.
4	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
5	Q So let's back up a little bit here. Let's
6	start with back up a little bit and start with State's
7	Exhibit No. 106. What are we looking at?
8	A A ballistic vest laying on top of a pool table.
9	Q Is that a standard ballistic vest in the sense
10	it's a bulletproof vest?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And then the next item in 109 well,
13	first of all, is that a chair with a magazine on it that my
14	finger's on?
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q And then 109, is that a closeup of it?
17	A Yes, with a paper note on top of the magazine.
18	Q And you eventually collected those papers?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you go past the pool tables? State's
21	Exhibit No. 111, what are we looking at?
22	A A hallway area which lead to a bedroom type
23	room and some offices.
24	Q And Room No. 6 would have been the last one?
25	A Yes.
I	1

1	Q	I know the jury can't see it, but is there a
2	door number on	there?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	What door number is it?
5	A	6.
6	Q	And as you come through the front door, State's
7	Exhibit No. 114	, what are we looking at?
8	А	This is a photograph taken from the entryway
9	into that Room	No. 6 and the carpet area is the entryway and
10	the doorway to	the left on the left side of the photograph is
11	a doorway leadi	ng to a bathroom. And on the right side of the
12	photograph, whi	ch is difficult to see, would be a closet and
13	straight ahead	towards the top of the photograph would be a
14	bedroom area.	
15	Q	You mentioned the bathroom. State's
16	Exhibit No. 129	, is that a photograph of the bathroom?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And in that particular bathroom, did you
19	collect anythin	g?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	What'd you collect?
22	Α .	A burnt match, some burnt ashes and I believe a
23	lighter.	
24	Q	Why'd you collect some burnt ashes?
25	Α	I believed it was from burned-up paper or some

sort of paper product.

Q Now, how many crime scenes have you been to in the course of your career?

A Thousands.

Q Okay. And what kind of training and experience do you have?

A Roughly 3,500 hours of training from the

Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Las Vegas Metropolitan

Police Department, the San Bernardino County Sheriff's

Department, the Long Beach State University, the San

Bernardino Fire and Sheriff's Academy, and other training

institutes in areas of crime scene photography, crime scene

investigation, crime scene reconstruction, bloodstain pattern

interpretation, latent print processing, arson investigation,

and related investigative techniques.

I am also -- was a training officer while I was employed for San Bernardino County Sheriff's and currently a training officer with Las Vegas Metro. I'm a certified senior crime scene analyst with the International Association of Identification and I'm -- I was a Nevada -- or a California post certified instructor and I'm currently a Nevada post certified instructor.

Q That was a longer answer than I was looking for. My basic question was how many cigarette ash and cigar butt ash have you seen in your career?

1	A Lots.	
2	Q Okay. And did this appear to be consistent	
3	with that or some other substance?	
4	A Some other substance.	
5	Q State's Exhibit No. 116, what have we got?	
6	A That's a photograph looking into the bedroom in	
7	Room No. 6, simply a desk area located within that bedroom	
8	area.	
9	Q Within the search of 116, were there certain	
10	items of identification that helped you identify who the	
11	resident of 116 was?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q 118, what are we looking at?	
14	A A birth certificate from the county of Alameda	
15	and a Social Security card which beared the name of Luis	
16	Hidalgo, III.	
17	Q Okay. And then is there a checkbook down there	
18	in the name of Luis Hidalgo, III?	
19	, A Yes.	
20	Q And interestingly	
21	MR. GENTILE: Objection to the commentary.	
22	THE COURT: Yeah, that's sustained.	
23	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
24	Q Read that.	
25	A Yes.	

1	Q	What does it say?
2	A	Don Dible or D-i-b-l-e and then a phone
3	number.	
4	Q	Then State's Exhibit No. 119, were these found
5	in the room?	
6	А	I don't recall specifically if they were found
7	in that room	or in an office.
8	Q	Okay. Do you know what they are, at least?
9	А	I believe they are savings bond applications.
10	Q	Order forms for saving bonds?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	State's Exhibit No. 126, do you see what the
13	person in tha	t photograph is holding?
14	A	It's a notebook and in his left hand an
15	envelope with	saving bonds.
16	Q	A closeup of 127, what have we got?
17	А	It's a closer up view of the envelope held in
18	the detective	's hand. It's a \$500 savings bond that had the
19	name Luis Hid	algo, III printed on it.
20	Q	I'm going to show you a couple of other ones.
21	This is State's Exhibit No. 231. It's a photograph of one of	
22	the other off	ices, correct?
23	А	Yes. It's an office located in the back of the
24	auto repair place.	
25	0	So when you say generally the back area, where

