THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MODRE, THOMAS AKERS JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, AND ROY MC DOWELI DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT CONTINUED TO: APPEARANCES - HEARING 2/25/85 @ 9:00 AM MINUTE ORDER (Arraignment) 2/20/B5 COURT ORDERED, matter continued to Monday for DONALD M. MOSLE ARRAIGNMENT CONT'I DEPT. XIV arraignment. L. BAZAR, CLERK NOT REPORTED 2-25-85 ARRAIGNMENT CONTINUED 5-20-85 10 A.M. DONALD M. MOSLEY Defendant Moore present in custody with Murray JURY TRIAL Posin, Esq. Defendant Akers present on bail DEPT. XIV with Dave Phillips, Esq. Defendant Luckett D. SEATON, DDA 5-15-85 9:30 A. present in custody with William Terry, Esq. M. POSIN, ESQ. CALENDAR CALL Defendant Walsh present in custody with Gerald (Moore) (All Defts) D. PHILLIPS, ESQ Waite, Esq. Defendant McDowell present in custody with Robert Handfuss, Esq. Defendant (Akers) W. TERRY, ESQ. Flanagan present in custody with Craig Creel, DPD. -State advised there are five case number (Luckett) from Justice Court. State desires to simply G. WAITE, ESQ. have one case against all defendants. State (Walsh) R. HANDFUSS, ESQ has prepared an information with seven counts listed. Each of the seven counts independently (McDowell) C. CREEL, DPD list each defendant associated with each count. (Flanagan) This one information reflects the entirety of K. HENKEL, CLERK all counts. At this time State would like to S. THIELMAN, RPTR file in open court that information and let the Court decide what case number to go on it. State suggested that since there is one number for Flanagan and one number for the other five defendants, perhaps the two numbers can be incorporated into this information. No objection by all counsel. COURT ORDERED, that all other cases be merged into this case C69269 Defendant Moore arraigned and entered a plea of not guilty to Counts I, II, III, IV, V, VI & VII Deft. Akers arraigned and entered a plea of not guilty to Counts III; IV, VI & VII.
Deft. Luckett arraigned and entered a plea of not guilty to Counts III, IV, VI & VII. Deft. Walsh arraigned and entered a plea of not guilty to Counts III, IV, V/ VI & VII. Deft. McDowell arraigned and entered a plea of not guilty to Counts I, II, III, IV, V, VI & VII. Deft. Flanagan arraigned and entered a plea of not guilty to Counts I, II, III, IV, V, VI & VIII. Deft. Moore advised his legal name is Randolph Smith. COURT ORDERED, the information , by interlination, is to reflect the aka of Randolp Smith. All counsel are confirmed to represent their clients in District Court. CUSTODY BOND (Akers)

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

والمراب القرائلة المتعالية المتعالية المتعالية المتعالية والمراد المتعالية والمتعالية ومراد المتعالية والمتعارية

Electronically Filed Sep 14 2009 04:17 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MOORE, THOMAS AKERS, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, AND ROY MC DOWELL, DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN

,	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN	AND ROLLING BOWERD,
DATE JUDGE OFFICERS OF		
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
4/01/85	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR	
DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT, XIV	DEFENDANT (Walsh) Defendant Walsh present in custody with George	
D. BARKER, DDA	Foley, Esq., who advised he appeared on behalf	
G. FOLEY, ESQ.	of Gerald Waite, Esq. Mr. Waite is retiring	
X. GONZALES, ESQ		
L. BAZAR, CLERK S.THIELMAN, RPTR	draw as counsel for the defendant. Court inquired of Xavier Gonzales, Esq., if he could	
Ottinize Mary Mill	confirm as counsel for the defendant. Mr.	
	Gonzales se confirmed, COURT ORDERED, motion	
	to withdraw is granted. Court advised Mr. Gonzales of trial date. CUSTODY	
	donzales of tital date: Costobi	
	·	:
		4
	_	•
4/05/85	MINUTE ORDER	4/10/85 @ 9:00 AM
	Pursuant to request of counsel, COURT ORDERED,	
DEPT. XIV	hearing on Writ of Habeas Corpus set on April 8. 1985 at 9:00 A.M. is hereby vacated and	SET TIME CERTAIN:
L. BAZAR, CLERK   D. FOLEY, LAW	will be heard on Wednesday, April 10, 1985	ARGUMENT ON WRIT
CLERK	at 9:00 A.M. to set Argument on Writ re defenda	nt
	Luckett.	
	to the second	
<u></u>	SET TIME CERTAIN: ARGUMENT ON WRIT	
4/10/85	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR	5/08/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSL / DEPT. XIV	DEFENDANT WALSH (4/15/85 - Writ-Walsh) Deft: Akers neither present nor represented by	ARGUMENT ON WRITS
D. SEATON, DDA	D. Phillips; deft. Flanagan present in custody	(All defendants)
D. LIPPIS, DPD	with Debbie Lippis, DPD; deft. Moore present in	<b>*</b>
(Flanagan) M. POSIN, ESQ.	custody with Murray Posin, Esq.; defendant	
(Moore)	Luckett present in custody with William Terry, Esq.; defendant McDowell present in custody wit	h h
W. TERRY, ESQ.	Robert Handfuss, Esq., and defendant Walsh	[
(Luckett)	present in custody with Xavier Gonzales, Esq.	***
G. KELESIS, ESQ.	Mr. Gonzales advised he wished to withdraw as counsel for defendant Walsh as his contract doe	
(Walsh)	not cover capital offenses. George Kelesis, Esq	
	present and Court inquired of him if he was	
(McDowell) L. BAZAR, CLERK	willing confirm as counsel for defendant Walsh, Mr. Kelesis agreed. COURT ORDERED, motion to	
	withdraw is granted and Mr. Kelesis is attorney	
	of record for defendant Walsh. Court advised	1
	that Mr. Seaton had contacted him re consolida-	
	tion of writs. Two are presently filed and the Court suggested all defense counsel's briefs be	
\	submitted by April 24, 1985 and Mr. Seaton to	[
	respond on May 1, 1985. COURT ORDERED, Argumen	<b>†</b> ,
· ·	on Writ as to defendant Walsh presently set on April 15, 1985 is vacated, matter is continued	
	to May 8, 1985 for Argument on Writs as to all	
	defendants. CUSTODY	
	BOND (AKERS)	]
		<u> </u>

CASE NO	THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MO	OORE. THOMAS AKERS.
DATE, JUDGE	JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN	AND ROY MC DOWELL,
OFFICERS OF		CONTINUED TO:
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PSYCHIA-	5/08/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY	TRIST FOR EXAMINATION OF DEFENDANT (ARGUMENTS	3700703 e 9.00 AM
EPT. XIV	ON WRITS CO-DEFT. 5/08/85) C.C. 5/15/85	STATUS CHECK
). SEATON, DDA 1. POSIN, ESQ.	JT 5/20/85	RE TRIAL SETTING
(Moore)	Defendant Moore present in custody with counsel	(All Defendants)
BAZAR, CLERK	Murray Posin, who requested two psychiatrists be appointed. Court advised it had reviewed	5/13/85 0 9:00 AM
. THIELMAN, RPTR	the matter and it was not satisfied that there	ARGUMENT ON WRITS
	is a reasonable doubt as to the competency of	(All-Defendants)
1	the defendant. COURT ORDERED, with that under- standing, will acquiece to the motion that is	
	made, in deference to the defendant, in order	•
i	to insure a fair trial, but with the understand	ł
	ing that the motion being granted shall in no. way delay the proceeding. Nor should it require	
	the County or the State to undergo an expense	
	that is not warranted, therefore, if the defende	nt
	is found competent for trial it is possible he would have to pay for the costs of the psychiat	ists.
	COURT ORDERED, matter is set on Wednesday for	
	Status Check, do have matter calendared writs, will tentatively set them on a week from today.	· · · · · · · · · · · ·
	O.R.	
5/08/85	ARGUMENTS ON WRITS (All Defts.)	6/26/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Defendant Flanagan present in custody with	0/20/03 € 3.00 701
DEPT. XIV	Jackie Naylor, DPD. Defendant Walsh present	STATUS CHECK: RE
D. SEATON, DDA J. NAYLOR, DPD	in custody with George Kelesis, Esq. Defendant   Moore, Luckett and McDowell present without	B IRIAL SEILING
(Flanagan)	benefit of counsel. Defendant Akers neither	
3. KELESIS, ESQ.	present nor represented by counsel.	1
(Walsh) L. BAZAR, CLERK	State advised this matter should have been calendared for Status Check re Trial Setting	1
S. THIELMAN, RPTK		
,	had been vacated and reset on Monday. Court	•
	concurred. State advised the reason they are requesting a continuance is the continued absen	ce
	of Dr. Green, the Coroner, who has suffered a	
	heart attack. After contacting the Coroner's	
	Office he was advised he would be back to work sometime in July. State further advised they	İ
	had called all counsel and they had agreed to	
	continue this matter for status check in late June, at which time, they could advise when	<u></u>
	Dr. Green would be available for trial. The	
	stipulation has been circulated among the attor	neys,
	believe Mr. Kelesis is the only one who did not sign it. Mr. Kelesis advised he would sign the	
	stipulation. State advised that all counsel ar	e
	laware that are involved that the argument on	
	writs are set for next Monday as to defendants Walsh, Luckett and Akers only. COURT ORDERED,	
<u> </u>	trial data is vacated; matter is continued to	
	June 26, 1985 for Status Check re Irial Setting	irv ciistony
	Court will set aside the three-week criminal ju trial stack MINUTES — CRIMINAL of August 1	.2, 1985. BOND (Ake
	September 23rd trial_stack_is_hereby_reserved_f	or_this_matter

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MOORE, THOMAS AKERS,

THIE JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND
DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN

S. THIELMAN, RPTR

•	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN	
DATE, JUDGE		
OFFICERS OF		CONTINUED TO:
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
5/10/85	MINUTE ORDER	5/20/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M MOSLEY	Having received a telephone call from William	
DEPT. XIV	Smith, Esq., counsel for defendant Luckett,	ARGUMENT ON WRITS
<ol><li>FOLEY, LAW</li></ol>	advising that he has been ordered by a Federal	(Luckett, Walsh
CLERK	Court judge in Tucson, Arizona to complete a	and Akers)
L. BAZAR, CLERK	trial, COURT ORDERED, Argument on Writs set for	2.
	May 13, 1985 at 9:00 A.M. is hereby vacated 🕡	, ř. c
	and reset on May 20, 1985 at 9:00 A.M.	
	All counsel have been so advised by the law	
	clork.	E /22 / DE A D+00 AM
/13/85	DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE	5/22/85 @ 9:00 AM
ONALD M. MOSLEY	Defendant Walsh present in custody with counsel	DEPTIC MODION IN
EPT. XIV	George Kelesis, who advised he and Mr. Seaton	DEFT'S MOTION IN
). SEATON, DDA	had resolved some of the motions. Re the Defen	LIMINE
. KELESIS, ESQ.	dant's Motion in Limine; it would probably w	PERMIC MONTON FOR
[Walsh]	depend on the Court's ruling on the writs, it	DEFT'S MOTION FOR
BAZAR, CLERK	may be repetitive and would be resolved at that	SEVERANCE
3. THIELMAN, RPTR	time. Re the Defendant's Motion for Severance;	"
	Mr. Seaton would like some time to respond to	
<del></del>	the motion in writing. Ro the Defendant's Moti	<del>pn</del>
	for Discover, they had agreed on points A-E;	
	defendant would not receive those documents or	
	information; re points F-H he would be entitled	
	to any documents or memoranda they have.	
	Re the Defendant's Motionfor Appointment of	
	Psychiatrists, Mr. Seaton has no objection.	
	Re the Defendant's Motion to File Additional	
	Motions, Mr. Seaton has no objection.	
	State concurred with Mr. Kelesis' representation	ns
	has requested in reward to the motion for appoin	nt
	ment of psychiatrists that a psychiatrist be	1
	lannointed rather that Mary Glovinsky as he is	
	la nevehòlogist. COHRT ORDERED, the next DSYCR1	atrist
	on the list will be appointed to examine the	<b>!</b>
	defendant. FURTHER ORDERED, the Court Will app	rove
	motion to file additional motions, but this in	1
	no way condones the filing of motions that woul	La -
	delay the trial. FURTHER ORDERED, motion for	
-	discovery granted pursuant to stipulations of	
	counsel. Matter continued to May 22, 1985 at	
	9:00 A.M. for Defendant's Motion in Limine and	
	Defendant's Motion for Severance. CUSTODY	
	DOZUMACI D MATERIAL DE LA CONTRACTOR DE	
•		l
	,	ļ
•		i
•		i
	•	l
5-20-85	ARGUMENT ON WRITE	5-22-85 @ 9 A.M.
	Defendant Luckett present in custody with William Smith,	ARGUNENT ON WRITS
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Esq. Defendant Walsh present in custody with George	
DEPT XIV	Kelesis, Esq. Defendant Akars present in custody with	I
R. BLOXHAM, DDA	Charles Waterman, Esc. Court adviced counsel that Mr.	I
W. SMITH, ESQ.		Į.
(Luckett)	Seaton is in Carson City and did not notify this court. Court inquires if Mr. Seaton notified any of the counsel.	l .
G. KELESIS, ESQ.		I
(Walsh)	Counsel were not notified. COURT ORDERED, matter in	ŀ
C. WATERMAN, ESQ.	continued and State to contact the court if Mr. Seaton	<u> </u>
(Akers)	cannot be present. Defendante presence are waived.	
K. HENKEL, CLERK	ALC ACE OF	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR	CUSTODY	

CUSTODY

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MOORE, THOMAS AKERS,
JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, and
TITLE DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN

CASE NO. C69269	JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, F	ROY MC DOWELL, and
DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
5/24/85 JUDGE MOSLEY DEPT. XIV	ARGUMENTS ON WRITS (ALL DEFENDANTS) DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE (WALSH) DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE (WALSH) State represented by Dan Seaton, DDA.	6/26/85 @ 9 AM DEFENDANT'S MTN IN LIMINE(WALSH)
S. COOMBES, CLERK	Deft. Luckett present with William Smith. Deft. Walsh present with Mark Bailus for George Kelesis. Deft. Akers not present and represented by	DEFENDANT'S MTN FOR SEVERANCE (WALSH)
	Charles Waterman who moved to waive Defendant's presence.  The Court entertained brief oral arguments on the Writs. The Court stated that it was its decision that the lower court's decision was correct and there was sufficient evidence to bind over with the exception of Mr. Waterman's client who did not exercise sufficient control over the weapon. Therefore, COURT ORDERED, Writ is granted as to Deft. Akers on COUNTS.  Wrand VII as to the enhancement; denied as to the rest.  Mr. Smith requested additional specific finding for the purpose of appealing the deniel of the Writ and he requested a copy of today's proceedings. Opposition by Mr. Seaton.  COURT ORDERED, additional expense of transcript was not warranted; motion denied. Upon inquire	Ė
<u></u>	of counsel, COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Motion In Limine and Motion For Severance will be heard on 6/26/85 at which time trial dates will be set and the Court will hear any additional motions filed.  CUSTODY	
6-26-85 DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV D. SEATON, DDA	STATUS CHECK AND/OR TRIAL SETTING (ALL DEFTS) DEFENDANT WALSH'S MOTION IN LIMINE DEFENDANT WALSH'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE Defendants present and represented by respective counsel	8-7-85 @ 9 A.M. ARGUMENT: MOTION II LIMINE OR
T. JACKSON, DPD (Flanagan) M. POSIN, ESQ. (Moore) W. SMITH, ESQ.	as noted. Court advised as to the motion in limine for Mr. Kulwin or Mr. Kelesis to set forth in points and authorities to fit statements taken and request the State to respond and will then proceed with the matter. COURT ORDERED, as to the motion to sever finds there is	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
(Luckset) M. KULWIN, ESQ. (Walsh) C. WATERMAN, ESQ. (Akers)	insufficient showing of prejudies to the deft, therefore, motion is denied. With the number of counsel and defts, Court requested any motion to be brought as soon as possible. Arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, briefing schedule set, two weeks - 7-10-85, two weeks - 7-24-85.	9/23/85 @ 10:00 AM JURY TRIAL
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ. (McDowell) K. HENKEL, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR	and matter set for argument.  BOND (AKERS)  CUSTODY (Remainin  Defts)	9/18/85 @.9:30 AM B CALENDAR CALL

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MOORE, THOMAS AKERS, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL AND DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN

DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF	:	CONTINUED TO
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
6/28/85	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR	8/07/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Defendant Luckett present in custody with William Smith, Mr. Smith advised the defendant has a motion for severan	Esq.
DEPT. XIV	Mr. Smith advised the derendent has a motion for Beverant and a motion in limine which they would like to have	FOR SEVERANCE
D. SEATON, DDA	calendared. COURT ORDERED, they will be placed on	FOR SEVERGENCE
W. SMITH, ESQ. (Luckett)	calendared. Cooki okpekes, they will be placed on calendar on August 7, 1985 for argument. Briefing	DEFENDANT'S MOTION
L. BAZAR, CLERK	schedule: Mr.: Smith to file opening brief by July 10.	IN LIMINE
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	1985; State to file responding brief on July 24, 1985.	(Luckett)
J. 1112, J. 1111	Upon inquiry of the Court, Mr. Smith made an ex-parte	
	offer for the appointment of an investigator: State	
'	concurred that one would be necessary. COURT SO ORDERED	•
	Court suggested counsel file an affidavit sealed, if nec	-
	essary indicating his need. Mr. Smith voiced a concern	
	about a continuance necessitated by a last minute disclo	iure
	of a "turn-coat" witness. State objected and advised they would be given notice. Court advised Mr. Smith tha	
	it was assuming the State, if it were to obtain an addi-	-
	tional witness, would be filing a motion to endorse name	3
	prior to the trial. State concurred. CUSTODY	
8/05/85	CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL	8/07/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Defendant Flanagan present in custody with Deborah	
DEPT. XIV	Lippis, DPD, and Craig Creel, DPD. Randy Pike, Esq.	CONFIRMATION OF COUNSE
R. BLOXHAM, DDA	present and advised he had been contacted by Judge	
D. LIPPIS, DPD	Shearing re representing the defendant on this case.	
C. CREEL, DPD	Request matter be continued to Wednesday to look over	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	the case. Both Ms. Lippis and Mr. Creel advised there was no opposition to Mr. Pike substituting in as counsel	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	of record. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to Wednes	-
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	day for confirmation of counsel. CUSTODY	
8/07/85	ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT WALSH'S MOTION IN LIMINE OR EVIDEN-	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	TIARY HEARING	
DEPT. XIV	DEFENDANT LUCKETT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE & MOTION IN	
M. HARMON, DDA	LIMINE	
M. COOPER, DPD	CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (FLANAGAN)	
(Flanagan)	Defendant Flanagan present in custody with Marcus Cooper	,
R. PIKE, ESQ.	DPD. Defendant Luckett present in custody with William	
W. SMITH, ESQ.	Smith, Esq. Defendant Walsh present in custody with Michael Kulwin, Esq. Randall Pike, Esq., present.	
(Luckett) M. KULWIN, ESQ.	Court inquired if Mr. Pike would be confirming as counse	1
(Walsh)	for defendant Flanagan. Mr. Pike advised he was prepare	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	to confirm if the Court wished to appoint him. Mr. Coop	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	advised he had no objection to Mr. Pike substituting in	
	as counsel. COURT SO ORDERED. Court advised it was	
•	inclined to grant the request for evidentiary hearing.	
•	Upon representations of counsel with regard to probable	
	setting for the hearing, COURT ORDERED, all counsel for all defendants to meet with the Court in chambers to	
•	discuss and consult the calendar.	
Not Reported	2:00 P.H.	8/30/85 @ 1:30 P.M.
L. BAZAR, CLERK	Appearances by counsel as noted above. Robert Handfuss,	l
	Esq., counsel for defendant McDowell, present. Charles	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
	Waterman, Esq., counsel for defendant Akers, present.	(All Defendants)
	Murray Posin, Esq., counsel for defendant Moore, present	IN LIMINE
	Following representations of counsel, COURT ORDERED, matter is set on August 30, 1985 at 1:30 P.M. for	IN PILITUE
	Evidentiary Hearing; Motions in Limine and Motion to	DEFT. LUCKETT'S MOTIO
	Sever.	FOR SEVERANCE
		<u>L</u>
	<del></del>	

TITLE.

# MINUTES — CRIMINAL

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MOORE, THOMAS AKERS, JOHNNY
RAY LUCKETT, NICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL AND DALE EDWARD

OATE, JUDGE
OFFICERS OF
COURT PRESENT

APPEARANCES — HEARING
COURT PRESENT

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. RANDOLPH MOORE, THOMAS AKERS, JOHNNY
RAY LUCKETT, NICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL AND DALE EDWARD

FLANAGAN

OATE, JUDGE
OFFICERS OF
COURT PRESENT

APPEARANCES — HEARING
CONTINUED TO:

CONTINUED TO: APPEARANCES - HEARING COURT PRESENT DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF TRIAL FROM CO-DEFEN 8/30/85 @ 1:30 P.M. 8/12/85 DONALD M. MOSLEY DANTS DEFT'S MOTION FOR Defendant McDowell present in custody without benefit of DEPT. XIV SEVERANCE OF TRIAL FRO M. HARMON, DDA counsel, Robert Handfuss. Defendant advised he had spoken to Mr. Handfuss last week. Mr. Harmon inquired CO-DEFENDANTS L. BAZAR, CLERK (McDowell) if it might be appropriate to continue the matter until S. THIELMAN RPTR. the date the court ordered the other matters be calendared. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to August 30, 1985 with other matters. CUSTODY 4:57 P.M. - Court clerk notified Mr. Handfuss' office of continuance time and date. 8/29/85 CHANGE OF PLEA (Closed hearing) State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA and Dan Seaton, DONALD M. MOSLEY DDA. Defendant Apers present with counsel Charles DEPT. XIV D. SEATON, DDA Waterman, Esq. Negotiations: Count VI of the Informa-M. HARMON, DDA tion will be reduced to Voluntary Manslaughter (F); C. WATERMAN, ESQ. defendant Ayers will enter a plea of guilty to that (Ayers) charge and at time of sentencing, will waive the P.S.I L. BAZAR CLERK and request the Court sentence him this morning. State S. THIELMAN, RPTR. intends to stand silent; that if the Court is mindful of giving the defendant a sentence of probation; that the Court will sentence defendant to that term this morning if not, the plea bargain will be null and woid. Defendant is to testify truthfully at all stages. Mr. Seaton advised it was their intention to have the defendant come to their office after this proceeding and discuss what his poten tial testimony is. Tomorrow there will be a hearing having to do with the co-conspirator's rule and it was their intention to have defendant Akers testify at that hearing and at the trial which is scheduled on September 23, 1985. It was their understanding that the defendant was a participant and he intends to testify truthfully. State asked that the Court canvass the defendant as to whether he was aware. Court inquired of the defendant if he understood fully the negotiations. Defendant concurred and asked if it would be possible to have his record sealed. Court and counsel advised that would be addressed at a later time. Defendant Akers allowed to withdraw previous not guilty plea: rearraigned and entered a plea of guilty to Count VI - Voluntary Manslaughter (F). Court accepted plea. Defendant and counsel waived the P.S.I. report and requested sentencing at this time. Defendant adjudged guilty of Count VI - Voluntary Manslaughter (F). State remained silent. Statement by defense counsel. COURT ORDERED, defendant Akers is sentenced to five (5) years NSP; suspended; placed on probation for an indetetminate period not'to exceed five (5) years. Conditions (1) search clause; and (2) obtain G.E.D. Court admonished defendant that it was implicit not to have any further problems with the law. State moved to dismiss Counts III, IV,

problems with the law. State moved to dismiss Counts III, IW, and VII and any count contained in the Information that pertains to Mr. Akers. MINUTES — CRIMINAL COURT SO ORDERED.

Defendant to contact for in a reasonable amount of time.

The first on the second of the

Address of the was

CASE NO. C69269

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, HICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND THOMAS AKERS

OF THE PROPERTY.	<del></del>	
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
8/30/85	EVIDENTIARY HEARING (All Defendants)	9/17/85 @ 10:00 A.M.
DONALD H. MOSLEY	DEFENDANT WALSH'S MOTION IN LIMINE	
DEPT. XIV	DEFENDANT LUCKETT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE & MOTION IN	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
M. HARMON, DDA	LIMINE	SAME MOTIONS
D. SEATON, DDA	DEFENDANT MCDOWELL'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	Defendant Flanagan present in custody with counsel.	
(Flanagan)	Randy Pike. Defendant Moore present in custody with	
M. POSIN, ESQ.	counsel, Murray Posin. Defendant Luckett present in	
(Moore)	custody with William Smith. Defendant Walsh present	
W. SMITH, BSQ.	in custody with George Kelesis. Defendant McDowell present in custody with Robert Handfuss.	
(Luckett)	Mr. Kelesis requested matter be continued on behalf of	
G. KELESIS, ESQ. (Walsh)	defendant Walsh due to the fact counsel was surprised	
R. HANDFUSS. ESQ.	that the State has subpoensed eight witnesses who did	
(McDowell)	not testify at the Preliminary Hearing. Mr. Smith	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	joined in on the motion on behalf of defendant Luckett,	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	and requested production of any notes which are discove	k-
•••••	able with respect to any witnesses the State intends	
	to call in this hearing and at trial, and any written	
	statements they may have made. State argued against	
	the motion and advised that Mr. Akers should be no	
	surprise, counsel had known about him since yesterday.	
	Mr. Handfuss joined in on the motion and moved not to	
•	have Mr. Akers testify today due to the lateness. Mr.	
	Pike joined in on the previous objections. Mr. Smith	
	advised that he thought the purpose of the hearing toda was to determine whether or not certain statements are	ſ
	permissible at trial and whether or not there will be	
	a severance; that he did not think the question of Mr.	
	Akers' testifying is really all that important to his	
	client, Mr. Luckett, in that the fact is that Mr. Lucke	t t
	has made no admissions and the other defendants have.	
	The Court has to rule on whether certain statements wer	<b>P</b>
	made in furtherance of a conspiracy. Court advised.	
	that (1) Mr. Kelesis is concerned about surprise. Prio	F
	to this, in chambers, the Court asked counsel to get	<u>.</u>
	together and determine what statements would be produce	f:_
	At that time, no one was particularly concerned about w	hac
	witnesses would be called. Do not see where the surpri comes in; Mr. Akers certainly has not just recently ent	brod
	this case. State advised the witnesses on this hearing	
	would be Lisa LaCotta; Wayne Whittig; Rusty Havens;	·
	Michelle Gray and Duana Manning. Objections by counsel	
٠. ١١	as to the testimony of Michelle Gray and Duana Manning.	
	COURT ORDERED, with the exception of Ms. Manning and Ms	<b>F</b>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Grav. Ms. LaCotta, Mr. Wittig, Mr. Haven, Mr. Akers an	Ħ
	those witnesses at the Preliminary Hearing will testify	<b>}</b>
	Counsel should not be surprised; defendants are not pre-	1
	judiced at this time and we will proceed with the heari	[*
year to account the second second	Mr. Kelesis requested, on behalf of his client, defends	hat
	Walsh, that all prospective witnesses be excluded and the this matter be transcribed as soon as possible. COURT Co	RDERED.
A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	motion to exclude is granted. Upon request of counsel,	
	Court instructed the bailiff to tell witnesses to restr	lct
	their discussion and not to discuss their testimony.	
	crare's first witness. Thomas Akers, sworp and testifie	h H
	per attached worksheet. COURT ORDERED, matter is conti	hued
	to September 17, 1985 at 10:00 A.M. CUSTODY	
0.417.405	MINUTE OPDER - Sentember 17, 1985 Evidentiary Hearing W	111

9/11/85 DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV L. BAZAR, CLERK MINUTE ORDER - September 17, 1985 Evidentiary Hearing will be heard at 1:30 P.M. rather than 10:00 P.M.

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

, •		
CASE NO. C69269	THE CHATTE OF MENADA MC DATE EDITION OF ANAC	TAN BANDOLDH MOORE AWA
CASE NO. C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS.DALE EDWARD FLANAC SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	
DATE, JUDGE :	THOMAS AKERS	•
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
9/17/85	EVIDENTIARY HEARING (ALL DEFTS)	9/23/85 @ 10:00 A.M.
DONALD H. MOSLEY H. HARMON, DDA	DEFENDANT WALSH'S MOTION IN LIMINE DEFENDANT LUCKETT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE & MOTION IN	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
D. SEATON, DDA	LIMINE	(Flanagan, Moore,
R. PIKE, ESQ.	DEFENDANT MCDOWELL'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	Luckett, Walsh and
(Flanagan)	Defendant Flanagan present in custody with counsel,	McDowell)
M. POSIN, ESQ.	Randy Pike. Defendant Moore present in custody with counsel, Murray Posin. Defendant Luckett present in	DEFT. WALSH'S MOTION
W. SMITH, ESQ.	custody with counsel, William Smith. Defendant Walsh	IN LIMINE
(Luckett)	present in custody with George Kelesia, Eaq. Defendant	
S. KELESIS, ESQ. (Walsh)	McDowell present in custody with counsel, Robert Handful Court advised that the exclusionary rule had been invoke	
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	at the previous hearing and would continue. Witnesses	& MOTION IN LIMINE
(McDowell)	sworn and testified per attached worksheet. COURT	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	ORDERED, the hearing will resume on Monday morning at	DEFT. MCDOWELL'S MOTIO
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	10:00 A.M. with the other motions. FURTHER ORDERED, at this juncture the trial is scheduled on Monday	FOR SEVERANCE
	morning at 10:00 A.M.; will not summon the jury until	DO NOT POST
	the hearing is completed. Counsel to be prepared to	
	go to trial. At request of State; witnesses Melia Hoore	
	Wayne Wittig, Michelle Gray, Lisa Licata and Angela Saldana, summoned and advised by the Court that this	
	matter would continue to Monday morning at 10:00 A.M.	
	and they were directed to be present in the hallway on	
	Monday. CUSTODY	
	:	
9/18/85	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF DALE	9/23/85 @ 10:00 A.M.
DONALD M. MOSLEY	FLANAGAN	
DEPT. XIV	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO RETAIN & PRODUCE ROUGH	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE
D. SEATON, DDA R. PIKE, ESQ.	NOTES DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S SPECIFIC REQUEST FOR EXCLUPATORY	OF DALE FLANAGAN
(Flamagan)	EVIDENCE	or ball rubasom
L. BAZAR, CLERK	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF STATEMENTS	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	UNDER JENCKS ACT	MOTION FOR SEVERANCE
	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF OTHER BAD ACTS & MOTION IN LIMINE FOR FYCLUSION OF SAID EVIDENCE	& CHANGE OF VENUE
	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE & CHANGE OF	DO NOT POST
	VENUE	
	CALENDAR CALL (J.T. 9/23/85)	9/23/85 @ 10:00 A.M.
	Defendent Flanagan present in custody with counsel, Randy Pike. Presence of other defendants and counsel	
	waived. Mr. Pike advised that in reference to his	JURY TRIAL
	motion for disclosure of other bad acts, etc., he had	(Flanagan, Moore,
	been apprised of whatever information the State has.	Luckett, Walsh and
	Following further representations and request of	McDowell)
	counsel, COURT ORDERED, Defendant's motions for sever- ance and for change of venue are continued to Monday	
	hearing at 10:00 A.M. FURTHER ORDERED, matter is set	
	for Jury Trial on Monday at 10:00 A.M. CUSTODY	9/24/85 @ 10:00 A.M.
9/19/85	MINUTE ORDER	7/24/05 @ IU:UU A.M.
DONALD M. MOSLEY	COURT ORDERED, due to the Court's intended absence on Monday, September 23, 1985, the scheduled hearing is	ALL PENDING MOTIONS
DEPT. XIV L. BAZAR, CLERK	vacated and continued to September 24, 1985 at 10:00	(DO NOT POST)
D. DEDIMI, COURT	A.H.	9/24/85 @ 10:00 AM
·	State and counsel notified of continuance date by law	JURY TRIAL
	clerk and/or secretary this date.	

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AK SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND CASE NO. C69269 THOMAS AKERS DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT CONTINUED TO: APPEARANCES - HEARING MINUTE ORDER COURT ORDERED, due to the Court's intended absence on 9/24/85 @ 10:00 AM 9/19/85 DONALD M. MOSLEY Wonday, September 23, 1985, the scheduled hearing is vacated and continued to September 24, 1985 at 9:00 AM. EVIDENTIARY HEARING DEPT. XIV L. BAZAR, CLERK AND SCHEDULED MOTION Trial date is continued to September 25, 1985 at 10:00 At. (All Defts.) State and counsel notified of continuance date by law clerk and/or secretary this date. 9/25/85 @ 10:00 AM JURY TRIAL

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

A ....

*		
CASE NO. C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANA	GAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA
	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH	ROY MC DOWELL, AND
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS OF		
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
9/24/85	PRIOR TO EVIDENTIARY HEARING:	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA, and Dan Seaton,	
DEPT. XIV	DDA. Defendant Walsh present in custody with counsel,	
M. HARMON, DDA &	George Kelesis. Negotiations: defendant to enter a plea of guilty to Counts VI and VII - Murder in the	
D. SEATON, DDA G. KELESIS, ESQ.	First Degree with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F); at time	
(Walsh)	of sentencing would ask for defendant to receive life	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	with the possibility of parole and State will not object	::
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	defendant will not be required to testify and there wil	
	be no recommendation by the State as to concurrent or	<del></del>
15. 4	consecutive time as to the two murders; and remaining	
4 T 1	counts would be dismissed at that time. State concurre	1.
	Court inquired if the defendant was aware that the	
	enhancement must run consecutively. Defendant concurre	
	Defendant allowed to withdraw previous not guilty plea	
**	to Count VI - Murder lat Degree with Use of a Deadly	
	Weapon (F) and Count VII - Murder 1st Degree with Use	
- '	of a Deadly Weapon (F); rearraigned and entered plea of guilty to both Counts VI and VII. Court accepted	
·	plea. Mr. Kelesis asked the P.S.I. be waived and	
	presented to the Court the certification report from	
	Juvenile Court which certified the defendant as an	
	adult. Court inquired if Mr. Kelesis was requesting	
	the certification report be used in lieu of a P.S.I.	
	Mr. Kelesis concurred and advised it would be more	
	complete than a P.S.I. State advised there was no	•
	objection. Defendant adjudged guilty of Count VI -	
	Murder in the First Degree with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) and Count VII - Murder in the First Degree with	
<del></del>	Use of a Deadly Weapon (F). State agreed to stipulate	·
	that punishment would be life with the possibililty of	
	parole, with respect to whether it would run concurrent	ly
	or consecutively, they had agreed not to comment pursua	
	to plea negotiations. Statement by counsel. COURT	
	ORDERED, defendant Walsh sentenced as to Count VI -	
	Life in Prison with the Possiblity of Parole plus	
	consecutive Life in Prison with the Possibility of	
	Parole on the enhancement; Count VII - sentenced to	
	Life in Prison with the Possibility of Parole plus a consecutive Life in Prison with the Possibility of	
	Parole on the enhancement; Counts VI and VII to run	
	concurrently. The attorney will try to determine	•
	whether time can be served in a juvenile facility.	
	Credit for time served is granted of 246 days. State	
	moved to dismiss Counts I-V. There being no objection	
	COURT SO ORDERED. CUSTODY	
-		
		,
•		
<u></u> i		

CASENO C69269	THE CTATE OF MENADA HE DAY C ENHADS TO ANAC	AN DANDOLBU MOODE AVA
CASE NO. C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGE SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS OF		
COURT PRESENT 9/24/85	APPEARANCES — HEARING DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF DALE	9/26/85 @ 10:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY	FLANAGAN	9/26/85 @ 10:00 AM
DEPT. XIV	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE AND CHANGE OF	EVIDENTIARY HEARING
M. HARMON, DDA	VENUE	_
D. SEATON, DDA	EVIDENTIARY HEARING (ALL DEFTS) DEFENDANT WALSH'S MOTION IN LIMINE	DEFT. FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE
R. PIKE, ESQ.	DEFENDANT LUCKETT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE & MOTION IN	OF DALE FLANAGAN
(Flanagan) M. POSIN, ESQ.	LIMINE	
(McDowell)	DEFENDANT MCDOWELL'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	DEFT. FLANAGAN'S MOTIC
W. SMITH, ESQ.	DEFENDANT MOORE'S PROPER PERSON MOTION TO DISMISS	FOR SEVERANCE AND
(Luckett)	COUNSEL & APPOINT DIFFERENT COUNSEL STATE'S MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES (J.T. 9/25/85)	CHANGE OF VENUE
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA and Dan Seaton,	DEFENDANT LUCKETT'S
(McDowell) L. BAZAR, CLERK	DDA. Defendant Flanagan present with counsel, Randall	MOTION FOR SEVERANCE
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	Pike. Defendant Moore present with counsel, Murray	& MOTION IN LIMINE
	Posin. Defendant Luckett present with counsel, William	DEFT. MCDOWELL'S
	Smith. Defendant McDowell present with counsel, Robert	MOTION FOR SEVERANCE
	Handfuss. Defendant Walsh neither present nor repre- sented by counsel, George Kelesis. All defendants	
	present were in custody. Evidentiary hearing continued	<u> </u>
	Witnesses sworn and testified per attached worksheet.	
i	Hehlia Moore, sister of Randolph Moore, present with	
'	counsel, Earl Ayers; sworn and testified. Following testimony of witness, Mr. Smith moved to strike Ms.	
	Moore's testimony as being inherently unreliable.	
	Following arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, the	
	Court is going to weigh the matter with many of the	
	considerations that the Court is sure counsel will	
	allude to, as it is being evaluated. Evidentiary hearing to resume on Thursday, September 26, 1985.	
	Counsel advised there was no objection to State's	
	Motion to Endorse Names if provided full discovery.	
	COURT ORDERED, motion granted. Upon the Court's	
	inquiry, defendant Moore requested to withdraw his	
	proper person motion to dismiss counsel. COURT SO ORDERED Defendant Walsh's motion moot. CUSTODY	
9/26/85	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE OF DALE	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	FLANAGAN	
DEPT. XIV	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE & CHANGE OF VENUE	
M. HARMON, DDA D. SEATON, DDA	EVIDENTIARY HEARING (ALL DEFENDANTS)	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	DEFENDANT LUCKETT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE AND MOTION IN	
(Flanagan)	LIMINE	
M. POSIN, ESQ.	DEFENDANT MCDOWELL'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	
(McDowell)	State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA and Dan Seaton,	
W. SMITH, ESQ.	DDA Defendant Flenegan present in custody with Randell Pike. Defendant Moore present in custody with Murray	
(Luckett) R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	Posin, Esq. Defendant Luckett present with counsel,	
(McDowell)	William Smith. Defendant McDowell present with counsel	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	Robert Handfuss. Court advised this hearing is in	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	regard to the examination, characterization and deter- mination of various statements of witnesses.	
	Following arguments of counsel re List of Co-Conspirato	
ĺ	Declarations, COURT ORDERED, as to paragraphs 1 through	
	4, it appears that paragraphs 1, 3 and 4 wherein the mod	ıs
	operandi to discussed to obviously in furtherance of a	
	conspiracy. Re paragraph 2, not going to exclude that  CONTINUED NE	KT PAGE
	MINISTER _ CRIMINAL	

MINUTES — CRIMINAL

CASE NO	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN	
DATE NIGOE	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, RI THOMAS AKERS	DI MC DOWELL, AND
· DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF	·	
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
9/26/85	CONTINUED	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	statement under Beasley, but will indicate that it is	
DEPT. XIV	not in furtherance of a conspiracy and not an exception	
M. HARMON, DDA	to the hearsny rule. Re paragraph 5; that will be allow	ed
D. SEATON, DDA	as in furtherance of a conspiracy. Re paragraph 6; that	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	will be allowed as in furtherance of a conspiracy.	
(Flanagan) M. POSIN, ESQ.	Re paragraphs 12 and 13, together; going to allow 11 and 12 on the basis of in furtherance of a conspiracy and	
(McDowell)	coverup. Paragraphs 14 and 15; re 14, that will be	
W. SHITH, ESQ.	allowed as in furtherance of a conspiracy and coverup.	
(Luckett)	Re 15 is disallowed. Paragraph 16; disallowed. Paragraph	oh
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	17, State conceded. Paragraph 7; allowed. Paragraph	-
(McDowell)	8; Court reserves ruling. Court advised counsel that at	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	this time, the issue is if these statements are determine	ed
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	to be admissible as in furtherance of a conspiracy; and	
	they are subject to a motion in limine as to each	
	defendant at a later time.	
	FURTHER ORDERED. Re paragraph 9; allowed as in furtherad	ce
	of a conspiracy. Paragraph 10; disallowed. Paragraph	
	11, allowed. Paragraphs 18, 19, and 20; will not be allowed as an exception to hearsay under the co-conspir-	
	ators declaration. Re paragraph 21; to be disallowed.	
	Paragraph 22; allowed as an exception to the hearsay	
	rule. Paragraph 23; disallowed. Paragraph 24; State	
	concedes that it would not be admissible. Following	
	further argument re paragraphs 5, 8 and 25. COURT	
	ORDERED, as to paragraphs 5, 8 and 25; they are admissib	le.
	Re Defendant Flanagan's motion for severance, defendants	
<del></del>	Luckett, McDowell and Moore's motions for severance and	·
	motions in limine and defendant Flanagan's motion for	
	change of venue. Following arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, motions for severance denied. Mr. Handfuss	
	argued in support of his motion on behalf of defendant	
	McDowell to dismiss the with use charge. Following	
	arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, will set this aside	
	and will review it. Court advised Mr. Pike's change of	
	venue will be considered and Mr. Smith's motion in limit	•
	re.Dr. Green's transcript will be considered also during	
	recess.	
	Court recessed.	
	JURY TRIAL Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of prospec	ne ive
	furors. Jury selection commenced. COURT ORDERED, matter	
	continued to September 27, 1986 at 10:00 A.M. Prospect	
	jurges admonished and instructed to return at that time.	-
9/27/86	10:00 A.M.	• .
	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of pros-	
	pective jurors. Outside presence of jury panel, Mr.	
	Pike moved for mistrial based upon being denied voir did	
	as to Mr. Singer and as to Mr. Elder, and change of venue	•
	for Flanagan and severance based upon the fact the the prospective jurors did have knowledge of the offense	
•	through the media. COURT ORDERED, the representations	
	by Mr. Singer and Mr. Elder were not of any nature that	
	would have tained the jury. Therefore, motion is denied	•
	Concerning the fact that many of the prospective jurors	
	were familiar with the case to some extent; quote NRS 16	;
	change of venue is denied. Jury panel summoned. Jury	
	selected and sword. Clerk read the charging document to	
	the jury. COURT ORDERED, adjourned for the day; matter	NEVT DACE
	continued to Monday, September 30, 1985. CONTINUED 1 MINUTES — CRIMINAL	TEAT LAGE
	MILIAN I PO OLIMINATE	

•

TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

CASE NO.

