7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q All right. Has this speaking badly had a negative impact on your business?

No. Α

Q Why not?

Α You know, maybe they just look at the source. We've spent a lot of time, money and energy to build our club with the reputation of having a good club. We've done a number of things to insure compliance with the licensing agreement, with the County, and Metro and things of that nature, and we've been fortunate. We've been very lucky, and we have -- we have about five people who had worked at the Spearmint Rhino within the last year who recently some were fired, some were passed over for promotion, but they all left one way or the other.

They were involved in opening another club recently, I mean, it's in existence now. There were all kinds of rumors that were circulating, coming back to me as to what they were saying about the club, about the managers, about the owner, and about the dancers, and although we hear that, it has had no effect whatsoever on the club.

Q Mr. Kelly, if you stop paying cabdrivers today, would that have an effect on the club?

If we -- if we alone stop paying cabdrivers, yes, it would have a serious effect.

- Q What would that effect be?
- Α Probably unofficial boycott of the club.
- Q So talking bad about the club isn't going to hurt as much as not paying the cabdrivers?

24

25

A Correct.

Q Okay. Now, you testified in the beginning of your testimony about another problem that the industry had that you found out that everybody had which was basically prostitutes?

A Yes.

Q Okay. What, if any, controls do you have in place to deal with it --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection. Relevance.

MR. GENTILE: Well, let me ask that a little differently.

THE COURT: Yeah.

## BY MR. GENTILE:

Q Have you come to learn that the industry now, back in 2005, actually had or developed a system for dealing with prostitutes?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection. Relevance.

MR. GENTILE: I don't think that it is.

THE COURT: You mean that it isn't.

MR. GENTILE: I'm saying that -- he's saying that -- I said I don't think it is irrelevant. I think it goes to number one, his genuine expertise in the area, and it's been -- there's been an attempt to inject this a number of different ways.

THE COURT: Just very -- I'm not sure it's really relevant because we haven't gotten into the issue of prostitution, or if we have at all, just very tangentially.

Just answer briefly what, if anything, the organization has done to address this problem in the industry.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can answer it organizationally. Are you

A Yes.

- Q And was Mr. Hidalgo one of the cooperators, one of the operators?
- A Yes, he was.
- Q Okay. Now, could you answer the question.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection. Foundation. May I voir dire the witness?

THE COURT: No. Just -- I mean --

What was the plan that the organization had to deal with the issue?

THE WITNESS: I was probably the newest member, newest owner of the club in terms of expertise, and there were lots of owners in the industry who had been around town much longer than I, and I was probably the neophyte in trying to get information to curb some of the issues that I observed.

So these conversations took place on a relatively regular basis. Security was another one. But some of the things that we did and we implemented was that the -- all the dancers had to valet.

## BY MR. GENTILE:

- Q What does that mean? You're going to need to be a little bit more detail. When you say all the dancers had to valet, what do you mean?
- A We didn't want dancers being able to sneak out the back door and go home with a patron. So in order to prevent that, they would have to valet in the back of the club. They would have to work a certain minimum of hours so that if a dancer was with a particular gentleman, he would say, listen, why don't we leave here and meet me out back, and we'll go to my hotel room. And so most of the clubs had some sort of minimum hours that they had to work.

We would -- and typically what would happen and still happens, you know, dancers may try to do that. They'll be with a particular customer for maybe a few hours. They will have a tip, and the -- they'll go to the manager and say, I have a headache; I want to go home. And the manager being all experienced would say, well, you were with Mr. Jones for a couple hours. Why don't you wait here for the six hours.

Lots of times we would see someone buying Rhino chips or fronting money. They'd use their credit card. They might get \$5,000 worth of Rhino chips or funny money. There were different names and different clubs, but it was the currency, if you will, to pay for dancers, and anytime we had a large tip on that when they buy, say, \$5,000 or \$2,000 or \$2500 worth of chips, you might see a \$1500 gratuity. That would be red flags that something was going on, and a manager would go and talk to that customer and say, you understand that prostitution is not allowed. Dancers may not meet you off the property. We would make sure that the tip was truly for services and not for something to be rendered later on.

Q Okay. Mr. Kelly, if you learned that a dancer does meet somebody off the property, what is the response?

A They're terminated.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. I don't know -- I think we're getting --

MR. GENTILE: One more area that I want to cover.

## BY MR. GENTILE:

Q In your experience now in almost ten years in the industry, if a -- how much is the Spearmint Rhino dependent on -- let me change it. Let me

-157-

1 and you are excused. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And the defense's -- Mr. Gentile, your next witness. 4 MR. GENTILE: Well, Your Honor, I'd have to see if she's out there. 5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, may we approach? 6 7 THE COURT: You may. 8 (Conference at the bench.) 9 THE COURT: Juror No. 3 guessed it. We're going to take a 10-minute 10 recess. 11 And, ladies and gentlemen, once again you're reminded of the 12 admonition that is in place not to discuss the case or do anything related to the 13 case on the break. 14 Notepads on your chairs, follow Jeff through the double doors. 15 We'll be back in session at 2:40. 16 (Jury recessed 2:31 p.m.) 17 THE COURT: Are we on the record? 18 THE COURT RECORDER: We are. 19 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. DiGiacomo. 20 MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, so the record is clear, when they originally 21 filed their notice of witnesses timely, it listed practically every inmate that 22 Anabel Espindola has ever been housed with. This morning at 8:35 this 23 morning, they served a notice of witnesses on us for four witnesses all of 24 which showed the care of the office of Mr. Gentile.

Today Ms. Perez apparently arrived here because we couldn't locate

25

her prior to that. We had a -- my investigator began a conversation with her. It was not completed when she was attempted to be called to the stand. Based on the information that my investigator has gathered so far, I obviously need time to prepare the cross-examination of her. My understanding is they will not be resting this afternoon.

I certainly believe that I have the right to ask for her to be completely excluded based upon their late notice of her particularly since the information we have is that she used to live with Mr. H. So obviously they must have known of the existence of her prior to this morning, but certainly at the very least --

THE COURT: So you're asking that she be called tomorrow --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct, so I have time --

THE COURT: -- so that you can have time to prepare?

MR. DIGIACOMO: Correct.

THE COURT: And my question is how long -- how long would her testimony be, Mr. Gentile?

MR. GENTILE: Direct examination?

THE COURT: I mean, what's she going to say?

MR. GENTILE: Well, you recall yesterday -- first of all, let me say to the Court that her being not on our witness list -- there's two things that I have to just basically face up to the truth of. Her not being on our witness list was inadvertent, all right, because while I can tell you that I never spoke with her before Saturday, it wasn't that I did not know of her existence.

THE COURT: So you knew she was going to be a witness and it -MR. GENTILE: Well, no, I honestly didn't, okay, but we should have

9

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23 24

25

listed her, all right, because I thought that all she could offer was this -- was the issue of Anabel being angry at Louie because of --

THE COURT: This purported affair?

MR. GENTILE: Right, because she was one of the women that Anabel sent -- and Anabel admitted to that -- that --

THE COURT: So basically is she going to testify that she had an affair with Mr. H --

MR. GENTILE: No, not at all. Let me tell you what she's going to testify to, and I laid the foundation for her with Anabel yesterday. She is going to testify that in the spring of 2007 when she had basically a trustee position at the jail -- and I don't know what they call it -- they call it workers; it means that they don't have to sit in their cell -- she was in the same module as Anabel Espindola, and Anabel Espindola came back from court one day and was in her cell sobbing.

And so she went into the cell to comfort Anabel because she had become close with Anabel by that time. And Anabel confided in her that the reason that she was sobbing was because she was afraid that the State through its representatives were going to convict her and put her to death.

THE COURT: Okay. So that -- her testimony would contradict that testimony from yesterday?

MR. GENTILE: Right. There's more. Let me lay the rest of it.

THE COURT: And I'm sorry to interrupt you, but as you know, as you yourself pointed out in my chambers, jurors' biggest complaints is being left out in the hallway.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

23

25

THE COURT: And I'm trying really hard not to do that because these jurors obviously are already upset, for lack of a better word.

Is there a witness -- I'm not going to exclude the witness, number one. Number two, you know, I think the State does have some -- have a right to have some time to prepare, and if we're not going to finish the defense's case in chief today anyway, then I would be inclined to say let's set her over and give Mr. DiGiacomo time to prepare.

Having said that, do you have another witness or witnesses available to call now?

MR. GENTILE: I do, but here's my dilemma, and I addressed this with you off the record, okay.

THE COURT: You addressed it previously with me at the bench off the record?

MR. GENTILE: At the bench, yeah, but I intended to make a record of it, and it was very brief.

Mr. Hidalgo is not well. He has lots of medical problems. The jail knows that because in the period of time that he was at the jail, he had to, you know, advise them of what his medical conditions were. As we get later in the day, his ability to even think clearly, and I've been experiencing this now for a couple of years, diminishes, okay. And so it's my desire that he not be placed on the stand and most definitely not be placed on cross-examination late in the day.

It is also important in terms of the order of these witnesses that Ms. Perez testifies before Mr. Hidalgo, and so I guess what I'm saying to you is that if you're going to say that we have, you know, that we must come back

 tomorrow even if I could otherwise conclude today --

THE COURT: Well, you're -- okay. It's already 2:45.

MR. GENTILE: Correct.

THE COURT: So you're either going to be putting Mr. Hidalgo on late today -- it's already late. So if you put him on it's even going to be even later, or you're going to be putting him on first thing in the morning. So either way if you want --

MR. GENTILE: All I'm saying to you is if we run out of witnesses before 7 o'clock, I'm going to ask -- now, it won't be much before 7 o'clock -- but if we do, 'cause you said you're going till 7 o'clock, right?

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: Then I'm going to ask you to not force me to put my client on the stand tonight if I decide to put him on the stand. That's all I'm asking you.

THE COURT: Okay. Well --

MR. GENTILE: I think we probably have enough to go to 7.

THE COURT: Okay. Without this witness, 'cause like I said, I'm not going to exclude her, but I feel like the State, you know, they do --

MR. GENTILE: Her testimony is devastating to the State; there's no question about it.

THE COURT: Right, and I think Mr. DiGiacomo should be given an opportunity to prepare his cross-examination.

MR. PESCI: And, Judge, on that note, if any of the rest that he does have include Marilyn Fugi, Lisa Barksell or Sarah Gaiten, we'd make the same objection.

MR. GENTILE: Right.

MR. PESCI: Because those are also just noticed today.

MR. GENTILE: And I have to say that to be candid with you when we left here last night, I went to Rocko's Deli, bought some food, and everybody on our defense team met at my house, and by 9:30 -- not even 9:30, probably 9:15, I about collapsed, okay. I was really tired last night.

And so at that time when we advised my secretary -- excuse me, my executive assistant, that we needed to file an amended notice, it is my understanding that she was provided with an appropriate address. Now, I might be wrong, but she was provided with an address. So I assure you -- the one thing I want clear is that it was not me -- I know I'm responsible for it; I understand that, but it was not me that said, Care of Gordon and Silver on that amendment. Had I seen it, I'd of had a fit.

THE COURT: Right. And even if the correct address had been provided, that would have helped the investigator contact her. That wouldn't necessarily have helped Mr. DiGiacomo prepare his cross-examination --

MR. GENTILE: No, I can understand that.

THE COURT: -- because obviously we've been in trial straight through with a break for lunch. So it might have been a little better, but he still might not have had -- I mean, I think I have to give him time to prepare because the requirements of the notice weren't met with. You know, the best I can do is say, yeah, you can call her as a witness. She's not going to be excluded, but I think he should at least have a little time to prepare.

So let's do this. Let's go with what we can for right now.

MR. GENTILE: We'll tell her to go home and come back tomorrow so

that he can prepare?

MR. DIGIACOMO: That's fine. Are you calling any of the other three people on that new notice?

MR. GENTILE: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Or what we could do -- that's why I wanted to know how long her direct would be, you can start her direct --

MR. GENTILE: 20 minutes.

THE COURT: -- and 20, 30 minutes, and you can take notes or whatever, and then still prepare your cross.

MR. DIGIACOMO: She's going to get on the stand and claim Anabel Espindola told her specific facts about the crime itself. It would be wholly unfair to allow her to give her direct testimony and then allow this jury to go home with just her direct testimony before her cross-examination.

THE COURT: Well, but sometimes that happens just as a matter of timing, number one, and number two, I've been accommodating everybody on, no, we've got to end here, we've got to end there. This person has to be in the room, that person has to be in the room, and that's why we have jurors who are here three weeks when they were promised --

I'll do the best I can. I understand your concern, but that's a twoedged sword because it also gives you an advantage in preparing your crossexamination. You have all night to sit with what she said and to really study it.

MR. PESCI: I haven't even finished talking to her; that's the other issue, Judge. The investigator is in the --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yeah, our investigator was in the middle of his interview.

1	A	Approximately 12 years.
2	Q	Where did you come here from?
3	A	Chicago.
4	Q	And once you arrived in Las Vegas do you have any children?
5	А	Yes, I do.
6	Q	How old are they?
7	A	Four, I have four, a 10, 4 year old, a 3 year old and a 18 month
8	old.	
9	Q	And when you came to Las Vegas from Chicago, what have you
10	been doing	for a living? Give us your work history.
11	A	I worked several different jobs. I worked at the airport, and then I
12	worked at C	cheetahs, and then I worked at the Palomino.
13	Q	And when did you start working at the Palomino?
14	A	I started working at the Palomino about '97.
15	Q	Now, do you have a name that you use other than Michelle?
16	A	Yes, I go by Ariel.
17	Q	Ariel?
18	A	Uh-huh.
19	Q	And for the you have to say yes.
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	One of the problems that we run into in a courtroom is that if you
22	say uh-huh	and this is typed up, no one is going to know what your answer
23	was.	
24	А	Okay.
25	Q	Okay. So you need to use a word.
		-168-
1	1	

KARReporting & Transcription Services

1	1	
1		How do you spell Ariel?
2	А	A-r-i-e-l.
3	Q	All right. Now, you say you started in 1997 at the Palomino?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	When did you cease working at the Palomino?
6	А	2006.
7	Q	And do you recall what month?
8	А	I believe it was March.
9	Q	March of 2006, okay. So you were there in May of 2005?
10	А	Yes, I was.
11	Q	All right. Going back to when you started at the Palomino, what
12	was your first job there?	
13	A	Dancing.
14	Q	You were a dancer?
15	А	Uh-huh.
16	Q	All right. And how did your jobs change?
17	А	I started
18	Q	Maybe that wasn't the right way to ask that question, but I think
19	you know w	hat I'm talking about?
20	А	I know what you're talking about.
21	Q	What happened after you weren't a dancer?
22	А	I started working when the Perrys owned it I started working
23	cashier, and	then from cashier I went to cocktailing, some DJ'ing, some
24	bartending,	anywhere that she needed help.
25	Q	Okay. Now, you say the Perrys, who were you talking about?

ĺ		
1	Q	Would you be able to hire and fire any employee?
2	A	Yeah. Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Did there come a time when Dr. Stertzer sold the club?
4	A	Can you repeat that?
5	Q	Okay. Let me ask it a little differently. When Dr. Stertzer owned
6	the club, wh	no was the general manager?
7	A	Mr. H.
8	Q	Mr. H. Is that how you knew him?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And do you see Mr. H in the courtroom?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And would you please point him out, and I'm sure he'll stand.
13	A	(Witness complying.)
14	Q	And what's his real name?
15	A	Mr. Luis Hidalgo.
16	Q	When Luis Hidalgo, Mr. H first started out as the general manager
17	at the Palon	nino Club, who knew more about it, you or him? Tell the truth.
18	А	Probably me.
19	Q	Did you train him a little?
20	A	On some things in the club, yes.
21	Q	Okay. And what about Anabel Espindola, did you ever get to meet
22	her?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And was that also when Dr. Stertzer bought the club?
25	A	Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

No contact.