1 are we talking about on Defense Exhibit No. C, generally? 2 Where it says the word "office," next to 3 No. 111. 4 232, what's that? 5 It's a photograph of a desk with a computer 6 keyboard and telephone on top. 7 Can you tell us which office that one's in? 8 I believe in the same general area of that 9 There was also an office next to that one, but I office. 10 believe that's from the area I just described. 11 233? 12 That's a computer. I'm not sure of that Α 13 location. 14 How many offices were there in this building? Q 15 Quite a few. Α 16 And you took photographs of all of them? 17 Yes. 18 And this is another photograph of another one 19 of the offices? 20 Yes. 21 You also said you collected some items of 22 evidence; is that correct? 23 Yes. 24 One of the items you collected, was it a check 25 out of one of the offices or did Detective Wildemann take

that? Do you recall? 1 2 I don't recall. 3 This has already been admitted, but for 4 purposes of the record, 200 I, is that an item you collected? 5 Yes, it is. Okay. And then if you were to open that, what 6 Q 7 would you expect to find in there? A torn white note with handwriting, from the 8 9 chair by the pool table. 10 Okay. That note that we just saw, Maybe we're 11 under surveils, keep your mouth shut? 12 Α Yes. I'm showing you what's been previously opened 13 14 by stipulation of counsel as State's Proposed Exhibit No. 200. 15 Do you recognize that? 16 Yes, I do. 17 And what is it? It's a paper bag which contained a -- certain 18 19 items of evidence. 20 I want to show you State's Exhibit No. 19. you tell us -- I'm sorry, State's Proposed Exhibit 200 K. 21 It's your item 19; is that correct? 22 23 Yes. 24 And based upon your impound there, what items 25 should be in there?

1	A Numerous savings bond forms.
2	Q Now, is there some document that you create to
3	tell us where Item 19 was located?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Do you have that with you?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Can you look at it and tell us where Item 19
8	was specifically located.
9	Counsel, for the record, he's looking at his
10	impound
11	A My report says the southeast floor adjacent to
12	the desk in the bedroom of Room No. 6.
13	Q Okay. So that's found in Room No. 6 as well?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 200 K.
16	MR. ADAMS: I'd like to see it.
17	MR. GENTILE: May I approach after he examines it?
18	MR. ADAMS: No objection.
19	MR. GENTILE: May we approach?
20	THE COURT: All right. Yes.
21	(Off-record bench conference)
22	THE CLERK: Is K admitted, Judge?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	THE CLERK: Thank you.
25	(State's Exhibit 200K admitted.)

1	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:
2	Q Showing you what's been marked as State's
3	Proposed Exhibit you're right, 201, do you recognize that?
4	A Yes, I do.
5	Q What is it?
6	A It is a paper bag which contains a notebook
7	with receipts and numerous U.S. savings bonds.
8	Q And where was that located?
9	A I'll need to review from my report.
10	Q Okay. Go ahead.
11	A On top of the northeast table in the bedroom in
12	Room No. 6.
13	Q Let me ask you this, sir: Are all the seals on
14	here yours?
15	A Yes, it appears to be.
16	Q That one down there too?
17	A No, that one is not mine.
18	Q Okay. So other than that seal, does it appear
19	to be in substantially the same or similar condition as when
20	you impounded it?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 201.
23	THE COURT: Any objection other than any other
24	objection to 201?
25	MR. ADAMS: We'd like to see it.

1	MR. GENTILE: Same objection as I interposed as to
2	200
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. GENTILE: which I don't think is actually on
5	the record at this point.
6	THE COURT: It's not. So you would object on behalf
7	of Mr. Hidalgo, Jr. to the admission of the exhibit against
8	him; is that correct?
9	MR. GENTILE: That is correct, as was 200.
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	MR. GENTILE: Actually 200 K.
12	THE COURT: All right. At this point that's
13	overruled.
14	While they look at that exhibit, let's take another
15	quick five-minute break, just five minutes.
16	And ladies and gentlemen, once again, of course, the
17	admonition is in place not to discuss the case or do anything
18	relating to the case. Notepads in your chairs. Please exit
19	through the double doors, just a quick five-minute break.
20	(Court recessed at 6:06 p.m. until 6:12 p.m.)
21	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
22	(Off-record colloquy)
23	THE MARSHAL: Judge, are you ready for the jury?
24	THE COURT: Yes, bring them in.
25	(Jury reconvened at 6:14 p.m.)