CASE NO	C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANA	CAN RANDOLPH MOORE AV
·		SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	ROY MC DOWELL, AND
DATE, JUD	OGE	THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS COURT PRES	SENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
9/30/85	1	JURY TRIAL CONTINUED	
DONALD M. MO	SLEY	Appearances as noted above. Outside presence of the just	у.
DEPT. XIV		Re Mr. Handfuss' motion to dismiss the with use counts	*
M. HARMON, DI D. SEATON, DI		on the Indictment. Following arguments of counsel,	
R. PIKE, ESO		COURT ORDERED, motion is denied at this time.  Jury summoned. Clerk called roll of jury. Opening	
(Flanagan)	" I	statements by State, Opening statements by Mr. Handfuss	% ∞
M. POSIN, ES	Q.	Mr. Pike, Mr. Smith and Mr. Posin.	~
(Moore)		Outside presence of jury, Mr. Pike renewed his motion	
(Luckett)	<del>\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ </del>	for severance. Mr. Handfuse and Mr. Posin enjoined on	
(Luckett) R. HANDFUSS,	FCO	the motion on behalf of their clients. COURT ORDERED,	
(McDowell)	£3Q.	motion denied. Jury summoned. Counsel stipulated that all members of the jury were present and properly seated.	•
L. BAZAR, CLI	ERK	Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and :	
S. THIELMAN,	RPTR.	admitted per attached worksheets. COURT ORDERED, matter	
10/01/04	1	continued to October 1, 1986 at 10:00 A.M.	
10/01/85		10:00 A.M.	
		Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of jury.	
		Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and	
		admitted per attached worksheets. Outside presence	
	ı	of jury, Mr. Handfuss renewed his motion for severance. Court advised he could make his objection to the reports	_
		at recess. Mr. Pike moved for a mis-trial with reference	I.
	1	to another bad act re the burglary of the residence and	_
	İ	breaking into residence. Mr. Handfuss joined on the mot	ion.
	1	Following arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, see no	
*	1	prejudice to any defendant that cannot be cured by a	
		proper admonishment and that has been given. Perhaps an instruction along that line could be sumbitted to the	
	l	jury. But, burglary is, per se, a bad act. Court	
	- 1	declines to grant the motion for mistrial. Jury summone	d.
	- 1	Testimony of witnesses continued. COURT ORDERED, matter	
10/02/85	i	is continued to October 2, 1985 at 10:00 A.M. 10:00 A.M.	
10,01,03	<del></del> -		
		Appearances as noted above. Clerk called the roll of	
		the jury. Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and admitted per attached worksheets. Mr.	
		Handfuss renewed his objections and renewed prior	
	- 1	motions and advised he would argue them at break.	
		Outside pesence of jury. Motion in limine made by Mr.	
	- 1	Smith re the evidentiary hearing and what defendant	
		Flanagan told Ms. Saldana that the other defendants did. Mr. Handfuss joined on the motion. All counsel	
		joined on the 6th amendment rule. Following arguments	
		of counsel, COURT ORDERED, motion denied. Jury summoned	-
		Testimony of witnesses continued. COURT ORDERED, matter	
10/02/05	1	is continued to October 3, 1985 at 10:00 A.M.	
10/03/85	-+	10:00 A.M. Appearances as noted above. Clark called roll of jury.	
		Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and	
		admitted per attached worksheets. Outside presence of	
		jury. Mr. Pike moved for a limiting instruction that th	•
		testimony as to the arrest of one defendant does not	
		reflect on Dale Flanagan. Mr. Handfuss joined on on the	
		motion on behalf of defendant McDowell. COURT ORDERED, Court will indicate that this testimony goes to Mr. Moor	
		therefore it is apparent that this testimony should not	•
		he taken against anyone elee CONTINUED NEXT PAG	
			=

CASE NO	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS
OFFICERS OF	
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING CONTINUED TO:
0/03/85-10/04/85	JURY TRIAL CONTINUED
ONALD M. MOSLEY	Jury summoned. Testimony continued. State rested its
EPT. XIV	case. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to October
I. HARMON, DDA	4 1994 at 10:00 a M
SEATON, DDA	10:00 A.H 10/04/85
PIKE, ESQ.	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of jury.
	Defense witnesses eworn and testified and exhibits
(Flanagan)	offered and admitted per attached worksheets.
. POSIN, ESQ.	Outside presence of jury: Mr. Posin moved for a mis-
(Moore)	
SMITH, ESQ.	trial based upon the hearsay testimony by witness
(Luckett)	Wayne Wittig. Mr. Pike joined on the motion on behalf
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	of defendant Flanagan. Mr. Handfuss joined in the motion
(McDowell)	on behalf of defendant McDowell. Following arguments
BAZAR, ÇLERK	of counsel, COURT ORDERED, this Court would entertain
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	any instruction counsel would offer. This Court thinks
	that Mr. Luckett's co-defendants are not prejudiced by
	Mr. Wittig's testimony to the extent to warrant a
	mis-trial. Motion is denied. Court admonished each
1	of the defendant's of their Fifth Amendment rights not
	to testify in their own behalf. Each defendant concurred.
	Jury summoned. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to
1	Monday, October 7, 1985 at 10:00 A.M. Jury admonished.
10/07/85	10:00 A.M.
	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of jury.
i	Johnny Ray Luckett testified in his own behalf.
	Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and
	admitted per attached worksheets. Mr. Smith rested his
	case on behalf of Mr. Luckett. Witnesses sworn and
	testified and exhibits offered and admitted per attached
	worksheets on behalf of defendant McDowell. Case rester
	on behalf of defendant McDowell.
<b>.</b>	Witnesses sworn and testified on behalf of defendant
	Flanagan. Case rested.
i	COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to October 8, 1985
	at 10:00 A.M.
10/08/85	10:00 A.M.
į.	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of jury.
	Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and
	admitted per attached worksheets on behalf of defendant
	Moore. Outside presence of jury. Mr. Smith moved for
	severance and objected to all or part of Exhibit D.
1	Mr. Handfuss joined in the motion and objection.
	Following arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, portions
1	objected to in Exhibit D to be redacted and that exhibit
	is admitted. Re Mr. Handfuss motion for severance,
	his argument is without merit; motion denied. Jury
	summoned. Testimony continued. Defense counsel rested.
	Crace advised there would be no rebuttal witnesses for
	the State. OCURT ORDERED, matter is continued to
	October 9, 1985 at 10:00 A.M.; jury to report at 1:00 P.M.
10/00/85	10:00 A.M.
10/09/85	Appearances as noted above. Outside presence of jury.
.	Appearances as noted above. October presence of just,
ļ	Jury Instructions 1 - 47 settled in open court.
1	Jury summoned. Clark called roll of jury. Court rend
ļ	jury instructions to the jury. COURT ORDERED, matter
į	continued to October 10, 1985 at 10:00 A.H. Jury
i	admonished and excused.  CONTINUED NEXT PAGE

24224		
CASE NO	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANA SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	ROT HC DOWELL, AND
OFFICERS OF	**************************************	
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
10/10/85	10:00 A.M JURY TRIAL CONTINUED	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of jury.	
DEPT. XIV M. HARMON, DDA	Closing remarks by State. Closing argument by Mr. Smith on behalf of Johnny Ray Luckett. Outside presence of	
D. SEATON, DDA	jury, Mr. Posin moved for a mis-trial predicated by	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	Mr. Senton's statement made in closing remarks. He	
(Flanagan)	spoke in terms of no one coming forth to dispute the	
M. POSIN, ESQ.	evidence. Improper argument. Mr. Pike joined on the	
(Moore)	motion on behalf of defendant Flanagan, statement made	
W. SMITH, ESQ. (Luckett)	objectional when he directed the questions to the	
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	defendants that never took the stand. Mr. Handfuss join with the motion for mis-trial because Mr. Seaton's argu-	eu
(McDowell)	ment shifted the burden of proof to the defendants.	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	Mr. Smith joined in the motion for mis-trial on behalf	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	of Mr. Luckett. Following arguments of counsel, Court	_
	stated its findings. COURT ORDERED, motion for mis-tria	1
	denied. Jury summoned. Counsel stipulated that all members of	
	the jury were present and properly seated. Closing	
	arguments by kandall rike on behalf of respinsion.	
	Closing arguments by Murray Posin on behalf	
	of defendant Moore. Closing arguments by Mr. Handfuss	
	on butter of determent school Rebuttel argument by	
	State. 7:07 P.M.: Balliff sworn and case submitted to	
10/11/85	the jury and they retired for deliberation.  3:30 P.M Jury returned with a verdict.	
10/11/03	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of the	
	jury. Jury returned with verdicts of guilty as to all	
4	defendants as filed herein. At request of defense	
i	counsel, Randall Pike and Robert Handfuss, the jury	10/14/85 @ 10:00 AM
	was polled and all answered in the affirmative. COURT ORDERED, matter is set for penalty phase on	PENALTY HEARING
		PENALTI HEARING
٠	and excused.	,
		•
i	<b>i</b>	
,		1
10/14/85	PENALTY HEARING	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA, and Dan Seaton,	
DEPT. XIV	DDA. Defendant Flanagan present in custody with Randall	
M. HARMON, DDA.	Pike, Esq. Defendant Moore present in custody with	
D. SEATON, DDA	Murray Posin, Esq. Defendant McDowell present in custody with Robert Handfuss, Esq. Defendant Luckett	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	present in custody with William Smith, Esq.	
(Flanagan) M. POSIN, ESQ.	Outside presence of jury. Mr. Pike made a motion to	
(Moore)	impanel a new jury, for recommendation of sentence.	
W. SMITH, ESQ.	Objection by State. COURT ORDERED, the argument for	
(Luckett)	as second jury is without merit; going to decline to	
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	impanel a second jury. Jury summoned. Clark called roll of jury. State waived opening statement and	
(McDowell)	informed the Court it planed to put on no evidence.	
L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	Opening statement by Mr. Pike. Witnesses sworn and	
J. 14114444 144 144	testified on behalf of defendant Flanagan. Statement	
	by defendant Flanagan, unsworn. Opening statement by	
	Mr. Smith. Witnesses worn and testified on behalf of	
	Mr. Smith. Witnesses worn and testified on behalf of defendant Luckett.  CONTINUED NEXT PAGE	

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

•	•
CASE NO. C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE A
•	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS
OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING CONTINUED TO:
10/14/85	PENALTY HEARING CONTINUED
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Opening statement by Mr. Handfuss on behalf of defendant
DEPT. XIV	McDowell. Witnesses sworn and testified on behalf of
M. HARMON, DDA	defendant McDowell. Opening statement by Randell Pike
D. SEATON, DDA	on behalf of defendant Flanagan. Witnesses sworn and
R. PIKE, ESQ.	testified on behalf of defendant Flanagan. Rested case.
(Flanagan)	Opening statement by Mr. Posin. Witnesses sworn and
M. POSIN, ESQ.	testified on behalf of defendant Moore.
(Moore)	State rested its case.
V. SHITH, PSQ.	Outside presence of jury . Jury Instructions 1 - 15
(Luckett)	settled in open court. Objection by Mr. Smith re the
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	State being allowed two arguments. Mr. Pike joined in
(McDowell) L. BAZAR, CLERK	the motion. Following arguemnts of counsel, Court stated its findings. COURT ORDERED, motion is rejected.
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	Mr. Pike moved to have the testimony of Mr. Akers
	rejected and State's Exhibit 118. Following arguments
	of counsel, COURT ORDERED, motion denied. Mr. Handfuss
	requested a separate jury panel for Mr. McDowell.
	Mr. Smith, Mr. Posin, joined in the motion. Following
	arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, motion denied.
	Jury summoned. Counsel stipulated to all members of
;	the jury being present and properly seated. Court
•	read Instructions 1 - 15 to the jury. Closing arguments
	by State. Closing arguments by Mr. Pike, Mr. Smith,
	Mr. Handfuss and Mr. Posin on behalf of their clients.
	Rebuttal argument by State. At 5:45 P.M Bailiff
	sworn and case submitted to the jury for deliberation.  Court admonished the jury and instructed them to report
	to courtroom at 9:00 A.M. 10/15/85 to begin deliberation.
	Outside presence of jury: Mr. Pike made a motion for
	mis-trial and requested that a new jury be impanaled
	to rehear the penalty phase in this case, in reference
	to Mr. Seaton's representations of the witnesses not
	being sworn and the defendant not being sworn. Mr.
	Posin joined in the motion. Following arguments of
	counsel, COURT ORDERED, this Court will take the matter
	under advisement and will inform counsel tomorrow of
	the decision. Counsel to be present in the morning
	at 9:00 A.M. Case law, if it is to be submitted, is welcome. At this juncture this Court tends to agree that
	it is not reversable error. But it in inapplicable to
	Mr. Smith; he did not join in on the motion and it is
	not necessary for him to be present.
10/15/85	10:00 A.M.
DONALD M. MOSLEY	State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA, and Dan Seaton,
DEPT. XIV	DDA. Defendant Flanagan present in custody with Randal
M. HARMON, DDA	Pike, Esq. Defendant Moore present in custody with
D. SEATON, DDA	Murray Posin, Esq. Defendant Luckett neither present
R. PIKE ESQ	nor represented by counsel, William Smith; their
(Flanagan)	presence having been waived by the Court. Defendant
M. POSIN, ESQ.	McDowell present in custody with counsel, Robert Handfuss.
(Moore)	Court advised that Court had convened to resolve a motion for mis-trial and Mr. Smith did not join in on
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	the motion. Following arguments of counsel, COURT
(McDowell)	ORDERED, motion for mis-trial is denied. This Court
L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	has prepared an admonition to the jury and will read
G. INTERMEDIATION.	it verbatim at this time and counsel concerned can make
	the determination whether or not that admonition should
	he read Court read the proposed admonition to counsel
	CONTINUED NEXT PAGE

DATE, JUDGE		SAN, RANDOLPH MOORE A
	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	ROY MC DOWELL, AND
	THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS OF	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
COURT PRESENT	DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR MISTRIAL and JOINDERS	OOM INCLUSION
10/15/85 OONALD M. MOSLEY	BY DEFENDANTS MOORE AND MCDOWELL (Continued)	
DEPT. XIV	After conferring with Mr. Handfuss and Mr. Posin, Mr.	
	Pike requested that the admonition be marked as Court's	
1. HARMON, DDA	Exhibit I and that it be included in the record and	
D. SEATON, DDA	sent up to the Supreme Court on the appeal. Mr. Pike	
R. PIKE, ESQ.	advised they would not request it be read to the jury.	
(Flanagan)	State advised they would not ask it be read. COURT	•
M. POSIN, ESQ.	SO ORDERED. The Court advised that at this juncture	
,	the bailiff has charge of the jury and there being no-	
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	objection by counsel; the jury will begin deliberation.	
(McDowell)		
L. BAZAR, CLERK	CUSTODY (A11)	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.		
10/17/85	PENALTY PHASE - VERDICT @ 10:27 A.M.	11/18/85 @ 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY	State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA. Defendant Flanage	n
DEPT. XIV	present in custody with counsel. Randall Pike. Defenda	
M. HARMON, DDA	Moore present in custody with counsel, Murray Posin.	JURY'S VERDICT
R. PIKE, ESQ.	Defendant McDowell present in custody with counsel,	
(Flanagan)	Robert Handfuss. Defendant Luckett present in custody	and
M. POSIN, ESQ.	with Randall Pike, Esq., who advised he had been contac	ed
(Moore)	by Mr. Smith and had agreed to take the verdict as and	SENTENCING
R. PIKE, ESQ. for	for his client.	
W. SMITH, ESQ.	Clerk called roll of jury: Jury returned with verdicts	or
(Luckett)	Death Penalty with Lethal Injection on Counts VI and	
R. HANDFUSS, ESQ.	VII as to defendants Flanagan and Moore; Life with the	
(McDowell)	Possibility of Parole on Counts VI and VII as to	l
(110201011)	defendant McDowell and Life without the Possibility of	
i i	Parole on Counts VI and VII as to defendant Luckett.	ļ
	COURT ORDERED, matter is continued for Confirmation of	
I	Jury's Verdicts and Sentencing in approximately 30 days	Į.
	Court Services to remove the defendants at this time.	t .
		t .
	Court thanked and excused the jury. CUSTODY (All	

MINUTES — CRIMINAL

CASE NO. C69269 DATE, JUDGE	THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANA SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
10/28/85 MIRIAM SHEARING DEPT. XV for XIV R. O'NEALE, DDA L. BAZAR, CLERK J. NICHOLS, CLERK T. HOSS, RPTR.	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT State represented by Roberta O'Neale, DDA. Defendant Moore present in custody without benefit of counsel, Murray Posin. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to Wednesday.  10:05 A.M Clerk called Mr. Posin's office and advise of continuance time and date.	10/30/85 @ 9:00 AM MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT
10/30/85 DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV R. O'NEALE, DDA L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT State represented by Roberta O'Neale, DDA. Defendant Moore present in custody without benefit of counsel, Murray Posin. Court trailed matter. Later, Mr. Posin not having appeared, Court advised defendant it was the Court's understanding that this matter was put on calendar erroneously, he is seeking to withdraw from the case after sentencing. Defendant acknowledged. COURT ORDERED, motion is continued to November 18, 1985 at 9:00 A.M., which is the date for sentencing.	FOR DEFENDANT
11/04/85	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL	11/13/85 € 9:00 AM
DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV M. O'CALLAGHAN,DDA R. HANDFUSS, ESQ. (McDowell) L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN,RPTR.	State represented by Michael O'Callaghan, DDA. Defendant McDowell present in custody with counsel, Robert Handfuss. Defendant Flanagan present in custody without benefit of counsel, Randall Pike. Court inquired if Mr. Pike was joining in on the motion in behalf of defendant Flanagan. Mr. Handfuss concurred and advised he was represent defendant Flanagan for Mr. Pike during this hearing. Court acknowledged. Mr. Handfuss advise the points and authorities for this motion are still be typed up. State advised it would need a week to respon COURT ORDERED, matter is set for argument on the motion a week from Wednesday. Mr. Handfuss advised defendant McDowell would request a contact visit with Mary Lucas, mother of his son. There being no objection, COURT ORDERED, motion granted.	ng
11/13/B5 DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV M. HARMON, DDA R. HANDFUSS, ESQ. (McDowell) R. PIKE, ESQ. (Planagan) L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA. Defendant Flanaga present in custody with Randy Pike, Esq. Defendant McDowell present in custody with Robert Handfuss, Esq. Following arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, on balance a fair trial was had, both motions for a new trial is denied.  CUSTODY	

CASE NO. C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANA	GAN. RANDOLPH MOORE AKA
DATE JUDGE	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, THOMAS AKERS	ROY MC DOWELL, AND
OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
11/18/85 DONALD M. HOSLEY	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT MOORE	11/20/85 @ 9:00 AM
DEPT. XIV M. HARMON, DDA	SENTENCING (ALL DEFENDANTS) State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA and Ron Bloxham,	MOTION TO WITHDRAW (Moore)
R. BLOXHAM, DDA P. COLEMAN, P&P L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	DDA. Defendants neither present nor represented by respective counsel. COURT ORDERED, this matter is going to be continued for sentencing to November 20, 1985 in that the P.S.I.'s were not received until late Friday.  CUSTODY	SENTENCING (All)
11/20/85 DONALD H. MOSLEY	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT . MOORE	11/22/85 @ 9:00 AM
DEPT. XIV R. O'NEALE, DDA	SENTENCING (ALL DEFENDANTS) State represented by Roberta O'Neals, DDA. Defendants	MOTION TO WITHDRAW
L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	Flanagan, Moore, McDowell and Luckett present in custody without benefit of respective counsel. Court advised	SENTENCING (All)
S. THOMAS, P&P	defendants that there were problems with the P.S.I. reports and it had spoken to their counsel in chambers	
	and they had agreed to continue the matter to Friday to straighten this out. COURT ORDERED, matter continued to Friday. CUSTODY	
·		
.1/22/85	MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT	11/27/85 @ 9:00 AM
ONALD M. MOSLEY	MOORE SENTENCING (ALL DEFENDANTS)	SENTENCING (All)
. SEATON, DDA PIKE, ESQ.	State represented by Dan Seaton, DDA. Defendant Flanagar present in custody with Randall Pike, Esq. Defendant	
Flanagan)	Moore present in custody with Murray Posin, Esq. Defen-	
1. POSIN, ESQ.	dants McDowell and Luckett present in custody without benefit of counsel. Court advised that Mr. Handfuss is	
Moore) . HOOVER, P&P	ill and Mr. Smith was excused from this hearing pursuant	
BAZAR, CLERK	to discussion this morning. Matter will be continued	
:. THIELMAN, RPTR.	for sentencing until Wednesday, November 27, 1985 for appearance of Mr. Handfuss. However, this Court will	,
	proceed with the confirmation of the Jury's Verdict as to defendants Flanagan and Moore. Court adjudged defende	nt 
	guilty of Count I - Conspiracy to Commit Burglas (CM); Count II - Conspiracy to Commit Robbery (F); Count	
	III - Conspiracy to Commit Murder (F); Count IV - Burglai (F); Count V - Robbery with Use of a Deadly Wespon (F); Count VI - Murder 1st * with Use of a Deadly Wespon (F) a	
·	Count vii - Hurder lat with the of a Deadly Weapon (F) Court adjudged defendant grant guilty of Count I - Conspi	
_	acy to Commit Burglary (GM); Count II - Conspiracy to Commit Robbery (F); Count III - Conspiracy to Commit	r <del>-</del> !
•	Murder (F); Count IV - Burglary (F); Count VI - Murder 1s with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) and Count VII - Murder 1s	t *
	with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F). COURT ORDERED, this Co will continue sentencing until Wednesday in that it is the	irt
	Court's desire and the majority of counsel to have these matters sentenced in one day and since Mr. Handfuss is i	
	CUSTODY (All)	

SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND THOMAS AVEDS DATE JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES - HEARING CONTINUED TO: 11/27/85 MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR DEFENDANT DONALD M. MOSLEY SENTENCING (ALL DEFENDANTS) DEPT. XIV D. SEATON, DDA State represented by Dan Seaton, DDA. Defendant Flanagan R. PIKE, ESQ. present in custody with Randall Pike, Esq. Defendant (Flanagan) Moore present in custody with Murray Posin, Esq. Defendant McDowell present in custody with Robert Handfuse. M. POSIN. ESO. (Moore) Esq. Defendant Luckett present in custody with William R. HANDFUSS. ESO. Smith, Egg. Court advised defendants Planagan and Moore (McDowell) had been adjudged quilty at a previous bearing. Defends W. SMITH, ESO. McDowell adjudged guilty of Counts I. II. III. IV. V. VI (Luckett) and VII. ( Defendant Luckett adjudged guilty of Counts III, IV, VI and VIL L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR Upon agreement of counsel, defense counsel made repre-M. MILLER, P&P sentations on behalf of the defendants first. Statement by defendant Moore. Other defendants declined to speak in their behalf. Statement by State. sentenced on Count I - Conspiracy defendant to Commit Burglary (GM) to one (1) year Clark County Jeil: on Count II - Conspiracy to Counit Robbery (F) t six (6) years NSP; on Count III - Conspiracy to Commit Murder (F) to six (6) years NSP: on Count IV - Burglary (F) to ten (10) years NSP; on Count V - Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) to fifteen (15) years NSP plus a consecutive fifteen (15) years on the enhancement on Count VI - Murder of the First Degree with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) - the Court confirms the jury's verdict and imposes the death penalty to be accomplished by lethal injection; with a similar death penalty on the enhancement; on Count VII - Murder of the First Dagree with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) to death by lethal injection, with a similar death penalty, which by law must run consecutive. Counts I through VII to be served consecutively; execution of death sentence is set for the week of February 2, 1986; credit for time served of 353 days. is sentenced on Count I Conspiracy to Commit Burglary (GM) to one (1) year Clark County Jail; on Count II - Conspiracy to Commit Robbery (F) to six (6) years NSP; on Count III - Conspiracy to Commit Murder (F) to six (6) years NSP; on Count IV -Burglary (F) to ten (10) years NSP; on Count V - Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) to fifteen (15) years MSP plus a consecutive fifteen (15) years on the enhance ment; on Count VI - Murder of the First Degree with Use of a Deadly Weapon (F) - the Court confirms the jury's verdict and imposes the death penalty as to each count and and as to each count a consecutive sentence of death by lethal injection. Counts I through VII run consecutively: execution of the death sentence to be set on the week of February 2, 1986; credit for time served of 353 days. To one (1) year Clark County Jail; on Count II to six (6) years NSP to run concurrent to Count I; on Count III to six (6) years NSP concurrent with Count/II; on Count IV to ten (10) years NSP concurrent with Count III; on Count V to fifteen (15) years on Robbery plus consecutive fifteen (15) years on the UDW to run concurrent with Count IV: on Count VI - sentenced to life with the Pos of Parole plus a consecutive Life with possibility of parole on the enhancement, to run concurrent with Count V; CONTINUED NEXT PAGE MINUTES - CRIMINAL

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

C69269

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN. RANDOLPH MOORE AKA CASE NO. C69269 SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND THOMAS AFFRS DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES - HEARING CONTINUED TO: CONTINUED on Count VII - Life with Possibility of Parole plus consecutive 11/27/85 DONALD M. MOSLEY Life with Possibility on the UDW: to run consecutive with Count VI: 342 days ( DEPT. XIV if to six (6) years NSP; on Count IV to six (6) years D. SEATON, DDA NSP; on Counts VI and VII, the Court confirmed the jury s verdict of Life without the Possibility of Parols plus on R. PIKE. ESO. (Flanagan) the enhancement a consecutive sentence of Life without M. POSIN. ESO. the Possibility of Parole on each count. Counts III an (Moore) R. HANDFUSS, ESO. (NoDove 11) VI. Count VII to rum consecutive to Count VI. Credit E W. SMITH, ESQ. time served of 342 days. Mr. Posin asked that the Court defer his motion to with-(Luckett) draw. Court consented. Mr. Pike moved to withdraw as L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR. counsel of record for defendant Flanagan and requested M MILLER, P&P the Public Defender's Office be appointed for purposes of appeal. COURT SO ORDERED and requested Mr. Cooper to advise the Public Defender's Office. Mr. Handfuss and Mr. Smith requested permission to withdraw as counsel for their respective clients. Mr. Smith advised he would coordinate the appeal. COURT ORDERED. counsel 12/04/85 @ 9:00 AM allowed to withdraw, contract attorneys are appointed for defendants McDowell and Luckett. FURTHER ORDERED. CONFIRMATION OF matter is continued one week for confirmation of counsel. COUNSEL (Defts. Flanagan, 11/27/85 MINUTE ORDER Court appointed John Graves, Esq. and Mark Bailus, Esq. McDowell and DONALD M. MOSLEY as counsel for defendants Luckett and McDowell and Luckett) DEPT. XIV advised them as to the confirmation date. Mr. Bailus L. BAZAR, CLERK unable to confirm. George Carter advised of appointment 12/04/85 CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL State represented by Roberts O'Neale, DDA. Defendant DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV Flanagan present in custody with Marcus Cooper, DPD, who confirmed as counsel for purposes of appeal. R. O'NEALE, DDA Defendent McDowell present in custody with George Carten, M COOPER DPD Esq., who confirmed as counsel for purposes of appeal. (Flanagan) J. GRAVES. ESO. Defendant Luckett present in custody with John Graves, Esq., who confirmed as counsel for purposes of appeal. (Luckett) CUSTODY (A11) G. CARTER, ESO. (McDowell) L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR AT REQUEST OF COURT: CLARIFICATION OF SENTENCE 12/18/85 State represented by Mel Harmon, DDA. Defendant Flanagen DONALD M. MOSLEY not present and represented by Marcus Cooper, DPD. DEPT. XIV Defendant Moore not present and represented by Murray M. HARMON, DDA Posin, Esq. Both defendants' presence waived. Court M. COOPER, DPD advised that with regard to the sentence on Count I of Flanagan) one year Clark County Jail, that out of necessity they POSIN, ESQ. must serve that jail term before they can serve at NSP. (Moore) It has been suggested that the sentence be amended to L. BAZAR, CLERK run the gross misdemeanor concurrent an the defendants S THIELMAN, RPTR CONTINUED NEXT PAGE

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA CASE NO. C69269 SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL. AND THOMAS AKERS DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF CONTINUED TO: COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES - HEARING 12/18/85 CONTINUED can go to State prison. This Court will entertain any DONALD M. MOSLEY arguments one way or the other. Mr. Cooper advised theme DEPT. XIV was no objection. Mr. Posin advised there was no objec-M. HARMON, DDA tion. State agreed. There being no objection by counsel, M. COOPER, DPD COURT ORDERED, the Count I sentence is hereby ordered to (Flanagan) run concurrent with those other counts, Counts II through M. POSIN, ESQ. VII; Counts II through VII to remain consecutive to each (Moore) other. State inquired if the file contained a judgment L. BAZAR, CLERK of conviction. Court adviced it did not. State reques S. THISLMAN POTE permission to present an amended judgment of conviction order as to both defendants for the Court's signature. COURT ORDERED, permission granted; such order to supersede the original if one has been in the system. Order signed in open court. RANDALL PIKE, MURRAY POSIN, ROBERT HANDFUSS, AND WILLIAM SMITH'S MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES 2/19/86 State represented by Ronald Bloxham, DDA. Defendants DONALD M. MOSLEY not present. Robert Handfuss, Esq., present on behalf DEPT. XIV of himself and William Smith, Esq., and Murray Posin, R. BLOXHAM, DDA Eeq., who were not present. Pandell Pike, Esq., present R. HANDFUSS, ESQ. or his own behalf. Mr. Pike advised he had spoken to R. PIKE, ESQ. Johnnie Rowlings, DDA civil, and she advised she was no L. BAZAR, CLERK going to appear or file any negative response to the S. THIELMAN, RPTR motions. Mr. Bloxham concurred. Cours stated its findings. COURT ORDERED, motion is granted as to all counsel. 2/26/36 @ 9:00 A.s MURRAY POSIN'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR MURRAY FOSIN'S MOT State rapresented by Robert O'Neale, DDA. Defendant 2/21/86 TO WITHDRAW AS COUN DONALD M. MOSLEY Moore neither present nor represented by counsel, Murray FOR DEFENDANT MOCK Posiu. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to Wednesday. DEPT. XIV R. O'NEALE, DDA L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR. MURRAY POSIN'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR State represented by Ron Bloxham, DDA. Defendant Moore 2/26/86 DEFENDANT MOORE DONALD M. MOSLEY neither present nor represented by counsel, Murray Posin. Court advised this matter is on for the with-DEPT. XIV drawal of Mr. Posin and the assumption of that appointment R. BLOXHAM, DDA J. JIMMERSON, ESQ. by Mr. James Jinmerson, who is present to confirm as counsel. Mr. Jimmerson confirmed as counsel. COURT L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR. ORDERED, motion to withdraw is granted. CUSTODY (NSP)

SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL, AND THOMAS AKERS DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES - HEARING CONTINUED TO: 1/21/87 JAMES J. JIMMERSON'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR DONALD M. MOSLEY DEFENDANT RANDOLPH MOORE DEPT. XIV State represented by Doug Smith, DDA. Defendant Moore D. SMITH, DDA not present and represented by James Jimmerson, Esq. J. JIMMERSON, ESQ. State advised there was no objection to the motion. Tom Leeds, Esq., also present and advised he was prepared to r. LEEDS, ESQ. assume responsibility as counsel of record in this matter L. BAZAR, CLERK P. GRAF, CLERK COURT ORDERED, motion to withdraw is grafited. Mr. Leeds S. THIELMAN, RPTR. inquired if the entire record on appeal was available. Court advised it did not know, but it would aid him in anyway possible to obtain it. Upon Mr. Leeds inquiry, Mr. Jimmerson advised the time constraints as to this particular defendant had never begun. 5/04/87 DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR THE REMOVAL AND SUBSTITU-5/06/87 @ 9:00 A.M. GIRIAM SHEARING TION OF APPOINTED ATTORNEY OF RECORD DEPT. XV for XIV State represented by Michael O'Callaghan, DDA. Defendant DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION 1. O'CALLAGHAN, DDA Flanagan not present and represented by Marcus Cooper. FOR THE REMOVAL AND DPD, who requested matter be continued to Wednesday. 1. COOPER, DPD SUBSTITUTION OF .. BAZAR, CLERK There being no objection, COURT SO ORDERED. CUSTODY (NSP) APPOINTED ATTORNEY OF 1. SALISBURY, RPTR RECORD 5/06/87 DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR THE REMOVAL AND SUBSTITU-DONALD M. MOSLEY TION OF APPOINTED ATTORNEY OF RECORD DEPT. XIV State represented by Tom Moreo, DDA. Defendant Flanagan I. MOREO, DDA not present and represented by Robert Miller, DPD. Court R. MILLER. DPD advised the defendant feels he should have more contact L. BAZAR, CLERK with his attorney. Mr. Miller advised he had been up S. THIELMAN, RPTR. to Carson City twice and had telephonic communication several times. Hr. Miller further advised that the matter was scheduled to be argued in the Supreme Court on Monday and he was ready to go. COURT ORDERED, motion is denied CUSTODY (NSP) DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY 11/16/87 ALLOWANCE MIRIAM SHEARING State represented by Tom Fitzpatrick, DDA. Defendant DEPT. XV for XIV McDowell not present; represented by George Carter, Esq. K. GRANT, DDA who advised he had spoken to Judge Mosley and he had G. CARTER, DPD said that \$7,000 to \$7,500 was not unreasonable. Court L. BAZAR, CLERK advised it did not want to make a decision for Judge J. HUFF, CLERK Mosley, but it he had agreed. State advised the statute B. SHAVALIER, RPTR allowed \$2,500 and counsel was asking for three times that amount. COURT ORDERED, this Court will grant the motion tentatively, subject to Judge Hosley's approval. Hr. Carter advised he would prepare the order to so reflect. CUSTODY

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

CASE NO.