1	Q	So you haven't had any contact with him for about three years
2	now or close to that?	
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Did you ever meet Luis Hidalgo Senior?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And what did you know him as?
7	А	Papa, Papa Louie.
8	Q	Did you get to know him?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Could you describe him.
11	Α	Little short man, grayish black hair, always had good jokes, always
12	had good st	ories, very nice, loving man.
13	Q	I want to call your attention to the month of May of 2005. Was
14	Pops still ali	ve?
15	А	May of what?
16	Q	2005.
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And if you will, what color hair did Pops have in May of
19	2005?	
20	А	Black, a little grey.
21	Q	And what color hair did Louie have?
22	А	Black.
23	Q	There was no grey in Louie's hair in '05?
24	A	No.
25	Q	How often would you see Louie Hidalgo Junior, Mr. H, in May of
		į

1	office, corre	ct?
2	A	Uh-huh.
3	Q	Am I right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. How many times have you opened those safes?
6	A	Millions.
7	Q	How many times have you seen Louie Hidalgo, Mr. H open those
8	safes?	
9	A	Never.
10	Q	Would he ever ask you to open those safes?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	How often?
13	A	Any time he needed something out of it.
14	Q	How about the liquor room?
15	A	I would open that also for him.
16	Q	You'd have to open that for him as well?
17	А	Uh-huh.
18	Q	Is there any time you can remember him ever opening up
19	anything?	
20	A	Just the door to his office.
21	Q	That's it?
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q	Okay. Now, did you ever see Mr. H walk around inside the
24	Palomino Club with a gun?	
25	A	No.
		-175-

1	A Yes.	
2	Q On a couple of occasions?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q Okay. What do you remember about May the 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2005?	
5	Anything unusual happen that day?	
6	A Yes. I was in my office, and Deangelo was in there making	
7	copies	
8	MR. PESCI: Judge, I apologize, could we get a predicate as to the time	ıe.
9	THE COURT: All right. What time about are you talking about when	
10	you were in your office and Deangelo was in there?	
11	THE WITNESS: Later in the evening. I don't remember approximately	/
12	what time.	
13	MR. GENTILE: Okay.	
14	BY MR. GENTILE:	
15	Q Well, when Deangelo was in your office later in the evening, wo	ul
16	it be well, if you don't remember, you don't remember.	
17	THE COURT: Would it be towards the beginning of your like late in	
18	the evening like the evening hours, 7, 8 p.m., or late towards the end of you	r
19	shift meaning the early morning hours?	
20	THE WITNESS: It would be more around 7 or 8 p.m.	
21	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
22	BY MR. GENTILE:	
23	Q All right. And who is Deangelo?	
24	A Deangelo was the gentleman that would promote for us, fill in if	
25	we needed a extra DJ or if we needed somebody on the floor?	

THE COURT: And she hasn't said what he said -- well, she did, excuse me, I misspoke. I don't think it's being offered for that. I think it's going for something else as to Deangelo's state of mind on the night of the --

MR. GENTILE: Well, there's been a --

THE COURT: -- May 19th.

MR. GENTILE: I don't want to make a speaking response to this. The Court has overruled the objection. I'd like to proceed.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GENTILE: Thank you.

BY MR. GENTILE:

Q What do you recail happening at that meeting? First of all, who was in the room at that time?

A Me, Deangelo, Mr. H, and I believe Ms. Anabel was either in the kitchen or in the back, in the back room.

Q Okay. And what do you recall occur -- what do you remember occurring at that meeting?

A I'm just saying that, you know, the van hasn't been properly, you know, cleaned out, people are complaining there's cigarette smoke, marijuana smoke in the van. There's flyers everywhere, you know. It needs to be washed. It needs to be vacuumed, and he wasn't doing anything to keep it --- keep the upkeep on it.

Q All right. Why were you there? It doesn't sound like he was balling your out.

A Because any time that he is going to approach one of us, he always had another person there with him.

l l	1	
1		That's it. Nothing further. Thank you.
2	THE	COURT: Mr. Adams, do you have questions?
3	MR.	ADAMS: No, ma'am.
4	THE	COURT: All right. Mr. DiGiacomo.
5		CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. DIG	IACOMO:
7	Q	Good afternoon.
8	А	Afternoon.
9	Q	Have you and I met before?
10	Α	I don't recall.
11	Q	Did you come to the preliminary hearing in Boulder City?
12	А	Oh, yes.
13	Q	You went there, right?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	And you watched the proceedings, correct?
16	А	No, not in Boulder City 'cause I was subpoenaed. I had to sit
17	outside.	
18	Q	Okay. So you wound up sitting outside so you didn't watch
19 <sup>[</sup>	those?	
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Have you come to any other court proceedings?
22	Α	No.
23	Q	So that was the only one that you went to?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	I want to back up a little bit and talk about some of the people at
)		-183-

Q At that time when you saw him in the evening, is there --

THE COURT: Well, does that refresh your memory as to whether or not you noticed anything about Mr. Carroll's appearance or demeanor on the, I guess it would be the early morning hours of the 20<sup>th</sup>?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I know he wasn't any different.

## BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

- Q So there was nothing different about his demeanor at that point?
- A No, but I really didn't -- I seen him but I wasn't really talking to him or -- I was doing, you know, I was cocktailing.
- Q Let's go to page 8 then. So if I can get this straight, your testimony is you didn't really pay attention to Mr. Carroll, correct?

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, there's a document in front of the witness. It doesn't appear that it's being used to refresh memory. He hasn't tried to exhaust her memory.

THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo, would you retrieve the document, and I believe the question is, As you sit here today, your testimony is that you didn't really interact with Mr. Carroll the early morning hours of May 20<sup>th</sup>; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Say that again. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I'll let Mr. DiGiacomo say it, but phrase it in that manner. BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

- Q Your testimony here today is you didn't really pay attention to Deangelo Carroll, correct?
- A Yeah, I glanced at him, but I wasn't really, like, you know, not that I -- it happened May 2005, you know, not that I can remember.

-189-

-190-

(Conference at the bench.)

THE COURT: Before I ask it, however, I'm going to let Mr. DiGiacomo

25

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Before Mr. Gentile goes on redirect, a juror wants to know: When Mr. H would ask to have something opened, did he then retrieve the item, whether it be a file folder, money, or whatever object himself, or would he ask you or another person to get the particular item out for him?

THE WITNESS: He'd tell me what he wanted and I would get it for him.

THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo, follow-up on that juror question?

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Gentile, redirect?

MR. GENTILE: I don't really have any. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Anything, Mr. Adams?

MR. ADAMS: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any other juror questions?

All right, ma'am. Thank you for your testimony. Please don't discuss your testimony with anybody else who may be a witness in this case. Thank you, and you are excused.

Mr. Gentile, call your next witness.

MS. ARMENI: Your Honor, it's Margaret Johnson.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Armeni.

Margaret Johnson.

Ms. Johnson, come on in and right up here to the witness stand, please, just up these couple of stairs, and then once you get up there please remain standing facing this lady right here who will be administering the oath to you.

## MARGARET ANN JOHNSON

Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:

-192-

KARReporting & Transcription Services

ļ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	THE	CLERK: Please be seated and please state and spell your name.	
2	THE WITNESS: Margaret Ann Johnson, M-a-r-g-a-r-e-t, A-n-n, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.		
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
4		Ms. Armeni.	
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
6	BY MS. ARMENI:		
7	Q	Ms. Johnson, is Margaret the name you go by?	
8	A	No.	
9	Q	What do you go by?	
10	A	Susie.	
11	Q	Okay. Susie, can you tell us where you're from?	
12	A	Originally?	
13	Q	Sure.	
14	А	California, Englewood, California.	
15	Q	And where do you live right now?	
16	A	I'm in the northern west part, up in Desert Shores.	
17	Q	Okay. In Las Vegas, Nevada?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	And do you have any children?	
20	A	I have two with me. They're not my biological children, but I have	
21	them.		
22	Q	Are you okay? Do you want some water?	
23	A	l'm okay.	
24	Q	You're a little nervous, Susie?	
25	A	Yeah.	
]   		-193-	
}	1	Į.	

A Yes.

Q He kept him there for over five months even though you had information that he wasn't trustworthy, he being Deangelo Carroll, or truthful?

A Well, I never caught him lying about anything, but the feeling I got from him working with him and listening to him, say, talk with others, and I don't mean like Mr. H others, I mean just in general around the club and that, I didn't like where he was coming from.

- Q Okay. And you're saying that that was something that you could tell quickly?
  - A Yeah, it just took me a few weeks.
  - Q And you gained that opinion while you were there at the club?
  - A Yes.
- Q And Mr. H was at the club at the time that you gained that opinion of Deangelo Carroll, wasn't he?
  - A Yes.
  - Q All the times that you interacted with him, him being Deangelo?
  - A Yes, I guess, yes.
  - Q What did Mr. H do at the club?
- A He just kind of oversaw. He wasn't out on the floor all the time and that. We'd see him out on the floor from time to time.
  - Q Okay. When you saw him out on the floor, what did he do?
- A Well, he sometimes sat around. Sometimes if it was really slow and that there to show us support he might, you know, grab some singles and that and tip us girls to inspire others and whatnot and to kind of support us a little for it being slow.

1	Q Where did he get the singles from?
2	A From the bar.
3	Q And how did he get them from the bar?
4	A Well, I'm sure he just walked behind the bar.
5	Q So he was able to get things within the Palomino on his own?
6	MR. GENTILE: Objection to the form of the question.
7	MR. PESCI: What's objectionable? It's cross-examination.
8	MR. GENTILE: Things.
9	THE COURT: Money.
10	BY MR. PESCI:
11	Q Was Mr. H in your presence able to get his hands on money at the
12	Palomino Club?
13	A Yeah, he could walk behind the bar and go into the register.
14	Q So he'd do that?
15	A Yeah.
16	MR. PESCI: Pass.
17	THE COURT: Any juror questions?
18	All right. Mr. Gentile I'm sorry, Ms. Armeni, redirect?
19	MS. ARMENI: Court's indulgence.
20	No, Your Honor.
21	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Is it Ms. Johnson?
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony. Please don't discuss your
25	testimony with anybody else who may be called as a witness in this case.

Thank you and you are excused.		
MR. GENTILE: I'm going to need to go out and see who I have.		
THE COURT: All right. We'll just be at ease while you do that.		
MS. ARMENI: Your Honor. The next witness is Kathy Crouse.		
THE COURT: All right. Kathy Crouse.		
Ms. Crouse, just come on down here, please to the witness stand,		
just right up those couple of stairs, and then if you would remain standing		
facing this lady right here who's going to administer the oath to you.		
KATHLEEN CROUSE		
Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:		
THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and spell your name.		
THE WITNESS: Kathleen Crouse, K-a-t-h-l-e-e-n, C-r-o-u-s-e.		
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
Ms. Armeni.		
DIRECT EXAMINATION		
BY MS. ARMENI:		
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Crouse. Can you tell us where you're from?		
A San Bruno, California.		
Q And are you currently residing in San Bruno, California?		
A Yes, I am.		
Q And do you know a gentleman by the name of Mr. Hidalgo Junior?		
A Yes, I do.		
Q And how do you know him?		
A I've known Luis since 1971. He was my former husband's police		
partner.		

1	Q	And do you know where he moved to?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	Where did he move to?	
4	A	Las Vegas.	
5	Q	And did you stay in contact with Mr. H when he moved to Las	
6	Vegas?		
7	A	Yes.	
8	Q	Tell me about that contact. I mean, was it over the telephone?	
9	Did you come visit?		
10	A	Over the telephone and I did come and visit.	
11	Q	How often would you say you spoke to Mr. H?	
12	A	At least once a month.	
13	Q	And has that been the entire time since you've been friends with	
14	him, since 1971?		
15	A	Yes, sometimes more often.	
16	Q	And do you continue to speak to him lately?	
17	A	Not not for a few months.	
18	Q	Okay. When do you think the last time you talked to him was?	
19	A	Before June.	
20	Q	And since 1971 you said you've been friends?	
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	And since 1971 based on your relationship with Mr. H have you	
23	been able to	o form an opinion as to his truthfulness?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	MR.	DIGIACOMO: Judge, can we approach?	

- 1			
1	THE COURT: Sure.		
2	(Conference at the bench.)		
3	THE COURT: Ms. Armeni, you may continue.		
4	MS. ARMENI: Thanks, Your Honor.		
5	BY MS. ARMENI:		
6	Q Ms. Crouse, I'm going to ask you the question again. Based on		
7	your friendship with Mr. Hidalgo since 1971, have you been able to form an		
8	opinion as to Mr. H's truthfulness?		
9	A Yes.		
10	Q And can you tell us what that opinion is.		
1 i	A Mr. Hidalgo is very truthful.		
12	Q Thank you.		
13	A You're welcome.		
14	MS. ARMENI: Pass the witness, Your Honor.		
15	THE COURT: All right. Anything, Mr. Arrascada? Mr. Adams?		
16	MR. ARRASCADA: No, Your Honor.		
17	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pesci, cross.		
18	MR. PESCI: Thank you, Judge.		
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION		
20	BY MR. PESCI:		
21	Q Ma'am, I'm trying to understand what you said as far as the time		
22	Mr. H, Luis Hidalgo sitting over here at the front desk was partners with your		
23	husband, you said for 3 and a half to 4 years?		
24	A Yes.		
25	Q And I think you went through as far as job positions, a community		

-204-

KARReporting & Transcription Services

house for dinner and stuff.

conversations about their time on the job together?

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

And, Jeff, you can go over there and retrieve the juror questions. I know there's at least one.

(Conference at the bench.)

THE COURT: All right, go on, Mr. Pesci.

BY MR. PESCI:

Q Okay, ma'am, just so you're really specific on this, any time in your presence did you ever see and hear -- without saying what was said -- Mr. H and your husband talking about their time working together as police officers?

A Just joking around talking about drunks and stuff, nothing -- nothing that, you know, any big cases or anything, no.

MR. PESCI: Thank you very much, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. I've got a couple of juror questions up here. A juror wants to know is a reserve officer a paid position or a volunteer position?

THE WITNESS: That depends. If they're having a street fair or something and they ask you to work you're paid, if not, it's volunteer.

THE COURT: All right. Did Mr. H tell you when he became the owner of the Palomino Club?

THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.

THE COURT: And do you recall when he told you that he had become the owner of the Palomino Club?

THE WITNESS: Shortly after he bought it because I was thinking about relocating.

-209-

-210-

23

24

25

bathrooms for everybody in the back that's why it takes longer.

JUROR NO. 7: 7.

THE COURT: 7 minutes. I like you. 7 minutes. Remember about the admonition. Don't talk about the case or have anything to do with the case.

Notepads in your chairs. If anyone has any pending questions, hand them to Jeff on your way out.

(Jury recessed 3:59 p.m.)

THE COURT: We'll just put it on the record what we were discussing at the bench at the break then we can all -- if anyone needs to use the rest room and then right back, but since there is a pending issue.

The defendant Mr. Hidalgo III, does everybody waive his presence for this discussion?

MR. ARRASCADA: Yes, Your Honor, for purposes of this.

THE COURT: All right. He can be taken.

We need to now secure the door.

MR. GENTILE: Mr. Lasso wants to know if he can leave.

MR. LASSO: Yes, I was wanting to know if the Court was going to be using my --

THE COURT: Here's the deal, it depends on timing. If we're -- don't get through enough witnesses I'm going to let Mr. Gentile put her on. I want to get as much done today as we can get done because I want to finish everything including deliberation by tomorrow. So leave at your own risk in other words.

MR. LASSO: Well, we'll wait. Obviously it's important.

THE COURT: I understand, but, you know.

MS. ARMENI: Did you mean including deliberations tomorrow? You

22

23

24

25

mean go to deliberations tomorrow?

THE COURT: All right. Mr. DiGiacomo, what was your objection? Like we said, he's entitled to show bias.

MR. DIGIACOMO: No, it wasn't to the nature of the question, but there is a rule that precludes a lawyer -- and maybe I'm wrong on the timing here. Maybe he wasn't associated with Mr. Gentile at the time the communications occurred, but if he is, there's a rule that precludes this from occurring a lawyer as a witness, and I'm just -- before we get that far, Mr. Gentile's represented that that -- that he wasn't a lawyer with Mr. Gentile's firm at the time in which the communication took place.

THE COURT: Because you hadn't filed with --

MR. GENTILE: July 1 of 2005, is when Gentile DePalma started again.

Am I correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. So in May then --

MR. GENTILE: And I was sensitive to that issue.

(Recessed 4:02 p.m. to 4:06 p.m.)

MR. LASSO: She has to speak to you about her schedule issues today with her children and her job. She wants to let the Court know.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GENTILE: We tried --

THE COURT: Well, we could put her on now and then take a break, and she has to come back tomorrow for cross.

MR. LASSO: No problem coming back tomorrow, Judge.

THE COURT: Yes, you have an issue with your schedule?

25

Q

Could you describe the demeanor of Ms. Espindola and the

demeanor of Mr. Hidalgo during that meeting.