4	
1	THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in
2	session.
3	And Mr. DiGiacomo I believe it was
4	Mr. DiGiacomo was conducting direct examination.
5	MR. DIGIACOMO: I think I offered 200 and then on
6	the break I think we broke it open and now it's 201
7	THE CLERK: A and B.
8	MR. DIGIACOMO: A and B.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit.
11	THE COURT: Any objection to 200 A and B other than
12	what's already been stated?
13	MR. ADAMS: Same objection.
14	THE COURT: I'm sorry. 200 A and B will be
15	admitted.
16	MR. ADAMS: Relevance, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	MR. GENTILE: Same objection as earlier stated, Your
19	Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MR. GENTILE: Request for a limine instruction.
22	THE COURT: All right. That will be denied at this
23	point.
24	(State's Exhibits 200 A and B admitted.)
25	MR. DIGIACOMO: Pass the witness, Judge.

1	THE COURT: All right. Cross.
2	Mr. Gentile, any cross?
3	MR. GENTILE: Court's indulgence.
4	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Arrascada, any cross?
5	MR. ARRASCADA: It will be Mr. Adams.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. ADAMS: A lengthy and zealous cross, Your Honor.
8	MR. GENTILE: May I approach the witness?
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. GENTILE:
12	Q I'm handing you Exhibit 200. I actually,
13	you know, let me put it up here. If I understand you
14	correctly, you're the person who recovered this exhibit?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And if I understand you correctly, it was near
17	a pool table?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. And was it this side up or was it this
20	side up?
21	A I didn't originally find it so I don't recall.
22	Actually, let me rephrase that. It was photographed as
23	depicted as it was found. So it was face side up.
24	Q Face side up?
25	A Yes.

1	Q You took the photograph?
2	A Yes.
3	Q All right. And this area that this was
4	recovered in, if I understand you correctly, what photograph
5	number was it? Do you remember?
6	A Do I remember? No.
7	MS. ARMENI: It was 109.
8	MR. GENTILE: Let me see if I can find it.
9	MS. ARMENI: 109.
10	MR. GENTILE: 109.
11	THE CLERK: Could I have that manila envelope,
12	please.
13	BY MR. GENTILE:
14	Q All right. So this photograph represents where
15	the note was when you took the photograph of it?
16	A Yes.
17	Q So it was sitting on top of a magazine, right?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And the magazine was sitting on top of a stool?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Okay. And the stool was where?
22	A Along the wall adjacent to the pool table.
23	Q All right. We don't have an easel, but I just
24	need you to come down here for a second. I won't have you
25	down here for long.

Did you need the easel? 1 THE MARSHAL: 2 MR. GENTILE: No, it's not worth it. 3 BY MR. GENTILE: 4 I'm going to set this up on this desk over here 5 and ask you to take this marker. Are you oriented to this 6 chart yet? 7 Α Yes. 8 Okay. 9 THE COURT: You're blocking the jurors. 10 MR. ADAMS: Some of the jurors would like to 11 participate. 12 BY MR. GENTILE: 13 Could you please take this marker and write your initials where you took this photograph. 14 15 Where I took the photograph or the --16 THE COURT: I think the photograph on the monitor is 17 what he's talking about. 18 MR. GENTILE: The one -- yeah, 109. 19 BY MR. GENTILE: 20 So where the initials JS appear, there appears 0 21 to be a wall? 22 Α Yes. 23 And the stool that this magazine was on and the 24 magazine that this document was on was on top of that stool 25 against that wall?

I would not know that.

1	Q Okay.
2	MR. GENTILE: Nothing further.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Adams?
4	MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am.
5	THE COURT: Any questions?
6	MR. ADAMS: Yes, ma'am.
7	MR. GENTILE: It might be here.
8	THE COURT: It might be in this stack. No.
9	THE CLERK: Was it admitted?
10	THE COURT: It was admitted just in this last go
11	round.
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. ADAMS:
14	Q Mr. Smink, let me ask you this pardon me,
15	Judge.
16	THE COURT: No, that's all right.
17	BY MR. ADAMS:
18	Q Where is the front entrance to Simone's Auto
19	Plaza?
20	A It would be in the lower left corner.
21	Q Do you mind coming down with me because I think
22	this is very hard to read from that far away.
23	Where's the front entrance?
24	A In the lower left corner.
25	Q And where is Room 6?