C69269

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

CASE NO	CHITH TOWNEY DAY THEYPTT WICHER WATCH	
DATE, JUDGE OFFICERS OF	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, THOMAS AKERS	KOI MC DOWELL, and
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
6/22/88 DONALD M. MOSLEY	REMITTITUR RECEIVED FROM SUPREME COURT; SET PENALTY HEARING DATE	1/23/89 € 10:00 A.M.
DEPT. XIV K. VAN DE POL, DDA	State represented by Karen Van De Pol, DDA. Defendant Flanagan not present; represented by David Wall, DPD.	JURY TRIAL - PENALTY PHASE
D. WALL, DPD (Flanagan) E. AYERS, ESQ.	Defendant Moore not present; represented by Earl Ayers, Esq., who advised he had been retained by the defendant. COURT ORDERED, pursuant to discussions in chambers	1/18/88 @ 9:30 A.M.
(Moore) L. BAZAR, CLERK	earlier, the Jury Trial for the Penalty Phase is set on January 23, 1989 at 10:00 A.M. Hr. Wall is to look	CALENDAR CALL
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	into the propriety of the Public Defender's Office representing defendant Flanagan. This Court would ask counsel to enlighten the Court if there is a conflict.  CUSTODY	
1/18/89 DONALD M. MOSLEY DEPT. XIV	CALENDAR CALL (J.T Penalty Phase) State represented by Frank Ponticello, DDA. Defendant Flanagan not present; represented by Steven Dahl, DPD.	7/10/89 @ 10:00 A.M.  JURY TRIAL - PENALTY
F. PONTICELLO, DDA S. DAHL, DPD (Flanagan)	Defendant Moore not present; represented by Earl Ayers, Esq. Murray Posin, Esq., also present. Court advised that there was a conference in chambers with Dan Seaton,	7/05/89 @ 9:30 A.M.
E. AYERS, ESQ. (Moore) L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	DDA, there was a difficulty in that David Gibson, DPD, has taken ill and Eugene Martin, DPD, is substituting in for him. Court advised that the soonest this Court could entertain the matter would be July 10, 1989. Cour	CALENDAR CALL
	inquired if that would be a problem for Mr. Posin. Mr. Ayers advised he had been retained as counsel for defend Moore for further proceedings. Mr. Posin concurred and advised he had withdrawn as counsel. There being no objection, COURT ORDERED, matter is set on July 10, 1989 for the penalty phase; with calendar call on July 5, 198 CUSTODY (BOTH)	ent
4/3/89 DONALD M. MOSLEY	AT REQUEST OF COURT: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL State represented by Pandora Ryder, DDA. Defendant Moore not present, represented by Mark	
DEPT. XIV  E. ALVAREZ  CLERK S. THIELMAN	Blaskey, DPD. Also present was David Schieck, Esq. The Court advised this matter was remanded to appoint counsel for Deft. Moore due to previous counsel, Mr. Earl Ayer's limitation from practice; thereafter,	
REPORTER	By THE COURT ORDERED, Mr. David Schieck appointed as coursel for Defendant Moore. Further, Mr. Schieck inquired if Mr. Nyers directed appoal, thereby, the	
•	Court advised Mr. Schieck to contact Mr. Ayers to obtain necessary documents as to this matter.	

CASE NO. C69269 TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

3 m

CASE NOC07207_	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, I	
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	OI NO DOWNELL BING
OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
6/19/89 DONALD M. MOSLEY	DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER TO TRANSPORT DEFENDANT DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY (7/05/89 CC & 7/10/89 J.T. Penalty Phase)	
DEPT. XIV E. JORGENSON, DDA	State represented by Eric Jorgenson, DDA. Defendant	
D. SCHIECK, ESQ.	Moore not present; represented by David Schieck, Esq. Defendant Flanagan represented by Stephen Dahl, DPD,	
(Moore) S. DAHL, DPD	who advised he had been made aware of the hearing and	
(Flanagan)	would request that he be allowed to join in on the	
L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	motion for transport in behalf of defendant Flanagan.  COURT SO ORDERED. Mr. Schieck argued in support of his.	
	motion for discovery. State advised it would be more	
	comfortable if the assigned deputy, Dan Seaton, responded to the motion. Mr. Dahl advised he had spoken to Mr.	
1	Seaton and he believed he would be willing to cooperate.	
İ	COURT ORDERED, motion is granted; if there is substantial disagreement, the State will not be precluded from voicip;	•
	its objection. State requested reciprocal discovery.	•
	COURT SO ORDERED, Mr. Schieck advised there was one addi- tional matter which was not on calendar; he would move	
	to sever for the penalty hearing. COURT OPDERED, absent	
	anything new to consider, the ruling would be the same.  FURTHER ORDERED, both defendants to be transported no	
	later than June 26, 1989. CUSTODY	
	THE THE PARTY OF THE THE PARTY CHOURSE PER WATER	
7/05/89 DONALD M. MOSLEY	DEFENDANT MOORE'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR DIRE	•
DEPT. XIV	CALENDAR CALL (7/10/89 J.T. PENALTY PHASE)	
D. SEATON, DDA S. DAHL, DPD	State represented by Dan Seaton, DDA. Defendant Flanagan present in custody; represented by Stephen Dahl, DPD.	
(Flanagan)	Defendant Moore present in custody; represented by David	
D. SCHIECK, ESQ.	Schieck, Esq. Mr. Dahl joined in on the motion for individual voir dire on behalf of defendant Flanagan.	
(Moore) L. BAZAR, CLERK	Mr. Seaton advised the State had no objection. Follow-	
S. THIFIMAN, RPTR.	ing representations of counsel, COURT ORDERED, this. Court thinks counsels' points are well-taken; we will	
	evolve a process on Monday, when we begin, we will poll	
•	the prospective jurors as a group. Then we will sit	
	together in chambers and discuss a procedure and what- ever we agree on, we will utilize. Mr. Seaton advised	
	there was another matter; inasmuch as a trial has already	
•	occured and the majority of the aggravating circumstances arose out of that trial, it puts the State in a little	
	bit of a dilemna as to what witnesses they could put on.	
	There were certain things they could inform or should not inform the jury about. Therefore he would ask if the	
	Court would be willing to meet with all three counsel	
	sometime today, tomorrow or Friday to work out those potential problems prior to trial. Court agreed. Upon	
	Court's inquiry. Mr. Seaton advised his case would take	
	approximately three to four days. Mr. Dahl advised his would take another day. Mr. Schieck advised his would	
	take a day also. COURT ORDERED, this Court will be in	
	touch with counsel this week and would work something	eh)
	out. Date for penalty phase will stand. CUSTODY (St	· #==•

TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

CASE NO	
	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL and
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS
OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING CONTINUED TO:
7/10/89	PENALTY PHASE
DONALD M. MOSLEY	State represented by Dan Seaton, DDA. Defendant Flanagas
DEPT. XIV	present in custody; represented by Stephen Dahl, DPD.
D. SEATON, DDA	Defendant Moore present in custody; represented by David
S. DAHL, DPD	Schieck, Esq. Outside presence of prospective jury panel,
(Flanagan)	Mr. Schieck made oral motion in limine regarding the
D. SCHIECK, ESQ.	State's intent to relate certain information regarding
(Moore)	gang activity and a cult. Mr. Dahl joined on the motion
L. BAZAR, CLERK	on behalf of his client. Following arguments of counsel.
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schiek suggests that black and white
	magic would be prejudicial, but in a penalty phase the
<u> </u>	character is at issue. This Court expects the evidence in this penalty phase will track that in the previous
'	penalty phase. This Court will allow mention of magic
1	and gangs as was mentioned in the prior proceeding. In
	this Court's view, it is appropriate to sift out evidence
	if it was an error at the prior proceeding, but neither
	the prosecutor nor the defense can take another bite of
	the apple. This Court will allow them to the extent
	they were allowed in the prior proceeding. Mr. Seaton
	advised assuming a witness, not asked before had brought
	in new information, he would expect to bring it out. He
:	would make it known to the Court. COURT ORDERED, as a
	rule, this Court thinks it appropriate if it is reason-
	able and feasible, and that evidence deviates from that in the prior guilt phase, it is to be brought to this
	Court's attention. Mr. Dahl objected to preserve the
	record. Hr. Dahl requested the State provide a list of
	witnesses as they intend to call them. Mr. Seaton agreed.
	Mr. Schieck advised he intended to preserve all the ob-
	jections throughout the last guilt phase; without having
	to raise them again, which would include Angels Saldana.
	Court inquired if he would be subscribing to those ob-
	jections and endorsing them as if they were his own.
	Mr. Schieck concurred. Mr. Seaton asked, given that,
	could they assume the Court's ruling would be the same
	today. Court concurred.
	11:20 A.M Prospective jury panel summoned. Clerk called roll of prospective jury. Jury selection began. 5:00 P M.:
	COURT ORDERED; matter is continued to July 11, 1989 at
	10:00 A.M.
7/11/89	10:00 A.M.
1/22/4/	Appearances as noted above. Court clerk called roll of
	prospective jurors. Jury and alternate selected and sworn.
	COURT ORDERED, matter continued to July 12, 1989 at 10:00 A.M.
7/12/89	10:00 A.M.
	Appearances as noted above. Outside presence of jury:
	defense counsel advised they had agreed they would have
	to inform the jury why the penalty phase was five years
	the jury should be informed as to why we are here and
	what occurred four years or so ago. The Jury need not
	concern themselves on what the sentence was. They would
	be advised there were irregularities in the process and
	it has been returned to Court for one more penalty hearing.
•	Mr. Schieck advised the State had advised they were going
	to call Roy McDowll in the hearing. He did not testify
	in the first hearing. They would ask any documents or
	statements be furnished and they would like to talk to
	CONTINUED NEXT PAGE

	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, RO	OY MC DOWELL AND
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS OF COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
7/12/89	PENALTY PHASE CONTINUED	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
DONALD M. MOSLEY	Mr. McDowall. They would ask the Court to allow them to	
DEPT. XIV	talk to Mr. McDowell tonight rather than today. Mr. Dah	
D. SEATON, DDA	advised the chief issue was what the State's purpose was	
S. DAHL, DPD	in calling co-defendant McDowell. State advised it did	•
(Flanagan)	not think defendant McDowell was going to testify, they	
D. SCHIECK, ESQ.	had instructed the jail, at the first opportunity to	
(Moore)	send him back to Carson City. COURT ORDERED, we will proceed on the belief he will not testify and if he change	Laa
L. BAZAR, CLERK S. THIELMAN, RPTP.	his mind, this Court will entertain the matter at that.	je=
3 <del></del>	time.	
	10:32 A.M Jury summoned. Clerk called roll of jury.	
	Court advised jury that there was a penalty phase hearing	k.
	about four years ago and there was a sentence imposed.	
	The Supreme Court in reviewing the transcript determined	
	there were irregularities in those proceedings and set	
	aside the sentence and asked that we conduct a new penal	-y
	phase. Court advised the jurors that they should not be concerned of the penalty phase hearing in the past. This	
	concerned of the penalty phase hearing in the past. This was a whole new decision and up to you twelve individual	<u> </u>
	to make the decision at this time. Opening statement by	-
	Mr. Seaton. Opening statement by Mr. Dale in behalf of	
	defendant Dale Flanagan. Opening statement by Mr. Schie	ek e
	on behalf of defendant Randolph Moore. Witnesses sworn	
	and testified. Outside presence of jury, Mr. Dahl ob-	
	jected to State's Exhibit 119. Following arguments of	
	counsel, COURT ORDERED, objection overruled. Mr. Dahl's	
	continuing objection to the reference to devil worship	
	that the State was using it as an aggravating argument i so noted. State advised for the record that State is	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	exhibit was coming in to show character, nothing more.	
	Jury summoned. Counsel stipulated that all members of	
	the jury were present and properly seated. Witnesses	
	sworn and testified and exhibits offered and admitted	1
	per attached worksheets. Outside presence of jury,	
	Hr. Dahl advised there were certain things Mr. Seaton	
	wanted to use Hel Harmon, DDA, for, which they objected	
	to. There were two problems, (1) he is using Mr. Harmon to bring in Mr. Luckett's testimony. Mr. Dahl thought	
	they should, if desired, have a right of confrontation.	
	Different information might be brought out with Mr.	
	Luckett. Their other objection would be the sentences	
	imposed on the other defendants at the trial. Mr. Schie	k
	joined on the objection. State argued that the laws in	
	a penalty hearing say that hearsay, as long as it is	
	trustworthy and reliable, can be brought in. Mr. Lucket	ľ
	has appellant things going on right now and may not want to help the State, we put him in prison. Mr. Harmon	
_	has read the transcript to make sure his testimony does	
	not deviate from the transcript. The sentences of the	<del></del>
	others, he says, are not relevant. The jury has been	•
	apprised of all the deeds these gentlemen have done to-	
	gether, they should be apprised of the sentences.	
•	COURT ORDERED, concerning the question of Mr. Luckett's	<u>L</u>
	testimony, we are not trying snew the guilt phase of thi	9
	proceeding. If Mr. Harmon intends to essentially parrot what Mr. Luckett's testimony is, there is no objection.	
	The elegentive would be to admit the transcript, but	
	that would be more time consuming. This Court sees not	ing
<del></del>	CONTINUED NEXT PAGE	
	·	

TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AFA

CASE NO. \_\_\_\_ C69269

CASE NO C69269	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAC	TAN DAMPOI DU MOODE AVA
CASE NOC09289	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAC SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	201 110 2011222 1212
OFFICERS OF		
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
7/12/89	PENALTY PHASE CONTINUED	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	inappropriate, but it does have some hesitancy concerning the sentences of Mr. Luckett and Mr. McDowell as far as	
	the jury is concerned. Following further arguments of	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	counsel, COURT ORDERED, this Court does not know that the	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	jury should not have the information regarding the co-	
	defendants' sentences. This Court thinks a cautionary	
	instruction is warranted. Jury summoned. Counsel stipu-	
	lated that all members of the jury were present and	
	properly seated. Melvin T. Harmon, DDA, sworn and testi: for the purpose of reading the testimony of Johnny Ray	180
	Luckett from the trial transcript. COURT ORDERED, matter	
	is continued to July 13, 1989 at 10:00 A.M.	
7/13/89	10:00 A.H.	
	Appearances as noted above. Clerk called roll of jury.	
	Witnesses sworn and testified and exhibits offered and	
	admitted per attached worksheets. Mr. Dahl and Mr. Schi	ck
	read into the record the testimony from the last trial of Ronald Jims, supervisor, from prior hearing on Septem	ar
	1984. Recess for lunch. Reconvene at 1:15 P.M.	,=:,
	Counsel stipulated that all members of the jury were	
	present and properly seated. Court advised there had be	en
	a suggestion there had been certain discussions in the	
	hallway by other persons, spectators. Court inquired of	
	jury if anyone had heard any discussions of that kind.	4
	No indication by the jury. Witnesses sworn and testifie and exhibits offered and admitted per attached worksheet	
	3:20 P.M.: Outside presence of the jury, Court advised	•
	defendants of their rights not to be compelled to testif	,
	in this case in their own behalf. Jury summoned.	
	Counsel stipulate that all members of the jury were	
	present and properly seated. Court advised that the	
	defendants had elected to make what is known as an	
	unsworn statement. The prosecutor under the law cannot cross-examine the defendants. 3:23 P.M Dale Flanagan	
	made an unaworn statement. 3:28 P.M Randolph Moore	,
	made an unsworn statement. 3:28 P.M Randolph Moore made an unsworn statement. Defense rested. 3:30 P.M	
	court recessed. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued to	
	July 14, 1989 at 10:00 A.M. Counsel moved to proceed	
	in the absence in their clients' absence-for the limited	
	purposes of settling jury instructions. Jury Instruction through 16 settled in open court. Court adjourned.	W.
7/14/86	1 through 16 settind in open court. Court adjourned.	
7/14/89	Appearances as noted above. Outside presence of jury.	
	Defense asked to reserve the right to sur-rebuttal regard	ding
	the penalty. COURT ORDERED, this Court will leave that	
	open to possibility. Defense counsel argued there was	_
	no evidence introduced regarding dissension and argument	y ta
	between grandparents and he did not bring that out. Sta argued that there had been talk by one of the witnesses	
=-	shour some disearisfaction, unhappiness between Dale and	
	the grandparents. COURT ORDERED, in this Court's view	
	if there is a discrepancy as Mr. Dahl suggests, it would	
	not amount to a basis for a mistrial because it is minor	
	in this Court's view. This Court understands that your	
]	closing remarks must track the evidence in this hearing. The jury needs to hear only the argument regarding svide	nce
	in this matter. This Court quite frankly does not recal	1
	any mention of dissension between the defendant and his	
<del></del>	CONTINUED NEXT PAGE	
I		

CASE NO. <u>C69269</u>		
	TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGE	AN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA
1	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH,	ROY MC DOWELL and
DATE, JUDGE	THOMAS AKERS	
OFFICERS OF		
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
7/14/89	PENALTY PHASE CONTINUED	
DONALD M. MOSLEY	grandparents. If Ms. Saldana or any other witness has to	
DEPT. XIV	be impeached, they should have been impeached from the	
i	stand.	
L. BAZAR, CLERK	10:44 A.M Jury summoned. Clerk called roll of jury.	
S. THIELMAN, RPTR.	10:45 A.M Court read Jury Instructions 1 through 18	
	to the Jury. 10:55 A.M Closing arguments by Mr.	•
	Seaton. Recess for lunch. 1:15 P.M Closing arguments	
	by Mr. Dahl on behalf of defendant Flanagan. 1:55 P.M	
<del> </del>	Closing argument by Mr. Schieck on behalf of defendant	
	Moore. 2:23 P.M Rebuttal argument by Mr. Seaton.	7/31/89 @ 9:00 A.M.
	3:00 P.M Bailiff sworn and matter submitted to the	
	jury for deliberation.	CONFIRMATION OF JURY's
	6:32 P.M Court reconvened. Appearances as noted	VERDICT AND IMPOSITION
	above. Jury returned with a verdict of death as to	OF SENTENCE AS TO
	Counts VI and VII as to defendants Flanagan and Moore.	COUNTS VI & VII
	COURT ORDERED, continued for confirmation of the	(SET EXECUTION DATE)
	jury's verdict and imposition of sentence. Court thanked	
1	and excused the jury. Defendants remanded to the custody	
	of the lail.	
	Outside presence of jury: Defense counsel advised that	-
	when they approached the bench at the finish of State's	
	rebuttal argument, they had requested surrebuttal argumen	r.
	They had made the motion earlier in the case and the	
	Court denied it at the bench. They just wished to put it	
	on the record. State argued that it was not up to the	
	State to put on mitigating circumstances. COURT ORDERED	
	as this Court had mentioned rather hurriedly, admittedly	
	at the bench, it was this Court's view that although Mr.	
	Seaton had suggested there was a burden on the defense	<u> </u>
	to show reasonable doubt as to mitigating circumstances,	
	he explained that and it was corrected with the jury.	
i l	There was no need to recover on rebuttal in that area	
! 1	and that is why the motion was denied. CUSTODY (BO	- - 11 \
	and that is any the motion are defined. Conton (so	14)
١,		
١.		
	,	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·	
	·	

SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, ROY MC DOWELL and DATE JUDGE OFFICERS OF CONTINUED TO: COURT PRESENT APPEARANCES - HEARING 7/31/89 CONFIRMATION OF JURY'S VERDICT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE DONALD M. MOSLEY AS TO COUNTS VI & VII (SET EXECUTION DATE) DEPT. XIV State represented by Dan Seaton, DDA. Defendant present in custody; represented by Stephen Dahl, DPD. D. SEATON, DDA Defendant present in custody: represented by S. DAHL. DPD (Flanagan) David Schieck, Esq. The Court inquired of defendant D. SCHIECK, ESQ. Flanagan if there was any reason why judgment should no be pronounced against him. Defendant answered in the (Moore) negative. COURT ORDERED, by virtue of the jury's findings L. BAZAR, CLERK in the matter concerning the two capital counts of C. JOHNSON, RPTR. "Murder with Use of a Deadly Weapon" (F); that finding being the imposition of the death penalty: this Court acknowledges that finding. Statement by Mr. Flanagan condemning the judicial system and waiving his appeal for the death penalty and requesting he be executed upon the date set. Court inquired of defendant if he had seriously considered waiving his appeal. Defendant concurred. Court further canvaged the defendant with regard to his decision. Mr. Dahl requested that indenendent councel be eppointed to talk to the defendant about the case, because in his statement, part of his dissatisfaction was with the Public Defender's Office. Court inquired of the defendant if he felt Mr. Dahl's advice was somewhat slanted and if he wanted someone else to assist him. Defendant said no. State asked that the record reflect that in observing the defendant he meemed to be quite calm and rational. Court agreed with the State's observation with regard to the defendant's demeanor. COURT ORDERED, record to so reflect. in accordance with the law of the State of Nevada, this Court confirms the jury's verdict of death; defendant will be taken to an appropriate place and put to death through lethal injection on October 23, 1989. The Court inquired of defendant Moore if there was any reason why judgment should not be pronounced against him. Defendant answered in the negative. COURT ORDERED. by virtue of the jury's verdict concerning the two capital counts of "Murder with Use of a Deadly Wespon" (F); the defendant is adjudged guilty. Statement by Mr. Moore. No statement by counsel. Court inquired of Mr. Moore if he was contemplating giving up his right of appeal. Defendant advised he did not and wished to preserve that right. In accordance with the law of the State of Nevada, this Court confirms the jury's verdict of death; defendant will be executed on October 23, 1989. Mr. Schieck advised defendant had the right to an automatic appeal and would be agreeable to his representing him. Court inquired if Mr. Schieck had advised him of the familications of having the same attorney represent him on the appeal. Mr. Schieck concurred. Defendant concurred. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck is appointed as appellant counsel. Mr. Dahl advised his client had requested he not file notice of appeal, but he did not know how the Supreme Court would view that. Mr. Dahl made an oral motion to withdraw. COURT ORDERED motion to withdraw is granted; but this Court would ask Mr. Dahl to stand by in case defendant Flanagan would wish to communicate with him. State advised the Supreme Court CONTINUED NEXT PACE

TITLE THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN. RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

C69269

CASE NO.

MINUTES - CRIMINAL

ASE NU. LOYZDY	CMITTH TOURSE DAY THOUSEN MICHAEL THE CH	
DATE HIDDE	SMITH, JOHNNY RAY LUCKETT, MICHAEL WALSH, THOMAS AKERS	KOI MC DOWELL and
OFFICERS OF		
COURT PRESENT	APPEARANCES — HEARING	CONTINUED TO:
/31/89 ONALD M. MOSLEY	CONTINUED	
EPT. XIV	would still look at this case with an eye toward the propriety of the death sentence. They would think it	
. SEATON, DDA	would be a good idea to ask Mr. Dahl to remain counsel	
DAHL, DPD	of record. They were going to need the record up there.	
Flanagan)	He should make inquiries of the Supreme Court to see	
. SCHIECK, ESQ.	what their desire is. COURT ORDERED, under the circum-	
Moore)	stances, this Court thinks it appropriate to give Mr.	
. BAZAR, CLERK	Dahl leave to withdraw with the understanding, first, the	it
	he would be available to receive any communication from Mr. Flanagan should be change his mind, and second, that	
	he see the necessary documents are forwarded to the Supre	ne.
	Court. Mr. Dahl concurred and acknowledged. CUSTODY	
	•	
	,	
	,	•
0/02/89	DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR POST-CONVICTION APPOINT-	•
ONALD M. MOSLEY	MENT OF COUNSEL	
EPT. XIV	DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO COMPEL TRANSFER OF RECORDS	
. PONTICELLO,DDA	FROM PREVIOUS COUNSEL; MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF TRAN-	
. GRAVES, ESQ.	SCRIPTS OF RECENT HEARINGS State represented by Frank Ponticello, DDA. Defendant	
. BAZAR, CLERK . SILVAGGIO, RPTR.	not present; represented by John Graves, Esq.	
SILVROSIOJAIIA.	Court stated its findings. COURT ORDERED, motion for	
	post-conviction relief not being timely filed, denied.	
	Defendant's motion for attorney would be moot as would	
•	the motion for transfer of records and production of	
'	transcripts. Mr. Graves advised he was prepared to	
•	send the materials to the defendant, but it would cost \$8.29. Defendant was so advised. COURT ORDERED, this	
:	Court does not see Mr. Graves position as inappropriate,	
	if the defendant wishes they be sent to him, he can	
	defray expenses. CUSTODY NSP	
10 /0 /00	AT REQUEST OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY: APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
10/9/89 DONALD M. MOSLEY	State represented by Dan Seaton, DOA.	<u>.</u>
DEPT. XIV	Deft. Deft. Ot present, reprsented by counsel,	÷
P04 21 1121	Stephen Dahl, Esq. and Lee McMahon, Esq. Mr. Dahl	
CAROL GREEN	advised that waiver has been properly filed with the	
(CLERK)	Supreme Court. Ms. McMahon confirmed. COURT CRDERED,	
RENEE SILVAGGIO	Ms. McMahon appointed to review validity of Waiver of	
(reporter)	Appeal.	
	COSTODI (NOF)	
		•
4		

THE STATE OF NEVADA VS. DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, RANDOLPH MOORE AKA

ASE NO. C69269

MINUTES DATE: 11/04/92

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs McDowell, Roy

11/04/92 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (11-4-92)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: PAULETTE TAYLOR, Relief Clerk

DONNA LITTLE, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

003186 James, Karen M.

Y

DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO RELEASE TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS...DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FORE LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Court stated the deft. is making a motion for release of trial transcripts for a civil case. Apparently, the deft. has not been apprised there is a cost for the transcripts which the deft. would have to pay if he wants them. COURT ORDERED, the motion to proceed in forma pauperis is granted.

NSP

08/24/98 09:00 AM 00 DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF

**DOCUMENTS** 

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

005122 Savage, Darin

Y

Court advised this was a 1985 sentencing; the Attorney of record, Mr. Handfuss, was not in the directory for Defendant, who has requested copies of documents; he is allowed to have transcripts etc; however, because of the difficulty in this being in 1985, and no stated reason for asking for the material, COURT ORDERED motion DENIED. Court further advised the Defendant needed to state specificity for wanting the documents. State to prepare the order.

NDP

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 002

MINUTES DATE: 08/24/98

MINUTES DATE: 09/28/00

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs McDowell, Roy

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 001

09/28/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 9/28/00

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

RENEE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

Y

DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY

Mr. Simon stated he believes these motions are procedurally barred. COURT ORDERED, WRIT DENIED on the following grounds: 1. The Writ fails to comply with NRS 34.735, and 2. The Writ is untimely under NRS 34.726 and 34.800.

COURT ORDERED, Motin DENIED without prejudice as it doesn't comply with the requirements of NRS 34.750. Motion may be renewed if Defendant can comply with the statutory requirements.

NDP

10/04/01 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS

10-04-01

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: Joyce Brown, Court Clerk

Cat Nelson, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

006316 Goettsch, Becky S.

7

DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY...DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS...DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION TO DISMISS MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE WITH CO-DEFT, MR. DALE FLANAGAN...DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION TO JOIN AND/OR CONSOLIDATE PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF HABEAS CORPUS

Court advised the bottomn line was the Court would allow Defendant McDowell to proceed in forma pauperis and ORDERED Defendant's Pro Per Motion To Proceed in Forma Pauperis GRANTED.

AS TO THE OTHER FOUR MOTIONS, Court advised the main thing was this comes to the Court from about 1985; the Defendant has not shown any good cause or reason why he filed the petition late; it is an excessive petition; he has raised an issue as to ineffectiveness of counsel which usually gives rise to appointment of counsel, but because of excessive petition, the COURT ORDERED Defendant's Pro Per Motion For Appointment of Attorney DENIED.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 003

MINUTES DATE: 10/04/01

MINUTES DATE: 10/04/01

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs McDowell, Roy

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 002

COURT FURTHER ORDERED Defendant's Pro Per Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus DENIED; Defendant's Pro Per Motion To Dismiss Motion To Consolidate With Co-Defendant, Mr. Dale Flanagan DENIED; and Defendatn's Pro Per Motion To Join And/Or Consolidate Petitions For Writs Of Habeas Corpus DENIED.

NDC

08/27/09 08:30 AM 00 PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

Sylvia Courtney/sc, Relief Clerk Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

0003 D McDowell, Roy

PRO SE Pro Se

Y Y

Y

Court stated she has prepared an order outlining findings of fact and conclusions of law denying Deft.'s third petition for writ. This is a successive petition and Deft. has not overcome procedural default rules nor shown good cause. COURT ORDERED, petition DENIED.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order has been distributed to: Roy McDowell, #21833, Lovelock Correctional Center, LCC/1200 Prison Road, Lovelock, Nevada 89419-5110.

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 003 MINUTES DATE: 08/27/09

MINUTES DATE: 06/24/91

Ν

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E 06/24/91 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (6/24/91) HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14 OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk DONNA LITTLE, Reporter/Recorder PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Ν 002028 Booker, Gary R. Y 0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E Ν 001484 Hill, Judith D. Υ 0002 D Moore, Randolph Ν 000824 Schieck, David M.

STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION (FLANAGAN).....STATE'S MOTION FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION (MOORE)

Ms. Hill argued that defendant Flanagan would ask the Court to dismiss the supplemental warrant of execution as it was too early. Argument by Mr. Schieck on behalf of defendant Moore, that it was a waste of time as it was just 30 days after the remittitur was issued. He would suggest the matter be continued two to three weeks. Following arguments of counsel, COURT ORDERED, a warrant of execution will issue and an execution date will be set on July 15, 1991 as to each of the defendants; Flanagan and Moore.

CUSTODY (NSP)

02/24/93 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (2/24/93)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

DONNA LITTLE, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004312 Ledebohm, Karl M.

Moore, Randolph 0002 D

000824 Schieck, David M.

ORAL REQUEST OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY: SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING (FLANAGAN AND MOORE).... Mr. Schieck advised Ms. McMahon had filed a motion to withdraw which was set on March 1, 1993. State requested matter be taken off calendar, because they hadn't received a copy of the remittitur. Court advised it had. Mr. Schieck advised he would be willing to accept reappointment. There being no objection, COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck is reappointed. This matter is

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 002 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09

PAGE: 001 MINUTES DATE: 02/24/93

MINUTES DATE: 02/24/93

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 001

continued to Monday.

CUSTODY (NSP) (BOTH) ...3/01/93 @ 9:00 A.M. AT ORAL REQUEST OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY: SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)

03/01/93 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (3/01/93) (1 & 2)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

DONNA LITTLE, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000862 Harmon, Melvyn T.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001765 McMahon, Lee E.

Moore, Randolph 0002 D 000824 Schieck, David M. Ν γ

Y

Ν

AT ORAL REQUEST OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY: SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING...LEE ELIZABETH MCMAHON, ESO.'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD AND APPOINT COUNSEL FOR REPRESENTATION OF DEFENDANT IN THE DEATH PENALTY HEARING

Court inquired if there was an objection to Ms. McMahon's motion to withdraw as counsel of record for defendant Flanagan. Mr. Harmon he had no objection. COURT ORDERED, motion granted. Court inquired if Stephen Dahl, DPD, had represented defendant Flanagan prior. Ms. McMahon concurred. Court asked if it would not be appropriate to ask Mr. Dahl to resume the responsibility in this new penalty phase. Mr. Schieck advised he had no objection. Court advised it would take it up with Mr. Dahl. COURT ORDERED, matter is continued for confirmation of counsel and to set the penalty hearing.

CUSTODY (NSP) (BOTH) ...3/10/93 @ 9:00 A.M. CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (FLANAGAN)...SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 003

MINUTES DATE: 03/10/93

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 002

03/10/93 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (3/10/93) (1 & 2)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

DONNA LITTLE, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004288 Hill, Steven

0002 D Moore, Randolph N 000824 Schieck, David M.

CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (FLANAGAN)...SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)

Stephen Dahl, DPD, present. Court asked Mr. Dahl if he confirmed as counsel. Mr. Dahl advised at the end of the last penalty hearing defendant Flanagan expressed unhappiness with the representation. He thought it would be best to have Mr. Flanagan present. COURT ORDERED, this Court is going to have to pass the setting of the penalty hearing. The D.A. for the State would have to approve. It looked like Mr. Harmon would be the prosecutor. defendants' are being held in Ely State Prison. Upon Court's inquiry, counsel advised they transported prisoners every other week. COURT ORDERED, this Court will have the secretary call the state prison and find out and will set the matter on next Monday, or a week from next Wednesday and counsel will be noticed. Mr. Schieck suggested his client, defendant Moore also being transported. COURT ORDERED, under the circumstances, this Court will order both defendant Moore and defendant Flanagan be transported. The D.A. and counsel will be contacted on the date.

1:20 P.M. - Secretary having contacted Ely State Prison and having been apprised that next transport date would be March 18, 1993, COURT ORDERED, the hearing date would be set March 22, 1993. Court clerk contacted D.A. and P.D. Records and Mr. Schieck.

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09

CUSTODY (NSP) (BOTH) ... 3/22/93 @ 9:00 A.M. CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (FLANAGAN) ... SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 004

MINUTES DATE: 03/22/93

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 003

Y

Y

Υ

Y

Y

γ

03/22/93 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (3/22/93) (1 & 2)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

SHARON THIELMAN, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000346 Mitchell, Scott S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 001069 Dahl, Stephen J.

0002 D Moore, Randolph

000824 Schieck, David M.

CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (FLANAGAN)...SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY PHASE (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)

Mr. Mitchell advised he had been provided with a copy of Mr. Harmon's schedule for the year. Court asked defendant Flanagan if he had a problem with Mr. Dahl handling the responsibility of his case. Defendant Flanagan stated he had none. After consulting counsel concerning their court schedules, COURT ORDERED, date for the penalty hearing is confirmed for September 7, 1993 at 10:00 A.M.

CUSTODY (NSP) (BOTH) ...PENALTY HEARING 9/07/93 @ 10:00 A.M./C.C. 9/01/93 @ 9:30 A.M.

05/03/93 09:00 AM 00 MOTION FOR ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF FEES

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004312 Ledebohm, Karl M.

Court advised it was Ms. McMahon's motion and was somewhat unnecessary since a stipulation had been sent over and signed. In any case, her request had been agreed to and the Court signed the order reflecting that. COURT ORDERED, motion granted.

CUSTODY (NSP) (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 005

MINUTES DATE: 05/03/93

MINUTES DATE: 07/14/93

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 004

MINUTE ORDER RE: RESET 9/01/93 HEARING 07/14/93 09:00 AM 00

(1 & 2)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

COURT ORDERED, due to this Court's absence, the hearing set on September 1, 1993 is hereby vacated and reset on August 31, 1993 at 9:30 A.M. Court clerk noticed D.A. Records, P.D. Records, and counsel.

08/31/93 09:30 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (8/31/93) (1 & 2)

HEARD BY: Donald M. Mosley, Judge; Dept. 14

OFFICERS: LOIS BAZAR, Court Clerk

RUSSELL GARCIA, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

000862 Harmon, Melvyn T.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 001069 Dahl, Stephen J.

0002 D Moore, Randolph

Schieck, David M. 000824

CALENDAR CALL (PENALTY PHASE 9/07/93)...DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Dahl advised he had called to stop transportation of the defendants from Nevada State Prison because they were continuing the trial date. Defense counsel waived the presence of defendants Flanagan and Moore for the purpose of the hearing. Court noted it was a motion to continue the setting of the penalty phase. Mr. Schieck acquiesced. Mr. Harmon advised he had no objection. Court noted the date of April 4, 1994 had been suggested. Mr. Dahl concurred. Court inquired if that was agreed universally. Counsel concurred. COURT ORDERED, motion granted.

CUSTODY (NSP) (BOTH) ...PENALTY PHASE 4/04/94 @ 10:00 A.M./C.C. 3/30/94 @ 9:30 A.M.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 006

Ν

Y

Y

Ν

MINUTES DATE: 02/03/94

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF	NEVADA			vs Fl	lanagan,	Dale	E		
						CON	TINUE	FROM	PAGE:	005
	02/03/94	09:00 A	M 00	ALL PE	ENDING	MOTIONS	FOR 2	2-3-94		
	HEARD BY:	Addelia	r D Gu	y, III,	Judge	e; Dept.	11			
•	OFFICERS:					Recorder				
	PARTIES:	000862		OF NEV						Y Y
		0001 D1 PUBDEF 004065	Publi		nder	١.				N Y Y
		0002 D 000824 000460	Schie	e, Rand ck, Dav randt,	√id M.	ım L.				N Y Y

Court advised a penalty hearing has been previously set in April and this Court is not ready to hear it. Court advised it has received no order for a three-judge panel. State advised the hearing will take approximately one week. COURT ORDERED, MATTER SET FOR PENALTY HEARING ON OCTOBER 3 AND WILL HAVE A STATUS CHECK ON JUNE 9. APRIL 4 AND MARCH 30 DATES ARE VACATED. Conference at the bench.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

6-9-94 9:00 A.M. STATUS CHECK

10-3-94 10:00 A.M. PENALTY HEARING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 007

MINUTES DATE: 02/03/94

MINUTES DATE: 04/14/94

Y

N

Y

Y

Ν

Y

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 006

04/14/94 09:00 AM 00 MOTION TO RESET TRIAL DATE

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

PATRICIA LOFFT, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000862 Harmon, Melvyn T.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 001069 Dahl, Stephen J.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

Mr. Dahl advised, prior to this penalty hearing being set, counsel were before Judge Sobel on a death penalty case and were told very strongly to protect the trial date of October 10 in that case at all costs. State concurred. Court suggested counsel provide the Court with the dates they will be available and the Court will attempt to find a date compatible with the Court's and counsel's calendars. Mr. Dahl advised this penalty hearing will probably take 2 full weeks as it is to be heard before a jury and jury selection alone could take several days due to the length of time this case has been around and the publicity it has received. COURT ORDERED, matter continued one week; counsel to provide dates today.