A During that meeting and looking at Mr. Hidalgo, Mr. Hidalgo was very passive, very calm, sat listening to the conversation between myself and mostly Ms. Espindola. She was very animated by the way.

- Q Animated?
- A She was very vocal, very vocal.
- Q Do you recall what she said about Mr. Deangelo Carroll?

A Well, yes, in looking at my notes, she said that he had worked at the club. He had various job duties, and she called him a jack of all trades. My notes have quote marks. That would have indicated to me reading back my notes that she said that directly. He was a jack of all trades. His hours were flexible, that she said she saw him at the club on Thursday night, and I think that was about all she said at that particular time.

- Q Okay. Did Ms. Espindola say anything about a Ariel Schwanderlik?
- A Yes. Again referring to my notes. She said that Ariel Schwanderlik was an employee at the club on the office staff and had been questioned by the police. She also said this was on Friday night, May 20<sup>th</sup>, and they came in with a tape recorder and a detective told Ariel about a, quote, crime.
- Q Did she say -- did you -- did she say anything to you about a Pee-Lar Handley?
- A Yes. There was a question asked by the police about Pee-Lar Handley who my notes say was with a previous -- had been employed by the previous owner, and he was a stage employee and electrician and a floor man, that he had no set schedule, and that he had come in Friday at about 10 or

1	Α	Almost all of it.
2	Q	Almost all of it. And that she was very vocal?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And that she was very animated throughout this meeting?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Luis Hidalgo III seated back here
7		Stand up, please.
8		he was not present at that meeting, was he?
9	A	No, he was not.
10	Q	And Ms. Espindola while she was being extremely vocal and
11	animated ne	ever expressed to you any concerns regarding Luis Hidalgo III, did
12	she?	
13	A	No. No.
14	MR.	ARRASCADA: Nothing further.
15	THE	COURT: All right. Thank you.
16		Cross.
17	MR.	DIGIACOMO: Thank you, Judge.
18		CROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. DIG	SIACOMO:
20	Q	How are you?
21	A	I'm good. Thank you.
22	Q	Let me back up just a little bit. You get a call from Mr. Gentile,
23	and your un	derstanding is that you're going to meet with some people, correct?
24	A	Yes, that's correct.
25	Q	And your understanding was that Mr. H is the client, Mr. Hidalgo
		-225-

KARReporting & Transcription Services

-226-

Yes, and -- well, that they had both suspected it, but suspected --Luis had suspected it, that's what my note said, but she said she had suspected Next page. Is there anything on here that you can identify that was said by -- without telling us what it is -- is there anything on this page that No, I can't see anything on here that I can recall Mr. Hidalgo So it was Anabel who told you that Luis suspected TJ of spreading Turn to the next page for me. Can you tell me anything in these notes that Anabel didn't tell Well, there's part here that says with a little star --Don't tell us what it says. Why don't I come up there and I'll let MR. GENTILE: Well, I can't -- wait a minute. This isn't an interview. MR. DIGIACOMO: Well, no, but he can't just blurt out the answer. I'm just asking him how I can identify what was said by Anabel versus somebody

24

25

can't just chat with him off the record.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm not doing it off the record. I'm asking him to identify it on my document.

THE COURT: Well, here's the -- right, I understand what Mr. Gentile is saying. It's not part of the record if he just identifies it on your document.

MR. DIGIACOMO: We'll mark it if the Court wants to keep a record of it.

THE COURT: Right. So on that just indicate what line there without telling us what you recall Mr. Hidalgo or Mr. H saying, what line it is.

So you're indicating on the left-hand side of the page like the first or second line.

THE WITNESS: How about this, Your Honor, it's the one --

MR. GENTILE: I object to Mr. DePalma doing that. It's got to be on the record. Now, if that's marked and made part of the record --

THE COURT: It will be marked and become a Court's exhibit for the record.

MR. GENTILE: Okay.

MR. ADAMS: Please identify the page number, Your Honor.

MR. DIGIACOMO: We're on now page 4.

THE COURT: All right. Page 4, you're indicating at the top of the lefthand sort of column or side; is that right?

THE WITNESS: I am indicating about half way down, Your Honor.

BY MR. DIGIACOMO:

Q And is it just that one little paragraph that's there, that one little four-line blub, or is there anything else on this page?

A Not that I recall.

Q Okay.

MR. DIGIACOMO: In that case, Judge, if we could just have it marked State's next in order, and I will offer it.

THE CLERK: 241.

THE COURT: I'll see counsel up here, please.

(Conference at the bench.)

MR. ADAMS: We have no opposition. We just would want to question and make sure the one reference is just that one reference.

MR. GENTILE: I have no objection.

THE COURT: All right. That will be admitted as State's next in order. That answers one of the juror's questions about getting to see it. Anything that's admitted that is either a State's or a defense exhibit goes back to the jury. Some things are just admitted to make a complete record. Those are called Court's exhibits. Those do not go back to the jury. But any State's exhibit or defense exhibit goes to the jury.

Some things may be put in a power point as demonstrative; those things don't go back to the jury either, but anything that's been numbered and admitted in open court you will all have copies of -- well, you won't have copies, you'll actually have the originals back in the jury room with you so you can go over every single thing during your deliberations.

And I'm sorry, Ms. Husted, for the record, what was the next in order?

THE CLERK: 241.

THE COURT: All right so that exhibit is admitted as exhibit --

-236-

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, we could make it joint exhibit. You know clearly if I -- obviously, Mr. DiGiacomo was the person who had the right to object to it coming in. He's decided that he doesn't want to. Believe me, we'd offer it jointly at this point.

THE COURT: Well, that's fine. I mean, it will still be marked as a State's exhibit. There have been a number of exhibits that one side introduced that the other side would have had had they not gone first.

(State's Exhibit 241 admitted.)

THE COURT: Here are some juror questions. Did you know from the start of the conversation with Anabel and Mr. H what Anabel was talking about?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I understand that. You mean in terms of -THE COURT: Before they came in, I guess to meet with you, did you
have an impression about what the meeting or conversation was going to be
about, or did they just walk in and you knew nothing?

THE WITNESS: I basically knew nothing except that there had been a visit by the police to the club.

THE COURT: Okay. And that was relayed to you that there had been a visit by the police to the Palomino Club. Who told you that?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if that was one of the first things they said or if Mr. Gentile had told me that. I'm not -- my recollection doesn't provide that.

THE COURT: Okay. And by police, did you have any information as to what detail of the police department had visited the Palomino Club?

THE WITNESS: No, I didn't.

THE COURT: Okay. So you just knew there had been a visit?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you don't remember -- how did you find out about the crime, meaning the killing of TJ Hadland that had happened?

THE WITNESS: It came up about halfway through the interview that, you know, that there had been a -- that somebody had gotten killed that worked at the club at one time.

THE COURT: Okay. And what did Mr. H say during the interview, during the meeting?

THE WITNESS: My notes indicate that he told me that he had given \$5,000 to Deangelo Carroll to give it to the homeboy because he felt that he was threatening him. He felt threatened.

THE COURT: Who, if you know, don't guess, but who paid Mr. Dibble for his time during the meeting with you, Mr. H and Anabel?

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you know whose payroll Mr. Dibble was on?

THE WITNESS: I do not know that for certain.

THE COURT: Was the interview with Mr. H and Anabel recorded or videotaped?

THE WITNESS: No, it was not.

THE COURT: And why wasn't it recorded or videotaped?

THE WITNESS: I had not made it a practice to tape record conversations with clients or people who may have been clients, and I had no videotaping equipment at the time.

-239-

-240-

-241-

### 1 (Conference at the bench.) 2 THE COURT: Well, Mr. DePalma, this is not for you. I don't know that 3 there's anything in there that we can ask Mr. DePalma. So thank you for your 4 testimony, and please don't discuss your testimony with anybody else who may 5 be called as a witness in this case. 6 THE WITNESS: I won't, Your Honor. Thank you. 7 THE COURT: You're excused. 8 And, Mr. Gentile, if you would please call your next witness. 9 MR. GENTILE: Don Dibble. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Dibble, you know the drill. Ms. Husted is going to 11 administer the oath. 12 DONALD R. DIBBLE 13 Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows: 14 Please be seated. Please state and spell your name. THE CLERK: 15 THE WITNESS: Donald R. Dibble, D-i-b-b-l-e. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. GENTILE: 18 Mr. Dibble, now, right now, by whom are you employed? Q 19 Α I'm employed by the law office of Gordon Silver. I work primarily 20 under your direction in one of the units there. 21 In the litigation department? Q

A No. 142 a mad

A No, it's not.

Yes.

Α

Q

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. The -- I want to take you back -- tell us about your work

Okay. It's not exclusively a criminal defense department?

-242-

A My work history?

A My Work History?

Q Your work history, yes.

A After I got out of the service in 1968, I became a member of what was then the Las Vegas Police Department. I remained there and made detective shortly before it merged with the Clark County Sheriff's Department and became the Metropolitan Police Department. I continued on there as a detective until 1992. In the interim I had worked in general assignment, burglary, larceny, the special investigations unit which handles liquor and gaming matters, the organized crime bureau which was a bit different than the way it is today and then finally in homicide.

In 1992, I retired from the department, went to work for a company called David Groover and Associates, which is a private investigator firm and stayed there about a year and a half. And once I was comfortable with the business, another friend of mine from school had left Metro, named Tom Dillard, and we opened an office called Dibble and Dillard downtown here and started doing investigative work.

After a year or two of that I left, maintained my own office for a while until I was recruited into the Federal Public Defender system where I worked for about just short of five years, and then I didn't -- I just took some time off. And then Mr. Gentile called me and asked me to work on the one case with him, and here we are four years later.

- Q Okay. Did I sue you once, Mr. Dibble?
- A Yes, you did, and I won.
- Q Anyhow, what branch of the military were you in?

- A I was in the marine corps.
- Q Let's -- I want to call your attention to the 21st of May 2005.
- A Okay.
- Q We were working together at the time?
- A Yes. I'd been in your office, I believe at that time the office was Gentile Limited. I'd been in the office a little over a month.
- Q Okay. And do you recall receiving a phone call from me on the morning of the 21st of May?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q And what did you do in response to that telephone call?
- A As a result of that I went to -- later that day I went to the office of Jerry DePalma or Jerome DePalma, which at the time was over off of Sahara and Decatur.
- Q Now, did there -- when you arrived at Mr. DePalma's office, what transpired after your arrival?
- A I was anticipating that a potential client, and I knew nothing, what kind of client, what kind of case, civil, criminal, whatever, would be coming to the office, and they needed an immediate consultation. I knew that you were in San Diego trying another case, and so you wanted me to go down there and get some sense of what was happening along with Jerry who would be acting in your absence at the time and then report back to you what we were looking at and what we could deal with.
  - Q And do you recall us discussing Mr. Hidalgo before that point?
- A I don't recall whether I even knew his name before I got there; I may have.

Q Do y	ou recall	me	advising	vou	that	he	was	a	client?
--------	-----------	----	----------	-----	------	----	-----	---	---------

- A Oh, yes. Yes.
- Q What do you remember about what occurred at Mr. DePalma's office?

A I walked in and Jerry and I talked for a little while. Eventually Mr. Hidalgo and Anabel Espindola arrived. We went into Mr. DePalma's office, his inner private office, and the four of us met for 45 minutes to an hour. They discussed a matter of sec -- a number of things that had happened over the last couple of -- the day or so before. And then they left together, and Jerry and I called you and suggested that you take a break the next day and fly back from San Diego to meet with the client for a second time.

Q Now, during the course of that meeting with Mr. DePalma, do you recall notes being taken?

A Jerry DePalma was taking notes. I routinely do not take notes with a client if there's an attorney present. There's a number of professional, legal reasons that an investigator wouldn't do that. So I normally would not take notes unless there's -- unless some specific thing came up that had to be addressed that was not covered by DePalma.

Q Can you recall at that meeting with Mr. DePalma if either Ms. Espindola or Mr. Hidalgo were taking notes?

A I don't recall whether they were or not. They may well have because I know we did tell them some things.

Q Do you recall if the subject matter of surveillance came up during that conversation?

A Surveillance would -- surveillance in -- I know they were advised

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

because this is standard. They were advised to not talk to anyone other than us or yourself about what we had discussed.

Q Okay. Now, what can you recall -- you didn't make notes; what can you recall about how active in terms of speaking the two people that were in the room were at that time?

I recall that Luis Hidalgo was reserved, withdrawn to some degree, that in terms of if we asked him a direct question, we'd get a short answer. On the other hand, Ms. Espindola would do narrative, and she actually did most of the talking, at least, you know, maybe not in the total conversation, but most of the talking from their side of the desk. She 80, 80 percent, maybe more, probably more than that. She did almost all of the talking.

- Do you remember some of the things that she talked about? Q
- Α In general, yes.
- Q Okay. Give us one you recall.

Well, basically I learned that they were -- that they operated the Α Palomino Club, that Mr. Hidalgo was an owner, had some ownership interest, that they had an employee who had came into their office and informed them that he had been out with some people at a meeting or at a site with another former employee and that a party unknown to either Hidalgo or Espindola had just simply gone crazy, pulled a gun out and shot the ex-employee in the head for no reason, and that the guy panicked and came back and told them that, said that the person who had done the shooting was demanding money and that -- I remember finally asking, Did you give him money, and they said, yes --Mr. Hidalgo and Ms. Espindola both said yes, they'd given him \$5,000 essentially out of fear.

1	MR. GENTILE: I don't have anything further.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Adams.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. ADAMS:
5	Q Mr. Dibble, Little Lou was not at that meeting, was he?
6	A No, he wasn't.
7	Q And during the course of this meeting there was no concern
8	expressed for Little Lou having any legal problems associated with this killing?
9	A No. I didn't even I never anticipated him having a role in I'm
10	not even going to say this case or any legal actions coming out of what we
11	discussed until after the arrests were made. That caught me off guard when he
12	was arrested.
13	MR. ADAMS: Thank you. Nothing further.
14	THE COURT: All right. I'm just going to ask you. This juror question
15	came in with another witness. Were you on Mr. Gentile's payroll at the time
16	that you met with Mr. H and Anabel?
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, I was.
18	THE COURT: And was that a salaried?
19	THE WITNESS: It was salaried, yes.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21	Any follow-up based on that question from the juror that came in
22	with the last witness?
23	All right, Mr. Pesci.
24	MR. PESCI: Thank you. May I approach your clerk?
25	THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. PESCI:

Q Mr. Dibble, I think you testified that it's, quote, Not your policy or routine to take notes?

A Normally if an attorney is questioning a client or a potential client and I'm there, I do not make notes.

Q Okay. And do you know -- obviously, you testified that Mr. DePalma was there?

- A Yes, he was.
- Q Do you know at that time if he was taking notes?
- A I be -- yes, he was.
- Q All right. Is it based on the fact that Mr. DePalma was taking notes that you did not?
  - A That's the primary reason, yes.
  - Q Okay. Did you get a chance to review those notes?
  - A I glanced at them, but I have not reviewed them in detail.
- Q Okay. When you say you glanced at them, when was the last time you glanced at them?

A I saw them because there was some question of whether or not they could be even found, and a woman who I guess is a caretaker of Jerry DePalma's old files brought it to our office this weekend and turned it over for the first time, and Jerry was there. He opened it, and I saw the notes.

Q Okay. If I understood you correctly on direct, you just said that the way it was recounted to you, a party had gone crazy, a person had gone crazy and shot the guy in the head for no reason?

-248-

KARReporting & Transcription Services

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

CASE NO.: 54209

Electronically Filed Feb 07 2011 02:57 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

vs.

On Appeal from a Final Judgment of Conviction entered by The Eighth Judicial District Court

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

Appellant,

#### APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Volume 19 of 25

(Pages 3495 - 3744)

DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8357 GORDON SILVER 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Telephone: (702) 796-5555

ATTORNEYS FOR THE APPELLANT LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.

# ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF APPELLANT'S AMENDED APPENDIX

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Amended Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	05/01/08	5	00836-00838
Amended Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	08/18/09	25	04665-04666
Amended Notice of Evidence in Support of Aggravating Circumstances (Espindola)	01/09/08	3	00530-00533
Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	06/18/08	5	00846-00849
CD: State's Exhibit 191 <sup>1</sup>	02/04/09	15	02749
CD: State's Exhibit 192A <sup>2</sup>	02/04/09	15	02750
CD: State's Exhibit 192B <sup>3</sup>	02/04/09	15	02751
CD: Defense Exhibit 1 <sup>4</sup>	02/11/09	22	04142
Court's Exhibit 2: Transcript of fBird CD	02/05/09	15	02912-02929
Court's Exhibit 3: Transcript of Hawk CD	02/05/09	15	02930-02933
Court's Exhibit 4: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 2	02/05/09	15	02934-02938
Court's Exhibit 5: Transcript of Disc Marked as Audio Enhancement, 050519-3516, Tracks 1 & 2, Track 1	02/05/09	15	02939-02968
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo III)	05/31/05	1	00001-00003
Criminal Complaint (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/07/08	3	00574-00575
Emergency Motion for Stay of District Court Proceedings (State)	02/20/08	4	00775-00778
Fourth Amended Information (Hidalgo III)	01/26/09	5	01011-01014
Guilty Plea Agreement (Espindola)	02/04/08	3	00549-00557
Indictment (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/13/08	4	00724-00727
Information (Hidalgo III)	06/20/05	1	00005-00008
Instructions to the Jury	02/17/09	24	04445-04499
Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) (Hidalgo Jr.)	07/10/09	25	04656-04657
Minutes (Preliminary Hearing)	06/13/05	1	00004
Minutes (Change of Plea)	02/04/08	3	00558
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/05/08	3	00559
Minutes (Trial by Jury)	02/06/08	3	00576

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This CD is a copy of the original. The copy was prepared by a Clark County employee at the Regional Justice Center in Las Vegas Nevada. Eight hard copies of the CD are being mailed to the Nevada Supreme Court.

² Id.

³ Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Id.

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Minutes (Sentencing)	02/12/08	3	00577
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	02/14/08	4	00728
Minutes (Arraignment)	02/20/08	4	00779
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/20/08	4	00787
Minutes (Sentencing)	03/25/08	4	00788
Minutes (Decision: Bail Amount)	04/01/08	4	00789
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/15/08	4	00799
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	04/17/08	5	00834-00835
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	05/01/08	5	00839-00840
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	06/17/08	5	00844-00845
Minutes (State's Request for Status Check on Motion to Consolidate)	11/20/08	5	00850
Minutes (All Pending Motions)	01/16/09	5	00916
Minutes (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00973-00974
Minutes (Decision)	01/23/09	5	01009
Minutes (State's Request for Clarification)	01/26/09	5	01010
Minutes (Defendant's Motion for Own Recognizance Release for House Arrest)	02/24/09	24	04505
Minutes (Status Check re Sentencing)	06/02/09	24	04594
Minutes (Minute Order re Judgment of Conviction)	08/11/09	25	04664
Minutes (Sentencing)	10/07/09	25	04667
Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	03/10/09	24	04506-04523
Motion in Limine to Exclude the Testimony of Valerie Fridland (State)	01/13/09	5	00905-00915
Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (State)	04/09/08	4	00792-00798
Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	12/12/05	1	00026-00187
Motion to Strike the Amended Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	1/09/09	5	00851-00904
Notice of Appeal (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	07/18/09	25	04658-04659
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo III)	07/06/05	1	00009-00013
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Espindola)	07/06/05	1	00014-00018
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Carroll)	07/06/05	1	00019-00023
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Counts)	07/06/05	1	00024-00025
Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty (Hidalgo Jr.)	03/07/08	4	00784-00786

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Opposition to Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (State)	03/17/09	24	04524-04536
Opposition to State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Testimony of a Cooperating Witness (Hidalgo III)	04/16/08	5	00800-00833
Opposition to State of Nevada's Motion in Limine to Exclude Testimony of Valerie Fridland (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	01/20/09	5	00919-00972
Order Denying Defendants Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, Motion for New Trial	08/04/09	25	04660-04663
Order Denying Defendants Motion to Strike Notice of Intent to Seek Death Penalty	10/03/06	1	00188-00192
Order Directing Answer	10/20/06	3	00514-00515
Order Dismissing Petition	04/09/08	4	00790-00791
Order Granting Motion for Stay	02/21/08	4	00780-00781
Order Granting the State's Motion to Consolidate C241394 and C212667	01/16/09	5	00917-00918
Order Withdrawing Opinion, Recalling Writ, and Directing Answer to Petition for Rehearing	02/21/08	4	00782-00783
Opinion	12/27/07	3	00516-00529
Petition for Writ of Mandamus Or, In The Alternative, Writ of Prohibition (Hidalgo III and Espindola)	10/16/06	2-3	00193-00513
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used	02/12/09	24	04389-04436
Proposed Verdict Forms Not Used	02/17/09	24	04502-04504
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/17/09	24	04537-04557
Sentencing Memorandum (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	06/19/09	24	04595-04623
State Petition for Rehearing	01/23/08	3	00534-00548
Supplemental Points and Authorities to Defendant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial (Hidalgo III and Hidalgo Jr.)	04/27/09	24	04558-04566
Transcript (Defendant, Luis Hidalgo III's Motion for Acquittal Or, In the Alternative, a New Trial; Defendant Luis Hidalgo, Jr.'s Motion for Judgment of Acquittal)	05/01/09	24	04567-04593
Transcript (Defendant's Motion to Amend Record)	01/11/11	25	04668-04672
Transcript (Defendant's Motion for Audibility Hearing and Transcript Approval)	02/05/08	3	00560-00573

Document	Date Filed	Vol.	Page No.
Transcript (Motions)	02/14/08	4	00729-00774
Transcript (Sentencing)	06/23/09	25	04624-04655
Transcript (Calendar Call)	01/22/09	5	00975-01008
Transcript (Grand Jury)	02/12/08	4	00578-00723
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 1: Jury Voir Dire)	01/27/09	6	01015-01172
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 2)	01/28/09	7-8	01173-01440
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 3)	01/29/09	9	01495-01738
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 4)	01/30/09	10-11	01739-02078
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 5)	02/02/09	12	02079-02304
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 6)	02/03/09	13	02305-02489
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 7)	02/04/09	14-15	02490-02748
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 8)	02/05/09	15	02752-02911
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 9)	02/06/09	16	02969-03153
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 10)	02/09/09	17-18	03154-03494
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 11)	02/10/09	19-20	03495-03811
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 12)	02/11/09	21-22	03812-04141
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13)	02/12/09	23	04143-04385
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 13 (Excerpt))	02/12/09	23	04386-04388
Transcript (Jury Trial Day 14: Verdict)	02/17/09	24	04437-04444
Trial Memorandum (Hidalgo Jr.)	01/29/09	8	01441-01494
Verdict (Hidalgo Jr.)	02/17/09	24	04500-04501
Writ of Mandamus (Hidalgo III)	06/03/08	5	00841-00843



# COPY DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA



STATE OF NEVADA,	)
Plaintiff,	) CASE NO: C212667/C241394 ) DEPT NO: XXI
vs.	)
LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, aka LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III, and LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, JR.,	Transcript of Proceedings
Defendants.	)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. ADAIR, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

# JURY TRIAL - DAY 11

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2009

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE:

MARC DIGIACOMO, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

GIANCARLO PESCI, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, JR.: DOMINIC P. GENTILE, ESQ.

PAOLA M. ARMENI, ESQ.

FOR LUIS ALONSO HIDALGO, III,: JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ.

CHRISTOPHER ADAMS, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: JANIE OLSEN, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: KARReporting and Transcription Services

KARReporting & Transcription Services

# INDEX OF WITNESSES

ļ	WIINESSES	
3		
4	STATE'S WITNESSES:	PAGE
5	FRED BOYD:	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Pesci:	16
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Gentile: Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams:	41 50
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Pesci:	61
9	DENISE MATA:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. DiGiacomo:	68 70
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Gentile:	72
12	MARTIN WILDEMANN:  Direct Examination by Mr. DiGiacomo:	74
14	Cross-Examination by Ms. Armeni:	109
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams: Redirect Examination by Mr. DiGiacomo:	116 137
16	* * * *	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

-2-

1	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	<u>PAGE</u>
2	KEVIN KELLY:	
3 4	Direct Examination by Mr. Gentile: Cross-Examination by Mr. DiGiacomo:	142 157
5	MICHELLE SCHWANDERLIK:	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Gentile: Cross-Examination by Mr. DiGiacomo:	167 183
7	MARGARET ANN JOHNSON:	
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Armeni: Cross-Examination by Mr. Pesci:	193 197
10	KATHLEEN CROUSE:	
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Armeni:	201
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pesci:	204
13	JEROME A. DE PALMA:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Gentile:  Cross-Examination by Mr. Arrascada:	209 224
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. DiGiacomo:	225
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gentile: Recross Examination by Mr. Arrascada:	239 240
17	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Gentile:	241
18	DONALD R. DIBBLE:	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Gentile:	242
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Adams: Cross-Examination by Mr. Pesci:	247 248
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Gentile:	251
22	Recross Examination by Mr. Adams: Recross Examination by Mr. Pesci:	253 253
23		
24		
25		

1	RODOLFO VILLALTA:	<u>PAGE</u>
2	Direct Examination by Mr. Gentile:	254
3	Cross-Examination by Mr. Pesci: Redirect Examination by Mr. Gentile:	270 276
4		
5	PEE-LAR HANDLEY:	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Gentile:	279
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
ļ		
Ì	-4-	

## INDEX OF EXHIBITS

3

2

1

4	STATE'S E	XHIBITS:	OFFERED	<u>ADMITTED</u>
5	145	Metro Print Card (4 pages)	26	26
6	146	Metro Print Card (2 pages)	27	27
	147	Photo - \$100 bill front and back	62	62
7	156	Metro Print Card	27	27
	164		141	142
8	1	VIP Cards - Palomino	35	35
9	172		28	28
	173	Latent Print Lifts	28	28
10	174	Latent Print Lifts	28	28
11	175	Prints	23	23
''	176	Prints	23	23
12	177	Prints	23	23
40	178	Prints	23	23
13	179	Prints	23	23
14	180	Prints	23	23
	181	\$100 bill and prints	32	33
15	203	Evidence Bag containing Tanqueray bottle	56	56
16	203A	Plastic Bag	56	56
10	223	Envelope	71	71
17	223A	Card	71	71
40	231	Photo	123	123
18	232	Photo	123	123
19	233	Photo	123	123
	235	Photo - A. Espindola	126	126
20	237	Photo - Kenneth Counts	106	106
21	238	Phone Log	101	101
	239	Map of Cell Towers	103	104
22	240	Photocopy - Check to Deangelo Carroll	98	98
	241	Attorney Notes	236	237
23				

24 25

1	DEFENDA	NT LUIS HIDALGO JR. EXHIBI	TS:	OFFERED	ADMITTED
2	G	Photo - Office (Mr. H)		299	299
3	DEFENDA	NT LUIS HIDALGO III EXHIBIT	S:		
4			<del></del>		
5	BB	Listing of Phone Numbers		117	11/
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15	77				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22	:				
23					
24					
25					
	******	{	G-		

THE COURT: As a preliminary matter, can we stipulate on the fingerprint guy, Fred Boyd, or do they need to call him? It's up to you.

MR. GENTILE: No, I need to call him. I need to examine him a little bit, not a lot but --

THE COURT: Okay. No, that's fine. I just thought if there's no contest on the --

MR. GENTILE: Why? Is he not here?

THE COURT: No, he's here. I'm trying to speed this up.

THE COURT: What's the State's position on asking the jury if they've read anything?

MR. GENTILE: I don't think they can oppose it.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't know that I oppose it. I think that there's always a danger that they're going to want to run out and read to see what the heck they missed.

THE COURT: That's my fear.

MR. DIGIACOMO: So I'll submit it to the discretion of the Court.

MR. GENTILE: Judge, it's a bad habit that my client -- the newspaper says that my client's involved with, okay.

THE COURT: No, I can ask him. I mean, my -- candidly, I'm happy to ask them. My fear is the same as Mr. DiGiacomo's. For those people who didn't see it and don't know about it, now they're thinking, oh, my gosh, what's out there in the media that I don't know about.

MR. GENTILE: Then all you've got to do is tell them not to do it if

But the thing about it, the problem is that if they were casually reading through the new spaper --

THE COURT: They saw the headline.

MR. GENTILE: -- they would have seen it because it was not on the front page so they would know not to look at it.

Are we on the record?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. GENTILE: Your Honor, last time, I didn't bring it -- I will supplement the record. Last -- yesterday afternoon you kept out a piece of evidence that was proffered by the State, and as the -- as it should be in our system -- and as the Court knows I do a lot of First Amendment work -- it was reported today, and it was reported on the banner of the local section of the Las Vegas Review Journal, and the headline was to the effect of, Councilman Robinson from North Las Vegas accused of a bribe, words to that effect.

My concern is that the Court did rule that that was inadmissible. I am sure that of the 15 people on this jury, some of them subscribe to the Review Journal, and in casual reading of the newspaper this morning, it would -- there was no way it wouldn't have caught their eye. It was in the A section.

My further concern is that we ferret out at this point in time if anybody read it. If anybody indicates that they did read it, I think we need to take a voir dire examination of them because they have information now in the mix that will pollute the jury deliberations because the Court has ruled that it was inadmissible, and moreover, frankly, it was not really supported.

Councilman Robinson did say --

THE COURT: Denied it.

MR. PESCI: -- in the article that it was a lie, and the jury may wonder, well, who's telling the lie. Was it Ms. Espindola? Was it Mr. Hidalgo, and, you know, he's damned if he did and he's damned if he didn't. He's accused of bribing a councilman or saying that he bribed a councilman, either one.

THE COURT: Or the other option is Ms. Espindola is lying about the whole thing.

MR. GENTILE: That is also possible, which would be something that the State I'm sure would want to know what the juror might have read and how they took it.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, my position has always been that when you ask the questions you let the (unintelligible) in the room. I'll submit it to the discretion of the Court, but I would ask the Court not to say, Did anybody read today's paper. I think you should ask, During the course of this trial, has anybody seen anything --

THE COURT: About this case in the --

MR. DIGIACOMO: -- in the paper related --

THE COURT: No, in the media. I'm not going to say in the paper.

MR. DIGIACOMO: You can say media, that's fine, but, I mean, I don't know that we want to direct it to today's paper.

THE COURT: Oh, gosh, no.

MR. GENTILE: How about this. How about this.

THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure that during the course of -- just kind of casual -- I just want to make sure that during the course of the

trial I need to ask if anyone has seen anything about the case in the media.

MR. GENTILE: How about just saying in the news media, okay.

THE COURT: In the news media.

MR. GENTILE: Yeah, because some people are -- I don't want to suggest that there are some people that are less literate than others.

THE COURT: No, that's fine. I can say in the news media, and then if people hold up their hands -- Mr. Gentile, if people hold up their hands, I'll say, Don't tell me what you saw or heard. Was it on television or in the newspaper, and we'll go through that way, and then the people who saw something we'll question --

MR. GENTILE: We'll take them one at a time.

MR. ARRASCADA: Your Honor, we'd join in that request, Mr. Gentile's request.

THE COURT: All right. I guess I'll do it at the beginning before the break. Otherwise, we could just start with testimony and do it at the break and then argue about whether or not if somebody's seen or heard anything we want to excuse them.

It might be better to do it at the break. I think it doesn't highlight the whole things as much as marching them in this morning and that being the first question out of the Court's mouth, which suggests, oh, no, there's something in the media; we've got to address this right away. I would prefer tactically to do it at the break.

MR. GENTILE: No, Your Honor, we need to do it now.

THE COURT: Why? Because if there's -- if we're going to bring in an alternate or exclude one of them, we can decide on the break to do that. Do

you see what I'm saying? They're not supposed to be talking about the case anyway, and so to me, to bring them in right now really highlights the story that we're concerned about as opposed to just saying it at the break. That's just my -- like, oh, this -- you know, why all of a sudden this first morning are we bringing them in and the very first question out of my mouth is, Has anyone seen or heard anything.

MR. GENTILE: How about this. How about we do it when the State rests?

THE COURT: That's fine, or when we take a break or when the State rests is fine.

MR. GENTILE: Because if there is anybody it's going to take some time to be doing some voir dire examination.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. That's fine.

MR. DIGIACOMO: Yes. Your Honor, can I go to another subject?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I don't know if was ever on the record. I've talked to the defense in the past about reciprocal discovery. As far as I'm aware there isn't any; however, in discussing with Mr. Gentile today, apparently Jerome DePalma had made notes of whatever conversation he had with Anabel. There's really two things related to that. One is we want the notes, obviously before Mr. DePalma gets on there, and then, I think there needs to be a voir dire examination as to what exactly he explained to Anabel about the nature of the communication that he had with her.