In the far back corner. Α

2

All right. Come down here so everyone can see. Please point out the front entrance.

3 4

Lower left.

5

And where's Room 6? Q

6

Top left.

7

All right. And what is here? What's in this area in the lower left-hand area?

8 9

10

11

There's a series of There's a reception area. sofas, tables. When you enter the front door, there's an office to the right, a storage area in between, then another office. Then there's a series of doors that were on a type of spring that kept them closed, and then as you went through those doors [inaudible], on the right side of the entryway, to the left of this gaming area, there was a hallway that lead to

> All right. Let's go down and share this on the other end. You come in here and what is this area that you

18 19

16

17

Room 6.

just described in the lower left-hand corner of diagram C?

20 21

reception area. There was a series of sofas, chairs, tables,

To me, it would be what I would call a

22

magazines and such. As you walk in, to the right there was

23

two offices with a storage area in between. When you walk

24

into the left, there was a series -- or there were two doors that were closed by -- by design with springs, and if you were

to enter through those doors, they'll close behind you and 1 2 there would be a pool table area. And then towards the end of 3 the hallway was Room No. 6. Okay. Thank you very much. 4 5 Let me put two or three of the photos up on this 6 Let's see if it works. contraption. 7 Do you remember -- this is State's 233. Do you 8 remember this photograph? 9 Yes, I remember the photograph. 10 All right. Let me show you State's 232. 11 you also take this photograph of --12 Yes, I did. Α 13 -- of this desk with the computer monitor? Q 14 And a keyboard and a telephone, yes. 15 And do you remember what room that was? 16 I would need to see the series of 17 photographs prior to that to give you an accurate location. 18 Did you testify on your direct examination that 19 that was in Room 5, the room directly next to Room 6? 20 Α I do not believe I did. 21 Q All right. What was in Room 5? 22 I need to refer to my report. 23 All right. Go ahead. Q 24 Room No. 5 was designed with an office, a desk

25

and a computer.

1	Q All right. And how about Room 4?
2	A Room 4 was set up as a storage room.
3	Q All right. And do you have photographs of Room
4	4?
5	A Not with me, but they were taken, yes.
6	Q Was that door locked?
7	A No.
8	Q What room was this in?
9	MR. GENTILE: Counsel, can we have an exhibit
10	number?
11	MR. ADAMS: 231.
12	THE WITNESS: This desk and computer was located in
13	the office area in the back of the store.
14	BY MR. ADAMS:
15	Q Okay. Where would that be?
16	A Where it says office.
17	Q Office across directly across the hall from
18	Room No. 6?
19	A Correct.
20	Q So there's a wide hallway back there and then
21	directly across is another office area?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Let me put up State's Exhibit 118. And this
24	was off the desk of Room 6?
25	A On top of, yes.
	320

	Q	And Mr. DiGiacomo asked you about the name on
there beir	ng so	ome version of the name Don Dible?
		He asked me to pronounce it, but
	0	Right.
		Yes.
		And you know Mr. Dible?
***		No, I do not.
		Did you take and seize that writing with the
nama Dibla		
Hame DIDIE		I don't recall.
-		
		Was that ever submitted to Ms. Janseng Kelly to
do a handv		
	A	I have no idea.
	Q	So you don't know whether that was Mr. Hidalgo,
III's hand	dwrit	zing, Anabel Espindola or someone else?
	A	I have no idea, no.
	Q	All right. But you were in the room and had
access to	the	room?
	A	To the bedroom, yes.
	Q	And you could have taken that into custody?
	A	I could have, yes.
	Q	And you did not, as far as you know?
	A	As far as I know right now, correct.
	MR.	ADAMS: Thank you.
	THE	COURT: All right. Any redirect?
	name Dible	A Q A Q A Q name Dible on A Q do a handwriti A Q III's handwrit A Q access to the A Q A Q A MR.