CUSTODY (NDP)

CONTINUED TO: 04/21/94 09:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 008

MINUTES DATE: 04/14/94

MINUTES DATE: 04/21/94

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF N	IEVADA			vs Fla	nagan,	Dale E	3		
						CON	TINUED	FROM	PAGE:	007
	04/21/94	09:00 AM	4 00 A	ALL PEI	NDING M	OTIONS	(4/21/	94)		
	HEARD BY: A	Addelia	c D Guy,	III,	Judge;	Dept.	11			
	OFFICERS: 1	NANCY BAPATRICIA				corder				
	PARTIES:	002028	STATE O							Y Y
	]	0001 D1 PUBDEF 001069	Public	Defend	der					N Y Y
	(	0002 D 000824 000460	Schieck	c, Davi	id M.	ı L.				Y Y Y

The Court advised the best date the Court can give is the first Monday in January. COURT ORDERED, status check is vacated as well as the Penalty Hearing. This matter continued for a Penalty Hearing.

# CUSTODY (NDP) (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)

1/3/95 @ 10:00 A.M. - PENALTY HEARING (FLANNAGAN AND MOORE) 12/29/94 @ 9:00 A.M. - CALENDAR CALL

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 009

MINUTES DATE: 04/21/94

MINUTES DATE: 12/01/94

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF	NEVADA	vs Flanagan, Dale E
			CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 00
	12/01/94	09:00 A	M 00 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE PENALTY HEARING
	HEARD BY:	Addelia	ar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11
	OFFICERS:		JRD, Court Clerk SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder
	PARTIES:	000862	STATE OF NEVADA Harmon, Melvyn T.
		PUBDEF	Flanagan, Dale E Public Defender Dahl, Stephen J. Blaskey, Rebecca A.
		0002 D 000824 000460	

Mr. Dahl advised defts. FLANAGAN and MOORE are in the Nevada Dept. of Prisons and would waive their presence today. Court advised it wants the waiver in writing due to the circumstances in this case. Court advised the record will reflect that Mr. Dahl has been elected as Justice of the Peace in North Las Vegas, to take office on January 3 and Ms. Mounts has just been given this case. This is a voluminous file and Ms. Mounts cannot be ready by January 3. State advised he understands the circumstances and it is apparent the date must be vacated, however, State would request a date as early as possible as this case is ten years old and there have already been four separate penalty hearings. Ms. Mounts advised counsel have conferred and would request a date in June. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED two weeks for counsel to obtain a waiver from the defts. for a continuance to the June date; Court advised he will not vacate the January date until he receives the waiver; matter set for penalty hearing in June.

NDP (BOTH)

12-15-94 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: WAIVER (BOTH)

6-8-95 9:00 AM CALENDAR CALL (BOTH)

6-12-95 10:00 AM PENALTY HEARING (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 010

MINUTES DATE: 12/01/94

MINUTES DATE: 12/15/94

Ν

Υ

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF 1	NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E	ļ
		CONTINUED FROM PAGE:	009
	12/15/94	09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS	
	HEARD BY:	Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11	
	OFFICERS:	TINA HURD, Court Clerk ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder	
	PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 004610 Gardner, Gerald J.	Y Y
		0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender	N Y

Deft. Flanagan's waiver FILED IN OPEN COURT. Mr. Schieck advised he has already filed a waiver with the Court for deft. Moore. COURT ORDERED, defts FLANAGAN and MOORE's presence will be waived today and at all hearings up to, but not including, the Calendar Call; January dates are VACATED and hearing date STANDS.

PAGE: 010

004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

000824 Schieck, David M.

Moore, Randolph

0002 D

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 011

MINUTES DATE: 12/15/94

MINUTES DATE: 05/25/95

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E
CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 010

05/25/95 09:00 AM 00 MINUTE ORDER RE: HEARING MOTIONS

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000862 Harmon, Melvyn T. 002473 Seaton, Daniel M.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 002805 Wall, David T.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

N Y

Y

Y

Ν

Y

Court met with Counsel in Chambers and advised motions will be heard on June 1, and June 6. Mr. Schieck moved to have all his motions heard on the same date. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED and Mr. Schieck chose June 6.

NDP (BOTH)

CLERK'S NOTE: After further consultation with the Court, Court advised to place ALL the motions to be heard prior to the penalty hearing on June 6. th

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 012

MINUTES DATE: 05/25/95

PAGE: 012 MINUTES DATE: 06/06/95

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		vs Flanagan, Dale E							
						CON	TINUED	FROM	PAGE:	011	
	06/06/95	09:00 A	00 M	ALL PE	ENDING	MOTIONS	6-6	-95			
	HEARD BY:	Addelia	ır D Guy	y, III,	Judge	; Dept.	11				
	OFFICERS:	JOYCE E ANITA S	•			rter/Re	corder				
	PARTIES:	000862		OF NEV						Y Y	
		0001 D1 002805 004065	Wall,	David	T.					N Y Y	
		0002 D 000824 000460	Schie	ck, Dav	vid M.	m L.				N Y Y	

DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL IN VIEW OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISION IN DAWSON V. DELAWARE...DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE AND FOR SUBMISSION OF JURY QUESTIONNAIRE...DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT MOORE'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY... DEFT MOORE'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE INDUCEMENTS, PROMISES, AND PAYMENTS TO PROSPECTIVE STATE WITNESSES AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF... DEFT MOORE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO THE SENTENCES OF THE CO-DEFENDANTS...DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO SUMMARIZE WITNESS' PRIOR TESTIMONY OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO DISQUALIFY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE...DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE TO INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATIONS OF BENEFITS OF TESTIMONY...DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PROHIBIT EVIDENCE OF DEVIL WORSHIP...DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO JOIN CO-DEFENDANT RANDOLPH MOORE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO SENTENCES OF CO-DEFENDANTS... DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO AMEND DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL TO REFLECT PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO JOIN DEFENDANT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS... DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY...DEFT MOORE'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY

At Court's inquiry as to why the Defendants were not present, Schieck advised that throughout these proceedings, the Defendants have not desired to be present because of the housing situation at Ely. He further stated the Defendants always asked their presence be waived and is true of this proceeding. Mr. Wall stated the same on behalf of Mr. Moore. COURT ORDERED motion waiving Defendants' presence GRANTED. Court read entire list of motions. Mr. Wall advised four motions - DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO AMEND DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL TO REFLECT PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO JOIN DEFENDANT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS; DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL IN

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 013

MINUTES DATE: 06/06/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/06/95

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 012

VIEW OF UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISION IN DAWSON V. DELAWARE; AND DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS could be argued together if Deft Flanagan's Motion to Amend Deft Flanagan's Previously Filed Motion for New Trial is granted first. No objection by State. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. Schieck advised he would be filing a Joinder in Motions later joining in all the motions. These four motions were argued together. ORDERED Deft Flanagan's Motion For New Trial in View of United States Supreme Court Decision in Dawson V. Delaware DENIED; Deft Flanagan's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus DENIED; and Deft Moore's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus DENIED.

As to DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE AND FOR SUBMISSION OF JURY QUESTIONNAIRE, COURT ORDERED Individualized Voir Dire is DENIED, but Court will consider Mr. Harmon's questions of Jury as a whole. As to a Jury Questionnaire, Court has no problem with that and if all three parties come in with a stipulated set of questions by tomorrow or Thursday, it may be used. Court instructed Counsel to see Jury Services today about deadlines.

As to DEFT MOORE'S AND DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTIONS TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY. Argument by Counsel and COURT ORDERED both motions DENIED. As to DEFT MOORE'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE INDUCEMENTS, PROMISES AND PAYMENTS TO PROSPECTIVE STATE WITNESSES AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF and DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE TO INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATIONS OF BENEFITS OF TESTIMONY, Court advised Mr. Harmon says there are none. Court further advised there are always payments of travel and motel expenses for State witnesses. COURT ORDERED both motions DENIED, but will grant leeway in questioning at depth.

As to DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO JOIN CO-DEFENDANT RANDOLPH MOORE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO SENTENCES OF CO-DEFENDANTS, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

As to DEFT MOORE'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO THE SENTENCES OF THE CO-DEFENDANTS, argument by Harmon that Jury is asked to set punishment on two out of six Defendants and they need to help the Jury as much as possible. Argument by Schieck and Wall, who joined in the motion, that Co-Defendants' sentences bear no relevance as to what these two Defendants should receive. Court read from the Statutes and ORDERED motion DENIED.

As to DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO SUMMARIZE WITNESS' PRIOR TESTIMONY OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO DISQUALIFY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, Mr. Wall argued that Mr. Seaton will be prosecutor and Mr. Harmon will summarize the testimony of four or five witnesses and they object to having this done. Argument by Harmon. COURT ORDERED, this motion CONTINUED until Thursday morning and instructed Counsel to get together and stipulate to witnesses' testimony being summarized or else they would be reading testimony from transcripts. Wall asked to table this until Thursday. Court advised if Counsel are not able to stipulate, Court sees no other way but to read the trial testimony and extricate the

> CONTINUED ON PAGE: 014 MINUTES DATE: 06/06/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/06/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 013

unnecessary garbage; but that is time consuming. Harmon stated he did not feel the parties would be able to work out a stipulation. Court stated they could let him know Thursday morning.

As to DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PROHIBIT EVIDENCE OF DEVIL WORSHIP, Schieck joined in the motion, Wall argued that the Coven was never involved in any way in the decision to commit the crime and is used as character evidence; and is not proper character evidence. He further argued the Defendants have been involved in Christian activities and Bible study classes since then. Harmon stated he did not intend to intruduce this in their case in chief, but does not want State's hands tied. Court read his findings into the record and ORDERED motion DENDIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Wall requested they revisit this motion on Thursday and COURT GRANTED the REQUEST.

The last motion, DEFT MOORE'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY is a duplicate and already ruled upon.

Mr. Schieck presented a Joinder in Motions of Co-Defendant Flanagan to the Court. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED, and it was FILED IN OPEN COURT. Mr. Wall requested transcripts of todays proceedings by tomorrow. Request GRANTED and Court Recorder stated they would be ready. Counsel advised unfinished business consists of unavailability of witnesses and Devil worship in rebuttal.

NDP (BOTH)

6-8-95 9:00 AM DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO SUMMARIZE WITNESS' PRIOR TESTIMONY OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO DISQUALIFY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 015

MINUTES DATE: 06/06/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/08/95 PAGE: 015

### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		vs Flanagan, Dale E							
						CONT	INUED	FROM	PAGE:	014	
	06/08/95	09:00 A	M 00	ALL PEN	DING MO	rions	6-8	-95			
	HEARD BY:	Addelia	r D Guy	, III,	Judge; I	Dept.	11				
	OFFICERS:				erk Reporte	er/Rec	corder				
	PARTIES:	002473		OF NEVA 1, Danie						Y Y	
			Public Blaske	agan, Da Defend ey, Rebe Philip	er cca A.					Y Y Y Y	
		0002 D 000824 000460	Schied	e, Rando ck, Davi candt, W		J•				У У У	

DEFT. FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO SUMMARIZE WITNESS' PRIOR TESTIMONY OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO DISQUALIFY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE...CALENDAR CALL

Ms. Mounts advised that Mr. Wall is in Supreme Court this morning. Seaton advised Mr. Harmon is not connected with the case. Court advised he met with Counsel in Chambers yesterday and assumes everyone is ready to go to trial. Mr. Seaton announced State is ready, and Court advised unless the Supreme Court rules otherwise, this case will go to trial. Court advised he will permit the Devil Worship issue by State in rebuttal if the transcript of Corine Lopez is read or she takes the stand. Ms. Mounts argued this will eliminate three-fourths of defense witnesses. Court read a portion of the Lopez testimony. Argument by Schieck. Court advised he will hear what they plan to present outside the presence of the Jury. Mr. Seaton advised he would like to suggest how unavailable witnesses are going to be put on; there are about five lay witness people who knew the Defendants and testified in the penalty hearing. He further advised they had found four of them; but have not found Akers, and plan to put on the ones they do have in the same fashion as in the last penalty hearing. Mr. Seaton suggested they strike "Mr. Harmon" and make no reference to Devil Worship and have it read to the Jury by someone else; that way the Jury would not know it was a prosecutor's testimony. Court advised anything Defense can agree to, in 90% of the time the Court will go along with. Mr. Seaton stated credible hearsay can be used in death penalty cases and they would remove everything not germane here. Court advised if Counsel cannot agree on Mr. Harmon's testimony, then witnesses' testimony in the guilt phase will be used. Mr. Seaton advised they would work together and may put in Aker's testimony. COURT ORDERED, use of prior testimony GRANTED and Deft. Flanagan's Motion To Prohibit Testimony of District Attorney to Summarize Witness' Prior Testimony Or In The Alternative to Disqualify District Attorney's Office is CONTINUED until Monday morning. Mr. Schieck requested an additional table

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 016

PAGE: 016 MINUTES DATE: 06/08/95

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 015

for Counsel and Court stated he would see what he could do.

NDP (BOTH)

6-12-95 9:00 AM DEFT. FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY TO SAUMMARIZE WITNESS' PRIOR TESTIMONY OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO DISQUALIFY DISTRICT ATTAORANEY'S OFFICE

06/12/95 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: ( STATE OF NEVADA 002473 Seaton, Daniel M. Y 0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E Y PUBDEF Public Defender Υ 002805 Wall, David T. Y 004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A. 0002 D Moore, Randolph Y 000824 Schieck, David M. Y

Court advised defts. filed a Writ which was denied; defts. then filed a Writ of Mandamus last week and the Supreme Court denied that. Court read from the Writ of Mandamus. Court stated he understands a Notice of Appeal was filed on Friday with the Supreme Court on the Writs of Habeas Corpus as they are independently appealable. Counsel have indicated today that this Court no longer has jurisdiction based upon Robertson. Court stated it was decided some time ago that a Writ of Habeas Corpus is appealable but not until the end of a case. The State may file an immediate appeal if a Writ is granted as the case is then out of Court and the State would suffer irreparable Court read NRS 34.575.1 and advised this matter is before the Court on a penalty hearing and no judgment has been entered. There is no written motion but the Court does have an oral motion before it. Mr. Schieck concurred. Mr. Wall advised deft. Flanagan has no oral motion and contends the filing of the Notice of Appeal divests jurisdiction. Court FINDS the notice is defective. Court advised he has nothing from the Supreme Court. In the meantime, this Court has a phone call into the Supreme Court and, if they wish to stay this matter, this Court has no problem with that. Schieck argued the convictions in the other charges are final and the Writ went as to all charges in the case in the guilt phase. Court advised, unless this Court is ordered to stay by the Supreme Court, we will proceed. Mr. Wall advised the Writ of Mandamus was filed on June 8 and the opinion that came down that afternoon stated, in essence, that the Writ of Mandamus

000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 017

MINUTES DATE: 06/12/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 016

was improper. This Court has said NRS 34.575.1 does not apply and four days ago the Supreme Court advised it did and directed us to file a Notice of Appeal. Court advised that statute states "if there is no criminal action pending". Mr. Wall stated the Supreme Court advised an appeal on the Writs of Habeas Corpus is proper instead of an extraordinary Writ. Mr. Wall quoted from the Robertson case. Court advised counsel have made their record and, if counsel wish, they may call the Supreme Court and advise them that this Court intends to proceed despite the notice that has been filed. State agreed with the Court and stated there are no judgments as there are no sentences on these charges and the State believes both of those provisions are applicable. Court stated, if everything was stayed on a Notice of Appeal of a Writ, it would happen everyday. COURT ORDERED, counsel have until 10:30 a.m. to get a stay. Court adjourned at 9:30 a.m.

LATER: Court advised, due to the process with the Supreme Court, COURT ORDERED, this matter will reconvene at 1:45 p.m.

2:22 P.M.--Clerk called roll of the jury panel. COURT ORDERED, Order to Show Cause to issue for badge numbers 495 and 547 who were not present. Court advised there are some minor technicalities that the Supreme Court partially took care of last week and they are, unfortunately, hearing oral arguments today and we are waiting to hear from them on other matters. Court advised the jury will be excused for the afternoon and will reconvene at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Court advised he will take no legal actions today as the defts. are not present at this time and they must be present for all proceedings. Jury excused for the day at 2:31 p.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY PANEL, colloquy between Court and juror 540 reference a hardship. Outside the presence of this juror, counsel advised they have no objection to excusing this juror and would waive any defect in the defts. not being present. Juror 540 present and COURT ORDERED, juror 540 EXCUSED. State's Motion to Use Reported Testimony FILED IN OPEN COURT. Court adjourned at 2:37 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 018

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 017 MINUTES DATE: 06/12/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/95 PAGE: 018

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF	NEVADA			vs Fl	anagan,			77.014		
						CON	LLTV	IOED I	ROM	PAGE:	017
	06/13/95	09:00 A	M 00	ALL PE	NDING	MOTIONS	-	PENAI	LTY	HEARIN	G
	HEARD BY:	Addelia	r D Gu	y, III,	Judge	e; Dept.	11	-			
	OFFICERS:	TINA HU				orter/Re	cor	der			
	PARTIES:	002473		OF NEV							Y Y
		0001 D1 PUBDEF 002805 004065	Publi Wall,	c Defend	der T.	Λ.					Y Y Y Y
		0002 D 000824		e, Rando ck, Davi							Y Y

9:30 A.M.--Pursuant to a conference in chambers between the Court and counsel, defts. Flanagan and Moore taken back to the jail to shower and shave.

000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

10:03 A.M.--Mr. Wall stated he believes the Court has received notice from the Nevada Supreme Court denying the Writs of Prohibition and request for stay. Secondly, there is an issue that has come up with Rusty Havens, one of the State's witnesses, who has acquired a new case and apparently absconded and was arrested last week. Mr. Wall advised it appears the Public Defender's office represented Mr. Havens before he absconded and it further appears the Public Defender's office has, to some extent, negotiated a deal for him on his new charges. Mr. Wall advised Mr. Havens case is on this morning before Judge Huffaker on the bench warrant return and he has left word with that deputy that he is a witness for the State and we should withdraw this morning. Mr. Wall advised, when he became aware Mr. Havens was a Public Defender client, he did not review the file and did not represent Mr. Havens in Justice Court and further believes Ms. Mounts also did not represent him. Mr. Wall advised he wanted to bring the Court's attention to the conflict and advised he does not know what we need to do to preserve the record. State concurred and advised he had not yet spoken with Mr. Havens and has not spoken with any of the deputies in his office reference the case. State advised he was informed this morning by Ms. Robinson that a deal had been struck by Ms. Maxson but he believes that deal has fallen through. State advised he has seen this sort of conflict arise before and it has always been resolved by the Public Defender getting off the case and he believes that should be done this morning. State advised he has no problem with Mr. Wall cross-examining Mr. Havens. COURT ORDERED, Rusty Havens to be brought over at 8:45 a.m. tomorrow morning and requested the State prepare a written order during the lunch hour. Arguments by counsel as to Deft. Flanagan's Motion to Prohibit Testimony of District Attorney to Summarize Witness' Prior Testimony or in the Alternative to

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 019

Y

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 018

Disqualify District Attorney's Office. Mr. Wall stated he believes this has already been determined. State advised he believes the Court has informally indicated he was going to take testimony from prior witnesses in prior penalty hearings and the State has sanitized the transcript and believes counsel have agreed to what can be used. Ms. Mounts concurred and stated it was her understanding that the Court has ruled he was going to accept that testimony and we wanted to preserve our record. Ms. Mounts advised they object to Mr. Harmon's testimony being read and advised they have confrontation rights to those witnesses. Court advised what he ruled is that the testimony of the witnesses Mr. Harmon summarized would be used if defense counsel make those objections; if it cannot be agreed upon, we will use those testimonies where there was cross-examination. Mr. Schieck joined in Ms. Mounts objections and advised it is more acceptable to use what they have agreed upon. Court stated he understands defense counsel object to Mr. Harmon's testimony and the Court has no problem with that and will sustain that objection and will use the whole testimonies of those witnesses. Schieck advised counsel have agreed to use the testimony of Mr. Harmon with Mr. Harmon's name withdrawn. Colloquy between Mr. Wall, Ms. Mounts and deft. Flanagan. Court advised he received a fax from the Supreme Court on June 12 and read the decision into the record. Ms. Mounts advised, after conferring with their client, they too will agree to use the prior testimony of Mr. Harmon that we have agreed upon, with Mr. Seaton withdrawing Mr. Harmon's name and will withdraw the objection to that testimony. Mr. Schieck advised they will also withdraw their objection. As to State's Motion to Use Reported Testimony, Court advised both sides have some people they cannot locate and, if there are no objections, the Court will grant the motions for both sides. No objections by counsel. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED for the State and the defense. Mr. Wall stated, apparently, the jail is under the impression that these defts. are under a sentence of death and are housed in a section that does not allow them to shower and shave. The jail has indicated if they knew from some authority that these defts. are not under a sentence of death, they would house defts. accordingly. Mr. Wall requested the Court order the jail to allow these defts. to shower and shave and be presentable for Court. Court directed the Court Services officers to advise the officials at the jail that the Supreme Court has reversed the prior sentence of death and that is why we are here, to determine their sentences and they are to shower and shave and be presentable for Court. State requested to be allowed to expand his introduction to the jury slightly so if anything triggers the jury, they will be able to answer appropriately during voir dire. State advised he will not mention the death phase, only that these defts. have been found guilty by a prior jury. 10:31 a.m. -- Clerk called roll of the jury panel. Court again directed the bailiff to speak with the Jury Commissioner and have an Order to Show Cause issue as to jurors 495 and 547 who were not present when called and were not present yesterday either. Introductions by counsel. Jury selection proceeded. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY PANEL, colloquy between Court and Mr. Wall as to the question asked by Mr. Wall as to whether a juror had an opiniion of the criminal justice system and why the Court stopped that line of questioning. Court adjourned for lunch at 12:22 p.m. 1:48 p.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury panel. Jury selection

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 020

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/95

' PAGE: 020

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

\_\_\_ vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 019

Y

Y

Y

continued. Court admonished the panel not to talk during proceedings. Jury admonished and excused for the evening at 4:18 p.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court advised counsel not to ask the same questions the Court does, however, sometimes counsel hears something in a voice the Court does not hear and that may be pursued but do not go down the same list of questions. Colloguy between Court and counsel as to jury instructions. Court advised he wants jury instructions on Thursday morning. Court advised counsel to be here at 8:45 a.m. on the Havens issue. Court adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

06/14/95 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002473 Seaton, Daniel M.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 002805 Wall, David T. 004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M. 000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

Court convened at 9:05 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, witness Rusty Havens present in custody with a District Attorney investigator. Mr. Wall advised they were aware Mr. Havens was going to testify and gave a chronology of events. Mr. Wall advised the Public Defender had represented Mr. Havens before the bench warrant was issued in Dept. IX. The Public Defender negotiated the case and Mr. Havens was scheduled to plead guilty when he failed to appear and a bench warrant was issued. Mr. Havens was in Dept. IX on a bench warrant return earlier this week and Mr. Wall advised he had instructed the Deputy Public Defender to withdraw from the case and he believes they did withdraw yesterday and Mike Davidson was appointed. Mr. Wall advised there could be the appearance of a conflict of interest and one of the areas of cross-examination would be the benefits of his testimony. Mr. Wall advised he was not present when the case was negotiated and does not know the underlying facts of the case. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Wall advised, to his knowledge, there is nothing in this case that has anything to do with the case before Judge Huffaker and he believes they are fairly recent charges. Court advised he does not see any conflict, however, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to tomorrow morning at 8:45 a.m. and Mr. Havens to

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 021

MINUTES DATE: 06/14/95

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 020

be present with his attorney, Mike Davidson, and we will take up any conflict issues at that time. State advised there was a motion brought by the defense asking the State to divulge any favorable treatment any witnesses have received and, as to this witness, State would concur with what Mr. Wall stated. State advised he learned about Mr. Havens' arrest late last week and, prior to that time, he had never spoken to Mr. Havens or any deputy in his office reference that case. State further advised he believes a deal was struck down in Justice Court and no deputy was aware of Mr. Havens' preparedness to testify in this matter as he was not subpoenaed State advised he has instructed the other deputies, whether Mr. Havens adheres to this deal or the case is redealt, they are not to take this case into consideration and, if this witness makes himself unavailable, the State will use his transcript testimony. Court requested the DA's investigator contact Mr. Davidson as to the hearing tomorrow. Investigator acknowledged. Juror 496-Pearlstein met with the Court prior to the other panelists being brought in and was excused for cause. 9:22 a.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury panel; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. Jury selection continued. Court reconvened after the lunch hour at 1:58 p.m. Clerk called roll of the jury panel; Court advised juror 520 is excused due to illness. Counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury panel. Jury selection continued. Court admonished the jury panel and excused them for the evening at 3:52 p.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Schieck renewed his challenge of juror 432-Jacintho and advised he wanted the Court to be clear on his position, especially now that we have the transcript of exactly what he said. Colloquy between Court and Court Recorder reference a typographical error in the transcript. Mr. Schieck directed the Court to the portion of the transcript in question and stated his position is that the juror's answers to his questions are unequivocal and advised he did not have time to get into all the questions the State asked to rehabilitate this juror. COURT ORDERED, Court will meet with counsel at 8:45 a.m. to go over this and that will give the State and the Court time to review it.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 022

MINUTES DATE: 06/14/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/15/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269 <b>-</b> C	STATE OF I	····
		CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 021
	06/15/95	08:45 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING
	HEARD BY:	Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11
	OFFICERS:	TINA HURD, Court Clerk ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA

PARTIES:

002473	Seaton, Daniel M.	Y
0001 D1	Flanagan, Dale E	Y
PUBDEF	Public Defender	Y
002805	Wall, David T.	Y
004065	Blaskey, Rebecca A.	Y
0002 D	Moore Pandolph	v

0002 D Moore, Randolph Υ 000824 Schieck, David M. 000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

Court convened at 8:48 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Witness Rusty Havens present in custody with Mike Davidson, ESQ. Court advised he received case law from Ms. Mounts this morning which the Court has read. Mr. Davidson advised he has not confirmed as counsel yet as he just received a call yesterday from Judge Huffaker advising he was appointed and he has not had time to speak with Mr. Havens. Court advised Mr. Havens has testified in the past and the Court wishes to make the record clear of any possible conflict. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Davidson advised he can confirm as counsel. Mr. Wall again advised of the circumstances causing the Public Defender to withdraw and, because a possible conflict may appear in the record, he does not know if deft. Flanagan needs to waive any defect. Mr. Davidson advised he has not discussed with Mr. Havens whether he wants to claim a conflict because the Public Defender represented him in the past, however, he does not see a prospective problem. Court advised Mr. Davidson to take some time this morning and discuss it with Mr. Havens. If Mr. Havens does not want to take the stand, the Court needs to know as soon as possible. Court advised Mr. Davidson to inform the Court tomorrow morning; the State has already advised yesterday that there are no deals concerning this case. Mr. Wall stated that is only as to Mr. Seaton's knowledge. Court advised Mr. Wall can ask his people if there were any negotiations concerning this case which requires a yes or no answer and that is not a conflict of interest; you cannot make an intelligent decision in a vacuum. State advised he learned this morning from Kim Maxson, DDA, that she is probably going to offer Mr. Havens the same deal she offered before which is an Attempt Burglary and State will make no recommendation. State advised he instructed her again that no negotiations should be made concerning this case. Conference at the bench. Mr. Davidson left to speak with Mr. Havens in the hall. Court advised he had a renewed motion as to the juror in seat #2, Jacintho, after reading the transcript of what he said. Mr. Schieck read parts of the transcript into the record. Mr. Wall and Ms. Mounts joined in Mr. Schieck's challenge. Court advised he has read some of that

> CONTINUED ON PAGE: 023 MINUTES DATE: 06/15/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/15/95

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 022

transcript and, there being no objections, COURT ORDERED, Juror 432-Jacintho excused for cause. 9:09 a.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury panel. Jury selection continued. 11:37 a.m--OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Davidson appeared and advised Mr. Havens is prepared to testify. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Davidson advised he does not know of any negotiations involving this case. Mr. Wall inquired if it is the Court's ruling that there is not a significant conflict in representing deft. Flanagan and cross-examining a former client of the Public Defender's office. advised that is his ruling. Mr. Wall advised it has come to his attention that juror 434-Guerra has an outstanding bench warrant right now for contempt of court and he does not have any idea what the facts are. Court directed the State to check into the bench warrant during the lunch hour. Mr. Davidson advised he has spoken with the State as he is concerned with anything his client testifies to being used against him and the State advised they will not be using anything against him and are willing to make that statement on the record. State concurred. Colloquy between Court and counsel as to when Mr. Havens will testify. Court advised he will have the State call when Mr. Havens is up to testify. Court read from the Supreme Court opinion submitted by Ms. Mounts. Court convened after the lunch hour at 1:44 p.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Court advised when we stopped this morning we had information that one of our jurors has a bench warrant outstanding. State advised juror 434-Guerra had a 1982 failure to appear bench warrant that is no longer active and there is nothing in the system for him at this time. Matter submitted by counsel. Court stated, based on what the State informs him, it is a moot question now. 1:50 p.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury panel. Jury selection continued. admonished the jury and excused them for the evening at 4:21 p.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Schieck advised, in reviewing the questionnaires, they know that juror 526-Chase belongs to an organization that advocates abolishing the death penalty and the State will probably exercise a challenge for cause. After that is juror 527-Gardner who has advised he spoke with his father who was a juror on the original trial and has the appearance of impropriety. Mr. Schieck stated he believes we are asking for error if he sits on the jury as he has already violated the admonition of the Court not to discuss the case with anyone and his father heard alot of evidence that is improper in this trial and he has also heard the prosecutorial misconduct that has been condemned by the Supreme Court. Mr. Schieck moved the Court to reconsider the challenge of juror 527. Mr. Wall joined in the motion. State advised it is the Court's prerogative, however, he will join in the form of a stipulation. Court stated he believes this case was discussed after the verdict was in when juror 527 was 15 years of age and the Court is sure this juror knows they received the death penalty then and knows it now. Court advised he has no problem with Mr. Schieck's motion. State advised perhaps the Court could also excuse juror 526 who advocates abolishing the death penalty. Mr. Schieck advised he will not stipulate to that as he wants to make sure she has not changed. Colloquy between Court and counsel as to jury instructions. Court adjourned at 4:29 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

PAGE: 024 MINUTES DATE: 06/16/95

vs Flanagan, Dale E

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

	CONTINUED	FROM	PAGE:	023

06/16/95 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:		STATE OF NEVADA	Y	r
	002473	Seaton, Daniel M.	Y	•
	0001 D1	Flanagan, Dale E	Y	•
	PUBDEF	Public Defender	Y	<u>-</u>
	002805	Wall, David T.	Y	r
	004065	Blaskey, Rebecca A.	Y	•
	0002 D	Moore, Randolph	Y	<u>-</u>
	000824	Schieck, David M.	Y	r

Court convened at 9:08 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Mr. Schieck inquired how many alternates there will be and how many peremptory challenges they will get and requested each deft. get a challenge. advised there will be two alternates and each side will get one peremptory challenge. Mr. Wall joined in Mr. Schieck's motion. State advised statute provides for the defense to get one when there are only two alternates and these defts. should share their challenge. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck's motion is DENIED. Court advised, as to Juror 527, he will be left on the panel until he is called and the Court will inform him that because of his previous voir dire he is going to be excused. Mr. Schieck requested a break be taken after jury selection and prior to witnesses as the evidence is not down here yet and they need to organize it. State advised he received from Mr. Wall this morning a report from Dr. Etcoff and has not had a chance to read much but would note the interview took place as late in the proceedings as last Friday. State advised Mr. Wall has been informing the State what is going on but we did not get a report until today and the State may need to do something in response to this in the form of another medical person. State advised his desire would be to simply cross-examine the psychologist and leave it at that. State advised he needs to know how this will hurt the State's position and will let the Court know Monday morning. Court advised the State to let the Court know today as it would delay the trial 2-3 days if the State needs a medical person to examine deft. Flanagan. advised he will do his best to work around the problem. COURT ORDERED, based on what the Court just heard, there will be four alternates and each side will get two peremptory challenges. Conference at the bench. 9:16 a.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury panel. Jury selection continued. Jury and alternates sworn. Preliminary instructions given by the Court. Opening statements by counsel. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.) Court admonished the jury and excused them for the day at 12:50 p.m. to reconvene at 10:00 a.m. Monday morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court advised he has been given what purports to be jury instructions and

000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 025 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09

PAGE: 024 MINUTES DATE: 06/16/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/16/95

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 024

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

returned them to the State to hold until they are needed. State addressed the psychiatric report and advised he has been able to read only about half and one of the problems with this is no questions were asked of the jury as to training in psychiatry that would usually be asked in a defense of insanity. Court advised we will address this issue on Monday morning. Court advised, for the record, new markings will be used on the evidence for this hearing. Court adjourned at 12:54 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED TO: 6-19-95 10:00 AM

06/19/95 10:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA 002473 Seaton, Daniel M.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 002805 Wall, David T. 004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M. 000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

Court convened at 10:10 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. State advised, at the end of last week, the Court suggested he take the weekend to study Dr. Etcoff's report and be ready to discuss the difficulties. advised there are some difficulties, however, he believes they are resolvable and he has been made aware that the best thing the State could have is the raw data that came from Dr. Etcoff's examination of deft. Flanagan. Flanagan was given several tests and the State needs the answer sheets to these and also needs the raw data. In essence, the State needs the whole case file including the doctor's notes. State moved the Public Defender obtain that information and turn it over to the District Attorney's office as soon as possible, hopefully by the end of the work day today, and, if he receives this data, the State can go forward. State further advised if there have been any prior examinations from 1984 on, the State needs those to compare and contest. State advised he has been prejudiced greatly by this and believes, if he can obtain this information, the prejudice will be lessened to a degree where the State can go forward. Court advised the allegation of prejudice is the timeliness of it, waiting until the 9th of June, on the verge of trial. Mr. Wall advised it would have been almost

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 026

MINUTES DATE: 06/19/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/19/95

### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 025

impossible to have the psychiatrist of choice travel to Ely or to have the deft. travel here for an examination. Further, Mr. Wall advised they have never seen another psychiatric examination, however, deft. Flanagan indicates there may have been a general competency examination done in 1985. As to the raw data, Mr. Wall advised that is not in their possession, however, they can contact Dr. Etcoff over the lunch hour. Court strongly urged the State to serve Dr. Etcoff a subpoena duces tecum to have those records produced by the end of the day. State advised Dr. Etcoff may be hard to get ahold of and requested the Court also order the Public Defender to contact Dr. Etcoff over the noon hour. COURT ORDERED, the Public Defender to call Dr. Etcoff and advise him the Court wants that information. Court also suggested the State have a law clerk, if they have one, go through the Court's file as there may be a report in there if one was ordered. Mr. Schieck advised in the Saturday Review-Journal newspaper there was an article written by Carrie Geer that makes reference to the fact these defts. were sentenced to the death penalty and to the reversal. Mr. Schieck requested the Court inquire of the jurors if they saw the article. Court stated the record will reflect, the Court has a copy of the Saturday, June 17, Review-Journal and appearing on page 9B is the article. Court directed the bailiff to make copies of the article for counsel. Court further advised for the record, the Court did receive a copy of Dr. Etcoff's evaluation that appears to have been dated 6-13-95. 10:31 a.m. -- Clerk called roll of the jury; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. State advised he is going to put on Dr. Green's testimony today and he is out of the jurisdiction. State advised he has brought in two persons from the District Attorney's office to read Dr. Green's testimony from the last hearing and he will show the pictures to the jury that Dr. Green showed during his prior testimony. Further testimony and exhibits presented. Jury admonished and excused for lunch at 11:59 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court advised witness John Lucas to return at 2:00 p.m. and he was excused. Mr. Wolfbrandt advised this witness has advised he had to spend the last seven months he was in prison in lock down because of having a snitch jacket for testifying in this matter and Mr. Wolfbrandt wants to explore this witness's prior convictions as they include lewdness with a minor for which his probation was revoked for soliciting a minor for acts against nature. Ms. Mounts joined in the motion. State argued defense counsel just want to prejudice this witness more than he already is. they inquire if he had to go into protective custody for any other reason than this case and the answer is no, that is the end of the line of questioning. Ms. Mounts advised this witness did receive a benefit from his testimony in this case, he received probation on a felony offense. COURT ORDERED, the question Mr. Seaton suggested will be allowed. Mr. Wolfbrandt advised the witness advised he had to go into closed custody because of threats. Court advised he will allow a certain lattitude. State advised Mr Davidson is here and Court Services has brought over Mr. Havens and he is the next witness. Mr. Davidson advised he will rearrange his appointments to be here at 2:00 p.m. Court adjourned at 12:08 p.m. for lunch. p.m.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Further testimony and exhibits. Court admonished the jury and excused them for the day at 3:53 p.m. to reconvene at 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 027

MINUTES DATE: 06/19/95

PAGE: 027 MINUTES DATE: 06/19/95

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 026

Y

Y

Y

Y

Υ

Υ

THE JURY, witness John Lucas not being present when called to testify after lunch, Court directed the State to have their investigator attempt to find Mr. Lucas tonight and, if he is not found, the Court expects to have a bench warrant awaiting his signature. Court adjourned at 3:55 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED TO: 6-20-95 10:00 AM

06/20/95 10:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002473 Seaton, Daniel M.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 002805 Wall, David T.

004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

Moore, Randolph 0002 D 000824 Schieck, David M.