Did he explain to her that he wasn't her lawyer? Did he explain to her that --

MR. GENTILE: My examination of her went directly to that. She acknowledged that he was Mr. Hidalgo's lawyer and not hers.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I completely understand that, but she's claiming that the conversation never took place. I need to know from -- I think before it becomes legally admissible he has to say, I informed her I wasn't her lawyer and communications that she gave to me were not privileged. Otherwise, I mean, you can just accept her representations that it never happened, but in order for a conversation with a lawyer to be admissible, that lawyer needs to establish that --

THE COURT: Doesn't she waive that by denying the relationship herself? If she says, I had no relationship with this, to me then it's not up to the lawyer to say he has a relationship. I mean, that to me is an issue between Jerome DePalma and the bar if it ever comes to that, not an issue as to the admissibility in a separate trial of these two people because Ms. Espindola -- I mean, I certainly don't want to admit anything that's unethical for the Court to admit.

But to me she has waived the privilege by denying a relationship and by denying that a conversation ever -- not only did she deny the -- I would give you if she said, yes, he was my lawyer but we didn't talk, that, yes, there's still a privilege even if she denies the conversation. But if she -- she testified he wasn't my lawyer and we never talked. And so to me I don't know that -- it's her privilege, and if she doesn't -- if she doesn't assert it or believe that he was her lawyer, then I don't know where there would be a privilege.

MR. DIGIACOMO: There's a secondary issue that relates to that which is my understanding that the conversation occurred with Mr. H in the room, and

certainly I'm assuming that the Court is going to instruct the witness that he can only testify to what Anabel said. He can't testify as to what Mr. H said unless the State asks the question.

THE COURT: Oh, as hearsay. Right. I mean, there's obviously no privilege if there's a third person, but as hearsay, yeah. And Jerome DePalma I'm very confident already knows that.

MR. GENTILE: We actually discussed that. Mr. Dibble was also there, which I asked her that, and she said he wasn't.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GENTILE: And actually --

THE COURT: So Dibble could testify to the communication anyway.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And there's no notes from Dibble is my understanding --

THE COURT: Isn't Jerome DePalma's notes, aren't they similar to the detective's notes of the interview with --

MR. GENTILE: They're identical.

THE COURT: -- Anabel Espindola. To me I think the analysis is the same.

MR. GENTILE: They're absolutely work product, but, frankly, I'm willing to give them up.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GENTILE: I have read them. Everything in there isn't wonderful, okay, for my client, but I'm going to give them up. He clearly refreshed his recollection with them. We could assert a work product privilege as the State seems to think it has, but I'm willing to give them up.

So let's take two minutes and then we'll begin.

THE COURT: Okay. Terrific.

MR. ADAMS: Judge, one additional point is there had been pretrial litigation about the notes related to Anabel Espindola that the prosecution had with -- meetings with her, and the Court deemed them work product. We would just renew that at this point in time to make sure that the record's complete.

MR. DIGIACOMO: And I would take the position that when Mr. Wildemann hits the stand any notes that he made, once he hits the stand that I think they're entitled to -- I think that any notes that he made to the extent that the Court has those notes, they're entitled to them. Detective Wildemann didn't refresh it, but the statute's pretty clear that a statement of a witness and that is a statement of the witness about the subject matter he's going to testify to he's certainly can say he was a --

MR. GENTILE: I know. I just --

MR. DIGIACOMO: So I would say once he hits the stand that those notes are no longer work product. The work product privilege has been waived. So that would be the position. I don't know whether the -- if the Court still has them. I haven't seen them.

MR. GENTILE: We'd certainly like to see them, you know, before the State rests because we may want to call this person.

THE COURT: Yeah. Here's the deal on the notes. They were made a Court's exhibit which we're still looking for, candidly. So if Detective Wildemann needs to be recalled, he can be recalled or Anabel --

MR. GENTILE: I'm assuming that he kept a copy of his notes. He

24

25

wouldn't have just --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. GENTILE: -- he may have given you his original, but I can't imagine he wouldn't have kept a copy.

MR. DIGIACOMO: He has his whole case file.

THE COURT: Yeah, he should have them in his case file. So then it's not an issue. And like I said, Dominic, I remember it exact -- Mr. Gentile, I remember it exactly. It was one page, legal page, and it was -- I mean, I can almost visualize what it was.

MR. GENTILE: Things happen, and I understand that, and I'm not -- I mean, you know, at some point in time, God forbid, if there's an appellate issue in this case we may have a problem. But if the man has a copy of it --

THE COURT: There's no problem.

MR. GENTILE: -- then it moots the problem.

THE COURT: Well, the reason I said it was one legal page is because if he has something different, that's not what the Court saw.

MR. DIGIACOMO: I'm not even sure that he took notes.

THE COURT: Or if he only has one page I don't want --

MR. DIGIACOMO: I think Detective --

THE COURT: -- I don't want Mr. Gentile to think there could be a second page or whatever.

(Jury entering 9:24 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. The record will reflect the presence of the State through the Deputy District Attorneys, the presence of the defendants and their counsel, the officers of the court and the

20

21

22

23

24

25

members of the jury.

Would the State please call their next witness.

MR. PESCI: The State calls Fred Boyd.

THE COURT: Mr. Boyd, just come on up here, please, sir, to the witness stand and remain standing and facing our court clerk who will administer the oath to you.

## FRED BOYD

Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:

THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state and spell your name.

THE WITNESS: My name is Fred Boyd, B-o-y-d.

MR. PESCI: May I proceed, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. PESCI:

- Q Sir, what do you do for a living?
- A My job title is forensic scientist. My specialty is latent print identification. I work for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department assigned to the crime laboratory.
  - Q What do you do in that position?
  - A I'm a latent print examiner.
  - Q What does a latent print examiner do?
- A We evaluate latent prints that are submitted to us by crime scene personnel or those fingerprints that we process at the lab ourselves. We evaluate those latent prints and determine if they are suitable for comparison purposes. If they are, we call those of value for comparison or value for

22

23

24

25

identification, and then we compare those prints to fingerprints of known individuals of regular ink prints, and then we render our conclusion based on our examination of the latent print to the known print.

- Q Do you have any specialized training and experience in this area?
- A Yes, sir, I do.
- Q Could you briefly describe that for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

A I've been associated with the latent print discipline for a little over 40 years. The majority of my training was with the United States Army where I went through their two-year resident instruction. I retired from the United States Army in 1988 as a Chief Warrant Officer specializing in latent print identification.

From there I went to the Brower County Sheriff's Office in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, eight and a half years there as a full-time latent print examiner. And I've been here with Metro for -- this is my 13<sup>th</sup> year.

- Q You said for 13 years with Metro?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Have you testified in this capacity here in Clark County?
- A Yes, sir, I have.
- Q If you were to guesstimate, about how many times?
- A Well over a hundred times.
- Q And that's here in Clark County?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Have you testified other times in other locations?
- A Yes, I have.

Q Could you briefly describe the concept of fingerprints as far as their uniqueness to a particular individual.

A Fingerprints are formed during the third or fourth prenatal month. They stay constant to a person throughout their lives. They don't change as far as their patterns. Fingerprints do change only if there is a damage scar where the second layer of skin might be damaged, which is called the dermis. Then you would have a permanent scar. They remain constant throughout life to decomposition after death, and that's why they're so unique.

- Q Let me ask you this. Does every time somebody touches something is a print left behind necessarily?
  - A Not all the time, no.
  - Q What affects whether a print may or may not be left behind?
- A Well, first and most obvious is if you're wearing a glove or something like that you won't have any of the ridge structure adhering to a surface. But if there is no medium in between the skin and the surface, then you may not have a print.

If I go like that (demonstrating), well, there might be a little maybe a smudge or a little mark indicating that there might have been a touch. But we are concerned with the ridge detail that you have on your finger. Most commonly ridge detail is left on a piece of evidence by way of perspiration, the water, the moisture. When you touch an item and hold it down, your fingers down, that print's going to stay on top of the surface if it's a nonporous surface.

If it's a porous surface and if you handle it, then the perspiration that may absorb inside the surface, and you usually cannot see this print. This

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

see the print, then you have to make it visible by some sort of fingerprint powder, processing technique or possibly on a porous surface such as a papertype product you may have to use a chemical technique to make that print visible. Q And in the process of your job, do you sometimes receive latent

is where the term latent comes in, latent meaning not visible. When you cannot

- prints from crime scene analysts?
  - Α Yes, we do.
- Q On occasions do you actually perform some of the recovery of prints processing yourself?
  - Α Yes, we do.
- Q Now, you talked a minute ago about making comparison. How is it that you go about making comparison? What do you compare?
- Α Well, we evaluate, as I mentioned a few moments ago, we evaluate the latent prints and the known prints, and we evaluate the prints for various levels of detail in that print, which there are three levels of detail. You have the basic pattern type, which is your level 1.

Your level 2 is your ridge paths, meaning if you have a ridge that will go up and stop or you have a ridge that will go up and break into two ridges, such as a fork-type impression or mark, these are the features that we look for, points of identification.

Well, we do refer to the points of identification this is what we usually look for, but we have different terms now for these features. We call them levels of detail. I like to call them they're points of consideration that we look at. And when we find these points of consideration in the same relative

relationship both in the question impression, the known impression, the latent impression from the crime scene evidence and the known impression that we're looking at of the ink print, then we effect our identification by finding these points in the same relative position to one another without any unexplained differences.

Q Let me ask you this. In the many cases that you have worked, is it common for you to receive some latents that are not of sufficient quality to make this comparison?

A Yes, sir.

Q Would you say it's more common to have them not be of sufficient quality or more common for there to be many with sufficient quality?

A Well, each case stands alone, and it's about half and half I would have to say. Each case stands alone. Each impression stands alone. What I'm -- based on my experience, I might find a print of value for identification where maybe somebody with less experience might not consider that print to be of value for identification.

Q Let me put it to you this way, and I apologize. Let's say I touch this bar here, is it possible for you to recover latent prints and not make me as a comparison on that?

A Yes, sir.

Q Can outside influence affect whether that could happen?

A Yes, sir.

Q Weather? Rain?

A Yes, sir.

Q What about how oily my hands are?

- 1		
1	A	Yes, I did.
2	Q	All right. Now, ladies and gentlemen, a long time ago you heard
3	from Kristin	Grammas, the crime scene analyst.
4		Did you receive some cards from her?
5	A	Yes, I did.
6	Q	Okay. And in the process of looking at those latent print cards,
7	did you mak	ce some sort of analysis or comparison from those prints?
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	Tell us about that.
10	A	May I refer to my notes, please?
11	Q	Would that refresh your recollection?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	Please do.
14	A	I received
15	Q	It appears that we've cycled through now 175 through 180.
16	MR.	PESCI: Again, the State's going to ask for the admission of 175
17	through 180	0.
18	THE	COURT: Mr. Gentile, do you have any objection to 175 through
19	180?	
20	MR.	GENTILE: No.
21	THE	COURT: Mr. Adams?
22	MR.	ADAMS: No, ma'am.
23	THE	COURT: All right. 175 through 180 will be admitted, and I'll make
24	a note for th	ne clerk.
25	MR.	PESCI: Thank you, Judge.
	i	

BY MR. PESCI:

Q Showing you State's 175. You've just spoken to us a little bit about detail. Let's just kind of hone in on this one right here, which is in the middle of row 2 of the three different rows on State's 175, which is Deangelo Carroll's. Could you explain a little bit to the jury what you mean by the detail.

A Well, when you have -- we talk about three levels of detail. The first level of detail is the basic pattern that we have. We have here a loop pattern where the ridge flow flows into a loop. This is a left slant loop. If it was flowing the other way it would be a back slant loop. This loop pattern is very common.

There are three basic types of fingerprint patterns, arch, loops and whirls. They're broken down basically on a percentagewise per person about 55, 60 percent of the world population is found to have a loop type of pattern. The other type would be a whirl-type design of about 30, 35 percent, and the other would be an arch-type flow.

- Q So is this some of the characteristics that you're looking at to make a comparison?
  - A Uh-huh.
  - Q Is that a yes?
  - A Yes.
- Q And on 175 to 180, are these known prints, meaning this person you know made these fingerprints?
  - A Yes.
  - Q And in this case, 175 was to Deangelo Carroll; is that correct?

- 1			
1	looked a	it, and there was a fingerprint on the hundred dollar bill.	
2	C	Did you analyze that fingerprint?	
3	A	Yes, I did.	
4	C	Did you look for points of comparison?	
5	A	Yes, I did.	
6	C	Okay. And showing you State's 181, do you recognize what	
7	State's 1	81 is?	
8	A	Yes.	
9	C	And how do you recognize State's 181?	
10	A	181 is a chart that I prepared outlining the fingerprint that I found	
11	on the hundred dollar bill.		
12	C	The hundred dollar bill which we're referring to as State's 147?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	C	Okay. Is that a fair and accurate depiction of both the hundred	
15	dollar bil	in 147 and the known print and the comparison that you made in this	
16	case?		
17	А	Yes, it is.	
18	N	R. PESCI: Move for the admission of State's 181.	
19	Т	HE COURT: Any objection to 181?	
20	N	R. GENTILE: No, Your Honor. Well, wait. Let me see this for a	
21	second.	I don't have 181 in my list over here so I need to see it.	
22	Т	HE COURT: Would you show that to Mr. Gentile, please.	
23	N	R. GENTILE: I probably have seen it I just didn't list it.	
24	Т	HE COURT: No objection?	
25	N	R. GENTILE: No objection.	

bill front and back. The back of the hundred dollar bill, this is the area of the

impression that I found that I have squared off. I have an arrow going over to

Oh, okay. Yes. What I have here, the exhibit is the hundred dollar

23

24

25

Α

the right and another square. This little bit enlargement of the print and this print is a purplish color, this is a chemical process that's used on paper products, and this was used here, and the bottom arrow going down points to a little bit more enlargement of the known print that was on the hundred dollar bill, and this print is put into a gray scale.

When fingerprints are taken they're taken with black ink, and so to make it the same contrast as the fingerprints that we look at, we change the contrast, and the print on the left here is the finger that I was able to effect an identification with.

- Q Okay. So did you make an identification of Deangelo Carroll on this particular hundred dollar bill?
  - A Yes, I did.
- Q And this Exhibit 181 shows the process that you went through to make that identification?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, showing you State's Proposed Exhibit 171; do you recognize that?
  - A Yes, I do.
  - Q And how do you recognize that?
  - A I recognize this by my writing on the sheet.
  - Q Okay. And what is it?
  - A This is a -- this photograph depicts --
- Q Does this photograph depict some of the latent print processing that you performed or others within the Metropolitan Police Department performed?

1	that we just looked at in the photo?		
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	Okay. So we'll keep that up. So Deangelo Carroll's fingerprint	
4	shows up or	n one item?	
5	A	On 29-6.	
6	Q	Say what that item is.	
7	A	It would be this item right here.	
8	Q	Now, showing you State's 173. Do you recognize that?	
9	A	Yes, I do.	
10	Q	Was an identification made on that particular latent print?	
11	A	Yes, there was.	
12	Q	And who was it made to?	
13	A	Identified the No. 6 finger which is the left thumb of Kenneth	
14	Counts.		
15	Q	And where did that print or latent print where was it recovered	
16	from?		
17	A	According to the label by Crime Scene Analyst Renhardt, on back	
18	of Palomino	VIP comp card on floor of family room, Item 29.	
19	Q	Is Item 29 depicted in State's Exhibit 171?	
20	A	Yes, by marking on the back 29-1.	
21	Q	Okay. And which one is that?	
22	A	29-1 would be this one right here.	
23	Q	If you can circle the other VIP card again that you already had.	
24	A	The other one was 29-6, I believe, that one.	
25	Q	Now, looking at State's 174, do you recognize that? Did you	
	1	-37-	

1	Q	Did you make any matches to either of those two Luis Hidalgos?	
2	A	No, I did not.	
3	Q	And you went through this evidence looking for those?	
4	A	Yes, I did.	
5	Q	Did you make a report where you specified the specific number	
6	unique to each Luis Hidalgo?		
7	A	Yes, sir, I did.	
8	Q	Would it refresh your recollection to look at that so we would	
9	know which	number was associated with which Luis Hidalgo?	
10	A	Yes, sir.	
11	Q	Okay. Could you tell us the first number?	
12	A	Yes, if I may look at my notes here. A name on the request on the	
13	Luis Hidalgo Junior had an ID number of 1849634.		
14	Q	And then the other Luis Hidalgo that you looked, what was the	
15	other ID number?		
16	Α	The other number of Luis Hidalgo, and this came in as a senior,	
17	1579522.		
18	MR.	PESCI: Pass the witness.	
19	THE	COURT: All right. Who would like to conduct cross-examination	
20	first?		
21	MR.	GENTILE: I'll do it. Let me print this out if I can.	
22	THE	COURT: All right.	
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. GENTILE:		
25	Q	Mr. Boyd, how are you today?	