1	MR. DIGIACOMO: No, Judge.
2	THE COURT: All right. Any juror questions?
3	All right. Thank you for your testimony.
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Please don't discuss your testimony with
6	anyone else and you are excused at this time.
7	State, who's your next witness?
8	MR. PESCI: James Krylo.
9	May I approach your clerk?
10	THE COURT: All right. Sure.
11	James Krylo, Jeff.
12	Mr. Krylo, come on down to the witness stand,
13	please, sir. Step those couple of steps and remain standing,
14	facing our court clerk who's going to be administering the
15	oath to you in just one moment here.
16	JAMES KRYLO, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
17	THE CLERK: Please be seated and and please state
18	and spell your name.
19	THE WITNESS: James Krylo, K-r-y-l-o.
20	THE CLERK: Thank you.
21	MR. PESCI: May I proceed, Judge?
22	THE COURT: Yeah.
23	MR. PESCI: Thanks.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. PESCI:
1	

Sir, what do you do for a living? 1 0 2 I'm a forensic scientist working in the 3 firearms unit of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department 4 forensic lab. 5 Q What do you do in the firearms unit? 6 Basically we look at firearms and tool mark Α 7 related evidence, so we examine firearms, test fire firearms. 8 We look at fire components of ammunition, bullets and 9 cartridge cases. We do tool mark comparisons in screwdrivers, 10 pliers, back to marks that they leave. We do serial number 11 restorations on guns. We also do distance determinations 12 based on gunshot residue patterns. 13 How long have you been doing that? A total, about 19 years now. 14 15 When you say total, was some of it before you 16 were with Metro? 17 Correct. I've been doing it for the -- for Las Α 18 Vegas Metropolitan Police Department for a little over ten and 19 a half years now. 20 Do you have any specialized training and 21 experience in this area? 22 Yes, I do.

gentlemen of the jury about that.

23

24

25

And could you briefly tell the ladies and

Well, to begin with, I have a bachelor of

science degree in criminalistics, which is a degree basically 1 2 in half criminal justice, half, you know, science type course work. I received my initial training in firearms 3 identification with the sheriff's department in Orange County, 4 5

Since that initial training, I've attended about another 600 hours of training on this matter from different law enforcement agencies, forensic associations and firearm manufacturers.

- Have you testified in this field regarding this evidence here in Clark County?
  - Yes, I have.

California.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- If you were to guesstimate, how many times have you given firearm testimony before?
- If you include my testimonies in the state of California and the State of Washington and then here in Nevada, it's over 250 times now.
- Directing your attention to the specific case that you're here to testify on, did you receive some evidence and did you perform some examination on that evidence?
  - Α Yes, I did.
- Showing you State's -- which has been 0 previously admitted as State's Exhibit 134 and its contents, I'd ask you if you recognize what that is.
  - Open it?

- 1	
1	Q Yes, please.
2	A Yes, I do.
3	Q Okay. Now, do you recognize 134 being the
4	envelope?
5	A Yes.
6	Q All right. And at the bottom is there a blue
7	seal?
8	A Yes. If you look at the bottom of the
9	envelope, you see a blue and white seal. That's the tape, the
10	evidence tape, that I seal the package with. If you look at
11	that tape, you'll see my initials, personnel number and the
12	date that I sealed it.
13	Then also on the chain of custody line on the front
14	of the label, you'll see my signature, personnel number, the
15	date and time that I sealed that package.
16	And then if you look at the individual vials inside,
17	again, you'll see the event number and my initials and
18	personnel number and the package package and item number
19	there.
20	Q And I think we have these as 134 A, B, and C;
21	is that correct, sir?
22	A Yes.
23	Q All right. What analysis well, what are we
24	looking at, 134 A, B, and C?
25	A These are bullet fragments

Q Okay. For the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, some of them might know firearms very well, but those that don't, can you give us the anatomy of a cartridge which is also commonly referred to as a bullet?

A A cartridge is one single unfired round of ammunition and the cartridge has four basic parts. It has the cartridge case, which is kind of the container, and at one end of the cartridge case you have the primer. Inside the cartridge case, you have gunpowder, and then seated in the mouth of the cartridge case, the open end, is the bullet. And the bullet is the projectile that comes out of the gun.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$   $\ensuremath{\mathtt{So}}$  when you say A, B and C are fragments, fragments of what?

A Fragments of fired bullets.

Q Okay. Did you perform any analysis or any testing on 134 A, B, and C?

A Yes, I did.

Q What did you do?

A Basically I looked at them and examined them to determine caliber and then I also looked at them and compared them to each other to see if they've been fired from the same qun.