Wolfbrandt, William L. 000460

Court convened at 10:21 a.m. Clerk called roll of the jury; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. Further testimony and exhibits. admonished and excused for lunch at 11:49 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, colloquy between Court and counsel as to the reading of certain testimony into the record and the remaining witnesses. 1:53 p.m.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Pursuant to prior discussions with the Court, State read the sentencings into the record from the Judgments of Conviction of co-defts. Luckett, Ray and Walsh resulting from the 1985 trial. State rested. Mr. Schieck advised some of the defense witnesses are joint witnesses and pertain to both defts. Court advised counsel to inform the Court if they are a joint or singular witness. State invoked the exclusionary rule. Further testimony and exhibits. Court admonished the jury and excused them for the day at 3:49 p.m. to reconvene at 11:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court advised counsel the only evidence that will go back to the jury is evidence admitted in this hearing and NOT everything from the trial. State advised he has been operating under the assumption that all the evidence would go back as that happened at the last hearing, however, he will move to admit it all tomorrow in front of the jury. Further, State advised he has provided the Court with Homick and Guy relating to allocution and the State wants counsel to be aware that the law is radically different than it was the last time.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 028

MINUTES DATE: 06/20/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/20/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 027

Y

Y

Y

Y

moved the Court address the defts. and how they are limited pursuant to Homick. Court advised he will do that tomorrow morning after reading Homick and Guy. Mr. Schieck advised his position will probably be that these cases do not apply as they happened after this case. Court advised he will hear from counsel tomorrow after he has read these cases. Court adjourned at 3:53 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED TO: 6-21-95 11:00 AM

06/21/95 11:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002473 Seaton, Daniel M.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E
PUBDEF Public Defender
002805 Wall, David T.

004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

Court convened at 11:23 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Court stated we left last night with a question concerning allocution and as soon as the jury is excused for lunch today we will argue that point. Court further advised he has read Guy and Homick. 11:26 a.m. -- Clerk called roll of the jury; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. Further testimony and exhibits. Jury admonished and excused for lunch at 12:24 p.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court advised counsel and defts. to be back at 1:30 p.m. to argue the allocution. Colloquy between Court and counsel as to when closing arguments will be. Court adjourned for lunch at 12:27 p.m. Court reconvened at 1:41 p.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Mr. Schieck advised his position is the right of allocution as it existed at the time of the original trial is the law in this case. The Homick and Guy decisions were rendered after the trial and after the second penalty hearing. Mr. Schieck argued it would be a violation of due process to allow the State to benefit from the change in the law. Upon Court's inquiry, both defense counsel advised they do not have any case law. Mr. Wall joined in Mr. Schieck's argument. State argued he believes defts' position is misplaced and the Court should follow the law as it is today. Court read from Homick and Guy decisions into the record. Court advised defts. Flanagan and Moore

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 029

MINUTES DATE: 06/21/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 028

of their right to make a sworn or unsworn statement and advised an unsworn statement may be made as to mercy and other matters that do not attempt to contradict the evidence in the case. Mr. Schieck advised he wishes to make a record as to what deft. Moore would have said if the Court had not ruled in accordance with Homick and would like to do so after deft. has made his statement. Court advised counsel may do that. Upon Court's inquiry, State provided jury instructions to the Court. Court advised there is one instruction objected to. Court read the instruction and advised he does not intend to give that instruction at this time. Mr. Schieck made a record as to why he wanted that instruction. Ms. Mounts joined in with Mr. Schieck. State advised the law in this state is the verdict cannot be influence by sympathy or public opinion; the jury can use its powers of mercy if they want but not sympathy. Court advised he is not going to give that instruction as proffered by the defense. Mr. Wall brought to the Court's attention the instruction that states the jury will receive all the evidence from the trial. Colloquy between Court and State. State advised he had contemplated last night moving to admit all the evidence introduced in this hearing, however, if we move to admit ALL the evidence, we would have to go through it very carefully so as not to introduce evidence that caused the reversal in this case. Mr. Wall suggested the language as to the evidence from the trial be taken out. State argued the jury has heard about all the evidence and he believes they are entitled to all the evidence. Court advised that would mean this case would not go to the jury today and counsel would have to very carefully go through the evidence. State advised he will have one short rebuttal witness, Chaplain Al Fry, from the prison and he will make this witness available to opposing counsel this evening. Further, State advised back in 1984 or 1985 there was no such thing as a victim impact statement and he was not in touch with anyone. In going through the file there was a name, Patricia Campbell, who is deft. Flanagan's aunt, his mother's sister and the victims' daughter, and she is coming from Florida ready to testify and State moved to re-open his case-in-chief. Court reserved ruling on that motion until after defense counsel's case-in-chief. 2:10 p.m.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Further testimony and exhibits. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court advised the State has suggested he wants to use the mother's sister for a victim impact statement. Court advised counsel to reread Homick from pages 135-136. Court advised he will not hear anything on it today, however, prior to the jury coming back tomorrow, we wil revisit this issue. 3:28 p.m.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the jury. Further testimony by Dr. Etcoff. Court admonished the jury and excused them for the evening at 4:59 p.m. to reconvene at 10:15 tomorrow morning. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court stated he has advised Mr. Schieck he would not allow his client to testify to anything that is not within Homick and Guy. Court advised he will allow Mr. Schieck to put deft. Moore on the stand and say what he would say if the Court let him say whatever he wants. Colloquy between Court and deft. Moore for clarification. Court advised, under Homick, deft. can express remorse and plead for mercy but he cannot attempt to contradict the evidence presented in the case. Mr. Schieck advised the only area of concern is the truthfulness of Wayne Wittig which is contained in the allocution from 1989. Other than that, deft. Moore will only talk about

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 030

MINUTES DATE: 06/21/95

PAGE: 030 MINUTES DATE: 06/21/95

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 029

Υ

Υ

Υ

Y

Y

Y

mitigation and rehabilitation. Statement by deft. Moore as to what he intends to say in his allocution. Colloquy between Court and deft. Moore. Statement by deft. Moore as to what he would have said about Wayne Wittig and actually catching Wittig in bed with his wife and that the incident with the truck Wittig testified to is made up. COURT ORDERED, this will not be submitted to the jury. Colloquy between Court and Mr. Schieck as to the law on allocution. Mr. Schieck advised he is satisfied with the record. Mr. Wall advised in his discussions on allocution with deft. Flanagan they in no way violate Guy or Homick. Court adjourned at 5:11 p.m.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED TO: 6-22-95 10:15 AM

06/22/95 10:15 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
002473 Seaton, Daniel M.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E
PUBDEF Public Defender
002805 Wall, David T.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M. 000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

Court convened at 10:47 a.m. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY. Mr. Wolfbrandt advised he intends to make a motion at the end of the trial and the case still has rebuttal. Mr. Wall inquired if the Court wishes to rule this morning on whether the State can re-open its case to introduce victim impact testimony. Court advised he would hear from counsel. State advised this particular witness was not learned about or able to be in town until after the close of the State's case. This witness is the daughter of the couple that was killed and the aunt of deft. Flanagan. State argued he believes this witness has some very cogent things about the family the jury needs to hear. State advised her testimony has rebuttal value as she can comment and expand on the family life of the Gordons and the defense has had plenty of time to find out about her. State advised he has made this witness and Chaplain Fry available to the defense and, whether on the grounds of rebuttal or letting the State re-open its case, the State would request the Court allow this witness to testify. Mr. Wall advised they became aware of this witness at 1:00 p.m. yesterday and do not believe the

PAGE: 030 CONTINUED ON PAGE: 031
PAGE: 030 MINUTES DATE: 06/22/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/22/95

## CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 030

State should be allowed to re-open for a victim impact statement, however, if it is rebuttal, he has no problem with it. Mr. Wall advised, as a victim impact statement, he believes Payne overruled Booth and, because it is new law and prior hearings were reversed because of prosecutorial misconduct and because Judge Mosley allowed improper evidence to come in, Mr. Wall stated he believes this is not admissable now as it was not admissable then. Schieck joined in Mr. Wall's argument and provided case law in Amunds to the Court. State advised Mr. Wall has disparaged his character and claimed he withheld a powerful witness to the end and advised the circumstances of finding this witness who was reluctant to come. State referred back to the circumstances under which Mr. Wall called Dr. Etcoff who did not examine deft. Flanagan until June 9 and the State did not receive a report until Court advised he will not permit counsel to make personal comments about each other in his court and advised counsel, if they believe a lawyer is that bad, they should file a complaint with the Bar and get rid of him. Court advised counsel to stick to the law and be professional or get out of the business. COURT ORDERED, State's motion to re-open for a victim impact statement is DENIED as the State is required to give notice. Court advised the State had another motion to re-open to admit all the evidence in light of one of the jury instructions and ORDERED, that will be allowed. Court reminded counsel the exclusionary rule is still in effect and requested counsel police the courtroom for their witnesses. Colloquy between Court and counsel as to jury instructions. 11:18 a.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. Deft. Randolph Moore made an unsworn statement. Mr. Schieck rested. Deft. Dale Flanagan made an unsworn statement. Mr. Wall rested. State moved to admit all of the evidence that was admitted during the trial stage of this case. Conference at the bench. There being no objections, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. State advised he has copies of the verdicts from the original trial indicating these defts. were found guilty of the various crimes they were charged with and moved for their admission. There being no objections, COURT ORDERED, GRANTED. State rested. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Jury Instructions settled on the record. 12:12 p.m.--Clerk called roll of the jury; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. Court read instructions to the jury. Closing arguments by counsel. At the hour of 2:28 p.m. this date, jury retired to deliberate. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Wall advised the Court had already ruled on the admissability of the prior sentences of the other defts. and believes of that he did not object when the State raised them in closing arguments but would reserve a contemporaneous objection. Court inquired of defts. Flanagan and Moore if they are satisfied with the services of counsel to which both defts. responded in the affirmative.

LATER: On the record, defts. and counsel not present. Court advised it is now 5 minutes of 8:00 and the jury has not reached a verdict so we will stop for the day. Court admonished the jury and excused them for the evening at 7:55 p.m. to reconvene at 8:45 a.m. tomorrow morning.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 032

PAGE: 031 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 MINUTES DATE: 06/22/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/22/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

vs Flanagan, Dale E 85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 031

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

CONTINUED TO: 6-23-95 8:45 AM

08:45 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS - PENALTY HEARING 06/23/95

HEARD BY: Addeliar D Guy, III, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

DEBRA WINN, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000281 Koot, William T.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 002805 Wall, David T. 004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M. 000460 Wolfbrandt, William L.

10:46 A.M.--Clerk called roll of the jury; counsel stipulated to the presence thereof. At the hour of 10:46 a.m. this date, jury returned with VERDICTS OF DEATH FOR COUNT VI and DEATH FOR COUNT VII AS TO BOTH DEFTS. FLANAGAN and MOORE. Jury polled at request of the Court. Court thanked and excused the jury at 11:06 a.m. Colloquy between Court and counsel as to a sentencing date. Court advised the State to advise P&P this will only be an update as there are PSI reports on file and defts. have been in prison.

CUSTODY (BOTH)

7-11-95 9:00 AM SENTENCING (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 033

PAGE: 033 MINUTES DATE: 07/11/95

# CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C	STATE OF	NEVADA			vs F	lanaga	n, D	ale	E		
						C	ONTI	NUED	FROM	PAGE:	032
	07/11/95	09:00 A	M 00	ALL P	ENDING	MOTION	NS 7	-11-	95		
	HEARD BY:	Addelia	r D Gu	y, III	, Judg	je; Depi	t. 1	1			
	OFFICERS:	TINA HU ANITA S				orter/I	Reco	rder			
	PARTIES:	002473		OF NE n, Dan							Y Y
		0001 D1 PUBDEF 002805 004065	Publio Wall,	c Defe	nder T.						Y Y Y Y
		0002 D 000824 000460	Schie	e, Ran ck, Da randt,	vid M.						Y Y Y

SENTENCING - COUNTS VI & VII (DEFTS. FLANAGAN & MOORE)

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09

John Delvillan of the Division of Parole & Probation present. Court advised he received a call yesterday from Ms. Mounts advising the Court she has not received a PSI report. Ms. Mounts concurred and stated she believes the file was never referred to P&P. Court read from a Supreme Court case that states a supplemental report is not required on a re-sentencing. further advised the jury has decided and a PSI report is not required. Mr. Wall advised P&P usually calculates the credit for time served. Court advised these defts. have been in prison for at least ten years and the Court will be happy to give them whatever time they have coming. Court read the Judgment of Conviction and Order of Execution for deft. Flanagan into the record. Mr. Wall advised he has an Order to stay the execution. advised he does not usually stay executions, he leaves that to the Supreme Court, however, in this case, the Court will sign it. Stay of Execution for deft. Flanagan signed in open court. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to August 15 to see if the appeal has been perfected and for the calculation of credit for time served. Court advised defts. need not be present. Deft. Flanagan waived his right to be present for the status check. Ms. Mounts stated she wishes to bring to the Court's attention that they spoke with a number of the jurors and they expressed a distaste that the defts. did not show any remorse. Ms. Mounts advised the defts. have not had a chance to pursue post-conviction relief and they could do little else. Court finding errors in the orders brought to the Court for this hearing, COURT ORDERED, this hearing continued to 11:00 a.m. as to both defts, there being errors in deft. Flanagan's orders also. Court directed the State to contact Mr. Wall and Ms. Mounts and have them back as well.

11:15 A.M.--Matter recalled with all present as before except Mr. Wall. Court advised there were typographical errors in the paperwork stating the convictions were reversed, which they were not, only the penalty was

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 034

PAGE: 033 MINUTES DATE: 07/11/95

MINUTES DATE: 07/11/95

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 033

reversed and remanded. Court read into the record only the part of the order that was corrected and advised the Warrant of Execution is correct. Ms. Mounts had no objections and waived the reading of the documents over again. Court read the Judgment of Conviction, Order of Execution and Warrant of Execution for deft. Moore into the record. Mr. Schieck advised he will submit a stay of execution this afternoon and moved to be appointed as counsel on appeal. COURT SO ORDERED. Mr. Schieck inquired if the Court needs to rule on concurrent or consecutive time in case a later jury gives them Life. State advised they believe everything should be consecutive. Court advised that has already been determined by prior judges, however, as to these two counts, COURT ORDERED, Counts VI and VII will be CONSECUTIVE. Deft. Flanagan's counsel not being present at this point, State advised this ruling should be the same for deft. Flanagan and perhaps his counsel can raise it on the 15th. Both defts. waived their presence for the hearing on August 15. Judgments of Conviction, Orders of Execution and Warrants of Execution as to both defts. signed and FILED IN OPEN COURT.

NDP (BOTH)

8-15-95 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED AND PERFECTION OF APPEAL (BOTH)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 035

MINUTES DATE: 07/11/95

MINUTES DATE: 08/15/95

Υ

Ν

Y

N

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 034

CONTINUED FROM PAGE:

08/15/95 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 8-15-95

HEARD BY: James Brennan, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ6

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

DEBBIE WINN, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002473 Seaton, Daniel M. 004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E PUBDEF Public Defender 002805 Wall, David T.

0002 D Moore, Randolph

000824 Schieck, David M.

STATUS CHECK: CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED...PERFECTION OF APPEAL (BOTH)

AS TO DEFENDANT FLANAGAN: Mr. Wall advised appearance of Defendant waived, both Defendants were sentenced to the death penalty on July 11, and execution set for the week of August 27. He further advised the notice of appeal was filed on August 9, the stay has been served, and he received a FAX that they had received the order for stay. Mr. Wall calculated the credit for time served as of July 11, to be 3,866 days that Defendant was in custody. Mr. Owens advised he had not tried to compute the days as he thought the Division of Parole and Probation would do that, and Mr. Seaton advised he would want P & P to do it.

AS TO DEFENDANT MOORE: Mr. Schieck advised he also thought P & P would do the calculating on credit for time served, and Mr. Moore would have thirty days less than Mr. Flanagan. Court read from the minutes that Defense was to figure the Credit For Time Served. Mr. Schieck stated it was his mistake and advised that the stay and appeal have been perfected. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED and Counsel to have correct time calculated.

NCP (BOTH)

CONTINUED TO: 8-17-95 9:00 AM

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 036

MINUTES DATE: 08/15/95

MINUTES DATE: 08/17/95

Y

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 035

08/17/95 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 8-17-95

HEARD BY: James Brennan, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ6

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E N
PUBDEF Public Defender Y
004065 Blaskey, Rebecca A. Y

STATUS CHECK: CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED AND PERFECTION OF APPEAL (FLANAGAN)... STATUS CHECK: CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED AND PERFECTION OF APPEAL (MOORE)

AS TO DEFENDANT FLANAGAN: Ms. Mounts advised the perfection of appeal was taken care of at the last court date for both Defendants and the Credit For Time Served in the amount of 3,866 DAYS given by Mr. Wall was correct. State concurred. COURT SO ORDERED.

AS TO DEFENDANT MOORE: Mr. Owens stated they were in agreement with the number of days calculated and provided by Mr. Schieck, which is 3,853 DAYS. COURT SO ORDERED.

Presence of Defendants waived as they are in the Nevada Department of Prisons.

NDP

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 037

MINUTES DATE: 08/17/95

MINUTES DATE: 06/04/98

Y

Ν

Ν

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 036

> 06/04/98 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6-4-98

HEARD BY: Myron E. Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

SUZY NICHOLS, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

003813 Silver, Abbi

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

DEFT FLANAGAN'S REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF...DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Mr. Potter advised he had substituted into the case for Defendant Flanagan. COURT ORDERED matter CONTINUED for Judge Douglas.

NDP (BOTH)

CONTINUED TO: 6-11-98 9:00 AM

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 038

MINUTES DATE: 06/04/98

MINUTES DATE: 06/11/98

Ν

Y

Y

Ν

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Fla<u>nagan, Dale E</u> CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 037

06/11/98 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (06-11-98)

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: SUSAN BURDETTE/sb, Court Clerk DEBRA WINN, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

005927 De La Garza, Melisa

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J. 000836 Miller, Michael L.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

DEFT FLANAGAN'S REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF ... DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

AS TO DEFT. FLANAGAN'S REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF: Deft. not present. David Newell, Esq., present. Mr. Potter stated Mr. Miller was previously counsel for Deft.; he was approached by Mr. Miller pursuant to an ABA Program to get involved in this case and noted David Newell from Oregon will be coming in. He further noted the guilt phase has never been challenged; there are about 25 boxes that counsel will need to go through; he requested six (6) months to review the boxes and file any necessary Petitions. Mr. Miller stated the Motion was filed May 25, and should be in the file. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Potter stated his request is only as to Deft. Flanagan. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Potter APPOINTED as COUNSEL for Deft. Flanagan based on representations there would not be a conflict; Mr. Miller RELIEVED as COUNSEL.

AS TO DEFT. MOORE: Mr. Schieck stated he has represented Deft. Moore since 1968; there have been three (3) penalty hearings in this case and three (3) adjudications of death in those penalty hearings; noted Deft. Moore is not concerned with challenging the penalty phase but what happened in the trial, and wishes for him to continue representing him. He further stated that six (6) months is not enough time to review and file Supplemental Petitions. Court found that based on the representations and number of times this matter has gone to the Supreme Court, ORDERED, matter set for STATUS CHECK as to all matters.

NDP (BOTH)

11-25-98 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: SUPPLEMENTAL MOTIONS/PETITIONS ... DEFT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ... DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 039 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 038 MINUTES DATE: 06/11/98

MINUTES DATE: 11/25/98

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 038

Y

Ν

Y

Ν

11/25/98 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (11-25-98)

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006056 Bauer, Elizabeth B.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Moore, Randolph 0002 D 000824 Schieck, David M.

DEFT FLANAGAN'S REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF...DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT FLANAGAN'S REQUEST FOR ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL...DEFT MOORE'S REQUEST FOR ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL...STATUS CHECK: SUPPLEMENTAL MOTIONS/PETITIONS (BOTH)

Court advised there were a number of things pending; Court did not have a response from State; as to issues before the Court, the primary motion as to appointment of Counsel, Mr. Potter had advised there was no opposition and COURT ORDERED motion GRANTED; Deft Flanagan's Request for Association of Counsel GRANTED. Order signed in open Court. Mr. Potter requested an additional six months as they were trying to get additional information from Juvenile.

As to Deft Moore's Request for association of Counsel, Mr. Schieck advised there was no motion pending. COURT ORDERED matter OFF CALENDAR.

As to Defts' Flanagan and Moore's Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus, time was needed to file whatever supplemental points were needed. These to be filed by May 26, 1999, and COURT ORDERED matter set for Defts' Submission of Supplemental Points on Writ of Habeas Corpus on May 27, 1999, and they would set a date at that time for the State to respond.

NDP (BOTH)

5-27-99 9:00 AM DEFTS' SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 040 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09

PAGE: 039 MINUTES DATE: 11/25/98

MINUTES DATE: 05/17/99

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 039

05/17/99 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (05-17-99)

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: SUSAN BURDETTE/sb, Court Clerk
CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: 0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

N Y

HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR CORONER'S RECORDS ... HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR REIMBURSEMENT AND WAIVER OF COUNTY RECORDS CHARGES ... HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR SOCIAL HISTORIAN INVESTIGATION FUNDS ... HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR PRISON ACCESS ... HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION FUNDS ... HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION RELEASE OF JUVENILE RECORDS

Deft. not present. Court noted the nature of these matters and what is being asked for.

AS TO DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR CORONER'S RECORDS: COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED with the exception of the negatives; if that becomes an issue, the Court will reconsider and order the negatives be available for review if determined appropriate by counsel; ALL OTHER INFORMATION, REPORTS AND BENCH NOTES as well as RAW DATA to be AVAILABLE.

AS TO DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR REIMBURSEMENT AND WAIVER OF COUNTY RECORDS CHARGES: COURT ORDERED GRANTED for REIMBURSEMENT noting the estimated amount of \$6,500.00 -- expenditures and search fees of \$780.00 and copy fees of \$639.00, and for additional copies, the Court will approve an amount NOT TO EXCEED \$6,500.00 at this time.

AS TO DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR SOCIAL HISTORIAN INVESTIGATION FUNDS: COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED in an amount NOT TO EXCEED \$17,550.00.

AS TO DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR PRISON ACCESS: COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED subject to rules of the prison and if there is a difficulty, the Attorney General's office to be notified; as to UNMONITORED CONTACT BY COUNSEL, the Court found it is appropriate subject to normal security in prison; if there is a problem, counsel to put this back on calendar.

AS TO DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION FUNDS: COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED, not to exceed \$7,500.00.

AS TO DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE OF JUVENILE RECORDS: COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED.

NDP

05-27-99 9:00 AM DEFT'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 041 MINUTES DATE: 05/17/99

MINUTES DATE: 05/17/99

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 040

Y

Y

Ν

Y

Ν

CORPUS

05/27/99 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (5-27-99)

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN, Court Clerk

CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

003649 Kephart, William D.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

DEFT FLANAGAN'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT FLANAGAN'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS... DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT MOORE'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Court noted a number of orders had been filed as to Defendant Flanagan. Mr. Potter asked for an additional six months to conclude investigations and file a supplemental. He also needed to go through Discovery. Mr. Schieck advised a continuance would be fine with his client. Mr. Kephart advised State was agreeable to a continuance also. COURT ORDERED matter CONTINUED as requested.

NDP (BOTH

CONTINUED TO: 11-30-99 9:00 AM

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 042

MINUTES DATE: 05/27/99

MINUTES DATE: 09/08/99

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 041

09/08/99 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (09-08-99)

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: SUSAN BURDETTE/sb, Court Clerk
CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

005056 Luzaich, Elissa

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

N

Υ

DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL ... DEFT MOORE'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

Neither Deft. Flanagan nor Deft. Moore present. Mr. Potter stated he has submitted the Motion to the State Bar and there is no opposition. There being no opposition, COURT ORDERED, Deft Flanagan and Deft Moore's Motion to Associate Counsel Patricia Lynn McGuire GRANTED. Upon Ms. Luzaich's inquiry, Court stated the Motion is both Deft. Flanagan and Moore. Order signed in Open Court.

NDP (BOTH)

11-30-99 9:00 AM DEFT FLANAGAN'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ... DEFT FLANAGAN'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ... DEFT MOORE'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS ... DEFT MOORE'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 043

MINUTES DATE: 09/08/99

MINUTES DATE: 11/30/99

N

Υ

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 042 11/30/99 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 11/30/99 HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11 OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Relief Clerk CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Y 005056 Luzaich, Elissa Y 0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E Ν 001988 Potter, III, Cal J. Y

DEFT FLANAGAN'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT FLANAGAN'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT MOORE'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

000824 Schieck, David M.

Moore, Randolph

Mr. Schieck stated he needs more time to finish his petition. COURT ORDERED, matter set for status check.

NDP (FLANAGAN, MOORE)

12/20/99 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: BRIEFING SCHEDULE

0002 D

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 044

MINUTES DATE: 11/30/99

MINUTES DATE: 12/20/99 PAGE: 044

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

### 85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 043

12/20/99 09:00 AM 00 STATUS CHECK: BRIEFING SCHEDULE

HEARD BY: Michael L Douglas, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: JOYCE BROWN/JB, Court Clerk KATHY STAITE, Relief Clerk

CATHY NELSON, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

005056 Luzaich, Elissa

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 000824 Schieck, David M.

AS TO DEFENDANT FLANAGAN, Mr. Potter had filed a supplemental petition and requested a briefing schedule. COURT ORDERED briefing schedule as follows:

01-24-2000 State's Response

02-24-2000 Defendant's Reply

03-09-2000 Argument

Mr. Potter advised he was entitled to written Discovery. Court advised it was a matter of what was being looked at and whether or not an Evidentiary hearing was necessary.

AS TO DEFENDANT MOORE, Mr. Schieck advised he met with Defendant Moore at Ely State Prison and went over in detail the Writ filed by Mr. Flanagan; advised he represented Mr. Flanagan at the Preliminary Hearing; he is convinced he will be a witness in the Flanagan case and can not continue on the case because of this; he had just met with Mr. Moore on Thursday so had not had a chance to inform the Court; he did talk to Jo Nell Thomas; she does not want to take any more of these cases, but agreed to to take it if the Court appointed her. He further advised she was familiar with the case. COURT ORDERED Mr. Schieck relieved; Ms. Thomas appointed; and matter CONTINUED for Confirmation of Counsel and a Status Check. At Counsel's inquiry, Court advised this was not a case this Court would be keeping.

NDP (BOTH)

12-22-99 9:00 AM CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (J THOMAS)...STATUS CHECK (MOORE)

03-09-2000 9:00 AM ARGUMENT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FLANAGAN)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 045

Υ

N

Ν

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 044 MINUTES DATE: 12/20/99

MINUTES DATE: 01/19/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 044

Y

N

Y

N

Y

N

Y

01/19/00 09:00 AM 00 STATE'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

TO FILE WRIT/RESPONSE

HEARD BY: Kathy Hardcastle, Chief Judge; Dept. 4

OFFICERS: DOROTHY KELLY, Court Clerk

TINA SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Mark Karris, Deputy District Attorney, stated a 60-Day continuance was agreed upon for filing Writ response. COURT ORDERED, Filing due by March 22nd, Response due by May 17th, matter set for argument. Date of March 9th, previously set for argument, vacated.

NDP

05-31-00 9:00 A.M. ARGUMENT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

01/31/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 01-31-00

HEARD BY: Kathy Hardcastle, Chief Judge; Dept. 4

OFFICERS: DOROTHY KELLY, Court Clerk

TINA SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA 004739 Rutledge, Brian S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Moore, Randolph 0002 D Schieck, David M. 000824

DEFT FLANAGAN'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE...DAVID SCHIEK'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE AND FOR EXPENSES

Mr. Rutledge stated this is post-conviction; only Deft Flanagan has filed a Writ; he requested the Motion for Severance be denied as moot. He further stated he does not understand the Motion to Sever. Mr. Potter stated it is his motion; the State has not responded. COURT ORDERED, Deft Flanagan's Motion for Severance is DENIED as MOOT. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, David Schieck's Motion for Attorney's Fees in Excess of Statutory Allowance and for Expenses is GRANTED; Order signed in Open Court. Court instructed that these two defendants not be placed on calendar together.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 046

MINUTES DATE: 01/31/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 045

NDP (BOTH)

05/31/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 5/31/00

HEARD BY: Kathy Hardcastle, Chief Judge; Dept. 4

OFFICERS: BILLIE JO CRAIG, Relief Clerk

TINA SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

007043 Karris, Mark S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 005632 Ence, Matthew D.

N

Y

Y

ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Robert Newell, Associate Counsel for Mr. Potter, appearing representing defendant. Arguments regarding effectiveness of counsel at third penalty phase and whether David Wall as a witness will be a conflict of interest. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for counsel to brief matter. Arguments regarding Motion for Discovery and for Evidentiary Hearing. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for counsel to file any Motion to Disqualify the District Attorney's Office. Counsel requested a briefing schedule. The Court advised at next Court date it would decide if more time needed.

NDP

6/8/00 9:00 AM ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT'S FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY...DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 047
MINUTES DATE: 05/31/00

MINUTES DATE: 06/06/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 046

06/06/00 09:00 AM 00 MINUTE ORDER RE: DISQUALIFICATION OF

JUDGE HARDCASTLE

HEARD BY: Kathy Hardcastle, Chief Judge; Dept. 4

OFFICERS: DOROTHY KELLY, Court Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

Having reviewed the Petition for Disqualification of Judge, Court disputes having personal knowledge of the case arising out of prior employment. The Court previously disclosed to current counsel employment in the Public Defender's Office at the time of the third penalty hearing in this case and conversations with prior counsel regarding prior counsel's opinions on the imposition of the death penalty in general. The Court holds the highest regard for the legal abilities of all of the prior counsel but had previously expressed the opinion that the affidavit filed in this case lacked factual foundation and was based almost entirely upon prior counsel's opinions and conclusions. Despite this deficiency, the Court had agreed to grant a limited evidentiary hearing to allow current counsel the chance to lay a factual foundation for the affidavit. Current counsel has now raised an issue based on the disclosed prior conversations and employment regarding whether the Court should decide the ineffective assistance of counsel claims being raised in this case. As this is a very serious case, in order to avoid any issues which could be raised in future proceedings and in the interest of justice, the Court does hereby recuse from this case and ORDERS, this matter be REASSIGNED at random.

NDP

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 048

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 047 MINUTES DATE: 06/06/00

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 047

06/13/00 04:00 PM 00 MINUTE ORDER RE: RECUSAL VI

HEARD BY: Joseph T. Bonaventure, Judge; Dept. 6

OFFICERS: NORA PENA, Court Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

Having reviewed the entire file relating to State of Nevada v Dale Flanagan, the Court feels it cannot properly hear the case due to its prior relationship with the Clark County Public Defender's Office, upon which a majority of the allegations contained within the case concern. Additionally, the Court is of the belief that its relationship to the central witness in the case who is a party in the litigation is of significance.

David Wall is an attorney which this Court has had an ongoing relationship for the last ten months during the pendency of the State of Nevada v. Tabish/Murphy trial. This Court has had numerous conversations with David Wall concerning the death penalty in the Tabish/Murphy case as well as hearing motions concerning his personal integrity. Additionally, this Court is to hear a Motion for New Trial which this Court believes will carry allegations of impunity on the character of Mr. Wall. The present record involves questions of fact upon which much testimony will be presented regarding both Mr. Wall and the Clark County Public Defender's Office. It may develop that the right determination of those questions is so close, the reasons set forth could be an appearance that could impact upon the Court's opinion. Therefore in the interest of justice the Court does hereby recuse from this case and ORDERS, this matter be reassigned at random.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 049

MINUTES DATE: 06/13/00

MINUTES DATE: 06/22/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 048

Y

Ν

06/22/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6/22/00

HEARD BY: Kathy Hardcastle, Chief Judge; Dept. 4

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

TINA SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006612 Cram, Roger

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

ARGUMENT: DEFT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY...DEFT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING...STATE'S MOTION FOR WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Court indicated counsel have agreed to continue matter to next week. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

MATTER RECALLED: Mr. Cram advised parties had agreed to continue the matter until after 8/14 and requested the date be reset. COURT SO ORDERED. Mr. Cram stated he would notify all parties.

NDP

ABOVE MOTIONS CONTINUED TO: 8/16/00 9:00 AM

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 050

MINUTES DATE: 06/22/00

MINUTES DATE: 08/16/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 049

08/16/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 8-16-00

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: CHERYL CASE, Relief Clerk

RENE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L. Y
0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

ARGUMENT: DEFT FLANAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY...DEFT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING...STATE'S MOTION FOR WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Bob Newell, out-of-state co-counsel for Defendant, also present. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Simon stated a Motion to Disqualify has not been filed. Arguments by Mr. Simon and Mr. Newell regarding disqualification of District Attorney's office, conflict of interest, and Mr. Wall's prior representation of Defendant. Court noted there is no Motion to Disqualify calendared for decision.

Argument by Mr. Newell regarding ineffective assistance of counsel and history of this case. Court noted the Supreme Court has made numerous rulings in this case. Further arugument by Mr. Newell regarding statement by Robert Ramirez, evidence withheld from the defense, Brady violations, and prosecutorial misconduct.

Mr. Newell argued prior Supreme Court rulings are irrelevant as the issue of ineffective assistance of counsel has been raised. Argument by Mr. Potter regarding irregular procedures in Judge Mosley's Court and depositions that should be taken. Argument by Mr. Simon regarding statement by Mr. Ramirez, Supreme Court rulings, and overbroad request for discovery. Further argument by Mr. Simon regarding waiver of attorney-client privilege. Argument by Mr. Newell regarding statements admitted through trial, per se violations, Strickland issue, and ineffectiveness of Mr. Pike.

COURT ORDERED, Motion for Waiver DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Court noted information can be acquired and questions can be tailored. Court will reconsider its ruling in the event Defendant opens the door at the Evidentiary Hearing. FURTHER, COURT ORDERED, Motion for Evidentiary Hearing GRANTED as to the issue of Ms. Blaskey and conduct of Mr. Wall; Motion is DENIED as to remaining issues.

COURT ORDERED, Motion for Discovery DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE at this time pending the Evidentiary Hearing. Court noted discovery request is overbroad.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 051

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 050 MINUTES DATE: 08/16/00

MINUTES DATE: 08/16/00

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 050

Court noted thirty claims were raised in Defendant's Petition. COURT ORDERED, RULING DEFERRED on claims pertaining to assertions by Ms. Blaskey regarding conduct of Mr. Wall. COURT ORDERED, remaining claims DENIED. COURT FINDS representation by Mr. Pike was not ineffective. COURT FURTHER FINDS bare allegations on the issues of failure to disclose exculpatory evidence; issue of prosecutorial misconduct by Mr. Seaton was ruled on by the Supreme Court; there were bare allegation regarding remaining issues and those issues are barred by the law of the case through previous appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court.

Colloquy regarding Evidentiary Hearing and discovery issues. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED for Status Check; Mr. Newell's presence at next Court date WAIVED. Court directed parties to determine discovery issues. Mr. Simon advised State will prepare an Order to Transport Defendant Flanagan for the Evidentiary Hearing. Mr. Newell stated parties will not depose Ms. Blaskey; she will be a witness. Mr. Newell further stated Judge Mosley did not preside over the third penalty hearing. COURT ORDERED, allegations pertaining to Judge Mosley DENIED in that they were subject to direct appeal.

NDP

9/13/00 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

09/13/00 09:00 AM 00 STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

RENEE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Mr. Simon stated the deposition of Dave Wall is scheduled for 11/9; further, Defendant's lead counsel out of Portland is available for the hearing the weeks of either 1/15 or 1/22. COURT ORDERED, matter set for evidentiary hearing on the remaining issues on the Writ.

NDP

1/26/01 10:00 AM EVIDENTIARY HEARING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 052

Y

N

MINUTES DATE: 09/13/00

MINUTES DATE: 12/18/00

Y

Ν

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 051

12/18/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 12/18/00

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

RENEE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

DEFT'S MOTION TO SEAL ORDER...DEFT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND EXPAND SCOPE OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING

Mr. Potter argued the original Motions were sealed by Order of Judge Douglas, the original Judge hearing this case, and merely wants to ensure that order is continuing. Court stated it doesn't appear to be any statutory authority on this matter. Mr. Simon stated the State has no position, and stated all the State ever received were the Court's Orders, not the applications. Mr. Simon provided same to Mr. Potter in open court. COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED; Applications regarding payment of costs are to be SEALED.

Court stated Defendant's Motion to clarify is in essence a Motion for Rehearing. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED.

Regarding the 1/26/01 Evidentiary Hearing date, Court stated parties have stipulated to continue that matter to February 9, and COURT SO ORDERED.

NDP

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 053

MINUTES DATE: 12/18/00

MINUTES DATE: 04/13/01

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 052

Y

Y

04/13/01 10:00 AM 02 EVIDENTIARY HEARING (REMAINING ISSUES

ON WRIT)

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

KRISTINE CORNELIUS, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E N 001988 Potter, III, Cal J. Y

Robert Newell, Pro Hoc Vice also present on behalf of Defendant.

Court advised counsel it has a significant working relationship and personal friendship with a key witness in this case, David Wall. Court stated it has already formed an opinion as to Mr. Wall's work ethic and credibility, and as those issues are central to this case, COURT HEREBY RECUSES itself. Court further advised it sits on a panel with Judge Dahl, and further believes that it has been present during conversations regarding this case. Court stated it is inclined to send this matter back to Judge Gibbons as he does not appear to have a significant relationship with any of the parties, and as he is familiar with the facts of this case. Mr. Simon stated he was going to suggest the same. Mr. Newell stated no objection, and stated there should be no problem rescheduling the matter. COURT ORDERED, matter set for status check for Judge Gibbons to determine whether he wants to take this case back, and for rescheduling the evidentiary hearing.

NDP

4/17/01 9:00 AM STATUS CHECK: REASSIGNMENT/EVIDENTIARY HEARING SCHEDULING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 054

MINUTES DATE: 04/13/01

MINUTES DATE: 04/17/01

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 053

Y

Ν

Υ

04/17/01 09:00 AM 00 STATUS CHECK: REASSIGNMENT/ EVIDENTIARY

HEARING SCHEDULING

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

GEORGETTE BYRD/GB, Relief Clerk PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

Ν 001988 Potter, III, Cal J. Y

COURT ORDERED, defendant's presence is waived. Court further noted it read the minutes and finds there would be a conflict and ORDERED, it will keep the case, and set the evidentiary hearing on remaining issues of the Writ.