KARReporting & Transcription Services

-41-

21

22

23

24

25

am I correct?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q And one of those conditions is if somebody is perspiring heavily; am I correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q So if I am perspiring and I pick up a hundred dollar bill, then it's -there's a greater likelihood that I will leave a latent print on that bill than if my
  hands are dry?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, that doesn't necessarily mean that I won't leave a print if my hands are dry; am I correct?
  - A That is correct.
  - Q But the likelihood is greater --
  - A Yes.
  - Q -- if my hands are perspiring at the time, right?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And your best estimate, what would be the likelihood, the greater likelihood be; can you give an example? Can you give us an estimate?
  - A Just speaking of money?
  - Q Money, yeah, a hundred dollar bill.
- A Usually money is a difficult surface basically because money is usually put in a wallet, and there's sweat in the wallet. If you have a brand new bill and if money is -- if somebody handles a brand new bill, the length of time that the person would have handled the item, as you're touching an item, your perspiration, your body chemistry is still going, the likelihood of a print

being left on that will be maybe a little bit better.

The location of the print is a factor. You may find prints more up towards the end because when you go through money you're here. You wouldn't necessarily find prints maybe down here. Maybe if it's folded you might, but more commonly maybe up on top. It's very difficult to say. Newer bills, in my experience, it might be a little bit better for prints.

When they make money, they make money with various chemicals and stuff to make money last a long time. It's not like a piece of paper that you have in your wallet that the fiber's coming off and what not. They make money sometimes maybe fingerprint resistant if you want to say. It's protected from sweat and what not so money doesn't tear real easily.

So in my estimate newer bill is good, old bills not so good.

In the fingerprint world and from my experience, leaving a print on a surface it's some you get, some you don't. The ones you think you're going to get you don't. The ones think you're going to get a print on you don't, and the ones that you don't think you're going to get something well, you do. It's all a crap shoot, if I may use that term. And it is very difficult to say.

- Q Okay. Well, let's talk about Exhibit 181. If I understood your direct examination, this is the only -- now, you examined a good deal of money; am I correct?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Like how many, five bills or something like that?
  - A In this particular case?
  - Q Yeah.
  - A If I may look, there's a --

exemplar of Luis Hidalgo Junior if my understanding is correct?

A Well, the names on the request had junior and senior, and it had the ID number. I pulled up the fingerprint cards based on the ID numbers.

- Q Okay. So you don't know if they were accurately denominated junior and senior?
  - A No, I do not.
- Q You just know that there were two people named Luis Hidalgo that you were tasked to retrieve known exemplars and compare them to the various items?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And who was it that told you to retrieve Exhibit 177, Mr. Vantonio Williams or maybe it's William Vantonio?

A Mr. Vantonio Williams upon doing -- upon the examination of the names that we had to look at, we also looked at fingerprints that were not identified, and those not identified fingerprints that were of sufficient quality that we could put into our AFIS, that's A-F-I-S, automated fingerprint identification system, those fingerprints that met that quality that we could put into our computer data base we did, and a print from the 1995 Chevy Astro van, one was of AFIS quality. We put that in the computer and a match -- or a candidate list did come back, and we subsequently identified Mr. Vantonio William to this particular print by way of our AFIS computer data base. And that's where his name came from.

Q Thank you. So when you do what you do, you don't limit your

-48-

1	Q	Right.
2	A	We did identify Mr. Counts on a business card that had the words,
3	"Scooter C	Guy."
4	Q	Scooter Guy?
5	А	(Witness nodded.)
6	Q	Anywhere else?
7	А	No, sir.
8	Q	Mr. Pesci asked you about whether you saw a cigar box on Exhibit
9	171, and y	ou said you didn't. I'm going to ask you a little different question.
10	Do you se	e that box of Optimo there on the left?
11	A	Over here?
12	Q	Excuse me. Do you see the Optimo on the left?
13	A	Is this where you're referring?
14	Q	Yes, that's what I'm referring to. Do you remember what that
15	was?	
16	A	Not the paper that I guess I stand corrected if this is the little
17	cigar box.	I was in my mind, I apologize.
18	Q	You had envisioned a box of cigars?
19	A	Right.
20	Q	I understand that. Now, if we can agree that that's a cigar box,
21	okay, or a	box that either currently or once held cigars, peach flavored cigars
22	from the label on it, did you find a print on there?	
23	A	Did I find a print on here?
24	Q	Right.
25	A	There was a yes, there was a print that was lifted from there.

	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Q	Were you able to identify it?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And whose was it?
4	А	That was Mr. Carroll's.
5	Q	Deangelo Carroll's. Do you know what a blunt is?
6	A	Correction. Mr. Counts.
7	Q	Mr. Counts. Do you know what a blunt is?
8	А	What a what?
9	Q	If I use the term blunt and I use it in relationship to something that
10	can be smol	ked, do you know what it is?
11	А	I can visualize what I think it would mean.
12	Q	But you'd be speculating?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Well, we won't do that then.
15		Among the people
16	MR.	GENTILE: Nothing further. Thank you.
17	THE	COURT: All right. Mr. Adams, do you have any cross?
-18	MR.	ADAMS: Yes, ma'am.
19		CROSS-EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. AD	AMS:
21	Q	Mr. Boyd, let me ask you a handful of questions. I represent Luis
22	Hidalgo III, \	who's sitting in the very back. You don't know as you sit here
23	whether he'	s one of the two Luis Hidalgos that you checked the prints of?
24	А	Not right off, but I do have dates of births that were on the cards.
25	Q	All right. And what is the date of birth for the Luis Hidalgo II,

Α

I would -- yes.

THE COURT: Yeah, I was just -- you read my mind.

THE MARSHAL: There might be some gloves right behind you. Is there a box of gloves behind you?

THE WITNESS: Oh, yes, there is. Thank you.

## BY MR. ADAMS:

Q And let me ask you, Mr. Boyd, because this is evidence that will be back in the jury room ultimately along with a box of gloves, I'm assuming you're not going to place gloves on to keep from putting prints on something, are you?

A I am putting gloves on to protect myself. This has been chemically processed by crime scene, and I don't know what technique they used. I would assume maybe superglue or maybe fingerprint powder. We do have fingerprint lifts that were taken from this particular item that I did compare.

Q All right. So based on your training, when something you don't know what is on a bottle or an item, you just wear the gloves?

A Well --

Q And that's what you'd encourage other people to do who may be handling this material later?

A Well, if it was -- if it was processed with superglue and fingerprint powder, that powder will be on there, and you're going to get this black powder all over you.

Q Now, it doesn't harm you, right; you'd just need to wash your hands and get it off of you?

A Well, it's very messy. However, if they would then maybe apply some dye stain to that superglue to maybe enhance those prints that might be

on there, you know, you're going to have fluorescent dye on your hands. So as a precautionary measure, I always like to wear gloves especially if it's something that I know that I did not -- if I packaged this, I know how I packaged it, and I could be a little bit safer.

THE COURT: So there's a safety element as well as just getting your hands dirty?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Like I said, I have not seen this before.

## BY MR. ADAMS:

Q The clerk asked you to make sure that you're not cutting through tape if at all possible.

A Right. I'm trying to do it as easy as I can here. I don't know how they packaged it inside. I hope there's not a -- they did put it inside of a plastic bag. I would assume that maybe I'm over protecting myself by these gloves since it is in a plastic bag. Do I need to take it out of the plastic bag?

Q Please.

A Okay.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, if I may be permitted to take my coat off? THE COURT: Oh, absolutely. That's fine.

THE WITNESS: Again, that's just another precautionary measure. If I may do this here that way if there's any powder it won't be flying over here. BY MR. ADAMS:

- Q And can you identify this bottle? Just tell us what the label on it is.
  - A No. 10, Tanqueray, T-a-n-g -- or q-u-e-r-a-y.

Q Let me ask you a little about money. You were given --

MR. ADAMS: And how would you like, Madam Clerk, how would you like me with the bags and the gin?

THE CLERK: I'm going to make the bag 203A, the gin 203B or --

THE COURT: Let's just mark the content -- what's the number of the exhibit?

THE CLERK: 203.

THE COURT: All right. So the gin back in the bag, the plastic bag will be 203A.

You can mark that as admitted.

(State's Exhibits 203 and 203A admitted.)

BY MR. ADAMS:

Q And, Mr. Boyd, you were given a lot of money to check out, weren't you --

A Yes.

Q -- in this case? You were given a stack of money that may relate to May the 19<sup>th</sup>, a stack of money that may relate to a search warrant on Mr. Counts' house, a stack of money that came from Deangelo Carroll on May the 23<sup>rd</sup>, and a stack of money that came from Deangelo Carroll on May the 24<sup>th</sup>. Is that consistent that you were asked -- you were given four different groups of money to examine?

MR. PESCI: I apologize. I'm going to object as to the characterization as far as the dates when certain money came.

THE COURT: Well, if it's indicated on the impound, if he can tell.

MR. ADAMS: I'll rephrase.

wasn't able to do anything with those. But there was one card that did have

25

one print that is still outstanding, and the label on that print card was the exterior plastic bag containing 9mm cartridges, and that was listed as Item 12A by Renhardt.

- Q And that -- the 9mm cartridges were found where, Kenneth Counts' house?
  - A Let's see. The address on those lift cards was 1676 E Street.
  - Q And again those prints don't match either Mr. Hidalgo or his son?
  - A No.
- Q If I understand you correctly, when you're looking at money for prints, it's a tough surface to get a print off of?
  - A Basically it is.
- Q And you feel lucky to have gotten one in this situation? Well, not lucky but it's rare --
  - A Well, yes --
  - Q -- that you find one off money?
  - A Well, yeah.
- Q And with the print you indicated that the more somebody sweats the newer the dollar or the newer the bill, the more likely you are to be able to get a print off of that?
- A Well, with money you have a lot of contaminants. It's in the wallet and what not, and a lot of people handle it, and there's a lot of contamination on that money. And then when you touch it, you're touching a print over a lot of contamination and stuff. And so it's a, like I say, you're lucky when you do find a print on money.
  - Q And when you found Deangelo Carroll's print on the money, you

-60-

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Pesci, go ahead.

25

remember those questions?

THE WITNESS: If I may refer to my notes?

2

3

THE COURT: Go ahead.

4

THE WITNESS: The gin bottle was processed by Crime Scene Analyst Renhardt. There were five latent print cards that were submitted from the gin bottle that had ridge detail. One of those five was no value for identification.

5 6

was addressed of microseal lifts.

THE COURT: Meaning it didn't have enough detail to make --

7

THE WITNESS: For comparison purposes.

8

THE COURT: -- to compare it to anybody's prints?

9

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

10

THE COURT: All right.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

THE WITNESS: That left four of the print cards which had latent print detail for comparison purposes. I compared those to everybody that we had, and there was no identification. In addition to the lift cards that was submitted by Crime Scene Analyst Renhardt, there was a submission on my FMB5 which

Now, what a microseal lift is, it's another method of lifting a latent print off of a surface. Usually what's done the fingerprint powder, the taped fingerprint lifts, and that's what I have here, the five cards, and then since it is like a -- it's not completely a round surface. In this particular case it's more of a square surface than a round. You can take a -- you can make a rubber lift, which will adhere to that surface a little bit better sometimes than regular Scotch tape, and this is called a microseal lift.

Basically, this is a liquid form of a rubber compound. It's called microseal, and it will adhere to surfaces. A good example would be this pitcher right here where you have the different striations, it's very hard to get, like,

tape in here. But if you have a liquid component that could flow into that where you could just kind of peel that off, it would make it a little bit easier, and sometimes this is done, and in this case with this bottle that is what was done, and that is what is referred to as a FMB5.

There were two lifts Item 38 and 39 in my report. And basically those lifts have the same information as the fingerprint lift cards that I looked at. So it would be like I have the original lift card that Crime Scene Analyst Renhardt did, and then you have the microseal lift, which would be like a supplement to that. That would just aid me a little bit in my comparisons, and that's what I had there.

And so to answer the question, yes, there were other cards taken.

THE COURT: So in other words, Crime Scene Analyst Renhardt lifted lifts to the print cards and then you took those same areas where prints had been lifted and you went back and performed this other procedure to get a more detailed or a supplemental print, and then you were able to utilize both of those for comparison. Is that what you're telling us?

THE WITNESS: Crime Scene Analyst Renhardt, she also -- she's the one that did the microseal lift, yes. She did both, yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Boyd. I'm going to interrupt you here. We're going to take quick 10-minute break, and then we'll finish up with the jury questions.

And once again, if anybody has any additional jury questions, hand those to Jeff on your way out the door. Just our 10-minute morning recess.

Once again you're reminded of the admonition not to discuss anything related to the case --

MR. DIGIACOMO: Judge, can we approach before you do this?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Conference at the bench.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, ten minutes. Once again don't talk about the case or anything related to the case. Notepads in your chairs. Any additional juror questions hand them to Jeff on the way out.

(Recess taken 10:51 a.m.)

(Jury entering 11:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session, and as a follow-up to what you were just testifying about regarding the gin bottle, you said four were of comparison quality; is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And who did you -- whose exemplar or known prints did you compare those four to?

THE WITNESS: I compared those to everybody who we had mentioned here in the case. Do you want me to read the whole list?

THE COURT: Sure, just so it's clear for the jury.

THE WITNESS: The names that I compared to the latent prints from the gin bottle: Deangelo Carroll, Kenneth Counts, Luis Hidalgo, both of them, Anabel Espindola, Vantonio William, Rontae Zone, Jason T-a-o-i-p-u, Michelle Schwanderlik, Mark Wood and also to the victim, Timothy Hadland.

THE COURT: And what was the result of that comparison?

THE WITNESS: There was no match.

THE COURT: Okay. So none of those people -- those fingerprints didn't belong to any of those people; is that right?

-66-

25

you are excused.

All right. Mr. Boyd, thank you very much for your testimony, sir,

1	State, call your next witness.	
2	MR. DIGIACOMO: Denise Mata.	
3	THE COURT: Ms. Mata, just come on up here, please, to the witness	
4	stand, just up those couple of stairs and remain standing facing our court clerk.	
5	DENISE MATA	
6	Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:	
7	MR. ADAMS: Judge, may we approach before you	
8	THE CLERK: Can I get her name?	
9	MR. ADAMS: Oh, yes, certainly.	
10	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and spell your name.	
11	THE WITNESS: Denise Mata, D-e-n-i-s-e, M-a-t-a.	
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Approach.	
13	(Conference at the bench.)	
14	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the testimony that you're about to	
15	hear is being offered as evidence against Mr. Hidalgo Junior, Mr. H, not as	
16	evidence against Mr. Hidalgo III.	
17	Go ahead, Mr. DiGiacomo.	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
19	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
20	Q Ms. Mata?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q Have you and I ever met before?	
23	A No.	
24	Q Okay. Did you know a person named Anabel Espindola?	
25	A Yes.	
	-68-	

1	Q	How did you know her?
2	А	I met her in jail.
3	Q	And after you got out of jail did there come a point in time when
4	you met a g	guy by the name of Luis Hidalgo Junior?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Mr. H?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you see him here in court today?
9	A	Yes, I do.
10	Q	Can you point him out and describe something he's wearing?
11	A	He's sitting right there.
12	Q	Which, there's like six people
13	MR. GENTILE: Stand up.	
14	THE WITNESS: He's wearing a gray sweater.	
15	MR. GENTILE: Let the record reflect that he stood.	
16	THE COURT: All right. The record will reflect she's identified Mr.	
17	Hidalgo Junior.	
18	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
19	Q	I'm going to direct your attention back to around Christmastime of
20	2008, so a couple months ago. Did there come a point in time when Mr. H	
21	asked you to do anything?	
22	А	I was working with him at that time.
23	Q	Okay. You were working with him?
24	А	Uh-huh.
25	MR.	DIGIACOMO: May I approach, Judge?