Q All right. When you looked at these three -first you spoke of caliber. What did you do to determine
caliber and what was your conclusions as far as caliber of

these fragments?

A It's a fairly simple exam. It's just a visual exam. What do they look like? What are they made of? What are kind of the design characteristics of them? And then I can measure their diameters and measure their weights.

- Q And when you did that in this case, did you come to a conclusion as far as the caliber of these fragments?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - O What was that?
  - A I called these nominal .38 caliber.
  - Q Okay. What does that mean?
- A Well, within this size of bullet there are several different actual calibers. The common ones that you've probably heard of would be the .38 special, the .357 magnum, the .9 millimeter Luger. Those the bullets in those cartridges or those calibers are all very similar. So with a damaged bullet like this, you can't actually distinguish one from the other. So this would be in that family, that .38 caliber family, of bullets.
- Q Okay. And within that .38 caliber family, what calibers are present in that family?
- A Again, any the common ones are the .38 Special, the .357 magnum, the .9 millimeter Luger, and then there are others, but those are the most common ones we see.
  - Q Okay. Give us a general definition of a

1 | firearm.

A A firearm basically is some type of action in a barrel by which you propel a bullet through the combustion of gunpowder.

Q Can you explain the difference between a semiautomatic and a revolver as far as firearms go?

A The basic difference is with a revolver you have a multichambered cylinder and the ammunition is loaded into that cylinder. As the gun is fired, that cylinder rotates so that the cylinder lines up with the barrel and then the bullet is fired out the gun.

So during firing with the revolver, the cartridge cases — that's the fired cartridge cases — stay in the gun. With a semiautomatic pistol, the cartridges are loaded in the gun, and as the gun is fired, those cartridge cases are automatically extracted and ejected from the gun.

Q In this particular case, did you have any casings -- I'm sorry, casings submitted to you?

A No.

Q In looking at these particular fragments, and let's start with 134 A, as far as the condition of that particular fragment, was it conducive to you making a lot of analysis?

A Not on 134 A. 134 A is just a small fragment of a bullet jacket.

Bullets are -- a lot of times are -- have two basic parts. There's an outer part called the jacket, and then inside the jacket is called the core. And it's not uncommon for when a bullet impacts something for the core and the jacket to separate or to fragment. So 134 A is just a fragment, a small piece of a bullet jacket.

Q How about 134 B and C, were they in a different condition such that you could analyze them better?

A 134 B and 134 C contain fragments of bullet cores, the inner part, and also the outer part, the bullet jacket. So there's enough material there for me to actually do an analysis on.

- Q And did you do an analysis in this case?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q And looking at those two fragments, 134 B and C, what conclusions did you come to after the analysis?

A Well, again, after determining caliber, then I used a comparison microscope to actually look at these two objects, the two jackets, side by side. And what I looked for were the rifling impressions that are on those bullets, that are imparted on that bullet as it passed down the barrel of the firearm.

So I compared the rifling impressions on 134 B to the rifling impressions on 134 C, and by doing that, I was able to identify both of them as having been fired from the

- Q Can you do that without even having the specific firearm that fired those fragments that you had?
  - A Yes, I can.
  - Q And how is it that you can do that?
- A Again, I'm looking for the marks that are left on that bullet jacket as it went down the barrel. So I look at one bullet jacket, compare it to the other, look for the agreement of the microscopic marks that were left on those two bullet jackets as they went down the barrel.
- Q And these characteristics that you're speaking of, are they more indicative of a revolver or a semiautomatic?
- A Well, the rifling characteristics are not really indicative of either. It's actually the design characteristics of these two bullet jackets that would tend to lead more towards a revolver than a semiautomatic.
- Q All right. So the design characteristics of these fragments then lead you to that?
  - A Correct.
- Q Okay. So is it your opinion, then, that these seem to be more aligned with something that would have been shot by a revolver?
  - A Correct.
- Q And I think you testified earlier that when a revolver is shot, a cartridge case is not expended.