NDP

09/12/01 10:00 AM EVIDENTIARY HEARING

09/12/01 10:00 AM 00 EVIDENTIARY HEARING: REMAINING ISSUES

ON THE WRIT

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: Tina Hurd, Court Clerk

Renee Silvaggio, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

005632 Ence, Matthew D.

Mr. Ence advised Mr. Newell was unable to travel here from San Francisco today due to the airports being closed and Mr. Potter is in trial in Oakland. State advised he did speak with Mr. Newell yesterday and discussed times they are both available; further, Mr. Newell has an issue he wants to resolve with the Court, but does not want him to discuss it ex parte. Colloquy regarding a conference call. Mr. Simon advised the issue pertains to the scope of the hearing. Court advised he is amenable to a conference call. Colloquy regarding a continuance date for the hearing. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 12/17/01 10:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 055 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 054 MINUTES DATE: 09/12/01

MINUTES DATE: 02/14/02

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 054

Ν

02/14/02 09:30 AM 02 EVIDENTIARY HEARING: REMAINING ISSUES

ON THE WRIT

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: Dina Dalton, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J. Υ

Robert Newell, out-of-state counsel for Deft. Flanagan, present also. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Newell advised Deft. Flanagan will not be attending. COURT ORDERED, Deft's presence WAIVED. State invoked the exclusionary rule. Colloguy regarding the scope of the hearing. Court stated he believes this hearing is to address any ineffective assistance of counsel that may flow from the conflict between Rebecca Blaskey and David Wall. REBECCA BLASKEY and DAVID WALL sworn and testified. 11:30 a.m.--State advised his next witness is Judge Dahl and, since he has a morning calendar, he has requested to come at 1:30 p.m. COURT ORDERED, court will be in recess until 1:30 p.m.

1:37 P.M.--Court reconvened with all present as before. STEPHEN DAHL sworn and testified. 1:44 p.m.--Mr. Newell requested a chance to get the transcript and prepare briefs. State advised he believes this is a simple issue and can be argued today. Court advised this is a death penalty case and he will allow the defense a chance to brief it. Colloguy regarding the transcript. COURT ORDERED, the court reporter to prepare today's transcript in normal course. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Newell advised he can have a brief submitted by the end of March. COURT ORDERED, the defense opening brief to be filed by April 1, 2002; the State's answering brief to be filed by May 1, 2002; the defense reply brief to be filed by May 22, 2002. Colloquy regarding further argument. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, this matter will stand submitted when the reply brief is filed and the Court will issue a written decision; the focus of the briefs will be ineffective assistance of counsel based on the personality conflict between Rebecca Blaskey and David Wall. Hearing concluded.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 056

PAGE: 055 PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 MINUTES DATE: 02/14/02

MINUTES DATE: 05/27/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 055

Y

05/27/04 09:15 AM 00 HEARING: SUPREME COURT'S ORDER

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton/sd, Court Clerk Kristen Brown, Relief Clerk

Tessa Heishman, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Mr. Potter noted his co-counsel, Robert D. Newell of Davis Wright Tremaine LLP of Portland, Oregon, was left off service list and did not receive a copy of the Supreme Court's Order. Mr. Potter said Mr. Newell has contacted the Clerk's Office and intends to come down here to Las Vegas within the next three (3) weeks to go through the Clerk's Office file and the District Attorney's file on this case. Mr. Potter said he understands there is privileged information in the District Attorney's file and part of the problem with the delay in responding to Order is the District Attorney's office moved and their file was unavailable for a period of time.

Mr. Potter indicated he will contact Mr. Newell and he will ask the Supreme Court for a continuance. Mr. Potter suggested setting matter for a Status Check in four (4) weeks, so he can advise if a continuance was granted. Mr. Owens represented he talked to Mr. Newell yesterday, there are volumes of material on this case and District Attorney's office presented a list attached to their Memorandum and believe they have now produced what they have. Court noted it had the Clerk's Office file brought down to Chambers, there are four (4) or five (5) banker's boxes and it would take Mr. Newell weeks to get through files. Mr. Owens suggested Mr. Newell could provide a list of transcripts he believes he needs, the particular date in question and the State can verify whether such a transcript exists or not; the issues could be narrowed down. Mr. Potter responded he believes Mr. Newell has an idea what he is looking for and he is ready to come down and look at Clerk's Office file. Mr. Potter noted all of the file has to be gone through and supplied to the Federal system at some point. Counsel agreed they would need at least a sixty (60) day continuance. COURT ORDERED matter SET for STATUS CHECK in two weeks to see if a continuance was granted by the Supreme Court.

PAGE: 056

NDC

6-10-04, 9:15 AM, STATUS CHECK: SUPREME COURT CONTINUANCE

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 057

MINUTES DATE: 05/27/04

MINUTES DATE: 06/10/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 056

Y

Y

N

06/10/04 09:15 AM 00 STATUS CHECK: SUPREME COURT CONTINUANCE

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton/sd, Court Clerk
Kristen Brown, Relief Clerk
Gina Shrader, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

002807 Weisman, Michael A.

COURT ORDERED DEFENDANT'S PRESENCE WAIVED. Mr. Weisman said he understands the Supreme Court has issued a ruling, but it has not been sent to his office yet. Mr. Owens said yesterday he received a copy of Motion that was in Supreme Court and it was dated June 4, 2004. Court informed counsel the file is available in this Court's chambers. Court instructed Mr. Weisman to inform person who wants to review the file that they should make every effort to fly into Las Vegas immediately and start going through the file as there are numerous volumes. Mr. Owens noted that Motion to Compel scheduled for hearing on June 22, 2004 is addressing issue that Defendant's counsel want to go through District Attorney's file again. Mr. Owens said there is privileged information in that file, he will have to go through it and it will take weeks to go through it again; parties should also get started on doing that if it is deemed necessary. Court responded the limited remand in this case may not allow this Court to rule on the motion. COURT ORDERED Status Check CONTINUED. Court instructed Mr. Weisman to begin process of going through files. Mr. Owens requested a transcript from today's Hearing in ordinary course; COURT SO ORDERED.

PAGE: 057

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 06/22/04 09:15 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 058

MINUTES DATE: 06/10/04

PAGE: 058 MINUTES DATE: 06/22/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 057

Y

N

N

Y

06/22/04 09:15 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6-22-04

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton/sd, Court Clerk

Kristen Brown/kb, Relief Clerk Jo A. Scott, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA
000411 Simon, H. L.
007842 Nelson III, Roy L.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 002807 Weisman, Michael A.

0002 D Moore, Randolph 004771 Thomas, Jonell

STATUS CHECK: SUPREME COURT CONTINUANCE (FLANAGAN) ... DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL (FLANAGAN) ... ARGUMENT (MOORE)

(Court Clerk - Sue Deaton). Also present, Robert D. Newell, Esq., of Davis Wright Tremaine, Portland, Oregon, representing Defendant Flanagan. Mr. Newell noted on April 22, 2004 the Court signed an Order that the State was to make their file available to Defendant's counsel for review. Mr. Newell said the State has refused to comply with that Order; State has only provided some court minutes and a couple of transcripts. Mr. Newell said he discovered the State had withheld things, because attached to one of the copies of the court minutes was a copy of an Affidavit by Judge Mosley that he has never seen before. Mr. Newell said Judge Mosley was removed from the case for bias and he just got a copy of this Affidavit. Argument by Mr. Newell that the State is not being forthcoming, Habeas proceedings are civil matters and the State has not complied with the Court's Order. Mr. Newell said he wants to look through the State's entire file.

Court said the issue before the Supreme Court is getting a complete record and case is up on Appeal on post-conviction relief. Court informed Mr. Newell it does not believe he can look at attorney's impressions, i.e., attorney's notes. Mr. Newell responded he is not asking to see their notes, but does want to see their interviews with witnesses. Mr. Newell said there are approximately fifty to sixty court dates for which there is no transcript, there is no record. Mr. Newell said he was provided things he didn't ask for and except for attorney's notes, he needs to review the District Attorney's entire file and there should be a privilege log prepared.

Mr. Simon responded a number of issues were raised in Defendant Flanagan's original Petition and he presented a brief history of case which subsequently resulted in Appeal. Mr. Simon said this is District Attorney Steve Owens case now and he feels the State has complied with the Court's

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 059

MINUTES DATE: 06/22/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 058

Order; pulled everything out of State's file based on Memorandum and twenty-four (24) exhibits were furnished to Defendant's counsel, noted some of the Court dates do not have transcripts. Mr. Simon suggested that perhaps after Mr. Newell has looked through the Clerk's Office file he and Mr. Owens can find out what is not there for the record on Appeal. Mr. Simon said the State would ask the Court to limit the scope of Mr. Newell's review of the State's file, so this is not an open fishing expedition.

Court informed counsel the Supreme Court has said this must be completed by July 11th. Mr. Newell said he is prepared to spend time today going through the Court's file which is located in the Clerk's Office. Court said as far as looking through the State's file, the Court is concerned about privileged information. Court instructed Mr. Newell that if after looking through the Clerk's Office file he still feels he wants to look through the District Attorney's file, the State will make those files available to him next Tuesday, June 29th. Court instructed Mr. Simon that the State needs to develop a Privilege Log, because the Court is not going to permit the review of attorney work product or notes by attorneys. Court instructed Mr. Newell to try to work this out with Mr. Simon and to move forward to look at the District Attorney's files, but the scope of that review is to be limited. Mr. Simon said he would be happy to do this later this week and agrees with the Court's instructions to counsel. Court informed counsel if a problem arises, they are to call Chambers and a hearing will be held immediately. COURT ORDERED, Defendant's Motion to Compel, GRANTED and is limited in scope as set forth in this hearing.

ARGUMENT (MOORE) ... (Court Clerk - Kristen Brown).

COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED; Counsel to provide Court with a copy of the pleadings that have been filed within the next couple of days.

NDC (BOTH)

7-13-04, 9:15 AM, ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/REMAINING ISSUES (MOORE)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 060

MINUTES DATE: 06/22/04

PAGE: 060 MINUTES DATE: 09/07/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 059

09/07/04 09:15 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 9-7-04

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton, Court Clerk

Gina Shrader, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE ... DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL

Court noted case was remanded by Supreme Court for an adequate record for the Supreme Court's review to be provided. Court said State has indicated some privileged documents in their file and Defendant's Motion to Compel production of those documents goes way beyond what the Supreme Court asked the parties to do in this case. Court informed Mr. Potter he is asking the Court to go beyond what was required by the Supreme Court and if Mr. Potter still feels these documents are needed, Defendant can go back to the Supreme Court to ask them to make the State turn these documents over. Mr. Potter responded he was trying to comply with Court's June 22nd Order and documents deal with subpoenaed witnesses. Court informed Mr. Potter it is difficult to ascertain whether this Court has jurisdiction or authority to order the State to even give the documents to this Court for review. Court said State has given Mr. Potter everything with exception of privilege item #4, which is a handwritten list of witnesses they wanted to subpoena for Preliminary Hearing and Defendant thinks this is exculpatory. Court instructed Mr. Potter to take that issue back up to the Supreme Court. Mr. Potter said transcript would only indicate individuals that testified. Court said this is discovery and not part of the record, this Court feels there is adequate record and State has filed their privilege log. COURT ORDERED, DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL, DENIED. Court informed Mr. Potter the Supreme Court are the only ones who have the authority to tell the State to turn over this handwritten list of witnesses and there is an adequate record for them to review this issue.

As to Defendant's Motion for Order to Show Cause, Court inquired if Mr. Potter served these requests on the Court Reporters. Mr. Potter said most of the Court Reporters are no longer around and requests were served on the Court noted the Court Reporter's notes do not remain with the Departments. Mr. Potter said there was no way to follow-up. COURT ORDERED, DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, DENIED against Court Reporters, they were not served, they have not even received notice and are no longer around or available.

Court informed counsel the Rules provide for what the Supreme Court wants the parties to do; parties are supposed to get together and provide record

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 061

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09 PAGE: 060 MINUTES DATE: 09/07/04

MINUTES DATE: 09/07/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 060

N Y

to the Supreme Court, so this Court needs the attorneys who tried the case to prepare the record for the Supreme Court. Mr. Owens noted that would be Mel Harmon and Dan Seaton and as to post-conviction, Leon Simon. Court said record is limited to denial of Defendant's post-conviction petition. Court said it will want these parties to appear, so record can be reconstructed. Mr. Potter said he will contact Mr. Newell. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Potter to serve on State and the Court a list of the dates and what pertinent hearings he needs by September 9, 2004 and Court can then order parties that were present to appear so these hearings can be reconstructed.

09/09/04 08:45 AM 00 MINUTE ORDER RE: TRANSCRIPT DATES

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton, Court Clerk

PARTIES: 0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Prior to court convening Mr. Potter appeared and advised Court Clerk he had called Oregon attorney, Mr. Newell, and he said he could not do anything about compiling a list of transcript dates needed until Monday, the 13th. Mr. Potter said Mr. Newell was requesting he be allowed until Thursday, September 16th to provide list.

CLERK'S NOTE: Court was informed of Clerk's conversation with Mr. Potter after court adjourned following morning criminal calendar and COURT ORDERED matter SET for STATUS CHECK on Tuesday, September 14th, at 10:30 AM. Judicial Executive Assistant called to advise counsel they needed to appear on that date. (sd)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 062

MINUTES DATE: 09/09/04

MINUTES DATE: 09/16/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 061

N

09/16/04 09:15 AM 01 STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT DATES

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Judy McFadden/jm, Relief Clerk Jennifer Daly, Reporter/Recorder

Jenniter Dary, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

001190 Owens, Christopher J.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E 001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Deft. not present. COURT ORDERED, Deft's presence WAIVED. Mr. Potter requested additional time and stated he went through minutes as they will be better than anything that can be constructed. Mr. Owens stated he had

received Deft's Motion for extension of time and has no objection, but also is requesting to expand scope and needs a copy of the transcript from 9/7. Court stated it will be happy to sign an order for the transcript. FURTHER

ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 09/23/04 09:15 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 063

MINUTES DATE: 09/16/04

MINUTES DATE: 09/23/04

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 062

Y

Y

N

09/23/04 09:15 AM 02 STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT DATES

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Relief Clerk

Norma Silverman, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

008764 Bateman, Samuel G.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

Ν 002807 Weisman, Michael A.

Mr. Weisman indicated co-counsel in Reno stated papers would be filed yesterday. Mr. Bateman argued extension has already expired as of the 17th. Further arguments by counsel. Colloquy between Court and counsel. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED. FURTHER ORDERED, matter set for September 28, 2004, VACATED.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 09/28/04 09:15 AM 03

> 09/28/04 09:15 AM 03 STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT DATES

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton, Court Clerk

Jo A. Scott, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E

001988 Potter, III, Cal J.

Mr. Potter informed Court he sent a motion up to the Supreme Court on Thursday afternoon (September 23rd) asking for an additional thirty (30) days. Mr. Potter represented counsel have a handle on which transcripts are needed and should be able to wrap this up in the next thirty (30) days. Court informed counsel it wasn't going to do anything and will wait to hear from the Supreme Court as to Mr. Potter's request for an additional thirty (30) days. Mr. Potter said he would put matter back on the Court's calendar as soon as he hears from the Supreme Court.

NDC

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 064

MINUTES DATE: 09/28/04

MINUTES DATE: 10/19/04

Y

#### CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

85-C-069269-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Flanagan, Dale E
CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 063

10/19/04 11:00 AM 00 STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT DATES

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: Sue Deaton/sd, Court Clerk
Kristen Brown, Relief Clerk
JO A Scott Reporter/Recorder

Jo A. Scott, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA 007295 Saragosa, Melissa A.

0001 D1 Flanagan, Dale E N
001988 Potter, III, Cal J. Y

Mr. Potter provided Court with copy of Supreme Court Order allowing counsel additional time.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 09/14/09

PAGE: 064 MINUTES DATE: 10/19/04

EXHIBITS

8:09 AM

09/14/09 CASE NO. 85-C-069269-C

CASE STATUS: INACTIVE

STATE OF NEVADA

[ ] vs Flanagan, Dale E

[E]

NO.	CODE	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	SUB	OF/OB	DATE S
0001 0002 0003	D01 DE1 P	/PETITIONER'S REPLY (VOL I THRU V) /EXH TO FIRST SUPP PET FOR WRIT OF HABEAS /EXHIBITS	0001 0001	/ /	05/17/00 V 05/02/03 V 99/99/99



# EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLERK'S OFFICE NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY ON APPEAL TO NEVADA SUPREME COURT



ROY MCDOWELL # 21833 1200 PRISON RD. LOVELOCK, NV 89419

DATE: September 14, 2009

CASE: C69269

RE CASE: STATE OF NEVADA vs. ROY MCDOWELL

NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED: September 10, 2009

YOUR APPEAL HAS BEEN SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT.

PLEASE NOTE: DOCUMENTS NOT TRANSMITTED HAVE BEEN MARKED:

	Case Appeal Statement - NRAP 3 (a)(1), Form 2
$\boxtimes$	Order
$\bowtie$	Notice of Entry of Order

## NEVADA RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 3 (a) (2) states:

"The district court clerk must file appellant's notice of appeal despite perceived deficiencies in the notice, including the failure to pay the district court or Supreme Court filing fee. The district court clerk shall apprise appellant of the deficiencies in writing, and shall transmit the notice of appeal to the Supreme Court in accordance with subdivision (e) of this Rule with a notation to the clerk of the Supreme Court setting forth the deficiencies. Despite any deficiencies in the notice of appeal, the clerk of the Supreme Court shall docket the appeal in accordance with Rule 12."

Please refer to Rule 3 for an explanation of any possible deficiencies

## **Certification of Copy**

State of Nevada	٦	SS
County of Clark	5	33

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST; NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY

)
) ) Case N <u>o</u> : C69269 ) Dept N <u>o</u> : XII
( · -
)
)

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 14 day of September 2009.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Lofquist Deputy

# FILED

2	NOAS ROY McDowell # 21833 SFF 02009  Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road
3	Lovelock, Nevada 89419
4	Petitioner In Pro Se
5	DECEMBER CONTR
6 7	DISTRICT COURT  CLARK COUNTY - Electronically Filed
8	CLARK COUNTY, ENEVARAICABY FIELD Sep 14 2009 04:17 p.m.  * * * * Tracie K. Lindeman
9	Roy McDowell
10	Petitioner, Case No. (69269
11	-vs- ) Dept. No
12	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
13	Respondent.
14	
15	NOTICE OF APPEAL
	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Petitioner, Roy McDowsell
16	NOTICE ID HEREDI GIVEN CHAC PECICIONEI,
16 17	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding
1	
17	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the 27 day of
17 18	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, <b>ORALLY</b> entered on the Alay of Argust, 2009, in the above-entitled Court.
17 18 19 20	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the 27 day of ASSITE 1, 200 9, in the above-entitled Court.  Dated this 4 day of Sept. , 200 5.
17 18 19 20 21 22	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the Alay of Argust , 200 g, in the above-entitled Court.  Dated this
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the Alay of day of
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the 27 day of
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the Alay of day of Agosto, 200 g, in the above-entitled Court.  Dated this 4 day of Sept, 200 s.  Ref Mc Nowell # 2,833  Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road  Lovelock, Nevada 89419  Petitioner In Pro Se
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the 27 day of Agust , 200 9, in the above-entitled Court.  Dated this 4 day of Sept , 200 9.  Ref Mc Downt # 21833  Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, Nevada 89419  Petitioner In Pro Se  RECEIVED
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	in pro se, hereby appeals to the Nevada Supreme Court the Finding of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Denying/Dismissing petition for writ of habeas corpus, ORALLY entered on the Alay of day of Agosto, 200 g, in the above-entitled Court.  Dated this 4 day of Sept, 200 s.  Ref Mc Nowell # 2,833  Lovelock Correctional Center 1200 Prison Road  Lovelock, Nevada 89419  Petitioner In Pro Se

FILED 1 ASTA 2 2009 SEP 14 . A 8: 59 . 3 4 **DISTRICT COURT** 5 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 6 7 STATE OF NEVADA, Case No: C69269 8 Plaintiff(s), Dept No: XII 9 VS. 10 ROY MCDOWELL, 11 Defendant(s), 12 13 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 14 15 1. Appellant(s): ROY MCDOWELL 16 2. Judge: MICHELLE LEAVITT 17 3. Appellant(s): ROY MCDOWELL 18 Counsel: 19 Roy McDowell # 21833 1200 Prison Rd. 20 Lovelock, NV 89419 21 4. Respondent: THE STATE OF NEVADA 22 Counsel: 23 David Roger, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. 24 Las Vegas, NV 89101 25 (702) 671-2700 26 5. Respondent's Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes 27 6. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: Yes 28 7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A

- 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: Yes
- 9. Date Commenced in District Court: February 19, 1985
- 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Criminal
  Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Writ of Habeas Corpus
- Previous Appeal: Yes
   Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 17094, 17130, 17453, 17900, 36945, 38750, 40232,
   46801
- 12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A

Dated This 14 day of September 2009.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Ву:

Heather Lofquist, Leputy Člerk

200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601

(702) 671-0512

DATE: 09/14/09	INDEX	TIME 8:09 AM
CASE NO 85-C-069269-C		JUDGE:Leavitt. Michelle

CASE NO. 85-C-069269-C	JUDGE:Leavitt, Michelle
STATE OF NEVADA	[ ] vs Flanagan, Dale E [E]
0001 D1 Dale E Flanagan	001988 Potter, III, Cal J. NO. 1 Potter Law Offices 1125 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, NV 89102
0002 D Randolph Moore	004349 Oram, Christopher R. NO. 1 520 S Fourth St., 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101
0003 D Roy McDowell 1200 Prison Road Lovelock, NV 89419-5110	Pro Se
0004 D Johnny R Luckett P O Box 1989-21832 Ely, NV 89301	Pro Se
0005 D Michael B Walsh	000069 Kelesis, George P. NO. 1 Bailus Kelesis 400 S. 4th St., Third Floor Las Vegas, NV 89101
0006 D Thomas Akers	001742 Waterman, Charles NO. 1 3224 Sundown Drive Las Vegas, NV 89109-2545
NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DE	ESCRIPTION FOR OC SCH/PER C
0001 02/19/85 COMP/CRIMINAL COMPLAIN 0002 02/20/85 ARRN/INITIAL ARRAIGNME 0003 02/25/85 INFO/INFORMATION 0004 04/14/89 SENT/CONFIRMATION OF V	ENT *D 02/25/85 *D 02/25/85
0005 05/17/91 MOT /MOTION FOR FEES 1 ALLOWANCE	
0006 05/20/91 ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY (	
OFFICE 0007 05/31/91 JUDG/CLERKS CERTIFICAT 0008 06/06/91 ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MO OF STATUTORY ALI	TE AND JUDGMENT *D 05/21/91 OTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS 0002 06/06/91
0009 06/10/91 MOT /MOTION FOR THE CO	OURT TO ISSUE SUPPLE- 0001 GR 06/24/91 FEXECUTION 0001
0010 06/10/91 MOT /MOTION FOR COURT WARRANT OF EXECUT	TION 0002

06/24/91

06/20/91 Y

Y

 $_{
m AL}$ 

0001

0001

0001

0001

2)

0011 06/24/91 MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (6/24/91)

EXECUTION

0012 06/19/91 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO STATES MOTION FOR

SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

MOTION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF

SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION

(Continued to page

0013 06/20/91 RSPN/AMENDED RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION TO STATES 0001

0014 06/20/91 CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OF OPPOSITION FOR 0001

	35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page		2)		
NO. FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION F	FOR C	OC SC	H/PER C	
TO ALL PARTIES	5				
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0001 0001		06/19/9:	1 Y
	E AND D SCHIECK				
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY OF AMENDED RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUPPLEMENTAL	0001 0001		06/20/93	l Y
	KECUTION BY DA'S OFFICE AND D SCHIECK	0001		06/01/01	1 77
, ,	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OF AMENDED RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION FOR WARRANT	0001 0001		06/21/93	L Y
	TO M LAWRENCE AND D FLANAGAN	0000		06/04/05	
	OTTE/ORDER TO TRANSPORT FOR EXECUTION	0002		06/24/91	
	SUPP/SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER OF EXECUTION	0002		06/24/91	Ļ
	SUPP/SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0002			
	SUPP/SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0001		06/04/01	,
	OTTE/ORDER TO TRANSPORT FOR EXECUTION	0001		06/24/91	
	SUPP/SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER OF EXECUTION MOT /MOTION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION	0001 0002		06/24/91	
		0002	GR	07/10/91	L
0025 07/03/91	PET /PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF AND STAY OF EXECUTION	0001			
0006 07/00/01				07/00/01	,
0026 07/08/91	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY OF MOTION TO STAY	0002		07/08/91	L
0007 07/10/01	EXECUTION BY DA'S OFFICE	0002 0002		07/10/01	
	ORDR/ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION			07/10/91	L
	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	AL 0002		07/10/01	
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OF ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION TO ALL PARTIES	0002		07/10/91	
	SENT/SENTENCING	0003		11/27/85	
	SENT/CONFIRMATION OF VERDICT AND IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE	0002 0002		07/31/89	
0032 10/22/92	MOT /PRO PER MOTION TO RELEASE TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS	0003	GR	11/04/92	2
0033 10/22/92	MOT /PRO PER MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0003	GR	11/04/92	2
0034 11/06/92	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (11-4-92)	0003		11/04/92	2
	MOT /MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD			03/01/93	
	AND APPOINT COUNSEL FOR REPRESENTATION	0001			
0036 02/19/93	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001		02/19/93	3
0037 02/19/93	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001		02/18/93	3
0038 02/19/93	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001		02/18/93	3
0039 02/22/93	HEAR/ORAL REQUEST OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY	0001		03/22/93	3
	SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING	0001			
0040 02/22/93	HEAR/ORAL REQUEST OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY	0002		03/22/93	3
	SCHEDULE NEW PENALTY HEARING	0002			
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (2/24/93)	$\mathtt{AL}$		02/24/93	
	ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	0002		02/24/93	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (3/01/93) (1 & 2)	0001		03/01/93	
	HEAR/CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL	0001	CM	03/22/93	3
0045 03/02/93	ORDR/ORDER ALLOWING COUNSEL TO WITHDRAW AS	0001		03/01/93	3
	ATTORNEY OF RECORD	0001			
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001		03/03/93	
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001		03/04/93	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (3/10/93) (1 & 2)	AL		03/10/93	
0049 03/12/93	JUDG/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/			02/10/93	3
	JUDGMENT - REVERSED AND REMANDED	0002			
	(Continued to page 3)				

	8	35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page	€	3)	
NO. H		<del>-</del>			CH/PER C
0050	03/16/93	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN	0001 0001		03/12/93
0051	03/16/93	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE RANDOLPH MOORE	0002 0002		03/12/93
		CALC/CALENDAR CALL (PENALTY PHASE) (1 & 2)	$\mathtt{AL}$		08/31/93
		HEAR/PENALTY PHASE (1 & 2) (VJ 8/31)	AL	VC	09/07/93
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (3/22/93) (1 & 2)	AL		03/22/93
		MOT /MOTION FOR ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF FEES	0001		05/03/93
		CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001		04/21/93
0057	04/22/93	JUDG/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/	0001		04/22/93
0050	04/20/03	JUDGMENT - REVERSED AND REMANDED ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING FEES IN	0001 0001		
0056	04/26/93	EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE	0001		
0059	06/10/93	SUBP/SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM	0002		06/11/93
0000	00, 20, 30		0002		06/10/93
0060	06/10/93	SUBP/SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM	0002		06/11/93
	,	,	0002		06/10/93
0061	06/11/93	EXPT/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO	0002		
		PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS	0002		
0062	06/15/93	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO	0002		
		PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS	0002		
		EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION TO APPOINT CO-COUNSEL	0002		
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		
0065	06/22/93	EXPT/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE MOTION	0002		
0066	07/14/02	TO APPOINT CO-COUNSEL OCAL/MINUTE ORDER RE: RESET 9/01/93 HEARING	0002 AL		07/14/93
0000	07/14/93	(1 & 2)	AL		07/14/93
0067	08/03/93	MOT /MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY	0002	GR	08/18/93
000,	00,00,00	ALLOWANCE	0002		,,
0068	08/03/93	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		08/03/93
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		08/05/93
		MOT /MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE		GR	08/31/93
0071	08/25/93	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS	0002		
		OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE	0002		
		CALC/CALENDAR CALL (1 & 2) (VJ 2-3-94)	AL		03/30/94
		HEAR/PENALTY PHASE (1 & 2) (VJ 2-3-94)	$\mathtt{AL}$	VC	04/04/94
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (8/31/93) (1 & 2)	AL		08/31/93
0075	12/09/93	PET /LUCKETT'S PRO PER MOTION TO CORRECT AN	0004		12/22/93
		ILLEGAL SENTENCE	0004		10/17/00
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	AL		12/15/93
0077	12/20/93	ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO	0004		
0000	10/02/02	CORRECT AN ILLEGAL SENTENCE	0004		
		JUDG/AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION - PLEA	0004		01/05/04
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		01/05/94
		REQT/MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE	0002		01/04/04
		MOT /MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE/COURT ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		01/24/94
		NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0002 0004		01/14/94
		NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	0004		
		AFFD/AFFIDAVIT	0001		
		PET /PROPER PERSON PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT	0002		02/08/94
0000	01/24/04	OF COUNSEL ON APPEAL	0004		02/00/94
		(Continued to page 4)	J J J J		
		(			

NO I		35-C-069269-C (Continuation Pa CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	ge FOR	4) OC S	CH/PER C	
	·		- 421		·	
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISQUALIFY JUDGE			01/24/9	4
0088	01/25/94	ASSG/REASSIGNMENT OF JUDGE MOSLEY TO JUDGE GUY, III				
0089	01/31/94	HEAR/AT THE REQUEST OF THE COURT CHECK PENALTY HEARING	0001		02/03/9	4
0090	01/31/94	HEAR/AT THE REQUEST OF THE COURT CHECK PENALTY HEARING	0002	2	02/03/9	4
0091	02/01/94	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISQUALIFY	0002 0002		01/31/9	4
		NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING MOTON TO DISQUALIFY		2	,, -	_
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 2-3-94			02/03/94	
		OCAL/STATUS CHECK (VJ 4/21/94)	0001		06/09/94	
		OCAL/STATUS CHECK (VJ 4/21/94)	0002		06/09/94	
0096	02/03/94	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 6-9-94 VJ 4/21/94		VC	06/09/9	4
		HEAR/PENALTY HEARING (VJ 4/21/94)	0001		10/03/94	
		HEAR/PENALTY HEARING (VJ 4/21/94)	0002		10/03/94	
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 10-3-94 (VJ 4-21-94)		VC	10/03/94	4
0100	02/08/94	MOT /DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPT OF COUNSEL ON APPEAL	0004		02/17/9	4
0101	02/09/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT AT REQUEST OF COURT: CHECK PENALTY HRG	0001	-	02/03/9	4
0102	02/09/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT AT REQUEST OF COURT: CHECK PENALTY HRG	0002	2	02/03/9	4
0103	02/17/94	OCAL/STATUS CHECK	0004		05/05/94	4
		MOT /MOTION TO RESET TRIAL DATE	0001		04/21/9	
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFT'S MOT RESET TRIAL DATE	0001 0001	-	04/14/9	
0106	04/21/94	HEAR/PENALTY HEARING (VJ 12-1-94)	0001		01/03/9	5
		CALC/CALENDAR CALL (VJ 12-1-94)	0001		12/29/9	
		HEAR/PENALTY HEARING (VJ 12-1-94)	0002		01/03/9	
0109	04/21/94	CALC/CALENDAR CALL (VJ 12-1-94)	0002	vc	12/29/94	4
0110	04/22/94	EXPT/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR TRANSCRIPT	0004			
		ORDER	0004			
		ORDR/ORDER	0004		/ / -	
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO RESET TRIAL DATE A	0001 S 0001.		04/21/9	4 Y
		AGAN AND MOORE.				
		MOT /MOTION TO RESET TRIAL DATE	0002	3	04/21/94	
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (4/21/94)	AL		04/21/9	
		OCAL/STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPTS	0004		09/15/94	
0116	05/06/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFT'S PP MOT TO CORRECT ILLEGAL SENT	0004		12/22/9	3
0117	05/09/9/	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATUS CHECK	0004 0004		05/05/94	4
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEF FLAN MOT TO	0004		08/31/9	
0	,,	C <sub>π</sub> NT TRIAL DATE	0001		00,01,9.	_
0119	06/29/94	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0004			
0120	09/14/94	REQT/MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE	0004			
0121	09/15/94	OCAL/FURTHER PROCEEDINGS	0004	<u> </u>	09/22/9	4
0122	09/22/94	ORDR/ORDER	0004	<u>L</u>		
		(Continued to page 5)				

	8	35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page	e 5	)		
NO. I	FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR O	C S	CH/PER C	
0123	09/22/94	JUDG/SECOND AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)	0004 0004		09/22/94	
0124	09/27/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPTS	0004 0004		09/15/94	
0125	11/29/94	MOT /DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE PENALTY HEARING	0001		12/01/94	
0126	12/01/94	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: WAIVER	0001		12/15/94	
0127	12/01/94	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: WAIVER	0002	MR	12/15/94	
0128	12/01/94	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS	AL		12/15/94	
		CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0001		06/08/95	
		HEAR/PENALTY HEARING	0001		06/23/95	
		CALC/CALENDAR CALL	0002		06/08/95	
		HEAR/PENALTY HEARING	0002		06/23/95	
		WAIV/WAIVER OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE	0002		12/14/94	
		WAIV/WAIVER	0001		12/15/94	
0135	12/28/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S	0001		12/01/94	
		MOTION TO CONTINUE PENALTY HEARING	0001			
		MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL	0002		06/06/95	
0137	05/19/95	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR	0002	DP	06/06/95	
		DIRE AND SUBMISSION OF QUESTIONNAIRE	0002			
		PET /PETITION FOR HABEAS CORPUS	0002			
0139	05/19/95	PTAT/POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF	0002			
	0.7.10.0.10.7	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0002		0.5 / 0.5 / 0.5	
		MOT /MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY	0002	DN	06/06/95	
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		05/19/95	
0142	05/23/95	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE	0002	DN	06/06/95	
0140	05/02/05	REFERENCE TO THE SENTENCES OF DEFTSCE	0002		06/06/05	
0143	05/23/95	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE INDUCEMENTS, PROMISES & PAYMENTS TO STATE WITNESSES	0002 0002	DN	06/06/95	
0144	05/19/95	PET /DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0002	DN	06/06/95	
		CORPUS	0002			
		MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY OF D.A. TO SUMMARIZE WITNESS' PRIOR TESTIMO	0001 0001	MR	06/13/95	
		ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0002			
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002		05/23/95	
		ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0002			
		OCAL/MINUTE ORDER RE: HEARING MOTIONS	AL		05/25/95	
0150	05/26/95	MOT /DEFT'S MTN FOR DISCLOSURE TO INFORMATION		DN	06/06/95	
		RE: STATE WITNESS' ESPECTATIONS OF	0001			
0151	05/30/95	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO JOIN CO-DEFT MOORE'S	0001	GR	06/06/95	
0150	05/20/05	MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE	0001	an	06/06/05	
0152	05/30/95	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO AMEND DEFT'S PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL TO REFLECT A	0001	GR	06/06/95	
A1 E 2	05/20/05	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PROHIBIT	0001	DAT	06/06/05	
0153	05/30/95	EVIDENCE OF DEVIL WORSHIP	0001 0001	אות	06/06/95	
0154	05/31/95	PET /DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0001	DN	06/06/95	
		CORPUS	0001	,	,,	
		MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY	0001		06/06/95	
		MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEATH PENALTY	0002	DN	06/06/95	
0157	06/05/95	RSPN/RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT DALE EDWARD	0001			Y
OE D	זמיים <i>ו</i> ריי אי	FLANAGANS MOTION TO PROHIBIT TESTIMONY	0001			

OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY

	(Continuatio			
NO. FILED/REC CODE REAS	SON/DESCRIPTION	FC	OR OC SCH/PE	R C
0158 06/05/95 RSPN/RESPONSE TO FLANAGANS MO	DEFENDANT DALE EDWARD TION TO PROHIBIT TESTI	0 0 YMOM	0002 0002	Y
OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY				
0159 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OF	POSITION TO DEFENDANTS		0001 0001	
0160 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OR		DALE 0	0002	
0161 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OF		0	0001 0001	Y
CORPUS POST-CONVICTION				
0162 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O	PPOSITION TO DEFENDANT TITION FOR WRIT OF HAB		0002 0002	Y
CORPUS POST-CONVICTION			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
0163 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OF	ספניינטא ייט הפיפאהאאיי	חאוד. בי	0001	Y
	GANS MOTION IN LIMINE		0001	Ŧ
		DXID 0	1000	Y
	GANS MOTION IN LIMINE		0002 0002	Y
PROHIBIT EVIDENCE OF DEVIL WORS				
0165 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O			0001	Y
	GANS MOTION TO DISCLOS		0001	
INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WIT				
0166 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OF	PPOSITION TO DEFENDANT	DALE 0	0002	Y
EDWARD FLANA	GANS MOTION TO DISCLOS	E 0	0002	
INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WIT	NESS EXPECTATION OF BE	NEFITS C	F TESTIMONY	
0167 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OF	POSITION TO DEFENDANT	DALE 0	0001	Y
	GANS MOTION FOR		0001	
INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE AND RE				
QUESTIONNAIRE			01 00111	
0168 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O	PRATICAL TO DEFENDANT	חמוד ח	0002	Y
	GANS MOTION FOR		0002	-
INDIVIDUALIZED VOIR DIRE AND RE				
	SPONSE TO MOTION FOR S	OBMISSIC	M OF JURY	
QUESTIONNAIRE	SPORTETON TO DEPENDANT	•	000	17
0169 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O			0001	Y
	RES MOTION TO DISCLOSE		0001	
INDUCEMENTS PROMISES AND PAYMEN				
0170 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O			0002	Y
	RES MOTION TO DISCLOSE		0002	
INDUCEMENTS PROMISES AND PAYMEN				
0171 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O				Y
PETITION FOR	R WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0	0001	
POST-CONVICTION				
0172 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER OPPOS	SITION TO DEFFENDANT MO	ORES 0	0002	Y
PETITION FOR	R WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0	0002	
POST-CONVICTION				
0173 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O	POSITION TO DEFENDANT	0	0001	Y
·	RES MOTION IN LIMINE T		0001	
PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO THE SENTE		_		
0174 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN OR		a	0002	Y
	RES MOTION IN LIMINE		0002	•
TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO THE SI				
0175 06/05/95 ANSW/ANSWER IN O			0001	
	STRIKE DEATH PENALTY		0001	
	ontinued to page 7)		, U U I	
(80	merinaca to page //			