1	THE COURT: You may.	
2	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
3	Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Proposed	
4	Exhibit No. 223. That's an envelope, correct?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Do you know whose writing that is?	
7	A Mine.	
8	Q Okay. And it's an envelope from you to Anabel Espindola,	
9	correct?	
10	A Right.	
11	MR. GENTILE: What is the exhibit number, I'm sorry?	
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: 223.	
13	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
14	Q Inside of 223 is 223A. Do you recognize the card that's inside?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q And whose writing is that?	
17	A Mine.	
18	Q Okay. Now, did you know of a nickname that Mr. H utilized for	
19	Anabel?	
20	A For her?	
21	Q A nickname that he had, how's that?	
22	A I know that there was a nano nano that they used to say to each	
23	other.	
24	Q That they used to say nano nano to each other?	
25	A To each other, that's what I've heard.	
	-70-	

1	publish it.	would like to publish this document.
2	•	COURT: All right.
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. GEI	
5	Q	If I understand, this is a Christmas card?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Right, Ms. Mata? And the words on this card were essentially
8		t's in your handwriting?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	But Mr. Hidalgo, Mr. H asked you to write this card?
11	A	Yeah.
12	Q	And he asked you to write it to Anabel?
13	A	Sure.
14	Q	Did he seem insincere when he did it?
15	A	No, not at all.
16	Q	No. Did he seem sincere when he did it?
17		
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Were there tears in his eyes?
20	Α	Most of the time every time he used to talk about Anabel they
21	were.	Did was write this letter thinking in any way about or form that it
22	Q	Did you write this letter thinking in any way, shape or form that it
		vritten to intimidate Anabel Espindola?
23	A	No, not at all.
24	Q	You wouldn't do that, would you?
25	A	No, because I consider Anabel a friend. I was with Anabel, too,

-73-

1		DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
3	Q	Detective, how are you employed?
4	А	With the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
5	Q	How long have you been with Metro?
6	А	21 years.
7	Q	And where are you currently assigned to?
8	А	Homicide.
9	Q	How long you been with homicide?
10	А	Seven years.
11	Q	Direct your attention back to May 19th into the early morning hours
12	of May 20 <sup>th</sup>	of 2005, did you become involved in the investigation of the
13	murder of Timothy J. Hadland?	
14	А	Yes, I did.
15	Q	Did you respond out to the scene?
16	A	Yes, I did.
17	Q	After well, let me ask you this. At the scene were the duties
18	divided among what duties you should take versus the other detectives that	
19	were out there from the homicide division?	
20	А	Yes, they were.
21	Q	Okay. And can you tell us what your assignments were?
22	A	My assignment was any interviews that needed to take place out
23	at the scene	
24	Q	And were there were there individuals out at the scene?
25	Α	Yes.

-74-

1	Q	Did Mr. Hidalgo agree to meet with you?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Let me ask you this. At the time, what was your desk phone
4	number?	
5	A	My desk phone number at the time was 229-3613.
6	Q	Does there come a point in time when you have a second
7	conversation	over the phone with Mr. Hidalgo?
8	A	Yes, sir, there is.
9	Q	And was that on the desk phone or a cell phone?
10	A	That was on my cell phone.
11	Q	And can you tell us at the time what your cell phone number was?
12	A	289-5620.
13	Q	And do you call the same person you were talking to earlier?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And what information do you relay at this point?
16	А	At that point I was just letting him know that we had arrived at the
17	club and wo	ndered what his ETA was.
18	Q	Eventually did Mr. Hidalgo arrive at the club?
19	A	Yes, he did.
20	Q	And can you tell us approximately what time that was?
21	А	It was approximately 3 to 4 o'clock, right in there.
22	Q	And we're talking on Friday the 20 <sup>th</sup> ?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And at that point did you have a communication with Mr. Hidalgo?
25	А	Yes, I did.
	-	

A Instead of Gay. Ran him through our computer data base and found a Javon Day and found that he was involved in a crime.

Q Okay. Let's back up. What information did you -- did you have on the recording other than Javon Day? Did he give you specifics about the crime that Javon Day was allegedly to have committed?

A He said that Javon Day had committed a crime of shooting, shot someone in the neck, in the area, what he described as the Jets.

Q Okay. And did he describe for you the caliber of the weapon that allegedly he's talking about Mr. Counts using as well as Mr. Day using?

A Yes.

Q And what caliber was it?

A It was a .22 that he described, I believe.

Q Let me back up for just a second here and go back to the transcript itself. When Mr. Carroll described for you the shooting that Mr. Counts committed, what kind of gun was he talking about?

A .357.

Q Okay. When Mr. -- and I don't want you confusing my question -- when he was telling you about that gun, what was he saying about Javon Day?

Not what the report says, but what was he telling you about Javon Day?

A He was saying that that was the gun that Javon Day used in the shooting that he had committed earlier.

Q Okay. And do you know at all how Deangelo Carroll knew that Javon Day had committed this alleged other crime?

A I don't know that, no.

Q So you don't have any information as to how he heard this

25

the afternoon.

25

FBI.

-97-

- 1		1
1	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 238.	
2	MR. ADAMS: I'd like to be heard on that, Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: So you're objecting?	
4	MR. ADAMS: Yes. May we approach?	
5	THE COURT: Yes.	
6	(Conference at the bench.)	
7	THE COURT: Any objection on behalf of Mr. Hidalgo Junior for the	
8	record?	
9	MS. ARMENI: No, Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: All right. That exhibit will be admitted, and that was what	
11	number?	
12	MR. DIGIACOMO: 238, Judge.	
13	THE COURT: Thank you. 238 is admitted.	
14	(State's Exhibit 238 admitted.)	
15	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
16	Q I'm going to turn to page 3 of 238, Detective, if you'll look up	
17	there.	
18	A I think you took mine.	
19	Q I know, if you'd look at the screen.	
20	A I'm sorry. I got it.	
21	Q 702/643-0842?	
22	A Yes, sir.	
23	Q During the course of your investigation, did you learn who	
24	whose home phone number that is?	
25	A Yes, I did.	
	-101-	
1	1	4

KARReporting & Transcription Services

1	Q	And so AE represents who?
2	А	Anabel Espindola.
3	Q	And so she's reflected in this orange color, for lack of a better
4	term?	
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And then LL is who?
7	A	Little Lou.
8	Q	Okay. He's in the pink?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	and Mr. H or MRH, he's reflected in what color?
11	A	In green.
12	Q	And TH is who?
13	A	Timothy Hadland.
14	Q	And DC?
15	A	Deangelo Carroll.
16	Q	So the jury remembers, do we have any cell site information from
17	the direct plans?	
18	A	No.
19	Q	So it's only cell phone calls we have cell site information?
20	A	That's the only thing they were able to provide us, yes.
21	Q	I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's Proposed
22	Exhibits 234, 235, 236 and 237 and ask you if you recognize	
23	MR.	ADAMS: We would like to see them.
24	THE	COURT: Yes, I was going to say.
25	MR. ADAMS: At some point that would be very nice.	
		105

1	MR. ARRASCADA: I'll join Mr. Adams up here, Your Honor, if that's	
2	okay.	
3	MR. GENTILE: There's an objection interposed, Your Honor. Well, there	
4	is now; let's put it that way.	
5	THE COURT: In a couple of words, the basis?	
6	MR. GENTILE: Cumulative at least with respect to	
7	THE COURT: With two of the pictures we've already got a Deangelo	
8	Carroll picture, and so I don't know that we need another one.	
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: There's no Deangelo Carroll in this.	
10	THE COURT: In this one, okay.	
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: There's no Deangelo Carroll in this one.	
12	THE COURT: I see.	
13	MR. ADAMS: We object to 236, Your Honor.	
14	(Conference at the bench.)	
15	BY MR. DIGIACOMO:	
16	Q 237, do you recognize that guy?	
17	A Yes, I do.	
18	Q Who is that?	
19	A Kenneth Counts.	
20	MR. DIGIACOMO: Move to admit 237.	
21	THE COURT: Any objection to 237, the picture of Kenneth Counts?	
22	MR. ADAMS: No, ma'am.	
23	MS. ARMENI: No.	
24	THE COURT: All right. That will be admitted.	
25	(State's Exhibit 237 admitted.)	
	-106-	

-109-

1	Q	I want to talk to you a little bit about your contact with Mr. H.
2	А	Okay.
3	Q	You called him one time from your office phone, right?
4	А	Yes, ma'am.
5	Q	And you spoke to him?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And then you talked you called him a second time, I believe,
8	from your cell phone?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And once again Mr. H spoke to you?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you made arrangements to meet at the Palomino?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	And Mr. H did come down to the Palomino, right?
15	A	Yes. Yes, ma'am.
16	Q	And you asked Mr. H if he had an employee by the name of
17	Deangelo Ca	arroll, right?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And his answer was yes?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And then you had asked for some additional information which at
22	the time Mr.	H told you he couldn't provide?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	But he told you to come back and speak to Ariel?
25	A	Yes, ma'am.

1	Q	At 7 o'clock?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And you came back at 7 o'clock?
4	А	A little bit after, yes.
5	Q	Okay. And when you came back a little bit after, was Ariel
6	present?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And during the conversation you had with Ariel, was there any
9	discussion about the white van?	
10	А	I don't believe so.
11	Q	Okay. So nothing was asked. At the time at the time that you
12	were talking	to Ariel, at that point you knew that Mr. H knew that you were
13	inquiring about Deangelo Carroll, correct?	
14	A	At the time that I was speaking with Ariel?
15	Q	Well, you had already let me back up. That was a bad question.
16	I apologize.	
17	Α	That's okay.
18	Q	Earlier in the afternoon you had told you were asking Mr. H
19	questions about Deangelo Carroll?	
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	So is it fair to say at that point Mr. H knew that the homicide
22	detectives w	ere looking into Deangelo Carroll, correct?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	And then fair to say that Mr. H knew that you were meeting with
25	Ariel at arou	and 7 o'clock?
	1	

1	]	
1	A	Correct.
2	Q	And Deangelo Carroll ended up coming into the Palomino?
3	A	Yes, ma'am.
4	Q	Right after 7 o'clock?
5	А	I think it was around 8:30 that he came in.
6	Q	Okay. But during the time you were at the Palomino Mr. Carroll
7	walked in?	
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	I want to ask you a little bit about the note that you found at
10	Simone's.	
11	А	Okay.
12	Q	Do you recall where you found it?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And do you remember the note the note I'm talking about is a
15	handw ritte	n note
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	that said we're under surveillance?
18	A	Yes.
19	MS	. ARMENI: 120?
20	THE	ECOURT: 129, I think.
21	MR	GENTILE: No, I think it's 200-IA, just off the top of my head.
22	BY MS. AF	RMENI:
23	Q	Do you recall the note? It's been used a lot.
24	THE	ECOURT: I think the copy of the note is Exhibit No. 129.
25	MR	GENTILE: Okay. Well
	1	

1	A	Okay.	
2	Q	Can you read that to yourself for a minute?	
3	A	Sure.	
4	Q	Does that refresh your recollection about the second waiting	
5	room?		
6	А	Yes. Well, I don't know that it's a second waiting room.	
7	Q	Okay. Well, it identifies two customer waiting areas, right, one in	
8	the northwe	st area	
9	А	Can I see it again, I'm sorry. I didn't see it say that twice. I'm	İ
10	sorry, but l'i	m only seeing it once, and thought I understand this to be the	
11	customer	the initial customer waiting area where you initially walk in has an	
12	opening that	t leads back to the area which I think you're headed towards.	
13	Q	Okay. So your understanding is there was only that one northwest	
14	customer w	aiting area?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	And that waiting area was obviously open to the public?	
17	A	Yes.	:
18	Q	It was for customers?	:
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	And that actually that waiting room filtered into the game room?	İ
21	A	It had an opening that went into what was described as a game	
22	room, yes.		
23	Q	Okay. And in that game room was the pool table?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	And in that game room was the stool?	
		445	

-117-

1	ook at that and then just kind of verify those numbers.	
2	(Conference at the bench.)	
3	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Adams, you may proceed or continue.	
4	MR. ADAMS: All right.	
5	BY MR. ADAMS:	
6	Q Detective, I'm going to try to take this all the way to the back	
7	maybe so all the jurors can see it.	
8	A Sure.	
9	Q Is this too small for you, or can you still anticipate what numb	ers
10	we're talking about now?	
11	A I can anticipate it.	
12	MR. ARRASCADA: Mr. Adams, is it okay if I move it so the jurors of	can
13	see it?	
14	MR. ADAMS: Yes.	
15	BY MR. ADAMS:	
16	Q Okay. Do you have the walkie talkie number associated with	
17	Anabel Espindola's cell phone?	
18	A I do. Can I just do the last few digits?	
19	Q Absolutely.	
20	A 886 star 4.	
21	Q All right. How about Deangelo Carroll's cell phone number?	
22	A Give me a second.	
23	Q Sure.	
24	A 886 star 34.	
25	Q And what is his cell phone number?	
	-118-	

KARReporting & Transcription Services

1	Mr. Had	land,	in your investigation did you found a phone or a phone was
2	found at	t the	scene of the crime and car of Mr. Hadland?
3	<b>A</b>	4	Yes.
4		2	And you were able to determine what the number of that phone
5	was?		
6	A	4	Yes.
7	c	2	And what was that number?
8	Δ	4	I'm not positive off the top of my head. I'm not sure exactly
9	which n	umbe	er.
10	(	Ç	Do you believe the number was 234-8019?
11	Δ	4	I do believe that.
12	C	Q	And during the review of all the phone records, did you come
13	across a number 239-2350?		
14	Δ	4	I've seen it numerous times, yes.
15	C	Q	And that was not a number paid for by Simone's or the Palomino
16	Club?		
17	_ A	4	I don't know that.
18	0	Q	Did you hear the name PK Hadland or PK Handland?
19	Д	4	Yes.
20	C	Q	And do you know if that was his number?
21	Д	Ą	I don't know that.
22	C	Q	Let's go to Mr. Counts, and is this Mr. Counts?
23	Д	A	Yes.
24	G	Q	Were you able to determine whether he had a cell phone or not?
25	A	A	Yes.

1	Q	During the investigation?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	And did you discover what his cell phone number was?	
4	A	It was 808-1719.	
5	Q	All right. And did that number appear in any of the phone records?	
6	А	Yes.	
7	Q	And that number appeared, did it not, in a phone call to Anabel	
8	Espindola, o	r let me correct that, in a phone call to Anabel Espindola's	
9	telephone?		
10	A	Yes, sir.	
11	Q	And what time was that call?	
12	A	It was May 19 <sup>th</sup> , 2005, at 11:10:12 p.m.	
13	Q	11:10:12 p.m. And how long was this call from Mr. Counts'	
14	phone to An	abel Espindola's phone?	ļ
15	А	1.4 minutes, I believe.	
16	Q	All right. So more than a minute, almost a minute and a half?	:
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	And it was a call from Kenneth Counts' phone to Anabel	!
19	Espindola?		
20	A	Correct.	
21	Q	Because on the records it shows Anabel Espindola received an	
22	incoming ca	Il from this number of Kenneth Counts?	
23	A	Yes, sir.	
24	Q	And after this 1.4 minute call, the call disconnected?	
25	Α	Yes.	
	1		

Q And Anabel Espindola attempted at 11:12:58 p.m., attempted to call Kenneth Counts back, didn't she?

- A Correct.
- Q And there was no answer on her return call to Kenneth Counts?
- A It just --
- Q Kenneth Counts' telephone?
- A It has a duration of zero seconds.
- Q I'd like to go through some of the calls with you from your master document --

THE COURT: You know what, I'm sure there's a number of calls that you're going to go through so maybe this would be a natural time to take our lunch recess.

MR. ADAMS: This would be a great time.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to go ahead and take our lunch recess until 1:30. Before we take it -- don't get up yet -- I need to inquire whether or not during the course of the trial any of the jurors have seen, read or heard anything in the news media about this case?

All right. And for the record, all of the jurors are indicating that they have not.

Thank you. Lunch till 1:30. Once again you're reminded of the admonition not to discuss the case or do anything relating to the case. Don't read, watch, listen to anything relating to the case. Please don't form or express an opinion on the trial.

Notepads in your chairs. We'll see you all back here at 1:30, and through the double doors.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

(On the record outside the presence of the jury 1:32 p.m.)

MR. ADAMS: Are we on the record?

THE COURT RECORDER: We are now.