1 Α Correct. 2 And you had no casings in this particular case? None were submitted to me, right. 3 4 Now, did you also do some analysis of some 5 firearms that were submitted to you in this case? 6 Yes, I did. 7 Did you prepare a report in anticipation of testifying in this case? 8 9 Well, I prepared a report. Basically, we 10 always prepare a report whether we testify or not. Okay. Sorry. That was poorly stated. 11 12 And in that report, did you summarize the testing 13 that you did of a couple of firearms? 14 Yes, I did. 15 And could you tell the ladies and gentlemen of 16 the jury the firearms that you analyzed. 17 I examined two Smith and Wesson revolvers. 18 was a -- the caliber's 38 S and W, the serial number was 19 638751. The other Smith and Wesson revolver was a .38 Special 20 with serial number J609592. 21 Okay. And is it safe to say that you don't 22 respond to scenes? 23 Very seldom. Α 24 Okay. However, when an document or an item Q.

comes to you, will it be labeled as far as where it came from

- 1	
1	at a specific scene?
2	A Yes.
3	Q All right. And one of the firearms, did it
4	come to you from 1677 E Street?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And another one, did it come from 6770 South
7	Bermuda?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. And going back, the fragments that
10	you received, had they come from the Clark County Coroner's
11	office?
12	A Yes.
13	Q All right. Now, let's go to the first revolver
14	that you just spoke of. Which one did that come from?
15	A The first one was from the 1677 E Street.
16	Q What did you find out about that revolver?
17	A It was functional, but I eliminated it as
18	having fired these bullet fragments.
19	Q What do you mean by that?
20	A These bullet fragments were not fired from that
21	revolver.
22	Q All right. And how did you do that?
23	A Pretty simple in this particular case. We look
24	at the rifling that's in the barrel. It's made up of what we
25	call lands and grooves. And actually it looks like a spiral.

It's got these spiraling grooves in the barrel and the groove is just that. It's a cut-out portion. The land is the raised area between two grooves.

Different manufacturers put different numbers of lands and grooves in a barrel. Sometimes they twist to the right, sometimes they twist to the left.

The bullet fragments 134 A, 134 -- I mean, 134 B and 134 C were fired from a gun that had six lands and grooves right twist. The Smith and Wesson revolver was five lands and grooves right twist. So on that -- those characteristics, you would eliminate that revolver.

- Q Okay. The Smith and Wesson .38 Special revolver you got from 6770 Bermuda, did you do the same analysis?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What was your conclusion?
- A Again, that these fragments were not fired from that revolver for the same reason, the different rifling characteristics.
- Q Okay. What can you tell us about NIBIN? What is NIBIN?
- A NIBIN or NIBIN is an acronym for the National Integrated Ballistic Information Network.
  - O And what is that?
  - A It's basically a computerized database of

24

25

firearm evidence and it's administered by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

And what gets put into this database?

We currently enter cartridge cases into -- our

When you say that, you're saying -- how do you put a cartridge into it? How does that work?

It has a microscope and a camera built into the microscope and we take an image of the markings that are left on the cartridge case during the firing process, and specifically, we're looking at the breach face marks and the firing pin impression. We take a photo of those marks and enter those into the database.

In this particular case, did you have any cartridge to put into that database?

No.

MR. PESCI: Court's indulgence.

In the context of comparing bullets, or let's call it the fragments or cartridges, what is -- what are the difficulties that you run into as far as comparing bullets or fragments?

The main issues that come up with comparing bullets is the damage. You know, the bullets are coming out of the gun probably in the neighborhood of, depending on the caliber and cartridge, you know, handgun bullets like these, 800 to 1200 feet per second, which is right around the speed of sound. So they're traveling really fast. And when they hit just about anything, that impact is going to cause damage and fragmentation to the bullet.

Q When you test fire a bullet, does it have damage and fragmentation that you're speaking of?

A The test firing that we do, we test fire into a large water tank. Water works really good to stop the bullets in basically an undamaged condition.

Q Okay. And in comparison to bullets, bullet fragments, how about comparing cartridge casings? Which one of the two is easier to make a comparison?

A Well, the comparison actually is — one is not actually easier or harder than the other, because, again, I'm just using a microscope and comparing them side by side. What you find with a cartridge case is, first of all, you don't typically get as much damage to the cartridge case because even if it's ejected from the gun, it's not ejected anywhere near as fast as the bullet came out of the gun. And there are more parts of the gun that will leave marks on the cartridge case than on the bullet. So there's more to look at typically.

MR. PESCI: Pass the witness. Thanks.

THE COURT: All right. Cross.

MR. GENTILE: Just a moment.