NO. FILED/REC	85-C-069269-C CODE REASON/DESCRI	(Continuation Page			CH/PER C	
0176 06/05/95	ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION MOTIONS TO STRIKE DEA		0002 0002			
0177 06/05/95	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	IH PENALII	0002		06/05/95	
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY		0002		06/05/95	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS	6-6-95	AL		06/06/95	
	REQT/MOTION TO ADMIT PRIOR		תע		00,00,23	
0100 00/07/93	DEFENSE PENALTY PHASE					
0101 06/07/05	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL		06/06/95	
0101 00/07/95	DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS	OF PROCEEDINGS -	AL		00/00/35	
0100 06/07/06	OTTE/ORDER TO TRANSPORT		0001		06/07/95	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS	6 0 05				
			AL		06/08/95	
0184 06/06/95	JOIN/JOINDER IN MOTIONS OF	CO-DEFENDANT	0002			
0105 06/10/05	FLANANGAN	1	0002		06/00/05	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		0000	00	06/08/95	
0186 06/09/95	SUBP/SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM		0002		06/13/95	
0105 06/10/05	NOT /CENTRAL MORTON TO MA	DEPORTED TERMINATE	0002		06/08/95	
	MOT /STATE'S MOTION TO USE			GR	06/13/95	
0188 06/12/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT				06/12/95	
0100 05/00/05	OF HABEAS CORPUS COU	RT'S JURISDICTION	AL			
	NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL		0001	AΡ		
	NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL		0002	ΑP	, .	
0191 06/13/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL		06/12/95 \	Z
	DISMISSAL OF PROSPECT	'IVE JURORS;	AL			
END-OF-DAY PR						
	REQT/MOTION TO USE REPORTE		0001			
	REQT/MOTION TO USE REPORTE		0002			
0194 06/14/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL		06/13/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 1,		$\mathtt{AL}$			
0195 06/15/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		$\mathtt{AL}$		06/14/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 2,		AL			
0196 06/16/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		$\mathtt{AL}$		06/15/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 3,		$\mathtt{AL}$			
0197 06/19/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL		06/16/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 4,	VOLUME IV)	AL			
0198 06/19/95	SUBP/SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM		0002		06/19/95	
			0002	sv	06/14/95	
0199 06/19/95	SUBP/SUBPOENA		0002	sc	06/20/95	
			0002	sv	06/19/95	
0200 06/16/95			0001			
0201 06/16/95			0002			
0202 06/20/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		$\mathtt{AL}$		06/19/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 5,	VOLUME V)	$\mathtt{AL}$			
0203 06/20/95	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF CONTEN	TS OF RECORD ON	0002			
	APPEAL		0002			
0204 06/21/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF JURY TRIAL	$\mathtt{AL}$		06/20/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 6,	VOLUME VI)	AL			
0205 06/21/95	SUBP/SUBPOENA		0002	SC	06/20/95	
			0002		06/20/95	
0206 06/22/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF JURY TRIAL	AL		06/21/95	
	PENALTY PHASE (DAY 7,		AL		•	
0207 06/23/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL		06/22/95	
	PENALTY PHASAE (DAY 8		$\mathtt{AL}$			
	(Continued t	o page 8)				

NO. FILED/REC	35-C-069269-C CODE REASON/DESCRI	(Continuation PTION		C SCH/PER C
0208 06/23/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY PHASE DAY 9,		- AL AL	06/23/95 Y
TNCLIDING MAS	TER TRIAL INDEXPENALTY PH		1111	
	INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE J		0001	
	INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE J		0002	
0210 06/23/95		31(1	0001	06/23/95
	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0001	06/23/95
	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0001	06/23/95
0214 06/23/95			0001	06/23/95
	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0001	06/23/95
	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0001	06/23/95
0217 06/23/95	·		0002	06/23/95
	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0002	06/23/95
	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0002	06/23/95
0220 06/23/95			0002	06/23/95
0221 06/23/95	VER /SPECIAL VERDICT		0002	06/23/95
0222 06/23/95	VER /SPCEIAL VERDICT		0002	06/23/95
0223 06/28/95	NOEV/NOTICE OF EXHIBIT(S)	IN THE VAULT		06/12/95
0224 06/29/95	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF CONTEN	TS OF RECORD ON	0001	
	APPEAL		0001	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/12/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/13/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/14/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/15/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/16/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/19/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/20/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/21/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/22/95
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS -			06/23/95
	SENT/SENTENCING - COUNTS V		0001	GR 07/11/95
	SENT/SENTENCING - COUNTS V	I & VII	0002	GR 07/11/95
	ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT		0001	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT		0002	
0239 07/11/95		11 05	0001	07/11/05
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 7 OCAL/STATUS CHECK: CREDIT		AL 0 0001	07/11/95 GR 08/17/95
0241 07/11/95	AND PERFECTION OF APP		0001	GR 00/1//95
0242 07/11/05	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: CREDIT			GR 08/17/95
0242 07/11/93	AND PERFECTION OF APP		0002	GR 00/17/33
02/3 07/12/95	NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL	מאט	0002	AP
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	N - DI.Fλ	0001	AF
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION		0002	
	WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION	I DDA	0001	
	ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION		0001	
	WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION		0002	
	ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION		0002	
	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF OR	DER	0001	
	ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER		0002	
,,	EXCESS FEES	<b>-</b>	0002	
0252 07/18/95	ORDR/ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION	ON	0002	
	EMO /ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER		0001	07/18/95
	(Continued t	o page 9)		

		35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page		9)		
	•	<b>,</b>			CH/PER C	
		EMO /ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER	0002		07/18/95	
		CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0002		07/18/95	
0256	07/19/95	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF CONTENTS OF RECORD ON APPEAL	0002 0002			
0257	07/24/95	ORDR/ORDER OF APPOINTMENT	0002			
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT			07/11/95	
		ORDR/ORDER	0001			
		ORDR/ORDER	0002			
0261	08/09/95	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF CONTENTS OF RECORD ON	0001			
		APPEAL	0001			
		NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0001	AP	1 1	
		•	AL		08/15/95	
			AL		08/17/95	
0265	08/21/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CHECK:			08/17/95	Y
		CRDIT FOR TIME SERVED AND PERFECTION				
		TO BOTH DEFENDANT'S (FLANAGAN AND MOORE)				
0266	01/12/96	ASSG/Reassign Case From Judge GUY, III TO Judge DOUGLAS				
0267	02/02/96	PET /DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (VA 02-16-96)	0004 0004	VC	02/20/96	
0268	02/02/96	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR LEAVE TO	0004	GR	02/20/96	
		PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0004		,,	
0269	02/02/96	PET /DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0004	ĎN	02/20/96	
	, ,	HABEAS CORPUS	0004		,,	
0271	02/02/96	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE	0004			
		TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0004			
0272	02/15/96	MOT /STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS PETITION FOR	0004	GR	02/20/96	
		WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0004			
0273	02/15/96	<del></del> '	0004		02/20/96	Y
		PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0004			
	-CONVICTIO					
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (02-20-96)	0004		02/20/96	
0275	02/26/96	REQT/NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR	0004		03/12/96	
0076	00/06/06	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0004	DAT	02/10/06	
02/6	02/26/96	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL			03/12/96	
0277	02/14/06	ORDR/ORDER	0004 0004			
		OPPS/OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS	0004			
		ORDR/ORDER	0004			
		OPPS/OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS	0004			
		NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL AND DESIGNATION OF	0004	AP		
OZOI	01,01,50	RECORD ON APPEAL	0004			
0282	12/04/97		0001		12/04/97	
	,,	JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	0001		12,01,31	
0283	12/04/97	·	0002		12/04/97	
	, ,	JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	0002		,, - '	
0284	02/24/98		AL			
			0004	GR	02/26/98	
		APPEAL DISMISSED	0004			
		CASO/CASE (RE)ACTIVATED ON				
0287	05/20/98	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF	0002	GR	06/01/98	
		STATUTORY ALLOWANCE AND FOR EXPENSES	0002			
		(Continued to page 10)				

	85-C-069269-C (Continuation Page			
NO. FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR O	C SCH/PER C	
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY MOT /DEFT'S REQUEST APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0002 0001	05/22/98 GR 06/11/98	
0269 05/26/96	FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF	0001	GR 00/11/90	5
0291 05/27/98	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0001 0001		
0292 05/28/98	PET /DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0001	MC 11/30/99	€
0293 06/02/98	HABEAS CORPUS PET /DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0001 0002	MC 11/30/99	€
0294 06/01/98	CORPUS ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS	0002 0002		
	OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE AND FOR EXPENSES	0002	/ /	_
0295 06/02/98		0001	07/20/98	
0296 06/03/98	CCJA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/ JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	0001 0001	06/03/98	3
0297 06/03/98	CCJA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/ JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	0002 0002	06/03/98	3
0298 06/02/98	PET /PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0002	06/04/98	3 Y
COINCRI	POST-CONVICTION AND APPOINTMENT OF	0002		
COUNSEL	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002	06/03/98	2
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6-4-98	AL	06/03/98	
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001	06/05/98	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (06-11-98)	AL	06/11/98	
	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: SUPPLEMENTAL	AL	11/25/98	
0303 06/11/96	MOTIONS/PETITIONS	AL	11/25/96	>
0304 06/29/98	REQT/MOTION FOR PRISON ACCESS AND	0001		
! !	INVESTIGATION AND EXPERT FUNDS	0001		
0305 06/29/98	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT D NEWELL	0001		
0306 07/07/98	EXPR/EX PARTE PLEADING PROPOSED ORDER	0001		Y
**************************************	GRANTING PETITIONERS EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001		
	AND EXPERT FUNDS EXPR/EX PARTE PLEADING PROPOSED ORDER	0001		Y
0308 07/17/98	GRANTING PETITIONERS EX PARTE MOTION	0001		I
TO ALLOW PRIS				
0309 08/05/98	APPL/VERIFIED APPLICATION FOR ASSOCIATION OF	0001		
	COUNSEL UNDER NEVADA SUPREME CRT RULE 42	0001		
0310 08/11/98	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF	0003	DN 08/24/98	3
	DOCUMENTS	0003		
	NOTC/NOTICE OF MOTION	0003		
0312 08/18/98	APPL/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO	0002		
	PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS	0002		
	ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY	0002		
	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER TO PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS	0002		
0315 08/27/98	ORDR/ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS PROPER PERSON MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS	0003 0003		
0316 09/02/98	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	09/02/98	2
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	09/02/98	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT	AL	06/11/98	
0310 03/02/30	FLANAGAN'S REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF	AL	00/11/90	, I
	OST-CONVICTION RELIEF / DEFENDANT MOORE'S PETIT		OR WRIT OF	-
HABEAS CORPUS	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY OF THE EX PARTE ORDER TO	0000	00/21/00	5
0313 03/21/38	PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS	0002	08/31/98	<b>&gt;</b>
	(Continued to page 11)	0002		
	(continued to page 11)			

85-C-069269-C (Continuation Pag NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	e 11) FOR OC SCH/PER C
0320 11/19/98 MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL 0321 11/19/98 MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL 0322 11/19/98 ORDR/NOTICE OF HEARING	0001 GR 11/25/98 0002 OC 11/25/98 0001 11/25/98
0323 11/25/98 MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (11-25-98) 0324 11/25/98 MOT /DEFT'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	AL 11/25/98 0001 MC 11/30/99 0001
0325 11/25/98 MOT /DEFT'S SUBMISSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS ON WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0002 MC 11/30/99 0002
0326 11/18/98 CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 0327 11/25/98 APPL/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO INSPECT AND COPY ANY AND ALL	0001 11/18/98 0001 Y 0001
JUVENILE RECORDS MAINTAINED BY THE CLERK OF THE COURT PROBA AND IN THE CUSTODY OF JUVENILE AUTHORITIES INCLUDING POLICE	TION DEPARTMENT REPORTS
PSYCHIATRIC AND PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION AND MEDICAL RECORD 0328 11/25/98 APPL/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO INSPECT AND COPY ANY AND ALL RECORDS	0001 Y 0001
IN THE CUSTODY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES ENCOMPA PROTECTION SERVICE INCLUDING POLICE REPORTS PSYCHIATRIC AND EVALUATION AND MEDICAL RECORDS	
0329 11/25/98 APPL/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO INSPECT AND COPY ANY AND ALL JUVENILE RECORDS MAINTAINED BY THE CLERK OF THE COURT PROBATION DEPA	0001 Y 0001
CUSTODY OF JUVENILE AUTHORITIES INCLUDING POLICE REPORTS PS PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS AND MEDICAL RECORDS	
0330 11/25/98 APPL/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO INSPECT AND COPY ANY AND ALL RECORDS IN THE CUSTODY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES ENCOMPA	0001 Y 0001
PROTECTION SERVICE INCLUDING POLICE REPORTS PSYCHIATRIC AND EVALUATONS AND MEDICAL RECORDS	
0331 11/25/98 ORDR/ORDER 0332 12/03/98 STAT/SUPREME COURT RULE 42 STATEMENT	0001 0001
0333 12/31/98 EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO INSPECT AND COPY ANY AND ALL JUVENILE RECORDS MAINTAINED BY THE CLERK OF THE COURT PROBATION DEPA	0001 Y 0001 RTMENT AND IN THE
CUSTODY OF JUVENILE AUTHORITIES INCLUDING POLICE REPORTS PS PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS AND MEDICAL RECORDS	YCHIATRIC AND
0334 12/29/98 NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 0335 02/08/99 EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION TO INSPECT AND COPY ANY AND ALL RECORDS	0001 12/29/98 0001 Y 0001
IN THE CUSTODY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES ENCOMPA PROTECTION SERVICE INCLUDING POLICE REPORTS PSYCHIATRIC AND EVALUATIONS AND MEDICAL RECORDS	
0336 02/24/99 REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FILED UNDER SEAL MOTION FOR INVESTIGATION FUNDS	0001 0001
0337 02/24/99 EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING INVESTIGATON FUNDS 0338 05/12/99 MOT /HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001 0001 0001 GR 05/17/99
RELEASE OF OF JUVENILE RECORDS 0339 05/12/99 MOT /HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR	0001 0001 GR 05/17/99
PRISON ACCESS  0340 05/12/99 MOT /HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR REIM AND WAIVER OF COUNTY RECORDS' CHARGES	0001 0001 GR 05/17/99 0001
(Continued to page 12)	

	85-C-069269-C (Continuation Page			_	
NO. FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR C	C SC	CH/PER C	
0341 05/12/99	MOT /HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR SOCIAL HISTORIAN INVESTIGATION FUNDS	0001 0001	GR	05/17/99	
0342 05/12/99	MOT /HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION FUNDS	0001	GR	05/17/99	
0344 05/12/99	MOT /HEARING: DEFT'S EX PARTE FOR CORONER'S RECORDS	0001	GP	05/17/99	
0345 05/13/99	RECORDS  REQT/EX PARTE PLEADING-FILED UNDER SEAL  MOTION FOR PRISON ACCESS	0001			
0346 05/13/99	REQT/EX PARTE PLEADING-FILED UNDER SEAL	0001			
0347 05/13/99	MOTION FOR CORONERS RECORDS AFFD/EX PARTE PLEADING-FILED UNDER SEAL	0001			
0348 05/13/99	AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT D NEWELL REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FILED UNDER SEAL MOTION				Y
FUNDS	FOR NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION	0001			
	REQT/EX PARTE PLEADING FILED UNDER SEAL	0001			Y
	MOTION FOR SOCIAL HISTORIAN	0001			
INVESTIGATION					
0350 05/13/99	MEMO/SUPPLEMENTARY MEMORANDUM WITH	0001			Y
T11 G1122222	DECLARATION OF SCHARLETTE HOLDMAN	0001	n		
	RELEASE OF JUVENILE RECORDS EX PARTE MOTION F		MDER	C SEAL	37
0351 05/13/99	REQT/EX PARTE PLEADING FILED UNDER SEAL MOTION FOR REIMBURSEMENT AND WAIVER	0001 0001			Y
OF COUNTY REC		0001			
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (05-17-99)	0001	GP	05/17/99	
	ORDR/EX PARTE PLEADING ORDER GRANTING MOTION		01	03/1//	
,,	FOR SOCIAL HISTORIAN INVESTIGATION FUNDS				
0354 05/18/99	ORDR/EX PARTE PLEADING-FILED UNDER SEAL	0001			Y
	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PETITIONERS	0001			
	ON TO ALLOW PRISON ACCESS				
, ,	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING PETITIONERS MOTION FOR NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EXAMINATION FUNDS	0001 0001			
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (5-27-99)	AL		05/27/99	
0357 05/27/99	ORDR/EX PARTE PLEADING ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CORONERS RECORDS	0001 0001			
0358 05/27/99	ORDR/EX PARTE PLEADING ORDER GRANTING MOTION	0001			
	TO LIMIT COUNTY RECORDS CHARGES	0001			
0359 06/30/99	NSCO/NEVADA SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT / ORDERED APPEAL DISMISSED	0004 0004		06/30/99	
n360 06/20/00	JMNT/REMITTITUR APPEAL DISMISSED	0004		07/07/99	
	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL	0001	CP	09/08/99	
	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL	0002		09/08/99	
	NOTC/NOTICE OF HEARING	0001	Q10	09/08/99	
	APPL/VERIFIED APPLICATION FOR ASSOCIATION OF	0001		,,	
	COUNSEL UNDER NEVADA SUPREME CRT RULE 42	0001			
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001		09/01/99	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (09-08-99)	AL	GR	09/08/99	
0367 09/08/99	REQT/MOTION FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF	0001			
0368 09/08/99	INVESTIGATION EXPENSES	0001 0001			
	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: BRIEFING SCHEDULE	AL		12/20/99	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 11/30/99	AL		11/30/99	
	(Continued to page 13)			,,,	

NO. FILED/REC	B5-C-069269-C (Continuation Page CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION		CH/PER C	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•	
0371 11/30/99	PET /SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	0001 0001		
0372 11/30/99	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	11/29/99	
	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0001	12/09/99	
	ASSG/Reassign Case From Judge Douglas TO	0001	12/05/55	
	Judge Hardcastle			
	HEAR/CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (J THOMAS)		12/23/99	
	OCAL/STATUS CHECK	0002	12/23/99	
0377 12/20/99	ARGU/ARGUMENT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEOUS CORPUS VJ 1/19	0001 VC	03/09/00	
0378 12/22/99	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS (12-22-99)	0002	12/22/99	
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS		12/23/99	
	HEAR/STATE'S REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME	0001 GR	01/19/00	
	TO FILE WRIT/RESPONSE	0001		
0381 01/19/00	MOT /DAVID SCHIECK'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S	0002 GR	01/31/00	
	FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE &	0002		
0382 01/19/00	ARGU/ARGUMENT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0001 DP 0001	08/16/00	
0383 01/19/00	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR SEVERANCE		01/31/00	
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0002	01/20/00	
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001	01/21/00	
0386 01/31/00	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES			Y
	IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE AND	0002		_
FOR EXPENSES				
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 01-31-00	AL	01/31/00	
0388 03/29/00	RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0001 0001		Y
POST-CONVICTION	ON			
0389 05/17/00	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	0001 DN	08/16/00	
	HEAR/DEFT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING	0001 GP	08/16/00	
0391 05/17/00	RPLY/PETITIONERS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0001 0001		
0392 05/18/00	NOTC/NOTICE OF EXHIBITS TO PETITIONERS		05/17/00	
0000 05/00/00	REPLY (VOL I THRU V) IN THE VAULT	0000	06/10/00	
0393 05/23/00	MOT /STATE'S REQUEST CHANGE/SET BRIEFING	0002	06/19/00	
0204 05/25/00	SCHEDULE  DDLY/GUDDLEMENT TO DETERMINED DEDLY IN	0002		3.7
0394 05/25/00	RPLY/SUPPLEMENT TO PETITIONERS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0001 0001		Y
HABEAS CORPUS				
0395 05/25/00	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	05/17/00	
0396 05/25/00	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	05/17/00	
0397 05/25/00	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	05/17/00	
0398 05/25/00	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	05/17/00	
0399 05/31/00	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS FOR 5/31/00	0001	05/31/00	
0400 06/05/00	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF	0001 VC	06/15/00	
	JUDGE VJ 6/6	0001		
0401 06/05/00	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 31, 2000	0001	05/31/00	Y
	ARGUMENT:	0001		
	NAGAN'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, DE	FENDANT'S	MOTION	
	, DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING			
0402 06/06/00	OCAL/MINUTE ORDER RE: DISQUALIFICATION OF	0001	06/06/00	
	JUDGE HARDCASTLE	0001		
	(Continued to page 14)			

NO. I		35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION E			CH/PER C	
0403	06/09/00	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	0001 0001			
0404	06/13/00	MOT /STATE'S MOTION FOR WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE	0001	DN	08/16/00	
0405	06/12/00	MEMO/PETITIONERS MEMORANDUM OPPOSING WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE	0001			
		CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001		06/09/00	
		OCAL/MINUTE ORDER RE: RECUSAL VI	0001		06/13/00	
0408	06/13/00	ASSG/RECUSAL OF JUDGE Hardcastle REASSIGNED	0001			
0409	06/13/00	TO JUDGE Bonaventure*** Flanagan Only*** ASSG/RECUSAL OF JUDGE Bonaventure REASSIGNED TO JUDGE Gibbons	0001			
0410	06/13/00	NDR /NOTICE OF DEPARTMENT REASSIGNMENT 004771001988FC			06/13/00	Y
	88004771					
0411	06/19/00	ARGU/ARGUMENT: DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT		VC	01/02/01	
0410	06/00/00	OF HABEAS CORPUS VJ 12/12	0002		05/00/00	
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6/22/00 REQT/MOTION FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF	0001 0001		06/22/00	
0413	08/03/00	INVESTIGATION EXPENSES - UNDER SEAL	0001			
0414	08/03/00	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT D NEWELL -	0001			
		UNDER SEAL	0001			
0415	08/14/00	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER REQUEST (MOTION) FOR		DN	09/28/00	
	/ /	APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY	0003			
0416	08/14/00	PET /DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF		DN	09/28/00	
0417	08/15/00	HABEAS CORPUS ORDR/ORDER RE PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0003 0003	ис	09/28/00	
0417	00/15/00	CORPUS	0003	110	09/20/00	
0418	08/16/00	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING	0001		09/13/00	
0419	08/17/00	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 8-16-00	0001		08/16/00	
0420	08/17/00	EXPT/EX PARTE PLEADING FILED UNDER SEAL - MOTION FOR EXPERT FUNDS	0001 0001			
0421	08/23/00	AFFD/PETITIONERS SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL	0003			
0400	00/02/00	AFFIDAVIT - CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0003		00/02/00	
		CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	0003 0003		08/23/00	
	00/23/00	REQUESTING APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0003			
0424	08/23/00	CRTF/CERTIFICATE OF INMATES INSTITUTIONAL	0003			
		ACCOUNT	0003			
0428	08/23/00	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO	0004			
0.400	00/00/00	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0004			
		CRTF/FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE	0004		00/02/00	
		CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING PET /DEFT'S PRO PER PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0003	DM	08/23/00	
0432	06/23/00	HABEAS CORPUS	0004 0004	DN	10/10/00	
0433	08/23/00	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF	0004	DN	10/10/00	
0434	08/23/00	COUNSE MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR LEAVE TO	0004 0004	GR	10/10/00	
0425	00/00/00	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0004			
		ORDR/ORDER RE PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0004 0004		10/10/00	
0436	08/29/00	ORDR/ORDER (Continued to page 15)	0001			
		(Continued to page 15)				

	ŗ	35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page	e 15	Y		
NO. I					H/PER C	
0437	09/07/00	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0004 0004	DN	10/10/00	
0438	09/13/00	HEAR/EVIDENTIARY HEARING (REMAINING ISSUES ON WRIT)	0001 0001	JD	04/13/01	
0439	09/18/00	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL	0003 0003			
		OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST-CONVICTION	0003 0003			
0441	09/28/00	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 9/28/00	0003		09/28/00	
0442	09/28/00	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0001	$^{\mathrm{HG}}$	01/26/01	
0443	09/28/00	ORDR/ORDER REGARDING EVIDENTIARY HEARING	0001		09/28/00	
0444	10/03/00	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PROPER PERSON PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0004 0004			Y
CORP	US POST-CO	ONVICTION				
0445	10/03/00	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PROPER	0004			
		PERSON MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL	0004			
0446	10/09/00	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0001		10/09/00	
		MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 10/10/00	0004		10/10/00	
0448	10/12/00	ORDR/STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DUE DATE FOR SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0001 0001		10/12/00	Y
	AS CORPUS					
		RPLY/REPLY TO OPPOSITIN TO PETITIONERS PROPER PERSONS PETITION FOR WRIT OF	$0004 \\ 0004$			Y
		POST-CONVICTION				
		ORDR/STIPULATION	0001		10/18/00	
		JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	0003 0003	HG	09/28/00	
		JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT	0004 0004	HG	10/10/00	
0453	10/19/00	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0004		10/19/00	
		PET /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ON THE APPEAL	$0004 \\ 0004$	DN	11/09/00	
	, ,	NOAS/PETITIONERS NOTICE OF APPEAL AND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ON THE APPEAL	$0004 \\ 0004$	AP		
		CASO/CASE (RE)ACTIVATED ON				
		STAT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	0004			
		REQT/REQUEST OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0001			
0459	11/06/00	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO PRO PER MOTION FOR	0004			
		APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ON APPEAL	0004			
0460	11/21/00	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO	0002		12/12/00	
		FILE SUPPLEMENTAL POST-CONVICTION PETITI	0002			
		ORDR/ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL ON APPEAL	0004 0004	HG	11/09/00	
		ASSG/Reassign Case From Judge Gibbons To Judge Saitta				
		MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO SEAL ORDER	0001	GR	12/18/00	
0464	12/06/00	MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO CLARIFY AND EXPAND	0001	DN	12/18/00	
	, .	SCOPE OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING	0001			
		REQT/EX PARTE MOTION FILED UNDER SEAL RENEWED MOTION FOR EXPERT FUNDS AND	0001 0001			Y
	STIGATIVE					
0466	12/06/00	NOTC/NOTICE OF MOTION	0001		12/18/00	
		(Continued to page 16)				

\$	35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page	e 16)	
		FOR OC S	CH/PER C
0.455 40.405.400	Nome (Noming) on Moning		10/10/00
	NOTC/NOTICE OF MOTION	0001	12/18/00
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001	12/06/00
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001	12/06/00
0470 12/11/00		0001	12/11/00
0471 12/12/00	HEAR/ARGUMENT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0002 0002	09/23/03
0472 12/12/00	CMMT/DEFENDANT RANDOLPH MOORE ASSIGNED TO DEPARTMENT XVII, JUDGE CHERRY		
0473 12/14/00	RSPN/RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO SEAL ORDER	0001 0001	
0474 12/14/00	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CLARIFY AND EXPAND SCOPE	0001 0001	
0475 12/14/00	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0001	02/09/01
	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 12/18/00	0001	12/18/00
	ORDR/ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS MOTION TO		12/18/00 Y
04// 12/20/00	CLARIFY AND EXPAND THE SCOPE OF THE	0001 113	12/10/00 1
בי אמגדייניים אים אים אים	EARING AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS MOTION TO		CD.
			ŁK
	LIST/NOTICE OF WITNESSES	0001	00/13/00
04/9 01/31/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATUS CHECK	0001 0001	09/13/00
0400 01/00/01	EVIDENTIARY HEARING		00/16/00
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT MOTIONS HEARING	0001	08/16/00
0481 02/11/01	REQT/MOTION TO JOIN AND OR CONSOLIDATE	0003	
0400 00/11/01	PETITIONS FOR WRITS OF HABEAS CORPUS	0003	
0482 02/11/01	REQT/REQUEST MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF	0003	
0400 00/11/01	ATTORNEY	0003	
0483 02/11/01	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO	0003	
0404 00/11/01	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0003	
0484 02/11/01	REQT/MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA	0003	
0405 00/11/01	PAUPERIS	0003	
0485 02/11/01	CRTF/CERTIFICATE OF INMATES INSTITUTIONAL	0003	
0406 00/00/05	ACCOUNT	0003	00/00/05
	REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	0001	02/20/85
	REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	AL	02/20/85
	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING ENTRY OF MEDIA PERMISSION		02/19/85
	REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	0001	02/20/85
0490 02/28/85		0001	02/25/85
	REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	AL	05/20/85
0492 03/01/85	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING ENTRY OF MEDIA	AL	02/28/85
	PERMISSION	AL	
	PET /PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0004	03/20/85
	REQT/MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL	AL	04/01/85
	PET /PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0005	03/22/85
0496 03/25/85		0004	03/22/85
0497 03/26/85	WRIT/WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0004	04/08/85
0498 03/29/85		0005	05/25/85
0499 04/03/85	WRIT/WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0005	04/15/85
	REQT/MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD	0005	04/10/85
	FOR DEFENDANT	0005	, ,
0501 04/17/85	PET /PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0006	04/17/85
	REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	0001	05/20/85
	REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	AL	05/20/85
	REQT/MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PSYCHIATRIST	AL	05/06/85
, ,	FOR EXAMINATION OF DEFENDANT	AL	,,
	(Continued to page 17)	_	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

1	85-C-069269 <b>-</b> C	(	(Continuation	Page	17)		
		REASON/DESCRIPT			OR OC SO	CH/PER C	
		CATE OF MAILING		C	0005	04/29/85	
0506 04/29/85					0005	04/29/85	
0507 04/29/85		TO FILE ADDITION			005	04/29/85	Y
		OF DISCOVERY MA	ATERIAL AND	C	005		
FOLLOWING EVI				_			
0508 04/29/85		FOR APPOINTMENT			0005	04/29/85	Y
	PSYCHIA	TRISTS FOR EXAMI	NATION OF	C	0005		
DEFENDANT	ο Ε∕ΩΤ /Μ∕ΩΤΛΝ	FOR DISCOVERY EX	AMTNATION OF	^	005	04/29/85	v
0309 04/29/03		E AND PRODUCTION			005	04/29/03	1
EVIDENCE FAVO			· or rill		,005		
0510 04/29/85				C	005	04/29/85	
		IN LIMINE (REGAR	RDING		0005	04/29/85	
, ,		NDANTS STATEMENT			005	, ,	
0512 05/03/85	WRIT/RETURN	TO WRIT OF HABEA	S CORPUS	C	0004	05/03/85	
		TO WRIT OF HABEA		C	006	05/03/85	
0514 05/03/85	WRIT/RETURN	TO WRIT OF HABEA	AS CORPUS	C	0005	05/03/85	
0515 05/06/85				P	ΔL	05/06/85	
0516 05/06/85					\L	05/06/85	
0517 05/16/85		OR APPOINTMENT C			005	05/16/85	
		MINATION OF DEFE			0005		
0518 05/17/85		OF ENTRY OF ORDE			0005	05/17/85	
0510 05/01/05		HIATRIST FOR EXA			0005	00/10/05	
0519 05/21/85		IN OPPOSITION TO	MOTION FOR		0005	09/18/85	
0500 05/01/05	SEVERAN	CE E TO RETURN TO W	מגשמגנו סד חדמו		0005	05/01/05	
0520 05/21/85	CORPUS	E TO RETURN TO W	IRIT OF HABEAS		)004 )004	05/21/85	
0521 05/31/85		בירו וביפיד			0004	06/26/85	
		RANTING ENTRY OF	MEDIA PERMISS			05/29/85	
		NARY MOTION FOR			0004	06/19/85	
		IN LIMINE REGARD			0004	06/19/85	Y
		NDANTS STATEMENT			0004	, , , ,	
FOR EVIDENTIA							
0525 06/19/85		REQUESTING LEAVE			0004	06/19/85	Y
		DITIONAL MOTIONS	S UPON	C	0004		
RECEIPT OF DI				_			
0526 06/19/85		FOR DISCOVERY EX			0004	06/19/85	Y
מנוגלים לומואליומים ביונים		E AND PRODUCTION	OF ALL	Ĺ	0004		
EVIDENCE FAVO		NDANT FOR IMMEDIATE DI	CCI OCIDE OF	_	0004	06/10/05	
0327 00/19/63		T WITNESSES	SCLOSORE OF		0004 0004	06/19/85	
0528 06/26/85		TO PLACE ON CALE	ממואי		004	06/26/85	
0529 07/02/85			MDMI		001	08/07/85	
		RANTING ENTRY OF	MEDIA PERMISS			06/28/85	
0531 07/02/85					0001	09/23/85	
		RANTING ENTRY OF	MEDIA PERMISS			06/28/85	
0533 07/03/85	REQT/MOTION	TO DISMISS			0003	07/03/85	
0534 07/09/85		UPPLEMENT TO MOT			0004	07/09/85	Y
		NG CO-DEFENDANTS			0004		
		ARING AND PRELIM					
		BRIEF OF DEFT M			0005		
0536 07/19/85		TO MOTIONS IN LI	MINE AND FOR		AL.		
	SEVERAIN	CE OF TRIALS (Continued to	nage 101	P.	AL.		
		(continued to	page 18)				

85-C-069269-C (Continuation Page NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION FOR	18) 2 OC SC	CH/PER C	
	04	<b>,</b>	Y
DISCOVERY EXAMINATION OF EVIDENCE AND 00	04		ı
PRODUCTION OF ALL EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO DEFENDANT		05/00/05	
0538 07/22/85 REQT/ADDITIONAL MOTION FOR SPECIFIC DISCOVERY 00		07/22/85	
MOTION FOR DISCOVERY EXAMINATION OF 00	04		Y
EVIDENCE AND PRODUCTION OF ALL EVIDENCE FAVORABLE TO DEFENDANT			
	04		
	04		
• • •	03	08/12/85	
	03		
· · ·	03		Y
DISCOVERY	0.5		
	01	08/01/85	
	03	00,01,03	
	03		
	05		
	05		
	05	08/14/85	γ
	05	00, ==, 00	-
SPECIFIC DISCOVERY			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	05	08/30/85	
	05		
	05	08/14/85	
	05	08/15/85	
	01	09/03/85	
	04	09/13/85	
0552 08/23/85 REQT/MEDIA REQUEST AL		09/23/85	
		08/29/85	
		08/29/85	
	05	08/29/85	
	05	00/05/05	
	05	08/27/85	
, , ,	02	09/23/85	
	02 01	09/18/85	
	01	03/10/03	
	01	09/09/85	v
	01	05,05,05	_
EXCLUSION OF SAID EVIDENCE			
	01	09/18/85	
	01	, ,	
0562 09/09/85 REQT/MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF STATEMENTS 00	01	09/18/85	
UNDER JENCKS ACT 00	01	•	
	01	09/18/85	
	01	09/18/85	
	01		
0565 09/17/85 NOTC/NOTICE OF AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES AL		_	
	06	09/16/85	
	01		
	01		
(Continued to page 19)			

			(Continuation Page	e 19)		
NO. FI	LED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRI	PTION	FOR OC	SCH/PER C	
0568 0	9/18/85	ANSW/ANSWER TO MOTION FOR I BAD ACTS AND MOTION II		0001 0001	,	Y
EXCLUS	SION OF S	SAID EVIDENCE		–		
		ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION	TO MOTION FOR	0001	•	Y
	., _0, 00	PRODUCTION OF STATEMEN		0001	•	_
JENCKS	S ACT			0001		
		ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION	TO MOTION FOR	0001		
03,00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	SEVERANCE OF DALE FLAI		0001		
0571 0	19/18/85	ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION				
0371 0	,,,,,,,,,	AND PRODUCE ROUGH NO		0001		
0572 0	19/18/85	ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION		0001		
05/2	75, 10, 05	SEVERANCE AND CHANGE		0001		
0573 0	09/18/85	INFO/MOTION AND NOTICE OF I		0001	09/23/85	
0373 0	77/10/05	NAMES OF INFORMATION	MOTION TO ENDORSE	0001	09/23/63	
0574 0	19/19/85	EXPT/EX PARTE APPLICATION	FOR ADDOLNTMENT OF	0005		
03/4 0	00/10/00	INVESTIGATOR	FOR AFFOINIMENT OF	0005		
0575 0	19/20/85	NCA /PROPOSED VOIR DIRE OU	PCTT OM	0001		
		INFO/MOTION AND NOTICE OF I		0001	09/24/85	
03/0 0	05/20/05	NAMES OF INFORMATION	MOTION TO ENDORSE	0001	03/24/03	
0577 0	10/22/05	REQT/MOTION TO USE REPORTED	D TECTIMONY	AL	09/23/85	
		NCA /DEFENDANTS REQUESTED		0004	09/23/65	
		ORDR/ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES		0004	09/24/85	
		ORDR/ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES		0001		
		REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	S ON INFORMATION		09/24/85 09/25/85	
		ORDR/ORDER GRANTING ENTRY	OF MEDIA DEDMICCION	AL		
		REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	OF MEDIA PERMISSION		09/25/85	
		ORDR/ORDER GRANTING ENTRY	OF MEDIA DEDMICCION	AL	09/26/85	
		NCA /LIST OF CO-CONSPIRATOR		AL	09/24/85	
		LIST/JURY LIST	R DECLARATIONS	AL		
		ORDR/ORDER		0001	10/03/85	
		ORDR/ORDER ORDR/ORDER		AL	10/03/85	
		INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE J	IDV	AL	10/04/85	
		VER /VERDICT	OKI	0004	10/09/85	
		VER /VERDICT				
		VER / VERDICT		0004 0004	10/11/85 10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0004	10/11/85	
		VER /VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER /VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER /VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0001	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER /VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0003	10/11/85	
		VER / VERDICT		0002	10/11/85	
<del></del>	,,	(Continued to	o page 20)		10/11/03	