MR. ADAMS: We had earlier objections also related to phone records. Is this a good time to --

THE CLERK: Can we take care of 231, 232 and 233 first?

THE COURT: No objection to those, right?

MR. ADAMS: No objection.

MR. GENTILE: No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. And basically I'm just going to make a really quick record on your behalf and then you can make a more detailed record. We had some discussion I think on the record possibly yesterday, but basically my understanding is from the objection that was preserved by approaching the bench that Mr. Adams objected to everything involving the phone records on the basis he'd not been provided a copy of a probable cause affidavit or administrative subpoena or anything like that to justify the privacy intrusion involved in getting the phone records.

MR. ADAMS: In relation to the cell tower records, cell tower location, and only as it relates to Luis Hidalgo III. I don't think we have standing to object to the others.

THE COURT: All right | lagree.

And then Mr. Gentile did not object to any of the phone records save and except the diagram that had been prepared by Detective Wildemann based on his review of the record, and you indicated that your objection was

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the same as their objection.

MR. GENTILE: Whatever they say is good with me.

THE COURT: All right. So constitutional grounds and that was --

MR. ADAMS: Confrontation clause, yes, ma'am, yes, constitution. Absolutely. Fair trial right.

THE COURT: I was thinking of the Fourth Amendment right to privacy, but whatever.

MR. ADAMS: Right to privacy, that one too. And I think also in violation of right to counsel and free association. Speaking of free association, I've now had enough. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Can we get started?

MR. DIGIACOMO: We can, and only one problem. Do we have an exhibit that says PK on it? There's no evidence that I'm aware of that has PK's cell phone number. They put it up in front of the jury; I've looked at it. I don't necessarily have a problem. I haven't gone through to check to make sure, but I certainly object that Wildemann during his testimony will confirm the information as to right or wrong. But to my recollection no information as to --

THE COURT: Well, if Detective Wildemann can't confirm that that's PK's number and you don't have a record, then just cross it out. I mean, I don't know where you got that from.

MR. ADAMS: Well, I think he can say his investigation did not extend far enough to know.

THE COURT: Yeah, but then you can't say that that's PK's number if we don't have a record.

MR. GENTILE: It doesn't say that now, Judge. It doesn't have anything

-125-

(Conference at the bench.)

25

-126-

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And so there would be different types of information in the
3	document?	
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	All right. And how long this 2.2 minutes on a call to Anabel
6	Espindola at	3:51:35 on the 19 <sup>th</sup> ?
7	A	I'm sorry. I lost the spot.
8	Q	The bottom of page 2.
9	A	Got it. 2.2 is what it says.
10	Q	Let's go to page 3. Again, I'm not very good with the Elmo so it's
11	going to be	too difficult to read. Is there a call at 4:58 p.m.?
12	А	There's a call at 4:58:56.
13	Q	And is that call from the home phone of Deangelo Carroll?
14	А	Yes, sir.
15	Q	And who is that call to?
16	A	Anabel Espindola.
17	Q	And how long is that call based on your records?
18	А	1.1 second.
19	Q	Is there another call from Deangelo Carroll to Anabel Espindola at
20	7:27 p.m.?	
21	А	7:27:05 from Deangelo to Anabel.
22	Q	And again, that's his home phone, correct?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	To Anabel Espindola's cell phone, and the duration of that call?
25	Α	3.75 minutes.
		-128-

1	Q	225 seconds? Can you do that math in your head? All right.	
2	More than 3	minutes?	
3	А	Yes.	
4	Q	All right. And then is there a call from Little Lou's cell phone to	
5	the home of	Deangelo Carroll at 7:42 p.m.?	
6	А	Yes. 7:42:58, yes, sir.	
7	Q	All right. And that lasted how long?	
8	А	1.18 minutes.	
9	Q	Then is there a call to Little Lou at 8:07:31?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	And is that from the number at the bottom of our Exhibit BB, 239-	
12	2350?		
13	А	Yes, sir.	
14	Q	Let me back up to the top, the first call I asked you about, was	
15	that also fro	m that number at 3:51:35?	
16	A	That was inbound to Anabel, correct?	
17	Q	Yes.	
18	А	Yes.	
19	Q	From 239-2350?	
20	А	Yes.	
21	Q	Then we have calls two calls from Anabel Espindola to Deangelo	
22	Carroll's hor	me phone number at 8:13 p.m. and 8:15 p.m.?	
23	A	You still on the 19 <sup>th</sup> , sir?	
24	Q	Yes, sir, all this is the 19 <sup>th</sup> .	
25	А	8:13 and 8:15.	

1	Q	And who's that from?
2	А	Deangelo Carroll to Anabel Espindola.
3	Q	And what is the length of time of that communication?
4	Α	25.7
5	Q	Seconds.
6	А	Seconds because it's a chirp.
7	Q	And the chirp records are in seconds, and the call records are in
8	minutes?	
9	А	I believe that to be true, yes.
10	Q	Then is there a follow-up chirp from Deangelo Carroll to Anabel
11	Espindola at	10:45:25?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And what is the duration of that chirp communication?
14	А	8.3 seconds.
15	Q	Let's move down to 10:45:35 or roughly within an hour of Mr.
16	Hadland's de	eath. Is there a chirp from Anabel Espindola?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	And who was she chirping?
19	Α	Deangelo Carroll.
20	Q	And what is the length of that chirp?
21	A	12.6 seconds.
22	Q	And then please look down at 10:53:41, eight minutes later.
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Is there a chirp to Mr. Hadland?
25	Α	Yes.
	1	

1	Q	And who is that by?
2	A	Deangelo Carroll.
3	Q	Is there another chirp within a minute and 11 seconds to TJ
4	Hadland aga	in from Deangelo Carroll?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And what's the duration, the length of that chirp?
7	А	20.7 seconds.
8	Q	What's your estimation on the time of death of Mr. Hadland?
9	А	I'd have to review I'd have to review a couple of reports. I'm
10	not sure exa	actly when the 9-1-1 call was placed.
11	Q	If we assume let's just assume there's been testimony of the
12	9-1-1 call be	eing at 11:44 p.m. Does that seem accurate?
13	А	That seems to my memory, yes.
14	Q	As to your memory, all right. Let's back up now to get past the
15	11 o'clock h	nour on May the 19 <sup>th</sup> . Was there a chirp at 11:08:06?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And who chirped who?
18	А	Well, there's a number of them. So you're going oh, I'm sorry.
19	11:08:06.	
20	Q	11:08:06 seconds?
21	А	Anabel Espindola to Deangelo Carroll.
22	Q	All right. Was there any length of communication based on your
23	records?	
24	А	No.
25	Q	Was there a return chirp 4 seconds later?

		İ
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Who chirped?
3	A	Deangelo Carroll to Anabel Espindola.
4	Q	And how long was that communication?
5	A	13 seconds.
6	Q	And then we talked before the lunch break about a call from
7	Kenneth Co	unts to Anabel Espindola. Do you see that in your records at
8	11:10:12?	
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	And how long was that telephone call?
11	A	1.4 minutes.
12	Q	84 seconds?
13	A	(Unintelligible.)
14	Q	Did Anabel Espindola attempt to call Kenneth Counts' phone back
15	which is ma	rked as KC not as the full name, not as Kenneth Counts?
16	A	At 11:12:58.
17	Q	And was there any length of communication in that call?
18	A	No, sir.
19	Q	Did Deangelo Carroll chirp at 11:13:21?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And who did he chirp?
22	A	Timothy Hadland.
23	Q	Is that the last known communication with Timothy Hadland
24	before his d	eath?
25	A	According to the records, yes.
		-133-

1	Q	How long was that communication?	
2	A	13.6 seconds.	
3	Q	Who's the next person to communicate or attempt to	
4	communicat	e with Deangelo Carroll?	
5	A	Anabel Espindola at 11:37:35.	
6	Q	And was there any length of communication in that chirp?	
7	A	No, none.	
8	Q	Who did Mr. Carroll first call after that chirp by Anabel Espindola?	
9	A	Anabel Espindola.	
10	Q	And at what time?	
11	A	11:37:41.	
12	Q	And how long was he on the line with her?	
13	A	21.2 seconds.	İ
14	Q	21.2 seconds. Let me talk to you about a few calls on the 20 <sup>th</sup> .	:
15	Was there a	n additional chirp at 12:10:45 p.m., the next day, 12 hours, 12 and	
16	a half hours	later from Deangelo Carroll to Anabel Espindola?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	How long was that communication?	
19	Α	30.5 seconds.	
20	Q	Were there a series then of communications around 2:53 p.m. the	
21	afternoon of	the 20 <sup>th</sup> between Anabel Espindola and Deangelo Carroll?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	Was there one at 2:53:19?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	Did that last 7.4 seconds?	
		-134-	

-138-

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. And a juror wants to know did the GPS info tell you where each phone was?

THE WITNESS: That's it?

THE COURT: Well, no, there's more but ...

THE WITNESS: The GPS or the cell site information that we have works for the direct connects which is -- which would mean my cell phone calling your cell phone. We could not get cell site location or tower location for the push to talk. So the chirps as you guys have been hearing it we weren't able to get cell site information.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, it just tells you what cell site was used; it doesn't tell you the precise location of where the phone call was made; is that right?

THE WITNESS: It gives you directionality. It tells you what cell site was used and the directionality of where that call originated from.

THE COURT: Okay. Meaning if the caller was located north of the cell site or south of the cell site or east cell site?

THE WITNESS: It breaks it into thirds. It breaks the circle into thirds. So, yeah, it will give you a third, a direction, if that makes sense.

THE COURT: All right. But you can't tell the precise location or who was there from the records you --

THE WITNESS: I can tell you what phone, and I can tell you the general area, but I cannot give a precise location.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to let Mr. DiGiacomo follow up if you have follow-up on any of those.

-141-

THE COURT: Any objection to 164, which are the live rounds from the

25

- 1	
1	search warrant?
2	MR. GENTILE: Relevance.
3	MR. ARRASCADA: Same, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Overruled. They can be admitted.
5	(State's Exhibit 164 admitted.)
6	THE COURT: Mr. DiGiacomo.
7	MR. DIGIACOMO: Are they admitted, 164?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. DIGIACOMO: Okay.
10	THE COURT: The State rests?
11	MR. DIGIACOMO: Reserving the right to check with the clerk to make
12	sure everything we offered has been admitted. Other than that, the State rests.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Defense, yes, who would like to go first?
14	MR. GENTILE: Call Kevin M. Kelly.
15	THE COURT: Jeff will get him.
16	Come on up to the witness stand and please remain standing facing
17	our court clerk.
18	KEVIN KELLY
19	Having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn testified as follows:
20	THE CLERK: Please be seated and please state and spell your name.
21	THE WITNESS: Kevin Kelly, K-e-v-i-n, K-e-l-l-y.
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. GENTILE:
25	Q Mr. Kelly, what city and state do you live in?
ļ	-142-
İ	

KARReporting & Transcription Services

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I asked you -- I think I asked you what your assignments were?
- I was in military intelligence. In 1970 I was in charge of collecting US intelligence on missing MIAs, American prisoners of war. I was an intel analyst to the ambassadors at Laos and Cambodia doing daily briefings, black
  - And how long were you in the military?
  - Eleven years.
  - When did you muster out?
  - March 2<sup>nd</sup>, 1977.
  - And what was your rank at that time?
  - Α Captain.
- When you finished your military duties, were you still -- were you Q in law school at that time?
- Yes. I was in my -- I was going to law school while in the military. Α I was three-quarter time, which meant I was working full time and going to law school. So I had one more year, graduated in '78.
  - After you graduated law school what did you do? Q
- I came to Las Vegas, took the bar exam in Massachusetts, Α admitted there and then came here, clerked for a judge, took the bar exam in '79 and was admitted in '79 in Nevada.
  - Have you been practicing -- do you still practice law? Q
  - Α Yes.
  - What else do you do? Q
  - Α I own Spearmint Rhino.

-144-

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q And what is Spearmint Rhino?

Α Spearmint Rhino is a gentleman's club.

Q Is it part of a chain?

I have a license agreement with a worldwide company. The chain Α Spearmint Rhino is located worldwide. It has clubs in Melbourne, the UK, Prague, Moscow, or they did have those clubs. I have nothing to do with the worldwide chain. I have a license to use the logo and the name and the brand here in Las Vegas only.

Now, tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury -- you still practice Q law and you own Spearmint Rhino; am I correct?

Α Yes, sir.

Are you in this building very often as a lawyer? Q

Frequently. Α

Okay. Would you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how it 0 came to be that you wound up in the strip club business.

Α Blame you how this happened. 1976 I was advised of a bar that was for sale, and attached to the bar was a totally nude club, and the prospect of the -- the opportunity was to buy both locations. I had no interest in opening a nude club of any type, and so I only bought the bar. It used to be called Hob Nob on Industrial -- on Highland.

So I bought that and on January 1<sup>st</sup> of 1997, we opened up Inferno, which was a gay bar, and kept that until February of 1999, in which we sold the majority of the interest of K-Kal, which was a corporation of Kevin Kelly, Kay Kelly, sold the majority of that, and that became Spearmint Rhino.

Q And so you've owned it since then?

I don't think it's actively -- it's definitely not actively in existence, Α and I don't even know that anyone has maintained its corporate status.

3 4

Q But was it active in the year 2005?

5

Α Yes, it was.

6

Q And how often would meetings be held in those days?

7

Monthly. Α

8

Q And, Mr. Kelly, in the course of that organization being active, did you come to learn about some problems -- and I'm not trying to specify any

10

9

particular one -- but some problems that were basically uniform throughout the

11

industry?

Α Yes.

Α

12 13

Okay. And what were the nature of the things that the members Q

We had to deal with entertainers, dancers who were prostitutes

14

of this organization sort of all had to deal with?

15

16

going from club to club. If a girl was terminated from our club, there was no

17

mechanism to alert the owners of any other club that we were getting rid of one problem, and possibly they were picking up the problem.

18 19

We had issues of owners creating paying of the cabdrivers, and that

20

created a lot of up and down paying. One day it might be \$10, the next day it

21

would be \$20, and it would go up and down repeatedly. We were accused of assisting diversion by --

22 23

Q What is diversion?

24

25

If a patron gets in a cab and says, please take me to Palomino or Α take me to Spearmint Rhino, and the cabdriver because they might make more

money at some other club, Treasures or Sapphires, the driver would tell the customer that the club that they wanted to go to either burnt down or that the dancers were ugly or that there was a shooting or something of that nature causing that patron to say, no, take me to where you recommend, and they might go to the other club and get a higher bounty.

- Q From a standpoint of uniformity, are cabs and the support of cabdrivers -- or let's put it this way -- the cooperation of cabdrivers important to the gentleman's club industry?
  - A Very important.
  - Q In what way?
- A It's a form of advertising. We, you know, we have a budget for billboards and radio spots and TV commercials at the hotels. We have something going on with Cox. All of that is critical to getting our name out, to brand our product, and cabdrivers were a valuable tool in doing that. They would -- if the playing field were equal, we always felt that we could hold our own, and so it was something that you always tried to do to keep the cabdrivers in good company with us.
- Q And did there come a point in time when doing that became problematic because of the practices of some clubs?
  - A Absolutely.
  - Q In what way?
- A One of the purposes of the organization was to try and bring uniformity, continuity to the paying of cabdrivers and limo drivers, and there were drivers -- their owners were paying drivers more than what they were agreed to pay, or that they would have almost like frequent flyer miles. If you

bring so many people, we'll give you extra bonus coupons which can be redeemed in the back door.

And so we attempted to come up with an agreement among the owners that would bring uniformity to the paying of the drivers.

- Q Did there come a point in time when there was at least for a nanosecond or a minute or maybe a day or a week, an agreement among the clubs where everybody would pay the same amount of money?
  - A Yes.
  - Q Was the Palomino Club in any way exempt from that?
  - A Yes.
  - Q In what way?
- A We agreed that because the Palomino Club was outside of the industry, I mean, we have Highland and we have Industrial, which are certainly where the bulk of the major clubs are, and Palomino being in North Las Vegas and being totally nude, they either paid 5- or \$10 more than what the other clubs were paying.
  - Q And was the Palomino a member of that organization?
  - A Yes, it was.
- Q So was the Palomino at least permitted by the other members of that organization to do that?
  - A Yes, they were.
- Q Okay. And when I say the Palomino, at that time who was the owner of the Palomino?
  - A Louie.
  - Q Louie.