2

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

3

BY MR. GENTILE:

4 5

didn't explain that term, so I'm going to ask you to do that.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

0 You referred to design characteristics but you

The characteristics that I'm looking at on a Α bullet that -- again, that would be more indicative of a revolver than a pistol bullet would be what's called a neurocan loop. It's a ring around the bullet that's got like a set of rings -- of striations on it.

If you look -- kind of like a -- when you have a cigarette lighter and the little wheel, it's got those little lines on it, the revolver bullets have a ring like that on them. Now, you typically don't see that on pistol bullets.

The other characteristic that I saw on these bullet jackets was that the top edge of the -- remember, the jacket is the outer part of the bullet -- the top edge of that is scalloped, and that's fairly characteristic of Remington's revolver bullets.

Mr. Krylo, is there a database, whether it be national or just kept by Metro or anything in between, by which you can compare bullets that you recover in one shooting with perhaps bullets that were recovered from another shooting to see if the same weapon fired them?

The NIBIN database that we talked about earlier

has that capability.

Q All right. Well, right now you're only using it for cartridges, though?

- A Correct, cartridge cases. Correct.
- Q That's what you're entering?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. But you can retrieve from that, if I understand you correctly, information about bullets as well?
  - A Yes.
- Q All right. Only Metro is not, at this point in time, entering bullets information?
  - A Correct.
- Q And when you enter the information with respect to bullets, and I don't mean you because you're not, is that also done photographically?
  - A Yes.
- Q All right. Did you make any effort to retrieve from the NIBIN database any information with respect to whether the bullets that are represented as 134 A, B, and C matched up with bullets from another separate shooting to determine whether the gun that fired 134 A, B and C might have also fired bullets from another shooting?
- A No, I did not. And you'd have to actually enter the images of these bullets to do that, and we didn't do that.

- 1 Q All right. Is it that Metro doesn't have the 2 capability of doing that? 3 It's -- we have the capability because we have 4 It's a time/staffing type deal -- factor, you 5 know, really. Okay. Were you informed by anyone with respect 6 Q 7 to the work that you did in this case that there was information in possession of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police 8 Department that the bullets that you have before you, 134 A, 10 B, and C, may have been fired from a gun that was also used in 11 another separate shooting? 12 Α No. And if we had that gun, the easiest way to do that type of analysis would just be to compare it. 13 14 I understand that. That's not what I asked 0 15 you.
  - A No, I don't have any knowledge of that.
  - Q Okay. So, in other words, Detective Wildemann or Detective Vaccaro or Detective McGrath did not tell you that someone told them that the gun that was used to kill Mr. Hadland had also been used in a separate shooting?
    - A No.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. Had you known that, what would you have done?
- A Well, the easy thing to do is even if you don't have the gun itself but if you have bullets from the other

shooting, you could just do a direct comparison.

Q Okay. And if they told you the information with respect to the specific case, that this other separate shooting allegedly used this same gun, would you -- and if that case took place here in Clark County, you would probably still have those bullets then, wouldn't you?

- A I would expect so.
- Q Okay. But you were never requested to do that?
- A No. I don't have any notes to that effect, no.
- Q Okay. Had you -- had you been made aware of that, that even without the gun, even if you still didn't have the gun, you didn't have it from the first shooting, you didn't have it from the second, you could have basically done a microscopic examination of all of them to make a determination as to whether it appeared that the lands and grooves on the gun matched on all of these bullets --
  - A Yes.
    - Q -- the markings?
  - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- MR. GENTILE: Nothing further.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Adams.
- 22 MR. ADAMS: I have no questions. Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 24 MR. DIGIACOMO: No, Judge.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Krylo, thank you for your testimony.

Please don't discuss your testimony with anyone else who may be a witness and you're excused, sir.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our evening recess. We'll reconvene tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. and we'll work until 7:00. I'm assuming that's not a problem for anyone. We're going to really try to get this done.

Once again, you're reminded that during the evening recess, you're not to discuss this case or any subject matter relating to the case with each other or anyone else. Do not read, watch, listen to reports or commentaries related to the trial. Do not do any independent research connected with the case. Please don't visit any of locations at issue. Also, please do not form or express an opinion on the trial until you begin deliberating with one another in the jury room.

Notepads in your chairs. Follow Jeff through the double doors. We'll see you all back here at 9:00 tomorrow morning.

(Court recessed at 6:52 p.m. until the following day, Tuesday, February 10, 2009, at 9:00 a.m.)

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

KIMBIRLY LAWSON

TRANSCRIBER