IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON, Petitioner, vs. THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR CARSON CITY, AND THE HONORABLE JAMES TODD RUSSELL, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and THE STATE OF NEVADA, DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION, Real Party in Interest.

FILED JAN 2 1 2010 TRACIE K. LINDENAN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY ______ DEPUTY CLERK

No. 55228

ORDER DIRECTING ANSWER

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order determining that a use tax refund matter should proceed as a petition for judicial review under NRS Chapter 233B, rather than as an independent action.

Having reviewed the petition, it appears that petitioner has set forth issues of arguable merit and that petitioner may have no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law. Therefore, real party in interest, on behalf of respondents, shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to file an answer, including authorities, against issuance of the requested writ.

21. N. S. C.

It is so ORDERED.

farraquire

10-01716

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc: Hon. James Todd Russell, District Judge Norman J. Azevedo O'Melveny & Myers LLP Attorney General/Carson City

Supreme Court of Nevada

(O) 1947A

45.45

à de la

R. A. SIL

Contraction of the second s