1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2			
3			
4	LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.	Electronically Filed	
5	Appellant,	Electronically Filed CASE NO. 5420 Jul 06 2011 02:24 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman	
6	vs.	Clerk of Supreme Court	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA		
8	Respondent.		
9]	
10	LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION		
11	OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF (First Request)		
12	COMES NOW Appellant, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr., (hereinafter "Hidalgo Jr.") by and		
13	through his counsel, Dominic P. Gentile, Esq., of the law firm of Gordon Silver, and files this		
14	Motion for Extension of Time to file Reply Brief based upon NRAP 31(a)(1) and NRAP 26(d).		
15	NRAP 26(d) states, in pertinent part, that "time provided in any of theses rules within		
16	which an act shall be done, may be extended or shortened by order of the court or a justice		
17	thereof upon good cause shown. NRAP 26(d). Further, NRAP 31(a)(1) states, in pertinent part,		
18	that "[a]pplications for extensions of time beyond that which the parties are permitted to stipulate		
19	will be considered only on motion for good cause clearly shown, or ex parte in cases of		
20	extreme and unforeseeable emergency." NRAP 31(a)(1).		
21	This Motion is made and based on the following:		
22	1. As noted by the State in its Request for an Extension of Time to File an		
23	Answering Brief, the matters set forth in Mr. Hidaglo Jr.'s Appeal "raised lega[1] and		
24	fact[ual]issues that were very complex." (See page three of the State's Motion for		
25	Enlargment of Time (Third Request) attached hereto as Exhibit 1). Thus, briefing these		
26	complex issues requires a significant amount of legal research and analysis. As the State		
27	filed a fifty-one page Answering Brief addressing each of Mr. Hidalgo Jr.'s arguments,		
28	counsel for Mr. Hidalgo Jr. requires more time to competently reply to each of the State's		
er iw	1 of	3	

Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law Ninth Floor 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555

101371-002/1256402.doc

assertions.

Additionally, counsel for Appellant Luis Hidalgo Jr., has been involved in 2. numerous hearings and depositions in the matter of OB1 v. Mark James, Clark County District Court Case No. A-09-605985-B over the past three months; additionally, there are three depositions scheduled for July 11 and 12, 2011.

Counsel for Appellant currently has a trial scheduled to commence on Tuesday, 3. 6 July 26, 2011, in the matter of State of Nevada v. Melody McLallen, District Court Case No. 7 C257842, Department VII, Clark County, Nevada. The Defendant is charged with driving 8 and/or being in actual physical control while under the influence of intoxicating liquor and/or a 9 controlled substance and/or a prohibited substance in blood or urine causing death and/or 10 substantial bodily harm; leaving the scene of an accident; and under the influence of controlled 11 substance; said trial is anticipated to last approximately five (5) to seven (7) days. 12

13

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6. This motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

Counsel asks this Court to grant an additional 60 days from July 8, 2011, within 7. 14 which to file Appellant's Opening Brief. 15

Dated this $\underline{\varphi}$ day of July, 2011.

GORDON SILVER

18 19 20 DOMINIC P. GENTILE Nevada Bar No. 1923 21 PAOLA M. ARMENI Nevada Bar No. 8357 22 MARGARET W. LAMBROSE Nevada Bar No. 11626 23 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 24 (702) 796-5555 Attorneys for Appellant 25 26 27 28 2 of 3

Attorneys At Law Ninth Floor 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555

Gordon Silver

101371-002/1256402.doc

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	The undersigned, an employee of Gordon Silver, hereby certifies that on the 4 day of
3	July, 2011, she served a copy of the LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION
4	OF TIME TO REPLY BRIEF, by Electronic Service, in accordance with the Master Service List
5	as follows:
6	Nancy A. Becker
7	Chief Deputy District Attorney Regional Justice Center
8	200 Lewis Avenue
9	Las Vegas, NV 89155
10	Jale a paanser
11	ADELE L. JOHANSEN an employee of
12	GORDON SILVER
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Gordon Silver Attorneys At Law Ninth Floor 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555	101371-002/1256402.doc 3 of 3

EXHIBIT "1"

1			
2	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
3			
4	Electronically Filed Jun 06 2011 10:30 a.m.		
5	Tracie K. Lindeman		
6	LUIS A. HIDALGO, III.) Case No. 542 here of Supreme Court		
7	Appellant,		
8	V. }		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Respondent 5		
11	MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME		
12	(Third Request)		
13	COMES NOW the State of Nevada, by DAVID ROGER, Clark County District		
14	Attorney, through his deputy, NANCY A. BECKER, and moves this Court for an		
15	enlargement of time of thirty (30) days from June 6, 2011, making said brief due July 6,		
16	2011. This motion is based on the following memorandum and all papers and pleadings on		
17	file herein.		
18	Dated June 6, 2011.		
19	DAVID ROGER		
20	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 002781		
21			
22	BY /s/ Nancy A. Becker NANCY A. BECKER		
23	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #000145		
24	Office of the Clark County District Attorney		
25	200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212		
26	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2750		
27			
28			
	Docket 54272 Document 2011-16452		

