IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

VS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

TRAOF K. REME COURT

2010

REGARDING HIDALGO III.'s Counsel's good cause for an extension of time:

untimely death of his father and law partner LeRoy Arrascada on December 15th.

Counsel for Hidalgo III., has suffered through multiple family tragedies since the

tomevs At La Ninth Floor

101371-002/MOTION FOR EXTENSION SECOND REQUEST

1 of 4

10-10443

LUIS A, HIDALGO, JR.

Appellant,

THE STATE OF NEVADA

Respondent.

CASE NO. 54209

and

CASE NO. 54272 / F E D

APR 2 1 2010

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.'S AND LUIS HILDALGO. III'S JOINT MO SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF

COMES NOW Appellants, Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr., (hereinafter "Hidalgo Jr.") by and through his counsel, Dominic P. Gentile, Esq., of the law firm of Gordon Silver, and Luis A. Hidalgo, III., (hereinafter "Hidalgo III.") by and through his counsel, John L. Arrascada, Esq., of law firm of Arrascada & Arrascada Ltd., and jointly file this Motion for Extension of Time to file Opening Brief based upon NRAP 31(a)(1) and NRAP 26(d).

NRAP 26d(d) states, in pertinent part, that "time provided in any of theses rules within which an act shall be done, may be extended or shortened . . . by order of the court or a justice thereof upon good cause shown. NRAP 26(d). Further, NRAP 31(a)(1) states, in pertinent part, that "[a]pplications for extensions of time beyond that which the parties are permitted to stipulate ... will be considered only on motion for good cause clearly shown, or ex parte in cases of extreme and unforeseeable emergency." NRAP 31(a)(1).

Motion is made and based on the following:

- 2. On January 30, 2010, Counsel and his wife, who was five (5) months pregnant, were told by their Doctor that the baby had died in the womb due to a heart defect.
- 3. On February 3, 2010 Counsel's wife underwent a surgical procedure to remove the five (5) month deceased baby.
- 4 On March 22, 2010 Counsel had to rush his wife to the Emergency Room where she subsequently had an emergency removal of Counsel's wife's gall bladder.
- 5. Throughout this time counsel was the sole care provider for his three (3) year old daughter. Although counsel was able to perform some work, he was unable to adequately and competently perform his appellate services for Hidalgo III based on the enormity of the transcript and complexity of the issues.
- 6. Because of the above counsel has had to terminate meetings with his client and must go over the brief, when finished, and the issues being presented.

REGARDING HIDALGO Jr. Counsel's good cause for an extension of time:

- 1. Counsel for Appellant Luis Hidalgo Jr., has traveled out of the State to Chicago, Illinois, numerous times on business for other clients over the past three months being in Chicago one to two weeks at a time. Counsel departed Las Vegas to travel to Chicago on Monday, April 19, 2010 and will not return until late the late evening of Sunday, April 25, 2010.
- 2. The jury trial in the Hidalgo case lasted for three weeks and the record contains fifteen (15) volumes with approximately 3359 pages.
- 3. Appellant is currently incarcerated at the Southern Desert Correction facility. Counsel had an appointment scheduled for April 16, 2010 to visit with Appellant, but due to an emergency had to cancel said appointment. An appointment is now scheduled for Friday, April 30, 2010, to discuss the issues to be presented in the appeal.

COUNSELS' DISCUSSION REGARDING THE EXTENSION FOR TIME TO FILE THEIR OPENING BRIEFS WITH THE STATE AND REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME

1. Counsel for Hidalgo, Jr. and Hidalgo, III. have spoken with Chief Deputy District Attorney Nancy A. Becker and she has advised that the District Attorney's Office has no

1	intention of filing an opposition to this Motion.
2	2. This motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
3	3. Counsel asks this Court to grant an additional 60 days from April 29, 2010, within
4	which to file Appellant's Opening Brief.
5	4. Further counsel will be motioning this court in the near future regarding
6	consolidation on common issues between both appellants.
7	<u>AFFIRMATION</u>
8	Pursuant to NRS 239B.030
9	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the foregoing document(s) <u>DO NOT</u> contain the
10	social security number of any person.
11	Dated this 16 th day of April, 2010.
12	GORDON SILVER
13	17/1-6
14	DOMINIC P. GENTILE
15	Nevada Bar No. 1923 3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy., 9th Floor
16	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 796-5555
17	Attorney for Appellant Luis A. Hidalgo, Jr.
18	ARRASCADA & ARRASCADA LTD.
19	
20	OHN L. ARRASCADA
21	Nevada Bar No. 4517 145 Ryland St.
22	Reno, Nevada 89501 (775) 329-1118
23	Attorney for Appellant Luis A. Hidalgo, III.
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an employee of Arrascada & Arrascada Ltd, hereby certifies that on the 2 day of April, 2010, she served a copy of the LUIS A. HIDALGO, JR.'S AND LUIS A HIDALGO, III'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPENING BRIEF, by facsimile, and by placing said copy in an envelope, postage fully prepaid, in the U.S. Mail at Reno, Nevada, said envelope addressed to:

Nancy A. Becker Chief Deputy District Attorney Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89155

BARBARA J. GREEN, an employee of ARRASCADA & ARRASCADA LTD.

Gordon Silver
Attorneys At Law
Ninth Floor
3960 Howard Hughes Pkwy