(Continued to page 20)

85-C-069269-C (Continuation Pag NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION		C SCH/PER C
0610 10/11/85 VER /VERDICT	0002	10/11/85
0611 10/11/85 VER /VERDICT	0002	10/11/85
0612 10/11/85 VER /VERDICT	0002	10/11/85
0613 10/11/85 VER /VERDICT	0002	10/11/85
0614 10/11/85 VER /VERDICT 0615 10/11/85 ORDR/ORDER	0002 AL	10/11/85 10/10/85
0616 10/11/85 ORDR/ORDER 0616 10/14/85 ORDR/ORDER	AL AL	10/11/85
0617 10/15/85 REQT/MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF	AL	10/11/85
RECORD	AL	10/20/03
0618 10/17/85 INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY	AL	10/14/85
0619 10/17/85 VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0001	10/17/85
0620 10/17/85 VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0002	10/17/85
0621 10/17/85 VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0004	10/17/85
0622 10/17/85 VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0003	10/17/85
0623 10/17/85 VER /VERDICT	0001	10/17/85
0624 10/17/85 VER /VERDICT	0002	10/17/85
0625 10/17/85 VER /VERDICT	0003	10/17/85
0626 10/17/85 VER /VERDICT	0004	10/17/85
0627 10/21/85 REQT/MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL	0003	11/04/85
0628 10/24/85 REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	$\mathtt{AL}$	11/18/85
0629 10/24/85 ORDR/ORDER GRANTING ENTRY OF MEDIA PERMISSION		10/23/85
0630 10/29/85 EXPT/EX PARTE CONTACT VISITATION ORDER	0004	10/29/85
0631 11/04/85 JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION - PLEA	0006	10/29/85
0632 11/04/85 REQT/MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER GRANTING	0004	11/06/85
NUMEROUS CONTACT VISITS	0004	
0633 11/05/85 AFFD/AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	0004	SV 11/04/85
0634 11/06/85 PTAT/POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF	0003	
MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL 0635 11/07/85 PTAT/POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF	0003 0001	
DEFT FLANAGANS MOTION FOR A NEW TRIAL	0001	
0636 11/08/85 ORDR/ORDER ADMITTING DEFENDANT TO PROBATION	0001	11/07/85
AND FIXING THE TERMS THEREOF	0006	11/0//05
0637 11/08/85 ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR NEW	0003	
TRIAL	0003	
0638 11/12/85 RSPN/RESPONSE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR NEW	0003	
TRIAL	0003	
0639 11/18/85 ORDR/ORDER FOR CONTACT VISIT	0001	11/15/85
0640 11/19/85 ORDR/ORDER	0001	11/19/85
0641 11/19/85 ORDR/ORDER	0003	11/19/85
0642 11/20/85 NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL	$\mathtt{AL}$	
0643 11/27/85 JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0001	11/27/85
0644 11/27/85 ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION	0001	11/27/85
0645 11/27/85 WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0001	11 /05 /05
0646 11/27/85 ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION	0002	11/27/85
0647 11/27/85 ORDR/ORDER TO TRANSPORT 0648 11/27/85 WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0001 0002	11/27/85
0649 11/27/85 JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION		11/27/85
0650 11/27/85 ORDR/ORDER TO TRANSPORT	0002 0002	11/27/85
0651 12/04/85 AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER ALLOWING	0002	11/2//00
TRAVEL EXPENSES	0001	
0652 12/09/85 NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	0004	
0653 12/05/85 NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0004	
(Continued to page 21)		

	85-C-069269-C (Continuation Pag CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION		SCH/PER C	
0654 12/10/85	ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPTS FROM COURT REPORTER	0004 0004	12/09/85	
0655 12/10/85	REQT/REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT FROM COURT REPORTER	0004 0004		
	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0004	12/10/85	
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0002	12/18/85	
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0001	12/18/85	
	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	0001		
	NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0001		
0661 12/20/85		AL 0001	12/19/85	
	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTENTS OF	0001	12/13/63	
0005 12/2//05	RECORD ON APPEAL	0001		
0664 01/10/86		0004	01/09/86	
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0003	01/09/86	
	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0004	01/13/86	
	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	AL		
0668 01/28/86		0001	07/27/86	
0669 01/29/86	ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPTS FROM COURT	AL	01/28/86	
0670 01/00/06	REPORTER	AL	02/00/05	
	JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION - PLEA	0004	01/28/86	
	REQT/MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0005 AL	01/28/86	
	EXPT/EX PARTE PETITION FOR ORDER SHORTENING	AL		
0075 02/14/00	TIME	AL		
0674 02/14/86	ORDR/ORDER SHORTENING TIME	AL	02/19/86	
0675 02/24/86		AL	02/21/86	
0676 04/28/86	JUDG/AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0004	04/28/86	
	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	AL		
	REQT/MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL	$\mathtt{AL}$	01/21/87	
	ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	$\mathtt{AL}$	01/09/87	
0680 04/23/87	REQT/MOTION FOR THE REMOVAL AND	0001	05/04/87 Y	
OF RECORD	SUBSTITUTION OF APPOINTED ATTORNEY	0001		
	RSPN/RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR THE	0001	Y	,
	REMOVAL AND SUBSTITUTION OF APPOINTED	0001	ĭ	
ATTORNEY OF R 0682 05/13/87	<del></del>	0007	05 /11 /05	
	NSCO/NEVADA SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT / ORDERED	0001	05/11/87	
0003 07/20/07	APPEAL DISMISSED	0004 0004	06/25/87	
0684 10/29/87	REQT/MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY	AL	11/16/87	
	ALLOWANCE	AL	11/10/0/	
0685 11/13/87	OPPS/OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS	AL		
	OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE	$\mathtt{AL}$		
0686 11/17/87	NSCO/NEVADA SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT / ORDERED APPEAL DISMISSED	0003 0003	10/19/87	
0687 11/25/87	ORDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE	AL	11/24/87	
0688 06/10/88	CCJV/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/		05/18/88 Y	<i>.</i>
IN PART	JUDGMENT -AFFIRMED IN PART AND REVERSED	0002		
	CCJV/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/	0001	05/18/88 Y	,
3002 00,10,00	JUDGMENT -AFFIRMED IN PART AND REVERSED	0001	03/10/00 Ι	
	(Continued to page 22)			

		AF G AGOOGO G	- 00\	
NO 1		35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page		ccii/pep c
NO. F	FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR OC	SCH/PER C
IN PA	יים			
		JUDG/AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0003	06/15/88
		ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	0001	04/13/89
		REQT/NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR	0002	06/19/89
0032	00,03,03	DISCOVERY	0002	00, 13, 03
0693	06/05/89	REQT/MOTION FOR ORDER TO TRANSPORT DEFENDANT	0002	06/19/89
		EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION TO APPOINT PRIVATE	0002	,,
	,,	INVESTIGATOR	0002	
0695	06/19/89	EXPT/EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR	0001	
	, ,	TRANSPORT OF DEFENDANT	0001	
0696	06/21/89	REQT/MOTION FOR INDIVIDUAL SEQUESTERED VOIR	0002	07/05/89
		DIRE	0002	
		ORDR/ORDER TO TRANSPORT DEFENDANT	0002	06/20/89
		ORDR/ORDER	0002	06/20/89
		NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0002	06/21/89
		ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0002	06/22/89
		ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE	0001	06/22/89
0702	06/29/89	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE JOHN	0001	06/28/89
	0=/40/00	MICHAEL LUCAS	0001	
0703	07/10/89	ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE ROY	0001	07/07/89
0004	07/10/00	MCDOWELL	0001	
		LIST/JURY LIST	0001	07/10/00
		REQT/MEDIA REQUEST	0001	07/10/89
		ORDR/ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY	0001	07/06/89
		INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0001 0002	07/14/89 07/14/89
		VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0002	07/14/89
		VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0001	07/14/89
		VER /SPECIAL VERDICT	0001	07/14/89
		VER /VERDICT	0002	07/14/89
		VER /VERDICT	0001	07/14/89
		JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0002	07/31/89
		ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION	0002	07/31/89
		WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0002	- · <b>, ,</b>
		ORDR/ORDER TO TRANSPORT	0002	07/31/89
		JUDG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION	0001	07/31/89
		WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION	0001	
		ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION	0001	07/31/89
		ORDR/ORDER TO TRANSPORT	0001	07/31/89
		NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0002	
0723	08/04/89	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF CONTENTS OF RECORD ON	0002	
		APPEAL	0002	
		EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION	0002	
0725	08/04/89	EXPT/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO	0002	
0706	00/00/00	PREPARE TRANSCRIPT	0002	00/05/05
		ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL	0002	08/07/89
		EXPT/EX PARTE ORDER TO PREPARE TRANSCRIPT	0002	08/07/89
		EXPT/EX PARTE ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION ORDR/ORDER	0002	08/07/89
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001 0002	08/10/89 08/14/89
		NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0002	08/14/89
		ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002	08/24/89
	,,	(Continued to page 23)	J J J Z	00/24/09
		/		

	85-C-069269-C (Continuation Page		~ / ~ ~ ~	
NO. FILED/REC	C CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR OC SO	CH/PER C	
0733 08/29/89		0001	08/25/89	
0734 08/29/89		0001	08/25/89	
	NOAS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS	0001		
	NOTC/NOTICE OF WAIVER OF APPEAL	0001		
0737 09/12/89	EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RECORD ON APPEAL	0002 0002		
0738 09/13/89	ORDR/ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RECORD ON APPEAL	0002 0002	09/13/89	
0739 09/19/89	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0004		
0740 00/10/0	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS  REQT/MOTION TO COMPEL TRANSFER OF RECORDS	0004 0004	09/19/89	3.7
	FROM PREVIOUS COUNSEL AND MOTION FOR	0004	09/19/89	1
	F TRANSCRIPTS OF RECENT HEARINGS			
0741 09/19/89	O NOTC/NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE POST-CONVICTION			Y
	PETITION AFFIDAVIT OF GOOD CAUSE FOR	0004		
	7.315(3) MOTION FOR POST-CONVICTION APPOINTMENT	OF COUNSE	ΣL	
	REQT/NOTICE OF MOTIONS AND MOTIONS	0004	10/02/89	
0743 10/12/89		0001	10/10/89	
	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	10/12/89	
0745 10/16/89	EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO	0002		
	FILE RECORD ON APPEAL	0002		
0746 10/17/89	ORDR/ORDER	0004	10/16/89	
0747 10/18/89	EXPT/EX PARTE ORDER	0001	10/19/89	
0748 10/19/89	ORDR/ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RECORD ON	0002	10/17/89	
	APPEAL	0002		
0749 10/24/89	CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	10/23/89	
0750 09/21/90	ORDR/PETITION AND ORDER HONORABLY DISCHARGING	0006	09/19/90	
	PROBATIONER	0006		
0751 10/20/92	AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE	0003		
	TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	0003		
	CRTF/FINANCIAL CERTIFICATE	0003		
0753 05/22/95	FOC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0002	05/22/95	
0754 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEB 25 1985	AL	02/25/85	
	ARRAIGNMENT	$\mathtt{AL}$		
0755 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 1 1985	$\mathtt{AL}$	04/01/85	
	MOTION TO WITHDRAW	$\mathtt{AL}$		
0756 02/28/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 10, 1985	0004	04/10/85	
0757 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 6, 1985	AL	05/06/85	
	APPOINTMENT OF PSYCHIATRIST	AL		
0758 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 8 1985 STATUS CHECK	AL AL	05/08/85	
0759 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 13 1985	AL	05/13/85	
	MOTION FOR DISCOVERY	AL		
0760 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 20 1985 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	AL AL	05/20/85	
0761 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 24 1985	AL	05/24/85	
	WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	AL		
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 26 1985	AL	06/26/85	
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 26 1985	AL	06/26/85	
0764 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 28 1985	AL	06/28/85	
	MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	AĻ		
0765 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF AUG 5 1985	AL	08/05/85	
	MOTION TO WITHDRAW	AL		
	(Continued to page 24)			

8	5-C-069269-C	(Continuation Page	e 24)
NO. FILED/REC			FOR OC SCH/PER C
0766 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT CONFIRMATION OF COUNS		AL 08/07/85 AL
0767 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	OF AUG 12 1985	AL 08/12/85 AL
0768 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT CHANGE OF PLEA	OF AUG 29 1985	AL 08/29/85 AL
0769 09/03/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT EVIDENTIARY HEARING	OF AUG 30 1985	AL 08/30/85 AL
0770 09/19/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT EVIDENTIARY HEARING	OF SEPT 17 1985	AL 09/17/85 AL
0771 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT MOTION FOR SEVERANCE	OF SEPT 18 1985	AL 09/18/85 AL
0772 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT CHANGE OF PLEA	OF SEPT 24 1985	AL 09/24/85 AL
0773 09/26/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT EVIDENTIARY HEARING	OF SEPT 24 1985	AL 09/24/85 AL
0774 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	OF SEPT 26 1985	AL 09/26/85
0775 10/03/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF SEPT 30 1985	AL 09/30/85
0776 10/03/85	OPENING STATEMENTS TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL 09/30/85
0777 10/03/85	CROSS EXAMINATION OF TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF OCT 1 1985	AL 10/01/85
0778 10/10/85	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF OCT 4 1985	AL 10/04/85
0779 10/14/85	DIRECT EXAMINATION OF TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF OCT 7 & 8 1985	AL 10/07/85
0780 02/14/86	TESTIMONY OF SCOTT ALE TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL 10/30/85
0781 02/14/86	MOTION TO WITHDRAW TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF NOV 4 1985	AL 11/04/85
0782 02/14/86	MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF NOV 13 1985	AL 11/13/85
0783 02/14/86	MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	OF NOV 18 1985	AL 11/18/85
	SENTENCING TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL 11/22/85
	SENTENCING TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL 11/27/85
	SENTENCING TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT		AL 12/04/85
	CONFIRMATION OF COUNS: TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	EL	AL AL 12/18/85
	CLARIFICATION OF SENT TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT	ENCE	AL
	CALENDAR CALL		0001 07/05/89 0001
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL		0001 07/10/89 0001
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL		0001 07/11/89 0001
0791 09/08/89	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL		0001 07/12/89 0001
	(Continued to	o page 25)	

NO.		35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION F			CH/PER C	
0792	09/08/89	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 13 1989 JURY TRIAL	0001 0001		07/13/89	
0793	09/08/89	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 14 1989 JURY TRIAL	0001		07/14/89	
0794	08/25/89	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 31 1989 SENTENCING	0001 0001		07/31/89	
0795	03/27/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEB 11 1985 PRELIMINARY HEARING	AL AL		02/11/85	
		ORDR/STIPULATION ORDR/STIPULATION	0001 0001		02/21/01 02/21/01	
		ORDR/ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN BAC #21853	0001 0001	ис	04/06/01 04/13/01	
0799	02/11/01	PET /PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	0003	пG	04/13/01	
		OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PROPER PERSON MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL	0003 0003			
		OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PROPER PERSON MOTION TO JOIN AND OR	0003 0003			Y
		ETITIONS FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS				
		OCAL/STATUS CHECK: REASSIGNMENT/ EVIDENTIARY HEARING SCHEDULING	0001		04/17/01	
		HEAR/EVIDENTIARY HEARING: REMAINING ISSUES ON THE WRIT	0001 0001	МН	02/14/02	
		ASSG/REASSIGNMENT OF JUDGE Saitta TO JUDGE Gibbons				
0805	05/03/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT EVIDENTIARY HEARING (REMAINING ISSUES ON WRIT)	0001 0001		04/13/01	
0806	05/17/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CHECK: EVIDENTIARY HEARING	0001 0001		09/13/00	
0807	01/29/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS MOTIONS HEARING	0001 0001		08/16/00	
0808	01/31/01	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0001		09/13/00	
0809	02/11/01	CRTF/CERTIFICATE OF INMATES INSTITUTIONAL ACCOUNT	0003 0003			
0810	02/11/01	REQT/MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA	0003			
0811	02/11/01	PAUPERIS AFFD/AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO	0003 0003			
0010	00/11/01	PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS REQT/REQUEST MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF	0003			
		ATTORNEY	0003			
0813	05/30/01	EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER APPOINTING ATTORNEY IN A DEATH PENALTY MATTER	0001 0001			
0814	01/23/01	NOTC/NOTICE OF WITNESS	0001			
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTIONS/PETITIONS	0003 0003		09/28/00	
		TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTIONS	0004 0004		10/10/00	
		PET /DEFT'S PRO PER PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0003 0003	DN	10/04/01	
0819	08/28/01	ASSG/REASSIGNMENT OF JUDGE Gibbons TO JUDGE Douglas				
0820	02/11/01	MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR APPOINTMENT OF ATTORNEY	0003 0003	DN	10/04/01	
		(Continued to page 26)				

	85-C-069269-C (Conti	nuation Page 2	6)
NO. FILED/	REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION		OC SCH/PER C
0821 02/11,	/01 MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION TO PRO FORMA PAUPERIS	CEED IN 0003	
0822 08/28	/01 ORDR/ORDER RE PETITION FOR WRIT O		HG 10/04/01
	/01 SUPP/SUPPLEMENT TO ORIGINAL MOTIO /01 REQT/MOTION TO DISMISS MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE WITH CO DEFENDAN	0003 0003	Y
FLANAGAN			
	01 MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MTN TO DISMIS CONSOLIDATE WITH CO-DEFT FLA		
0826 09/28	/01 MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MTN TO JOIN/C FOR WRITS OF HABEAS CORPUS		DN 10/04/01
0827 09/27	/01 ORDR/ORDER	0001	
	/01 RSPN/PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO THE		
0020 03/23	OPPOSITION TO DEFT'S PRO PER		
TO TOTAL AND	D/OR CONSOLIDATE PETITIONS FOR WRIT O		
	•		ND MIN IN
	OF PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR APPT. OF		
0829 10/01	01 OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS PRO PERSON PETITION FOR WRIT OF		
CORDITE DOG	I-CONVICTION	TABEAS 0003	
		01 0003	10/01/01
	/01 MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 10-04		
-	/01 JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIO AND ORDER	0003	•
	/01 NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION		
	/01 NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL	0003	
	/01 STAT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	0003	
0835 12/11	/01 CASO/CASE (RE)ACTIVATED ON		
0837 01/17	/02 JMNT/CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT	AFFIRMED 0004	01/18/02
	/02 EXPT/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM C AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES		·
0839 02/11	/02 ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PA		
•	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0001	
0840 02/19	/02 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PRO		
	/02 ARGU/PETITIONERS CLOSING ARGUMENT		
	/02 REQT/EX PARTE MOTION TO APPOINT P		
0012 01,25	INVESTIGATOR AND EX PARTE MO		_
EXCESS FEE			
0843 04/25	/02 ORDR/ORDER APPOINTING PRIVATE INV	ESTIGATOR 0002	
	AND AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYM		
0844 05/01	/02 RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT		
,	ARGUMENT	0001	
0845 06/19	/02 ORDR/ORDER	0001	
	/02 FUS /FILED UNDER SEAL - MOTION	0001	
	/02 APCL/APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT: CLO		07/11/02
	/02 FUS /FILED UNDER SEAL - EX PARTE		
	/02 ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: FI		
0042 00/0/			
0050 00/00	FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW & OR		
	/02 CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	0001	
0821 08/08	/02 OBJ /PETITIONERS OBJECTIONS TO ST		
CONCLUDE	PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AN	D 0001	
CONCLUSION		NO OF THE	770 00 10 1 1
0852 08/09	/02 JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIO AND ORDER	NS OF LAW 0001 0001	
	(Continued to page	27)	
		•	

85-C-069269-C (Continuation Page NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION FOR OC SCH/PER C 0853 08/13/02 JMNT/CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDMGENT AFFIRMED 0003 08/14/02 0854 08/13/02 CCJA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/ 0001 08/13/02 JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED 0001 0855 08/16/02 NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER 08/09/02 0001 0856 09/12/02 STAT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 0001 0857 09/12/02 NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL (SC 40232) 0001 AP 09/12/02 0858 09/16/02 CASO/CASE (RE)ACTIVATED ON 0859 09/26/02 MOT /DEFT'S MTN FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO 0002 GR 10/09/02 FILE/177 0002 0860 10/08/02 EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER 0001 10/08/02 0861 10/08/02 APPL/EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR PAYMENT OF 0001 Y ATTORNEYS FEES AND COSTS FILED UNDER 0001 SEAL 0862 10/09/02 MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 10-09-02 0002 10/09/02 0863 01/10/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ARGUEMENT: 0001 06/22/00 Y DEFENDANT FLANAGANS PETITION FOR WRIT 0001 OF HABEAS CORPUS DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR DISCOVER DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING STATES MOTION FOR WAIVER OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE 0864 01/10/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATES REQUEST FOR 0001 01/19/00 EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE WRIT RESPONSE 0001 0865 01/10/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANT 0001 01/31/00 YFLANAGANS MOTION FOR SEVERANCE DAVID 0001 SCHIECKS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE AND FOR **EXPENSES** 0866 01/10/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDANT 0002 01/31/00 Y FLANAGANS MOTION FOR SEVERANCE DAVID 0002 SCHIECKS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY ALLOWANCE AND FOR EXPENSES 0867 01/10/03 CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 01/10/03 0001 0868 01/15/03 CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 01/15/03 0001 0869 01/15/03 CERT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 0002 01/15/03 0870 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0001 11/30/99 MOTIONS 0001 0871 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0002 11/30/99 MOTIONS 0002 0872 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0001 05/27/99 MOTIONS 0001 0873 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0002 05/27/99 MOTIONS 0002 0874 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0001 11/25/98 MOTIONS 0001 0875 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0002 11/25/98 MOTIONS 0002 0876 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0001 05/17/99 MOTIONS 0001 0877 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 0001 09/08/99 MOTIONS 0001 0878 01/15/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: ALL PENDING 09/08/99 0002 MOTIONS 0002 0879 03/04/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PRO PER MOTION 0004 12/22/93 TO CORRECT AN ILLEGAL SENTENCE 0004 0880 03/04/03 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO 0001 08/31/93 CONTINUE THE REHEARING OF PENALTY PHASE 0001

(Continued to page

	85-C-069269-C (Continuation F	age 28		
NO. FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR C	OC SCH/PER	С
0881 05/05/03	NOTC/NOTICE OF EXHIBITS TO FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF		05/02/	03 Y
HABEAS CORPUS	IN THE VAULT			
0882 05/02/03	PET /FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT O			
	HABEAS CORPUS	0002		
0883 05/08/03	CLAM/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION			
	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002		
0884 06/05/03	ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002		
0005 05/00/00	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002	00/10/	0.1
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0001	09/12/	
0886 07/30/03	RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0002		Y
IINDENC CODDIIC	POST CONVICTION	0002		
	RPLY/REPLY TO STATES RESPONSE TO	0002		Y
0001 09/02/03	SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0002		1
HABEAS CORPUS		0002		
	OCAL/AT REQ OF COURT: STATUS CHECK	0002	09/23/6	0.3
	ARGU/ARGUMENT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0002	MH 05/11/0	
0007 07/23/03	HABEAS CORPUS/180	0002	FII 05/11/	J <del>T</del>
0890 10/10/03	EXPT/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION			
0000 10/10/00	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002		
0891 10/15/03	ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002		
0031 10, 13, 03	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002		
0892 10/26/03	ASSG/Reassign Case From Judge Douglas To			
0002 11/17/02	Judge Leavitt BREF/OPENING BRIEF CONCERNING TIMELINESS OF	0002		Y
0093 11/1/03	POST CONVICTION PETITION FOR WRIT OF	0002		1
HABEAS CORPUS		0002		
	RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS OPENING	0002		Y
	BRIEF CONCERNING TIMELINESS OF POST	0002		_
CONVICTION PE	TITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS			
0895 01/12/04	RPLY/REPLY TO STATES BRIEF CONCERNING	0002		Y
	TIMELINESS OF POST CONVICTION PETITION	0002		
FOR WRIT OF H				
0896 01/15/04	EXPT/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	N 0002		
	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002		
0897 01/26/04	ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002	01/26/0	<b>34</b>
, ,	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002		
	STIP/STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ARGUMENT DATE	0002	SH 02/24/	<b>)4</b>
0899 03/12/04	MEMO/PETITIONERS MEMORANDUM REGARDING	0001		
	SETTLEMENT OF RECORD	0001		
0900 04/23/04	•	0001	04/23/0	
	ARGU/ARGUMENT	0002	MC 06/22/	J4
0902 05/17/04	MEMO/STATES MEMORANDUM REGARDING SETTLEMENT OF RECORD	0001		
	HEAR/HEARING: SUPREME COURT'S ORDER	0001	05/27/0	
	FTA /FAILURE TO APPEAR	0001	05/27/	
	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: SUPREME COURT CONTINUANO		06/22/0	
	NOTC/NOTICE OF HEARING	0001	SH 05/27/	
0907 05/28/04		0002	05/28/	
0908 06/04/04	MOT /DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL /185	0001	GR 06/22/	04
0909 06/09/04	OPPS/STATES OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION TO COMPEL			
	(Continued to page 29)	0001		
	(continued to page 29)			

			35-C-069269-C (Continuation Page		(9)		
N	Ю. І	FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	FOR	oc s	CH/PER C	
0	910	06/22/04	ARGU/ARGUMENT: DEFT'S WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS/ REMAINING ISSUES (MOORE)	0002 0002		07/13/0	4
0	911	06/23/04	MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 6-22-04	AL		06/22/0	4
			ORDR/PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PETITIONERS EX	0001		07/06/0	
Ī		.,,	PARTE MOTION TO ALLOW COURT REPORTER	0001		.,,.,,	
¢	OST	S					
C	913	07/13/04	OCAL/STATUS CHECK: SETTING OF EVIDENTIARY	0002		10/19/0	4
		05/15/04	HEARING	0002			
Ų	914	0//15/04	CLAM/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	0002			
_	015	07/19/04	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002		07/19/0	4
	1913	07/13/04	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002		07/19/0	4
c	1916	07/20/04	NOTC/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER	0002		07/06/04	4
	917		NCA /PRIVILEGE LOG	0001		0770070	I
	918		MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO COMPEL /189	0001		09/07/04	1
	919		MOT /DEFT'S MIN TO COMPED /189  MOT /DEFT'S MIN FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE/190	0001		09/07/0	
			MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 9-7-04	0001		09/07/0	
			RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR	0001		09/0//0	¥ Y
	7721	09/01/04	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE NOTICE OF MOTION	0001			I
	ודטעי	מ מואג פדדים	AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	0001			
			OPPS/STATES OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION	0001			Y
	1322	03/01/04	TO COMPEL NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL	0001			1
_	ודטעי	מואג פודים	AFFIDAVIT OF COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CO				
			OCAL/STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT DATES			00/00/0	4
				0001		09/28/04	
			OCAL/MINUTE ORDER RE: TRANSCRIPT DATES	0001		09/09/04	
C	1925	09/14/04	MOT /DEFT'S MTN TO EXPAND/EXTEND REMAND TO	0001		09/28/0	4
_	1026	00/14/04	DISTRICT COURT EXHIBITS/194 (VJ 9/23/04) ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY	0001		00/14/0	
			ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT	0001		09/14/0	
			REQT/REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS OF PROCEEDINGS	0001		09/17/0	4
			TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0001		00/07/0	
			HEAR/EVIDENTIARY HEARING	0001		09/07/04	
			BREF/STATES BRIEF REGARDING PREJUDICE	0002		01/27/0	5
			OCAL/STATUS CHECK: TRANSCRIPT DATES	0002		10/10/0	
				0001		10/19/0	4
			BREF/PETITIONERS BRIEF ON PREJUDICE NOTC/NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	0002			
			IRTN/IMPRISONMENT RETURN	0002			
				0003			
Ĺ	1230	01/19/05	RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS BRIEF REGARDING PREJUDICE	0002			
_	1027	01/07/05		0002		00/00/00	_
			HEAR/DECISION: EVIDENTIARY HEARING OCAL/STATUS CHECK: SUPREME COURT DECISION AS	0002		02/03/09	
·	1230	02/03/05	TO MCCONNELL CASE	0002		04/07/09	5
-	939	02/09/05	CLAM/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	0002			
			AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002			
-	940	02/15/05	ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002			
			EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002			
C	941	02/16/05	JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	0002		02/18/0	5
			AND ORDER	0002			
C	942	02/17/05	JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	0002		02/03/0	5
			AND INTERIM ORDER	0002			
			NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	0002		02/17/0	5
C	944	03/07/05	AFFT/AFFIDAVIT OF CAL J POTTER III	0001			
			(Continued to page 30)				

85-C-069269-C (Continuation Pag NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION	e 30) FOR OC S	CH/PER C
0945 03/07/05 AFFT/AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT DE NEWELL	0001	
0946 03/08/05 ADDM/ADDENDUM TO AFFIDAVIT OF CAL J POTTER	0001	
III ESQ 0947 03/30/05 MEMO/MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ON	0001 0002	
ISSUE OF FELONY MURDER AGGRAVATORS	0002	
0948 04/07/05 HEAR/HEARING VO 05/25/05	0002 VC	07/14/05
0949 05/02/05 ORDR/STIPULATION 0950 05/25/05 HEAR/HEARING	0001	05/02/05 09/01/05
0950 05/25/05 HEAR/HEARING 0951 05/25/05 ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME	0002 0002 SH	08/01/05
0952 06/29/05 RSPN/STATES RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS	0002	Y
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	0002	
ON ISSUE OF FELONY MURDER AGGRAVATORS	0000	07/01/05 V
0953 07/21/05 RPLY/REPLY TO THE STATES RESPONSE TO PETITIONERS MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND	0002 0002	07/21/05 Y
AUTHORITIES ON ISSUE OF FELONY MURDER AGGRAVATORS	0002	
0954 08/01/05 CLAM/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	0002	
AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002	00/0=/0=
0955 08/15/05 ORDR/ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002 0002	08/15/05
0956 09/03/05 HEAR/DECISION		10/06/05
0957 08/25/89 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT SENTENCING	MP	07/31/89
0958 12/15/93 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AT	MP	06/24/91
9:00 AM	MP	07/11/00
0959 09/06/89 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL 0960 09/19/85 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY	MP MP	07/11/89 09/17/85
HEARING	MP	05/11/05
0961 02/14/96 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF WRIT OF HABEAS	$\mathtt{AL}$	05/20/85
CORPUS 0962 06/15/95 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT FOR JUNE 14 1995	AL 0001	06/15/05
0962 06/15/95 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT FOR JUNE 14 1995 0963 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	0001 <b>M</b> P	06/15/95 10/02/85
0964 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	10/02/05
0965 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	10/14/85
0966 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	09/27/85
0967 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	10/03/85
0968 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL 0969 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP MP	10/09/85 .10/15/85
0970 11/20/85 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT SENTENCING	MP	11/20/85
0971 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	10/07/85
0972 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	10/17/85
0973 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	MP	10/11/85
0974 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL 0975 10/03/85 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT CROSS EXAMINATION	MP MP	10/01/85 09/30/85
OF RUSTY DEON HAVENS	MP	09/30/63
0976 02/14/86 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY TRIAL	0001	10/04/05
0977 05/09/94 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT STATUS CHECK	0004	05/05/94
0978 04/18/94 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0001	04/14/94
0979 05/06/94 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANTS PRO PER MOTION TO CORRECT AN ILLEGAL	0004 0004	12/22/93 Y
SENTENCE	J J J J	
0980 09/08/89 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL	MP	07/10/89
0981 06/10/94 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT	MP	08/31/93
FLANAGANS MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE (Continued to page 31)	MP	
(concinada do page 01)		

8	35-C-069269-C (Cont	tinuation Page	e 31)	
NO. FILED/REC	CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION			CH/PER C
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CA		MP	07/05/89
0983 06/13/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT COURS OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS END		MP MP	06/12/95 Y
PROCEEDINGS				
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PI		0001	12/01/94
, ,	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY PHASE DAY 8		MP MP	06/22/95
0986 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MOTERIAL	OTION FOR NEW	AL AL	11/04/85
0987 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SE	ENTENCING	AL	11/18/85
0988 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SH	ENTENCING	AL	11/27/85
0989 10/14/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SO	COTT ALAN	AL	10/07/85
	SLOANE		AL	10/08/85
0990 06/14/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT JURY PHASE DAY 1	TRIAL PENALTY	MP MP	06/13/95
0991 04/22/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PH	ROCEEDINGS	MP	04/21/94
0992 12/15/93	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PI	ROCEEDINGS	MP	06/24/91
0993 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CI	LARIFICATION	AL	12/18/85
	OF SENTENCE		AL	
0994 06/12/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PI CALENDAR CALL	ROCEEDINGS	MP MP	06/08/95
0995 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT MOTIC	ON TO	AL	10/30/85
, ,	WITHDRAW		AL	
0996 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SI	ENTENCING	AL	11/22/85
0997 08/25/89	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT SENTE	ENCING	MP	07/31/89
0998 06/25/94	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE DI MOTION TO DISQULIFY JUDGE	EFENDANTS	0002 0002	01/24/94
0999 08/21/95	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PR	ROCEEDINGS	MP	08/17/95
1000 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MOTERIAL	OTION FOR NEW	AL AL	11/13/85
1001 03/27/85	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PH HEARING	RELIMINARY	AL AL	02/11/85
1002 02/14/86	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF COUNSEL	ONFIRMATION OF	MP MP	12/04/85
1003 10/19/05	ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT		0002	10/19/05
	REQT/REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPTS		0002	
1005 11/08/05	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HI	EARING	0002	09/01/05
1006 11/18/05	OCAL/MINUTE ORDER RE: DECISION		0002	11/18/05
1007 11/28/05	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MO	OTION	0002	02/03/05
1008 12/27/05	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ARGUN	MENT	0002	07/13/04 Y
	DEFENDANTS WRIT OF HABEAS (	CORPUS	0002	
/REMAINING ISS				
1009 12/27/05	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HE REGARDS TO STATUS CHECK OCT		0002 0002	10/19/04
1010 12/28/05	ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPTS		0002	12/28/05
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PH		0002	10/06/05
	TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HE		0002	09/01/05
1013 01/23/06	JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUS		0002	01/23/06 Y
	AND ORDER GRANTING HABEAS I		0002	
	NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION	N AND ORDER	0002	01/23/06
1015 02/13/06	NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL			02/13/06
	(Continued to page	e 32)		•

85-C-	069269-C (Continuation Pag	re 32)			
NO. FILED/REC CODE	REASON/DESCRIPTION		s	CH/PER C	
1016 02/13/06 STAT	/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	0002			
	FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	0002		03/21/06	
	AND ORDER	0002			
	/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	0002		03/21/06	
1019 03/28/06 NOAS	/NOTICE OF APPEAL '/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT		AP	03/28/06	
	/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT I/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0002 0002		10/09/03	
	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0002		02/10/04	
	//REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0002		05/11/04	
	/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	0002		01/27/05	
	/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	0002		,,	
	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002			
1026 09/27/06 ORDR	ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002		09/27/06	
	EXCESS	0002			
1027 01/18/07 CLAM	/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	0002			
1000 01/01/05 0000	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES	0002			
1028 01/31/07 ORDR	ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002		01/31/07	
1020 00/20/07 CTAM	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS  I/EX PARTE CLAIM FOR INTERIM COMPENSATION	0002			
1029 08/20/07 CLAN	AND MOTION FOR EXCESS FEES CLAIM	0002 0002			
1030 09/24/07 ORDR	ORDER AUTHORIZING INTERIM PAYMENT OF	0002		09/24/07	
1030 03/24/07 ORDA	EXCESS FEES AND COSTS	0002		03/24/07	
1031 09/26/07 NOAS	/AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL		ΔĐ	09/26/07	
	APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT: CLOSED (40232)	0002		02/22/08	
	//CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT AFFIRMED	0001		03/20/08	
	/STATUS CHECK RE: MOORE'S SUPREME COURT		MΗ	10/09/08	
	ORDER OF REMAND	0002			
	APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT: CLOSED (46801)			04/23/08	
1036 10/09/08 HEAR		0002		02/26/09	
	//CLERK'S CERT AFFIRMED/REVERSED/REMANDED	S		10/29/08	
	//STATES BRIEF ON HARMLESS ERROR			12/11/08	
1039 11/04/08 BREF	BRIEF CONCERNING PREJUDICE OF TWO INVALID AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES		SH	12/11/08	
1040 02/26/09 0001	STATUS CHECK: APOINTMENT OF APPELLANT	0002 0002		03/05/09	
1040 02/20/03 OCAL	COUNSEL (CHRISTOPHER ORAM)	0002		03/05/09	
1041 02/27/09 ORDR	ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT	0002			
	/PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST	0003			
, .	CONVICTION	0003			
	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	0003			
1044 03/03/09 MEMO	/SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND	0003			Y
	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF HIS PETITION	0003			
	CORPUS POST CONVICTION RELIEF				
1045 03/06/09 OCAL		0002		05/14/09	
	/APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS				
104, 03/10/03 IRAN	/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - HEARING - HEARD 02-26-09	0002 0002			
1048 03/25/09 PET	/1ST AMENDED PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS	0002			
	CORPUS POST CONVICTION	0003			
1049 05/14/09 ARGU	/ARGUMENT/DECISION	0002		09/18/09	
1050 06/16/09 CASO	/CASE (RE)OPENED			06/16/09	
	PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	0003		08/27/09	
1052 06/16/09 PPOW	ORDER FOR PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS	0003			
	Continued to page 33)	0003			
	11 COU 10000 FO 5366 221				

(Continued to page 33)

85-C-069269-C (Continuation Page 33)
NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION FOR OC SCH/PER C

1053 07/07/09 RSPN/STATES RESPONSE AND MTN TO DISMISS DEFTS 0003
Y

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS POST 0003
CONVICTION
1054 09/10/09 NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL 0003 AP