MEMORANDUM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

I, NANCY A. BECKER, am the supervising attorney in the above-captioned case. The State is requesting a thirty (30) day extension of time in which to file its Answering Brief under Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure (NRAP) 31(b)(3). Two previous extensions have been requested. Under NRAP 26(b), this Court for good cause shown can enlarge the time prescribed by the NRAP for doing any act, or may permit an act to be done after the expiration of such time.

8 This is an appeal from final judgment in a multi-defendant Murder case. Appellant 9 filed a Notice of Appeal on August 3, 2009. Appellant's Opening Brief was initially due on 10 January 29, 2010. On December 24, 2009, Appellant filed his first Motion for Extension of 11 Time which sought an extension of ninety (90) days and was granted on December 29, 2009. 12 Appellant subsequently filed five (5) additional Motions for Extension of time, all of which 13 were granted. The Clark County District Attorney's Office received Appellant's Opening 14 Brief on February 4, 2011. The State's Answering Brief was due on or before March 7, 15 2011. The State requested an extension of sixty (60) days, which was granted. The State 16 subsequently requested a second extension of thirty (30) days, which was granted.

17 As good cause for this third extension, the State cites the recent completion of its 18 Answering Brief responding to the appeal of Appellant's co-defendant, Luis Hidalgo, Jr., the 19 large size of the record, number of pages in the Opening Brief, the number and complexity 20 of issues raised, and the contemporaneous pendency of Appellant's co-defendants' appellate 21 proceedings. The pleadings and appendices in this action are extensive. The fifty-one (51) 22 page Opening Brief raises five (5) issues of exceeding complexity requiring an extensive 23 review of jurisprudence from inside and outside Nevada. Because this is Appellant's direct 24 appeal following his conviction, a detailed review of the record is required. Appellant has 25 submitted an eleven (11) volume appendix consisting of approximately twenty-four hundred 26 (2400) pages. Additionally, this is a multi-defendant case in which Appellant's co-defendant 27 has also filed a fifty-nine (59) page Opening Brief and submitted a twenty-five (25) volume 28 appendix consisting of over four thousand (4000) pages. As noted, the State has recently

> 2 I:\APPELLATE\WPDOCS\SECRETARY\MOTIONS\EXTEND\HIDALGO, LUIS A., III, 54272, RESP'S 3RD EXT.MTN. TO 7-6-11..DOC

completed its drafting of the Answering Brief for the co-defendant, and will timely file that	
brief without further extensions. While some of the legal issues raised are similar,	
Appellant's co-defendant raised legally and factually unrelated issues that were very	
complex. Appellant's co-defendant's brief is nearly due at the same time, June 9, 2011.	
The State respectfully moves for an enlargement of time of thirty (30) days, making	
its brief due on July 6, 2011. This will give the State sufficient time to thoroughly review the	
record so that it can properly respond to the material in Appellant's Opening Brief.	
DATED this 6th day of June, 2011.	
Respectfully submitted,	
DAVID ROGER,	
Clark County District Attorney	
BY /s/ Nancy A. Becker NANCY A. BECKER	
Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #000145	
Office of the Clark County District Attorney	
Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue	
Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212	
(702) 671-2750	
3 I:\appellate\wpdocs\secretary\motions\extend\hidalgo, luis a., III, 54272, Resp's 3rd ext.mtn. to 7-6-11doc	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the	
3	Nevada Supreme Court on June 6, 2011. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall	
4	be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:	
5		
6	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Nevada Attorney General	
7	JOHN L. ARRASCADA, ESQ. CHRISTINE ARRASCADA ARAMINI, ESQ.	
8	CHRISTINE ARRASCADA ARAMINI, ESQ. Counsels for Appellant	
9	NANCY A. BECKER	
10	Deputy District Attorney	
11		
12	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct	
13	copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:	
14	CHRISTOPHER W. ADAMS, ESQ.	
15	Admitted Pro Hac Vice 102 Broad Street, Ste. C	
16	P.O. Box 561 Charleston, South Carolina 29402-0561	
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21	BY <u>/s/ eileen davis</u> Employee, District Attorney's Office	
21		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27	NAB/Patrick Burns/cd	
28		
	4	
	I:\APPELLATE\WPDOCS\SECRETARY\MOTIONS\EXTEND\HIDALGO, LUIS A., III, 54272, RESP'S 3RD EXT.MTN. TO 7-6-11DOC	